MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

B 2130

1971

7 BIII
86 11



WP
2136

# Diary

11th. May. Read the following letters reporting the cause of the nontransmission of certain Periodical Returns.—

From Guntoor 28th. April in Consultation 1st. May (Here enter Nos. 38-39. and 40)

From Tanjore 29th. April in Consultation 1st. May (Here enter Nos. 36-39 and 40)

(1)

Proceedings thereon.— The Board observe that one letter reporting the reason of the nontransmission of several Returns would suffice instead of forwarding a separate letter for each Return.—

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

A.

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

At a Meeting Present

Monday 15th May 1848 — } G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member
Walter Elliot Esqre. Second Member
and
A. Maclean Esqre. Third Member

Minutes of the last meeting read and approved.—

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th May 1848.—

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.—

(Here enter 6th April 1848 N°. 163)

From the Secy. to Government (containing the orders of Govt. respecting Valengapooly Thaven formerly Zemindar of Chocumputty in the Tinnevelly District whose residence in Tinnevelly is considered to have ill effects on the peace and good order of the District and directing the measures to be adopted for his return to the Trichinopoly District and for his secure detention there.

2.

Para 1

From Board of Revenue 22d May 1847 N°. 246 —
" — Dº — 13th July — 362
" — Dº — 21st Dec. — 706

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council ascertains from the correspondence which passed in the year 1837 that Valengapooly Thaven, formerly Zemindar of Chocumputty was required, as the condition of his release from confinement as a state Prisoner under the provisions of Regulation II of 1819. to furnish two Securities for his good



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

good conduct bound each for Rupees 3500, and to give his own recognizances for Rupees 3,000.—

2 In the letter addressed by the Principal Collector of Madura to the Board of Revenue dated 25th October 1837, and received with their communication of the 21st December following, he forwarded the personal recognizances of Valengapooly Thaven to the amount of Rupees 3,500, and "of two responsible Securities in the "amount respectively of Rupees 4,200 and 3,800 Rupees each" deviating as he observed from the letter of the Board's instructions that each security should be to the amount of 3,500 Rupees.—

3

From Bd. of Rs. 14th May 1838 N°. 211 —
Dº — 2d Sept. 1839 — " — 391
Dº — 6th Feb. 1840 — " — 45
Dº — 13th — " — 61

Valengapooly Thaven was allowed a monthly pension of Rupees (200) Two hundred on the completion of these arrangements and on condition of his residing at Cuddalore in South Arcot. he was afterwards at his own request permitted to take up his residence in Trichinopoly, and towards the close of 1844 was further permitted to proceed to Madura without prejudice to his allowance, to defend, as he stated, a suit instituted against him in the Civil

From Bd. of Rs. 2d Sept. 1844 N°. 388
Dº 6th Feb. 1845 — " — 89

Civil Court at that Station, but having left Madura without leave and entered Tinnevelly his monthly allowance ceased to be disbursed to him from

From Bd. of Rs. 3d April 1845 Rs. 215
19th May 1846 — 0 — 6

January 1845.—

4.— Valengapooly Thaven has ever since continued to reside in Tinnevelly in opposition to the known wishes of the Government and in spite of the authority of the Local Officers, and his presence and conduct in the District as explained in the letter from the Magistrate now before Government render his removal from the District imperative for the due preservation of the peace and for the public good.—

5.— The Governor in Council is of opinion that the Magistrate of Tinnevelly should in communication with the Magistrate of Madura at once require the securities of Valengapooly Thaven to be called upon on pain of forfeiting their recognizances to induce Valengapooly Thaven to retire from Tinnevelly, and that he should further personally explain to Valengapooly Thaven that he has violated the conditions on which he was released from confinement as a State Prisoner.





Prisoner under Regulation II of 1819, and that if he will not conform to the orders of Government and quit the District of Tinnevelly peaceably of his own accord, and take up his residence as formerly at Trichinopoly within a reasonable time (to be intimated to him) he will be placed under restraint and removed by force.—

6.— To enable the Magistrate of Tinnevelly to carry out the views and intentions of Government, he will be furnished with a warrant according to the provisions of Regulation II of 1819 to the Magistrate of Trichinopoly for the custody of Valengapooly Thaven, which he will forward to that authority in case of there being a necessity for resorting to that extreme measure, and in this event it will also be intimated, for the guidance of the Magistrate of Trichinopoly that it is not the intention of Government that Valengapooly Thaven should be kept in close confinement but only under surveillance and such moderate restraint as will secure his detention at that station: allowing him at the same time such extent of liberty as may be compatible with his safe custody.

7.— From the date of his arrival at Trichinopoly the Collector of that District is

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

is authorized to disburse to Valengapooly Thaven an allowance of (200) Two hundred Rupees per mensem equal in amount to that he enjoyed previous to his departure from Trichinopoly in January 1845.-

8.- Should the warrant against Valengapooly Thaven be put in force, it will be the duty of the Magistrate of Trichinopoly to submit the periodical report required by Section III Regulation II of 1819.-

The Judges of the Foujdaree Adalut will be pleased also to direct the requisitions in Clause 2 Section IV of the same Regulation to be conformed to in the case of Valengapooly Thaven.-

Ordered accordingly that a

10

warrant under the provisions of Regulation II of 1819 be issued, and that a copy of the foregoing resolutions be furnished direct to the Magistrate of Tinnevelly for his guidance, and that the Board of Revenue be requested to make the necessary communications to the Magistrates of Madura and Trichinopoly.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

Resolved



2-3.

Resolution

From Magistrate of Tinnevelly 29th March in Cons. 6th April 1848.

Board to Govt. 6th April 1848.

4.

From the Secy. to Government

Directing that the Pollium of Cangoondy in North Arcot be continued to Sooba Razoo Naidoo Eldest son of the late Poligar on the same Peishcush as was paid by the latter and that the Summud confirming the Estate be prepared and submitted at an early date. Desiring the Board to suggest an arrangement by which the Motorpha tax might be resumed by Govt. and a compensation granted instead and that one of the assistants to the Collr. be deputed to drawing a Register of the Enam Lands in the Pollium.

Extract Minutes Cons. Oct. 21/30.

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Resolved accordingly that copy thereon of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the papers noted in the margin, be furnished for the information and guidance of the Magistrates of Madura and Trichinopoly respectively.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th April 1848.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 20th March 1848 No 127)

The Right Honorable the Governor

Para 1 in Council is pleased to direct that the Pollium of Cangoondy be continued to Sooboo Razoo Naidoo Eldest son of the late Poligar on the same Peishcush as was paid by the latter Fuzl. Pagoda 6780-40-1 or Rupees 23,733-1-9. The Board of Revenue are requested to prepare and lay before Government without loss of time a Summudi in likeat manner in the usual form, conferring the Estate on the Poligar permanently.- This proceeding has already been ordered by Government but has not hitherto been carried into effect.-

In the 5th para of their

2.- Secretary's letter the Board recommend that the Motorpha Taxes which there is good reason to believe were included when the assets of the Estate were computed

Should

should be left as hitherto free from the interference of Government, to be collected on his own account by the Poligar. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is however of opinion that it is desirable to have the Notunpho entirely at the disposal of Government on which account it has been the practice to resume it on every occasion. The same course should be followed in this instance and compensation given to the Poligar on the terms on which it has been allowed in other similar cases. The Board will report the arrangement they would propose in accordance with this view for the information and sanction of Government.

3. As regards the Enams of the Pollium His Honor in Council concurs with the Board that no present occasion exists for interference. He deems it expedient however that an authentic register of these Enams should be drawn up as was directed by Government so long ago as 1824. The best way of effecting this object will be to depute on this special duty one of the Assistants now at the disposal of the Collector of North Arcot and the Board of Revenue will be pleased to issue instructions accordingly. The survey of Enams

The Board March 19/24



Letter May 21/23

Enams prepared by Mr. Groome in 1823 will be of much assistance in this work so far as regards Enams existing both at that period and at present. Having this Register before them the Government will be in a position to determine whether any interposition is called for on the subject.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) A. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

4-5

Proceedings thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the Extract Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it is a reply be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to Mr. Lovell's letter of the 15th November 1847.

Under the sanction of Govern-
2. ment conveyed in the above Extract the Board of Revenue request that the Acting Collector will, on receipt of these orders make over the congoody Pollium with the surplus Revenue in deposit on account of it, if any to Soobboo Rayoo Naidoo eldest son of the late Poligar to be held by him on the same terms as those on which it was enjoyed by his late father.

In conformity with the opinion
3 expressed

To the Acting Collector of North Arcot the sanction of Government for continuing to Soobboo Rayoo the Congoody Pollium and Board's observations thereon

Sent Cons 20th January 1848

expressed by Government in Para 2 of the Extract now forwarded, the Acting Collector will further be pleased to retain the Moturpha of the Pollium under Sircar Management and will carry the Collections made under this head to the public account from the commencement of the approaching Fusly 1258 1848/49

It is observed that on the resumption of the Moturpha in permanently settled Estates the compensation allowed has usually been fixed at that proportion of the Moturpha assets which was included in the Peishcush. The amount at which the Moturpha revenue of Congoondy was calculated when the assets of that Pollium were determined cannot be ascertained, this item having been at that time blended with the lands revenue. From Colonel Read's report of 1st April 1800 para 28 it however appears that the Peishcush fixed upon the whole Estate was estimated to be 60 per Cent of the assets. The Acting Collector will therefore be so good as to ascertain as nearly as practicable the average Net Moturpha of the last 20 Fusslies or from Fusly 1238 to 1257 both inclusive, and the compensation to be granted to the Poligar may, it appears to the Board, be properly fixed



fixed at 60 per Cent of such average — Mr. Bourdillon will however communicate with the Poligar and will give him an opportunity of making any remarks which he may wish to offer on the proposed rate of compensation which the Acting Collector will submit to the Board with his own opinion upon the question. On the amount being settled it will be deducted from the rate of Peishcush hitherto paid and the revised Peishcush inserted in a Summud i Milkeat Istimrar which will be forwarded to the Acting Collector for delivery to the Poligar. The Acting Collector is requested to state to the Board at length the names of the present Poligar with the titles if any, by which it may be proper to designate him, for insertion in the Summud.—

4. The Acting Collector will also cause a register to be prepared of the Enams of Congoondy Estate, availing himself for that purpose, of the services of any of his assistants whom he may judge competent to conduct the inquiry, and he will forward two Copies of this Register to the Board for record in their Office and for transmission to Government accompanied by an abstract showing

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

shewing the extent of Villages or lands which were Enam at the time of the survey and have since been resumed - and vice versa of those which having been aim at the date of the survery. have since been granted on Enam.-

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 2221 and that it be transmitted to the Acting Collector for delivery to the Petitioner.-

"Petitioner is informed that his claim to the Congoondy Pollam is considered to be altogether inadmissible."

6
From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th May 1848.-

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 2d May 1848.-

Read the following Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department.-

(Here enter 20th April 1848 No 215.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the disbursement of the sum of Rupees 500 on account of the purchase of the piece of land referred to.-

(Signed) C. A. Brown Lt. Col.
Secretary to Government

Ordered



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 13th Ultimo No. 181.-

(Signed) E. b. Montgomery
Secretary to Govert.

6-7.
Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to his letter dated 28th March last.-

8
From the Secy. to Govert.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th May 1848.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.-

(Here Enter 26th April 1848. No 211)

This Enam is clearly not hereditary but a life grant for subsistence - It has notwithstanding been enjoyed by three generations Viz. the original grantee, his son and Grandson and it is now proposed to continue it to a fourth. One of the Grandsons of the original grantee, it is observed, receives a yeomiah Pension of Rupees 199-2 per annum - It would perhaps throw some further light on the subject if the circumstances attending that Pension be examined and the Board of Revenue are

are therefore requested to report on the Geomi=
=ah enjoyed by Syed Shanoor ally Bakari.

To the President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

8-9
Order thereon.

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract from Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's Proceedings dated 26th. Ultimo to which it is a reply be communicated to the Col: =lector of South Arcot with reference to his his letter dated 29th. February last.--

Mr. Davis will be pleased to report upon the Money allowance of Rupees 199-2-- per Annum in which Syed Shanoor Ally Bakari is said to be sharer. He will explain the nature, origin and terms of the grant, by whom conferred and how appropriated, and he will at the same time forward any sun= =nuds or other documents with translations which may be forthcoming in support of it, with any statement which the Geomiadar may wish to make.

10

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 9th. May 1848.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

(Here Enter 25th. April 1848 No. 212)



n Council considers the arrangement proposed by the Collector of Tinnevelly to be, under the circumstances stated, one of urgent necessity and as such is pleased to sanction it, but he desires that Officer may be instructed to be careful that no ground is encroached upon under this sanction except what is only useless for all other purposes.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Govert.

To the President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Ordered to be communicated,

Order thereon.

10-11

with copy of the Board's letter to Government dated 25th. Ultimo, to which it is a reply, for the information and guidance of the Collector of Tinnevelly with reference to his letter of dated 28th. March last.--

Mr. Thomas will be careful that advantage is not taken of the indulgence now sanctioned to the prejudice of the Govern= =ment interests.

12

From the Secretary to Government.--

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st. May 1848.--

(From Board 17th. April)

Under the circumstances stated in the Endorsement of the Acting Sub Collector

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

Collector of North Arcot, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for making over to the Pongiar and Curnam of Vallooramba Kum the Pormbokie land applied for in lieu of their present Manium.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery.
Secretary to Govern.t

(12-13)

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot, with reference to Mr. Binning's Endorsement on Petion No. 1167 of 1847.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11th May 1848.

(From Board 27th April)

(14)

From the Secretary to Government. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Bill submitted with the foregoing letter Amounting to Rupees (113-5-9) One hundred and thirteen annas five and pice Nine.—

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

(14-15)

Order thereon.. Ordered to be recorded..

Read the following Extract.

Extract.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED



Fort St. George 15th May 1848

(16)

From the Deputy Secy to Govern.t

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th May 1848..

Read the following Extracts from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department.—

Granting a Pension of Rupees 205 per Mensem to Mr. Rencontre, Manager in the Revenue Board Office/ Here Enter 11th April 1848/

Communicating the date of retirement of Mr. Rencontre, Manager in the Revenue Board Office.. " — " — 28th Ult.o

Resolved that a Certificate be issued, making Mr.

X No. 1339

7407 Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Mrs. Rencontre's Pension payable from the 1st Instant.-

(Signed) R. J. Clarke
Deputy Secretary
to Government

(16-17)

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded - the original Pension Certificate having been delivered to Mrs. Rencontre and the duplicate retained in this Office..

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Read.

7408 Fort St. George 15th May 1848

Read the following letter

To, J Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

(18)

From the Collr of Vizagapatam -- I have the honor to request you will remind the Members of the Board of Revenue that the prolonged period within which it was intimated that an answer would be filed in the Suit brought by G. Janekiah against the Officers of Government expired a week ago and that I have received no instructions for my guidance thereupon.-

Vizagapatam District, - - - -
Bimlopatam Collr Cutcherry.
8th May 1848 - - - - - - -

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Collector

Proceedings thereon. 258

(18-19)

Resolved that the foregoing letter be laid before the Right Honorable the Governor in Council for his information and orders in reference to the letter from this Office dated 27th March last..

Resolved also that the Collector of Vizagapatam be directed to move the Court at Chicacole for an extension of time for the period of one Month from the 1st instant to enable him to put in his answer in the abovementioned

7409 Fort St George 15th May 1848

abovementioned Suit:-

Read the following letter

To. T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George:

Sir

(20)

From the Collr of Rajahmundry.-

With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 28th April 1842 subjoining one from the Minutes of Consultation of Government of 19th of that Month regarding the supply of Native Almanacs I have the honor to request that one for the current year may be supplied as usual for the use of this office.-

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada 4th May 1848.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Resolution thereon.

(20-21)

Resolved accordingly that one Telooyoo Almanac for the Current year be furnished to the Collector of Rajahmundry in compliance with the request contained in the foregoing letter.-

Read the following Statement

Statement

7410 Fort St George 15th May 1848

(22)

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta on private trade at each of the several places of Export in the District of Nellore up to 30th April 1848.-

| ½ | Places at which Salt is shipped | Quantity of Salt available for exportation in the year 1847/48. | Quantity already exported | Quantity remaining to be exported | Quantity ready in Store and ready to be exported |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Sa. Md. | Sa. Md. | Sa. Md. | Sa. Md. |
| 1 | Edapilly | 20,000 | 16,520 DESTROYED | 3,480 | 3,480 |
| 2 | Krishnapatam | 20,000 | " | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| | | 40,000 | 16,520 | 23,480 | 23,480 |

Nellore District Office, Collectors. 9th May 1848. (Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Ordered

Order thereon.

Ordered to be sent for publication

22-23 in the Fort St George Gazette.

Read the following letter

To,
T. Pycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

24

Sir,

From the Collr of Nellore - - -

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board of 14th February last the Minutes of Consultation of Government of 14th January preceding, and the letter of the Honble Court of Directors of 10th November 1847, I have the honor to forward the following replies to the questions respecting Cotton Cultivation therein transmitted to me.

Queries.

1st What is the price of Cotton freed from seed at the principal Mart or Marts in your District.

2nd At what price does the Ryot sell his Cotton cleaned or uncleaned and with or without advances.?

Replies

1st The price is on an average Rs 2-1-1 per Maund consisting of 25 lbs Avoir.

2nd The average price per Maund is as follows

| | cleaned | uncleaned |
|---|---|---|
| Rs a Ps | | |
| With advance | 1-14-- | --8-- |
| Without advance | 2-1-5 | --11-1 |

It



It is not customary however in this District to make advances for the cultivation of Cotton. Four Maunds of uncleaned Cotton yield about a Maund of cleaned Cotton and the sale of the Cotton seeds pays in part for the expence of cleaning. At the same time it may be proper to observe here that most of the Cotton grown in this District is sold in its uncleaned state for immediate use, and is cleaned by the Parties using it and it bears a slightly higher price in proportion than the cleaned Cotton brought from other Districts.

3rd What is the expence of cleaning Cotton by Churkea or root roller or by any other method which may be in use.?

3rd The Cotton is cleaned with Churkea and the average expense is Rs a Ps --2-3 per Maund of uncleaned Cotton.

4th What are the expenses of conveying Cotton to the nearest Port for Shipment.?

4th The produce of this District is very limited and what is grown is mostly appropriated to the private use of the cultivating community. The quantity required for the manufacturing community and for the general use of the District is

is purchased from Merchants who import it from the Western Districts Viz.t Cuddapah. Bellary. Kurnool &c.a The Merchants trading in this article have always a considerable quantity in Store in godowns in several of the large and populous Villages of the District. A small quantity of the article is sent to the nearest sea Ports for Shipment and a larger quantity is sent to the Presidency by land. The expenses of conveyance do not under any circumstances exceed on an average Rs. 5 per Candy consisting of 20 Maunds or 500 lbs for every 10 Miles.-

5th What is the average produce of Cotton per Beega or Acre.?

5th The average produce per acre consisting of 40 Coontahs each measuring 11 yards or 33 Feet square is Mn. 4-3-30.-

6th What is the quantity of land under cultivation with Cotton and to what extent is it probable that the cultivation could be carried in the event of an increased demand.?

6th The extent of land cultivated with Cotton alone is only acres 596-2 Coontahs assessed at Rs. 588-12-8 besides this however it is customary to cultivate Cotton mixed with other



Crops Viz.t Auro-ga. Corra. Sujja Ratchany. and Chamalo

With respect to this cultivation, it is not practicable to ascertain the extent of land grown exclusively with Cotton. but the cultivation of Cotton is really small in this District and its extension never can be great as there are few lands well suited to the cultivation. A remark regarding this District may not be improper here Viz.t that the innate disposition of the Ryots generally inclines to rearing and grazing Cattle and their cultivation is carried on with great negligence and apathy.-

(Signed) G. A. Smith
Collector

Nellore District Coll.rs Cutcherry
Allor. 10th May 1848.

Ordered to lie over.

Order thereon.
24-25

Read the following letter

To, T. Pycroft Esq.re
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

26
From the Coll.r of Bellary
Submitting a Statement of

Para 1

During the time of the late Principal Collector Mr. Robertson he received information of

Fort St George 15th May 1848

of Batavarty Enams which are under attachment for the orders of the Board of Revenue.-

of certain Batavurtee Enams, being (the Families of the original Grantees extinct) in the enjoyment of others; he accordingly issued orders to the Talookes, to hold them under attachment until the title of the Parties should be proved in enquiry.-

2. In this State they have remained and as there appears no probability of any further information being obtained, I beg to submit the particulars regarding them for the orders of the Board. The late Principal Collector appears to have considered the claim set up as not decisive and the Parties themselves for a long period have not come forward.-

3. In order to shew the Extent of the Enams under attachment the Assessment thereof the Names of the legitimate owners, and of the present claimants, together with the Titles of the latter on which their claims may be founded, a Statement* is enclosed, exhibiting these particulars, accompanied by A 23 Original Documents as delivered by the Parties, with translations and a List thereof. The terms specified in these Documents are also noticed in the above Statement.-

Enclosure No 1.

* A under translation and will be forwarded hereafter.

There



Fort St George 15th May 1848

4 There appear to be no rightful claimants for 284 acres and 82 Goostahs of Enam bearing an Assessment of C Rupees 429-4-6 as specified in the Statement No 1 but the greater part is claimed by Parties to whom the same are stated to have been alienated under Deeds of Gift, and enjoyed by them for years according to the practice which obtains in the District and in pursuance of a Circular instruction of Colonel Munros dated 16th March 1806.

5 I beg to subjoin a brief abstract of the Statement No 1 with my opinion in the proper Column on each case.-

Statement

Fort St George 15th May 1848

| Talooks | Villages | Extent of Enams | Survey assessment | Names of the owners of the Enams | Names of the present Claimants. | Grounds or Title of the present Claimants | Collectors recommendation. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 3 Acres gs | 4 Rs as | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Adonie | 1 Kucholie | 42 9 | 49 4 8 | Ananta Charry | Balarama Charry | Alienated by a deed of Gift executed by the Daughter of Ananta-charry- | To be continued to the present claimant for life- |
| | 2 Chicka Bellary | 21 19 | 31 3 4 | Do: | Sobbummah residing in His Highness the Nizams Dominions. | Do: | Do: Do: |
| | 3 Seedaraguddah | 12 .. | 26 8 8 | Do: | Hanoomanta Charry | Alienated as a Gift by Anantacharry | Do: Do: |
| | 4 Wooppparhally | 16 4 | 30 5 4 | Do: | Arla Charry | Do: by Ananta Charry's Daughter | Do: Do: |
| Gollcam | 5 Chicka Kottoor | 18 28 8 | 40 7 10 | Do: | For lighting Camps near the Broondava-nam or Tower of Raaghvendra Swamy at Manchalah | Do: | To be continued. |
| Adonie | 6 Coodoodarahall | 47 4 | 79 8 | Managers of Raaghvendraswamy Mutt | Kucholie Raghvendra Charloo | Granted for Service in the Mutt | This Enam was originally continued to the descendant of Anantacharry whose name is entered in the account. The Managers of the Mutt being the owners the Enams should be left in their charge. |
| | 7 Basaravally | 1822 .. | 11 1 4 | Poorna Charry | Vencammah widow of Besarahally Bad-munnah | Relation Ship | To be continued to the present claimant for life. |
| | 8 Badenhall | 7 12 | 10 8 | Ananta Charry Son of Do: | | | |
| | 9 Coontanahall | 7 19 | 2 8 | Ananta Charry | Damodarah Charry residing in the Nizams Country. | Alienated as Gift by Ananta charry. | There are no documents to prove the Title of the present Claimant. It should therefore lapse to Government. |
| | | 190 29 8 | 280 8 6 | | | | |

Copy 1848

this application is to Enams that the ... copy of a ... exist ... name that very ... an adopted ... ure of the ... and tenures ... Rules and ... Collr's Instructions ... them without ... ference in every ...

A. Allott
Collector

Claimants

Fort St George 15th May 1848

6. I have taken this opportunity to observe on the subject of these Enams that the Board are aware of the very great extent of them. They are also aware that very different systems have been adopted regarding them, and the nature of the reports submitted occasionally in such instances as these shew that some general rules are required. My Predecessor (Mr Robertson) took Measures regarding them without reference to any authority. The late orders require a reference in every Case.

Bellary District Coll's Office
on Circuit at Ramadroog
17th April 1848. - - - - - -

(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector

Statement

749

Fort St George 15th May 1848

No. 1. Statement shewing the Extent of Enams, the Assessment thereof, the names of the legitimate Owners and of the present Claimants, their relations to the former &c &c with the opinion of the Collector referred to in Para 3 of the Collector's report to the Board of Revenue.—

| Village in which the Enams are situated | Extent of Enam Lands: Description | Acres | Assessment | Name of the original Grantee | Name of the present Claimants and their Villages | Grounds for Enjoyment | Particulars appearing in the Circar Accounts | Statement of the Villagers | Collectors Opinion &c &c. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 Acholey Dry | A. C. acres 42-9-0 | 47-4-3 | Anuntacharry | | Balaramacharry residing at Acholey in the Advance Taluk | Alienated to Narasimmacharry the Grand father of the present Claimant Balaramacharry by a Deed of Gift executed in the year 1786 Corresponding to the 2nd day of light, fortnight in the Month of Vaisaka of the year Visvasoo Saca 1707 by Achammah to whom this and other Enams in 5 Villages were alienated as Gift from her father Anuntacharry.— | Entered in the Name of the owner Anuntacharry deceased.— | Several of the Village Servants gave deposition that these Enams are in the enjoyment of Balaramacharry for 3 generations his father and Grandfather and since alienated thus by a Deed of Gift.— | The 3 Documents produced by Balaramacharry are herewith transmitted Viz.t;<br>1 Deed of Gift executed by Achammah the Daughter of Anuntacharry in favor of the Grand father of the present Claimant in the year 1786 Corresponding to the Hindoo year Visvasoo.—<br>1 Deed of Gift executed by Anuntacharry alienating the Enam Lands in the 5 Villages to his Daughter Achammah on the 15th day of light fortnight in the Maka Month in the year Crodey of Fusly 1164 A.D 1785<br>1. Sarey Chit given on the Cession of the Country to the British Government in the name of Anuntacharry in Fusly 1218 The 1809 foregoing 3 Documents and from the Statement of the Villagers, that the Enam in question was in the enjoyment of the Ancestors of the present Incumbent from a period prior to the Country being Ceded to Government.— |

The 2 Documents filed by Seshamah who enjoys the Enam are as follows.—
1 Deed of Gift of Land executed by Achamah the daughter of the Original Enamdar Anuntacharry, in the name of Boodia the late husband of

Alienated to—

Copy of a Document dated 2nd Decr 1809 from the Office of Ordnance, relative to the Grant of Land at Tilbury Fort, to the Representatives of the late Mr Thomas Hall.

Extract from the Minutes of the Board of Ordnance, held on Wednesday the 30th day of November 1809.

Present
The Right Honble Lord Viscount Chetwynd
Lieut Genl Morse
Lieut Genl Fawcett
Lieut Genl Ross
Lieut Genl Dundas
Lieut Genl Hope
Lieut Genl Calvert
Lieut Genl Gordon
Lieut Genl Mackenzie
Lieut Genl Bunbury
Lieut Genl Hunter
Lieut Genl Lennox
Lieut Genl Fox
Lieut Genl Ramsay
Lieut Genl Dyott
Lieut Genl Hay
Lieut Genl Hotham
Lieut Genl Hulse
Lieut Genl Hall
Lieut Genl Hall
Lieut Genl Hall

# Fort St George 15th May 1848

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ellaragud Dry 12---- 26-8-8 = dak- | Anantacharry | Kanoomanta = charry aged 14 years residing in the Village of Acholey in the Taluk of Adonie- | Alienated to = Anantatheertha charry father of Govroo Venca- = charry the adopted father of the present claimant by a Deed of Gift executed by the owner Anan- = tacharry bearing date the 15th day of light fort- = night in the = Month of Vysak of the year Swa- = banoo Corresponds = ing to A.D. 1764- | Entered in the names of the owner Anantac- = charry and his daughter Acham- = mah.- | State that they know that the owner Ananta = = charry gave the Enam in gift and that the lands were thrown waste for several years and that Govroo Vencatachary enjoyed the Enam for a period of 18 years.- | The present Claimant produced a Deed of Gift executed by the owner Anantatheertha = = charry the father of his adopted father bearing date the 15th day of light fortnight in the month of Vysack of the year Soabanoo Sack = 1685 Corresponding to A.D. 1764.- |

The present Claimant produced the following documents Viz :-

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 Pollparahully Dry 16.4.- 30-5-4 | Anantacharry | Arlacharry re- = siding at Ad- = vonie.- | Alienated to Anoolacharry the present Claimant by a Deed of Gift executed on the 5th day of light fortnight in the Month of Carteeck of the year Bahoodaneya = Corresponding to A.D. 1819 by Acha- = mah to whom this and other Enams in 5 Villages have = descended as a Gift from her = father Ananta = = charry.- | Entered in the name of Anunta- = charry the owner | It is Stated that Achammah the Daughter of the owner enjoy- = ed this Enam in the present Government and since it was = given away as Gift, the pre- = sent Claimant Continued to enjoy it.- | 1. Deed of Gift of gift given by Achammah in the name of the present Claimant = bearing date the 5th day of light fortnight in the Month of Carteeck = of the year Bahoo- = daneya Sack 1740 Corresponding to = A.D. 1819.- 2. Sarey Chit given by the Tahsildar of Ad- = vanie in the present Government to the = owner Anuntacharry = in Fasly 1218.- A.D 1809 |

The above two = Documents are here- = with transmitted.-

Fort St George 15th May 1848.

Anuntacharry

Innahol. in the ollem Look-

Dry 18.20.8 L.27.10.

This Village is granted for lighting up the Broondavanam of the Raughavendra Swamy in the Mantrolayem of the Adonee Talook.-

This Village Anadummah daughter of Anuntacharry states is one of those given as Gift by her father, for the purpose of lighting up the Broondavanam a place of worship on the 12th day of Sravana Bahoolum of Sookla year corresponding with A.D. 1808

Entered in the names of Anuntacharry and of his Daughter Atchammah.-

The Villagers declare that this Enam Village is appropriated for the purpose of lighting up the Broondavanam ever since it came to Atchammahs possession.-

Two Documents produced by Rundamah widow of the late Worshipper or Poojaree of the Broondavanam (Kristnah Charry) are herewith submitted, and as the grant has from the time the Enam was alienated is continued to be appropriated to its legitimate purpose Viz. that of lighting the Broondavanam, it appears that the People of the Mutt of Raghavendraswamy are the Parties entitled to it.-

Hucholee Raghavendracharlu who enjoys this as service Enam on behalf of the said Mutt.-

The owners of the Raughavendraswamy's Mutt.-

The owner of this Enam Land was the Priest of the Raughavendraswamy Mutt who had alienated this to several of them as service Enam. This was continued (the present claimant states) to my father and afterwards to myself since these 23 years and the same having been granted to Poornachary the Son of Anuntacharry by the Priest during His Highness the Nizams administration as service Enams his name would have been entered in the Accounts previous to Fymaish.-

The name of Poornacharry Son of Anuntacharry appears in the Fymash account and those of Fusly 1216 whereas Raughavendraswamys name is entered in those of Fus lies 1234 and 1247.-

It was said that Raughavendraswamy is the legal owner of this Enam Poornacharry whose name appears in the account was in the enjoyment of the Enam as a servant on behalf of Raughavendraswamy. In the accounts prepared at the time the Country was Ceded to the British Government, Poornacharrys name was entered after the demise of this individual and his son Anuntacharry, Raughavendraswamy had given the Charge of this Enam to the ancestors of the Claimant who is now in the enjoyment of the same.-

The present claimant produced the following documents Viz.-

1 Letter written by Raughavendraswamy in the name of the Villagers on the 6th Day of the dark fortnight in the month of Carthick of the year Swabanoo corresponding to A.D. 1824.-

1 Do. by Do. in the name of Do. on the 10th day of the dark fortnight in the Month of Jastum of the year Parthiva Corresponding to A.D. 1826.-

The above two documents are herewith submitted. From which it will be observed, that the Enam is belonging to the Mutt of Raghavendraswamy.-

6 Coodadura halloo- Dry 47.4.- 79.-8

Fort St George 15th May 1848

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | Padmannah the husband of Venemmah is the son of the brother of the husband of Atchemmah the daughter of Anantacharry - The said Atchummah during her old age lived with Padmanah and executed a Document on the 15th day Bright in the year Saranah corresponding to A.D. 1825 purporting that the 13 Enam Villages which her father enjoyed should be alienated to Padmannah - The present incumbent claims for the remaining 2 Villages according to the Documents issued to her husband without any concern whatever to the 11 Villages alienated and enjoyed under Gift out of the 13. | Appears the name of Poornacharry for Basaravally Village and the name of Padmannah the husband of the present Claimant in Fasly 1234 and Anantacharry's name appears for Badanahall Village - as the son of Poornacharry - | The Village Basaravally is long since under tillage & is enjoyed by Anantacharry and his Daughter Atchammah; but since the engagement, continued to be enjoyed by Padmanah the present Claimants husband - The other Village Badanahall is successively for many years thrown waste - | The Documents produced by the Claimants are - No 1. Documents dated 15th Vysak Soodda in the year Saranah Corresponding to A.D. 1825 - 2 - Saree Cheetees granted during the administration of Company's power for Basaravally Village dated Fasly 1218 Corresponding to A.D. 1809 - 3. D° D° for - Badanahall D° - D° - These three Documents are herewith Submitted. |
| 9 | Koontana Palli | Dry | 7-19-- | 2---5 | Anantacharry | Damodara charry residing at Guddawal in His Highness the Nizams Dominions. | The Original Grantee has issued a Grant of Gift which having been mislaid only the Saree Cheetee is forthcoming. | The lands here specified appear in the name of Anantacharry. | The Lands were thrown Waste from many years up to Fasly 1235 but the same having subsequently come under tillage the Claimant enjoys them as being a Gift. | 1 Saree Cheetee of Fasly 1218 or A.D. 1809 issued during the Government in the name of Anantacharry is herewith Submitted. |
| 10 | Seedaragotta | Dry | 34-22-- | C.Rs 17-3---11 Rs a.p 50-7-4 | Tummannah of Woopalada residing in the same village Seedaragudda. | The Original Grantee had no issue The present Claimant is a cousin of the said Original Grantee. | Appears in the name of Rungaikatlee. | These lands were for the period of 20 years under the Government laid Waste and Subsequently the present Claimant is enjoying the same under the relationship of cousin to the Original Grantee. | The present Claimant states that no Documents whatever in Support of Enams is existing - There is no other proof beyond what the Villagers say with regard to his relationship as Cousin. | |

# Portland Observer 15th May 1845.

## Supreme Court

Yesterday

Present

Chief Justice

The Honorable

Henry Ware

and the Associate

Justices

Fairfield

Shepley

and

Wells

Clerk

Attorney General

Appeared and moved that the Decree of the Court of Chancery in the case of

Plaintiff

Defendant

be affirmed with costs of Court and Double Damages.

Ordered by the Court that the Decree of the Court of Chancery

Certificate of Registry.

1 Owners of Ships
Alfred Lee
Master
Built at Quebec in the year 1799
Where Owned
Port to which she belongs at present
Spezzia
When and where Registered
Spezzia 1848
Tonnage
Burthen
Description of Ship
Ship
Number of Decks
One
Number of Masts
Three
Where Built
Quebec
When Built
1799
Name of Ship
Alfred
Name of Owners
Alfred Lee
Residence
Spezzia
Number of Shares
Sixty four
Signature of Owners
Alfred Lee

Certificate of Registry

Registered at the Port of Spezzia

Signature of Officer
Collector

Date of Certificate
15th May 1848

7425 Fort St. George 15th May 1848

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of

Bellary.

(26-27)

Sir,

To the Collector of Bellary - - - } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 17th Ultimo, submitting for their orders a Statement of Butaurtee Enams under attachment in your district.

In Cons. 15th May 1848.

The Board observe that you refer to Circular instructions issued by Colonel Munro under date 16th March 1818 respecting Butaurtee Enams. they request you will furnish them with a copy of this Circular, and at the same time explain the practice followed by the late Principal Collector Mr. Robertson in regard to the continuance of such grants with your own sentiments on the subject.

Your reply to this communication should be accompanied by the translations promised in para 3 of your letter under acknowledgement.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 15th May 1848 - - } / Signed / T. Pycroft Secretary.

Read

7426 Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(28)

Sir,

From the Collr of Bellary - - - } With reference to the 2nd Para of my letter to your address bearing date the 10th Instant No. 25, I have the honor to forward the two original Sunneds with translation as therein promised.

Bellary District, Collr's Office on Circuit Ramanadroog, - - - 25th April, 1848 - - } / Signed / H. Mellor Collector.

No. 1.

Translation of a Persian Sunned issued by Basalut Jung.

Seal of Basalut Jung Ensaduod dowlah servant of federal authority Sheers Bandshow Ganzee mahomed Shariff Khan Bahadoor

To all Zemindars Mootsuddies (Present and future) of Havalee Adoni attached to the Purgunah of Beejapoor alias Soobah Darooz Zuffer.

Whereas a Yemiah of one Rupee per day has been confirmed to be paid.

paid to the helpless Female by name Shaw Beebee Sydance for her maintenance on from the Abkarry Revenue of Pett Banbanaugher of the said Purgunah agreeably to the order of Saudoollah Khan, it is hereby directed, that from the date of the signing of the summed payment of the said Yeomiah be continued daily without intermission to the said female who will continue to pray for the prosperity of the state. Know ye that this is the positive order in the matter Dated 15. Rajab Moorazul of Heejeree 1170.

/Signed/ Swad.

On the Back of the Document there are Endorsements of the Officers of the Durbar under Several Seals and Signatures.

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Collector.

No. 2.

Translation of a Persian Summed issued by the Saudoollah Khan Bahadoor.

Seal of Saudoollah Khan Bahadoor Jedeere Mhammd Sham Bade Shaw



of the Purgana Havalee Sutteary Ghud alias Adoni attached to the Sooba of Darooz Zuffer or Beejapoor.

Whereas a Yeomiah of one Rupee payable from the abkaree Revenue of Pett Banbanagur of the said Havalee having, as per order been granted to the helpless Female Shaw Beebee Sydance for her maintenance, it is hereby directed, that the said Yeomiah be continued to the Said Female daily without objection, she applying the same to her and continuing to offer her prayers for the prosperity (ever lasting) of the state.

Know ye this, as the order in the matter and carry it into effect accordingly.

Dated 7th Rubbeeulawel of Heejeree 1166.

Registered Sealed and Signed on the other Side of this Summed by many Servants of the Durbar.

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Collector.

28-29

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

The Board will await the receipt of the Collectors reply to their Proceedings of 27th Ultimo.

Read

7429. Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Read the following letters.

To. F. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Jr. Collr. of North Arcot. } Para 1. Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the favorable consideration of the Board copy of a letter from my Acting Head Assistant Mr. A. W. Sullivan soliciting that he may be allowed, under the circumstances stated, to draw Ecstra Tent allowance permanently while resident at Vellore on duty.

Forwarding copy of a letter from the Acting Head assistant Mr. A. Sullivan soliciting that he may be allowed to draw Extra Tent allowance under the circumstances stated therein.

2. Mr. Sullivan was deputed by Mr. Lovell to reside at Vellore, in consequence of the frequent applications from that Town for a resident European Magistrate. I consider this to be an excellent arrangement; and believe that Mr. Sullivan's presence and exertions will be most advantageous in amending the state of the large and populous town of Vellore in Police Matters.

Mr. Sullivan is without any public accommodation for his Office Establishment; and consequently rents

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

7430 Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

a house for his residence with a compound a house for his residence with a compound bungalow attached, which latter is his Cutchery; the whole being a much more extensive place than he would need for the accommodation of his own family. The latter part of the order of Govern: ment of the 13th May 1828 applies specially to Sub Collectors, but the Spirit of it seems equally applicable to cases such as the present, and unless I am mistaken it has been so applied in some instances.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector

North Arcot Collrs Cutchery 15th May 1848.

No. 1.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Acting Collector and
Magistrate Northern
Division of Arcot.

Sir

I have the honor to request that you will submit to the proper authorities, with such remarks as you may deem expedient this my application to be permitted to draw an Extra tent allowance at this place, I make the application upon the ground that I am here on special duty agreeably to arrangements made by the late acting Magistrate for

* Under Regulation IX of 1818 Section 2.

for the more effectual maintenance of the public peace in this large and populous Town that there is no public Catcherry here, and that I am consequently obliged to provide a separate building for that purpose to which every body can have access and in which to keep the records revenue and Magisterial of that portion of the district placed under my charge, owing to the heavy police work of this Town the Magisterial records accumulate very rapidly and must be kept here for constant reference.

Vellore, 4th May 1848. } /Signed/ A. W. Sullivan
Acting Head Asst. Coll.

Resolution thereon. Under the circumstances represented in the foregoing letter and its enclosure the Board authorize the Acting Head Assistant Mr. A. W. Sullivan to draw Extra Tent allowance for so long a period as he may reside at Vellore on duty.

(30-31)



To

F. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(32)

From the Asst. Coll. of North Arcot

Requests to be authorized to pay to the Petitioner in No. 993 of 1846 the arrears of her share of the proceeds of the Enam Village Vailum for 10 years to the close of last Fasly amounting to Rupees 2214-13-11 under the circumstances set forth.

Returns the Petition

Sir,

Para 1. I have now the honor to report on the claim of Fatimah Bee Petitioner to the Board in No. 993 of 1846, as directed in your letter of the 3d August of that year.

2. Petitioner claims a fifth share in the Village of Vailum in the Cauvery pauk Talook, the facts of the case appear to be as follows. Petitioners Father was Moofty to the Cauzy of Arcot, and three Villages were bestowed on him as Enam by Nabob Omdut Ool Oomrah. at his death he left three widows, two sons, and two daughters; two Villages were allotted to the elder son, who was installed in his Fathers Office; the remaining Village Vailum was assigned for the maintenance of the rest of the Family. After the assumption of the Government of the Carnatic by the English, Vailum was divided into five portions; one to the younger son, one to each of the two daughters, and one to each of two widows, the third having probably re-

either

either deceased in the interval or remained under the protection of the Elder Son.-

3. In the Enam Register for Fasly 1218 sent to the Board on the 13th June 1810 the Village is entered in No. 230 as a life Enam for the subsistence of the five persons specified above. Of these the two Widows are since dead and their shares have lapsed. The other three parties continued to receive their shares without question till the year 1836 when Mr. Ogilvie then Principal Collector desired to pay that of Petitioner considering Seshatriamah of the Caunee of the Sudder Adawlut Madras, under which being resident in Hindostan she had hitherto procured it to be an insufficient guarantee of her being alive; a views in which he was supported by the Board.-

4. Petitioner was accordingly required either to appear herself or to send a Certificate of her existence with the signature of an Officer of the Company's Service; and preferring the former course, she came to Madras in 1843- Mr. Babington when stating he then in 1844 took depositions from two witnesses to prove her identy; these were both, as it appears, persons likely to be well informed

To Bd. March 14/39
Do. July 27th -
From Do.
July 15th -
Do. Do. May 14/40



on the subject and persons of respectability and credibility. Further evidence was however required and perhaps the difficulty of procuring testimony to the identy of a "Goshah" female is the cause of the subsequent delay- Mr. Lovell I am told on one occasion examined the Petitioner's Brother but took no deposition, desiring him to bring a second witness.-

5. This person I have now examined- He states that his Father came originally from a Village which he names near a place called Ojah, which he seems to say is in the Kingdom of Oude, and two stages from the Company's territory; and as his relatives and connections mostly remained there, his daughter the Petitioner was subsequently married to one of them and settled at the same place, and lived there till obliged to come to Chittoor as above narrated. I see no reason whatever to doubt this man's testimony he has every appearance of respectability and truthfulness and his statement is consistent and probable asregards the Age of the Petitioner at which Mr. Ogilvie takes an objection, this deponent states it to be 61 or 62, the other witnesses not likely to be so well informed

To Bd. July 27/39 Para 18-

Sag

say 55 or 56. In the Maratta Register for Fusly 1218 though not in the English, Petitioner's age is set down as 20, this would make her 59 at the present time, a very close agreement considering the uncertainty of the age of Natives generally especially of Females.

6. In conclusion I have only to add that I consider Petitioner's identity to be fully established, and I beg to be authorized to pay her the arrears of her share of the proceeds of the Enam to the close of last fusly, Amounting as shown in the annexed Statement Rs. 2,214-13-11 for ten years. As regards the future payment of Petitioner's share I see no objection to its being paid as formerly to her Vakeel, and if the attestation of a European Officer to her yearly life Certificate be deemed necessary, for she intends to return to her home in the North it will probably be practicable to arrange for her obtaining that of the nearest Civil or Military Officer of the Company's Service.—

North Arcot—
Collector's Cutcherry
Chittoor 6th May 1848

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Ag. Coll.



| Fuslies | Amount Rs | a | p | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1247 | 161 | 5 | 10 | Carried to account under the head of Savay Jamah in the Accounts for November 1842 Rupees 362-13-1 |
| 1248 | 200 | 13 | 3 | |
| 1249 | 223 | 8 | 3 | |
| 1250 | 216 | 8 | 1 | |
| 1251 | 245 | 9 | 7 | |
| 1252 | 222 | 14 | 1 | In deposit in the Collectors Treasury Rupees 1852-10-10- |
| 1253 | 231 | 4 | 3 | |
| 1254 | 233 | 7 | 3 | |
| 1255 | 210 | 13 | 7 | |
| 1256 | 268 | 9 | 9 | |
| Rupees | 2,214 | 13 | 11 | |

E. E. Fs.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Ag. Collector

Ordered

Proceedings thereon.

(32-33)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council.-

The identity of the party to whom the arrears are proposed to be paid with the actual Enamdar having been satisfactorily established and the cause of her absence and the non payment of her share in the Enam Village proceeds for so many years having been sufficiently explained, the Board to recommend that the arrears to the close of last July, amounting according to the Statement furnished by the acting Collector, to Rupees 2214-13-11, may be disbursed to her and that her share may for the future be paid as formerly to her Vakeel on production every year of a life certificate, duly attested by the Civil or Military Officer of the Company's Service nearest to her place of residence.-



Read the following letter

To

T. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(34)

Sir

From the Collector of Salem - - - 1.

Reporting the institution of a Suit in the Subordinate Court at Salem by the Mootahdar of Pariapolliem Pauray for the recovery of Certain lands and sums of money alleged by him to be appertaining to his Mootah-

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that one Vengattaram Chetty, Proprietor of the Mootah of Pariapolliem Pauray in the Namcul Talook, has instituted a Suit in No. 57 of 1847 of the file of the Subordinate Court of Salem for the recovery of certain Spots of land in his Mootah bearing tiruvah Rupees 352-9-0 per annum, as also for the Sum of Rupees 9219-10-0 Collected thereon by the Circarup to this time- I forwarded Copy of this Suit to the Sub Collector in whose division the Mootah of Pariapolliem Pauray is situated, in order to furnish me with full particulars & report regarding the claim of the Plaintiff- Copies of his report dated 3d December 1847, the accounts prepared by him with reference to the Office Records, Answer proposed to be filed and the Correspondence of the Special Commission on the subject are herewith Submitted.-

Under the 3d head of the Sooloo-
2 chittoo published prior to the sale of the Mootah shewing the quality and quantity of lands to which the purchasers are entitled, Acres 557-5-7 are entered as Annady Punjer, but the Ayacut accounts

Accounts of the year Doormooty / D 1801-2 / exhibit Acres 555-29-5, Consequently Mr. Orr ordered the lands in question, in fusly 1245, to be measured when an excess was found of Acres 95-15-8, which added to the above number, amounted to Acres 651-4-13, of which, deducting Acres 232-27-13, occupied by brushwood, houses, Sites of Villages and beds of Tanks, the remaining Acres 418-17- / which is fit for cultivation / can be appropriated and improved by the Mootahdar as belonging to him- Of this quantity deducting Acre 3-3-19-15, including Nunjah and Poonjah, which are in the enjoyment of the Mootahdar (Vide Column 40 of Statement No. 1) the remaining Acres 104-37-1 bearing teerwah Rupees 74-11-- are in the possession of the Circar as Shewn in Column 50. The Circar have no right to this land, in Consequence of its being entered as Inmady Bunjur under the 3d head of the Tooloo kittoo; it Should be given up to the Mootahdar with the Collections of the past years (from fusly 1227 to 1256) amounting to Rupees 1306-9-5 as Shewn in Columns 14 and 15 of the Statement No. 2.-

3 Agreeably to the accounts of the year Doormooty the lands covered with jungle, bamboo- bushes, &c. and deducted under the denomination



denomination of Porumboke from the total augacut of the Mootah in question, amount to Acres 628-3 (Vide Column 22 of Statement No. 1) When these lands were measured in fusly 1245 an excess was found as Shewn in Column 24 of Acres 955-10-6, in all amounting to Acres 1583-10-9, of which a portion Consisting of Acres 1030-10-6 is fit for cultivation; but Acres 269-23-8 of this portion, as Shewn in Column 56, are in the possession of the Circar, and the remaining (Acres 760-27-7 bearing teerwah Rupees 990-9-1) is in the enjoyment of the Mootahdar (Vide Column 46) Although these Porumboke lands are excluded from the 3d head of the Tooloo kittoo granted to the Mootahdar, yet he gradually encroached upon them, by clearing the Jungle and brushwood to some extent every year, and brought them under Cultivation- From want of care and attention at that time on the part of the Local Officers to prevent such encroachment, the lands were illegally appropriated by the Mootahdar - the Assessment on them from fusly 1216 to fusly 1256 (which has been appropriated by the Mootahdar) amounts to Rupees 18,634-7-10 Instead of taking these extensive Spots of land under Circar Mr. Hargrave, the then Collector, erroneously attached in Fusly 1227 the under-mentioned lands, Viz.t a Small tract of land Consisting of Acres 104-37-1

104-37-1 entered under the 3d. head of the Toolsoch=
=tos granted to the Mostahdar, Shewn in the 50th
Column; and a spot of Bamboo-bush land, Acres
8-37-14 assessed and brought under Cultivation
up to the year Doormooty as Shewn in Column
68 of Statement, No. 1; as also Acres 269-23-8 co=
Covered with Bamboo-bushes, in all Acres 383-
18-7 as Shewn in Column 74: of this quantity a por=
=tion, Consisting of Acres 116-23-5 was Cultivated
in Fusly 1256; and the remainder, Acres 266-
35-2, is left waste as Shewn in Column 90-
4 The lands Covered with Bamboo-
bush now claimed by the Mostahdar are included
in the total anjacah of the Mostah, as in the
Cases of Valacoorchy; Chendamungalum and Sem=
=korry droog Mostahs; but the Bamboo bush and
Giddagavel lands of the 3 latter Estates were at the
time of the Bymash Settlement measured and in=
=as Annady Burjee, Consequently they were Considered
as falling under the description of lands entered
under the 3d. head of the Schedule, but at the same
time the Bamboo-bush lands of the Mostah of
Parriapalliem Pauray were directed from the 3d.
Anjacah as Poramboke and excluded from the
head of the Toolsochittoo. The bamboos and
tamarind trees on the said lands were exclusive=
=ly rented out by the Circar Officers to whom



the rent was paid. The bamboo & jungles were
gradually destroyed by the Mostahdar, and the
lands that were occupied by them, Consisting
of Acres 760, appropriated by the Mostahdar him=
=self. The accompanying Statement No. 7
Shews the rent derived by the Circar from this
Source from Fusly 1212 to Fusly 1216 under the
Ammany, and from Fusly 1217 to Fusly 1257 under
the Mostahdars management; but from Fusly
1228 the rent decreased and amounted only to
Rupees 85, in Fusly 1257 it was reduced to Rupees
70. From Fusly 1202, prior to the formation of
Mostahlis, up to Fusly 1211 the rent in question
was Collected by the Circar, but the particulars
of it are not to be found among the records.
The amount of rent is not included in the
average Permanent Jumma of the Mostah.-
After the introduction of the Mostahdary Sys=
=tem the rent in question was as usual Sepa=
=rately collected and Carried to the account of
the Circar but the Decrease in the amount has
arisen from a great portion of the bamboo and
Tamarind lands Situated in the boundaries of
Valacoorchy and Chendamungalum Mostahs,
having been given up to their respective Mostah=
=dars, and lands Consisting of Acres 700 and
odd having been taken possession of by the

Plaintiff

Plaintiff, who destroyed the jungle and converts them into cultivable lands without the knowledge of the Circar.—

5. The Special Commissioners in para 5 of their letter dated 2nd September 1802 directed that "The Special Commission direct to add to the "amounts of the proposed permanent Summa what- "ever items of the district Cuiaes noted in Major "Macleod's Appendix No. 6 as can consistently with "the principles of the permanent System be incor- "ded with the fixed Summah of the Estates "wherein such items are collected, leaving the amount to be added to your discretion." If these instructions were carried into effect the Mootahdars would not have had any ground to lay their Claim to the lands in question as they now do.—

6 The Plaintiff in his Plaint does not Specify the quantity, but only Shews the amount of teerwah of the lands he claims Viz. Rupees 352. This does not Correspond with any accounts but it is apparent that he lays claim to the Aurady Bunjur and bamboo bush lands that were brought under Cultivation up to Fusly 1211 and now in the possession of the Circar amounting to Acres 383–18–7 bearing teerwah



teerwah Rs. 330–5–6. The Bamboo bush lands are not entered under the 3d Head of the Toolsee hittoo published at the time of the sale of the Mootah in question, but the Mootahdar argues that all lands within the boundaries of the Villages attached to his Mootah were surveyed and included in the Ayan Account, Consequently that all lands with the exception of those reserved for other purposes in the 4th Para of the Istimirar Sunnud, are considered his property; that if the Bamboo lands were actually reserved to the Government, such reservation would have been entered in the Sunnud in common with other lands there in entered, and that the Government having omitted to do so he considers that he has a right to the lands in question.—

7 By the father of the Plaintiff a Petition (No. 1469) claiming the Giddigavel lands was presented to the Board in 1833, on which a report was submitted by the then Principal Collector Mr. I. Orr. The Board in their Proceedings dated 20th July 1835 observed, that the Bamboo lands not being included under the 3d head of the Schedule, the Mootahdar has no right to them, and at the same time directed that the collection on these descriptions of lands be kept in deposit.— Although decision of the Board was made known to

the Plaintiff's father and acquiesced in the Plaintiff, prefers this suit after so long a time has elapsed.

8 The principal point or argument in favor of the Government in this case is, that the lands sued for, though included in the Ayacut of the Villages attached to the Mootah, are excluded from the 3rd head of the Schedule. Mr. Sufrere's Decree also tends to refute the claim of the Plaintiff; but although the Plaintiff supposes that the Decree of Mr. I Bird upholds his case and Mr. Maltby attaches importance to it, yet I think it does not bear on the point; because that decree was passed in the case of the Valaccorchy Mootadar, whose claim was quite dissimilar to that of the Plaintiff. The claim was brought to recover the Annady Punjur lands entered under the 3rd head of the Schedule. Consequently the decision given in his favor cannot be applicable in the case of the Plaintiff who lays claim to the lands deducted from the accounts as Porumboke; it therefore by analogy strengthens the argument on the part of the Government to refute his ungrounded claim. The Court, I do not suppose, will found their decision in this case merely in the spirit of the 4th Para of the Etimiram Sunnud, setting all other extrinsic evidence



evidence aside. With the exception of these Porumboke lands, denominated Giddegaveli, all other Porumboke lands were taken by Mr. M. D. Cockburn under the Circar management, when the Mootahdars presented their Complaints to the Board and Government, which gave cause for lengthened Correspondence between the Board and the Collector, but finally an order from Government dated 2nd November 1834 was sent, directing the Collector to refund to the respective Mootahdars the Collections made on the Porumboke lands for Fusly 1230 and 31; accordingly the Porumboke lands that were attached by the Circar, together with their Collections amounting to Rupees 16,752-11-2 were given up to the Mootahdars. Consequently the Plaintiff lays claim supported by that indulgence of Government to these Porumboke lands coming under the description of Giddegaveli land excluded from the Schedule.

In submitting the sub Collector's Report together with its enclosures, to the Board, I beg to leave the case to their decision. If the Board wish that the Answer to the Suit in question herewith sent for their approval, should be filed in the Court, I will do so. The lands included under the 3rd head of the Schedule as also the Bamboo-bush lands that were brought under Cultivation

cultivation up to fusly 1211 and added to the Mootah. amount to Acres 113-34-15, which together with the Collection made on them by the Circar amounting to Rupees 1,309-6-5 as exhibited in Columns 14 and 15 of the Statement No. 2 should be given up to the Plaintiff; but the Bamboo-bush lands (deducting a portion consisting of Acres 269-23-8 which is at present in the Circar possession) which do not pertain to him but which he has illegally taken possession amount to Acres 780-27-7 and the teerwah collected on them amounts to Rupees 18,000. These lands together with their past Collections should be recovered from the Plaintiff, hence a counter-plaint ought to be instituted against him in the Court. If permitted by the Board I will prepare a Plaint and Submit it for their approval.—

10 A great deal of time has been occupied to examine the records and to prepare an Answer regarding this intricate Case, Consequently extension of time at three different periods was obtained from the Court which expires in the end of May next. I therefore request the Board's early instructions so as to enable me to file the Answer within the above period.—

11 In the event of the Board considering it advisable to give up the lands in



question to the Plaintiff, it will I think open a way to endless litigations of a similar nature that may likely be brought by other Mootahdars for instance, the Giddegavel and Annady Durjur lands attached to the Swaily Village in the Senkerrydroog Mootah, amount to Acres 2694-12-4 as exhibited in Column 9 of Statement No. 1 forwarded to the Board with my report on the Suit No. 15 of 1846 instituted by the Mootahdars of Senkerrydroog.—

If

No. 8 Enclosure 5 in No. 8 Dispt. Copy of Mr. Stafford's Decree in English, has been applied for through the Govt. Cutcherry and will be forwarded as soon as received.—

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

If the Board set a precedent of allowing the grant of the Giddegaved lands to the Mootahdar of Pariapoo-liem Pauray, these lands (Acres 26.94 12- 4) and their Collections will also be claimed by the Mootahdars of Lenkerry droog.—

Salem District,
Daramporary,
Collectors Cutcherry.
17th April 1848.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart.
Collector.

1450

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 1.

To,
W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Collector of
Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 11th July last. In consequence of its receipt I have carefully considered the plaint preferred by the Mootahdar of Pareyapullum : pauray against the Government. I have also caused the accounts of the Mootah in our re: cords and those of the Curnums to be examined and beg to lay before you the result of this enquiry and of a perusal of the correspondence and other documents found on record connected with this subject.

I trust that the time required for
2. the examination of the complicated accounts of the Mootah and collecting the records on the subject will sufficiently account for the long delay which has occurred in forwarding this report.

The present suit is brought to
3. recover from the Government certain lands attached to the Mootah of Pareyapullum paying a rent of Rupees 352-9- with arrears of

of Revenue Amounting to Rupees 9,219—10— The land is claimed on the ground that it is included within the limits of the Inoottah and thus belongs to the Inoottadar. The Plaintiff states that the three Villages of Pareyapullumparay, Inoottakuputty and Shendamungalum were originally formed into one Inoottah bearing an assessment of Rupees 13,394—6. In the year Roodrotocauny the Inoottah was subdivided into those of Inoottakuputty and Shendamungalum including Pareyapullumparay and the latter of these was registered in the name of the Plaintiff's father Venkatakrishnanah Chetty and of one Sangamoodian. In the year Racatanchey this Inoottah (2) was again subdivided into those of Shendamungalum and Pareyapullumparay and that last mentioned became the property of the Plaintiff's father. In the year Eswara attachment was made by Government of the Porumboke lands brought into cultivation by the Moottahdars and the sum of Rupees 234—14— was recovered from the Plaintiff's father on account of collections made from the Ryots.

A suit was subsequently brought by one Nunja Cowinden Inoottahdar of



of Vellacoorchy against the Government for the recovery of the attached Gidagannum lands of his Inoottah, and the decision of the Court being in his favour, the plaintiffs father, who had awaited the decision of Nunja Cowinden's suit, moved the Collector that the Porumboke lands of his own Inoottah should be restored to him on the same principle as those of Nunja Cowinden were restored.

The Plaintiff proceeds to shew that subsequently to that time repeated claims have been preferred by his father and himself to these lands up to the 21st May 1844, when an order adverse to his claims was passed, that at the same time not only has the decree of Nunja Cowinden been carried into effect, but its principle extended to other lands in the Shendamungalum Inoottah with which his own was once united and the lands and arrears of Revenue made over to the proprietors.

It is the object of the Plaintiff to prove that the lands he now claims correspond with those affected by Mr. Bird's decree No. 381 of 1831, no reservation of these lands having been made in

in the Sunnud & Istimerar by which the ex Plaintiff holds his Inoottah. He states that of the lands now resumed by Government some are fallow and some are already buryer.

4. The claim of this Inoottadar has already, as shewn in the above abstract, been brought before the Board of Revenue and decided by them unfavourably to the Plaintiff's claim. But there appears to be this difference, that on that occasion it was admitted that the lands were Gidgaunub, whereas the Plaintiff now states that Tsund and other lands have been included in the attachment. The question was considered in the Board's proceedings of the 20th July 1835 to which I beg to call your attention. The grounds of their decision appear to be, "that the "Gidagaunub lands were specially reserved by "Government and have yielded a separate re- "venue" to Government on the shape of a rent "for the privilege of cutting the Jungle since "the permanent settlement as well as before."

"That the land in question was not "included in the 3d "head of the schedule" and differing

*Copy of these is sent herewith



differing in this respect from that of the Vellacooreby Inoottah, the decree passed in favor of that Inoottah: , law was not applicable to the present case ex and the Board proposed that the principles of this decision should be declared applicable to all other similar cases, in which the right of Government to the Gidagaunt lands has been disputed, that is that the land included in the 3d. head of the schedule should be conceded to the Inoottahdars, and the rest reserved to the ex Government.

5. If this decision is adhered to, it would appear to be sufficient to ascertain by careful scrutiny of the accounts whether the the Collector was correctly informed when, in writing to the Board under date the 23d March 1827, he stated that "the land "in question was not included among the waste "lands under the 3d head in the schedule," and it will be necessary in defending the suit to lay before the Court.

The evidence which satisfied the Board that the Gidagaunt lands were specially reserved by the Government.

1. That

2. That the land in question is Gidagavud land as distinguished from ordinary Poramboke.

3. But as the Suit now brought by the Plaintiff notwithstanding the opinion expressed by the Board will bring the case again under their consideration and the Board will wish to have every possible information on the subject I beg to offer a few remarks on the first as well as the second head of the subject.

(1st.) 7 You are aware that Mr. Cockburn having attached all the Poramboke lands in the possession of the Mootahdars, these were directed to be restored and the collections refunded to the proprietors. I conclude therefore that it would not be the wish of the Board to review the question on the present occasion, as and



and that if the Plaintiff can show that the land he now sues for was either ordinary Poramboke, or being Gidagavud, was included in any one of the three heads of the schedule, it would be their wish to carry out in his favour the measures adopted with regard to the other Mootahdars and assign the land to him - that it is "on the ground of the land both coming under the schedule" that the Board would contest the Plaintiff's Claim.

8. On the question whether the Gidagavud lands were reserved to the Government, I beg to call your attention to the following documents as the most important:

*Copies of these documents are forwarded herewith.

Appendix

Fort St. George 15th May 1818.

1st Appendix No. 6 which accompanied Major Macleod's letter of the 11th November 1800.

2. Extract of a letter from the Secretary to the Special Commission dated 8th September 1802.

3. Do. of Do. to Do. Do. dated 17th January 1803.

4. Do. of Do. from Do. Do. 3d March 1803

5 The Decree of Mr. Anfrere.

to be returned 6. Do. of Mr. J Bird.

7. From the Collector to the Board of Revenue 3d July 1820.

8 To Do. from Do. 7th August 1820.

9. From Do. to Do. 28th November 1820.

10. To Do. from Do. 8th January 1827

9. The first of these documents is that upon which the Board have forwarded their decision that the Gidgaunnub



Fort St. George 15th May 1818.

Gidgaunnub lands were reserved to the Government but it will be observed that a note shows that it was Major Macleod's opinion that "most of the articles might be added to the rent of the several estates" and the 2d document shows that it was the instruction of the Special Commission that they should be so entered, with the exception of the Gidgaunnul lands of Senkerry droog which were specially reserved. Mr. Anfere's decision in favor of Government was founded on this special reservation and therefore is not a direct precedent.

It still however remains to be shown whether the instructions of the Commission on account of the Gidgaunnul lands to the fixed Jumma of the estates and the fact that the bamboos, tamarinds, &c have continued to be farmed, is proof that the rights of Government never were made over to the Poottahdars. If this is the case Mr. Anfere's decision is by implication in favor of Government. The Gidgaunnul lands of Senkerry droog and those of the Naunnul Talook were in all essential points similarly situated as shown in the Appendix No. 6. the Government right in those of Senkerry droog has been

been maintained by the Court, it is therefore for the Plaintiff to show that the Government never resigned its right in the other lands; this the Government never did a discretionery power to do so was conferred upon Major Macleod, but was never acted upon.—

11 I believe this is strongest light in which the claims of the Government can be viewed. But against this must be placed the opinion of Mr. John Bird expressed in his decree No. 381 of 1821. and this is so direct a precedent that it will undoubtedly have great weight with the Court and I would here beg to observe that although the Board in their Proceedings of the 30th. of July 1835. observe "that in the case of the Valacoor: "chey Moottah to which the Petitioners refers it "appears from the statement furnished by "the Collector above referred to, that the "lands claimed by him were included in "the Schedule, there is therefore no ground to "dispute the correctness of the decree passed "in that case" it is not upon these grounds that the decision was passed. The decision has no reference to the Schedule but is founded entirely upon the summud. i. Sittimirar and the whole of Mr. Bird's reasoning applies as distinctly to this land as



as to that of Valacoorchy. When I quote the following passages from the decree I believe I have placed the two sides of the question fairly together and I must leave it to be decided by you whether the Plaintiff's claim is or is not to be opposed.

"In regard to the claim to 12 "possession of the Gidagannal lands yielding "307-7-4 ¾ Annum and to recover the sum "of Rupees 1897-14-4 demanded in the Plaint "as the amount of the collections and value "of the produce of those lands while held "by the Circar, it is to be observed that the "defendant in his pleadings has not objected "either to the amount at which the produce "of the lands has been calculated or denied "that the sums alleged by the Plaintiff to "have been collected from him and to have "been received annually by the Government "were actually so obtained; the Statement "of the plaintiff in that respect has also "the opinion of the Court been fully confirmed "by the evidence of the witnesses and by the "receipts produced by the plaintiff."

"The land in question appears "to the Court beyond a doubt to form part

"of the village of Wadoomoogum coudoo which
"is one of the villages included in the summud.
"I Milkeat Istimirah granted to the plaintiffs
"father and of which the Plaintiff is now in:
:disputably the proprietor; on these lands there
"were growing Bamboos, as well as other jungle,
"when the Plaintiff about three years before
"they were attacked by the Collector cleared and
"brought them under cultivation; In the year
"Easucarah the Collector took possession of them
"and the sum of 598 Rupees 1 anna was sub-
:sequently collected from the plaintiff as well
"as some balances from the Ryots as a
"refund of the amount derived by the Plaintiff
"during the time he had cultivated the lands
"and they have been withheld from him by
"the Circar ever since that period."—

"It is urged by the Defendant that
"these proceedings were adopted because the
"lands were not included in the Plaintiffs
"Moottah and that he did not consequently
"possess the right to bring them under cultiva-
:tion that they were lands forming part of a
"Bamboo Jungle for which a rent was paid to
the Circar and that the amount of such
rent had been reduced in consequence of the



"destruction of the bamboos with which those
"lands were covered. The evidence of the Curnum
"Vyraparoomah Pillay leaves it a matter of
"doubt whether the assessment on the lands
"in question was included in the Berizentered
"in the Istimirah summud or not, if it
"was included, there can hardly be a
"question but that the Plaintiff was entitled
"to clear the lands and bring it under cul-
:tivation if it was not included in the
"Beriz, still it never could have been the
"intention of the Government to reserve to itself
"the proprietary right in lands situated
"within the Villages granted to the Zemmudar
"and thereby deprive him at their pleasure
"of the power to improve his estate by clearing
"the Jungle land situated within the bounda-
:ries of his Villages; If such had been the
"intention the reservation should have been
"and undoubtedly would have been entered
"in the Istimirah summud granted when
"the estate was sold to the Plaintiffs father,
"in that deed however no such reservation
"can be discovered; the proprietor is en-
:couraged to improve and extend the cul-
:tivation of the land and to enjoy in
confidence

"confidence the fruits of his own industry - In
"Section XV Regulation XXX A D 1802 also it is
"expresly provided that proprietors of land shall
"not be prevented from granting Puttahs for
"clearing and bringing waste lands into cultivation
"a power which could not have been granted
"them in case such lands were to be considered
"the property of Government."

"The Summud Inilkeet Istimerah is the
"title deed upon which plaintiff holds his
"estate by that deed the whole of the lands situated
"within the Village of Wadoomoogum Candoo with
"the exception of Lackraj lands and lands
"paying only favorable quit rents, are granted
"to the proprietor upon his paying annually
"a fixed sum denominated the Beig of the
"estate and there is no reservation in regard
"to waste lands of any description tho' every
"article of revenue reserved by the Government
"is expressed in para 4 of that deed, and however
"entitled the Circar might have been to renu:
:"neration in consequence of the Plaintiff having
"cut down Bamboos producing revenue incon:
:"nected with that of the lands it was not
"entitled to the land itself, the circumstances
"of the Plaintiff having set about clearing the
Jungle



"Jungle must have been well known to the
"Circar Officers at the time and if the Plaintiff
"was not authorized to cut down trees from
"which the Government derived revenue he
"ought to have been prevented at the time
"and not to have been allowed to go to the
"expence of bringing the land under culti:
:"vation and to enjoy it for 3 years without
"dispute -

"The Court being of opinion that
"the seizure of the lands called Gidagunnul
"situated in the Village of Wadoomoogum
the Vellacoverchee Mootah, was
"an illigal appropriation decrees that the
"above mentioned land yielding annually
"Rupees 307-7-4 shall be restored to the
"Plaintiff by the defendant, and that the
"latter shall pay to the former the sum
"of Rupees 1897-13-4 received on account
"of those lands by the Officers of Government
"up to the year Veckramah inclusive, and
"that together with restitution of Rs. 102-12-6
"on account of the produce of the Poramboke
"lands the whole of the costs of suit excepting
"those on the amount claimed under the
head

"head of Motuspha shall be paid by the de:
"fendants as hereunder detailed."—

13 In conclusion on this head, I would beg to observe that we are at present placed in a most anomalous position. It seems most inconsistent with every object and principle of the permanent Assessment and the Moot: tahdary tenure that we should hold any land within the boundaries of the Moottahdary How it happened than when the valuable proprietory right in the soil was made over to the Moottahdars, a trifling rent of bamboos and tamarinds was still re: served to the Government it is difficult to explain, but if this reservation was made, Mr. Bird's decree seems to recognise that Government might be entitled to remuneration for property yielding the Revenue, though not to the lands itself. I would beg to suggest whether it would be worth while to endeavour to effect a compromise on this ground, I have not much expectation of the Plaintiffs acceding to anything of the kind, except through the intervention of the Court. But there is no such



such a strong presumption in favor of Government, from the documents shewn above, from the Original Moottahdars recognizing this right as belonging to the State, and from the length of time during which it has been exercised, that I am inclined to the opinion that the case would be fairly decided by the Plaintiff consenting to make good the loss which accrued to Government from the destruction of the bamboos by an adequate addition to his permanent assessment and the land being surrendered to him.—

14.— At the same time I think it right to observe that as far as I can ascertain, the circumstances of the Moottahs containing Gidaga annual lands were in all essential respects the same. It appears to have been merely accidental that in some the Bamboo lands were entered as Anandi bunjer, and the remark was added that these lands might be cultivated by clearing the bamboos, while in this in: stance the Anandee bunjer was separated from the "Podar" lands. I believe that if these lands were surrendered to the Moottahdars

Moottahdars it would only be conceding to him what has already been conceded to the other Moottahdars.—

12nd/15. If it is the decision of the Board that the position taken up in their proceedings of the 20th July 1835 is to be maintained in the Court, it is then necessary to show that the land retained by the Government is both Gidagannal and is also excluded from the Schedule. With this view it was necessary to examine the accounts of the Moottah and to compare them with those forwarded by Mr. Cockburn On doing so some discrepancy was found in the two accounts forwarded by Mr. Cockburn, nor can these be reconciled with the Anjacut accounts, and the accounts upon which Mr. Cockburn's report was framed are not forthcoming.

16. The annexed Account No. 1 has been prepared by my Sheristadar from the Anjacut accounts of Fusslies 1206, 7, and 11 and by the aid of the Village Curnums from whom depositions have been taken It has been prepared with much labour and will I trust prove to be correct.—

The



The result of this investigation is to prove that while a small amount of land properly belonging to the Moottahdar has been erroneously attached by the Government a much larger amount of land formerly covered with Bamboos is in the possession of the Moottahdar.

The Plaintiff in his plaint has specified the lands which he claims according to their boundaries, it will therefore I think be most formal to offer to the Court proof that such and such portions claimed are Gidagannal-lands not entered in the Schedule, and therefore are not the right of the Moottahdar, and to resign

the

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

the claim to the rest, at the same a Co
claim must be brought for the lands
legally cleared and occupied by the Plan

17.- Should this be the desire of the C
I conceive that the evidence to this effe
may be arranged after the answer
has been filed and the points for
proof recorded, and as it would lead to
much delay I have at present only end
voured by the aid of explanatory notes
to divest the accounts as far as I can of
the confusion in which they are involved
If I have succeeded in this I trust the
present report will lay the case in its
general merits before you and I am
anxious to divest it of perplexity as far
as I can by confining the detail to the
annexed accounts.-

Salem Sub Coll.r's Office
3rd December 1847.- -

/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

Documents



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

P. S. Documents forwarded with this Report, Viz.t-

12 Documents referred to in the Report, Viz.t-

1. Appendix No. 6 which accompanied Major Macleod's letter of the 11th November 1800.-
1 Extract of a letter from the Secretary to the Special Commission dated 8th September 1802.-
1 Do. of Do. to Do. Do. dated 7th January 1803.-
1 Do. of Do. from Do. Do. 3rd March 1803.-
1 Decree of Mr. Dufrere.-
1 Decree of Mr. J. Bird.-
1 Letter from the Collector to the Board of Revenue 3d July 1826.-
1 Do. to Do. from Do. 7th August 1826.-
1 Do. from Do. to Do. 28th November 1826.-
1 Do. to Do. from Do. 8th January 1827.-
1 Do. from Do. to Do. 23d March 1827.-
1 Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue 20th July 1835.-

12. Statements from 1 to 5.
5 4 Do. from Do. 1 to 4 in Marattah.-
5. Additional documents.-
1 Extract from a Report on the Settlement of the District for fusly 1230 under date the 22d May 1821.-
1 Letter from the Board of Revenue to the Collector, dated 20th September 1821.-
1 Do. to Do. from the Secretary to Government 7th December 1821.
1. Do. from Do. to Do. Do. 8th November 1824.-
1 Do. from Do. to the Collector 16th February 1824.-

5
26 Total

1 Proposed draft of an answer.-

27.

/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector.-

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / W. E. Lockhart
Collector.-

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 2

Abstract shewing the total extent of Land denominated Bajpay Podar &c. in the Mootta

Pareapullum pauray of

Statement which accompa

22d March 1827.

and attached to Ghedagan

survey account.

| Villages | Arudy Bunjur or Immemoreal waste lands shewn in the Pollichit prepared in the formation of Mootahs. | | | As per Statement which accompanied Mr Cockburn's letter to the Board under date the 3d July 1826. | | | | | As per date | | Pareapullum pauray of Statement which accompa 22d March 1827. and attached to Ghedagan survey account. | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Dry Land | Wet Land | Total | Immemoreal Waste | Paramboke | Total | Deduct land under Cultivation up to Fasly 1211, and included in the Permanent Bariz | Permanency | Anjacent Land as per | Immemoreal waste | Paramboke | Total | Deduct Land under Cultivation up to Fasly 1211 and included in the Permanent Bariz |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Pullum pauray | 90 22 8 | " " " | 90 22 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Combay | 147 15 " | " " " | 147 15 " | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ady Combay | 22 17 8 | " 10 " | 22 27 8 | 30 3 12 | 488 14 6 | 518 18 2 | 28 39 6 | 489 18 12 | 30 3 | | 519 4 6 | 549 8 2 | |
| Pullum pauray | 277 28 " | " " " | 277 28 " | | | | | | | | | | |
| Combay | 18 32 8 | " " " | 18 32 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 556 35 8 | " 10 " | 557 5 8 | | | | | | | | | | |

This entry corresponds with the 3d head of the Istehar and this land is therefore the property of the Mootahdar.

The Accounts upon which these Statements were prepared are not for

The Documents here
above by the Claimants

The Villages
Bajpay is Cy
since under Ryot

Fort St George 15th May 1848

Pullum pauray — Homemade the Ist Cudapy. The land Homemade the land of Pareapullum is the Ist of the Ist Cudapy. The land of Pareapullum is the Ist of the Ist Cudapy. The land of Pareapullum is the Ist of the Ist Cudapy. The

7471

No. 1

No. 2

Statement of Pareapullum pauray of Namcul Talook in the Zillah of

Statement which accompanied Mr. Cockburn's letter to the Board under

22d. March 1827.—

| | | |

# Fort St. George

15th May 1848.—

Salem for Fusly 1257.—

Porumboke and Immemorial waste lands entered in the anyam accounts of fusly 1211 or the year Doormooty.—

| Immemorial waste land | | | | | | Porumboke land.— | | | | | | Increase discovered on measurement made in Fusly 1245.— | | | | | | Total of Column 19 22 and 23.— | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bafsay Podar | | | Immemorial Waste | | Total | Bafsay Podar | | Hoyem Porumboke | | Total | | Increase in land entered in Column 19. | Increase in land entered in Column 20 | | Total of Columns 19 and 23. | | Total of Columns 22. and 24.— | | |
| 17 | | | 18 | | 19 | 20 | | 21 | | 22 | | 23 | 24 | | 25 | | 26 | | 27 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| " | " | " | 90 | 22 | " | 90 | 22 | " | 73 | 3 | 4 | 40 | 33 | 12 | 117 | 34 | | | |
| 4 | 11 | 15 | 141 | 29 | 10 | 146 | 1 | 9 | 324 | 32 | 8 | 47 | 11 | 10 | 375 | 43 | | | |
| 4 | 11 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 7 | 22 | 25 | 6 | 50 | 24 | 3 | 40 | " | " | 90 | 24 | | | |
| " | " | " | 277 | 28 | " | 277 | 28 | " | " | " | " | 4 | 11 | 15 | 4 | 11 | | | |
| " | " | " | 18 | 32 | 6 | 18 | 32 | 6 | " | " | " | 44 | 2 | 10 | 44 | 2 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 23 | 14 | 547 | 5 | 7 | 555 | 29 | 5 | 451 | 20 | 4 | 176 | 19 | 15 | 628 | . | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 95 | 158 | | 955 | 16 | 6 | 657 | 4 13 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 95 | 158 | | 955 | 16 | 6 | 657 | 4 13 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 95 | 158 | | 955 | 16 | 6 | 657 | 4 13 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 45 | 27 | 7 | 90 | 22 | " | 159 | 24 10 |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | " | " | " | | | | | |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | 777 | 25 | 1 | 146 | 1 | 9 | 1152 | 29 3 |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | " | " | " | | | | | |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | 132 | 3 | 14 | 22 | 25 | 6 | 222 | 28 3 |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | " | " | " | | | | | |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | 277 | 28 | " | 4 | 11 | 15 | 281 | 39 15 |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | " | " | " | | | | | |
| " | " | " | | | | | | | | | | 114 | 7 | 14 | 44 | 2 | 10 | 158 | 10 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 95 | 158 | | 955 | 16 | 6 | 657 | 4 13 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1583 | 16 9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2234 21 6 |

This entry is made from the records and the Kurnams accounts by Extracting all the lands described as "Podars" or thick Jungle — It will be observed that a small tract of Aunadie Bunjur is thus described — The term Hoyem Porumboke is used to describe lands intentionally reserved to distinguish it from "Podar" It includes roads, Village greens &c.a.—

A Survey of the land was made in Fusly 1245 with the view of adjusting the rights of the Government ——

It will be seen that the amount of Aunady Bunjur corresponds nearly exactly with the amount shewn in the Toolichit—

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.—

Of this land now waste

| Immemorial Waste | Purumbokee: Khoyem Purumboke | Purumbokee: Land occupied by Jungles | Purumbokee: Total | Total of Columns 28 and 31 | Remaining land assessed and brought under cultivation: Aumandy Bungur | Remaining land assessed and brought under cultivation: Bamboy Podar Purumboke |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| " " " | 40 33 12 | 41 36 11 | 82 30 7 | 82 30 7 | 90 22 " | 76 34 |
| 20 11 8 | 47 11 10 | 319 27 2 | 366 38 12 | 387 10 4 | 125 38 1 | 785 30 |
| " " " | 40 " " | 15 1 14 | 55 1 14 | 55 1 14 | 22 25 6 | 167 26 |
| 169 16 10 | 4 11 15 | " " " | 4 11 15 | 173 28 9 | 108 11 6 | " " |
| 42 39 11 | 44 2 10 | " " " | 44 2 10 | 87 2 5 | 71 8 3 | " " |
| 232 27 13 | 176 19 15 | 376 25 11 | 553 5 10 | 785 33 4 | 418 17 " | × 1030 10 |

This land will be the right of Government

Of this land 735 the following is land in possession of the Mootadar and is under Cultivation:—

| Total | Immemorial Waste: Dry: Extent | Immemorial Waste: Dry: Assessment | Immemorial Waste: Wet: Extent | Immemorial Waste: Wet: Assessment | Total: Extent | Total: Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
| | | | " " " " " " | | 90 22 " | 106 4 2 |
| 157 16 3 | 90 22 " | 106 4 2 | | | | |
| | | | " " " " " " | | 125 30 1 | 147 9 1 |
| 911 20 8 | 125 30 1 | 149 9 1 | | | | |
| | | | " " " 7 15 | 1 4 8 | 22 25 6 | 38 11 2 |
| 190 11 11 | 22 17 7 | 37 6 6 | | | | |
| | | | " " " " " " | | 52 31 15 | 42 1 8 |
| 108 11 6 | 52 31 15 | 42 1 8 | | | | |
| | | | " " " " " " | | 21 30 9 | 34 13 8 |
| 71 8 3 | 21 30 9 | 34 13 8 | | | | |
| 1,448 29 15 | 313 12 " | 368 3 1 | " 7 15 | 1 4 8 | 313 19 15 | 369 7 9 |

# Fort St. George

15th May 1848.—

Of this land (35) the following is land in possession of the Mootahdar

| Porumboke Land is under cultivation (Cont.d) | | | | Remaining lands either under Cultivation or lying waste in fusly 1256 now in possession of the Government as being — | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Grand Total | | | | Immemorial waste | | | | Porumboke land formerly occupied by Jungles— | |
| | | | | Dry | | | | Dry | |
| Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment |
| 48 | 49 | | | 50 | 51 | | | 52 | 53 |
| 121 28 12 | 142 13 5 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 45 27 7 | 33 8 " |
| 799 9 8 | 978 15 1 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 111 14 5 | 114 8 6 |
| 78 26 10 | 161 5 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 110 38 14 | 75 11 " |
| 52 31 15 | 42 1 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 55 19 7 | 31 5 " |
| 21 30 9 | 34 13 8 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 49 17 10 | 43 6 " |
| 1074 7 6 | 1360 " 10 | 104 37 1 | 74 11 " | 268 " 10 | 223 11 6 | | | | |

† This land is the property of the Mootahdar — according to the 3rd head of the schedule ———

| Porumboke Land is under cultivation | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry | | Wet | | Total | | | | | |
| Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | | | | |
| 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | | | | |
| 31 6 12 | 36 9 3 | " " " | " " " | 31 6 12 | 36 9 3 | | | | |
| 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 " | | | | |
| 49 39 2 | 83 5 10 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 56 1 4 | 122 9 11 | | | | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | | | | |
| " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | | | | |
| 746 39 3 | 901 5 2 | 13 28 4 | 89 3 11 | 760 27 7 | 990 9 1 | | | | |

† This land is the property of Government.—

Port St. George 15th May 1848.—

Total land in possession of Government—

| Dry and Garden | | | Wet | | | Total | | | Dry land in ownership |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extent | | Assessment | Extent | | Assessment | Extent | | Assessment | Extent |
| 70 | | 71 | 72 | | 73 | 74 | | 75 | 76 |
| 45 | 27 7 | 33 8 " | " " | | " " | 45 | 27 7 | 33 8 " | " |
| 111 | 14 5 | 114 8 6 | " 36 | 11 | 4 14 " | 112 | 11 " | 119 6 6 | " |
| 117 | 38 6 | 86 14 " | 2 14 | 9 | 15 14 " | 120 | 22 15 | 102 12 " | " |
| 55 | 19 7 | 31 5 " | " " | | " " | 55 | 19 7 | 31 5 " | " |
| 49 | 17 10 | 43 6 " | " " | | " " | 49 | 17 10 | 43 6 " | 21 19 |
| 379 | 37 3 | 309 9 6 | 3 21 4 | 20 | 12 " | 383 | 18 7 | 330 5 6 | 21 19 |

Land under Cultivation in Fusly 1256.—

| Dry land included in the Zungur— | | | Dry land & Garden | | | Perumboke-Wet land | | | Total | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Assessment | | | Extent | | Assessment | Extent | | Assessment | Extent | | Assessment |
| 77 | | | 78 | | 79 | 80 | | 81 | 82 | | 83 |
| " | " | " | 2 6 | " | 2 8 " | " | " | " " | 2 6 | " | 2 8 " |
| " | " | " | 82 30 | 12 | 93 6 " | 36 | 11 | 4 14 " | 83 27 | 7 | 97 14 6 |
| " | " | " | 1 24 | 7 | 2 10 " | " 26 | 3 | 3 3 " | 2 10 | 10 | 5 13 " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " " | " | " | " " | " | " | " " |
| " | " | " | " | " | " " | " | " | " " | " | " | " " |
| 22 | 8 " | | " | " | " " | " | " | " " | " | " | " " |
| 22 | 8 . | | 86 21 | 3 | 98 2 6 | 1 22 | 14 | 8 1 " | 88 41 | | 106 3 6 |

* The remaining details show the extent of land Cultivated but do not affect the rights of either party

# Fort St. George 15th May 1848.—

Remaining lands either under Cultivation or lying waste in fusly 1256 now in possession of the Government as being Boufsay Podar— /Cont.d/

Porumbolce land formerly occupied by Jungles. /Cont.d/

| Wet | | Total | | Dry | | Wet | | Total | | Dry | | Wet | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment |
| 54 | 55 | 56 | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 63 | 64 | 65 | 66 | 67 | 68 | 69 |
| " " " | " " " | 45 27 7 | 33 8 " | 45 27 7 | 33 8 " | " " " | " " " | 45 27 7 | 33 8 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " 36 11 | 4 14 " | 112 11 " | 119 6 6 | 111 14 5 | 114 8 6 | " 36 11 | 4 14 " | 112 11 " | 119 6 6 | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " 26 3 | 3 3 " | 111 25 1 | 78 14 " | 110 38 14 | 75 11 " | " 26 3 | 3 3 " | 111 25 1 | 78 14 " | 6 39 8 | 11 3 " | 1 38 6 | 12 11 " | 8 37 14 | 23 14 " |
| " " " | " " " | 55 19 7 | 31 5 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 55 19 7 | 31 5 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| " " " | " " " | 49 17 10 | 43 6 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 49 17 10 | 43 6 " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 1 22 14 | 8 1 " | 269 23 8 | 231 12 6 | 372 37 11 | 298 6 6 | 1 22 14 | 8 1 " | 374 20 9 | 306 14 6 | 39 8 | 11 3 " | 1 38 6 | 12 11 " | 8 37 14 | 23 14 " |

Land now in the possession of Government which was formerly included in the permanent assessment.—

This land is the property of the Mootahdeur.—

7477 || Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

| Land under Cultivation in Fasly 1211 which was included in the Permanent Bariz | | Total Dry land and Garden | | Wet | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assess-ment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment |
| 84 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 89 | 90 | 91. |
| " " " " " " | | 2 6 " | 2 8 " | " " " " " " | | 2 6 " | 2 8 " |
| " " " 11 " " | | 82 30 12 | 93 " 6 " | 36 11 | 4 14 " | 83 27 7 | 97 14 6 |
| 6 39 8 | 11 3 " | 8 23 15 | 13 13 " | " " 26 3 | 3 3 " | 9 10 2 | 17 " " |
| " " " " " " | | " " " " " " | | " " " " " " | | " " " " " " | |
| " " " " " " | | 21 19 12 | 22 8 " | " " " " " " | | 21 19 12 | 22 8 " |
| 6 39 8 | 11 3 " | 115 " 7 | 131 13 6 | 1 22 14 | 8 1 " | 116 23 5 | 139 14 6 |

E. E. J.r / Signed / F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

L.C.B.

Abstract.

No. 2. Abstract Shewing the Amount of Assessment derived from the Cultivation under Amany from Fusly 1227 to 1256.-

Fort St. George 15th. May 1815.

| Fusly. | Assessment on Poruma boke lands of Parejapul. Chimpauray | Village of Tandumconbay Assessment on Poruma boke Land | Tax on Trees. | Total | Village of Assessment on Poruma boke Land | Land denominated Banjay Nº 33 Podar and situated in the Mootah of Tandumconbay Land assessed at the time of Survey. | Total | Assessment on Immemorial waste land of Chinna pullima Parajay | Assessment on Immemorial waste land of Curruly Conbay. | Assessment on Poruma boke Land | Tax on Trees | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1 1227 | 26 12 10 | 129 15 7 | " " " | 129 15 7 | 62 14 4 | 24 7 5 | 87 5 9 | 16 6 8 | 23 2 7 | 219 10 9 | " " " | 219 10 9 |
| 2 1228 | 26 1 " | 130 11 " | " " " | 130 11 " | 40 4 " | 23 12 " | 64 " " | 16 10 " | 24 6 " | 197 " " | " " " | 197 " " |
| 3 1229 | 2 4 " | 136 11 " | " " " | 136 11 " | 17 2 " | 7 10 " | 24 12 " | 3 2 " | 27 9 " | 156 1 " | " " " | 156 1 " |
| 4 1230 | " " " | 129 14 " | " 4 " | 130 2 " | 27 9 " | 27 5 " | 54 14 " | 5 3 " | 29 13 " | 157 7 " | " 4 " | 157 11 " |
| 5 1231 | " " " | 78 10 " | " 4 " | 78 14 " | 35 6 " | 7 10 " | 43 " " | " " " | 28 9 " | 114 " " | " 4 " | 114 4 " |
| 6 1232 | " " " | 116 2 6 | " 4 " | 116 6 6 | 32 2 " | 7 10 " | 39 12 " | 2 " " | 31 3 " | 148 4 6 | " 4 " | 148 8 6 |
| 7 1233 | 2 11 " | 133 9 3 | " 4 " | 133 13 3 | 13 1 3 | 17 2 3 | 30 3 6 | 2 14 " | 34 " " | 149 5 6 | " 4 " | 149 9 6 |
| 8 1234 | 3 5 " | 73 1 " | " 4 " | 73 5 " | 10 6 " | 23 13 " | 34 3 " | 4 4 " | 29 8 " | 86 12 " | " 4 " | 87 " " |
| 9 1235 | 3 10 " | 97 7 6 | " 4 " | 97 11 6 | 10 6 " | 23 4 6 | 33 10 6 | 6 " " | 35 10 " | 111 7 6 | " 4 " | 111 11 6 |
| 10 1236 | 2 8 " | 124 15 " | " 4 " | 125 3 " | 10 6 " | 23 4 6 | 33 10 6 | 4 " " | 33 12 " | 137 13 " | " 4 " | 138 1 " |
| 11 1237 | 2 8 " | 36 9 6 | " " " | 36 9 6 | 10 13 " | 23 14 " | 34 11 " | " 6 " | 34 8 " | 49 14 6 | " " " | 49 14 6 |
| 12 1238 | 2 8 " | 41 7 " | " " " | 41 7 " | 10 6 " | 23 14 " | 34 4 " | " 6 " | 22 4 " | 54 5 " | " " " | 54 5 " |
| 13 1239 | 2 8 " | 21 14 " | " " " | 21 14 " | 3 3 " | 11 3 " | 14 6 " | " 6 " | 25 " " | 27 9 " | " " " | 27 9 " |
| 14 1240 | 2 8 " | 55 13 " | " " " | 55 13 " | 3 3 " | 23 14 " | 27 1 " | " 6 " | 24 12 " | 61 8 " | " " " | 61 8 " |
| 15 1241 | " " " | 53 11 " | " " " | 53 11 " | 3 3 " | 23 14 " | 27 1 " | " " " | 22 12 " | 56 14 " | " " " | 56 14 " |
| 16 1242 | " " " | 76 12 " | " " " | 76 12 " | 4 15 " | 23 14 " | 28 13 " | " " " | 22 12 " | 81 11 " | " " " | 81 11 " |
| 17 1243 | " " " | 97 3 " | " " " | 97 3 " | 5 13 " | 23 14 " | 29 11 " | " " " | 28 4 " | 103 " " | " " " | 103 " " |
| 18 1244 | " " " | 98 12 " | " " " | 98 12 " | 5 13 " | 23 14 " | 29 11 " | " " " | 31 6 " | 104 9 " | " " " | 104 9 " |
| 19 1245 | " " " | 85 4 6 | " " " | 85 4 6 | 5 13 " | 23 14 " | 29 11 " | " " " | 31 6 " | 91 1 6 | " " " | 91 1 6 |
| 20 1246. | 1 4 " | 82 2 6 | " " " | 82 2 6 | 5 13 " | 23 14 " | 29 11 " | " " " | 28 12 " | 89 3 6 | " " " | 89 3 6 |

7478

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

| | Assessment on Poramboke lands of Paiee apul. Cumpany | Village of Tandum Combay. Assessment on Poramboke Land | Taxes on Trees | Total | Village of Combay. Assessment on Poramboke Sand | Land Assessed at the time of Survey. | Total | Assessment on Immemorial waste land Chumpah Cumpany | Assessment on Immemorial waste lands of Carvally Combay | Assessment on Poramboke Sand | Taxes on Speed | Total | Total Deduction. Assessment on Tysul Land | Assessment on Immemorial waste Land | Total | Payment of Village Establishment | Balance due by Ryot | Total |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| 21 | 1 14 " | 85 4 6 | " " " | 85 4 6 | 5 13 " | 17 5 " | | 23 2 " | " " " | 26 12 " | 92 15 6 | " " " | 92 15 6 | 17 5 " | 26 12 " | 137 " 6 | 17 11 1 | " " " | 17 11 |
| 22 | 2 8 " | 94 5 6 | " " " | 94 5 6 | 5 13 " | 23 14 " | | 29 11 " | " " " | 28 12 " | 102 10 6 | " " " | 102 10 6 | 23 14 " | 28 12 " | 155 4 6 | 18 15 9 | " " " | 18 15 |
| | 2 8 " | 85 9 6 | " " " | 85 9 6 | 2 10 " | 23 14 " | | 26 8 " | " " " | 30 12 " | 90 11 6 | " " " | 90 11 6 | 23 14 " | 30 12 " | 145 5 6 | 18 4 5 | " " " | 18 4 |
| 23 | 2 8 " | 85 11 6 | " " " | 85 11 6 | 2 10 " | 23 14 " | | 26 8 " | " " " | 28 12 " | 90 13 6 | " " " | 90 13 6 | 23 14 " | 28 12 " | 143 7 6 | 18 2 3 | " " " | 18 2 |
| | 2 8 " | 85 11 6 | " " " | 85 11 6 | 2 10 " | 23 14 " | | 26 8 " | " " " | 24 12 " | 90 13 6 | " " " | 90 13 6 | 23 14 " | 28 12 " | 139 7 6 | 17 13 11 | " " " | 17 13 |
| | 2 8 " | 101 10 6 | " " " | 101 10 6 | 2 10 " | 17 5 " | | 19 15 " | " " " | 23 4 " | 106 12 6 | " " " | 106 12 6 | 23 14 " | 24 12 " | 147 5 6 | 18 6 11 | " " " | 18 6 |
| | 2 8 " | 103 14 6 | " " " | 103 14 6 | 4 4 " | 17 5 " | | 21 9 " | " " " | 23 4 " | 110 10 6 | " " " | 110 10 6 | 17 5 " | 23 4 " | 157 3 6 | 18 11 3 | " " " | 18 11 |
| | 2 8 " | 96 9 6 | " " " | 96 9 6 | 4 4 " | 11 3 " | | 15 7 " | " " " | 24 4 " | 103 5 6 | " " " | 103 5 0 | 17 5 " | 23 4 " | 157 3 6 | 18 11 3 | " " " | 18 11 |
| | 2 8 " | 95 1 6 | " " " | 95 1 6 | 5 3 " | 11 3 " | | 16 6 " | " " " | 27 " " | 102 12 6 | " " " | 102 12 6 | 11 3 " | 24 4 " | 138 12 6 | 17 13 1 | " " " | 17 13 |
| | 2 8 " | 97 14 6 | " " " | 97 14 6 | 5 13 " | 13 " | | 17 " | " " " | 22 8 " | 106 3 6 | " " " | 106 3 6 | 11 3 " | 27 " " | 140 15 6 | 17 15 5 | " " " | 17 15 |
| | 102 13 10 | 2732 6 4 | 1 12 " | 2734 2 4 | 360 1 7 | 59 15 8 | | 963 13 | 61 15 6 | 829 4 7 | 3195 5 9 | 1 12 " | 3197 1 9 | 11 3 " | 22 8 " | 39 14 6 | 17 14 5 | " " " | 17 14 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 592 15 8 | 89 14 " | 681 5 5 | 580 4 10 | 22 14 4 | 583 3 |
| 22 } | 12 5 " | 327 " 8 | - 3 4 | 327 4 " | 43 1 7 | 705 6 | | 114 11 | 7 6 9 | 99 4 " | 382 7 3 " | 3 4 | 382 10 7 | 70 15 6 | 106 10 9 | 60 4 10 | | | |
| Ryots | " " " | 22 14 4 | " " " | 22 14 4 | " " " | " " " | | " " " | " " " | " " " | 22 14 4 | " " " | 22 14 4 | " " " | " " " | 22 14 4 | | | |
| | 12 5 " | 349 15 " | " 3 4 | 350 2 4 | 43 1 7 | 705 6 | | 114 11 | 7 6 9 | 99 4 " | 405 5 7 " | 3 4 | 405 8 11 | 70 15 6 | 106 10 9 | 83 3 2 | | | |
| tion | 90 8 10 | 2382 7 4 | 1 8 8 | 2384 " " | 317 " " | 52 " 2 | 839 " 2 | 54 8 8 | 730 " 7 | 2790 " 2 | 1 8 8 | 2791 8 10 | | 522 " 2 | 784 9 3 | 98 2 3 | | | |

Amount Levied from the Mootahdar being the amount of Collections made by him from the Ryots prior to Fusly 1227 on account of Revenue & Lands Cultivated by them.-

Signed/ F.K. Mattby Sub Collector.

/True Copy/

The sums shewn in Colu
Amounting to Rupees 3
3 5 5 to the Mootahdar.-
61 7 8

Signed/ W. E Lockhar
Coll

1480

No 3 Abstract Shewing the Amount of Assessment derived from Moottah as belonging to his Estate from fusly 1216 to 1250.

| Fusly's | | Parepullumpanny. Dry. Extent | Assessment | Tandancombay. Dry. Extent | Assessment | Wet Extent | Assessment | Total Extent | Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1 | 1216 Fusly | " " " " " " | 74 " 13 | 70 " 7 | " " " | " " " | | 744 " 13 | 70 " 7 |
| 2 | 1217 | " " " " " " | 74 " 13 | 70 " 4 | " " " | " " " | | 744 " 13 | 70 " 4 |
| 3 | 1218 | " " " " " " | 108 16 14 | 117 5 9 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | | 1100 2 13 | 123 5 11 |
| 4 | 1219 | " " " " " " | 113 15 11 | 124 10 " | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | | 1155 1 10 | 132 5 " |
| 5 | 1220 | " " " " " " | 70 15 14 | 81 8 " | 3 30 6 | 12 3 11 | | 744 6 4 | 93 8 7 |
| 6 | 1221 | " " " " " " | 78 18 5 | 92 2 " | 3 30 6 | 12 3 11 | | 821 2 8 11 | 103 4 1 |
| 7 | 1222 | " " " " " " | 88 37 8 | 95 " " | " " " | " " " | | 888 37 8 | 90 5 " |
| 8 | 1223 | " " " " " " | 91 14 4 | 91 4 " | " " " | " " " | | 911 14 4 | 99 2 4 |
| 9 | 1224 | " " " " " " | 114 18 7 | 131 5 " | " " " | " " " | | 1141 18 7 | 132 9 5 |
| 10 | 1225 | " " " " " " | 120 14 14 | 133 " " | " " " | " " " | | 1200 14 4 | 138 4 3 |
| 11 | 1226 | " " " " " " | 118 14 5 | 136 5 " | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | | 1200 " 4 | 141 9 7 |
| 12 | 1227 | " " " " " " | 141 7 1 | 162 5 " | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | | 1422 33 4 | 168 6 7 |
| 13 | 1228 | " " " " " " | 114 28 10 | 136 " " | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | | 1160 17 9 | 153 11 1 |
| 14 | 1229 | " " " " " " | 101 6 10 | 127 " " | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | | 1026 35 9 | 138 13 2 |
| 15 | 1230 | 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 73 8 13 | 5 3 " | " " " | | 732 5 5 | 114 10 1 |
| 16 | 1231 | 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 81 11 5 | 7 2 " | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | 829 27 12 | 118 9 2 |
| 17 | 1232 | 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 90 " 10 | 1 7 " | 2 12 10 | 8 1 5 | 923 35 1 | 129 12 10 |
| 18 | 1233 | 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 146 14 " | 2 3 " | 2 12 10 | 8 1 5 | 1485 8 7 | 186 14 6 |
| 19 | 1234 | 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 169 26 10 | 1 10 " | 2 25 10 | 9 1 3 | 1528 24 4 | 193 13 2 |
| 20 | 1235 | 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 202 8 12 | 2 11 " | 3 35 4 | 12 15 5 | 2016 " | 261 7 5 |
| | | | | | | | | 2843 " 13 " | 348 9 10 |

| Fusly's | | Parepullumpanny. Dry. Extent | Assessment | Tandancombay. Dry. Extent | Assessment | Wet Extent | Assessment | Total Extent | Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 1 | 1216 Fusly | 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 297 7 4 | 335 11 7 | 3 30 13 | 3 | | |
| 2 | 1217 | 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 305 15 13 | 339 5 2 | 4 23 4 | 16 | | |
| 3 | 1218 | 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 341 15 11 | 388 8 6 | 4 38 1 | 10 | | |
| 4 | 1219 | 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 368 15 15 | 418 8 5 | 5 " 3 | 15 | | |
| 5 | 1220 | 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 386 37 9 | 439 3 9 | 6 27 9 | 14 | | |
| 6 | 1221 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 410 7 4 | 464 " 4 | 7 4 9 | 11 | | |
| 7 | 1222 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 420 15 " | 478 5 5 | 7 4 9 | " | | |
| 8 | 1223 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 483 15 11 | 551 13 3 | 7 4 9 | 10 | | |
| 9 | 1224 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 10 | 1225 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 11 | 1226 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 12 | 1227 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 13 | 1228 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 14 | 1229 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 15 | 1230 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 16 | 1231 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 17 | 1232 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 18 | 1233 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 19 | 1234 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| 20 | 1235 | 1 6 12 | 36 9 3 | 665 33 5 | 781 6 1 | 7 26 2 | 28 | | |
| | | 9 31 4 | 586 9 9 | 1343 5 20 | 1552 4 14 | 172 6 5 | 8 10 | | |

ared from the evidence of the Karnums ex

...wing the Amount of Assessment derived from
...as belonging to his Estate from fusly 1216 to 1250 ...

...llumpary.

Tandencombay.

| Dry. | | | Dry. | | Wet | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ...t | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | |
| " " " " " | | 74 " 13 | 70 " 7 | " " " " " | " " " | 4 |
| " " " " " | | 74 " 13 | 70 " 4 | " " " " " | " " " | 4 |
| " " " " " | | 108 16 14 | 117 5 9 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| " " " " " | | 113 15 11 | 124 10 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| " " " " " | | 70 15 14 | 81 8 | 3 30 6 | 12 3 11 | |
| " " " " " | | 78 18 5 | 92 2 | 3 30 6 | 12 3 11 | |
| " " " " " | | 88 3 8 | 96 5 | " " " | " " " | 3 |
| " " " " " | | 91 14 4 | 99 4 | " " " | " " " | |
| " " " " " | | 114 18 7 | 132 5 | " " " | " " " | |
| " " " " " | | 120 14 14 | 138 3 | " " " | " " " | |
| " " " " " | | 118 14 5 | 136 3 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| " " " " " | | 141 7 1 | 163 5 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| " " " " " | | 114 28 10 | 132 3 6 | 5 28 15 | 21 7 7 | |
| " " " " " | | 101 6 10 | 117 5 7 | 5 28 15 | 21 7 7 | |
| 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 73 8 13 | 85 3 | " " " | " " " | |
| 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 81 11 5 | 92 2 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 90 " 10 | 104 " 7 | 2 12 10 | 8 1 5 | |
| 2 27 8 | 3 2 5 | 146 14 " | 168 3 | 2 12 10 | 8 1 5 | |
| | | | | 2 25 10 | 9 1 3 | |

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 24.

...the Land denominated Bajee Podar in the Mottah of Pareapullumpauray in the Nunneal Taloke held by the Respec...

Vanlavundy combay.

| Total | | | Dry | | Wet | | Total | | | Dry | | Total Wet | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Extent | Assessment | | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | | Extent | Assessment | | |
| 8 | 9 | | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | |
| 74 " 13 | 70 " 7 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 74 " 13 | 70 " 7 | " " " | " " " | |
| 74 " 13 | 70 " 4 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 74 " 13 | 70 " 4 | " " " | " " " | |
| 110 2 13 | 123 5 11 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 108 16 14 | 117 5 9 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| 115 1 10 | 132 5 " | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 113 15 11 | 126 14 10 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| 74 6 4 | 93 8 7 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 70 15 14 | 81 4 8 | 3 30 6 | 12 3 11 | |
| 82 8 11 | 103 " 1 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 78 18 5 | 90 12 2 | 3 30 6 | 12 3 11 | |
| 88 3 8 | 96 5 " | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 88 3 8 | 96 5 " | " " " | " " " | |
| 91 14 4 | 99 2 4 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 91 14 4 | 99 2 4 | " " " | " " " | |
| 114 18 7 | 132 9 5 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 114 18 7 | 132 9 5 | " " " | " " " | |
| 120 14 14 | 138 4 3 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 120 14 14 | 138 4 3 | " " " | " " " | |
| 118 14 5 | 136 3 5 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 118 14 5 | 136 3 5 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| 141 7 1 | 163 " 5 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 141 7 1 | 163 " 5 | 1 25 15 | 5 6 2 | |
| 114 28 10 | 132 3 6 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 16 1 5 | 114 28 10 | 132 3 6 | 5 28 15 | 21 7 7 | | |
| 101 6 10 | 117 5 7 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 16 1 5 | 101 6 10 | 117 5 7 | 5 28 15 | 21 7 7 | | |
| 73 8 13 | 85 15 3 | | 26 " 9 | 7 " 4 | 3 " | 16 1 5 | 07 " | 25 8 5 | 78 2 8 | 98 8 8 | 4 3 " | 16 1 5 | |
| 82 3 4 | 99 5 4 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 4 3 " | 16 1 5 | 83 3 13 | 97 1 7 | 5 28 15 | 27 7 7 | |
| 92 13 4 | 111 5 " | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3 34 5 | 15 5 5 | 92 2 8 2 | 106 6 " | 6 6 15 | 23 0 10 | |
| 148 26 10 | 168 6 8 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3 34 5 | 15 5 5 | 149 1 8 | 168 7 8 | 6 6 15 | 23 0 10 | |
| 152 12 4 | 178 2 1 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3 24 8 | 14 8 8 | 152 14 2 | 172 3 3 | 6 10 2 | 23 9 13 | |
| 206 4 " | 243 12 4 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3 24 8 | 14 8 8 | 204 36 4 | 233 15 4 | 7 19 12 | 27 8 1 | |
| 280 1 " | 330 14 9 | | " " " | " " " | " " " | " " " | 3 24 8 | 14 8 8 | 282 37 11 | 320 8 7 | 7 15 6 | 28 1 3 | |

Fort Ste Geneviève 15th May 1848

1480

No. 3 Abstract of Assessment of Property in the Township of Van Lawandy Cowboy

| | | Total | | Dry | | Wet | | Total | | Total | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | |
| | | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Justices | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 13 8 7 | 300 38 1 | 349 4 2 | " " " | " " " | 3 3 3 | 15 4 8 | 3 8 | 15 4 8 | 299 34 12 |
| | | 16 13 3 | 309 39 1 | 356 2 5 | " " " | " " " | 3 3 3 | 15 4 8 | 3 8 | 15 4 8 | 308 35 |
| 1 | | 18 5 3 | 346 13 12 | 406 13 9 | " " " | " " " | 3 3 3 | 15 4 8 | 3 8 | 15 4 8 | 344 33 |
| 1 | 1216 Twp | 18 4 11 | 373 16 2 | 436 18 4 | " " " | " " " | 3 3 3 | 15 4 8 | 3 8 | 15 4 8 | 371 37 |
| | | 23 9 6 | 393 25 2 | 462 13 3 | " " " | " " " | 3 3 3 | 15 4 8 | 3 8 | 15 4 8 | 389 25 1 |
| 2 | 1217 | 24 13 8 | 417 11 13 | 488 14 " | " " " | " " " | 3 3 3 | 15 4 8 | 3 8 | 15 4 8 | 441 14 " |
| 3 | 1218 | 24 13 8 | 427 19 9 | 503 3 1 | " " " | " " " | 3 3 3 | 15 4 8 | 3 8 | 15 4 8 | 451 21 12 |
| 4 | 1219 | 24 13 8 | 490 20 4 | 576 10 11 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 15 4 8 | 514 22 7 |
| 5 | 1220 | 49 15 11 | 643 19 7 | 831 6 " | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 6 | 1221 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 4 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 7 | 1222 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 4 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 8 | 1223 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 4 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 9 | 1224 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 4 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 10 | 1225 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 4 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 11 | 1226 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 4 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 12 | 1227 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 4 | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 13 | 1228 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 " | 43 21 3 | 72 9 5 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 49 23 8 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 14 | 1229 | 49 15 11 | 673 19 7 | 831 6 " | 49 39 2 | 83 5 10 | 6 2 2 | 39 4 " | 56 1 4 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 15 | 1230 | 49 " 7 | 13,607 26 10 | 16,403 15 5 | 537 16 2 | 902 " 10 | 138 5 2 | 731 15 10 | 675 21 4 | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 16 | 1231 | | | | | | | | | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 17 | 1232 | | | | | | | | | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 18 | 1233 | | | | | | | | | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 19 | 1234 | | | | | | | | | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| 20 | 1235 | | | | | | | | | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| | | | | | | | | | | 111 13 5 | 740 21 4 |
| |

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

Extract shewing the Total amount of lands distinguished as Poramboke in the Mootah of Papullum para

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

Poramboke lands deducted from the Pymash anjacent

| Fusslies | Whole Poramboke lands | | | Deduct Poramboke left for building purposes | | | Remaining lands occupied by Jungle | | | Land assessed upon Poramboke left for building purposes | | | Land |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Dry land | Wet land | Total | Dry land | Wet land | Total | Dry land | Wet land | Total | Percentage | Assessment | Rent | |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | | |
| Cumparay 1207 | 131 5 .. | --- | 131 5 .. | 45 5 11 | --- | 45 5 11 | 85 39 5 | --- | 85 39 5 | 4 11 15 | 5 .. 10 | 12 .. | |
| Colay .. | 391 9 .. | --- | 391 9 .. | 47 11 10 | --- | 47 11 10 | 343 37 6 | --- | 343 37 6 | " " " | " " " | 16 .. | |
| Combay 1206 | 90 11 5 | .. 13 .. | 90 24 5 | 39 30 12 | .. 9 4 | 40 .. | 50 20 9 | .. 13 12 | 50 24 5 | " " " | " " " | " " | |
| Cumparay " | 4 11 5 | --- | 4 11 15 | 4 11 15 | --- | 4 11 15 | --- | --- | --- | " " " | " " " | " " | |
| Combay " | 44 2 10 | --- | 44 2 10 | 44 2 10 | --- | 44 2 10 | --- | --- | --- | " " " | " " " | " " | |
| Total | 660 39 14 | .. 13 .. | 661 12 14 | 180 22 10 | .. 9 4 | 180 31 14 | 480 17 4 | .. 13 12 | 480 31 | 4 11 15 | 5 .. 10 | 29 .. | |

1422

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

Statement the Land in the Village of Papullum Parah Cumparay Colay Combay Cumparay Combay Total Number of Pymash Land of Poramboke Land of Poramboke left for building purposes Land assessed upon Poramboke left for building purposes Rent

1480

No. 3

Just

1482

No. 4. C

Village

Pareyapulle

Paduncomb

Valavundy

Chinnapalle

Canalecon

5th May 1848.

Pareyapullum parray at the time of the Permanent Survey

| | | | Land assessed up to Fasly 1211 and included in the permanent assessment. | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Porumboke left for building purposes | | Land occupied by Jungle | | Total | |
| | | | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment | Extent | Assessment |
| | | | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 2 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 1 | | 4 11 15 | 5 - 10 | 12 35 14 | 13 8 1 | 17 7 13 | 18 8 11 |
| 4 | 1 | 39 5 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | 16 4 14 | 18 14 5 | 16 4 14 | 18 14 5 |
| 6 | | 37 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | | 57 | | | | | | |
| 8 | | 24 5 | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | | - - | | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | - - | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | 4 11 15 | 5 - 10 | 29 " 12 | 32 6 6 | 33 12 11 | 37 7 4 |
| 16 | | 21 " | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | | | | |

Fort St. George

5th May 1848.

that subsequently brought under Cultivation up to Doormutty
remainder shown in the anyacut of Doormutty under the
Fusly 1211 and included in the Permanent Bariz and the
ead of Porumboke.—

Remaining land deducted from the Anyacut of Fusly 121

| Whole Porumboke | | | Deduct land left for building purposes | | | Remaining occupied by Jungle | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry land | Wet land | Total | Dry land | Wet land | Total | Dry land | Wet land | Total |
| 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 113373 | " " " | 113373 | 40332 | " " " | 40332 | 7337 | " " " | 7337 |
| 37542 | " " " | 37542 | 47110 | " " " | 47110 | 327328 | " " " | 327328 |
| 90115 | " 13 " | 90245 | 39012 | " 94 | 40 " | 50209 | " 312 | 50245 |
| 4115 | " " " | 4115 | 4115 | " " " | 4115 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 44210 | " " " | 44210 | 44210 | " " " | 44210 | " " " | " " " | " " " |
| 627273 | " 13 " | 628 " 3 | 176 1011 | " 94 | 176 1915 | 451168 | " 312 | 451204 |

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector.

C. C. [illegible]

Translation

No. 5. Translation of an Extract from a "Cuttavany" or an abstract Statement of Mottahs in the Talo

Fort St. George

th May 1848.

Sendamungalum, pr

| Talooks | Mottahs | Villages | Amount of Assessment of Fusly 1209 | Amount of Permanent Assessment | Amount of Assessment of Fusly 1211 | Amount of increased Assessment on Cowle lands which will be arrived at the full Assessment in Fusly 1212 and 1213 | Lands Cultivated & ca in Fusly Lands brought under Cultivation | | | | Lands left waste or Poadoogal | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | Dry | | Wet | Total | Dry | | Wet | Total |
| | | | | | | | acres | Gontas | acres | Gontas acres | acres | Gontas | acres | Gontas acres |
| 1 | 2 | | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | | 9 | | | |
| | | | Star Ps. Fs. C. | Star Pags | Ps. Fs. C. | Pags. Fs. C. | | | | | | | | |
| Sendamungalum | Sendamungalum | Cusbah Sendamungalum | 1578 13 — | | 2285 3 26 | 94 14 — | 2732 3/8 | 317 25/32 | 372 1/16 | 1927 3/16 | 3104 7/16 | 1167/16 | 129 27/32 | 17 3/8 | 900 45/64 | 1133 1/16 |
| | | Moodakaputty | 1130 21 9 | | 1496 20 16 | 19 16 50 | 1508 1/16 | 175 25/64 | 256 3/16 | 1327 3/8 | 1764 1/4 | 679 3/8 | 79 1/16 | 5 3/8 | 279 1/4 | 684 1/16 |
| | | Carvelly Combay | 37 17 2 | | 16 31 42 | — — — | 32 7/8 | 3 53/64 | — | — | 32 7/8 | 121 3/8 | 14 7/64 | — | — | 121 3/8 |
| | | Valvunda Combay | 87 13 26 | | 108 41 43 | — — — | 173 15/16 | 20 15/64 | 13 | 672 7/8 | 186 15/16 | 189 11/16 | 22 1/16 | 13 13/16 | 716 1/16 | 203 1/16 |
| | | Tandan Combay | 64 41 69 | | 95 33 13 | 4 41 12 | 302 1/8 | 35 9/64 | 4 3/16 | 216 13/32 | 306 15/16 | 171 15/16 | 20 | 2 5/8 | 136 1/8 | 174 9/16 |
| | | Pareyapullum Sharay Combay | 185 14 56 | | 248 43 39 | 2 11 4 | 802 7/16 | 93 21/64 | — | — | 802 7/16 | 212 3/4 | 24 23/64 | — | — | 212 3/4 |
| | | Arasadee Combay | 71 41 2 | | 115 14 23 | 2 39 20 | 514 1/16 | 59 55/64 | 1 3/8 | 74 9/16 | 516 1/16 | 222 7/8 | 25 39/64 | — | — | 222 7/8 |
| | | Poodoo Combay | 114 37 8 | | 122 9 50 | 1 36 72 | 393 7/8 | 45 19/64 | — | — | 393 7/8 | 156 1/2 | 18 13/64 | — | — | 156 1/2 |
| | | Total | 3270 19 12 | 3800 | 4489 17 12 | 125 23 78 | 6460 3/8 | 751 3/8 | 646 13/16 | 93508 1/32 | 7107 7/16 | 2870 15/16 | 333 7/8 | 39 3/16 | 2032 47/64 | 2910 1/16 |
| Sendamungalum | Vallaconcley | Vallaconcley | 688 40 41 | | 814 18 25 | 2 21 27 | 925 5/8 | 107 21/32 | 67 | 3473 1/32 | 992 5/8 | 320 31/16 | 37 19/64 | 1 3/16 | 64 5/8 | 321 15/16 |
| | | Tootticollum | 716 1 48 | | 851 39 64 | 7 18 28 | 1318 3/16 | 153 5/16 | 106 1/16 | 5494 5/64 | 1424 1/4 | 247 7/16 | 28 25/32 | 1 9/16 | 80 1/2 | 249 |
| | | Hottera Gidaganvel | 897 42 21 | | 933 22 35 | 13 19 61 | 2294 5/16 | 266 37/32 | 7 3/16 | 374 1/4 | 2302 1/8 | 642 15/16 | 74 25/32 | — | — | 642 15/16 |
| | | Total | 2282 39 80 | 2800 | 2599 35 44 | 23 14 36 | 4538 3/4 | 527 7/8 | 180 1/4 | 9341 23/64 | 4719 | 1211 1/16 | 140 55/64 | 2 3/4 | 145 1/8 | 1213 1/16 |

7484

No. 5. Translate… … St. George … in the Talo…

5th May 1848.

Sendamungalum, prepared as per Form. –

| Talooks | Mootahs | Villages | Contain acres | Cultivated & ea… in Fo… Cultivation: Wet | Total | Lands left waste or Podoogal: Dry Acres | Dry Goot… | Wet Acres | Wet Goot… | Total Acres | Uncultivated waste lands but fit for Cultivation and immemorial waste: Dry Acres | Dry Goot… | Wet Acres | Wet Goot… | Total Acres |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | | | | | | | 9 | | | | | 10 | | |
| Sendamungalum. | Sendamungalum. | Cusbah | 1927 5/16 | 3104 7/16 | | 1116 7/16 | 129 27/32 | 17 3/8 | 900 45/64 | 1133 13/16 | 1,664 15/16 | 193 43/64 | 210 1/2 | 1,907 39/64 | 1,875 7/16 |
| | | Moodak… | 1327 7/8 | 1,764 1/4 | | 679 3/8 | 79 1/16 | 5 3/8 | 279 3/4 | 684 3/4 | 326 13/16 | 38 1/64 | 1 | 50 7/8 | 327 13/16 |
| | | Cannully | ~ | 32 7/8 | | 121 3/8 | 14 7/64 | ~ | ~ | 121 3/8 | 18 13/16 | 2 3/16 | ~ | ~ | 18 13/16 |
| | | Valvunda… | 672 7/8 | 186 15/16 | | 189 11/16 | 22 1/16 | 13 13/16 | 716 1/16 | 203 1/2 | 22 7/16 | 2 39/64 | 1/4 | 14 | 22 11/16 |
| | | Tandon… | 216 13/32 | 306 15/16 | | 171 15/16 | 20 | 2 5/8 | 136 1/8 | 174 9/16 | 147 3/8 | 17 9/64 | ~ | ~ | 147 3/8 |
| | | Pareyapull… | ~ | 802 7/16 | | 212 3/4 | 24 23/64 | ~ | ~ | 212 3/4 | 90 9/16 | 10 17/32 | ~ | ~ | 90 9/16 |
| | | Combay… | | | | 222 7/8 | 25 59/64 | ~ | ~ | 222 7/8 | 669 1/2 | 77 7/8 | ~ | ~ | 669 1/2 |
| | | Arasadee | 74 9/16 | 516 1/16 | | 156 1/2 | 18 13/64 | ~ | ~ | 156 1/2 | 473 3/4 | 55 | ~ | ~ | 473 3/4 |
| | | Poodoo Co… | ~ | 393 7/8 | | 2870 15/16 | 333 7/8 | 39 3/16 | 2032 41/64 | 2910 1/8 | 3,414 3/16 | 397 1/32 | 211 3/4 | 1,097 31/64 | 3,625 15/16 |
| | | | 3508 1/32 | 7,107 7/16 | | 320 3/16 | 37 19/64 | 1 3/16 | 64 5/8 | 321 7/8 | 393 1/8 | 45 23/32 | 3/16 | 11 13/16 | 393 5/16 |
| Talacoorcky | Talacoorcky | Vallacoorc… | 473 3/32 | 992 5/8 | | 247 7/16 | 28 25/32 | 1 9/16 | 80 1/2 | 249 | 593 1/2 | 69 1/32 | ~ | ~ | 593 1/2 |
| | | Toatticoll… | 5494 5/64 | 1,424 1/4 | | 642 15/16 | 74 25/32 | ~ | ~ | 642 15/16 | 134 7/8 | 15 11/16 | 1/16 | 1 23/32 | 134 15/16 |
| | | Hottera G… | 374 1/4 | 2,302 1/8 | | 1211 1/16 | 140 55/64 | 2 3/4 | 145 1/8 | 1213 13/16 | 1,121 1/2 | 130 7/16 | 1/4 | 13 17/32 | 1,121 3/4 |
| | | | 9341 23/64 | 4,719 | | | | | | | | | | | |

7485*

Fort St. George the 4th May 1848

| Amount of Moturpha in Fusly 1211 | Amount of Jodigy in Fusly 1211 | Amount of payment of Monigars payable by Mouttahdars | Amount of Village Establishment excluded from the Bariz of Fusly 1241 | Enam lands Dry | | Enam lands Wet | | Jodegy Enam lands Dry | | Jodegy Enam lands Wet | | Total Dry | | Total Wet | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Acres | Goontas | acres | Goontas | acres | Goontas | acres | Goontas | acres | Goontas | acres | Goontas |
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | | | | 16 | | | | 17 | | | |
| | | | Pags. Fan. C. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 159 23 16 | 3 20 72 | 2 8 29 15 | 68 24 27 | 51 5/16 | 5 31/32 | ~ | ~ | 15 7/16 | 15/64 | 3 7/8 | 200 | 66 3/4 | 7 49/64 | 3 7/8 | 200 |
| 91 32 67 | ~ ~ ~ | 25 18 19 | 70 29 32 | 39 5/8 | 4 39/64 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 39 5/8 | 4 39/64 | ~ | ~ |
| ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | ~ 16 17 | ~ 32 35 | 2 15/16 | 11/32 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 2 15/16 | 11/32 | ~ | ~ |
| ~ ~ ~ | 1 3 52 | 2 15 32 | 6 38 9 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 8 7/16 | 63/64 | 1/2 | 25 1/2 | 8 7/16 | 63/64 | 1/2 | 25 1/2 |
| ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 2 15 32 | 6 38 9 | 5 15/16 | 11/16 | 3/16 | 10 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 5 15/16 | 11/16 | 3/16 | 10 |
| ~ ~ ~ | ~ ~ ~ | 4 38 74 | 11 40 11 | 19 5/8 | 2 9/32 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 19 5/8 | 2 9/32 | ~ | ~ |
| ~ 27 78 | ~ ~ ~ | 2 7 24 | 4 14 48 | 4 13/16 | 11/16 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 4 13/16 | 9/16 | ~ | ~ |
| 18 27 18 | ~ ~ ~ | 3 2 67 | 10 20 22 | 4 7/16 | 3 3/64 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 4 7/16 | 3 3/64 | ~ | ~ |
| 270 21 14 | 4 24 44 | 69 8 40 | 180 12 33 | 128 11/16 | 14 31/32 | 3/16 | 10 | 23 7/8 | 2 25/32 | 4 3/8 | 225 1/2 | 152 9/16 | 17 3/4 | 4 9/16 | 235 1/2 |
| 57 14 19 | 3 9 34 | 14 18 52 | 42 10 27 | 34 1/4 | 3 63/64 | ~ | ~ | 26 7/8 | 3 1/8 | 2 3/16 | 113 1/4 | 61 1/8 | 7 7/64 | 2 3/16 | 113 1/4 |
| 28 40 75 | ~ ~ ~ | 15 14 15 | 44 32 19 | 13 11/16 | 1 19/32 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 13 11/16 | 1 19/32 | ~ | ~ |
| 65 13 75 | ~ ~ ~ | 19 28 62 | 52 7 56 | 29 | 9 3/8 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 29 | 3 3/8 | ~ | ~ |
| 151 24 9 | 3 9 34 | 49 16 49 | 139 5 22 | 76 5/16 | 8 61/64 | ~ | ~ | 26 7/8 | 3 1/8 | 2 3/16 | 113 1/4 | 103 13/16 | 12 5/64 | 2 3/16 | 113 1/4 |

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby

Sub Collector.

Statement

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 7.

Statement shewing the Rent of the Bamboo bushes from Fusly 1212 to Fusly 1257 in the Nameul Talook in the Zillah of Salem.-

| No. | Fuslies | Rent and Aumany | Bäriz | | | Collections | | | Remission | | | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | Rs | a | P | |
| 1 | 1212 | Aumany | 2491 | 3 | - | 2491 | 3 | - | - | - | - | |
| 2 | 1213 | Ditto | 2840 | 9 | 7 | 2840 | 9 | 7 | - | - | - | |
| 3 | 1214 | Ditto | 2089 | 3 | 10 | 2089 | 3 | 10 | - | - | - | |
| 4 | 1215 | Ditto | 1951 | 13 | 4 | 1951 | 13 | 4 | - | - | - | |
| 5 | 1216 | Ditto | 1310 | 10 | 10 | 1310 | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | |
| 6 | 1217 | Ditto | 2800 | - | - | 2800 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 7 | 1218 | Ditto | 2800 | - | - | 2800 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 8 | 1219 | Ditto | 2800 | - | - | 2800 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 9 | 1220 | Ditto | 2625 | - | - | 2625 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 10 | 1221 | Ditto | 2625 | - | - | 2625 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 11 | 1222 | Ditto | 2625 | - | - | 2625 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 12 | 1223 | Ditto | 2625 | - | - | 2625 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 13 | 1224 | Ditto | 2625 | - | - | 2625 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 14 | 1225 | Ditto | 2625 | - | - | 2625 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 15 | 1226 | Ditto | 2275 | - | - | 2275 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 16 | 1227 | Ditto | 2275 | - | - | 2275 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 17 | 1228 | Ditto | 2275 | - | - | 2275 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 18 | 1229 | Ditto | 1575 | - | - | 1575 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 19 | 1230 | Ditto | 1575 | - | - | 1575 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 20 | 1231 | Ditto | 1480 | - | - | 1480 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 21 | 1232 | Ditto | 1481 | - | - | 1481 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 22 | 1233 | Ditto | 1300 | - | - | 1300 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 23 | 1234 | Ditto | 1450 | - | - | 1065 | 15 | 10 | 384 | - | 2 | Remission was granted in consequence of the Renter and his securities being dead. |
| 24 | 1235 | Ditto | 1130 | - | - | 162 | - | - | 968 | - | - | |
| 25 | 1236 | Ditto | 810 | - | - | 810 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 26 | 1237 | Ditto | 470 | - | - | 470 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 27 | 1238 | Ditto | 300 | - | - | 300 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 28 | 1239 | Ditto | 370 | - | - | 370 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 29 | 1240 | Ditto | 200 | - | - | 200 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 30 | 1241 | Ditto | 210 | - | - | 210 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 31 | 1242 | Ditto | 161 | - | - | 161 | - | - | - | - | - | |
| | | | 72 | - | - | 72 | - | - | - | - | - | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 19 | 1230 | | Qtr. | 1480 | " | " | 1480 | " | " | " | " |
| 20 | 1231 | | Qtr. | 1481 | " | " | 1481 | " | " | " | " |
| 21 | 1232 | | Qtr. | 1307 | " | " | 1307 | " | " | " | " |
| 22 | 1233 | | Qtr. | 1450 | " | " | 1065 | 15 | 10 | 384 | " | 2 | Remainder near quarter took in compartment of the Centre and two Sections being taken |
| 23 | 1234 | | Qtr. | 1130 | " | " | 162 | " | " | 968 | " | | |
| 24 | 1235 | | Qtr. | 810 | " | " | 810 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 25 | 1236 | | Qtr. | 470 | " | " | 470 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 26 | 1237 | | Qtr. | 307 | " | " | 307 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 27 | 1238 | | Qtr. | 370 | " | " | 370 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 28 | 1239 | | Qtr. | 207 | " | " | 207 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 29 | 1240 | | Qtr. | 210 | " | " | 210 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 30 | 1241 | | Qtr. | 161 | " | " | 161 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 31 | 1242 | | Qtr. | 72 | " | " | 72 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 32 | 1243 | | Qtr. | 55 | " | " | 55 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 33 | 1244 | | Qtr. | 78 | " | " | 78 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 34 | 1245 | | Qtr. | 80 | " | " | 80 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 35 | 1246 | | Qtr. | 80 | " | " | 80 | " | " | " | " | | |
| 36 | 1247 | | Qtr. | 80 | " | " | 80 | " | " | " | " | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 12 | 1223 | | Q.tr. | 2625 | " | " | 2625 | " | " | " | " |
| 13 | 1224 | | Q.tr. | 2625 | " | " | 2625 | " | " | " | " |
| 14 | 1225 | | Q.tr. | 2275 | " | " | 2275 | " | " | " | " |
| 15 | 1226 | | Q.tr. | 2275 | " | " | 2275 | " | " | " | " |
| 16 | 1227 | | Q.tr. | 2275 | " | " | 2275 | " | " | " | " |
| 17 | 1228 | | Q.tr. | 2275 | " | " | 2275 | " | " | " | " |
| 18 | 1229 | | Q.tr. | 1575 | " | " | 1575 | " | " | " | " |

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Salem Zillah.

Judges Court.

Original Suit No. 381 of 1821.

| Plaintiff. | | Defendant. |
|---|---|---|
| Nunjayacounden by Vakeel Canke dasee Nauck.- | Vers. | M. D. Cockburn Esqre Collector of Salem by Government Vakeel Pudmanauben.- |

The action was preferred by the Plaintiff as Proprietor of the Valoocorchee Estate to recover possession of certain lands appertaining thereto, as well as of certain sums of money of which he states that he has been illegally deprived by the former & present Collector of Salem.-

The Valoocorchee Muttah comprehending the Villages Valoocorchee Soottygolum and Vadamoogum Candoo, situated in the Talook of Kamkul and bearing a Bariz amounting to 2307 Star Pagodas, was, he alleges purchased by his Father Valaconden in the year Doondoobj (corresponding with 1802/3) at public outcry from the Honorable Company per 1000 Rupees; he states that his Father having died in the year Crodinah (corresponding with 1805/6) a guardian was appointed until he became of age, when



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

| | | Claim | Decision |
|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | 1248 | Amount | 101 |
| 38 | 1249 | Ditto | 135 |
| 39 | 1250 | Ditto | 138 |
| 40 | 1251 | Ditto | 109 |
| 41 | 1252 | Ditto | 135 |
| 42 | 1253 | Ditto | 230 |
| 43 | 1254 | Ditto | 110 |
| 44 | 1255 | Ditto | 80 |
| 45 | 1256 | Ditto | 85 |
| 46 | 1257 | Ditto | 70 |

The Ramdoo Sungum Boundaries of the 3 Mandapams but not in the latter Claim reduced by the Court in their decision as per Report in the Settlement.-

17th April 1848.

(Signed) T. D. Lockhart

Collr.

when he was placed in possession of the estate and laid out considerable sums of money in its improvement, that in the year Easwarah (corresponding with A. D. 1808/9) Mr. Collector Hargrave, without any reason, attached and deprived him of 8 23/64 Vellums of Poonjy lands 15 54/64 of a Vellum of Garden land 7/16 of a Vellum of grass lands, and 185 1/2 Goontahs of Nunjah land situated in the Wadamoogum Village and yielding 307-7-4 Rs. as. p. per annum; that the Collector received from the Ryots 20 Pagodas which was due to him the Plaintiff for the above lands for that year, and collected from him, besides at different times altogether 598 Rupees annas 1 because he had enjoyed them.-

The second aggression which the Plaintiff complains of was, he states, committed by the present Collector Mr. Cockburn who he alleges in the year Vickeramah (corresponding with 1820/21) attached the Moturpha annually producing 200 Rupees 9 annas and seized 7 3/8 Vellums of Punja land 1/4 of a Vellum of Garden land 2 11/32 Vellums of grass land annually yielding 130 Rupees 10 Annas 10 Pice alleging that they were Pommboke lands



lands and not included in his estate.-

The Plaintiff accordingly brought this action to recover possession of the Godoragavel land abovementioned, produ-
cing annually 307-7-4 Rs. a. p.

To recover the sum of 598 Rupees and 1 collected from him because he had enjoyed the said lands as well as 20 Pagodas or 70 Rupees due to him by the Ryots on account of those lands but collected by the Circar.-

Thirdly.- To recover the value of the produce of those lands for the year Easwarah Bazoodaniah Remandy and Vickeramah amounting at 307-7-4 Rs. a. p. per annum to 1229 Rupees 10 annas 4 Pice.-

Lastly.- To be allowed to collect the Moturpha valued at 200 Rupees 9 Pice and to recover 200 Rupees 9 Pice which he should have obtained in the year Vickeramah.-

& Lastly To recover possession of the Pommboke lands yielding annually 130 Rupees 10 Annas 10 Pice as well as 130 Rupees Annas 10 Pice 10 which he would have enjoyed therefrom in the year Vickeramah if those lands had not been taken from him.-

The answer given in by the

the Government Vakeel does not take any notice whatever of the Plaintiffs' claim to recover possession of the Goodoogavel lands and to obtain restitution of 1897-14-4 it states that the Poorumboke lands have been restored but does not admit the Plaintiffs' right to recover the amount which was collected while they were under attachment and denies the right of the Plaintiff to enjoy the Moturpha contrary to the will of Government.-

In reply the Plaintiff urges that when the Estate was assessed not only the Moturpha but also the Poorumboke lands were included in the Beriz and that his right to either was never disputed from the year Doondoly 1802/3 to the year Pre-mandy 1819-20/ excepting on one occasion when there arose a dispute regarding the Moturpha and his claim was admitted by the Board of Revenue and by Govern-ment; and that at the time when the Beriz was fixed and when the Moturpha was included therein & the Mittahs established, neither the Sotemrah Summud the Caboolcats nor the Regulations had been framed and published

In the Rejoinder the Defendant



asserts that at the time the Mittahs were established the tenor of the Regulations and the terms of the deeds had been proclaimed and that the Moturpha was not included in the Beriz that although the Plaintiff has been per-mitted to enjoy the Moturpha by former Govern-ment it was resumed by order of the present Government and that it was always resumable at pleasure by the Circar.-

In regard to the Goodoogavel lands it is urged in the rejoinder that these lands were planted with Bamboos from which and from other Bamboo Jungles the circar derived a separate rent; that the Plaintiff having cut down Bamboos in the lands in dispute the rent was de-creased that the lands were not attached to the Plaintiff's Estate and that he had no right to clear them and to cultivate them.-

Vouchers filed by the Plaintiff-

No. 12. is a Summud-i-Milkeut Sotemerah dated 13th May 1803.-

No. 14. is a receipt by the Nawaeut Tahsildar and his Sheristadar in favor of Nunjaja Coumden for

for Rupees 175 dated 11th Jye.

No. 15 is a Do. — Do. for 50 Star Pagodas dated 25th Mauvee of Easwara.

No. 16 is a Do. — Do. dated 18th Vyasee of Eswara for 91 Rupees.

No. 17 is a Do. Do. dated 19th Audy of Bagoo: danish for 50 Rupees.

No. 18 is a Do. Do. dated 20th Audy of the same year for 50 Rupees.

No. 19 is a Do. Do. dated 30th Auvany of Bagoodanish for 57 Rupees.

No. 27 is an authenticated Copy of an Extract of a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 9th January 1806 together with an authenticated Copy of a letter from the Secretary to Government dated 22d February 1806

No. 28 is an authenticated Copy of an advertisement signed by the Judge of Salem under date 10th May 1806. on the subject of Swornadayem.

No. 29 being Extract from the Statement of the land rent &c. of the Salem Division for Fusly 1211.

Vouchers filed by the Government Vakeel

on the part of the Defendant.

No. 21 is a Caboolcat executed 13th of May 1803 by Vella Gour.



No. 22 is an advertisement bearing the signature W. Cockburn without date.

No. 23 is a Statement shewing the Assessment of several Mootahs in Fusly 1213.

No. 24 is an Advertisement bearing the signature W. Cockburn and dated 28th February 1802 declaring that the Assessments have been formed on the Mootahs exclusive of Swornadayems.

No. 25 is a paper with the signature M. W. Cockburn purporting to be an advertisement dated September 1804.

No. 26 is a paper without signature purporting to be Copy of a Petition presented to the Head Assistant Collector of Salem dated 6th October 1823.

Witnesses examined on the part of the Plaintiff

1. Sempien Sheristadar of Raizepoor Taluk
2. Vyerapermah Pillay Curnum of Wada: moogum.
3. Mootoovera Pillay

Witnesses examined on the part of the Defendant

1. Sempien Seristadar of the Raizepoor Taluk
2. Vyerapermah Pillay Curnum of Wada: moogum.
4. Rungopien.

The Court having taken into consideration the contents of the Documents

Documents abovementioned and the evidence of the Witnesses, and having weighed the arguments urged in the Pleadings as well as by the Vakeels of the parties, proceed to pass Judgment in the Cause.-

As far as regards the claim to the Moturpha and to the amount claimed on account of the detention of the Porumbokes lands in the year Vickramah the Court has already passed judgment in Cause No. 382 of 1821 in which a claim of the same nature was preferred on grounds precisely similar to those on which this cause was brought forward excepting that in this case the Plaintiff is in possession of an Istemrah Summud for his Estate whereas the Plaintiff in that Suit could produce no title deed of that description.-

The Collector of Salem defended the Suit as he did the cause above alluded to, under the authority of Government, and the Court assumes that although the right of the Zemindar of Salem to collect the Sworn adayem was admitted by Government in the year 1806 as appears by the Document No. 27 to have been the case the Govern- ment deem it expedient to que-



that right at present as far as regards the Zemindary of Valoor or Chee and that it is bound to confine itself to the simple question of whether the Government were legally entitled to resume the Sworn adayem or whether they had not the right so to do.-

Section IV Regulation XXV A. D. 1802 reserves to Government the exercise of its discretion in continuing or abolishing the articles of revenue included under the head of "all taxes personal or professional as well as those arising from Markets fairs or bazars" under which the Court considers the Sworn adayem to be included.-

Section III of the same Regu- lation provides that "in all cases of disputed Assessment reference shall be had to the Summud, and judgment shall be given by the Courts of Judicature in conformity to the conditions on which the agreement may have been formed in each particular case".-

Upon reference to the Summud marked No. 12 the Court finds the following provisions in Clause 4th. This permanent assess- ment of the land-tax in your Zemindary is exclusive of the revenue derived from the manufacture and sale of Salt and Saltpetre

Saltpetre exclusive of the Sayer or duties of every description whether by sea or land the entire administration of which the Government reserves by itself; exclusive of Abkarry or tax on the sale of Spirituous liquors and intoxicating drugs; exclusive of the excise which is or may be levied in commodities or articles of consumption; exclusive of all taxes personal & professional as well as of those from Markets, Fairs and Bazars exclusive of Salaried lands /lands exempt from the payment of public revenue/ and of all other alienated lands paying a small quit-rent/ which quit-rent unchangeable by you is included in the assets of your Zemindary/ and exclusive of all lands & Rusoomes heretofore appropriated to the support of Police Establishments The Government reserves to itself the entire exercise of its discretion in continuing or abolishing temporarily or perminently the Articles of revenue included according to the custom & practice of the country under the several heads above stated.

Has the title deed upon which the Plaintiff enjoys his Estate expressly with holds from him the right which he seeks.



seeks to establish, and whatever may be the fact, whether the items of Revenue derived from Swarnadayam were calculated in the amount of Boriz assessed or not, however entitled the Proprietor may be to a remission thereof equal to the amount of Revenue of which he may have been deprived in consequence of the resumption he cannot of right hold the privilege of collecting that Revenue against the will of Government.—

In regard to the claim for the recovery of the amount collected in consequence of the attachment of the Poramboke lands (or lands considered barren) it is to be observed that in Section XX Regulation XXX A.D 1802, the right of the Zemindar to dispose of such lands is recognized and it appears that the Government have virtually admitted the right of the Plaintiff by restoring to him the lands which had been resumed and the Court is of opinion that the claim to recover the amount collected while the lands were held under attachment cannot be justly disputed.—

He

1499 Fort St. George 15th May 1848

The Plaintiffs estimate of the sums so collected by the Government has not been disputed, and the Court therefore decides that the Defendant shall pay to the Plaintiff 102-12-6 on that account.—

In regard to the claim to the possession of the Godoogamul lands yielding 307-7-4 Rs A P per annum, and to recover the sum of 1897-11-4 Rs A P demanded in the Plaint as the amount of the collections and value of the produce of those lands it is to be observed that the Defendant in his Pleadings has not objected either to the amount at which the produce of the lands has been calculated, or denied that the sums alleged by the Plaintiff to have been collected from him and to have been received annually by the Government were actually so obtained, the statement of the Plaintiff in that respect has also in the opinion of the Court been fully confirmed by the evidence of the Witnesses and by the receipts produced by the Plaintiff.—

The lands in question appear to the Court beyond a doubt to form

1500 Fort St. George 15th May 1848

form part of the Village of Wadamoogum Candoo, which is one of the Villages included in the Summud-i-Milkeut or Setumrah granted to the Plaintiffs Father, and of which the Plaintiff is now indisputably the Proprietor on these lands there were growing Bamboos, as well as other jungle when the Plaintiff about three years before they were attached by the Collector cleared and brought them under cultivation, in the year Easwarah the Collector took possession of them and the sum of 598 Rupees 1 Anna was subsequently collected from the Plaintiff as well as some small balances from the Ryots as a refund of the amount levied by the Plaintiff during the time he had cultivated the lands and they have been withheld from him by the Circar ever since that period.

It is urged by the Defendant that these proceedings were adopted because the lands were not included in the Plaintiffs Moottah and that he did not consequently possess the right to bring them under cultivation that they were lands forming part of a Bamboo jungle for

which

which a rent was paid to the Circar and that the amount of such rent has been reduced in consequence of the destruction of the Babul wood with which those lands were covered.—

The evidence of the Carnum Tyerapermah Pillay leaves it a matter of doubt whether the assessment on the lands in question was included in the Barij entered in the Istemerah Summud or not, if it was included, there can hardly be a question but that the Plaintiff was entitled to clear the land and bring it under cultivation, if it was not included in the Barij it still it never could have been the intention of the Government to reserve to itself the proprietary right in lands situated within the Villages granted to the Zemindar, and thereby deprive him at their pleasure of the power to improve his estate by clearing the jungle lands situated within the boundaries of the Villages if such had been the intention, the reservation should have been, and undoubtedly would have been entered in the Istemrah Summud granted when the estate was sold to the Plaintiff's Father, in



as that deed however no such reservation can be discovered. the Proprietor is encouraged to improve and extend the cultivation of the land and to enjoy in confidence the fruits of his own industry. In Section XX Regulation XXV A.D. 1802 also, it is expressly provided that Proprietor of land shall not be prevented from granting Pottahs for clearing and bringing waste lands into cultivation a power which it could not have been deemed in case such lands were the property of Government to be considered

ment.

The Summud Mitkeut Istemrah is the title deed upon which the Plaintiff holds his estate, by that deed the whole of the lands situated within the Village of Wadamogumm Caudoo, with the exception of Lackeraj lands, and lands paying only favorable quit rents are granted to the Proprietor upon his paying annually a fixed sum denominated the Barij of the Estate, and there is no reservation in regard to waste lands of any description though every article of Revenue reserved by the Government is

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

is expressed in Para 4 of that Deed, and however entitled the Circar might have been to remuneration, in consequence of the Plaintiff having cut down Bamboos producing Revenue uncommected with that of the land it was not entitled to the land itself the circumstance of the Plaintiff having set about clearing the jungle must have been well known to the circar Officers at the time of the Plaintiff was not authorized to cut down trees from which the Government derived revenue he ought to have been prevented at the time and not to have been allowed to go to the expence of bringing the land under cultivation and to enjoy it for years without dispute.-

The Court being of opinion that the seizure of the lands called



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

called Godooguel situated in the Village of Wadamsoguru Candoo in the Valoocondee Mittah, was an illegal appropriation. Decrees that the above mentioned lands yielding annually 307 Rupees 7 Annas 4 Pice shall be restored to the Plaintiff by the Defendant and that the latter shall pay to the former the sum of 1897 Rupees 13 Annas 4 Pice received on account of those lands by the Officer of Government up to the year Vickeramah inclusive, and that together with restitution of Rupees 102-12- Annas 6 Pice on account of the produce of the Porombake lands, the whole of the costs of Suit excepting those on the amount claimed under the head of Mesne profits shall be paid by the Defendant as

7505 Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

as hereunder detailed.

Plaintiffs Costs

Value of Stamp Paper 12. 8.

Batta to Summons Peon 1. .

Pleaders fees 143. 4. 9 271. 12. 9

Defendants Costs

Value of Stamp Paper 36. 8. .

Pleaders fees 143. 4. 9 179. 12. 9

Total Costs Rs 451. 9

The amount of the Costs of the Plaintiff on the amount decreed 63 " 5

The amount of the Costs of the Defendant on the amount decreed 388-9

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Zillah Court at Salem on this 27th day of April A-D-1824-

(Signed) John Bird
Judge

(True Copy)
(Signed) W-C-Lockhart
Collector

C.H.B.

Inserted these Rs. 451.9 in my Ledger of the Land Rent & Sundries of the Several Estates

7506 Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 8.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 16th September 1847.

Read the following letter from the Collector of Salem dated 1st September 1847.

/Here enter No. 85/

/Resolved that an attested Copy of appendix No. 6 which accompanied Major Macleod's letter dated 11th November 1800 be transmitted to the Collector of Salem as requested in the foregoing letter

/A true Extract/

/Signed/ D. White
Acting Secretary

Appendix No. 6.

The Detail of District Licences in Fusly 1209.

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | Tamarind Plantations | 1030 | " | " |
| 2. | Arrack Farms | 4015 | 14 | 7 |
| 3. | Bamboo Farms | 1000 | " | " |
| 4. | Palankeen Bamboo Do. | 36 | 1 | 50 |
| 5. | Honey Do. | 17 | 27 | 78 |
| 6. | Saltpetre Do. | 27 | 6 | 78 |
| 7. | Oil men Do. | 185 | 2 | " |
| 8. | Rapillwurry * Do. | 162 | 46 | 8 |
| 9. | Jungle Do. | 150 | " | " |
| 10 | Beetle Do. | 101 | 1 | 34 |
| 11 | Fisheries Do. | 126 | " | " |
| | | 6904 | 9 | 5 |

* Shepherds Grass Rent

The article No. 1 is the Rent of Tamarind Plantations

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Plantations which are scattered over the bounds of different Villages, and on that account are not included in the Poornadaum of Villages. Of the whole amount 1000 Pagodas are in Shendammungalum which is the rent of Plantations along the bottom of a range of Mountains. These plantations are let annually for a Specific Rent according to the offers made and varying from 800 to 1100 Pagodas. There are 20 Pagodas in Shinkil drooguden in Trichinopoly for Tamarind Plantations in the different parts of those Districts that are not inserted in the Poornadaum of the Villages.

No 3 is the Bamboo Farm of Shandammungalum. This is for the privilege of cutting Bamboos and other wood in the Hills of the District, to be sold or exported to the Trichinopoly Provinces. The Inhabitants of Shandammungalum, Samaul and Paramuttay Districts are allowed to cut whatever materials they may require for their own private use without being subject to pay any duty to the Bamboo Renter.

No 4 is a Farm for the privilege of farming and carrying away Palankeen Bamboos from the Hills independent of the other Bamboo farmers.

No 5 is the exclusive privilege of gathering Honey in four Separate Districts, Salem, Shendammungalum, Shinkygherry and Bomalore.



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No 6 is the amount of rent paid in one District Shendammungalum, for the privilege of making Saltpetre. It is paid by the Headmen of the Caste that manufactures it, the amounts fixed, not variable.

No 7 is a Tax paid from time immemorial by the oilmen in the Town of Salem, who are exempted from paying road duties for oil seeds they may bring from any part of Salem, Chennagherry and Belloor Districts.

No 8 is a fixed Rent paid by the Caste of Shepherds called Munda Gollars, for the privilege of grazing their Flocks in the Districts of Salem, Chennagherry, Belloor and Bomalore.

No 9 is the rent at which a Single near Shenkigerry is farmed. The Revenue which its renter derives from it, is produced by letting its lands for pasture and for Tamarind Trees within it. Its extent is eight or ten square Miles.

No 10 is a rent arising from a Beetle farm in Shenkegherry which has been discontinued Since the beginning of Fusly 1210.

No 11 is the rent of the privilege of fishing in such Tanks as are not inserted in the Village Poornadaumm. This article is only

only in Vomaloor and Errepandy.

/Signed/ William Macleod
Collector

Paramenthy - - -
11th November 1806

/A true Copy/
/Signed/ D. White
Ag Secretary

/True Copies/
/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ F. S. Mallby
Sub Collr.

No. 2.

Extract of a letter from the Secretary to the Special Commission Dated 8th September 1802

Para 5 The Special Commission directs you to add to the amount of the proposed permanent Jumma whatever item of the District licences noted in Major Macleod's appendix No as can consistently with the principles of the permanent System be included with the fixed Jumma of the Estates, wherein such items are collected, leaving the amount to be added to your discreation, but reserving in the hands of Government the revenue arising from spiritous liquors, Salt Extracted from the earth, and Salt petre. The extensive Jungles &c. of whence a small Revenue is derived by renting the Cutting of wood You will reserve as a Government State, and as the best means of clearing it you will publish that all persons are at liberty to cut wood from thence free of charge provided



they take it up by the roots. Wood attempted to be carried away without having taken up the root to be liable to seizure and the party to fine, if proved before the Adalut as the Lands are cleared they may be given in lease on Pattahs rising to the full assessment in any number of years it may appear to you reasonable.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. R. Hargrave
Collector

Filed 2d April 1807.

/Signed/ T. Anstruther
Judge

/True Copy/
/Signed/ F. S. Mallby
Sub Collector

No. 3.

Extract of a letter to the Secretary to the Special Commission dated 17th January 1803.

Para 6. In the 6th Paragraph of your letter I am directed to abolish the tax upon the cutting of wood in a certain Jungle in the District of Shenkerry droog the Collector of which has hitherto been made by the means of a farmer and the Revenue paid by him inserted with the farms and Licences upon condition that the wood shall be taken up with the roots and the ground cleared in this way to be considered the property of Government In enquiring concerning this of the people in the custom of cutting wood in the Jungle it appears that

the

the labour it would require to root out the trees is much more expensive than the amount of tax they ever pay for cutting them and the were they even entirely excluded from the Jungle the inconvenience would never ba balance the trouble and loss of time required to take up the trees by the roots, the Revenue from the farms shall therefore be collected as usual. The amount of it is Pagodas 25 the greatest portion of which sum is collected from the sale of the fruit of the tam rind trees and honey. The small sum collec by means of the tax on cutting the wood is determined by the number of persons in each of the families in the Custom of resorting thither for wood and varies from ¼ to 2 Rupees a year on each as the amount so collected does not exceed one sixth part of the whole as stated

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / E. R. Hargrave
Collector

/ Filed 2d April 1807.
/ Signed / T. Aufrere
Judge

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

1 Decree of Bills & Comm.rs dated 5th Sept.r 1821
2 Settlement of the Lagaum Land in the Tamul Talook
3 Decree of Comm.rs dated 2d April 1807





No. 4.

Extract of a Letter from the Secretary to the Special Commission dated 3d March 1803.

Para 4th The Special Commission approve the arrangement you have made for the future collection of the small revenue derived from the Jungle of Shenkerry droog

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / E. R. Hargrave
Collector

Filed 2d April 1807.
/ Signed / T. Aufrere
Judge

/ True Copy /
/ Signed / F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

No. 7.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Gentlemen,

1st With reference to the 62d para of my address to your Board under date 6th July 1825. I beg to submit for your consideration the documents mentioned in the margin.

2 These would have been laid before your Board and the whole question brought to your notice at a much earlier period, had

I

would certainly have been granted on the usual
a fair remuneration for the same.

7 The Decree states that the compl-
-ants were allowed to enjoy without dispute
the land in question for three years - this
seems to be a mistake, as the lands were
sequestered in 1828 and the balances of the
two years preceding were collected. The fact
is, that no complaints of any kind to any au-
-thority was made till the Perumboke lands
were given up, which induced the Mottahdars
to assert their right to all lands within their
Estates with the exception of Lackeraj &c., and
which the Court has decreed to them in the
suit under review; forming its reasons, it
seems to me, on general principles; and that
it was not aware at the time of there existing
a precedent for a different decree in a
Judgement given by the Court on precisely a
similar Case many years ago.

8. With reference to the above
remark, I beg to submit that some time
after the suit was decided, information was
obtained that the Decree was quite contrary
to an Established resolution of the Special
Commission, on which the Court had acted on
a previous occasion, and after a laborious
search



search of all the records, which necessarily
occupied much time, a Decree of the Court
dated 2nd April 1807, was found, where-
-in Rommodapilley Mottahdar of Senkerry
droog &c. are the Plaintiffs versus the Collector
for the Ghidayanual lands and their produce
within that Mottah. This is exactly a case
in point, Copy of the Decree I have the
honor to submit for your information,
and solicit your Board to refer to the
following letters (which are not to be found
in this Office) Para 5 Secretary of the
Special Commission to the Collector,
dated 8th September 1802. Para 6 from the Col-
-lector the Special Commission dated 17th
January 1803, Para 1. from Special Commission
to the Collector dated 3rd March 1803 - From
the whole of the above Documents more
particularly the Decree of the Court as
affecting the present claims, it will be
seen that (to use the words of the Court)
"the complainants have no manner of
concern whatever in the Ghidayanual,
and that so far from my Predecessor having
been guilty of an "illegal appropriation," he
seems to have been quite justified in acting as

he

I not been prevented partly by the litigious disposition of the Mottahdars, who claiming much more than I thought the indulgence of Government would grant, threw every obstacle in the way of a final settlement, so that if they have been inconvenienced they have only themselves to blame and partly from the time requisite to ascertain the correct boundaries of the lands, examination of old accounts &c.

3d. The decree of the Court refers to three separate points, Viz.t Moturpka, Pennumbokee and Giddagavul lands, the first being nearly adjusted, the second having long since been given up to the Mottahdars, I have only to solicit the attention of your Board to the third or last, and which the Court has declared to be the right of the Mottahdars.

4. These lands formerly were covered with strong thick Bamboo Jungles, interspersed with trees, many of which were Tamarind and they were preserved in that state as a fence to the small native forts, in many instances this fence extended several miles in breadth; the produce of these Jungles were always considered the property of the Circar, and from them principally was derived the Bamboo and Tamarind rent, which may be



be worth Rupees 5000 one year with another.

5. The accompanying Copy of the Courts Decree relates only to the Giddagavul lands situated in the Village of Wadama: =gunkand attached to the Moottah of Valacoorchee, but the claims as far as can at present be ascertained of two other Mottahdars are included in the statement now submitted, several more, both in the Nawabcul and Shenkerry droog Talooks, have lately preferred extensive claims to similar lands, but I trust to be excused from taking them into consideration, till I have been favoured with your instructions.

6. The total quantity of land claimed is nearly 800 Acres, from the natural produce of which Government derived an annual revenue till Fusly 1226; at that time part of these lands having been brought under cultivation, they were sequestered by my Predecessor, as the Mottahdars had no right to enrich themselves by injuring the public revenue; if they were desirous of clearing the land (which they must have known would injure the Government revenue) they should have asked permission which would

he did, being supported not only by the positive orders of the special Commission but by the Decree of the Court.-

9 The land shewn in the last col.d of the statement, is the whole Ghidagaval which is contained within the boundaries of the several Villages attached to these three Mootahs: this is certainly not included in the Aneegaval on which the Permanent Settlement was made although it forms part of the Ryacut of the several Villages.-

Salem
Mooroongaputty
3rd July 1826.

(Signed) M.D. Cockburn
Collr

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Sub Collr



To The Collector in the Zillah of Salem

Sir

Para 1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 3rd ultimo submitting for the consideration of the Board a copy of a Decree passed against you in your Official capacity by the Zillah Court of Salem in an Original Suit No. 381 of 1821.

2. The Board observe that this is one of the Suits on which you reported under date the 18th October 1822 and which you discussed as relating entirely to the questions then at issue concerning Moturpha, although the principal object of the Plaintiffs suit in this Case was to recover possession of certain Ghidagaval Lands which had been assumed by the Collector on the part of the Circar, but which the Plaintiff claimed as forming part of his Moottah of Valacorchee in the Talook of Namcul. The Board further observe that you likewise omitted to notice the Plaintiffs claim to recover possession of the Ghidagaval Land in the answer filed by the Government Vakeel in the Zillah Court and that although in your rejoinder you asserted generally that the Plaintiff had

had no right to cultivate these lands, and that they were not attached to his Estate, your assertions were unsupported by proof and the Cause was accordingly decided against you.-

3- After the lapse of more than two years from the date of the Decree you have for the first time brought it to the notice of the Board, when even if there appeared good and sufficient grounds for appealing an appeal could not be preferred on account of your delay.-

Date of Decree 27th April 1824-

4 It may be inferred from the first part of your letter that after the decision of the Zillah Court, you thought the claim of the Government to the lands in question to be untenable, and that you only deferred your report on the particular case in the hope of making a general settlement with the Mocottahdars, but it appears from the conclusion that you are of opinion, that the Mocottahdars have "no manner of concern with the Giddegawel lands," and that the assumption of the particular land sought to be recovered in this suit was in every respect just and legal.-

5 This opinion you have formed upon information which you had not obtained when the suit was under trial but which you



might have obtained if you had searched your records before, as you did after it was decided; you have found it appears a Decree of the Salem Court passed in 1807 in a Case wherein the Mocottahdars of Senkerry droog were Plaintiffs against the Collector for the Giddagavel lands within that Mocottah in which Decree it is declared on the authority of certain correspondence between the Special commission and the Collector, that the Giddagavel lands of Senkerry droog are the property of the Honorable Company.-

Dated 2nd April

To the Collector 8th Sept 1802

From 2: 19th Jany 1803.

To 2: 3rd March...

6- You observe that this is a case exactly in point, but the Board have some doubt regarding it for on referring to the correspondence specified in the Decree of 1807 it appears that it was only in Senkerry droog that a reservation was specially made of a particular tract of land covered with jungle which the Board take to be the Giddagavel land alluded to in the Decree; and the inference is that in the rest of the Mocottahs the Jungly lands or Giddagaval was given up to the purchasers generally

No 9 in Major Macleod's appendix.

generally without reserve. you admit indeed that "it forms part of the Ayacut of several Villages." but you assert that it is certainly not included in the average Beriz on which the permanent Settlement was formed. This however remains to be proved and the Board desire that you will immediately state the grounds upon which you affirm it, so positively

You will also be pleased to explain the cause of your extraordinary delay in bringing the Decree of the Court in Nos of 1821 to the notice of the Board, by which the public interests might have been materially affected since by the lapse of time an appeal is precluded.-

Fort St George
7th August 1826

(Signed) J. Dent
Secretary

(True Copy)

(Signed) F. N. Malby
Sub Collect.t



To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Gentlemen,

I have received your Secretary's letter under date the 7th of August 1826, and with reference thereto I have the honor to submit for your consideration the Statements and accounts noted in the Margin..

No 1- Statement of Giddagaval lands claimed by Mootahdars
No 2- Do Do of Valaconchey
No 3- Packet of Original Documents

agreeably to the Maharatta List.

In No 1 it will be seen that the total quantity of Giddagaval lands obtained by the Mootahdars, is 1153 15/16 acres (see Col: 7) and that of the above land is included in the average of their Estates only 323 13/16 acres which deducted from the total quantity leaves 830 2/16 acres as a disputed claim.-

In the 19th Column of the Hindoovee Statements submitted with my letter under date the 3rd July 1826, it will be seen that I did not deny their claim to the above portion of the Giddagaval land, which appears to be included in the average of their Estates and which it is admitted in the above letter that the whole Giddagaval forms part of the Ayacut, but is not

not included in the average it was only intend-
-ed that the above expression should be applica-
-ble to those lands which are not included in
the average; and it remains for your Board to
determine on inspection of the documents now sub-
-mitted whether the Moottahdars are entitled to
the whole Giddagaval lands or only to such
part of them as appears to have been origin-
-ally included in their Estates.-

It is I think apparent that if
the Special Commission intended to give up
these lands to the Moottahdars they would
have included the whole in the average of
the Estates and I may be permitted to re-
-mark that these lands have for ages past
under all Governments, whether Hindoo, Mohom-
or British, invariably been reckoned Circaranbagar lands exclusively the rights of
Government and that the Moottahdars never
considered themselves entitled to them until
the decision of Government was passed res-
-pecting their right to Porumboke, which
not being included in the average, they for
the first time thought they had a right
to all lands situated under similar cir-
-cumstances.-



The substance of N: 2 being in-
-cluded in N: 1. it is clearly submitted as further
explanatory of the Statement prevously sent to your
Board.- With reference to the 1st part of
the 5th Para of the letter to which this is a re-
-ply. I beg leave to state that the copy of the
Decree of the Salem Court passed in 1807. was
obtained from the Court. and is not to be found
among st the records of my Office, nor did
I know of the existence of that decision till a
short time previous to my last address on this
subject. the delay occasioned in reporting the
case to your Board arose from the Moottah-
-dars themselves objecting to any investigation
being made respecting the lands they claimed
as they were desirous of obtaining the whole Ghid-
-dagaval lands included in the accounts.-

If your Board should deem
it necessary, an Appeal I think may still
be made in consideration of the peculiar
circumstances of the Case under Clause Second
Section

section VI. Regulation XV of 1816.-

Salem - - - -
28th November 1826.

(Signed) H. D Cockburn
Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

To The Collector in the
Zillah of Salem

Sir,

Para 1st I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th November, in reply to receive of the 7th August last.-

2- To enable the Board to judge whether it was extended, on the formation of the permanent Settlement, to surrender the Giddagy and lands to the Mocottahdars it is only necessary to ascertain whether they are included in Column 3 of the Statement A which accompanied the letter of the Special Commission to the Collector in the Baramahl, under date the 8th September 1802. This information you will be pleased to afford without delay.-

Fort St George
8th January 1827.

(Signed) J. Dent
Secretary

(True Copy)
(Signed) F.N. Maltby
Sub Collector



To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

Gentlemen, I have received your Secretary's letter under date the 8th January last and in reply to the 2nd Para I beg leave to submit the two accompanying Statements.-

The total stated No 1 acres 1153. 15½ as

Col. 12. 2. is the quantity claimed by the Mocottahdars of this

Col. 13 quantity acres 323-13½ are included in the average

Col. 17 of the Mocottah acres 340 is included in Column 3. Statement A as Laike Bunjer and altho' not included in the average was on the formation of the Permanent Settlement given up to the Mocottahdars.-

The total quantity for which

Col. 20. 3. their claims are well founded is 663-13½ acres of which 340 acres they have never possessed since the Mocottahs were formed.-

The remainder or 490-2. acres

4 is not included in Column 3 Statement A nor in the general average on which the Permanent Settlement was formed.-

The above total quantity

5 of land is stated from the accounts but it was never actually measured or surveyed

a

a rough estimate was only made in such cases— I have always found the actual quantity on measurement to exceed two or three times the quantity specified in accounts.—

6 The above enquiry has led me to refer to the 6th Para of your Secretary's letter under date 7th August 1826 in order to ascertain whether any of the Ghedda gavel lands — — in the Shenkerry droog Pgna Moottahs reserved by Government to themselves and whose right to them has been decreed by the Court are includ-ed in the average of these Moottahs and on this subject I have the honor to submit State-ment No 2.—

7 The Ghiddagaveal lands of Shenkerry droog are situated within seven Moot-four of which have reverted to Government and three are still Saurce but I have included in the Statement the whole Seven in order more fully to elucidate the subject.—

8 The total quantity of Ghid-dagaveal lands is 3593 9/16 acres and 1361 Tam-marind trees of this quantity 54 2 5/16 acres and 304 Trees included in the average of the Moottahs Column 16 Shews 63 acres 7/16 the quantity included in

Col: 9 No 2 11 — 13.



in Column 3 Statement A and in professsion of the Moottahdars the quantity stated in Column 18- 6,221 12/16 acres is the quantity included in Column 3 Statement A and which consequently it is presumed the Moottahdars had a right to but which the special Commissioner reserved for Government and whose rights have been upheld by the decree of Court dated 2nd April 1807 and enclosed in my letter to your address under date the 3rd July 1826 so that the total quantity in professsion of the Moottahdars and Circar is 6827 8/16 acres and Trees 304 the whole of which is included in Column 3 State-ment A.—

9 Columns 22 to 26 inclusive shews the quantity of Poromboke land deducted from the Ayacut and added to the Giddagavel being 29 11 13/16 acres and 1057 Trees Column 22 Contain-ing 59 13/16 acres the quantity cultivated by the Moottahdars which they have always professed and the remainder 29.052 in addition to that stated in Column 18 was originally reserved to the Circar and has been always held since.—

Col: 24.

(Signed) M. D. Cockburn
Collector.

Salem Collr's Catcherry
23rd March 1827. — —

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

Extract

Fort St George 15th May 1848

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 20th July 1835.

Para 1. Read again Petition No 1469 of 1833 from the Proprietor of the Moottah of Peraipully in the Talook of Nameul in the Zillah of Salem enclosed in a letter from the officiating Secretary to Government dated 13th August 1833 No 1820.-

2. The Petitioner claims the restoration of certain lands which were uncultivated and covered with Jungle at the time of Permanent Settlement as forming a part of his Moottah. The lands in question were resumed by the Collector as having been specially reserved by Government together with other lands covered with Jungle, generally denominated Gadagawul Lands, which have yielded a separate revenue to Government in the shape of a rent for the previlege of cutting the Jungle since the permanent Settlement as well as before.-

3. In the Statement ordered to be published for the information of persons intending to purchase Moottahs after the permanent Settlement was formed the lands to be conveyed to the purchasers of each Moottah were specified under three distinct heads Viz 1st Land cultivated

Statement No 1. B Col 1-2-3 Encl in Letter to Govt 27th Aug 1802.



Fort St George 15th May 1848.-

cultivated in Fusly 1211- 2nd Land cultivated in former different periods 3rd Waste lands that were never cultivated but capable of cultivation. It was from this Statement that the purchasers were to make themselves acquainted with the Extent of land to which they become entitled. In the case of the Moottah of the Petitioner it appears from a Statement furnished by the Collector under date the 23rd March 1827 that the land in question was not included among the waste lands under the 3rd head in the Schedule and it is clear from No 6 of the appendix to the report of the Collector in which the Special Committee formed the permanent Settlement that the Jungle or Giddagawul lands not included in the Schedule were reserved on account of the Revenue derived from them by forming the previlege of cutting Bamboos and other wood. These lands then coming under the description of Ghiddagawul and being excluded from the Schedule in which the property of the Moottahdars is specified his claim to it appears to be groundless.-

Appendix No 6 enclosure in Major McLeods letter dated 11th November 1800. Remark No 3 the Bamboo Farm of Shendamangalam. This is for the previlege of cutting Bamboo and other wood in the Hills of the District to be sold or exported to the Trichinopoly Provinces. The inhabitants of Shendamangalam Nameul and Paramutty Districts, are allowed to cut whatever materials they may require for their own private use without being subject to pay any duty to the Bamboo Renter.-

In

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

From the Collr 3rd July 1826.

4 In the case of the Valvecoorchee Moottah to which the Petitioner refers, it appears from the Statement furnished by the Collector above referred to, that the lands claimed by him were included in the Schedule, there is therefore no ground to dispute the correctness of the Decree passed in that case.

5. The above decision if approved by Government will be applied by the Principal Collector to all other similar cases in which the right of Government to the Ghedduguvul lands has been disputed and he will make an adjustment according of the sums in deposite on this account as stated in his letter of the 27th February 1833.

6. Ordered that a copy of these Proceedings be submitted to Government.

(True Extract)
(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 1st August 1835.

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the decision of the Board of Revenue on the claim preferred by the Proprietors of the Moottah of Parcypallam to the Geddugavul lands referred to in the foregoing Proceedings and of their instructions to the Principal Collector to apply the principal on which that decision has been formed to all other similar cases in which the right of Government to such lands has been disputed and to adjust accordingly the sums in deposit on this account.

(Signed) H. Chamier
Chief Secretary

To The Principal Collector of Salem

(True Copies)
(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Secretary

for his information and guidance

(A True Copy)
(Signed) John Ott
1st Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

(True Copies)
(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 9.

Extract from a Report on the Settlement of the District for Fuoly 1230 under date the 22nd May 1821.

The next Statement submitted for your consideration Viz.t Jammaabundy of Porumbokes Lands and Motarpha of the Salvee Moottahs may be deemed of considerable importance. It is unnecessary for me to mention that Porumbokes Lands are those, which consist of roads, sites of towns and houses, Forts and beds of Tanks &c.a all of which were written in the Survey accounts under the Head now stated, and were not included in the regular assessment, nor in the Permanent Settlement for a short time after the introduction of which the rent of such Lands of this sort, as were cultivated was collected, but for the last 15 years the whole has been given up to the Moottahdars. the only heads from which this revenue is derived are the two last mentioned, namely Forts and beds of Tanks. The total of Punjah cultivation is acres 537 $\frac{50}{2}$ and the rent is Rupees 6,557 - 1 - 7 Punjah acres 709 - 14 $\frac{1}{2}$ Rent Rupees 501 - 10 - 9. Total cultivated acres 635 - 15 $\frac{3}{4}$ Rent Rupees 3478 - 1 - 6 Total acres 6,725 - 13 $\frac{1}{4}$ and the total rent Rupees 15,046 - 13 - 10 - next comes the Tax on Trees growing on Porumboke Lands which amounts to Rupees 526 - 13 - 10 making a grand Total of Rupees 15,573 - 11 - 8 -

It remains to be determined by

6. your

Fort St. George 15th. May 1848.

your Board whether this sum shall be collected or given up to the Mootahdars. I am quite satisfied they cannot claim it is a right and as it appears to me to be a fair and legitimate source of Revenue to Government it became my duty to point it out. it has been by no means an uncommon practice for the Mootahdars to destroy the Tanks in order that they might cultivate the beds of them which being Parumboke Lands they got rent free, and afterwards selling the property of the Ryots who from want of water had no means to cultivate their Lands. in Ramen Tal[illegible] alone the rent of Parumboke Lands under Cultivation amount to Rupees 6437-12-11 nearly the whole of this sum is paid by two Mootahdars both of whom have destroyed their Tanks for the above purpose, the consequence is that their Mootahs will in all probability revert to Government this year.

/True Extract/

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby.

Sub Collector.

---

No. 2.

Revenue Departt.

To The Collector in the Zillah of Salem

Para 1. Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter



Fort St. George 15th. May 1848.

letter of the 22nd. May last.

2. The Board will take an early opportunity of furnishing you with their proceedings in detail on the subject of the Settlement made by you for fusly 1230 mean while I am directed to inform you with reference to paras 5 and 8 of your report now acknowledged that the interference to which you have subjected the Mootahdars in the Saurce estates is considered by the Board to be irregular.

3. any revenue which a Mootahdar may be able to derive from the beds of tanks or ground situated within the walls of Forts is clearly his right, and as the afects on which the Permanent assessment was calculated include the collections from Motupha the Board desire you will not interfere with that branch of Revenue in the Saurce Mootahs until same arrangement shall have been made for making up to the Mootahdars the loss they will sustain by its being resumed by the Government.

/Signed/ R. Clark.

Secretary.

Fort St. George,
20th. September 1821.

/True Copy/

/Signed/ F. N. Maltby

Sub Collector

No. 908. No. 3.

To. The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Gentlem.

Paras 1. I am directed to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

the receipt of the Extract from your Proceedings under date the 4th of October, reporting on the Settlement of Salem for fusly 1230 - and on the future Settlement of that district.

2. With respect to the Parumboke lands, the Governor in Council agrees in your opinion that if not expressly, they were at least understood to be included in the permanent Settlement, and therefore that no Collections should be made from them on account of the Circar; with respect to the Moturpha, it is observed to be stated in your Proceedings that it was included in the which the permanent assessment was calculated; but no similar Statement is found in the Collectors reports - Further enquiry should be made in order to ascertain whether it was included or not, as that fact is considered to be decisive of the question at issue - If it was so included, the Mootahdars should be allowed to collect it, but otherwise they have no right to the Collections.

3. The Governor in Council appears of your observations with regard to the lands which were allowed by the former Collector



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Collector to be Cultivated on Cowle in the Mhtoor talook, and also to the principles on which the present Collector proposes to conduct the Settlement of such part of the district as have reverted to Government.

(Signed) D. Hill
Secretary to Govt.

Fort St. George 7th December 1821

(True Copy)
(Signed) (name illegible)
Asst. Jr. Dy. Secretary

(True Copy)
(Signed) F. St. Maltby
Sub Collector.

No. 4.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir

Para 1. With reference to the letters from Government dated the 5th March and 3rd August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit to the Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence with the Collector of Salem, by which the Government will see that the Board have not been deficient in their endeavours to obtain from the Collr in their endeavours to obtain from the Collr such

To the Collector 7th June 1824
To Do. 8th July
To Do. 13th Letter
From Do. 8th Octr.

To the Collector 20th Sept. 1821.
To Do 8th Jany. 1824
To Do 27th Jany. "
To Do 16th Feby. "
To Do 24th May "
To Do 2d June "
To Do 7th Oct. } Submitted
To Do 13th Do } to Govt. 4th Nov. with the actual charges.

such information as would enable them to determine satisfactorily whether the Mootlahdars of Salem were or were not entitled to collect the Moturpha in their Estates, in consequence of the items which compose that head of Collection being included or excluded from the Assets on which the permanent Bariz was fixed, and that the Board have repeatedly and peremptorily directed the refund of all sums received by the Collector on account of the Cattiaction of Perumboke Land[illegible] the Saury Mootlahs.

2. Mr. Cockburn in his last letter dated the 8th Ultimo endeavours to exculpate himself from the charge of neglect and disregard of the orders of the Board and Government in both the particulars referred to, by stating, with what justice it will remain for the Honorable the Governor in Council to determine, that the displeasure expressed at his conduct by the Government had been brought upon him "merely by his having been the means of carrying the "order of the Board into effect." With regard to the repayments on account of Perumboke

Lands





From Sec. 7th Dec. 1821.

Lands he refers to his letter of 2d June in which he states that the orders of the Board of the 16th February preceding had been carried into execution.

The Board had the honor of

3. submitting with their proceedings of 4th October 1821, Copy of a letter which they had addressed to the Collector under date the 20th September preceding, in which they informed him that his having made the Collections in question was considered irregular, and that any revenue which a Mootahdar might be able to derive from the beds of tanks on the ground within the walls of Forts, was clearly his right. The Government sanctioned this opinion by their orders on the Board's proceedings, which were duly communicated to the Collector. The Board's letter to which Mr. Cockburn has now referred, of the 16th February 1824, was in reply to a question submitted by Mr. Cockburn in a letter dated 27th January, preceding, whether the whole sum collected in Fusly 1230 on account of Perumboke Lands in Saury Mootahs, was to be returned. the sum was stated at Rupees 13436. 10 - 10.

The subsequent correspondence

4. would go rather to shew that all claims which the parties had

From the Coll.r 8th October. para 3.

To the Collector 7th Oct.r From Dp. 13th Dc.

had brought forward to the Collector had been satisfied, than that the Collector had taken any pains to rectify the error he had committed. It would appear that he satisfied himself with publishing a Notification instead of sending for the people to whom repayment was to be made, and the consequence of this negligent procedure was, that although he had stated on the 27th of January the total amount of remission to be Rupees 13,436-10-10, he applied under date the 17th of September for Sanction for Rupees 15839-14- as the amount paid on that account, and on the Board's requiring explanation of this difference he stated a further remission to have been made by him, and that the real sum repaid was Rupees 17174-6-4.

Rs a p

5. On the Subject of the Collection of Moturpha, the Collector in enumerating the successive orders passed on the subject, has overlooked the directions contained in the letter above referred to, of 20th September 1821 which contained the following passage.

Para 3 "As the assets on which the Permanent "Assessment was calculated, included "the Collections from Moturpha,

the



"the Board desire you will not interfere "with that branch of Revenue in the Jaury Mootahs "until some arrangement shall have been made "for making up to the Mootahdars the loss "they will sustain by its being resumed by the Government."

The Board in conclusion have 6. only to express their regret at the ill success of their endeavours and to assure the Honorable the Governor in Council, that as soon as they receive the information called for in their letter to the Collector of 13th September they will lose no time in submitting the fullest report they may be enabled to furnish on the subject of the Moturpha in the Mootahs of Salem and Baramahl.

I have the honor to be
Gentlemen
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) J. Dent
Secretary.

Fort St. George,
8th November 1848

To
The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

Gentlemen.

Para 1. I am directed by the Honorable the Governor in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your Secretary's letter No. 536 of the 8th Instant submitting your correspondence with

with the Collector of Salem regarding the repayment of the Collections on Perumbokè lands, and the right of the Mootaldars in his District to receive the Motarpha.

2nd. You have justly noticed the Collectors negligence in stating the Collections made from Perumbokè lands in Fusly 1230 at three different sums. He has also been exteemely dilatory in repaying those collections your first orders for that purpose were dated the 20th of September 1821, yet it does not appear that he took any steps in consequence before the Month of February last, and of this delay no explanation is given. The Collector has also omitted to explain why he forced the Plaintiffs in the cases referred to in Mr Hills letters of the 5th of March and 3d of August last, to sue him for the recovery of collections made from their Perumbokè lands when he had not authority only but direct orders to refund them. His conduct in these instances can only be accounted for on the supposition that he did not consider your orders of the 20th of September 1821 as retrospective. That such was actually his impression, may perhaps be inferred from his letter to you of the 27th of January last in which he requested

your



your instructions respecting the repayment to the Mootaldars of the whole sum collected from Perumbokè lands in Fusly 1230, a reference however to the 16th Paragraph of your Proceedings under date the 4th of October 1821 ought to have removed his doubts on this subject; and if he still entertained any he ought to have submitted them at an earlier period.

3d. With regard to the Motarpha collections the Collector does not appear to be equally blamable. It is true that you directed him, in your letter of the 20th September 1821, "not to interfere with that branch of "Revenue in the Jaury Mootaks, until some "arrangement should have been made for "making up to the Mootaldars the loss they "would sustain by its being resumed by the "Government." The subsequent correspondence seems however to have led the Collector to look upon this order as not final, and he probably considered your letter of the 13th of January 1823, as a virtual revocation of it on this point, therefore the Governor in Council acquits Mr Cockburn of having wilfully contravened your instructions.

4th. The Governor in Council hopes that the detailed accounts and information which

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

which you have required from the Collector by your letter of the 13th of September last will lead to a satisfactory decision of this longagitated question.

Fort St. George
3d December 1824

/Signed/ J. Stokes
/Secretary to Government

/True Copies/
/Signed/ J. B. Morris
Deputy Secretary.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ F. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

No.

The Collector in the
Zillah of Salem

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 27th Ultimo, and to desire that the whole sum collected from Perumbuke lands in Fusly 1230, amounting to Rupees 13,436 - 10 - 10 - may be repaid to the Mootahdars

Fort St. George,
16th February 1824

/Signed/ R. Clark.
Secretary

/True Copy/
/Signed/ F. N. Maltby.
Sub Collector

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector.



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 1.

Proposed draft of an answer to be submitted for the consideration of the Board of Revenue with reference to their proceedings of the 20th July 1835.

Para 1. In answer to this Head the Collector has to state that so far from the Plaintiff having suffered any loss from the proceedings of the Officers of Government, the Plaintiff has himself become possessed of a considerable extent of land which was not included in the Schedule of the Moottah and which yielded a revenue to Government, which revenue has been destroyed as far as that land is concerned by the Plaintiff having severed it of the Bamboos the source of Revenue to Government.

2. On the formation of the Moottahs a Schedule was prepared with the view of ascertaining the resources of the Estates to be offered to those desiring to become Moottahdars. These Schedules showed the Extent of land under Cultivation, that lying fallow, and waste land fit for Cultivation. Besides these

land

7545. Fort St George. 15th May 1848.

land there were ~~trees~~ of land covered with Jungle and bamboos which yielded a revenue to Government by a tax paid by those licensed to cut the jungle. [illegible]

The source of Revenue was not included in the Estimate of the value of the Moottah but was entered on a separate appendix to the report of Mr Macleod which document will be produced before the Court. It is further clearly proved that this portion of the public Revenue was not then included in the prospective cession to the Moottahdar, because the special Commission afterwards empowered Major Macleod to do so as far as he thought fit, making a Corresponding addition to the permanent assessment of the Estates & the same time distinctly directing that the Shudagaud lands of Shenkery droog should be reserved to the Government. For the plaintiff therefore to be entitled to deprive the Government of this source of Revenue it is necessary to show that it was made over to him by an addition to the permanent

7546. Fort St George. 15th May 1848.

permanent Jummah of the Estate, but this he is unable to do, for it appears that Major Macleod did not on this occasion exercise the discretionary power conferred upon him, but that the bamboo farm has continued from that time in the possession of the Government The Shidagaud lands of Shenkery droog and those of the Moottah are thus found to be exactly similarly situated, both were excepted from the Schedule of the Estates, both were entered in the list of Extra revenues, and both were reserved to the Government. The Collector of M.r Anspree's which was passed against the claim of the Moottahdar of Shenkery droog on the ground of the distinct reservation of these lands made by the Government and pleads that that precedent is distinctly applicable to the case in question.

3 He pleads that the Plaintiff was not entitled to injure the Government revenue without the distinct permission of the Government and that to entitle him to clear and appropriate the lands in question it was his duty to apply that the extra revenue Collected, should, as proposed by the Commission, be converted into an addition to his

his permanent appropriation and the land added to that available for cultivation as he failed to do so the natural course for Government to pursue was to attach the land he had appropriated. In the course of this attachment the Collector discovers that some errors have been made and that some small portions of land included on the Schedule have come into the possession of Government while a much larger portion of bamboo land has been appropriated by the Plaintiff. Should evidence be required by the Court the Collector will be prepared to prove by the records which portions of land are entered as Bamboo lands and to resign his claim to such as he cannot prove to be so reserving his right to prefer a counterclaim for such bamboo lands as are in the possession of the Moottakdar.

1550 Fort St. George 15th May 1818

No. 11

Translation of a Plaint instituted in the Subordinate Court of Salem by Vencattaram Chitty Moottahdar of Pariapullumpauray in the Namcul Talook against W. E. Lockhart Esqre. Collector in the Zillah of Salem.

I instituted this Suit for the recovery of Rupees 9219-10-0 being the amount of teervah collected by the Circar on the lands attached to my Moottah as also for obtaining possession of the undermentioned Inaleoozary lands bearing teervah Rupees 352-9-0 and yielding a profit of Rupees 5402 annually. The total amount of the is Rupees 9766-2-0. The present subdivided Moottahs of Pariapullumpauray 1, Moottahpatty 2, and Chendamungalum 3, were originally included in the Moottah of Chendamungalum the Permanent Bariz of which was Rupees 13,394-0-0 In the year Doondoobee this entire Moottah was purchased at action by Sanganoodiam 1, Venkut Row 2, Ramachary 3, and Rangama Reddy 4, by paying Rupees 2695 as Nazaranah. The Moottah was Registered in their names but in the year Rotrocarry it was divided into two Moottahs, Vizt. Moottahpatty and Chendamungalum, but Pariapullumpauray

was

was included in the latter. One of the above Joint Mootahdars named Rama Chaurry sold his share to my father for Rupees Pgs 12 upon which the Sub-divided Mootah of Chundamungalum was registered in the Names of Sagamoodian and my father, but it was again subdivided into Mootahs, Vizt. Chundamung alum and Pariapullum pauray in the year Ruthachy. Chundamung alum was registered in the name of Sangamoodiam and Pariapullum pauray in the name of my father, which compises the 7 Villages Noted in the Margin and the Permanent Bariz of which amounts to Rupees 3,518-1-1. My father laid out a large Sum of money in improving the Cultivation, bringing the waste lands to use and giving them to Ryots for Cultivation, assisting them at the same time with Vaarkoma (advance for cultivation) but while he (my father) continued enjoying the above Estate the Public Servants of the Collectors Cutcherry in order to satiate the revengeful feeling which they had entertained to-wards

* Cursbah Pariapullum-pauray-1
Valavundy-combay-2
Eanwaly Combay-3
Tundum Combay-4
Putchadi unpattiz Akkay-a-pollicul
Chinnapulla-pauray-7



wards my father and the Moottahdars of Chendamungalum and Valaccorrochy Vizt. Sangamoodian Ninja a Gounden brought a false claim that the below mentioned lands attached to my Moottah and some other lands in the Moottahs of Chendamungalum and Valaccorrochey belonged to the Circar and attached them accordingly in the year Essoovarah. When they were informed of the intention of my father and others to make a Complaint on the Subject they confined my father under the pretext of his having collected the revenue belonged to the Circar, and evacuated from him at 8 different times Rupees 234-14-0. In like manner certain Sums of money were collected from the Moottahdars of Chendamungalum and Valaccorrochey.

2 For the recovery of the lands thus taken possession of by the Circar and for the refund of the Collection made as stated above, the Moottahdars of Valaccorrochey Ninja a Gounden instituted a suit in the Zillah Court of Salem in the Suit No. 381 of 1821 against the then Collector of

of the District, my Father at this time remained silent in order to know the decision of the Court which being applicable to our claim, which is quite similar to that of Nunja Gounden. The Zillah Judge examined the Estimate summud granted to the Mootteahdar and found that he had claim on all lands on which the permanent Bariz was formed with the exception of Sayer teerwah, professional tax, Pakajan Mannium, and other alienated lands, and awarded on the 17th April 1824 that the land illegally taken by the Circar should be delivered over to the Mottahdars together with the teerwah Collected thereon, as section XV Regulation XXX of 1802 specifies that he / the Mootteahdar / should convert all waste into arable lands to his own benefit. This Decree was confirmed without an appeal being made against it.

3d My father presented a complaint to the former Collector in 1824 requesting that the lands taken by the Circar in the Paria pollium pannay Mootteah may be delivered over to him and the Bariz Collected on them also refunded, when



+ on the 30th August 1824

when an endorsement was given stating that in the event of the Board of Revenue directing to deliver over the lands to Nunja Gounden abovementioned, my father request also will be complied with.

4 In the year 1825 an urzee was presented to the Collector after the lands were given over and the Collection of the Bariz refunded to Nunja Gounden, on which an Endorsement was given on the 6th April of the same year referring as to the first endorsement on the subject of our claim

5 As nothing was done agreeably to the endorsement given regarding our claim my father presented an urzee to the Collector in 1827, who gave an Endorsement on the 13th October of the same year stating that no orders were yet received from the Board to the report submitted by him on the subject.

6 After waiting for the period of 2 years for the instructions from the Board of Revenue mentioned in the endorsement above alluded to my father again in 1830 presented an urzee to the Sub Collector who also sent

sent an endorsement on the 10th June of the same year stating that the amount of the Cariz collected was kept in deposit and that it will be settled according to the decision

7 No notice having been taken on the subject afterwards my father again presented a Petition to the Board of Revenue in the year 1833 who sent an endorsement on the 24th December of the same year stating that the case in question required a good deal of consideration, consequantly a speedy enquiry should not be expected, and the decision of the Board will be made known to us through the Collector

8 No answer nor decision was received from the Board, as promised, for 4 years, during which time my aged father continued to be declining in health by a certain disease and at last died in the year Sobakeroodoo. In 1843 the Moottah was registered in my name, and in 1844 I preferred my claim on the subject to the Sub Collector who on the 21st May of the same year gave an absurd endorsement signifying that the lands in question should not be given



gation up to me. From the circumstances stated in the preceding Paras from 2 to 8 the institution of a suit in the Court was delayed up to this present time

9 Agreeably to the decree above quoted the Moottahdar of Valacoorchey was put in possession of the lands which were attached during the period in which the lands in dispute were usurped from us. Besides this, some other lands which were not mentioned in the decree and which were in the possession of the ancestors together with their past collections were also by the orders of the Board passed in 1843 given up to the said Moottahdar. The lands belonging to the Moottah of Chendamungalum, which were formerly incorporated with the sub divided Estate of Pariapulliumpanay, were also attached at the time quoted above, but they were ordered to be given up to the Moottahdar together with their past collections in November 1840. While they ordered all these lands to be given up it is a great piece of injustice to deprive me of the lands belonging

belonging to my Moottah which were at
=tached at the same period.—

10 It is quite clearly the spirit of
the Estimiras Summud and Cabooleat
that with the exception of the reser=
=vation therein made Viz.te Sayer-
Bazar tax, tax on profession Lackray,
Sotriem Inamm lands and lands
granted for service, all other descrip=
=tions of lands belong to the Moottah;—
Section IV. Regulation XXV and Section V
Regulation XXX of 1802 specify the same. This
right of the Moottahdars has been fully
established in the Decree No. 381 passed
by Mr. I Bird in 821, therefore I have a
right to all Tarasoo &c.a lands within the
boundaries of the Moottah in question.—

11 In the eiccar ayeant ac=
=counts of the above Village which check the
every spot with its extent, the lands in
dispute are included which strengthens
my title to them. Reservation of the lands
in question is made in the Estimiras is
sumud or Cabooleat or in the above=
=account. Of the lands in question some
are assessed and some are anddy tarasoo
and Peimaishe, consequently the Eiccar
agreeably



agreeably to the Provisions of the Regu=
=lations and documents quoted above
have no right to them, but in direct op=
=position to these documents and re=
=gulations the Eiccar have taken pos=
=session of them which cannot be con=
=sidered as a legal act on their part,
therefore the lands in question toge=
=ther with the collections made on them
by the Eiccar from the year —
Cossoor a should be recovered to me.

The names of the spots and
their boundaries under litigation
are.—

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Not required to be mentioned | × | × | × | × | × |
| | × | × | × | × | × |
| | × | × | × | × | × |

The total amount of teeruah
of the lands in 5 Villages (Poonjah Pun=
=jah and totakal) inclusive is Rupees
283—11—2, these lands were under Cul=
=tivation and their teeruah was also
collected by the Moottahdar. In the
year Fuseelah the lands in question
were measured by Timmapiah the then
Tahsildar of Nament, when it was
found that lands bearing teeruah
Rupee 352—9— to be in possession
of

of the Circar.— The terreah of these lands from the year Esoora to the year prior to the Saya year Immundah as per Moottah accounts at Rupees 283 11 2 per year for 18 years Amounts to Rupees 5106. 9 - from the year Immundah to the year Cesoovavasoo for 11 years at Rupees 352 — 9 per annum according to the present measurement amounts to an Rupees 3878 3 —, in all Rupees 8984 12 — to which Rupees 234 11 — collected at 8 different times from my father in the year Esoorah being added makes a total amount Rupees 9219 10 — This amount Rupees 9219 10 — together with the lands mentioned above should be recovered to me, and also the Puttahs and Moochelkas granted to and obtained from Pycotah by the circar should, I humbly request the Court, be also annulled.—

15th June 1817 (Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Collector

Proceedings. (34-35) The Board observe that the letter above recorded tho dated on the 17th Instant reach their Office until the 27th Ultimo,

and



and as the questions involved in the suit No. 57 of 1817 are of much importance demand mature consideration, and careful research with their records, they fear it will not be in their power to Communicate with Government and to furnish the Collector with instructions by the end of the current Month, the period at which the term for filing the answer will expire— They therefore request that the Collector will represent these circumstances to the Court, and apply for an extension of the time for putting in the answer, for one month, to date from the 1st Proximo

Read the following letter

To J. F. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(36)

Form the Collector of South Arcot. } Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith [illegible] forms of Rimmu Certificate required in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 27th Ultimo

ORIGINAL RECEIVED

7561 Fort St. George 15th May 1848

Ultimo, and request you will be pleased to order the supply of the printed forms of the same to be prepared with the least possible delay.

South Arcot
Colrs Cutchery
Tirevandy
8th May 1848

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be addressed in consequence.—

36-37

To

To the Chief Secy to Government

The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

With reference to the Order of Government dated 18th December 1848 No. 1290, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that sanction may be granted for printing at the Fort St. George Gazette Press, the number of Copies noted in the Margin of the accompanying Forms.—

No. Copies—110
" 2 Do.—110
" 3 Do.—110
" 4 Do.—110

The quantity of paper required for the purpose is herewith transmitted.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
15th May 1848

/Signed/ F. Pycroft
Secretary

To

4

7562 Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

38

From the Collector of South Arcot. Read letter submitting application from C. A. Roberts Esquire Assistant Collector requesting leave of absence for one Month from the 17th Instant under Section XI (amended) of the absentee Rules to visit Madras on private affairs—

38-39

Ordered to be submitted Order thereon

—led through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.—

Read the following—

Statement.

Abstract

1563

40

# Fort St. George

## Abstract Statement of persons charged with offences ... Tanjore from 1st July ... 15th May 1848

under Regulation IX of 1822 in the District of ... 31st December 1847

| Class of persons | Offences comprised in class 1st clause 2d Section II | | | | Offences comprised in class 2d Class 2d Section II | | | | Offences comprised in class 3d Clause 2d Section II | | | | Offences comprised in class 4th clause 2d Section II | | | | Offences comprised in class 5th Clause 2d Section II | | | | Total Offences Comprised in Class 1. 2. 3. 4 & 5 Clause 2d Section II | | | | Appeals preferred from the Convictions in Cols 2 – 3 – | Relief Awarded for & granted | Appeals rejected | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Forwarded to the Criminal Judge | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Forwarded to the Criminal Judge | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Forwarded to the Criminal Judge | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Forwarded to the Criminal Judge | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Forwarded to the Criminal Judge | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Forwarded to the Criminal Judge | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| Persons of the Description comprehended by Class 1st Clause 3d Section II | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Persons of the Description comprehended by Class 2d Clause 3d Section II | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Persons of the Description comprehended by Class 3d Clause 3d Section II | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total of persons included in the above 3 Classes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

DESTROYED

Tanjore
Negapatam
8th May, 1848

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Collector

Fort St George 15th May 1848.

40-41

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letters

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

42

Sir,

From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly

In returning the accompanying Petition N° 535 of 1848 bearing the Board's Endorsement dated the 30th March last, I have the honor to refer you to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated the 8th April 1841 by which it will be perceived that the Village claimed by the Petitioners was transferred to the Collectorate of Tanjore by order of the Board the Petitioners have therefore no claim to it

Trichinopoly Collectors Office 20th April 1848

(Signed) W. Elliot

Acting Collector

42-43

Proceedings thereon

On reference to the letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore dated 19th March 1841 which was taken into consideration in the Board's Proceedings of the 8th April 1841, adverted to in the letter above recorded, it would appear that a plot of ground adjacent to the Village of Covilady in Tanjore had formed the subject of a boundary dispute between the Ryots of that

In Cons 8th April 1841



Fort St George 15th May 1848.

Village and those of Killiacoody in Trichinopoly. The land was entered in the survey accounts of both districts and was stated to be only portion not belonging to Tanjore east of the ground Annicut. It was originally in the possession of the Meerasidars of Covilady and subsequently fell into the hands of the Ryots of Killiacoody, who evaded the requisition to send laborers to the Annicut made by the Revenue Office of Tanjore in consequence of their residing beyond the limits of that district.

Sir Hy. Montgomery therefore proposed to retransfer the tract of land in question to Covilady in the Tanjore district, not however intending thereby to disturb the parties then in possession, and this suggestion having the concurrence of Mr. Onslow, Collector of Trichinopoly, who had inspected the place, was sanctioned by the Board—

3 The claim of the Ryots of Killiacoody to this land appears therefore inadmissible—

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on Petition N° 535 of 1848.

"The Board decline to pass orders for the transfer to the Petitioners Village of the land referred to in this Petition—

To

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

(44)

From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

Agreeably to the orders of Government dated the 26th April 1845, I have the honor to report that Cajah Cootoobodeen chastee the Yeomiadar of No. 98 died on the 29th Ultimo the Yeomiah being for Charity it has, in conformity with the orders of Government contained in the 2d para of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th January 1846, been resumed on the part of Government from the date of his death.—

Trichinopoly Collectors Office 6th May, 1818.

Signed W. Elliot

Acting Collector

Resolution thereon, The Acting Collector will report the lapse referred to in the foregoing letter to the Civil Auditor, as directed in the Board's Proceedings dated 11th Instant, and in all future reports of this nature, he will state whether this course has been followed—

(44-45)



Read the following letters.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George—

(46)

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore

Proposes the introduction of a Sugar Cane Nursery and recommends the Appointment of Mr Thomson for its Superintendence whose application is submitted

Sir,

Para 1. I take advantage of the enclosed Communication to my address from Mr W. J. Thomson, and with adverteence to the orders of the Board contained in Extract from their Proceedings bearing date the 14th January 1817, have the honor to submit the same for favorable consideration. If it is decided that the introduction of the Mauritius Cane is an object of so much importance, and moreover that a Nursery Garden should be established at Coimbatore, I think the employment of the applicant should be accepted, for, he evidently understands the nature of the duties he wishes to engage in and if steadfastness, and constancy of purpose go for any thing, his residence for the last four Months in defiance of repeated attacks of fever in the Jungle of the foot of the Neilgherry Hills, perhaps one of the most insalubrious places in the District, I think no doubt can be entertained of this individual doing good service in a more salubrious part of the District. The employment of

of a few of this sort of men in the District will be very beneficial, and do much to develope its resources for it is hopeless to expect enterprize from Natives without previously removing in some degree, their prejudice, and ignorance, for it is necessary to remark that the quantity of Sugar Cane alluded to in the last para of my letter dated 26th July 1847, will be the produce of eight or ten Gardens, the Growers, having been induced to undertake the cultivation to gain popularity with Government than with the view of prospective or personal benefit

2. I therefore request permission to entertain Mr Thomson on such terms as I may consider most consistent with the interests of Government on a salary of (50) Fifty Rupees per Mensem to be increased on previous permission and when sufficient grounds of merit and industry are shewn to justify the recommendation—

3. The period for the preparation of the Sugar Cane Nursery is close at hand, and if the Board view without objection the appointment of Mr Thomson, it is necessary that the same should be early intimated to me that no time may be lost in giving effect to the trial

Coonoor 25th March 1848 } (Signed) I. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector



No 1.

R. W. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector &c &c
Coimbatore

Honored Sir

I take the liberty of informing you that I am now employed on a plantation at the bottom of the Coonoor Ghaut— The jungle in that part of the Ghauts is so very sickly— and unhealthy I am obliged to leave the— situation in consequence for a more healthy— locality—

Having heard that Sugar Cane and Cotton was about being cultivated extensively in the District of Coimbatore, I beg leave to add, That I was for 2 years planting Sugar in the District of Gonnepore, Bengal, afterwards in Ceylon, for 4 years on the large Sugar Estate called Lowjee— The Agents being Messrs W. C. Gibson, Read, Davidson & Co afterwards in Penang and province of Wellesley planting, Nutmeg and Cloves, and Sugar, I— understand the Management of a Sugar plantation in all its branches, The erection of the works laying down, receivers clarifiers and coppers &c &c— Boiling and claying of Sugar and the Making of Sugar Candy— should you, Honored Sir, have

have a vacancy on any of the Cotton or Sugar Plantations I shall be most happy to accept of employment on either, as a healthy locality is more my object for seeking employment than a high Salary at present, and as I understand the Plantation is not in a State of forwardness as yet— I only ask a remuneration of 50 Rupees Monthly which will be barely sufficient to support me— as the plantation advances and when you have had an opportunity of seeing that I thoroughly understand my business I hope Sir you will be so good, as to advance my Salary a little higher—

Cullar — — —

25th March 1848

/ Signed/ W. I. Thomson

46-47

Proceedings thereon,

Refusing to recommend to Government the suggestion of the Principal Collector of Coimbatore that Mr Thomson be appointed Superintendent of the Nursery Gardens for the Culture of Mauritius Sugar Cane at 50 Rs per Mensem

In the letter from the Principal Collector of the 26th July last the Board were informed that since the date of his previous letter 17th December 1845 the cultivation of the mauritius Sugar Cane had been doubled in the vicinity of Coimbatore that the extent then appropriated to it amounted to Eight Cawnies that there were then about 120,000 cuttings at Coimbatoor from the growth of the past year and that 116,000 had already been planted— It was also represented that the



the Mauritius Cane was very acceptable to the people that the arrangements then contemplated if successful ought to produce in the next season at the very lowest 1,00,000 of cuttings all of which it was proposed to take at the Nursuk prices for distribution to the Ryots—

2. Under these circumstances the Mode of raising the Mauritius Cane would seem to be well known at Coimbatore, and there would appear to be no necessity for entertaining a person at a high rate of Salary for the Superintending the cultivation— The intelligence, and practical experience of Mr Thomson would doubtless render it very desirable to secure his services, were it intended to establish Sugar works at Coimbatore on account of Government, but as the object is merely to form a Nursery for the propogation of the Mauritius Cane and for distribution of its cuttings to the Ryots the Board of Revenue do not feel themselves at liberty to recommend that Government should incur the expense which would be caused by the arrangement proposed by the Principal Collector—

3. Mr Broughton is requested to state the extent to which the culture of the Mauritius Cane has been carried on in his

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

his District in the present and past season and to explain what measures he would suggest for the establishment of a Nursery Garden of that description of Cane at Coimbatore, if he still considers it necessary with the expense calculated upon the most reasonable scale.

4. In reference to Para 3 of his letter of 26th July 1847 he is also informed that the Board have applied to the Secretary to the Agri Horticultural Society requesting him if he has not already done so to forward a supply of Mauritius Cane Cuttings to Coimbatore as early as practicable.—

To



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

To.

F. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

48

From the Pres.t — Coll.r of Coimbatore

Submits explanations connected with the Complaints of Mr. A. P. W. Lascelles to the Board of Revenue regarding some land at the foot of the Conoor Ghaut together with Copies of the whole correspondence on that subject.

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Ultimo giving cover to copy of communication from Mr. A. P. W. Lascelles and requesting me to furnish an early report on the subject to which it relates.

2. In order to convey to the Board a clear idea of the latitude assumed by Mr. Lascelles in the occupation and appropriation of land on the Hills without authority or rather in defiance of positive orders to the contrary. I consider it expedient to advert to facts which have occurred since the date of Mr. Lascelles's application for the land which has given rise to the complaint sent up to the Board.—

From Mr. Lascelles 7th September 1847 Para 1.

3. On the 17th September last Mr. Lascelles applied for 100 Cawnies of Forest waste at the foot of the Conoor Ghaut and was referred to the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah in which Talook the land is situated. According to the usual course the Tahsildar

For. Sec.y Lascelles 20th Sept.r 1847 Para 2.

obtained

obtained a Durkast from Mr. Lascelles and forward=
=ed it to my office on the 23d October following. the
terms of which are set forth in the Margin. The in=
=formation then submitted by the Tahsildar with
reference to the land applied for, not having been
considered sufficient he was called upon to af=
=ford further explanation, and also previous to
making over the land to Mr. Lascelles to obtain
an agreement from him to the effect that he
would not cut down Fruit Trees included in
the rent of the Contractor. neither the Teak &
nor Blackwood and other Timber fit for Gover=
=ment purposes. On this being communicated
Mr. Lascelles informed the Tahsildar that
prior to consulting his Partners on this subject
he was unable to give a definite reply, and in
fact he would defer giving the Durkast
and taking Charge of the land for some time
longer. Intermediately on the 16th November
1847. Mr. Lascelles communicated that he
has taken possession of the same, which met
with no notice on the supposition that he
would have conformed to the orders issued
from my Office. On the 4th February Mr.
Lascelles preferred a complaint against the
Tahsildar



Tahsildar and Cutwal of undue interference on
their part, which was referred for explanation.

4. Subsequently Mr. Lascelles forwarded
an original communication addressed by the
Sub Collector to a Mr. James, with reference
to the same land at Seegoor, and requested that
he should be allowed to hold the ground
applied for on the terms therein stated, which
being in direct opposition to the terms
agreed upon in his first Durkhast and to the
provisions of the Hookoomnamah of this District
and also having been on a previous occasion
cancelled by the Board, I was unable to admit.
In the mean time complaints were preferred to
me by the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah, and
the Superintending Ministry of my Office; Ex=
=tracts of which are forwarded, stating that Mr.
Lascelles had cut down Blackwood and
other Trees and that he was preventing or other=
=wise ill treating the parties sent from the
Talook for the Collection of Timber for the
repair of the Vellapollium and Kullar
Bridges. such proceedings appearing unautho=
=rized and prejudicial to the interests of
Government, and having previously ascertained

that

Fort St. George 15th May 1818.

Proceedings of Govt. dated 13th

that Mr. Lascelles did not conform to the orders issued from my Office, and that the land has never been measured and made over to him, with a view to prevent collision I requested Mr. Lascelles to "suspend all further operations in the Jungle" until he had acquired a legal right to the possession of the land applied for.

Vide letters No. 75-18th Feby. 1818 & 44.

5th. Mr. Lascelles remonstrated against this prohibition, with reference to which it was explained to him that in directing him to stop from further operations, it was meant that no fur-ther quantity of land should be cleared out & burnt over cut down, but with no desire to interfere with the cultivation of the ground actually pre-pared for that purpose which is now formed into a plantation of Coffee and Cocoa nut Trees.

Three letters dated 23rd and 26th February 1818. Nos. 15 & 16.

6th. From the foregoing explanation the Board will perceive how far Mr. Lascelles was justified in acting as he did, and to what extent his statement of the ultimate ruin of the property is borne out by fact.-

Do. dated 2nd March 1818. No. 17.

7th. With reference to the repeated complaints of the Officials of Danaekencottah, the correct-ness of which I had no cause to doubt, and the difficulty of obtaining Blackwood for emergent works



Fort St. George 15th May 1818.

works recommended by the Civil Engineer of the 7th Division to the Bridge over the Bhawany River at Metapollium, and the more particularly adverting to the fact of Mr. Lascelles having com-menced and actually destroyed the Jungle without any permission from my Office, merely on the au-thority of a Durkhast preferred by him and not admitted, I considered it a matter of expediency to request Mr. Lascelles to desist from clearing the Jungle until the misunder-standing which then existed had been done away and this measure in my opinion was imperatively demanded under the circumstances of the case.-

8th. Having in the above Paragraphs adverted to the points which seem to require ex-planation on the subject of Mr. Lascelles' Com-plaints. I now beg leave to state with reference to the 3d Para of your letter under reply that the Conditions of the Cowlenamah of this District are free of rent for the first† year one fourth of the fixed assessment, for the 2d one half, for the 3d three fourths for the 4th and full demand from the 5th Year. The terms set forth in the Communication of the Sub Collector of Malabar alluded to by Mr. Lascelles are completely at

† to be extended to two or three years. Vide Doc. Koomumah.

variance

# Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

variance with those conditions as exhibited in the Margin. Considering the nature of the Country proposed to be reclaimed, I think these terms too liberal and adverting to the repeated orders of the Government and Board to permit no deviation from the Cowlenamah of the District and to the fact of the cowle jored by the Collector of Malabar to Mr. James having been abrogated, Vide Correspondence noted in the Margin. I did not deem it advisable to recommend Mr. Lascell's proposition for the consideration of the Board, especially as this proposition was opposed to the Durkhast previously preferred to the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah.

Annexure; see 15th 8th year Quarter 5th & 10th Half 12th Three Quarters. and subsequently the full rate.

Annamalay report for Fasly 1252 25th October 1842. Para 29

Board's Proceedings 26th May 1845. Pg.

Annamalay Report for Fasly 1254 29th November Para 28.

Board's Proceedings 2nd July 1846 Pg.

Danaikencottah report 1255 21st November 1846 Para 36.

To the Board 30th January 1847 No. 6

Board's Proceedings 27th May 1847 Pg. 11.

Minutes of Consultation No. 792 13th July 1847 Para 10.

9. In Para 4 of the Communication, I am directed to state whether it is probable that valuable Timber, Teak and Blackwood is to be found to any extent on the land taken by Mr. Lascelles and whether such an



# Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

an agreement as that required from him is indispensably necessary. Not having had an opportunity of obtaining a very clear knowledge of the resources of these Jungles, for they are most insolubrious - I am unable to afford any correct information on this point but Judging from the Statements of the people residing in that part of the District - I have reason to believe that Blackwood of the finest description is procurable in large quantities, whilst the Teak is deficient and of not much value, and as regards the necessity or otherwise of the Agreement, it must depend entirely on the decision of the Board. the proposition for the execution of it was made in consequence of the restrictive orders of Government which are very rigidly enforced in Coimbatore during late years, and also to prevent depredation likely to take place on the pretence of appropriating the land for agricultural purposes.

10. Referring to para 5, I would go on to remark that Mr. Lascelles having already been informed that there was nothing

in

in my letter which amounted to a prohibition to his cultivating the land already cleared and prepared for that purpose, I am of opinion that the intentions of the Board have been sufficiently met.

11. I have this day received a report from the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah giving cover to an agreement from Mr. Lascelles to stating that in consequence of the unfavorable = ness of the soil, and the unhealthiness of the locality, he is not willing to hold more than 10 Bullahs of Pt. Punjah, and 10 Cawnies of + about 5 Annas a cawny
Punjah land bearing an assessment of Rupees 59-5-4 and this will convince the Board + 25 Bs a Cawny.
that the intention of that Gentleman in applying for so large an extent was to obtain the Timber growing thereon rather than to bring the ground under the Plough.—

12. In conclusion I cannot pass over the observations contained in the con: = cluding para of Mr. Lascelles's communication to the Board without remarking that the Proceedings of this Gen: = tleman and his brother Mr. J. H. Lascelles are quite out of rule and





restrictions to the Native Officials—for example Mr. A. R. H. Lascelles applied to me in November last for a piece of land at Conoor for purposes of building, to which he was informed in reply that under the Vide Extract of his letter 16th Nov. 1847 - Par. 18 -
existing restrictive Orders of Government regarding the occupancy of the lands on the Neilgherries, I was unable to comply with his request, subsequently on my arrival at Conoor a few days ago, I was informed that he had actually commenced building upon the said ground and on requiring to be informed Vide letter to Mr. Lascelles No. 256 dated 20th June 1848. Par. 20.
on what authority he has done so, I have received the accompanying reply from him stating that he had purchased it from a Bergher - The Vide letter from Mr. Lascelles dated 25th March 1848. Par. 4.
Bergher having no right to sell it in as much as it is waste, and has not been cultivated for the last 18 years as stated by the Curnum = and Monigar of the Villages and acknowledged by himself - Nor is the sale of it valid - The Vide Copy of Proceedings dated 6th March 1848. Par. 202.
Tahsildar solemnly affirms that on his question = ing Mr. Lascelles upon whose permission the Building had been erected, he was informed that authority had been granted from my Office, and that in confirmation of which Mr. Lascelles produced a letter bearing my signature - He has also cited witnesses to prove this fact which

is

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

is completely established. The letter alluded to must probably be the one addressed by me on the 23d November last. The Tahsildar being ignorant of English was unfortunately satisfied with the statement of Mr. Lascelles, and failed to report the circumstance to my Office. It now appears that on the 30th of last Month or 6 days after the despatch of his letter, Mr. Lascelles paid a sum of 40 Rupees to the aforesaid Bergher, and obtained a deed of sale from him drawn out as I am informed in the English language of which the party signing it is utterly ignorant.

13. Under these circumstances I would request the Board will be pleased to instruct me what measures should be adopted to vindicate their own orders, as well as those of Government, for they would seem to have been entirely set at defiance.

14. Again Mr. T. H. Lascelles applied for some land below the Kotagherry Ghaut and was referred to the Tahsildar with an intimation that he must not take possession of it until previous permission reached him from my Office. His reply is contained in a letter dated 11th March 1848, but having been informed by the Tahsildar that

Vide Application 15th Feb. 1848 No. 23.

From Mr. Lascelles No. 6 To No. 24

From Mr. 11th March 1848 No. 25. To



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

that that Gentleman had proceeded to work the Jungle, I was under the necessity of drawing his attention to my previous communication, and it is stated in reply that the clearing objected to, took place previous to the 1st of February last. I therefore beg leave to repeat the request that some measures may be adopted to enforce the orders of Government and to uphold the local authorities of the District.

From Mr. 22d March 1848 No. 27.

Vide para 8 of his letter to the Board.

15. Mr. Lascelles statement that he expended considerable sums of Money in clearing the dense Jungle, erecting Buildings &c. would seem to be highly exaggerated, for independent of a small extent appropriated for plantation, the other portion of the Jungle has merely been burnt down, and two or three huts erected apparently at a very trifling cost, with a wall of loose stones on the road side, contrary to the Circular orders of the Board of Revenue in the Department of Public Works.

16. Thus stand matters in connection with the Complaint made to the Board, and I venture to say that in no other part of India would similar conduct on the part of Mr. Lascelles be tolerated.

Coonoor 5th April 1848.

Signed J. C. Wrought
Principal Collr.

To

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 1.

To J. C. Throughton Esqre
Principal Collector.
Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to do me the favor to grant me permission to cultivate a piece of land situated at the bottom of the Conoor Ghaut and bounded on the North and west by the Kulhour and Conoor Rivers, on the South and East by the Public road to Mallipollium and covered at present with dense heavy Jungle.

Should you be so good as to grant me permission to occupy and cultivate the same I will feel obliged by your ordering the Tahsildar at Streemogay to make over 100 Cawnies of the land to me as soon as he conveniently can.

The land at present being covered with heavy Jungle will take some time to bring under a state of Cultivation. I would therefore feel much obliged if I could be allowed to occupy the land free of rent till such time as it was in a state to remunerate me for the expense and trouble laid out on it. Should you not be disposed however to grant this request, may I



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

request that you will be so good as to grant me the land with as little delay as possible upon the same conditions as are granted to the Ryots who cultivate the land around it. Viz. by the payment of a yearly rent.-

Knock Hill
Conoor
17th September 1848.

Signed A. R. V. Lascelles

True Copy
Signed J. C. Throughton
Pal. Collector

No. 2.

To A. R. V. Lascelles Esqre

Sir,

In acknowledging your letter of the 17th Instant applying for one hundred Cawnies of land at the bottom of the Conoor Ghaut, I lose no time in communicating to you that this application should be transmitted to my Office through the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah to whom the ground you require should also be pointed out to enable him to submit a detailed report regarding it.-

Coimbatore
20th September 1847.

Signed J. C. Throughton
Principal Collector

No. 3.

Copy of Takeed issued to the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah on the 1st November 1847.

Your Enzee of the 23d October last

No.

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 137 giving Cover to a Durkhast from Mr. A. B. W. Lascelles residing at Bellance near Conoor for the cultivation of certain land upon progressive Cawle in the limits of the Village of Odmdoray has been received. On a reference to the Durkhast it appears that Mr. Lascelles requires the land classed as Punjah in the Original survey and situated on the two sides of the Neilgherry Road from a small bridge east of the Japa=pal Choultry as far as the wooden Bridge of Cullar and also the land South of the Neilgherry road from the said Bridge to Chinna Cullar all of which is over grown by Jungle on the fol=lowing terms Viz.t free of rent for Fasly 1257 and upon progressive Cowle from 1258 the land cleared and Cultivated in each Year being taken to account in the same Manner that is for the Current Year no demand and from 1258 progressive rent.-

Although it is impossible to mea=sure and identify each calay or piece of land in consequence of the heavy Jungle, Yet there seems no difficulty in the way of ascertaining the actual quantity of ground applied for by taking the measurement in the Aggregate from East to West and South to North. If you refer

to



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

to the Pymash Boling of Odundoray You will clearly understand what portion of the ground is classed as Punjah and what as Nunjah, without the requisite information as to the description of the land applied for whether Punjah or Nunjah and to the number of Years during which it was lying waste. it is impossible to enter the land in the accounts as free of rent in 1257 and to charge progressive demand thereon from 1258. It is also requisite that the exctent entered as rent free this Year, should be charged in the next year at the progressive rate, but You do not appear to have found any attention to these points, nor to the number of years during which the land was lying waste, specified or particulars given as to Punjah, Nunjah &ca. This is not right. It is no where shewn whether it is Mr. Lascelles' intention that the land cleared an=nually should be charged to him or whether he is desirous of taking charge of a given quantity of land at once paying for it according to the terms of the Cowlenamah whether it is cleared and cul=tivated or otherwise. you will therefore call upon that Gentleman for information on all these points, and submit a separate Durkhast within 9

days

7588. Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

days from and after the receipt hereof accom-
=panied by the information above called for as
well as a plan of the land to be made over
to Mr. Lascelles.

A Circular was issued to you
on the 22d May last, No. 269, giving cover to an Solici-
=nama's declaring that Fruit Trees included in the
rent of the Contractor from long time as well as
the Teak and Blackwood fit for Government,
purposes do not belong to persons who propose to
clear and cultivate the Jungle. According to the
provisions of which you will also obtain
an agreement from Mr. Lascelles to the ef-
=fect that he will not cut down Soap
nut Trees, Jack, Tamarind, &c. which are
rented annually and also the Black and
Teakwoods.

1st November 1847. (True Translation)
Signed) J. C. Throughton
Princ. Coll.

No. 4.

Extract of Letter from the Sahibdar of
Danaikencottah dated the 22d December 1847
No. 215.

Para recapitulates the purport of
the order No. 119 dated the 1st November 1847. I
communicated all these particulars to Mr. Lascelles

7589 Fort St. George 15th May 1848

by letter whose reply I beg leave to enclose herein.
Not being able to form any Conclusion on that letter I
proceeded to Cullar on the 11th Instant and had an
interview with that Gentleman. He says that no
one should be permitted to enter in the land
applied for by him, that if the Contractor is
allowed to Collect the produce of the Jungle, his
plantation will suffer, that almost all the
land fixed upon is overgrown with Soap Nut
or Shekay Bushes which belong to him, and
if not Cleared, how can the land be Cultiv-
=ated, and that in order to form a plantation
it will be necessary for him to dig out all the
roots and to plough the ground, in which case
if the Trees prohibited to be cut fall down
accidentally it will seriously injure the Cultivation.
He also told me that for land on which Coffee
and Cocoanuts have been planted, Garden rents
should be charged. He further added that he is
not able to give a decided opinion as to the mode
of procedure he is to adopt with reference to the
two descriptions of Durk he had alluded to in the
Takeed, and that having Consulted the other Partners
Concerned in the proposal who are now on the
Neilgherries,

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

Neilgherries, he will proceed again to this place and having witnessed the measurement of the land will prefer a Durkhast - I was desired by that Gentleman to communicate all these circumstances which he also promised to bring to your notice direct - The land comprised within the limits specified in Mr Lascelles Durkhast is described in the Pinnash Oolung to be Jungle, the measurement of which is given at 12½ Bullas. on remeasurement it is found to Consist of 55 Bullahs - The ground applied for on the North of the Neilgherry road measures about 20 Bullahs. the extent now cleared amounts to about 5 B. which is appropriated to the plantation of Coffee and Cocoanuts - West of the Cullar Bridge to a distance of about 60 yards close to the road, he has raised a Stonewall, 1 yard in height and 1 yard in breadth. I have pointed out to him that this is not right and have also requested him not to do so hereafter. -

(Signed) Bheem Row
Tahsildar

True Translation
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princ. Collector

No. 5

Extract from a letter from A. R. M. Lascelles dated 16th November



Fort St. George 15th May 1848

November 1847

I embrace this opportunity to return you my thanks for having so promptly given attention to my application of the 17th September, with reference to which I beg to state for your information that the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah has made over to me the land that I then applied for, and that I am in possession of the same. -

True Extract
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princ. Coll.r

No. 6

To
J. C. Wroughton Esquire
Principal Collector and Magistrate of
Coimbatore

Sir

It is with extreme reluctance that I am Compelled to force myself upon your notice when the multiplicity of your avocations can leave you little time to attend to a Communication like this - The Conduct of the Cutwal of Mettapollium and of the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah has, however, left me no other alternative than addressing you on the Subject or abandoning the Estate - I am now opening at the foot of the Coonoor Ghaut. -

The

The abovementioned native Functionaries have been for some time past, and are Still in the habit of ordering their Peons to prevent any Coolies whatever from proceeding to the Estate from the Metapollium Bazar until it is so late that they would not be engaged on the Estate, and as I am obliged to keep a European Superintendent on the Estate on a high Salary, his time is very frequently wasted from having no Coolies to superintend. The Tahsildar of Danaikencottah has also cut down two or three large Trees that were growing upon the land which I had cleared and made ready for Cultivation— It will perhaps appear singular that I should complain of what would seem to be a benefit done to the Estate, but it is not so, as the Cultivation of Coffee in the low Country requires Shade, and the removal of Trees which I had expressly left standing will put me to considerable inconvenience and expense in re- shading the Coffee Trees in its young State— I could quote numerous other instances besides the abovementioned in which both the Tahsildar and the Cutwal have endeavoured as much as in them lay to put me to inconvenience and expence and to retard the progress of my Coffee and Sugar Plantation I will



will not however trespass further upon your valuable time feeling assured that you will see the injury likely to recur both to the Public and to the interests of private Individuals should the native authorities be suffered to molest and annoy Settlers in the way abovementioned.—

With many apologies for troubling you on the Subject.—

Signed/ A. R. W. Lascelles

True Copy
Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Pt. Collr.

Coonoor
14th February
1848

No 7

To J. C. Wroughton Esquire
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir

I had the honor of forwarding to you on the 17th September 1847 an application for a large tract of land at the foot of the Coonoor Ghaut, and in the last para of my letter, I requested you to be so good as to do me the favor to grant me the land free of tax till such time as the Cultivation of it was likely to be remunerative.— May I request that you will have the goodness to grant me the land on the same conditions as were granted by the then acting Collector of Malabar for a similar spot of land to Mr. James of Ootacamund in the year 1840.—

I

I have the honor to enclose you for your information the original letter received from the Acting Collector of Malabar on the subject and should it be out of your power to grant my request on your own responsibility, I will feel obliged by your Submitting it to the Board of Revenue with such a recommendation as shall seem co most proper to you— The great expence and inconvenience that I have been put to in co opening the plantation both from the unhealthiness of the spot, the denseness of the Jungle and the distance from the only Village from which labor is procurable, and the immense advantage that accrues to Government from having a road that has hitherto been infested with wild animals and only traversible by day opened and rendered safe to travel at any time, will I trust be considered sufficient excuse for the request that I have made.—

Cossar — 11th February 1848 —

/Signed/ A.R. W. Lascelles

To Mr. James
Bellical

Sir

With reference to my letter of the 29th October last. I beg to state that the terms that the



the Acting Principal Collector will recommend to the Board of Revenue for the ground on Cowle of 50 Cawnies of land at Seegoor for Cultivating Coffee or Sugar cane, are five years free 6th 7th and 8th years quarter, 9th and 10th years half, 11th and 12th years three quarter, and subsequently the full tax or 5-8-1 per Cawny for 30 Cawnies and 3-10-7 per Cawny for the rest as part of the land is co better soil than the rest.—

If you feel disposed to agree to these terms, I will forward the necessary co document for your Signature.—

/Signed/ T. W. Goodwyn
Actg. Sub Collr.

Pellichery — 27th January 1848 —

/True Copies/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Princl. Collr.

No. 8

Extract of Urzee from the Native Superintendent of Maramut Dated the 13th January 1848. No. 4.

When proceeding to Cullar for the inspection of the Bridge I found that Mr. Lascelles who has farmed Coffee plantation to the South of the road, has excavated a Channel for the irrigation of the said plantation on the road to a length of 136 yards the breadth and depth being half a yard and 1 foot respectively

this

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

this will prove a serious obstruction in wet weather, for the water will fall upon the road and render it heavy, I therefore request that the Tahsildar of the Taluk may be directed to cause the channel to be excavated in some other locality.—

True Translation

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Print. Collr.

No. 9

Extract of Urzee from the Native Superintendent of Maramut Dated 10th February 1848.— No 23.—

Mr. Lascelles and his writer have seized the Timber collected for the repair of the Metapolliem Bridge and it appears that they also illtreated the Parties who were deputed to bring down the same. The Tahsildar has examined and submitted to the Hoozoor the documents connected with the case.—

The Carpenters have nothing to do work now and as further delay will render it impossible to complete the repairs previous to the coming down of the River I request that Mr. Lascelles may be written to and required to give up the Timber alluded to.—

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Print. Collr.





Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 10.

Extract of Urzee from the Head of Police of Danaiken-cottah dated the 10th February 1848. No. 38.

It is proved by 4 Witnesses that in defiance of repeated orders and Esteare Mr. Lascelles and his writer Mr. Thomson cut down Blackwood which is Property of Government and I therefore beg leave to bring this circumstance to your notice. The documents connected with the examination of the said case are also forwarded.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton

Principal Collector

No. 11.

Extract of report from the Head of Police of Danaiken-cottah dated 16th February 1848.

Forty Trees (Blackwood) having been felled in the Jungle for the Metapolliem Bridge work. I sent some Mudders Carts to bring them hard in charge of a Taluk Peon Merakhan, when coming with Timber some of the Mudders were stopped, intimidated and 4 Beams were seized by Mr. Lascelles and his writer. The Peon met the same treatment and on his remonstrating the writer, abused, and threatened to pinion and shoot him. Under these circumstances the peon

proceeded

proceeded to Mr. Lascelles who was on the point of going up the Hills and communicated the circumstances, that Gentleman gave no proper Answer and refused to give back the Timber. The people therefore returned without being able to bring the wood required, to the loss of the Carriage Contractors. Such a proceeding is likely to Create Serious delay in the speedy completion of the repairs to the bridge. I am also apprehensive that if I proceed in person to bring down the Timber under detention by force it will be productive of collision on the part of the Writer who appears to be of intemperate habits and entirely ignorant of Tamil or other languages of this country which will subject me to your displeasure. Mr. Lascelles has already threatened me with complaining in the Court against me and obtaining a warrant for my apprehension. No decision has yet been come to with reference to Mr. Lascelles Durkhast.

(Signed) Bheem Row

Tahsildar.

(True Translation)

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Asst. Collector

Extract



No. 12.

Extract of Urzee from the Tahsildar of Danaiken-cottah dated the 28th. February 1848.

From the above documents it appears that in clearing the Jungle Mr. Lascelles has cut down Mango and other Forest Trees which having been converted into Planks were forwarded to the Hills Viz. 10 on one occasion about a month previously and 38 on another. It appears also that several Planks were sent up subsequently. I am unable to make out the aggregate number of Planks hitherto transmitted Neither is it possible to ascertain the description of Timber formerly sent up and its dimensions. I now sent the Duffadar and Burrum who report to me that close to a hut erected by Mr. Lascelles at Cullar there are 26 Planks each measuring 8-2½×1 which are composed of Mango and other Trees, that a Blackwood tree has been felled and is about to be sawed and that another tree of the same description has been girdled.

A Mango tree is also cut down and is in Course of being planked. The number of planks previously sent up and now found, so far as I have been able to ascertain, Amount to 71 in

in number.

It would appear from my Urzee No. 324 dated 26th. Instant and the documents which accompanied it, that from the date of Mr. Lascelles Application she is clearing Jungle and cultivating land at pleasure.

(Signed) Bheem Row

Tahsildar

(True Translation)

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Princ. Collector

No. 13

Extract of Urzee from the Tahsildar of Danai: Kencottah No. 324 dated 26th. February 1848.

Under these Circumstances Mr. Lascelles Statement that I made over the land to him is quite untrue for I had no Authority to do so in the absence of your honors Orders and the Agreement alluded to, I was not the only person when speaking with that Gentleman there were the Cutwal of Metapolliem, the Royasum of my Office and others who will testify to the correctness of my assertion. Mr. Thomson who is a writer under Mr. Lascelles pinioned and illtreated the woodcutters who were sent to collect Timber for the Bridge work at Metapolliem and on one occasion Mr. Lascelles himself



forcibly seized and took away the Blackwood cut down for the said purpose and reported by me at three different times in the Police Department.

I take leave again to add that the land applied for, has never been made over either by me or the other Officials of the Talook and Mr. Lascelles is conducting operations at his own pleasure and without permission.

(Signed) Bheem Row

Tahsildar

(True Translation)

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Princ. Collector

No. 14

To, A. R. W. Lascelles Esqre.

Sir,

1. In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 11th. Instant giving cover to an original communication from the Sub: Collector of Malabar to Mr. James dated 27th. January 1840 which is returned herewith, I beg to inform you that the terms of the Cowle proposed by you as therein laid down being contrary to the provisions of the Hookoommanah of this District, I do not consider myself Empowered to accede to or sub-mit them for the consideration of the Board of Revenue.

2. Your offer made to the Tahsildar of

of Danaikencottah on the 20th. October 1847 was to
the effect noted in the margin, and previous to the
admission of which he was called upon on the 1st.
November 1847 to obtain an agreement from you to
the effect that you would not cut down Teak Black-
wood and other Trees fit for Government purposes
as well as those yielding produce and included in the
rent of the contractor of the Pellitoray Jungle, and this
Agreement has not as yet been executed Inter-
mediately you appear to have assumed the land
without permission and frequent Complaints have
been preferred to me that you have resisted and
prevented the Officials of Danaikencottah from
providing Timber for certain Public Works of a
most emergent character without affording
the Tahsildar an opportunity of personally com-
municating with you. Under these circumstances
I request you will suspend all further opera-
rations in the Jungle until such a time as
the Misunderstanding now existing is satisfactorily
removed and that you will refrain from all
interference in the removal of the Timber alluded
to, affording me explanation on the complaint
above noticed

It is painful to me to interrupt your





your operations or in fact to exercise any authority
that should militate against your interests, but
from the continued complaints of the Tahsildar
and from other quarters and in maintenance
of the good order which existed until your
people located themselves at the Cullar Bridge
it is peremptory on my part to exercise such
interference until the matter is cleared up and you
have acquired a legal right to the possession
of the land you have applied for.

Coimbatore ... 2
18th. February 1848

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. b. Wroughton
Prin. Coll.

No. 15.

To, J. b. Wroughton Esqre.
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the
receipt of your letter of the 18th. Instant in which
you inform me that the terms of the Cowle proposed
by me being contrary to the provisions of the Hon.
Koomarriah of the Coimbatore District, you do not
consider yourself empowered to accede to or submit them
for the consideration of the Board of Revenue"
The spot of ground alluded to in my communication

of

Fiort St. George 15th. May 1848.

of the 14th. Instant being at present within the limits of the Coimbatore District, I exstremely regret that what was granted to one Individual should be refused to Another.

In reply to the 2d. Para of your letter under Acknowledgement I beg to state that my offer to the Tahsildar of Danaikencottah of the 20th. October 1847 was made under the supposition that the terms on which I then offered, but which I have never been, were acceded to, to take the land were the most favorable that could be obtained and under totat ignorance that Government would refuse to grant to one individual as what had been so liberally offered to another. regarding the latter part of the para under notice, I beg to inform you that no Teak, Blackwood or other Trees subservient for Government purposes have been cut on the land by me; but that had I been aware that I would not be permitted to prepare the land for cultivation in the same way as is practiced in all places where the Cultivation of Coffee, Sugar and Cocoanuts is pursued and as is practiced on the Neelgheries I should never have commenced work on the land, that the Tahsildar informed



Fiort St. George 15th. May 1848.-

informed me that it was prohibited to cut Teak, Blackwood and Jack Trees, I acknowledge, but he at the same time informed me that it was not for the purpose of their being at any time made use of by Government but simply because a restriction existed to that effect in forest lands- I was never requested to sign any agreement though the Tahsildar in the presence of several persons informed me that as I had duly signed the Darkhast- namah or Application for the land I was at liberty to "assume" and cultivate the land, and I intimated to you in the Month of November 1847 that I had done so.-

With reference to that part of Your letter stating that frequent Complaints have been made to you that I have resisted and prevented the Officials of Danaikencottah from providing Timber for Certain Public Works of a More emergent Character, I have to state for your information that you must have been grosly misinformed as upon no occasion have, I resisted and prevented Timber from being provided where it was not provided in an improper manner- Viz. by Cutting it on land which was cleared for Cultivation

and

For t St. George 15th May 1848.-

and wherein the Trees were expressly left for shelter, on one such occasion I acknowledge to have prevented some coolies from carrying away Timber without I received an intimation from your Office that it was by your orders that the Timber was cut where it was, and in which case, I intended to have communicated with you on the subject - regarding any other instances that may be adduced in support of the "officials of Danaikencottah Statements. I have the pleasure to enclose you a note from the Superintendent of the Plantation. In reply to the 3d Para of your letter I beg to inform you that all "operations" have stopped on the Estate, and that it is my intention to submit the matter to higher authority, for it must be evident that if you possess the power of putting a stop to operations on the Plantations upon any representation made by Native Authorities, the increase of Cultivation in a District in which every attempt to increase Civilization is met by vexatious and frivolous interruptions must cease, especially as you must be aware that I have as legal a



For t St. George 15th May 1848.-

a right to the land as to any that I now or ever did possess on the Neilgherries. You must also have been cognizant of the fact that it was as easy to have given me what appeared to you a legal right to the land as to have stopped operations on the estate and thus seriously injured my interests.-

(Signed) A. R. W. Lascelles.

Potacamund
23d February
1848.

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Princl Collector

No 16.

To

J. C. Wroughton Esquire
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 19th instant, I have to state for your information that their has been no Blackwood cut by me at the Kullar Bridge since the day that I first commenced working there, and that your information is therefore incorrect. Should it be necessary I can send you the depositions on oath of the Superintendents of the Plantation that what I have stated is the case, should the explanation already furnished by me be considered satisfactory

I

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

I will feel obliged by you granting me permission to proceed with the work, as the plantation is daily suffering from the effects of the order received by me to suspend operations.-

Ootacamund 26th February 1848.-

(Signed) A. R. W. Lascelles

(True Copy)

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Prin.l Coll.r

No. 17.

To A. R. W. Lascelles. Esq.re

Sir,

1

In acknowledging your letters of the 23d and 26th Instant with an Enclosure which I return, I lose no time in informing you that as you state, it is your intention to submit the matter to higher authority I shall reserve my explanations until called for.-

2. At the same time I have to point out to you that in requesting you to stop from further operations in the Jungle, it was meant that no further quantity of land should be cleared or Timber cut down, but with no desire to interfere with the cultivation of the ground actually prepared for that purpose, for which I request you will



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

will lose no time in acquiring a legal right by conforming to the order sent to the Tahsildar of Dancikencottah on the 1st November last.

Coimbatore - - - 2d March 1848.-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Prin.l Collector

No. 18.

Extract from a letter from Mr. A.R.W. Lascelles dated 16th November 1847.-

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to do me the favor to permit me to occupy for the purpose of building a spot of ground situated above and to the west of the Conoor Public Bungalow, and bounded on the south and southeast by the Road to Hoolicaldroog and on the west by a large tract of land which I understand belongs to Major Minchin. It is at present covered with large trees, and has to all appearances never been cultivated nor is there any claim to the land by any person.-

(True Extract

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton

Prin.l Coll.r

No. 19.

To A. R. W. Lascelles Esq.re

Sir, In reply to your letter of the 16th Instant requesting

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

requesting to be permitted to occupy a spot of land at Conoor for building purposes, I lose no time in acquainting you that under the existing restrictive orders of Government regarding the occupancy of the lands on the Neelgherries, I am unable to comply with your request. I have no objection to register your application if you like, as it is possible it may give you a claim when the restriction ceases.-

Coimbatore.- - -
23d November 1848.-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Prin. Collector

No. 20.

To,

A. R. W. Lascelles. Esqre
Sir.

1. Having been informed on my arrival at Conoor that you are building upon the ground situated above the Public Bungalow here, I request you will communicate to me by what authority You have commenced doing so.-

2. It appears that this ground was applied for by You on the 16th November 1847. when you were informed that under the existing restrictive orders of Government regarding the occupancy of lands on the Neelgherries, I was



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

was unable to comply with your request, but that I had no objection to register your application. Under these circumstances Your occupancy of the ground is both unauthorized and in direct disobedience of the orders of Government.-

3. I request your immediate reply to this Communication as it is necessary that the circumstances attending this peculiar case with such explanations as you are able to afford be submitted to superior Authority.-

Conoor - - - - -
20th March 1848.-

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Prin. Collector.

No. 21.

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Instant.-

Your Statement that the ground on which I was building was applied for by me on the 16th November 1847. when you informed me that under "the restrictive orders of Government regarding the occupation of waste lands" You were unable to comply with my request is perfectly correct.-

Subsequent

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

Subsequent however to the above mentioned application, I was informed by the Moniga of the District that the land was not waste, but that it was the property of a Bergher and that he held a Puttah for it. This statement on enquiry I found to be correct and that a Puttah for the land was held by a Bergher called Hobethelee Carry Gowdah who offered to give up the land on receiving a Compensation for the same. this I agreed to give him, and thus the land became my property in the same manner that many acres have become the property of other Gentlemen on these Hills. Should there be any irregularity in the proceeding I much regret it, but as several Gentlemen whom I could name if necessary have obtained, and at present hold lands in a precisely similar manner. I trust that doing so will be considered a sufficient excuse for my acting in the manner I have done.-

(Signed) A. R. W. Lascelles.

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Pr. Collector

Translated

Coonoor --
15th March
1848.



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

No. 22

Translated Copy of Purgee from the Tahsildar &c. of Kotacamund dated 30th March 1848.

Mr. Arthur Lascelles having enclosed 4 Cawnies of Government waste land and constructed a Tiled Bungalow and a Stable thereon, which land is situated in the Purgana of Coonoor of the Paringanaad Division of the Hills south of the Travellers Bungalow at that Station, west of Bicca Betta, east of a Ponjah land of Hoolhoodaley Bettum, and North of Doddabetta Shog leave to forward herewith two Depositions taken from Soobhien acting Curnum of the Village and from Aggagounden, and Kenkodaley Caurae Gounden the Monigar and his Goomastah.

These individuals Complained to me when at Coonoor on Public duty that Mr. Lascelles was building upon the said Government waste land without permission, and having had an opportunity of meeting that Gentleman near the Yellambully Tappal Station on the 15th of December last, I desired him not to proceed with the work as no authority had been given to appropriate the Circar land. He then told me that permission had been granted by

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

by your honor, and also to Corroborate his Statement
Shewed me a letter bearing your Signature. Having
seen this I thought that such would have been
the case and took no more Notice. Acting
Curnum Soobhien, Attavanay Peon Syed Ismail
and Muppalchee Ramen, are witnesses to this fact.
On the 29th Instant when I was proceeding from
Canoor to the Cushak Station I met Mr. Lascelles
near Yellumkully and requested him to proceed to
Canoor for the purpose of Coming to a Settlement
Regarding the land alluded to and also suggested
the expediency of obtaining either a grant or
Puttah for the same. When he denied his previous
Statement and informed me that the land had
been sold to him for a sum of 40 Rupees by
Iruniyan Keshavadalay Caurce and Aggagoundon
On Coming to Canoor I questioned both of them
who acknowledged the sale of it, and have given
a deposition to that effect. The land is the
property of Government having been uncultivated
for 18 years past, and is not the property of any
Private Individual. I therefore request that
this case of attempt to defraud the Property
of



Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

of Government may be examined and the Iruniyan punished.

[Signed] Vurdalchary
Tahsildar

P.S. Mr. Lascelles spoke to me in Tamil which the Curnum and Peons who were with me have heard and they will testify to the Correctness of this Statement if examined.

[Signed] Vurdalchary
Tahsildar

Solemnly affirmed before me this 1st day of April 1848.

[Signed] C. F. Schanicr
Asst. Collr.

[Signed] J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Collr.

No. 23

J. C. Wroughton Esqre.
Princl. Collector of Coimbatore.

Sir,

Being desirous of cultivating a coffee Plantation in the Jungles near the Kotaghery Ghaut about 2 miles to the East of the Toppal Hout of Tottapullum and about that distance from any part of the road. May I beg of you to grant me permission to do so, I may observe that the ground I am in want of is in the Danaikencottah Taluk and that the Coroomburs have no objection to my occupying it.

Kotaghery
15th February 1848.

[Signed] F. H. Lascelles

[True Copy]
[Signed] J. C. Wroughton
Pl. Collr.

7618

Fort St. George 15th May 1848

No 27

J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Coonoor.

Sir,

I am favored with your letter of the 20th Instant - I much regret that you should suppose I have permitted any clearing to go on, on the spot of land I applied for after the receipt of your letter of the 21st Ultimo, as I then instantly stopped all work and have been waiting for your permission to proceed further, the 3 Cawnies were cleared previous to the first February 1848 -

I much regret that a severe attack of fever prevented my making this explanation to the Curnum when he measured the land -

May I beg you will be good enough to direct my answer to "Kotagherry" which is my usual place of residence. -

Ootacamund
22d March 1848

[Signed] F. H. Lascelles

[True Copy]
[Signed] J. C. Wroughton
Asst Collr

7619

Fort St. George 15th May 1848.

49-49

Proceedings thereon -

Observations and orders of the Board on the subject of same land at the foot of the Coonoor Ghaut respecting which a complaint was preferred by Mr Lascelles and which the Principal Collr of Coimbatore reported under date 5th April last -

The Board of Revenue consider the explanation furnished by the Principal Collector in the letter above recorded on the matter of the complaint made by Mr. Lascelles to be quite satisfactory -

They request that Mr. Wroughton will cause the quantity of land mentioned in Para 11 of his letter - Viz. 10 Bullahs of Poonjah and 10 Cawnies of Nunjah to be made over to Mr. Lascelles to be held by him under the usual Cowlenamah of the District on his executing the customary agreements.

The Board of Revenue further think it indispensably necessary that measures should be taken for preventing parties from entering upon possession of waste land upon or in the vicinity of the Neilgherry Hills until duly allowed to do so by the Revenue Authorities - They will not under present circumstances object to Mr. R. A. H. Lascells and his brother retaining the land now in their occupation at Coonoor and Kotagherry respectively and adverted to by the Principal Collector in Paras 12 - 13 and 14 of his report - but, they request that Mr. Wroughton will inform both those Gentlemen that the purchase of waste land upon the Neilgherries on which no assessment is in course of being paid, from a Burgher or any other party, confers no title whatever

whatever- that such land is the property of Govt and can be obtained only by application made to the Collector of the District thro the usual channel- he will also apprize them that until this shall have been done and permission to enter upon the property duly granted to the applicant under the hand of the Officer in charge of the District- he cannot be allowed either to clear or in any way assume possession of the land, and that any attempt to occupy it without such due authority will in all cases lead to the rejection of his application and to his ejection from the land- whatever may be the amount which may have been expended upon it.-

Read the following letter.-

To,

The President and Members of the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Gentlemen;

50

From the President of the late Putcheappahs Charities.-

I have the honor on behalf of the Trustees for the Management of the late Putcheappah's Charities to report for the information of your Board, that we have elected D: Vencatasoobiah, and Nagojee Row to be Trustees in the room of M: Somasoondara Moodeliar, deceased and C: Arnaghurry Moodeliar, resigned, and beg to request you will be pleased to convey to us the confirmation of these nominations.-



Madras,-
Trustee's Office,-
25th April, 1848.-

(Signed) C: Streenevasa Pillay.-
President.-

Ordered that the following letters be sent in consequence.-

To,

The President of the

Trustees for the

Management of the

late Putcheappahs

Charities.-

51

To C, Streenivassa Pillay, President of the Trustees for the Management of the late Putcheappahs Charities.

Mons: 15th May 1848.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th Ultimo, and in reply to convey to you their confirmation of the election of D: Vencatasoobiah and Nagojee Row to be Trustees for the Management of Putcheappah Moodeliar's Charity in succession to Somasoondara Moodely and Arnaghurry Moodely.-

Revenue Board Office,-
Fort St. George,
15th May, 1848.-

(Signed) T: Pycroft.
Secretary.-

To,

The Accountant

General.-

50-52

To the Accountant General,

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to state for your information that they have sanctioned the nomination of D: Vencatasoobiah and Nagojee Row as Trustees for the Management of Putcheappah Moodeliar's Charities in

in succession to M. Smadenduia Moodely deceased and
C. Arnaghery Moodely resigned.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th May, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.-

53.
From the Sub Treasurer-

Read the undermentioned Statements.-

Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of January last.-

54
From the Superintendent of Stamps

Statement of Contingent Charges incurred during the Month of April last.-

53-55
Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements.-

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

56
From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.-

Read Comparative Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in store for Home and Inland consumption for the Quarter ending 30th April last.

56-57
Order thereon.-

Ordered to lie over.-

58
From the Collector of Nellore.-

Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on Petitions referred by the Board during the Month of April last.-

58-59
Order thereon.-

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-



To,
The Chief Secretary to Government.

60
To the Chief Secy: to Government

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council that I have this day resumed charge of my Office of First Member of the Board of Revenue.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
15th May, 1848.

(Signed) G: D: Drury.-

To,
The Civil Auditor
Fort St. George.

61
To the Civil Auditor

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have this day assumed charge of my Office of First Member of the Board of Revenue.-

Madras
15th May, 1848.

(Signed) G: D: Drury.-

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

62
From the Deputy Accountant General.-

Indent on the Commissary General for certain Articles required for the use of the Military Department of the Accountant General's Office.-

62-63
Order thereon.-

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.-

64
From the Acting Coll[r] of North Arcot.-

Salt Account Current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.-

65
From the Coll[r] of Ganjam.-

Salt Account Current for the Quarter ending 31st March last.-

66
From the Collector of Nellore.-

Statement shewing the Quantity

7624- Fort St. George 15th May 1848.-

Quantity of Salt in store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1847/48 at the Ports of his District upto 30th April last.-

67

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry- Sending a Statement of smuggled Salt Sanctioned for confiscation and reporting that the sum therein specified was carried to the account of Government.-

67-68

Order thereon- Ordered that the Collector be informed that Statements such as that above recorded are unnecessary and should be discontinued.-

69

From the Collector of Tanjore- Stating that the reason for the non-transmission of the Statement called for has been already given, and enclosing a Blank Statement.-

G.D. Drury "

W. Elliot "

A. Maclean "

7625- Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

Diary.

15th May.

1 Proceedings.

Read again letter from the Collector of Rajahmundry transmitting a Statement of the amount sale of smuggled salt sanctioned by the Board to be carried to the credit of Government.

The Board observe that Statements such as that above recorded, showing that the proceeds of Salt confiscated under orders of the Board have been carried to account are unnecessary and should be discontinued.

They also request that for the future Statements of Seizures of Salt may not be accompanied by a letter unless it be necessary to enter into more explanation than can be given in the Statements as required by the Rule adverted to in the Board's Circular of the 16th August 1847.

Thursday 18th May 1848.

At a Meeting Present.

G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member

Walter Elliot Esqre Second Member

and

A. Maclean Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and Approved.

Read the following Extract.

2 From the Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th May 1848.

Read the following

To I. D. Bourdillon Esqre

Offg. Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the

the receipt of your letter No. 287 dated the 21st Ultimo, and in reply to state that under the explanation therein afforded, the Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the continuance of the pensionary allowance of Rupees 276-1-8. per mensem enjoyed by Chinna Ramackunnah deceased niece of the late Chitrail Poligar, in full, in the name of her daughter from the 10th June 1847.

Fort William
The 8th April 1848.

/Signed/ G. A. Bushby
Secretary to the Govt. of India

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their letter dated 13th January 1848 No. 12, and to the Offices of Account and audit. The Board are requested to ascertain and report for communication to the audit Office, the name of Chinna Ramackunnah's daughter.

/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

2-3

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letter dated 27th September last.

In Cons 13th Jany. 1848

Mr. Cochrane will be pleased to acquaint the Board with the name of the daughter of the late Chinna Ramackunnah

Read



4

From the Secy. to Government

Read the following Extracts

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date the 1st May 1848.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Here enter 19th April 1848 No. 195.)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council approves of the proceedings of the Board of Revenue reported in the foregoing communication and grants authority for the prosecution of the appeals and defence in the cases reported.

/True Extract/
/Signed/ H. C. Montgomery.
Secretary to Government.

4-5

Proceedings thereon. Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Salem with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 19th Ultimo.

Since communicating to Mr. Lockhart Extract from the Proceedings of 19th Ultimo, the attention of the Board has been led to the decree of the Court of Sudder Adalat in S. S. No. 10. 1814. of which, as bearing upon the cases now at issue, they resolve to furnish

copy

copy to the Collector. He will observe that the Court have therein laid down the principle, that a Zemendar or Mocadar cannot, subsequently to the Permanent Settlement, assume, to the prejudice of the public, any rights or privileges which were not exercised by the Government anterior to such Settlement. Thus in respect to the subject of appeal Suit No. 37 of 1844, as the land therein sued for was not only a Fort, but was appropriated to the public use as a parade Ground and Bathing place for Merchants Ships on the formation of the Salem Mootah, and therefore deducted from the Aycut account so subsequently to the introduction of the Mootahdaree system it must still be reserved to those and similar uses, and the Mootahdar is not entitled to divert it from such purposes, or to appropriate to his own benefit. The same reasoning will apply in the special appeal No. 3 of 1847. If the final pleadings have not been filed in these two cases the Collector will be pleased to add a paragraph to his appeal plaint or reply and appeal answer



answer or rejoinder, respectively, bringing the principle laid down in special appeal No. 10 of 1814 to the notice of the Court, and showing its bearing on the questions now at issue. If those pleadings have been put in, it is presumed that the same may be done by means of a short supplemental pleading in both cases.

(6)

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 6th May 1848.

Read the following

To, The Right Honorable the Governor in Council of Fort St. George.

The humble petition of

S. Mahathavathacar &c.

Surva Maaneam Enamdar

of the Village Vadanoor &c. in

the Zillah of Trichinopoly.

Mayit please your Excellency.

That your petitioner presented a petition in No. 161 of 1847 to the Sheristee Sudur Ameen of Trichinopoly complaining that your petitioner's opponents had fraudulently usurped the produce of his Enam Lands which was deposited in threshing floors. This functionary although he fully admitted the validity of your petitioner's claim

Fort St. George 18th. May 1848

claim to the property in question, struck the petition off the file of the Court, stating that he could not interfere in the case, unless the order of Government was produced to him, according to Regulation iv 4 of 1831.

That your petitioner has in consequence, presented a petition to the Zillah Court of that district on the subject who confirmed the decision of the Moofty Sudur Ameen's Court, as will be seen from the enclosed copy of the Extract from the proceedings of that Court. Your petitioner therefore most humbly craves that your Excellency in Council will be graciously pleased to take the above circumstances into your favorable consideration and issue orders to furnish him with an endorsement, directing the Civil Court to admit the petitioner's suit in accordance with the provisions contained in the Regulation above the referred to.

And your petitioner as in duty bound.

Shall Ever Pray.

/ Signed /



Fort St. George 18th. May 1848

A.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Trichinopoly under date the 25th. Nov.r 1847.

Present.

W. Harington Esqre. Civil Judge.

M. P. No. 285 of 1847 ..... Read Petition presented on the 7th. ultimo by Mahana Detchatar Plaintiff in O. S. No. 161 of 1846 Moofty Sudar ameen file.

The Petitioner states that his ancestors were Goorous to the Carnatic Rajah, maniams were granted to them in consequence which were enjoyed by their posterity that his elder brother Jambo pathy Detchethar enjoyed the whole of these lands. He died issueless and he, Petitioner, came into possession of the whole of his property and obtained the Rent deeds in his name, that he cultivated the Village of Tadavoor forming part of the above property, reaped the produce, and heaped it up in the threshing floor, and in consequence of the Defendants having prevented him from taking it away that he brought the above suit for its recovery, and on his application the produce was attached and sold, and the proceeds placed in deposit. In the process that was issued by the Moofty Sudar ameen to the Razor on the occasion

occasion the produce is mentioned as his pro-
perty, that in the answer the 1st Defendant
falsely asserted that agelandammal his
elder brothers widow adopted him and that
he was entitled to the produce that the Moofty
Sudar ameen dismissed his suit without
enquiry on the ground that it involved a
claim to extensive property beyond his
cognizance, and that it was also regarding
an Enam which is unjust, that the lands
enjoyed by his brother and that on which
the produce in dispute grew being in his
possession, the Moofty Sudar ameen pro-
nion that he ought to bring a suit for both
and that he brought a false claim is incor-
rect. Regulation 4 of 1831 further than pre-
scribing that the sanction of Government
is necessary before a suit regarding Enam
lands can be admitted does not declare
that such sanction is required for suits
brought for the recovery of the produce of
such lands. that suits for the Melwaram of
Maniem lands have been admitted by the Moofty
Sudar ameen in No. 46 of 1846 and 44 of 1847 as
well as in many other cases, that it was ne-
cessary that it should be ascertained who
cultivated and reaped and gathered the



produce that as the land is in his possession
there was no necessity for his instituting a suit for
its recovery that when the 1st Defendant presented
a petition to the Principal Sudar ameen request-
ing him to collect and pay to him the amount of
a Decree passed in favor of his brother Sam-
boopatty Detikether on the ground of his
being his adopted son, that he preferred counter
one declaring that he was his lawful heir,
when an order was passed that unless the
Defendant established his adopted by a
regular suit his assertion could not be ad-
that he filed this order before the
Moofty Sudar ameen when the 1st Defendant
presented a Compliant to the Magistrate that he
had stolen the Paddy in dispute - it was decided
that the Petitioner was the owner thereof and the
1st Defendant was referred to a Civil suit
to establish his claim, that this decision is
herewith presented that if the 1st Defendant
had any title he would not be so long without
instituting a suit, that agelandammal
the female who the 1st Defendant alleges
adopted him, presented a Motion
to the Moofty Sudar Ameen deny-
ing the fact - It appears from the
above circumstances that the assertion

of

of the 1st. Defendant that he was adopted is false and that if he had any claim he ought to have instituted a Civil Suit, that the Moofty sudar ameen without taking these circumstances into consideration dismissed his Suit contrary to the regulations and unjustly directed the Nazer to pay the 1st. Defendant whose title was not admitted by the Principal Sudar Ameen, the proceeds of the produce in question altho it was proved that the produce was his, that the Moofty Sudar ameen ought to have directed the proceeds to remain in deposit till either of their claims had been established, that one Sashien instituted a Suit against the 1st. Defendant in No. 85 of the present year and procured the attachment of the Manaam lands on which he and agelandummah presented a Motion representing that those lands were his when an order was issued for its release. The Justice of the order directing the money realized from the produce in question to be placed in 1st Defendants possession, at variance with the former order, must be taken

into



into consideration and praying that the Court will peruse the Diary Extract of the Moofty Sudar ameen which herewith follows, send for the Motion of agelandummah and the other proceedings of the case and direct that they be forwarded to another Court with orders that an enquiry should be made as to whose threshing floor the produce was heaped in or that the proceeds of the produce may be retained in deposit till the claim of either party established. Order of the Court thereto be established. Order of the Court ordered that the proceeds be paid to the Defendant and instruct the Plaintiff if he has any claim to the Enam land in question to obtain the permission of Government to sue for it.

A true Extract.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Trichenopoly, under date the 2d. December, 1847.

Present.

W. Harington Esqre Civil Judge

M.P. No. 480 of 1847. Read petition presented on the 27th. November 1847. by Agelandummah stating that she was given to understand that her brother in law Mahadava Deutchatar Plaintiff in O.S. No. 161 of 1846 on the file of the Moofty Sudar Ameen

7636 Fort St. George 18th May 1848

ameen presented a Motion to the Court and on the explanation afforded thereon by the Moofty Sudar Ameen this Court passed an Order to the effect that the proceeds realized from the produce of her land should be paid to the Defendant Kistnier in the above No. that the Defendant is not the lawful heir, that if the proceeds inquestion be paid to him it will be hard afterwards to recover her money from him back as he possesses no property and that she therefore intends to prefer special appeal to the Sudar Udalut against the above Order, and requesting that the diary Extract of the above order may be furnished to her and that the proceeds in question be kept in deposit till she should obtain an Order from the Sudar Udalut on the Subject.

Order of the Court. Under these circumstances there is no objection to keeping the proceeds in deposit for a reasonable time.

Ordered accordingly with reference to the Court's order of 25th Inst.

7637 Fort St. George 18th May 1848

on M. P. No. 285 of 1847 that the same be communicated to the Moofty Sudar Ameen with the following

Taken.

A true Extract.

Ordered to be referred to the Board of Revenue who will, if necessary require a report from the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly on the subject of the plaint, and submit to Government their opinion whether there is any objection to a compliance with the Petitioners request to be permitted to sue in the Civil

1638

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

Civil Courts for the produce of his Enam lands.

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Govt.

6-7

Resolution thereon. Resolved that Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the papers which accompany it be furnished to the acting Collector of Trichinopoly for report &c. &c. &c. with a pleased to state whether in his opinion, any objection exists to a compliance with the Petitioners request to be permitted to sue in the Civil Courts for the produce of his Enam Lands.

Exctiact

1639

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

8

From the Secy. to Government

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th May 1848.-

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Court of Wards:-

(Here enter 25th April 1848 - No. 208.)

Under the provisions of Section Para. 1 III Regulation V of 1804, the Right Honourable the Governor in Council grants authority for the Munnarcottah Zemindary in the District of Tinnevelly being taken under the charge of the Board of Revenue in their capacity of Court of Wards on behalf of the Minor Zemindar Shunkaralinga Sinnya Naicken.-

The Governor in Council 2. approves of the proposition of the Collector to see that the education of the young Zemindar is attended to when he attains a sufficient age, but as several years must elapse before this, he is of opinion there will be no immediate necessity for the employment of a Tamil and Telugoo Tutor, the charge on this account should therefore be at once disallowed, and the Board will be pleased to reconsider the items of the proposed expenditure and continue them as much as possible to what is actually needed for the comfortable and respectable maintenance

maintenance of the family and the efficient management of the Estate, exclusive of all superfluous items. Several in the list now submitted appear to the Government to be of this latter character.—

Feeding of Dogs & Fowls.—

To the President & Members of the Court of Wards.—

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.—

8-9

To the Collector of Tinnevelly,

To the Collector of Tinnevelly forwarding copies of correspondence with Government & their orders for taking under the charge of the Court of Wards the Munnarcottah Zemindary with Board's observations thereon.—

Sir,

1. With reference to your letter of the 24th March last, and to my reply thereto, dated 25th Ultimo, I am directed by the Court of Wards to forward for your information the correspondence noted in the margin which has passed between this Office and Government, on the subject of the Munnarcottah Zemindary in your District.

Board to Govt. 25th April 1848
Extract Minutes of Consn. 8th
in Consultation 15th May.

2. You will observe that the Right Honorable the Governor in Council has granted, the necessary authority for the Estate being taken under the management of the Court of Wards on behalf of the Minor Zemindar Shunkaralinga Sinnya Saicka-



3. With your letter referred to above you enclose for the Courts Sanction two Statements, one being a list of the Establishments required for the management of the Zemindary, amounting to Rupees 597 per annum, and the other an Estimate of the expenses proposed to be incurred for the support of the Minor and his family &c., amounting to Rupees 1,420-2-0 per annum.—

4. It is observed that the cost of the Establishment which you propose to entertain for the management of the Estate exceeds 5 per cent of the gross Revenue. This is rather more than the usual rate of charge for the management of Minor Estates and may, it seems to the Court, admit of reduction; perhaps the Thandulgar and Koozoor Goomastah could be dispensed with.—

5. In regard to the Estimated expenditure for the support of the Minor, the Court request you will transmit revised Statements in which all needless charges not required for the comfort and respectability of the Minor's Establishment should be dispensed with and they desire to call your attention to para 2 of the Extract from Minutes of Consultation now under transmission, in which the Government object to

Fort St George 18th May 1848.

to the charge for a Tamil and Telugoo Tutor for the present and to the apparently unnecessary description of some of the Minor charges.—

6. The Establishment of servants might in the opinion of the Court of Wards be reduced and a less number than 27 suffice for all purposes.— Agreeably to Section XIV Regulation V of 1804 a sum equal to 10 per cent of the permanent Assessment of their Estates should be allotted for the support of Minors and their families, but the Estimate in the case exceeds 27 per cent.—

7. The orders of the Court in regard to the liquidation of the debts of the deceased Zemindar will be communicated to you on receipt of your reply to their letter of 25th Ultimo.—

8. It is observed that the Zemindar has private lands under cultivation.— You will be pleased to state the average annual value of their produce.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
18th May 1848

Signed J. Pycroft
Secretary.—

10

From the Secretary to Government

Containing observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the management of Extra Sources of Revenue in the Dist. of South Arcot for July 1256.—

Para. 1.

Read the following Extracts.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 12th May 1848.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of South Arcot for July 1256. A.D. 1846/47.

(Here enter 20th April 1848 No. 201)

There





Fort St George 18th May 1848.

demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of South Arcot for July 1256, to the extent of Rupees 43,774 and in the actual outturn of Rupees 51,185 notwithstanding that there was a decrease (of Rupees 2,841) in the charges; this appears to be the result of a large balance remaining uncollected at the close of the July preceding in comparison with the balance at the same period of the preceding year an increase of Rupees 12,133.—

| | July 1255 | July 1256 |
|---|---|---|
| Demand | 539,175 | 495,400 |
| Balance | 3873 | 16,007 |
| Gross Collections | 540,311 | 486,284 |
| Charges | 66,226 | 63,385 |
| Net Revenue | 474,084 | 422,898 |

In the demand on the several items the largest decrease appears in Salt and Sayer and the greatest increase in Sea Customs. To the difficulty attributed the chief decrease under that Head.— The Government is of opinion that the Collector should arrange always to have on hand a stock sufficient for the average consumption of at least 12 months and not be wholly dependant at the end of the manufacturing season on the quantity produced in it, which as in the case reported may be all considerably below the demand.— The Board of Revenue appear

| | |
|---|---|
| Salt | 9,853 |
| Sayer | 45,564 |
| Sea Customs | 11298 |

appear satisfied that the interests of the Government and the people were not neglected on this occasion, and that the loss of Revenue by insufficient supply is the result of circumstances beyond the control of the local authorities. The cause of the fluctuations in the other items is sufficiently explained by the Board. The Governor in Council observes that there has been a remarkable fluctuation in the trades in piece goods which appears to require investigation.—

3. Of the total demand amounting to Rupees 4,95,400–8–3 the sum of Rupees 4,79,392–9–11 were realized within the year, leaving a balance at its close of Rupees 16,007–14–4 of which Rupees 13,243–15–8 belonged to the Abkarry branch with the exception of Rupees 53–5–3 remaining at the date of the Collector's report the whole of the above balance is reported to have been since realized.—

4. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for writing off in the Accounts of the District of South Arcot the balances of Moturpha and Sea Customs represented to be irrecoverable, amounting to Rupees 137–4–0

thirty



thirty seven, annas four and Pies six.—

/ A true Extract /
Signed/ H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.—

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot, with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 20th Ultimo.—

Order thereon (10-11)

Mr. Davis' attention is to be called to the orders of Government in para 2 regarding the quantity of Salt to be always kept in store and he is requested to afford some explanation in respect to the great fluctuation in the trade in piece goods noticed by Government in the same para.—

(12)

From the Secy to Government Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relating to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of North Arcot for Fasly 1250.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 13th May 1848.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of North Arcot for Fasly 1250.—
1840/47.

(Here enter 20th April 1848 – No 200)

Para. 1.

The demand on account of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of North Arcot amounted for Fasly 1250 to Rupees 1,55,136 being Rupees 78,640 below that of

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

of Fusly 1255; but owing to there being a smaller amount of Balances at the close of the year than at the same period of the preceding, and to a larger Collection of Arrears, the net revenue Rupees 1,72,321-8 presented on comparison with the previous year a deficit of Rupees 49,355.-

Fusly 1255- 1,251
1256- 3,089

Fusly 1255- 1,870
1256- 23,095

2. Looking to the demand the greatest deficiencies appear under the Heads of Salt Rupees 19,500 and Abkarry 54,700, the cause assigned for the former is "the less resort of Traders to the pans in the Districts from Cuddapah, Bellary and Mysore" and for the latter "the high price of grain and consequent inability" of the community to indulge in the use of fermented Liquors.-

3. With reference to the observation of the Board of Revenue in para 7 that the Receipts from Sea Customs fall considerably below the charges of Establishment, the Government would observe that the Act VI of 1848 abolishing duty on Goods forming the Port and Port Trade of the Presidency may probably enable them to reduce the expenditure in this Department.-

The



Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

The Right Honorable the

4. Governor in Council sanctions the abatement of rent to which the Abkarry renter of Fusly 1256 is entitled under the terms of his agreement, and desires that the amount may accordingly be written off in the accounts of the North Arcot Collectorate Viz. Rupees 2,205-7-8-[illegible] Two thousand two hundred and five, Annas seven and pice eight.-

The sanction now conveyed

5. will reduce the Extra revenue balance of Fusly 1256, there being none for antecedent years, to Rupees 50-9-10- which the Collector represents to be in course of adjustment, a result which the Government consider satisfactory.-

To the President & Members of the Board of Revenue.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery.
Secretary to Government.

Order thereon-

(12-13)

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 20th Ultimo.-

The subject noticed in para 3 will be taken into consideration on

1648 Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

on receipt of the Acting Collector's reply to the Board's Circular of 18th March last.-

(14)

From the Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 13th May 1848.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Bd. Rev. enter 25th April 1848 No. 203)

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the Suits brought against the Collector of Canara and others in the Subordinate Court of Mangalore, but will determine when a copy of the decree is submitted whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.

2. The Governor in Council resolves to bring the subject referred to by the Board of Revenue in the latter part of para 4 of the foregoing letter, under the notice of the Government of India in connection with the correspondence on the proposed Law "for repealing such parts of the Regulations of the Bengal and Madras Codes as relate to Native Religious Institutions and to Endowments, Buildings and Establishments connected therewith."-

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) W. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

Resolution thereon.-

(14-15)

Resolved that copy of the foregoing Extracts from Minutes of Consultation, and of the Board's letter to Government dated 25th Ultimo, to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 24th March last.-

Resolved also that a copy of the Collector's Draft answer, with the Board's modifications in red ink, be forwarded to him, with these Proceedings.-

Read

1649 Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

(16)

From the Secy. to Government.

Read the following Resolutions.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th May 1848.-

(From Board 26th April 1848)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions, subject to audit, the payment of the arrears due to the undermentioned Yeomiahdars of North Arcot, amounting to Rupees 1,029-11-2 (One thousand and twenty nine, annas eleven, and Pie two.-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| No. 214 | Bahamut Bebee | from 12th January 1841 to 11th July 1847 | 143-13-- |
| " 85 | Khateejah Bebee | from 12th January 1845 to 11th July 1847 | 295-- |
| " 95 | Shah Goolam Ruzzah | from 12th April 1845 to 11th October 1847 | 210-2-2 |
| " 497 | Khajunally | from 12th April 1846 to 11th October 1847 | 180-- |

As more than six months have elapsed since the date to which it is proposed to pay up the Yeomiahs of those parties, the Acting Collector is further authorized to discharge the arrears due to April 1848 and to continue the payment of the fixed allowances

(Signed) W. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

(16-17)

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of

on receipt of the Acting Collector's reply to the Board's Circular of 18th March last.-

(14)

From the Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 13th May 1848.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Recd enter 25th April 1848 No 203).

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.-

Para 1. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council grants authority for the defence in the first instance at the public expense of the Suits brought against the Collector of Canara and others in the Subordinate Court of Mangalore, but will determine when a copy of the decree is submitted whether the costs, if any be adjudged against the Collector, shall be borne by that Officer or by the Government.-

2. The Governor in Council resolves to bring the subject referred to by the Board of Revenue in the latter part of para 4 of the foregoing letter, under the notice of the Government of India in connection with the correspondence on the proposed Law "for repealing such parts of the Regulations of the Bengal and Madras Codes as relate to Native Religious Institutions and to Endowments, Buildings and Establishments connected therewith."-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government.

Resolution thereon.-

(14-15)

Resolved that copy of the foregoing Extracts from Minutes of Consultation, and of the Board's letter to Government dated 28th Ultimo, to which it is a reply, be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Canara, with reference to his letter dated 24th March last.-

Resolved also that a copy of the Collector's Draft answer, with the Board's modifications in red ink, be forwarded to him, with these Proceedings.-

H.C.M.



(16)

From the Acty to Government.

Read the following Resolution.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9th May 1848.-

(From Board 26th April 1848)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions, subject to Audit, the payment of the arrears due to the undermentioned Yeomiahdars of North Arcot, amounting to Rupees 1,029-11-2 (One thousand and twenty nine, Annas eleven, and Pice two.-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| No 214 | Bahamut Bebee from 12th January 1841 to 11th July 1847 | 143-13-- | |
| " 85 | Khategah Bebee from 12th January 1845 to 11th July 1847 | 295---- | |
| " 95 | Shah Goolam Ruzzah from 12th April 1845 to 11th October 1847 | 410-14-2 | |
| " 497 | Khajunally from 12th April 1846 to 11th October 1847 | 180---- | |

As more than six months have elapsed since the date to which it is proposed to pay up the Yeomiahs of those parties, the Acting Collector is further authorized to discharge the arrears due to april 1848 and to continue the payment of the fixed allowances

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

(16-17)

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector

for

Fort St.u George 18.th May 1848

(18)

From the Secy.to Government

of North Arcot with reference to Mr.u Lovell's letter dated 14.th March last.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 9.th May 1848.

(From Board 27.th April)

The Right Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions, subject to audit, the disbursement of the arrears due to Singa Parceary late a Maniendar of Woodiarpolliam in South Arcot, from Fusly 1252 to 1256, amounting to Rupees 659-5-2 / Six hundred and fifty nine, annas five and Pice two, and also the continuance of the allowance payable to that individual under the orders of Government of the 31.st January 1842 No.u 138.

/Signed/ W. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

(19-19)

Order thereon- Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 11.th March last.-

(20)

From the Secy.to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated 11.th May 1848.

From Board 1.st May 1848.

Resolved, that the allowances sanctioned by the Government of India under date the



Fort St.u George 18.th May 1848.

the 11.th March 1848 No.u 247 for the support of the families of the late Jaghiredars of Peshvendum, be paid in arrear from the 5.th November 1845, the date on which the Estate lapsed to Government by the death of the last Jaghiredar.-

/Signed/ W. C. Montgomery
Secretary to Government

(20-21)

Order thereon Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 20.th Ultimo.-

Read the following Resolution-

(22)

From the Dy.tee Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 11.th May 1848.

From Board 1.st May.

Ordered that a copy of the Certificate No.u 2,808 be granted for delivery to the Pensioner.-

/Signed/ R. G. Clarke
Dy.tee Secretary to Gov.t

(22-23)

Resolution thereon Resolved that the Certificate which accompanies the foregoing order of Government, be forwarded to the Collector of Madura, with reference to his letter dated 20.th April last.-

Read the following letter

To

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(24)

From the Collr. of Masulipatam

Sir,

With reference to Para 9 of my letter dated 5th August 1846, I have the honor to inform you that the Church Warden of the Pagoda of Shree Vanooga Pattaswamy has since applied to me to return him the two Original documents therein alluded to, and therefore request that you will retransmit them to me for delivery to the Petitioner.

DESTROYED

Masulipatam, Collector's Cutchery. 26th April 1848.

/Signed/ R. T. Porter

Collector.

Resolution thereon.

(24-25)

Resolved that the two original documents which accompanied the Collector's letter of 5th August 1846, be returned to him, in compliance with the request contained in the foregoing letter.

DESTROYED

Read the following letters-

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(26)

From the Collector of Nellore.

Sir

I have the honor to inform you that



Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

that Tibjul Bee who is in the receipt of a subsistence yomiah of Rupee 1-0-2 per mensem, and who is aged 50 years, is desirous of residing at Madras with her daughter who is living there with her husband from whom she expects also some pecuniary assistance, her own allowance being inadequate for her maintenance and her only son suffering from Asthma and she having no other relations at Nellore who can be of use to her at her present advanced age as she is anxious to receive her allowance from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras for the reasons above stated. I beg to recommend that her request may be complied with.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

Nellore District, Alloor, Collector's Cutchery, 11th May 1848.

/Signed/ G. A. Smith

Collector.

Resolution thereon.

(26-27)

The Collector will be pleased to ascertain from Mr. Hudleston and report whether there is any objection to the payment of the pension of Tibjul Bee from the Treasury of the Collector of Madras. Mr. Smith will also quote the date of the orders of Government under which the allowance is paid, and furnish any other particulars in regard to the nature and terms of the Grant,

DESTROYED

of

of which it may be desirable for the Government to be informed, when their sanction is solicited for the transfer. He will observe this course in all future applications of this nature.-

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

28

From the Collector of Nellore on the 2d

Sir,

With reference to my letter December 1847 requesting to be furnished with a supply of 100,000 of Salt Rowanahs, I have the honor to bring to your notice that 24000 have been received, and 5240 have been despatched as per Chief Secretary Rowlett's letter of the 26th Ultimo but not as yet received. Having now only eight hundred Rowanahs on hand and the supply now on the road being hardly equal to meet the immediate demands on my Office, I request a further supply may be despatched to me as early as possible and my Indent complied with in full in due course.-

Nellore District,
Alloor,
Collector's Cutcherry
11th May 1848

/Signed/ G. A. Smith
Collector

The



Resolution thereon.- The Collector is informed that

28-29

in addition to the 29240 Salt Rowanahs advertised to in the foregoing letter, a further supply of 36000 has already been despatched from this Office as per advice forwarded to him under date the 11th Instant. The balance due on Mr. Smith's Indent will be supplied so soon as the Forms are received from the Rees.-

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Collr of Bellary

Submitting his replies to the Queries of the Honble the Court of Directors regarding Cotton.

Sir,

In conformity with the orders conveyed in the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board under date the 14th February last on the Papers noted in the Margin, I have the honor to forward my Replies to the Queries of the Honble the Court of Directors regarding Cotton.-

Letter from the Honble Court of Directors to the Govt of Fort St. George dated 10th November 1847 No. 25 with its Enclosure-
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 14th January 1845.
No. 54.

2- The substance of these replies is contained in the Report which I had the honor to

to address to the Board on the 6th Ultimo No. 25.-

3 Of the two routes through which Cotton is exported from this District, that for the port of Madras is adopted for Carts, the road being partially repaired.- The other i e for the port of Compta is bad and consequently impracticable for Bandies The Cotton is therefore conveyed thither on Cattle (Bullocks and Buffaloes) should the latter mentioned route be repaired and made available for wheeled Vehicles, I have not a doubt that our Exports will experience such an increase as to prove highly beneficial to the Traders and Growers of this important Staple.-

Bellary District, &c.
Collector's Office, on Circuit at Ramanadroog, 18th May 1848 &c.

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Collector.

Replies of the Collector of Bellary to the Queries of the Honorable the Court of Directors on Cotton.-

Queries. Replies.

1. The Price of Cotton freed from seed at the Principal Mart of this District is on an average of the last 5 years 1-8-9 one Rupee eight annas and nine Pice per Maund of this Country consisting of 25 Rupees.- (1-8-9 added)

2. The Ryot sells his cleaned



Cleaned Cotton at 1-7-5 one Rupee Seven annas and five Pice per Maund without advance. Those that are poor obtain advances &c from Merchants, and the Price of Cotton Sold under this arrangement will be 2 annas less per Maund than the above.-

3 The Method of Separating the Seed from Cotton is chiefly by Means of a Common wooden Machine peculiar to the Country. Four Maunds of uncleaned Cotton produce 3 Maunds of Seed and 1 Maund of Cotton freed from it. The Expense of thus Separating the Seed is from 3 to 4 annas the average being 3½ annas per Maund of Cotton without Seed.-

4 Cotton is conveyed from this District chiefly to two Places, the "Wallajapettiveri Madras, and Coompta in Canara on the western Coast. The Expenses of Conveying it to the latter mentioned Port is on an average as follows

| | Rs. | As. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Hire | " | 7 | 6 |
| Wages of a Gomastah or attendant | " | 2 | 1 |
| Price of Gumblies and hire | " | 1 | 4 |
| Brokerage &c at the place of sale | " | " | 10 |
| Sundries | " | " | 2 |
| | | 11 | 11 |
| Add wear and tear | " | 2 | 1 |
| Total | " | 14 | " |

5 The term Buga is not in use in this District The acre is 40 Goontahs each Goontah consisting of 33 Square Feet. The average Produce of Cotton per acre of the ordinary, better and best descriptions of land is estimated at 4½ Pounds 4 5 ounces.-

6 The quantity of land under Cotton Cultivation does not on an average of the last 5 years exceed Two Hundred & Sixty Thousand acres. In the event of an increased demand for the article, four hundred thousand acres may probably be brought under Cultivation.-

/Signed/ A. Mellor
Collector

Bellary District
Collector's office on Circuit, Ramanadroog
11th May 1848.-

Ordered to be over
Read the following Returns

order thereon

30-31

1658

(32)

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

# Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Bellary as they Stood on the 1st May 1848

| Names and place of Birth | Caste & Age | Talooks | Amount of Collection | Bay | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook | Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate | Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar & length of service in such Employment. | Total Length of Service |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| | | | | | | years Months | years Months | years Months | years Months |
| D. Venkut Row of Durmawaram | Bhra-min 47 | Bellary | 2,44,013 | 130 | " 6 | 11 " | Hoozoor Cutcherry Goomastah | 3 5 | 24 9 |
| | | | | | | | Goomastah in the Revenue Board | 2 " | |
| | | | | | | | Talook Serishtadar | 8 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | 13 9 | |
| Dandoo Row of Arnee | D. 54 | Kumpilee | 1,42,486 | 85 | " 6 | 9 9 | Hoozoor Sayer Goomastah | 3 1 | |
| | | | | | | | D. Moonshee | 16 2 | |
| | | | | | | | | 19 3 | 29 " |
| Beema Row of Moondry | D. 24 | Kurpenhully | 1,42,654 | 100 | 1 1 | 2 4 | Collectors Cutcherry Goomastah in the Zillah of Belgaum | " 2 | |
| | | | | | | | Moonshee Goomastah in the D. | 1 1 | |
| | | | | | | | Magisterial Moonshee in the D. | 2 1 | |
| | | | | | | | Moonshee Goomastah in the D. | " 5 | |
| | | | | | | | Magisterial Moonshee in the D. | " 3 | |
| | | | | | | | Head Moonshee and Translator in the Gunteor District | " 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | 4 10 | 7 2 |

7659

Fort St. George 18th May 1818

| Names and place of Birth | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collection | Bay | Length of Service of Talookdar in the present Talooks | Total length of Service as Talookdar in this Collectorate | Employments previously to appointment as Talookdar and length of Service in such Employment | | Total Length of Service | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | 10 | |
| | | | | C Rs. | C Rs. | years Month | years Month | | years Month | years Month | |
| Teroomul Row of Halahurreree | Brahmin | 39 | Kodlegheree | 194.266 | 110 | .. 6 | 3 11 | Sub Collector's Cutcherry Goomastah in the Zillah of Darwar | 4 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Moonshee in the Dº | 7 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Karkoon in the Assistant Collector's Cutcherry of Darwar | 6 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Moonshee of the Bellary | 1 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 12 8 | 16 7 | |
| Ramachenndra Row of Saudputtry | Dº | 54 | Hooveremudgully | 141.838 | 90 | 1 1 | 1 1 | Talook Karkoon | 7 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Dº Peshkar | 3 6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Police Sumeen | 4 3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Sherishtadar | 19 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 35 4 | 36 5 | |
| Raghavendra Row of Chintamanepett | Dº | 40 | Adonee | 30,7,976 | 130 | .. 6 | 8 10 | Talook Karkoon | 5 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Dº Goomastah | 5 6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Dº Peishkar | 4 7 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 16 .. | 24 10 | |
| Kn. Sashagerry Row of Areot. | Dº | 46 | Goollem | 1,70027 | 100 | 3 7 | 14 7 | Hoozoor Head Goomastah | 2 2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Dº Sayer Serishtadar | 9 3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 11 5 | 26 .. | |

| Names and place of Birth | Caste | Age | Talooks | Amount of Collection | Day | Length of Service as Taksildar in the present Talook | Total length of service as Taksildar in this Collectorate | Employments previously to appointment as Taksildar and length of Service in such Employment. | | Total length of Service | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | 10 | |
| | | | | Rs | Rs | Yrs M | Yrs Ms | | Yrs Ms | Yrs | Ms |
| Ramasawmy of Arnee | Brahmin | 54 | Punchapollem | 2,15,178 | 110 | 9 7 | 21 " | Talook Peishkar | 3 4 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Serishtadar | " 10 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 4 2 | 25 | 2 |
| Kondul Row of Oosapalaor | Do | 56 | Gooty | 1,88,935 | 130 | " 6 | 24 6 | Talook Goomastah | 5 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Karkoon | 4 10 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Serishtadar | 1 6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 12 3 | 35 | 9 |
| Audiah of Nellore | Do | 46 | Yadakee | 1,38,174 | 90 | 5 1 | 8 8 | Hoozoor Assistant Moonshee | 5 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Moonshee | 11 9 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 16 10 | 25 | 6 |
| Lausee Row of Jungumcottah | Do | 52 | Raidroog | 1,67,882 | 120 | " 6 | 17 10 | Talook Moonshee | 1 " | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Karkoon | 6 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Peshkar | 4 10 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 12 6 | 30 | 4 |
| Veinkut Row of Cheendanum | Do | 44 | Saudpuddy | 1,65,542 | 100 | 4 " | 15 9 | Talook Naramut Goomastah | " 3 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Moonshee | 3 9 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Karkoon | 2 9 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do Peshkar | 2 9 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 9 6 | 25 | 3 |

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

| Names and place of Birth | Caste | Age | Talooks | Amount of Collection | Day | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook | Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate | Employments previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in such Employment | | | | Total length of such | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | | | | 10 | |
| | | | | Rs | Rs | Yrs. Mos. | Yrs. Mos. | | | Yrs. | Mos. | Yrs. | Mos. |
| Hummental Row of Belgoopsah | Brahmin | 52 | Annatapoor | 1,68,621 | 110 | 1 1 | 2 11 | Goomastah of Moosfee Court of Bellary | | 1 | " | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do. of Yillah Court of Do. | | 2 | 6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Sub Collectors Cutcherry Head Goomastah of Rauneebedanoor in the District of Darwar | | " | 9 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Moonshee in the Do. | | 2 | 6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Political Moonshee in the Do. | | 23 | 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 29 | 10 | 32 | 9 |
| Venkut Row of Kistnagery | Do. | 46 | Pongondah | 1,48,616 | 100 | 3 2 | 7 10 | Talook Goomastah | | 8 | 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do. Peshkar | | 2 | 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Do. Serishtadar | | 8 | " | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 19 | 4 | 27 | 2 |
| Sashagery Row of Kadaree | Do. | 44 | Kodicondah | 1,12,783 | 85 | 3 9 | 3 9 | Hoozoor Goomastah | | 7 | " | | |
| | | | | | | | | Sub collectors Cutcherry Head Do. | | 8 | " | | |
| | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Goomastah | | 1 | 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Sub collectors Cutcherry Head Do. | | 6 | 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 23 | 7 | 27 | 4 |

7663 (33)

Fort St. George

A Return of 1848

Return of Tahsildars in the Collectorate of Chingleput as

18th. May 1848

they stood on the 1st. May 1848.—

| Name and place of Birth | Caste | Age | Taluk | Amount of Collection | Pay | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Taluk | Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each Employment.— | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. | Rs. | yr. mo. D | yr. mo. D | | | |
| Ganakeerama Pantoolu Yalavanadoor | Hydrakal Brahmin | 46 | Carangooly | 98771 | 100 | 7 1 15 | 18 | | | |

## Chingleput Zillah.

| | Yrs. | mos. | Ds. | Yrs. | mos. | Ds. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Sayer Nevesindah | | 2 | " | | | |
| Hoozoor Maramit Goomastah | | 4 | 6 | | | |
| Salt Aumeen | | " | 3 | " | | |
| | | 6 | 9 | " | | |
| Malabar Zillah | | | | | | |
| 2d. Magisterial Javabneveis in the Northern Division | | 1 | 8 | " | | |
| Hoozoor Revenue Head Goomastah | | " | 8 | " | | |
| Native Record Keeper in the Sea Custom Department | | " | 4 | " | | |
| Head Goomastah Do. Do. | | " | 9 | " | | |
| | | 3 | 5 | " | | |
| Madras | | | | | | |
| Hindooee Goomastah in the Office of the Carnatic Commissioners | | 1 | 6 | " | | |
| Canara | | | | | | |
| Magisterial Javabneveis in the Northern Division | | " | 4 | " | | |
| Sub Moonshee in the Zillah Court of Combaconum | | 2 | 6 | " | | |
| | | 14 | 6 | " | 29 | 6 18 |

# Fort St. George

18th May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth | Caste | Age | Taloo-k. | Amount of Collection | Pay | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Taloo-k. | Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate. | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each Employment. | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. | Rs. | Yrs. Ms. Ds. | Yrs. Ms. Ds. | | Yrs. Ms. Ds. | |
| Ka Kajee Row Saindamunga-lum | Dasusta Brasmine | 49 | Oo-trama-loor. | 1,25,917. | 85. " | 8. " " | 9. 9. 23 | **South Arcot** | | |
| | | | | | | | | Jawabneviss in the Perroovilloor Taloo-k. | 9 " " | |
| | | | | | | | | **Chingleput Zillah** | | |
| | | | | | | | | Head Goomastah in the Oo-tramaloor Taloo-k. | " 2 27 | |
| | | | | | | | | Jawabneviss in the Carangooly Di. | 7 5 27 | |
| | | | | | | | | Peishcar in the Peddapolliem Di. | " 6 " | |
| | | | | | | | | Sheristadar in the Oo-tramaloor Di. | " " 21 | |
| | | | | | | | | Di. in the Nayer Di. | " 1 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Revenue Goomastah | 3 2 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | Di. 2d. Di. in the Salt Department | " 10 " | |
| | | | | | | | | Di. Sayer Head Goomastah | 1 1 17 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 13 6 17 | |
| | | | | | | | | **Chingleput Zillah** | 22 6 17 | 32 4 10 |
| Nunjoondiah Jengumcottah | Balaja-nata Carnataca Bramin | 41. | Conjeveram | 1,28,842. | 100. 1. 10. | " 5. 2. | " | Ag. Sheristadar in the Carangooly Taloo-k. | 1 - " | |
| | | | | | | | | Di. in the Perroo-poroor Di. | " 6 28 | |
| | | | | | | | | Sheristadar in the Di. Di. | 6 10 17 | |
| | | | | | | | | Di. in the Manemungalum Di. | 9 5 " | |
| | | | | | | | | **Chingleput Zillah** | 17. 10 15. | 23 " 15. |
| Soobbiah Valayattoor | Tamil Bramin | 56. | Chingle-put. | 82972. | 70. " | 8. " | 2. 4. 13 | Police Daraga Jawabneves | 2 5 13. | |
| | | | | | | | | Di. Sumpretty | 8 1 22 | |
| | | | | | | | | Hoozoor Moonshe Goomastah | " 3 14. | |
| | | | | | | | | Jawabneviss in the Taloo-ks of Caran-goolY Conjeveram and Poonamalee | 15 3 8 | |
| | | | | | | | | Peishcar in the Peddapolliem Perroo-poroor Nayer and Chingleput Taloo-ks | 7 2 13 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 33. 4 10. | 35 8 23. |

# Fort St. George

| Name and place of Birth. | Caste. | Age. | Talook. | Amount of Collection. | Pay. | Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. | Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. | Rs. | Yrs. Mos. Ds. | Yrs. Mos. Ds. |
| Ragava Chairy Parundoor | Tamil Vistnava Bramin | 51. | Manemungalum. | 82256. | 70. | 1. 6. 21. | 1. 6. 21. |
| Kristnah Chittumbarum | Gentoo Bramin | 33. | Tiroovoroor | 59307. | 70. | — 8 — | 1. — 1. |
| Parthasarady Iyengar Colattoor | Tamil Vistnava Bramen. | 36. | Sydapet | 77543 | 85. | 1. — 20. | 1. — 20. |

18th. May 1848.

| Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each Employment. | | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Yrs. Mos. Ds. | Yrs. Mos. Ds. |
| Chingleput Zillah. | | | |
| Pymashdar in the Manemungalum Talook | 6 | | |
| Hoozoor Malabar Goomastah | 3 | | |
| Tahsildar in the Carangooly Talook | 1 | | |
| Hoozoor Sayer Sumpretty | 2 | | |
| Mane Tahsildar in the Conjeveram Talook | 3 | | |
| Peishcar in the Peddapolliem and Nayer Do. | 1 6 | | |
| Acting Tahsildar in the Peddapolliem Talook | 3 | | |
| Sayer dumeenah in Conjeveram Do. | 1 | | |
| Salt Peishcar in Chunamput | 1 6 | | |
| Do. in Soonnapoogoontah | 7 3 10 | | |
| | 17 4 10 | | |
| Tanjore District. | | | |
| Thasildar in the Nunnelum and Combaconum Talooks | 1 6 | | |
| | 18 10 10 | | |
| | | 20 5 | |
| Chinglepat Zillah. | | | |
| Hoozoor Sayer Sumpretty | 4 6 11 | | |
| Do. Revenue Goomastah | 3 11 9 | | |
| Do. Abkarry Head Goomastah | 2 — 22 | | |
| | 10 6 12 | | |
| | | 11 6 13 | |
| Chinglepat Zillah. | | | |
| Deputy Accountant | — 3 29 | | |
| Translator | 11 3 10 | | |
| | 11 7 9 | | |
| | | 12 7 29 | |

# Fort St. George

18th. May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth. | Caste. | Age. | Talook | Amount of Collection. | Pay. | Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. | Total length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each Employment. | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. | Rs. | Yrs. Mos. Ds. | Yrs. Mos. Ds. | | Yrs. Mos. Ds. | |
| Soobbiah — Coombaconium — Nevoge Brahmen | | 36. | Poonamallee. | 74865. | 70 | 1. 10. 23 | 1. 10. 23 | Combaconum Zillah — Goomastah in the District Moonsiffs Court of Puttoocotah — 4 " " | | |
| | | | | | | | | Chingleput Zillah — Hoozoor Revenue Sumpretty — " 3 14 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Ds. 5 " Assistant Moonshee — 2 3 10 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Ds. 3 " — Ds. — Ds. — 6 4 28 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Moonshee — 4 " 16. | | |
| | | | | | | | | 13. " 8 | | |
| | | | | | | | | 17. " 8. | 18. 11 1. | |
| Ramasaw my Iyah — Perovamattoor — Nevoge Brahmen | | 43 | Pedda pollium. | 103084. | 85. " 8. " | 9. 7. 14 | | Chingleput Zillah — Hoozoor Assessor Sumpretty — 3 4 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Ds. Revenue Goomastah — 6 4 15 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Sheristadar in the Sydapet Talook. 1 9 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Ds. in the Chingleput — Ds. — 1. " 15 | | |
| | | | | | | | | 12 6 10 | 22. 1 24 | |
| Seetapaty Row — Madras. — Nevoge Brahmen | | 54. | Nayer | 107783. | 85 | 10. 8. 6 | 10. 10. 4 | Cuddapah Zillah — Hoozoor Javabneviss — 5 11 " | | |
| | | | | | | | | Revenue dumeenah of Hoozoor and Madoor Purgunah — 3 8 5 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Head of Police Hoozoor Madoor and Vulloor — Ds. — " 5 6 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Revenue Head of Police in the Parzully — Ds. — " 3 " | | |
| | | | | | | | | 10 3 11 | | |
| | | | | | | | | Masulipatam Zillah — Sayer dumeenah at Masulipatam 2 " 14 | | |
| | | | | | | | | 12 3 25 | 23 1 29. | |

Chingleput District Collector's Cutcherry
Pullecarney 12th. May 1848.

E. E. Vth.

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Return

7667 (34) Fort St. George

Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Trichinopoly as they stood on the 1st May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth. | Caste. | Age. | Talook. | Amount of Collection. | Pay. | Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. | Total Length of service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate. | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each employment. | Total Length of service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Carchy Boojunga Row | Nezam Shayee Bramen. | 48. | Conaud | 24,11,76 | 15. 9 | 110. " " " | 5. 7. 12 | Villicutty Talook Goomastah 6 years and 26 days Hoozoor Hindoovee Goomastah 2 years 8 months and 3 days Revenue duty Javabnaviss 10 years 6 months and 12 days Porriore Talook Police ameen 3 months and 9 days Mootzoomdar in the Conaud Talook 5 months and 17 days Mootzoomdar in the arealore Talook 4 months and 20 days Mootzoomdar in the Conaud Talook 1 month and 14 days. — | 26 Years 1 Month and 28 days. | |
| Vurda Row — | Hydrabad Bramen. | 40. | Villicutty | 136,710. | 13 5. | 70. " " " | 8. 20. | Hoozoor Goomastah in this District 1 year 6 months and 25 days. Javabnaviss in the Hoozoor 1 year 4 months and 7 days Deputy Javabnaviss in the Hoozoor 1 year 8 months and 12 days. Goomastah in the Villicutty Talook 1 year and 8 months and 26 days. Dawastanum Sheristadar in the Hoozoor 10 months and 22 days. Mootzoomdar in the arrealore Talook 2 years 6 months and 28 days Police ameen of Lalgoody Talook 3 years 7 months and 5 days. Police ameen of Goomdoosalay in 1839. 2 months and 10 days. Sayer Tahsildar in the Hoozoor 5 months and 26 days. — | 7. 11. 6. | 22 Years and 17 days. |

## Fort St. George

18th May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collection | Pay | Length of service as Tahsildar in the present Talook | Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of service in each Employment | Total Length of Service | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | | | | | |
| E Kambarum Pillay of Palumthun=dalum | Seer Canakur | 41 | Moosery | 116,87296 | 70 " " | 3 " 12 | 3 " 12 | As Jawabnavis and writer in the Carnatic Commissioners' Office for 3 years 7 months and 21 days - Assistant accountant in the Tanjore Commissioners' Office 3 months and 11 days - Head writer in the Collectors office 4 years 8 months and 13 days - Deputy accountant in the Collectors Office 3 months and 16 days - Head Peishcar at Seerungam Pagoda 17 days. — | 12 years | |
| Modeen Saib | Musul=man | 42 | Laulgoody | 2,72,273 2 9 | 100 " " | 9 20 | 6 3 4 | Vyaloor Vuttum Peshcar 1 year and 18 days - Vengoor Vuttum Peshcar 1 year 1 month and 18 days - Perooperla=toray Peshcar 2 years 7 months and 27 days, Laulgoody Police Ameen 3 months and 12 days - Volcondapooram Police Ameen 2 years 2 months and 4 days - Goondoo Salay Police Ameen 1 year 1 month and 2 days - Woodiar=pollian Istimirar Manager 9 months and 16 days - Porriore Police Ameen 1 year 3 months and 23 days. — | 16 years 11 Months and 4 days | |
| Shinnasawmy Pillay of Madras | Seer Canakur | 33 | Porriore | 2,52381 " 7 | 100 " " | 9 20 | 8 " 15 | As acting Head Writer in the stationery Office for 2 months in 1833 and as Head writer and Translator in the additional Government Commissioners office for 3 years 7 months and 7 days. Deputy English accountant | 12 years 6 months and 12 days | |

# Fort St. George

18th May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collection | Pay | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook | Total Length of Service as Tahsildar in the Collectorate | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and Length of Service in each Employment | Total Length of Service | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Rs. a p | Rs. a p | | Y. M. D | | | |
| Vencata Row Cumbum in the Zillah of Cuddapah | Nezamshayee Bramen | 48 | Woodiar-pollium | 131530 14 10 | 70 " " | 2-4-17 | 14-3-29 | Cymash Goomastah in the Tarriore Talook 2 Months and 15 days. Talook Goomastah 6 years 7 Months and 2 days. as Moopoondar 1 year 4 Months and 4 days. Hoojoor Goomastah 2 years 2 Months and 10 days. Hoojoor Head Goomastah 1 year 8 Months and 24 days. Town Moonsiff 3 years and 12 days. | 29 years 4 Months and 16 days. | |
| Malayapilly Vellalah | 49 | Volconda-proprum | 144640 3 1 | 7 " " | 1-9-10 | 4-7-3 | Superintendent of the Grain department in the Sea Custom House Madras 4 years and 5 Months. Writer at Post Office at Trichinopoly 5 years and 8 Months. Police ameen at Goodoosalay 1 year and 5 Months. & as Sayer Tahsildar Police ameen & Town Moonsiff 3 years & 5 Months. | 19 years 7 Months and 3 days. | |
| " " " | | | Arrealore | 129578 7 10 | " " " | | " | | | The Tahsildar died 2d February 1848 the office has been since that period vacant the duties being performed by the Moopoondar of that Talook. |

Trichinopoly
11th May 1848.
J.E.R.

/ Signed / W. Elliot
Acting Collector

7670 | (35) | Fort St. George

18th May 1848.

Return of Talsildars of the Collectorate of Canara as they stood in the 1st May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth. | Caste | Age | Talook. | Amount of Collection | Pay. | Length of Service as Talsildar in the present Talook. | Total length of Service as Talsildar in the Collectorate. | Employment previously to appointment as Talsildar and length of Service in each employment. | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | C Rs a p | C Rs a p | y. m. d. | y. m. d. | | y. m. d. | |
| Eshwantapah of Cadwad. | Sewy | 59 | Mangalore | 34,911 4 5 | 120 " " | 6 9 " | 19 2 18 | As Vakeel of the Revenue arrangements | 2 - 1 - 24 | 37 9 24 |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Goomastah | " 6 " | |
| | | | | | | | | Sayer Comavisidar | 11 11 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Peishcar | 3 11 27 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 18 7 6 | |
| Bonifacio Fer: Christian | 50 | Bekal | 28,607 | 2 11 | 110 " " | 5 " " | 6 5 " | As Assistant Writer in the Mangalore Sea Custom | " 11 18 | 22 " 18 |
| Commander of Tonsch | | | | | | | | Hoojoor Mahlialum Goomastah. | 2 10 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto Assistant Moonshy | 1 3 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto Magistrates Head Goomastah | 2 " 9 | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto Revenue Moonshy | " " 4 | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto Police Ditto | " 2 " | |
| | | | | | | | | Revenue Moonshy in the additionale Sub Collectors Cutcherry | 8 3 21 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 15 7 16 | |

| Name and place of Birth. | Caste. | Age | Talook. | Amount of Collection. | Pay. | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. | Total length of Service as Tahsildar in the Collectorate | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment. | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | CRs. a P | CRs. a P | y m D | y m D | | y m D | |
| Rungapah of Mooltky. | Jarapsoth Brahmin | 44 | Buntwal | 4,54,267 2 10 | 130 " " | 6 5 " | 9 2 6 | As Shanbogue of Cutwals Mootky. 3-10-18<br>Extra Monegar of Mangalore " 1 "<br>Police Moonshy of Ditto 2 1 "<br>Goomastah in the Head apsit. and Collectors Cutchery 1 5 "<br>Police Moonshy of Ditto " 6 19<br>Revenue Ditto of Ditto " 10-29<br>Ditto Ditto of Sub Collectors Cutchery 4- 3- 4 | 22 4 16 | 16 |
| Mungushia of Tonsch | Ditto | 52 | Cadipy | 2,19,380 5 2 | 100 " " | " 9 28 | " 9 28 | Goomastah in the Mangalore Sea Custom 3 5 12<br>Sheristadar in the Sea Custom 16 4 20<br>Goomastah in the Barcoor Talook " " 29<br>Peishcar 3 4 2<br>Sheristadar in the Talooks 9 7 20<br>32 10 23 | 33 8 2 | |
| Mreenawah Row of Coimbatore. | Dhesshut Brahmin. | 51 | Barcoor | 2,57,087 - 3 12 | 0 " " | 2 9 " | 6 9 " | As Sayer Goomastah of Buntwal Talook 3 " 3 8<br>Goomastah of Mangalore Talook 11- 2 22<br>Ditto of Cundapoor Talook 6 9 "<br>Talook Peishcar 6 1 "<br>24 4 " | 31 1 " | |

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth. | Caste | Age | Talook | Amount of Collection. | Pay. | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. | Total length of Service as Tahsildar in the Collectorate | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of service in each employment. | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | Rs. a. p. | Rs. a. p. | y. m. d. | y. m. d. | | y. m. d. | |
| Govindaya of Bilgi | Karrady Brahmin | 60 | Cundapoor | 362 12 5 | 130 " " | 6 7 " | 20 3 " | As Gomastah to the Salt Dorgah | 2 6 " | 29 11 " |
| | | | | | | | | Salt Mootsoody | 4 7 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Peishcar | 2 6 10 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 9 8 " | |
| Sham Shoodeen of Mangalore. | Mupalman | 60 | Honore. | 3 14 7 | 98 1 3 | 133 " " | 11 3 3 | 20 6 19 As Salt Comanichidar | 5 10 10 | 28 8 29 |
| | | | | | | | | Salt Mutsoody | " 10 " | |
| | | | | | | | | Sayer Comanichidar | " 7 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | Talook Peishcar | " 10 15 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 8 2 10 | |
| Soorapah of Bilgi | Karrady Braman. | 44 | Kuhola | 2 28 5 8 | 2 42 | 110 " " | 5 4 22 | 6 4 22 As Gomastah to the Salt Dorgah | 4 12 | 20 4 15 |
| | | | | | | | | Ruggur Sayer Gomastah | 1 2 19 | |
| | | | | | | | | Monthly in the Head apistant Collectors Cutcherry | 3 7 " | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto in the Sub Collectors Do | 1 9 11 | |
| | | | | | | | | Ditto in the Ruggur Cutcherry | 4 5 " | |
| | | | | | | | | Peishcar of Byndoor and Buttul | 1 " 12 | |
| | | | | | | | | Tahsildar of Ruggur Division. | 2 3 29 | |
| | | | | | | | | | 14 8 23 | |

7673

Fort St. George 8th May 1848.

| Name and place of Birth. | Caste | Age | Talook. | Amount of Collection. | Pay. | Length of Service as Tahsildar in the present Talook. | Total length of Service as Tahsildar in the Collectorate. | Employment previously to appointment as Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment. | Total length of Service. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| | | | | Rs a p | Rs a p | y m d | y m d | | y m d | |
| Pootapah of Yellapoor. | Lowiy | 53 | Saopah. | 116009 5 6 | 120 " " | 2 5 " | 2 5 " | As Sayer Chanbague ——— 4 11 5<br>Sayer Chowkidar ——— " " 5<br>Sayer Gomastah ——— 2 3 5<br>Sayer Sheristadar ——— " 3 15<br>Acting Sayer Sheristadar ——— 1 10 15<br>Hoojoor Goomastah ——— " 2 15<br>Hoojoor Treasury Gomastah ——— 1 4 11<br>Sayer Comanistadar ——— 4 5 "<br>Deputy Hujoor Treasurer ——— 12 4 20<br>Hujoor Treasurer ——— 27 9 1 | 30 2 1 | |
| Annapah of Mangalore. | Saraswath Brahmin | 50 | Sunda | 147786 15 1 | 120 " " | 2 9 " | 2 9 " | As Peon doing duty as Gomastah of Coperangady ——— 2 1 29<br>Moonshy of Peishcar of Ditto ——— 1 7 10<br>Moonshy of Peishcar of Police Dr. of Barcoor Talook ——— 5 3 5<br>Hujoor Magistrates Moonshy ——— 2 6 4<br>Moonshy in Head Assistant Collectors Cutchery ——— 7 1 17<br>Collectors Cutchery ——— 3 6 26<br>Dr. in the additional Sub Collectors Cutchery ——— 22 3 17 | 25 " 17 | |
| Lingapah of Ilsaloor. | Turrady Brahmin | 46 | Bilghi | 65489 7 5 | 100 " " | 5 4 21 | 10 9 14 | As Gomastah in the Moonda-goda Peishcars Cutchery ——— " 7 " <br>Sayer Sheristadar ——— 1 2 15<br>Talook Ditto ——— 8 6 26<br>Ditto Peishcar ——— 5 3 27<br>15 8 8 | 26 5 22 | |

Collectors Cutchery on Circuit Tumlapoor 6th May 1848 ——— 2791711 9 4

(Signed) J. L. Blane
Collector.

Order on the 4 foregoing Returns. Ordered to be recorded.

(32-36)

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(37)

Sir,

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George, Exposing that at Kurnool the Allowance enjoyed by the late Tofuk Ally Shah be reduced from Rs. 1482-13- to 660 and that he be authorized annually to expend the latter sum in the support of certain orphan now in Kurnool and in establishing 2 Government Schools.

Para 1. Since receiving charge of this District in August last, I have been day after day beset by a crowd of Fakeers who profess to consider they have a just claim to the continuance in their favor of the allowance of Rupees 2-7-- per diem enjoyed by Tofuk Ally Shah whose demise was reported by my predecessor, Mr. Scott on the 27th April 1847 in the Statement of lapsed Yeomiahs for the 3rd Quarter of 1846, but owing to the absence of your Board's orders on the subject, I have not been in a position entirely to check the noisy clamour of those most



most troublesome applicants who seem to know that no final answer has been received from Madras.

2- In the column of remarks appended to the "Statement" in question, Mr. Scott has given an opinion, in which I entirely concur, that the grant enjoyed by Tofuk Ally Shah was not a Yeomiah. It was clearly a charitable fund placed at his disposal by the late Nawab for his own support and casual assistance to needy travellers, and with reference to the circumstances connected with the distribution of another grant of a similar character, I have good reason to appreciate the difficulties adverted to by Mr. Scott, of properly superintending the administration of such a fund. In as much however, that the future continuance of the allowance, or its partial or entire resumption, still remains for determination, I beg permission to draw your Board's attention to the matter.

Mr. Scott has proposed that

3- the sum annually drawn by Tofuk Ally Shah

Rupees 1482-13-

shah shall be reduced, a part only being sanctioned de novo and assigned for the repair and future maintenance in good order of Choultries throughout the District.

4- If the Board will approve the arrangement, I should prefer being allowed to lay out a specific sum, say Rupees 660 in the following manner, and if deemed unobjectionable, I beg the proposition may be submitted for sanction by Government.

5- In the Town of Kurnool with a population of 20,277 souls, there is no fund whatever by which charitable provision is made for the support of the lame, halt, blind and crippled poor, who during the time of the late Nawab, were fed and clothed mainly at the expence of the Nawab himself and his immediate dependants who in consequence of the altered

circumstances



circumstances of these Patton Robbemen, are now with difficulty eking out a miserable existence. I have ascertained that the number of these wretched objects in this Town only is 500, and therefore beg to suggest that I may be allowed to establish a "Langar khana" and that I be authorized to distribute monthly a sum of Rupees 30 to such of these unfortunate beings as from their helpless condition appear most deserving of charity.

6- Further, as in the entire Kurnool District, there is not a single educational institution receiving aid from Government, and Village Schools are very rare compared with the number existing in the neighbouring Collectorates, I hope I may be allowed to establish two Schools, one in Kurnool, the other at Rundial, at an annual outlay of Rupees 300, in order to affording gratuitous elementary instruction in Telugoo, the language of the Country.

7- For the above purpose, I would entertain two School masters on 10½ Rupees a Month each and limit there respective Salaries to two Rupees.

Should

8. Should the arrangement be sanctioned I would further request authority to expend 200 Rupees in constructing two school rooms and providing such few articles as will be required when the schools are opened, from the funds which have accummulated since Yofuk Ali Shah's death.

9. As the correspondence which has taken place between the Government and the Agency regarding Yofuk Ali Shahs allowance is not on record in the Board's Office, I beg to annex certain Extracts bearing on the subject.

Rupnoor - - - } / Signed / H. D. Phillips
14th April 1848. } No. 56. Agent to the Governor.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th March 1843.

Para 3. It is the intention of His Lordship in Council to address the Honorable the Court of Directors on this subject, and pending their reply, the Commissioner wherever he deems it necessary will make the usual disbursements, without seeing that the conditions of the grants are observed.— He will especially report whether there is any peremptory necessity for continuing the



the allowances for the Moharrum and Carcos Festivals, under the various designations in which they appear to have been heretofore drawn in the list submitted by him, and en whether the fund for needy Travellers under the head of Moharrum allowances is not susceptible of reduction if not of entire abolition.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / J. F. Thomas.
Acting Chief Secretary.

Extract of a Report from the late Agent to the Chief Secretary to Govern- ment dated 25th October 1843 No. 47. Para 10.

The sum of ₹482 - 13 - an account of needy travellers is paid to two Fakeers Yofuk Ali Shaw and Gazy Shaw as the trustees of the fund out of which they were of course expected to help themselves. The former of these is a very old man, the latter was for- merly one of the Tahsildars of the late Nawab and subsequently became one of his favorite peerzadah. I understand that this Majesty is much abused and it will be impossible to maintain an efficient supervision

supervision without an Establishment for the purpose. One eighth of the allowance allotted to Gazy Shaw has always been enjoyed by another fakeer and as he himself was in high favor with the Nawab. I would beg to recommend that he as well as the fakeer above mentioned be transferred to the Gratuity list, and be allowed the former / Gazy Shaw / half the present allowance received by him on account of the travellers, and the latter the same sum that he is now enjoying the remaining half of Gazy Shah's allowance to lapse to Government. As Tofuk Ali Shah cannot live very long it would perhaps be as well to let him keep the allowance for the remainder of his days undisturbed.

Kurnool, 25th October 1843. } /Signed/ S. Scott
Agent to the Governor

True Copies.

/Signed/ H. D. Phillips
Agent to the Governor

Ordered.



Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George.

37-38

To The Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George in Kurnool

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 11th ultimo on the subject of the allowances of the late Tofuk Ali.

To reconsider his proposition regarding the Establishment of a Lungur Khana in Kurnool and to report what allowances are paid to certain Fakeers. Dd. Cont. 18th May 1848

From the papers now before them the Board gather that the sum of Rupees 11,82-13-u per annum was granted by the late Nawab of Kurnool for the purpose of Ministering to the wants of needy Travellers &c. This fund was under the Management of two Fakeers named Tofuk Ali Shah and Gazy Shah who were permitted to draw their own subsistence from it. In consequence however of certain abuses Mr. Scott the late Agent in his letter to Government dated 25th October 1843 proposed the following arrangement in regard to the Charity

| | |
|---|---|
| To be paid to Tofuk Ali Shah during his life | 889-11-u |
| To Gazy Shah | 259-7-10½ |
| To another Fakeer / name not given / | 74-2-3 |
| To be resumed | 259-7-10½ |
| Total | 11,82-13-u |

The Board are not aware what

what orders were passed by Government on this communication, but it appears from Mr. Scotts Statement of 27th April 1847 that Sofuk Ali Shah was, at the time of his death, in receipt of Rupees 889 — 11 — per annum.—

4. On the decease of this individual, which occurred on the 2d February 1847, Mr. Scott suggested that, if the charity was to be continued it should either be entrusted to the Management of three or four respectable persons, whose character would be a guarantee for the legitimate appropriation of the funds, or they might be more beneficially employed in restoring and keeping in repair decayed Choultries and bathing places in different parts of the district.—

5 In your letter under acknowledgement you however propose as a preferable arrangement that of the sum of Rupees 1182 — 13 — 4 Rs. 660 per annum should be laid out in the following manner—

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| For Educational purposes | 300 | — | — |
| For the Support of a Lunger Khanah | 360 | — | — |
| Total | 660 | — | — |

6 The subject of education in the Provinces is occupying the attention of



of Government, and the wants of the District of Kurnool will no doubt be duly considered when the Establishment of Provincial Schools is determined upon—the Board are not therefore prepared to support this part of your proposal. Your suggestion for the formation of a Lunger Khana appears however to be worthy of adoption, but it is observed that the number of persons who are fit objects for such an institution is 500 in the Town of Kurnool alone, and your proposition in this respect might be reconsidered so as to be submitted on a more liberal scale.

7 The Board wish to be informed whether Gazzy Shah and the other Fakeer alluded to above are still in existence, and if so what allowances they draw from the Fund.

8 The other two cases reported upon in Mr. Scotts Statement of 27th April 1847 will be laid before Government with your reply to this communication.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
18th May 1848

(Signed) F. Pycroft
Secretary

39

Read the following Letter

From the Dy. Sub Collector in Charge of North Arcot forwarding an

To F. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

With reference to your Letters

1084

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

on Original Letter received from Mr. Carrapiett Police Annuen of Pulicat dated 15th April 1848 regarding his Pension

of the 15th Ulto. February 1844 desiring me to report on what date Mr. Carrapiett Police Annuen of Pulicat, was pensioned. The amount of his Pension and also whether he was brought before a Medical Committee &c. &c., I have the honor to forward an original letter received from Mr. Carrapiett bearing date the 15th April 1848 affording the information required.

North Arcot Collectors Cutchery Chittoor 21st April 1848

Signed R. M. Binning
Acting Sub Collector in charge
No. 1.

To

R. B. M. Binning Esqre.
Acting Sub Collector
In charge North Arcot
Chittoor.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 15th Instant giving cover to copy of a Letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 15th February last, requesting to know on what date I was pensioned, the amount of Pension and whether I was brought before a Medical Committee after the expiration of one year from the date of cessation from business, as I am not aware for what purpose this information is required I trust I shall be excused for submitting all the particulars connected with this subject

I

1085

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

No. 1 a Copy herewith annexed

No. 2 a Copy hereunto annexed

2 Beg to state that I was Pensioned on the 1st June 1839 on an Monthly allowance of Forty four Rupees, being Five years average of my Salary, which was at the time Ninety Rupees per Month. At the expiration of one year, I was directed to appear before the Medical Board at Madras, when that authority pronounced me fully competent to resume my duties in the Office. Some days after this had taken place I was furnished with an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th June 1840.-

3 In obedience to the directions contained in the Extract I gave my attendance at the Office for the purpose of resuming my duties when I was informed that my situation was filled up and that there was no money left, but to continue to give my attendance until something could be done for me. I accordingly continued to attend for a period of one Month, but without having any business assigned for me to do. At this time the Pay Abstract of the Office Establishment was being prepared and finding that my name was not included therein I observed that I considered my case a very hard one, that although

I attended for a month no business was given to me and now I find by my name being excluded from the Office Abstract that I had no pay to receive wherewith to maintain myself and my large Family when the Manager of the Office was directed to employ me upon one of the three duties I had to perform before I was pensioned and I was at the same time informed that some thing would be done regarding Pay.

Thus another month elapsed on when a Draft of a Report to Government was sent into the Department to be fair copied for Despatch, it was therein proposed that, as my situation was filled up and the Office had no means of providing for me that Government would sanction a few Rupees being taken from the Pay of Sundry writers to the extent of Fifty five Rupees, for the purpose of providing for me. I then observed that I could not think of receiving a reduced Salary and if my situation Could not be given to me in conformity to the orders of Government I preferred going again on the Superannuation List. This report was however sent up to the Government Office and the authorities there not perhaps



perhaps feeling themselves authorized to sanction such an arrangement, sent up the Report to Lord Elphinstone who was then on the Neilgherry Hills. The reply was, to the best of my recollection, to the following effect. That my situation should not have been filled up permanently according to the terms on which I was pensioned, nor could the Government sanction the arrangement proposed for providing for me, but that I should be reinstated in my situation, when this reply was received another proposal was submitted to Government adding, to the best of my recollection, Ten Rupees more to the former amount, by reducing as before the Pay of a few other writers, this letter was likewise sent up to His Lordship on the Hills from the Government Office, to this proposition also His Lordship would not accede, but directed with some observation which I cannot just now recollect, that His Lordships first order should be attended to and that I be immediately reinstated in my situation. At this time a vacancy occurred in the Office equal to the salary I enjoyed before I was Pensioned, Eight 90 Rupees per month, but instead of placing me in that situation, the usual letter on

Such

such occasion distributing the Pay of the former incumbent was prepared and sent to the Government Office, which was as follows.- Mr. Carrapiett 70 Rupees, similar to when a new writer is entertained, Thus letter as a matter of course not requiring a reference to His Lordship was immediately passed, perhaps not being aware that I was the person about whose situation and salary so much correspondence had taken place

5 When I received this information I observed that I could not think of receiving any thing less than what I had before I was pensioned, and if that could not be given to me according to the directions of His Lordship, I begged to have my Pension confirmed, as owing to the treatment I experienced since my return to office I found my health much impaired and if I continued to experience for any longer period such treatment that it would only be the means of shortening my existence, on account of my importunities to retire from the service rather than remain on a reduced salary I was once more sent to the Medical Board, when the late much lamented Dr. Macaulay the



the President thereof, who has seen me only a few Months previous, was much surprized to observe such a change in my appearance in so short a period, I begged of that Gentlemen that he would in consideration of my large Family, to whom I was the only support, pass me for a pension, and after it was done so I returned to Pulicat quite debilitated and joined my Family and with the Blessing of God I recovered and assumed my former healthy appearance in a very short time without the aid of Doctors or

from 1st January 1821

Medicines, as I did not require the one or the other but only peace of Mind.-

No 3

6 It was my invariable rule to pay due obedience to my Superiors as will be seen by copy of a Letter which I also herewith annex for your information from the late much to be lamented Colonel Thomas Macleane, the Resident of Travancore and Cochin, under whom I had the honor to serve for a period of 25 years, I have therefore preferred any deprivation out of respect to my Superiors rather than to question the propriety of their Proceedings-

7 After the retirement of a few years I had the present situation of

1st January 1845

of Aumeen of Police Dec. conferred on me although the Pay is not equal to what I before enjoyed, it being only Thirty one Rupees more than my Pension, I have accepted it rather than be a burthen, particularly when I had health and strength to labor, to a Government whose protection had been extended to me from my Boyhood. Although I have much more to do than my Predecessors have had, yet I humbly flatter myself that I have in the several Departments committed to my charge rendered every satisfaction to my Superiors, as it always was my anxious wish to merit their approbation.

In conclusion I beg you will be pleased kindly to excuse me for taking up so much of your valuable time with this Statement.

(Signed) M Carrapiett
Aumeen of Police

No 1

To Mr. M. Carrapiett
Pulicat

Sir

Government having been pleased to order your appearance before the Medical Board on the 4th Instant (this day) I am directed to request of you to proceed forthwith and report yourself to the Medical Board



Board at Madras.

(Signed) I A Dicken
Aumeen of Police

Police Office
Pulicat
4th June 1840

No 2
Public Department

No 39

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 29th June 1840

Read the following letter from the Secretary Medical Board.—
Here enter 11th June 1840 No 105

Under the circumstances brought to notice in the foregoing letter the Right Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the Pension granted conditionally to Mr M Carrapiett late Examiner in the Military Board Office on the 1st June 1839 be discontinued from the 1st Instant from which date he will be at liberty to resume his duties in the Military Board Office.

(Signed) R. Clerk
Secretary to Government

To Mr M Carrapiett for his information and he is required to state if he is prepared to resume his duties, and if so to attend at the Office.—

By Order

(Signed) J. Lavie
Off. Secretary
Military Board

Military Board Office
Fort St. George
1st July 1848

No 3

Fort St. George 18th May 1818

No. 3.

Mr. Carrapiett

I can have no objection whatever to give you a character for good conduct while you served under me in the Office of the Military Board, but my doing so for any public purpose would be awaited reference with Major Mobarly. I had always the highest opinion of you as a steady, excellent, and efficient Public Servant, and I had on all occasions to remark your unassuming, respectful and obedient manner. In short I do not remember that I ever spoke to you in the language of censure or rebuke, your attendance in the Office and your attention to your duties when there were exemplary, and if this testimonial to your character may tend to add to your enjoyment when you have retired from the Office, I am very happy in giving you that satisfaction which I consider you to well to merit.—

Tanjore - - - } Signed/ Thomas Mackane
22d January 1818 } True Copies

Signed/ Mr. Carrapiett
Nuncen of Police



Fort St. George 18th May 1818

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address

To The Chief Secretary to Government

(39-40.)

To the Chief Secy to Government } Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence which has passed between this Office and the Revenue authorities of North Arcot in consequence of a Petition presented to the Board complaining of the appointment of Mr. Carrapiett to the Office of Police Nuncen at Pulicat.

Submitting correspondence with the Revenue authorities in North Arcot in consequence of a Petition presented to the Board complaining of the appointment of Mr. Carrapiett to the Office of Police Nuncen of Pulicat for such orders may be deemed necessary.

To Acting Collector 20th October 1817
To Do. 8th December in Con. 11th Jany 1817
Board's Proceedings 11th Jany - - -
To Acting Collector 11th Jany in Con. 15th February - -
To Do. - - - 15th February - -
From Sub Collector in Charge 24th April in Con. 18th May 1818

From the explanation afforded by Mr. Carrapiett in his letter to Mr. Binning dated 15th April last, it would seem that he was formerly employed as an Examiner in the Military Board Office and was originally pensioned from that Depart-ment on the 1st June 1839. At the expiration of one year Mr. Carrapiett appeared before the Medical Board assembled by the orders of Government, when that authority pronounced him to be competent

to

to perform his duty - he was accordingly directed to return to the Military Board Office, but his situation having during his absence been permanently filled up, some difficulty appears to have occurred in re employing him. In the meantime his health is stated to have again failed him and his pension was finally confirmed on the 1st January 1841.

2 After Mr. Carrapiett's retirement he became a resident of Pulicat. In 1805, the situation of Ameen of Police at that place became vacant and Mr. Lovell the acting Collector appointed him to it. The subject having been brought to the notice of the Board by the Petitioner in

1095 Fort St. George 18th May 1818

No. 1350 of 1840 they called upon the acting Collector to explain the circumstances under which Mr. Carrapiett had been reentertained in the public service, the reply of that Officer together with the correspondence which fall[illegible] will be found with the papers under Submission.—

As in his letter of 14th January 1841 the late acting Collector reported that before appointing Mr. Carrapiett to the Police Ameen of Pulicat he had satisfied himself that that individual was fully equal to the duties of the situation and as Mr. Carrapiett has

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

has ceased to draw his Superannuation Pension since his appointment — the Board see no objection to his employment in his present office, but under all the circumstances of the case they resolve to submit the matter for the information of Government and for any orders which it may be thought fit to pass upon it.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George.
18th May 1848.

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read





Fort St. George. 18th May 1848.

Read the following letter.

To
I. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(41)

From the Acting Collr of North Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit the report on the Petitions noted marginally called for on Mr Ronpelle's letter of the 17th January 1848.

Petitioner, Hilaar Pagava-

Submits the report on the accompanying three Petitions Nos 1262 & 1407 of 1847 & 56 of 1848. called for on Mr Ronpelle's letter of the 17th January 1848.

| 1262 & 1407 of 1847 & 56 of 1848. herewith returned. |
|---|

2.

Chavy states that the same party Vyt Josee Casavachavry, who received the Enam in question in Mooseravakum, obtained also the Village of Kilaar in Chinglepet, that the latter Village was resumed by Government in 1834 / apparently from the absence of legal heirs / and that the present holder of the Enam as a Mooseravakum being not a descendant of the original grantee, either that enam ought to be resumed or the Village of Kilaar ought to be granted to Petitioner, he being related via the female line to Casavachavry

3. The investigation and Registration of the small Enams of this district was first made in July 1819. In the Register then made the Enam appears in the name of Iroomagul Arimaul, wife of the grandson of the original

original grantee, Cavavachawry, and the Enam is stated to have been granted by the Nabob Wallajah to the said Cavavachawry from Charity on account of his being an astrologer or Josev- In Fusly 1245 this Swoornagalammaul gave a Razinamah praying that the name of Ramanoojiengar her nephew might be registered instead of hers, she having made choice of him to maintain her and perform her funeral ceremonies- This was done and Ramanoojengar is now the party registered- Swoornagalammaul is understood to have deceased four or five years ago-

4. It appears therefore that the Enam was a personal grant, that it has been resumed on several lapses, and is now held by a party not related to the first grantee- Had the present rules been in force on the death of Swoornagul- =ammaul, the Enam would probably have been resumed, as that was not the case, as it was registered in Ramanoojiengar's name, and he has held it for thirteen years, I presume it will be thought fair to allow him to retain it for his life, and to resume it on his decease- The Enam comprises Poonjah 7 Cawnies and Nunjah 3½ Cawnies bearing assessment the

Note- From a document presented by another party it appears that Swoorna- gammaul held ⅓ of Kilvar which portion was ordered in 1824 to be resumed on her decease- The Change of property in Narasewa- =mum was probably made to escape the same pro- ceeding there-



Rs. A. C. Rs. A. C.
the former 6 7 58, the latter 9 — 31 — 52 or
about 55½ Rupees annually-

5- With reference to the Board's order to enquire into the relationship of Petitioner to the first grantee, and his title to the Enam, I beg to say that as he puts forward no claim to the Enam, and on his own shewing in these Petitions has no right to it, I did not think it necessary to pursue that investigation-

North Arcot. Collector's Cutcherry. Chittoor, 10th May 1848.

(41-42)

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector-

The Board of Revenue

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions Nos 1202-1407 of 1847 and 86 of 1848-

"Petitioner is referred to the Acting Collector of North Arcot to whom instructions have been issued on the subject of this Petition-"

To

Fort St. George, 18th May 1848.

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(43)

Sir,

Para.

From the Actg Collr of North Arcot.

Forwarding Copy of a Cr letter from Mr Bicken and reports regarding the average produce of Sugar and the average consumption &c &c &c

In an Extract from their Proceedings under date December 23d 1847, the Board pointed out some remarkable discrepancies in the returns received from the various Districts as to the average produce of Sugar on a Cawny of land, and the average consumption per head; and, in obedience to the orders of Government, called for explanations from this District and from some others, regarding those discrepancies.

2. The returns sent to the Board by Mr Lovell were prepared on Estimates only, no accurate data being obtainable. Those for the produce per Cawny were founded on enquiries by the various Tahsildars from practically informed persons in their respective Talooks, those for the consumption per head, on the supposed consumptions of persons of different classes.

3. Being called on for explanation as above stated, I thought the best way would be to endeavour to produce as accurate information



Fort St. George, 18th May 1848.

as possible from other sources than those resorted to before. I accordingly applied to Mr Bicken, a Gentleman residing at Palmanair, who has been engaged for several years past in the manufacture of Sugar. Enclosed a Copy of his reply which enters into particulars and gives as the result that a Cawny of land may be held to produce on an average 120 Maunds of Jaggery. The Statement sent up by Mr Lovell gave the average produce as 119 Maunds, a coincidence which seems to prove that both Estimates are not far from the truth, for this district at least.

4. With regard to the consumption per head I have no means of testing the former returns. The aggregate consumption of the district is not known, much Sugar being brought from Cuddapah. The former return, as already said, was an Estimate based on the supposed Consumption of the different Classes. The poorer orders in this district consume no Sugar except on very rare occasions; the consumption of the richer order is much larger, and the assumed consumption of the whole was divided on the total population

North Arcot.
Collector's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 13th May 1848.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector

Fort St. George. 18th May 1818.

No. 1.

To. J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Acting Collector of
North Arcot
Chittoor

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th Instant, and with much pleasure beg to submit such explanation which from local knowledge I have been able to obtain from time to time during our three years traffic in Sugar as well in its manufacture at our different Factories under your Collectorate.

2d. Sugar cane, of the kind in use by the Native Cultivator of this and the Cuddapah and Mysore Districts, are usually planted on Nunjah land or low ground of four different description, Viz. Ovaully, Dooyam, Seegam and Sahgunum, near which a Bunt or rivulet of water is found sufficient to irrigate the ground for the growth of the Plant.

3d. The seed canes are planted in different seasons of the year, as soon as the stock is cut and removed for the preparation and boiling the juice into Sugar, this is done, usually in the

Months



Fort St. George. 18th May 1818.

Months of February - March and April.

4th. The ground on which the above are cultivated is a grant by Government to the Ryots on Puttah or payment of annual rent.

5th. Fifteen thousand seed canes is the utmost that are planted on each Cawny of ground, which, when properly grown and well cared for and treated, will produce for every thousand cane, twenty four Pots of Juice, equal to 384 Madras Seer, this, which boiled, gives 12 Buntals or 10 Maunds of Muctoom Jaggery, or Vellum and if manufactured to process of Sugar, by being put to drain for 8 days or thereabout, will yield more or less Eight Maunds of Raup Sugar, free from Molasses, so that, for every Cawney cultivation of cane, gives again of 7½ Candies Jaggery or 6 Candies Raup Sugar, If the canes are a little faded from want of water, which in growth, or from heavy rain or much water having stood in the Plantation at the time when the canes required to be cut, the produce in Jaggery as well in Sugar are lessened from 25 to 50 per Cent.

6th. The qualities of land makes

no

Fort St. George 18th May 1848-

no difference, it chiefly depends on the par-
-ticular care and attention to be paid on the
cultivation and manuring of the ground properly,
to realize an advantageous harvest-

7th It may be freely calculated
to realize, under any circumstance, on an
average 8 Maunds of Jaggery or 5 of Raupe Sugar
more or less for every thousand Cane, and for
a Cawney of ground and 6 Candies of Jaggery,
or 3 Cos and 15 Maunds Raupe Sugar-

8th The expences attending for
the manufacturing of every 10 Maunds of
Jaggery or 8 of Sugar are as under-

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ploughing hire inclusive Cattles &c.a | Rupees | | |
| manuring the ground | " | 1 | " |
| Cooly's hire for watering and trying the Cane Plants | | 1 | 8 |
| Hire of Mill | " | | 8 |
| Firewood, Cooly hire &c.a attending the boiling | | 1 | " |
| Hire of Iron Boiler for 1000 Cane Juice | " | | 4 |
| Charity to Carpenter, Washerman, Black Smith Potter, Brahmin &c.a &c.a | " | | 12 |
| | Rupees | 5 | " |

9th The following are the wholesale



Fort St. George 18th May 1848-

wholesale prices of Muctoom Jaggery, Vellum and Raupe Sugar for 1846-47 and 48 Viz.t-

Muctoom Jaggery

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| In 1846- | 11 | Rupees Per Maund or Gonay |
| " 1847 from 12 to 13 | " | " |
| " 1848 | 12 | " |

Vellum-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| In 1846 | 10 | Rupees per Maund or Gonay |
| " 1847 | 9 | Do. |
| " 1848 | 7 | Do. |

Raupe Sugar

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| In 1846 | from Rs. 28 to 31 | Per Candy |
| " 1847 | 23 to 26 | " |
| " 1848 | 15 to 18 | " |

10th I hope that the fore-
-going explanation will be to your
satisfaction, and I shall be most
happy to afford you any further
information in my power whenever
called for-

Palmanair,
11th May 1848-

/Signed/ J. Hocken

/True Copy/
/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Acting Collector

(43-44)

Order thereon,

Ordered to lie over-

Read

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

Read the following letter-

To

J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

45

From the Collr of Salem - forwarding copy of the Decree of the Civil Court in A.S.N.o 74 of 1846. and of a letter from the Sub Judge calling for the fees due to the Government Taheel on account of O.S. N.o 74 of 1844-

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose for the information of the Board a copy of the Decree of the Civil Court in A.S.N.o 74 of 1846, and of a letter from the Subordinate Judge of the 8th Instant calling on me to pay the amount of 350 Rupees being the amount payable to the Government Taheel-

2- The correspondence in the marginal Statement has passed regarding the fees which in the Original Suit N.o 74 of 1844 was Rupees 7908 to be paid by the Defendants but reduced now to Rupees 372-

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| To the Board | 17th | September 1846 |
| From | Do | 15th October " |
| To | Do | 11th November " |
| From | Do | 20th " " |
| To | Do | 16th December " |
| From | Do | 21st January 1847 |
| To | Do | 11th March " |

3- I must confess in not appeal-ing against the cost specially- I have com-mitted a mistake from having overlooked the Circular order referred to by the Court of the 16th July 1829. I was under the impres-sion that any objection stated in my answ-r to the appeal was sufficient, as it was allowed to be, in regard to the 3d Defendant, and if I had been aware



Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

aware of the necessity of making a special appeal on that point, it could have been done in half a dozen lines. I must now un-der all the circumstances of the case leave it for the consideration of the Board and Govern-ment by whom the costs are to be paid-

[Signed] W. E. Lockhart.

Collector-

Salem District
Darumpoory
Collector's Cutcherry
18th April 1848

No 1

The Decree of the Civil Court in the Zillah of Salem, Subordinate Judge's Court Original Suit No 74 of 1844, Civil Judge's Court appeal Suit No 64 of 1846.

| Appellants Plaintiffs- | Respondents Defendants- |
|---|---|
| 1. Mootloosawmy Gowden. | 1 W. E. Lockhart Esqre |
| 2. Pullanevala Gowden. | Versus. 2 Shashagherry Row. |
| 3. Ramasawmy Gowden. | 3. Comaravala Gownden. |

The Plaintiffs not sa-tisfied with the annexed decision of the Subordinate Judge, appeal, and after u-rging that the following points should be ascertained, whether the Mootah was irregularly sold under its value, whether Plaintiff's enemy. — Rajah Gouden

had

had not endeavoured to usurp it, and the 1st Defendant had allowed the sale to take place according to Rajah Gowden's wish, and had prevented others bidding higher sums than Comaravala Gowden, the brother in law of the said Rajah Gowden, had purchased it for; whether the Board, according to the recommendation of the Collector made under para 7 of the Isteamamah, had declined to sanction the confirmation of the sale in favor of the party in whose name the property was knocked down, whether the Collector should be held responsible for any disputes which might arise in consequence of the sale in question; whether the Board's letter No. 75 affects the right of the said 1st Defd. Comaravala Gowden, whether the Collector had collected the arrears outstanding in the Mootah with interest, and the salaries of the Servants; whether Appellants rights to the Mootah has been established by the Takeed No. 81 sent by the 1st Defendant to the Treasurer, directing him to return the aforesaid Comaravala Gowden's Deposit; whether the Board is authorized to confirm or annual Sales made by Collectors, or orders passed relative thereto; & if the Subordinate

Judge's



Judge's assertion that the restoration of the Mootah to Appellants is merely an act of indulgence on the part of Government, which could not be complied with as the sale had been confirmed in favor of the 3rd Respondent without affecting his right, is correct, state that the 1st Defendant the Collector, instead of selling the Mootah in presence of many parties who assembled and were desirous of becoming purchasers, put it up for sale at 4 of the afternoon, when but few were present, of whom however Samperoomal Gowden and Palanyvala Gowden made offers, but the 2nd Defendant, with the view of preventing their becoming purchasers, persuaded his Master the 1st Defendant to require them to give Security, without which their bids would not be accepted, in consequence of which they informed the 1st Defendant that they would have been prepared with competent Sureties had previous notice been given them, but as such was not the case they would deposit 15 per Cent of the purchase money according to Regulation, Custom and Isteamar, and would tender Security in the Talook Cutchery for the remainder; besides the Head Sheristadar then informed the

the

the 1st Defendant that this requisition of Security was irregular; for if bidders fail to pay their Money their deposits would be forfeited and they would be held responsible for any deficiencies of a second sale, and further advised the 1st Defendant to make enquiries of the principal servants of the Cutcherry; but nevertheless the 1st Defendant, contrary to Section V, VII and XII Regulation XXVII of 1802, required the aforesaid Security, that as the Mootah was sold in another Village & Appellants were unable to tender the Security the demand of which kept several others from bidding; that Rajah Gowonden had & made his relatives and friends, Rylasa Gowonden and Sengodavala Gowonden, bid only small sums, and when the Mootah was about to be knocked down in the aforesaid Comaravala Gowonden's name for the small sum of 9,000 Rupees, the Head Sheristadar told the Collector that so exceedingly lucrative Mootah should be purchased on account of Government; but the 1st Defendant, who was under the influence of the 2nd Defendant, refused to comply to this advice, in order to confirm the sale in the name of Comaravala Gowonden, the brother in law of Rajah Gowonden, that

Mr.



Mr. Gleig having examined the Head Sheristadar and others regarding the truth of the aforesaid circumstance was of opinion that it would be just to restore the Mootah to the Appellants, and accordingly wrote the letter No. 85 to the Board, and if it is perused, as also the Depositions 83 and 84, it will be manifest that the sale commenced at 4 P. M. and after the whole of the persons who came to bid had departed; the Mootah was irregularly sold under its value; that if the sale had been commenced at the proper time, Viz. 10 O'Clock A. M. and closed at 4 P. M. a number of bidders would have collected and reasonable sums would have been offered, that the Subordinate Judge instead of considering these important points has stated in his decree, that Appellants had failed to prove that the sale was irregular, and the Mootah had been disposed of under its value, and that Appellants were subjected to loss, but he (the Subordinate Judge) had nevertheless admitted in the following part of the Decree, that the requisition of Security from Namperoomal Gowonden was not customary, and was contrary to the terms of the Istear; that Rajah

Gowonden,

Gowden, who is a rich man and desirous of having the whole Mootah, prevented the Ryots paying their rents and kept back the Balance due by himself in order to get the Mootah Zuft. ed for the arrears, intending to purchase it for himself, and had also preferred a false Suit No. 148 of 1843 on the file of the Subordinate Court in virtue of an agreement to endeavour to obtain possession of half the Mootah which belonged to Appellants; but having been subsequently convinced that his wishes would be frustrated by this course of proceeding, withheld payments of half the rents he should pay to the Circar, and the Mootah was in consequence sold; that Appellants had then informed the Collector that they were willing to pay the sum due for their half share, which will be shewn by the Complaint No. 148 that the Documents 83 and 84 shew that the Security was demanded at the sale; the pleadings and Decree of the Subordinate Judge that Comaravala Gowden is Rajah Gowden's brother in law, and the Deposition No. 92 of Appellants Witness that he has granted Pattahs for lands with Rajah Gowden's permission; that the said Comaravala Gowden with the view of deceitfully

deprived



depriving some partners of the Manally Mootah of their shares, had allowed it to be sold at Auction, and thereupon his relative Rajah Gowden and Rajah Gowden's relative Paramaseva Gowden nominally purchased it for himself, Comaravala Gowden, and he still enjoys it; but they had not proved this as points were not recorded requiring them to do so; that if the said Comaravala Gowden will extinguish the 60 lamps which Appellants will place on the sixty steps of the Temple at Trichengode, declaring that he did not enjoy the Manally Mootah up to this date, or purchase the Mootah now in dispute and that the deposit amount and Court Costs were not paid by Rajah Gowden, Appellants will relinquish their claim, and otherwise Appellants are willing to swear in any sanctuary which the said individual may select; that the Istiaar shows that the bid of a person which may not be confirmed by the Board of Revenue on the 1st report of the Collector, is invalid; that the 1st Defendant through the influence of the 2nd Defendant wrote the 2d letter to the Board in order to give his point, representing that the sale commenced at 10. A. M. although it did not till 4 P.M. and that no one could have

confidence

confidence if the sale was not confirmed, and a reference to the deposition No. 83 taken by Mr. Gleig from the Head Sheristadar will shew that the 1st Defendant, to get his wishes accomplished, wrote the said 2 letters mentioning circumstances which had not taken place, and had therewith only sent the Deposition of Rajah Gowden declining to pay the money, and not the one taken from Appellants, wherein they expressed their readiness to pay what they owed, as also the sum due by Rajah Gowden; and the Board, contrary to their first letter No. 75 which expresses their unwillingness to deprive Appellants of the Mootah they had long enjoyed, in the event of their paying the arrears, and without allowing the Appellants an opportunity of proving their statements, had concurred in the unjust opinion of the 1st Defendant and had confirmed the sale in the name of the 3d Respondent for a sum below the worth of the Mootah; that the 1st Defendant had told Appellants that if they satisfied the arrears, Viz.t Rs. 5,257 - a. 12 - p. 7 - due by them and



and Rajah Gowden, the Mootah should be put in their possession, and that this sum should be paid to the Salem Tahsildar on 1st January 1844, and in default they would forfeit their right to the Mootah, and 1st Defendant obtained the document No. 77 from the Appellants to the above effect and issued the Takeed 78 to the said Tahsildar enjoining him to receive the money, if paid within prescribed time; whereupon the Appellants with great trouble collected and paid it within the specified period, and the Collector on the 8th of the said month sent the Order No. 18 to the 3d Respondent directing him to receive back his money, but nevertheless the 1st Defendant instead of thus putting Appellants in possession of the Mootah detained it under Zuft, and hence the Action, the notice of which having been sent to the Collector Mr. Gleig who had resumed charge of his duties, he perused the records, instituted enquiries and wrote the letter 85 to the Board, on which alone they had not, as asserted by the Subordinate Judge, preferred the Suit. Appellants add that the Subordinate Judge has allowed that the Board in the 5th Para of their letter No. 131 to Government, have admitted

admitted that their instructions conveyed in their letter to the Collector Viz.t No. 75. were in some degree indistinct, but those regarding Appellants are quite clear: and although they were not Appellants right would not be affected; that the Subordinate Judge had further observed that the Collector in his rejoinder has represented that the Mootah was formerly sold for 10,000 Rupees, and that Appellants had admitted in their answer No. 148, that it was only worth this sum; but with reference to this, Appellants have to explain that they then admitted that half the Mootah was worth this amount, and that when it was sold for the said sum they had objected and had requested the Board for its restoration to them, on condition of their paying the arrears, whereupon the Board ordered the sale in favor of Venkataram Reddy to be annulled, his deposit money to be returned and the Mootah to be restored on the arrears being paid; and the proceedings then held, which are with the Collector, will shew that the Mootah is worth above 20,000 Rupees and the Appellants answer and Rajah Govinden's reply in the aforesaid number that

Appellants



Appellants Statements are correct; that the Subordinate Judge's decree mentions that a moiety of the Mootah was sold to Rajah Govinden for 4,000 Rupees, but such Villages only that were badly situated and yielded a small income were alone sold and he purchased them, knowing such was the case: besides, Mootahs which were formerly sold for trifling sums are now worth 30 or 40,000 Rupees, and the Circumst.s Deposition and the accounts shew that the Mootah will yield a large profit and is worth a large sum; that the Subordinate Judge had further stated that the offers made by Palanyvala Gownden and Numperoomal Gownden for large sums were contrary to Section XVIII, Regulation XXVI of 1802, as they were understood to be persons of no estate, are Appellants relatives, and bid for the Appellants / the former proprietor of the Mootah / but it has been proved by many witnesses that the said individuals are rich, and had brought a large sum to the sale, and Appellants cannot make out why the objections raised by the Subordinate Judge

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

Judge to the offers of the said Talaingvala Gowonden and Nunperoomal Gowonden on the ground of their relationship to Appellants, the original Proprietors cannot be made to apply equally to the bid of Comaravala Gowonden, 3rd Respondent, who is Rajah Gowonden's brother in law.—

The Collector, the 1st Respondent, in his answer states, that "he cannot discover a single point or argument brought forward by the Appellants which has not been fairly met and disposed of in the original pleadings in answer to the complaint and in the Decree of the Sub Court.—

It is there shewn, from the testimony of numerous witnesses, that the Appellants have entirely failed to



Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

to prove their allegations as to any irregularity in conducting the sale, and that the parties as to whose competency to make the offers exception was taken at the sale, are persons of no property and merely came to bid on behalf of the Appellants, the late Proprietors, in violation of the provisions of Section XVIII Regulation XXVI of 1802; that in the 7 and 8 Paras: of his Answer to the Original Suit the objections raised in Paras 6. 7 8 of the Appeal Plaint are satisfactorily answered. The Board of Revenue suspended their confirmation of the sale pending the investigation of assertions made by the Appellants, which turned out to be false, but they never gave orders to Annul the sale, and when satisfied that it had been conducted in a fair and legal manner they could not without direct interference with the rights of the purchaser avoid confirming it.— The Appellants themselves only insisted on the illegality of the sale and the Board were willing that the Mootah should be restored to them, could they prove their Assertion and pay up all the balances in arrears.— The latter was done. the Arrears were paid up and kept in deposit; but having failed altogether to prove their allegations as to the validity of the sale— the

contingency

contingency on which the Board were willing it should be restored to them — the simple liquidation of the arrears could not upset it. The 1st. Respondent is satisfied that the evidence in the Original Suit as to the legality of the sale will be found quite satisfactory, that he must however demur from the opinion of the Sub. Court in its award of damages. The question as to the regularity or irregularity of the sale being only raised by the Appellants themselves and as they have entirely failed to establish their assertions and which are designated in the Decree as gross exaggerations, it appears unjust that the 1st. Respondent should pay any portion of the Costs incurred by the 3d. Defendant in the Original Suit who was admitted as a supplemental Defendant at his own request, but was not included by the Appellants originally as a Defendant, neither has he suffered any pecuniary loss by the Acts of the 1st. Respondent. The Estate was put into his possession as soon as the Board were satisfied that the sale had been properly conducted; and as the Sub. Court have confirmed the legality of the proceedings, the 1st. Respondent begs the Court on reviewing the Case will direct that the Costs of the 3d. Defendant should be paid by himself





himself and also award that the expences incurred by the 1st. Respondent both in the Original and Appeal Suits be borne by the Appellants.

The 3d. Respondent in his answer states that there were four proprietors to the Mootah in question before its sale at Auction, and if Appellants wish to sue, after excluding Rajah Gowndan the Proprietor of half the Mootah, they ought to have brought the action only to recover their half share, for although Appellants might pray the whole arrears due, they could not according to rule bring the Action to recover the whole Mootah which had been legally sold at Auction; that if the Security Bond dated the 22d. June 1822 is referred to, it will be manifest that the Collector was justified in demanding the Security, and his letter to the Board of Revenue dated the 29th. December 1843 and the Depositions of the Witnesses will shew that the Respondents purchase of the Mootah was legal; that the Depositions of the Witnesses moreover represent that the Bidders, Nampera-omial Gowndan and Dalanyvala Gowndan are poor and the defendants of the Appellants — besides the 1st. Respondent is alone responsible for

for the requisition of security from the bidders, and not the Head Sheristadar; that if a purchaser after paying 15 per Cent of the purchase money does not give Security for the balance and make such balance good within the prescribed time, the money thus deposited will be forfeited, and if the said purchaser is unable to pay the loss which may occur by a resale, the Circar would be responsible: and therefore the demand of the security by the 1st. Respondent was just; that the Answer given by Appellants in No. 148 of 1843, which has been filed as a document, shews that of the 6 Villages attached to the Mootah 3 were sold to Rajah Gownden for 4,000 Rupees, and the other 3, which belonged to Appellants, were worth 10,000 Rupees, whereas Appellants have now asserted the Mootah to be worth 25,000 Rupees and from these contradictory statements it is evident that Appellants represent matters to suit their purposes; that if the Court will look into the proposal dated the 2d. October 1834 and filed by 3d. Respondent it will be perceived that during the time of the late Collector Mr. Orr no one bid more than 10,000 Rupees for the Mootah; that as Rajah Gownden has nothing to do with the Mootah, as 3d. Respondent purchased it at the Auction and enjoys it, which his Witnesses have





have proved; that the Appellants desire that the 3d. Respondent should take the oath is unjust; that the Appellants in their Petition to the Board had advanced false Arguments, but nevertheless their request to have the sale Annulled, and the Mootah restored on their paying the Arrears was refused, but 3d. Respondent was informed that if he wished he might take back his purchase money 9000 Rs. which however he was unwilling to do, and being anxious that the legality of the sale should be established, petitioned the Board, and the 1st. Respondent after keeping the Arrears paid by Appellants in deposit and reporting regarding the same, received the Sanction for it and directions to return to Appellants their deposit, and accordingly acted thereon, and not as represented by Appellants; that after Appellants filed the Suit, Mr. Igleg who had nothing to do with the sale, on the advice of certain parties had recommended the Board to Cancel the sale; but the Board of Revenue and Government having considered the matter, declared that a lawful sale could not be annulled, and gave the authority for the defence of the action, but Appellants having ignorant of this, and under the supposition that

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

that Mr. Glegs report served their purposes, — had refered thereto and their appeal; and although the Board had admitted in Para. 5 of their Letter to Government under date the 2d. September 1844, that their orders to the 1st Respondent of the 16th November 1843 were not sufficiently distinct, this might be of no use to Appellants; that the 1st Respondent, the Board and the subordinate Judge had decided according to the intention of the Istimrar N°. 36, and as the Appellants had allowed the Arrears to accumulate and the Mootah to be sold they had forfeited all right, and 3d. Respondent having become the proprietor thereof with the sanction of the Board, the institution of the suit is unjust.

The 3d. Respondent concludes by observing that a bidder for a Mootah calculates the sums bid according to the profits to be derived, and does not offer 30 or 40000 Rupees at random and if the profits of the Estate in question, even according to the Deposition of the Circumstances thereof, are compared with the interest to be derived from the 9000 Rupees paid by him 3d. Respondent, it will be evident that the Interest would not Amount to 3/4 per Cent on the purchase money and consequently the Assertions that





Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

that 3d. Respondent had paid for the Estate considerably less than its value are frivolous.

Judgment.

It has been explained in the letter of the Board of Revenue under date the 2d. September 1844, Submitting to Government the correspondence relative to the sale of the Mootah in question, which the Plaintiffs insisted had been conducted in an irregular manner and the Collector to the contrary, that the Plaintiffs reinstatement in the Mootah was dependant on two conditions, the one that the Arrears should be paid up in full the other that the allegations of the Plaintiffs Asserting such irregularity in the conduct of the sale as would justify the Board in Annulling it should prove to be well founded" and further that it was not intended, that the simple liquidation of the Arrears should alone suffice to restore the Mootah to the Plaintiffs and annul the previous sale." and as the Collector had admitted that the Plaintiffs ultimately conformed to the first condition, it only remains to be ascertained if they have made good their allegations as to the irregularity of the sale, or have adduced any facts which would

Fort St. George 18th. May 1848.

would warrant it being set aside as illegal, and the Annulment of the order of the Board confirming the same on the receipt of the Collectors letters shewing how it had been conducted and that he was personally present. On going through the voluminous evidence which has been recorded, it is shewn, as observed by the subordinate Judge, that the Plaintiffs have entirely failed in so doing: for even of the Witnesses examined on their behalf five of the most respectable have allowed that the sale was conducted from 12 to 5 in presence of numerous parties, between two or three hundred, and most of whom are represented to have remained till the close thereof, and not as has been asserted by Plaintiffs from 4 to 5 and in presence of a very few, and some of Plaintiffs Witnesses have moreover admitted that it had been customary with former Collectors Messrs. Cockburn and Ozz, to require the production of security during the progress of sales in the event of doubt being entertained of the competency of the bidders, and that such was necessary in the present case as has been even shewn by the Plaintiffs 8th. Witness, a Village Curnum, who has represented that the 2 bidders on account of Plaintiffs Vizt. Valagowden and Namperoomal Gowden and



Fort St. George 18th. May 1848.

and who are their relatives, have no lands exclusively belonging to them, although they have a few in common with others - and as likewise by the deposition of the bidder last named and examined as Plaintiffs 5th. Witness; for he has acknowledged that neither he nor Valagowden were known to the Collectors Servants, nor had they before bid for Estates, and as the fair conduct of the sale has been satisfactorily proved by numerous respectable Witnesses examined on behalf of the 3d. Respondent and both parties, and as the discretionary power of demanding the Security above noticed, the condition of the above named bidders and the fact of the Mootah not having been sold below its value have been likewise established by several of the 3d. Respondents Witnesses, the Court can find no grounds for questioning the legality of the sale of the Estate which many Witnesses have declared the 3d. Respondent purchased for himself and manages, although he is Bapah Gowinden's brother in law; on these grounds and those recorded by the subordinate Judge the Court confirms the Decree nonsuiting the Plaintiffs and charges them with the Costs of this vexatious Appeal

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

would warrant it being set aside as illegal, and the Annulment of the order of the Board confirming the same on the receipt of the Collectors letters shewing how it had been conducted and that he was personally present. On going through the voluminous evidence which has been recorded, it is shewn, as observed by the subordinate Judge, that the Plaintiffs have entirely failed in so doing: for even of the Witnesses examined on their behalf five of the most respectable have allowed that the sale was conducted from 12 to 5 in presence of numerous parties, between two or three hundred, and most of whom are represented to have remained till the close thereof, and not as has been asserted by Plaintiffs from 4 to 5 and in presence of a very few, and some of Plaintiffs Witnesses have moreover admitted that it had been customary with former Collectors Messrs. Cockburn and Ozs. to require the production of security during the progress of sales in the event of doubt being entertained of the competency of the bidders, and that such was necessary in the present case as has been even shown by the Plaintiffs 8th Witness, a Village Curmum, who has represented that the 2 bidders on account of Plaintiffs Vizt. Valagowden and Ramperoomah Gowden and



Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

and who are their relations, know no lands exclusively belonging to them, although they have a few in common with others - and as likewise by the deposition of the bidder last named and examined on Plaintiffs 5th. Witness; for he has acknowledged that neither he nor Valagowden were known to the Collectors Servants, nor had they before bid for Estates, and as the fair conduct of the sale has been satisfactorily proved by numerous respectable Witnesses examined on behalf of the 3d. Respondent and both parties, and on the above named bidders and the fact of the security above noticed, the conduct of Mootah not having been sold below its value, have been likewise established by several of the 3d. Respondents Witnesses, the Court can find no grounds for questioning the legality of the sale of the Estate which many Witnesses have declared the 3d. Respondent on purchased for himself and manages, although he is Rajah Gowinden's brother in law; on these grounds and those recorded by the subordinate Judge the Court confirms the Decree noncluting the Plaintiffs and exCharges therein with the Costs of this vexatious Appeal

Appeal, and further modifies the decision by imposing the Original Costs of the 3d Respondent on himself, for he solicited to be admitted as a party, and the 1st Defendant would doubtless have established all that he has done without his Assistance. Had an Appeal been prefaired on the point of Costs the Court would have charged the Plaintiffs with all, which however under the Circular Order of the 16th July 1829 Cannot now be done, for it has been therein ruled that an Appellant may benefit by an Appeal, but his situation cannot be rendered worse.

The Court Cannot close the case without observing that it bears the Appearance of others besides the Plaintiffs being interested therein, and that of the Plaintiffs Witnesses the 6th who is one of the principal ones, is the notorious Annaviengar who has frequently appeared before the Court to give evidence in Suits and has conducted others, and is now undergoing a banishment for the Gang Robbery of which he was convicted by the Sessions Court of Salem in Calender No. 16. of 1816 and finally sentenced by the Court of Foujdaree Udalut, and that the



the 7th Shreenevasa Iyengar, as his brotherun law and was charged in the same case although acquitted.

| | Rs. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Costs incurred by the Appellants in the lower Court | 316 | 11 | " |
| Costs incurred by the 1st Respondent in the Dr. | 32 | " | " |
| Costs incurred by the 3d Dr. Dr. | 423 | 8 | " |
| Costs incurred by the Appellants in the Appeal | | | |
| Value of Stamp't papers under Section XII. XIII XIX. and XX Regulation XIII of 1816 | 296 | " | " |
| Batta for Summon Peon | 3 | 12 | " |
| Pleaders Fees under Act I of 1816 | 350 | " | " |
| | 649 | 12 | " |
| Costs incurred by the 1st Respondent in the Dr. | | | |
| Value of Stamp't papers under Section XIX Regulation XIII of 1816. | 12 | " | " |
| Pleaders Fees under Act I of 1816 | 350 | " | " |
| | 362 | " | " |
| Costs incurred by the 3d Respondent in the Appeal | | | |
| Value of Stamp't papers under Section XIX and XX Regulation XIII of 1816 | 17 | " | " |
| Pleader's Fees under Act I of 1816 | 350 | " | " |
| | 367 | " | " |
| Total Rupees | 2,491 | 2 | " |

Deduct.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Costs already paid by the Appellants | 966 | 10 | " |
| Costs to be paid by the Appellants to 1st Respondent | 362 | " | " |
| Costs to be paid by Appellants to 3d Respondent | 367 | " | " |
| Costs to be paid by the 1st Respondent | 372 | " | " |
| Costs to be borne by the 3d Respondent | 423 | 8 | " |

Decreed on the 9th December 1817.
Sealed and Signed on the 13th February 1818.
(Signed) J. G. A Bruere
Circuit Judge

Fort St. George 18th. May 1848.

The Appellants and Respondents are hereby informed that in conformity with the orders of the Sudr Udalut (No. 18) no compromise entered into by the parties will be valid unless it first receives the sanction of the Court by which this Decree is passed.

Term for making special Appeal expires the 13th. May 1848.

(Signed) J. Lys. Bruere
Civil Judge

(True Copy)

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

No. 2.

W. E. Lockhart Esqre.
Collector of Salem

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 4th. September last stating that the payment of the fees due to Government Vakeel in Original Suits No. 274 of 1842 and 74 of 1844 cannot be determined at the time, in consequence of appeals having been preferred against the decisions passed thereon, I have the honor to request that the Appeal comprising the latter Suit No. 74 of 1844 having been disposed of on the 9th. December last, you will be pleased to forward to me the sum of Rupees 350 being the fees payable to the Vakeel on your behalf in that original suit.

Salem
Subordinate Court,
8th. April, 1848.

(Signed) H. Frere
p.c.
Sub. Judge.

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collr.



Fort St. George 18th. May 1848

Proceedings thereon.

45-46

In Para 3 of the above letter the Collector states that "in not appealing "against the costs specially he committed a "mistake from having overlooked the Courts order "of 16th. July 1839 referred by the Civil Court "that he had been under the impression that "the objection stated in his answer to the appeal "was sufficient, as it was allowed to be so "in regard to the 3d. Defendant and if he "had been aware of the necessity of making "a special appeal on that point it could "have been done in half a dozen lines - on "referring to the appeal decree the Board further find it stated that "Had an appeal" been that is, it is presumed, a Counter appeal "on the part of the Defendants "been preferred "on the point of costs, the Court would have "charged the Plaintiffs with all, which however "under the Courts order of 16th. July 1839 cannot "now be done for it has been therein ruled that a "appellant may benefit by an appeal, but his Situ- "ation cannot be rendered worse."

The Board of Revenue cannot but think with the Collector that the prayer con- -ed in the concluding part of appeal answer of 4th. March

March 1847 for exemption from the payment of the costs awarded by the lower court was tantamount to an appeal against those costs and should have been so treated by the Civil Court. They request that Mr. Lockhart will again address the court praying them on these grounds to review their judgment in this particular.— Should the Civil Judge still adhere to his decision the Board desire that the Collector will make the matter the subject of a special reference to the Court of Sudr adawlut.—

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

47

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that on the receipt of each of the accompanying Petitions No. 2,192 of 1847 and No. 298 of 1848 bearing respectively the Board's Endorsements dated the 21st December and 18th February last, the necessary steps were taken to cause the appearance of the Petitioner before me to held the necessary enquiry into the particular point set forth in the Petition, but as the Petition is stated to be now residing in Bangalore I have been prevented from holding any personal examination

Reports on Petitions Nos. 2192 of 1847 and 298 of 1848

Returned returned



examination on his part upon the subject.—

2 The documents alluded to in the Petition are not forthcoming either in the records of the Talook and Hoozoor Cutcherries.—

3 The Bramin alluded to Ayagalien died long ago but his son states that he knows nothing respecting the property stated in the Petition.—

4 The Chittanutham Village in which the Petitioner claims a certain extent of lands as Inam is not a Circar Village, it belongs to one of the Munsjeeds of His Highness the Nabob of the Carnatic and it has ever remained under such management and enjoyment, the Petitioner can therefore have no claim to it.—

/ Signed / W. Elliot
Acting Collector

Trichinopoly
Collector's office
20th April 1848

Order thereon

47-48

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitions No. 2192 of 1847 and No. 298 of 1848

"The Board having received a Report from the acting Collector of Trichinopoly on the subject of these Petitions find that Petitioner has not attended before that Officer to make

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

make good his case - and that no witnesses nor public documents are forthcoming in support of his claim - His pretensions moreover do not seem cognizable by the revenue authorities, on these grounds the Petitions are rejected."

Read the following letter

To Captain J. H. Bell
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
in the Department of Public Works
Madras -

(49)

From the Collector of Sir,
Tinnevelly

Having probably to send a Remittance of 6½ Lacs of Rupees to the General Treasury at the Presidency on or about the 12th Proximo I have the honor to request an early Sanction for the Estimate for Rupees 480. for making 120 Treasure Boxes, forwarded with my letter of the 1st Ultimo - The old ones when returned from Madras will be available for the next Remittance, the 2 Sets being always necessary

/ Signed / E. B. Thomas
Collector -

From the Collector of Tinnevelly
Trichindour
8th May 1848
Order thereon
(49-50)

Ordered to be recorded, that the Sanction of Government for the estimated disbursement proposed in the Collector's letter of the 1st April 1848 having been Communicated to him on the 11th Instant. -

Read



Fort St. Ge

Re

To. T. Pycroft

(51)

From the Coll.r of Sir
Madras - -
= respondence not

To Board 25th May 1847 hand
From Dr. 3d June " of th
To Dr. 8th July " term
From Dr. 12th Dr. "

Toddy Shops within this Collectorate detail, under auth expire on the 11th Consequence, the Bo them, on the same for a further perio

2

Shops should be on Wednesday the Sale being previo usual manner well as by the n in the Fort St. G herein enclosed.

/ Sig

Madras - -
16th May 1848

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

No. 1

Notice is hereby given that the right of retailing Batavia and Puttaca Arrack in the established number of shops within the Abkary limits of the Collectorate of Madras, and of retailing only Puttah Arrack within the Cantonments of St. Thomas' Mount and Palavaram respectively for Fusly 1258 or from 12th July 1848 to 11th July 1849, both days inclusive, will be put up by public Auction, in the Office of the Collector of Madras, from Wednesday the 28th Proximo at 11 O'clock A. M. and will be given to the highest bidders. —

The Successful bidders will have to make the Customary deposit of 10 days Dowle. —

All Venders of Arrack and Toddy within the limits of the Supreme Court will have to take out the licenses provided for by Police Regulation No. 4, and to adhere to the rules therein prescribed for their guidance. —

The arrangements &c

made at auction will be subject to &c

the



Fort St. George 18th May 1848

the confirmation of Board of Revenue. —

By order of the Bd. of Revn.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston

Collector

Madras - - -

16th May 1848

Resolution thereon

(51-52)

The Board of Revenue &c authorize the Collector of Madras to make arrangements for renting the Abkarry and Toddy Farms for the ensuing Fusly 1258 - and &c approve of the Notification proposed to be published in the Fort St. George Gazette. —

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

(53)

From the Superintendent of Stamps

Sir

I have the honor to &c

Submit a Statement shewing the particulars of four proposals received for the supply of the several articles required for the uses of this Office, pursuant to the notification published in the Official Gazette of the 28th Ultimo, from which it will be observed that the tender of Venoo Naick No. 1 is the lowest, and would beg leave to recommend that the Contract be given to him for a period of two years commencing from this date upon his producing adequate Security for the fulfilment of the same. —

DESTROYED

I avail myself of the present

2.

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

present occasion to mention that the Contract last entered into expired on the 2nd February. The omission to take steps to renew it in time arose entirely from over= =sight. In ignorance of the fact the supplies during the interval or to the end of March were received from the former Contractor at the contract rates and the Bills were submitted to and sanctioned by the Board.

3 No expense on this ac. account has been incurred for last Month.

4 As no inconvenience or ex. extra expense have attended this omission, I trust the Board will be good enough to impute it to no o= ther cause than oversight.

Fort St. George
General Stamp Office
12th May 1848

(Signed) A. F. Bruce
Superintendent
of Stamps.

List



Lt. General

Mails
per Total
Sq

Do do Do do

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | B. Ma. | 2 | " | 8 | 14 6 |
| 2 | S. Gop. | 4 | " | 10 | 5 " |
| 3 | M. R. | 12 | | 11 | 2 3 |
| 4 | b. Ma. | 9 | " | 11 | 1 9 |

So

Gen.

1

ably

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

sent occasion to mention that the Contract
ot entered into expired on the 2nd February
the omission to take steps to renew
in time arose entirely from over=
sight. In ignorance of the fact the
plies during the interval or to the end
March were received from the former
tractor at the contract rates and the
ills were submitted to and sanctioned
the Board.

As no inconvenience or ex.
expense have attended this
on, I trust the Board will be
enough to impute it to no o
cause than oversight.

[Signed] A. F. Bruce
Superintendent
of Stamps.

List

Under Regulations VII of 1828 during

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

under Regulation VII of 1828, during the 2d half year

4739

Fort St. George 18th May 1848

List of Sealed proposals received for the Supply of the undermentioned Articles for the use of the Stamp Office.

| Names | Date | 6 Doz 4 Doz. Wooden chest | wooden chest | 3 Doz. wooden chest | Wax cloth of 14 Cubits 2d Sort | Gunny per piece | Tape per 100 Cubits | Coarse country paper per Quire | Gunny Twine per Viss | Bengal twine per Viss | Mat per piece | Gunny Rope |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as | 6Rs as |
| 1 B. Vanoo | May 10th 1848 | 2 " " | 1 12 " | 1 7 " | 1 12 " | 2 5 " | 6 3 " | 2 4 " | 5 3 " | 8 " " | 1 7 " | 8 6 |
| 2 S. Gopaloo | May 10th | 2 12 " | 1 12 " | 1 4 " | 1 14 " | 3 6 " | 7 " " | 4 " " | 6 " " | 12 6 " | 2 " " | 4 " |
| 3 M. Ramadaumy | May 10th | 2 10 " | 1 15 " | 1 10 " | 1 16 " | 2 8 " | 5 " " | 3 6 " | 6 6 | 11 " " | 2 1 " | 3 " |
| 4 C. Moattealoo | May 10th | 2 10 " | 1 15 6 | 1 12 " | 1 12 " | 2 6 " | 6 4 " | 2 8 " | 6 3 | 11 " " | 1 6 " | 3 " |

Fort St. George
General Stamp Office
12th May 1848

[Signed] A. F. Bruce
Superintendent of Stamps

ago

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

Resolution thereon.

(53-54) Agreeably to the recommendation of the Superintendent of Stamps, the Board of Revenue Sanction the acceptance of the tender of Hanoo Naick No. 1 for the supply on Contract of certain articles for the use of the Stamps Office for a period of two years commencing from the 12th Instant under the usual security.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.—

(55) From the Collector of Masulipatam — Statement of actual Charges incurred under Estimate in the Revenue Department during Fusly 1256.

(56) From the Collector of Masulipatam — Statement of Sadersiah and Extra Charges incurred under Estimate in the Magisterial Department during Fusly 1256

(57) From the Collector of Masulipatam. Statement of actual charges incurred without Estimate in the Revenue Department during Fusly 1256.—

(58) From the Collector of Masulipatam } Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department during Fusly 1256.—



Fort St. George 18th May 1848

(59) From the Collector of Salem — Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred during Fusly 1257.—

(60) From the Collector of South Arcot — Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate during Fusly 1256.—

(61) From the Collector Tanjore. — Statement of actual charges incurred under Estimate during Fusly 1256.—

(62) From the Collector of Tanjore — Statement of charges incurred without Estimate during Fusly 1256.—

(63) From the Collector of Tanjore. — Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred during Fusly 1257.

(64) From the Collector of Madras. — Statement of Extra Charges incurred under Estimate during Fusly 1256.—

(65) From the Collector of Madras.— Statement of actual Charges incurred without Estimate during Fusly 1256.—

(55-66) Order on the 11 abovementioned Statements. } Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the abovementioned Statements and be submitted for the Sanction of Government.—

(67) From the Agent Vizagapatam. — Read Statement of advances for Cultivation actually disbursed during Fusly 1256

Resolved

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

67-68

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.—

Read the undermentioned

69 Statements

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Comparative State-=ment Shewing the Quantity of Salt Sold for Home and Inland Consumption during the Quarter ending 30th April last.—

70

From the Collector of Guntoor. Comparative Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt Sold for Home and Inland Consumption during the Quarter ending 30th April last

69-71

Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements — Ordered to lie over.—

Resolved that the follow-=ing be despatched.—

72

To the Chief Secretary to Government

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the information of Government the accompanying Statement Shewing the number of Persons charged in each district under the Madras Presidency under Regulation IX of 1822 and the manner in which the charges have been disposed of, together with a Statement of the Number of Appeals preferred to and disposed of by the Board under

A Submitting the usual statement for the 2d Half year of 1847 Shewing the number of Persons charged with Offences in each District under Regulation IX of 1822 and the manner in which they have been disposed of and a Statement of the number of Appeals preferred to & disposed of by the Board

ORIGINAL DESTROYED



Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

under Regulations VII of 1828 during the same period.—

under Regulation VII of 1828, during the 2d half year of 1847.—

2— The total number of Persons charged with Offences under the former Regulation in the above co= period was 351 of whom 278 were convicted, 60 acquitted, + and 2 forwarded to the Criminal Courts for trial— leaving 11 cases still to be disposed of.—

3— Of the number of Persons charged 28 were of the Collectors Public Establishment, and 323 were Village Officers.—

4— In the 1st class 13 Persons were con= =victed and 13 acquitted, while in the 2d class the con= =victions were 265 and acquittals 47.—

5— The greatest number of charges co= occurred in the district of Cuddapah and the majority of Persons implicated were Village Officers.—

6— During the period under review no trials under Regulation IX of 1822 took place in Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Masulipatam, Tanjore, and Malabar.—

7— Of the total number of cases above recorded 3 were appealed to the Board during the period under consideration, of which the sentences of the District authorities in two were confirmed & and in the 3d the local decision was reversed.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th May, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Abstract

…d Sessions Gaol … 18th May 1848.

…tract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged … year of 1847, distinguishing the Classes of Persons and …

Fort St. George

…ted, Acquitted, or forwarded to the Criminal Judge for trial in … of their Offences as defined by Section II. of that Enactment.—

18th May 1848.

…each district under the Provisions of Regulation IX. of 1822 duri…

| District. | Offences comprised in Class 1st Clause 2d | | | Class 2d Clause 2d | | | | Class 3d Clause 2d | | | | Class 4th Clause 2d | | | | Total. | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Committed | Acquitted | Criminal Courts | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Criminal Courts | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Criminal Courts | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Criminal Courts | Charged | Convicted | Acquitted | Criminal Courts |
| Guntoor | | | | | | | | 6 | 5 | 1 | | 4 | 3 | 1 | | 14 | 8 | 6 | |
| Nellore | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Chingleput | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Salem | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| North Arcot | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Trichinopoly | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Madura | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | | 6 | |
| Coimbatore | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | | | 2 | 2 | | |
| Canara | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 28 | 13 | 13 | |
| Rajahmundry | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5 | 3 | | 2 |
| Guntoor | 1 | 10 | | | | | | 8 | 6 | 1 | | 8 | 6 | 2 | | 80 | 50 | 22 | |
| Nellore | | | | 2 | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 3 | 3 | | |
| Bellary | | | | 24 | 17 | 7 | | 20 | 4 | 9 | | 36 | 29 | 6 | | 3 | 3 | | |
| Cuddapah | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 2 | 2 | | | 104 | 104 | | |
| Chingleput | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 2 | 2 | | |
| Salem | | | | 7 | 7 | | | 36 | 36 | | | 61 | 61 | | | 3 | 3 | | |
| North Arcot | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | 68 | 47 | 21 | |
| South Arcot | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 2 | 2 | | | 20 | 20 | | |
| Trichinopoly | | 1 | | 4 | 2 | 2 | | 8 | 6 | 2 | | 55 | 39 | 16 | | 1 | 1 | | |
| Madura | | | | | | | | | | | | 20 | 20 | | | 9 | 6 | 3 | |
| Tinnevelly | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | |
| Coimbatore | 1 | 1 | | 2 | | 2 | | 2 | 2 | | | 3 | 3 | | | 23 | 23 | | |
| Canara | 3 | | | | | | | 8 | 8 | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Ceded Districts | | | | | | | | 4 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 7 | 22 | | 39 | 26 | 11 | | 82 | 63 | 12 | | 196 | 172 | 22 | | 323 | 265 | 47 | 2 |
| Grand Total | 7 | 22 | | 39 | 26 | 11 | 2 | 90 | 69 | 13 | | 204 | 178 | 24 | | 351 | 278 | 60 | 2 |

No trials took place in … Vizagapatam, Masulipatam, … and Malabar.—

enquire and report on the Statements made on the Petition.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th May, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

To,
The Collector of
Madura.-

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from the Vakeel of Sanker Ummaul Zemindar of Pamboor Lakeraj in the Vendoney Talook in your District, praying for the restoration of the Surva Maniam Village which had been in their enjoyment previous to the interference of Lutchmenarain Chitty, and with reference to your Endorsement of the 28th April last to request that you will be so good as to report on the case generally and state your opinion whether the Village in question should not be assessed with full teerwah.

75

To the Collector of Madura.

No. 252 of 1848.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
18th May, 1848.

(Signed) T. Pycroft.
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.-

From



76 From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Return of Persons tried for Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of January last.-

77 From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Return of Persons tried for Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of February last.-

78 From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of January last.-

79 From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Return of Persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VI of 1831 during the Month of February last.-

80 From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Informing that no persons were confined for Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of January last.-

81 From the Collector of Cuddapah.- Informing that no Persons

Persons were confined for Offences under Regu-
-lation IX of 1822 during the Month of February
last.-

82 From the Collector of Singlepatam - Return of Fines imposed &
and stoppages made from the pay of public
Servants during the Quarter ending 31st March last.-

83 From the Collector of Singlepatam - Reporting that certain &
Returns for the Quarter ending 31st March
last are not sent, there being no entries to &
make.-

84 From the Collector of Guntoor - Return of Fines imposed &
and stoppages made from the pay of public &
Servants during the Quarter ending 31st March last.-

85 From the Collector of Guntoor - Return of alterations &
that have occurred in the List of Fines imposed
and stoppages made from the pay of public &
Servants during the Quarter ending 31st March
last.-

86 From the Collector of Guntoor - Reporting that no &
persons



persons were suspended or dismissed during the &
Quarter ending 31st March last.-

87 From the Agent &c. Kurnool - Acknowledging the receipt
of 52 Copies of printed forms of Demand Col-
-lection and 10 of Extra Revenue.-

88 From the Collector of Masulipatam - Acknowledging the receipt &
of a certain number of printed forms.-

89 From the Collector of Madura - Return of Persons suspend-
-ed and dismissed during the Month of March last.-

90 From the Collector of Madras - Statement shewing the &
number of Boats which have arrived and have
discharged their Cargoes daily at the Basin of
Cockrane's Canal during the Month of April &
last.-

91 From the Collector of Madras - Salt Account Current for the &
Quarter ending 31st March last.-

92 From the Collr. of Nellore.- Register of Certificates
issued for the exportation of Salt &
to

to Chittagong from the Ports of the Nellore
District on account of the Chittagong Indent for
(93) 1847/48 during the Month of April last.-

From the Collector of Nellore - Statement shewing the Quantity
of Salt in store and ready for exportation on ac-
account of the Chittagong Indent for 1847/48 at
(94) the Ports of his District up to 8th May 1848.-

From the Collector of Nellore - Stating that no persons were sus-
suspended or dismissed under Regulation VI
(95) of 1831 during the Month of April last.-

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Salt Account Current
(96) for the Quarter ending 31st March last.-

From the Collector of Rajahmundry - Statement of spirituous Li-
Liquor recommended for confiscation
under the provisions of Section XIV Regu-
(96-97) -lation I of 1820.-

Order thereon.- Ordered that the confiscation
and



and Sale of the Liquor enumerated in the above-
-mentioned Statement be authorized.-

(98) From the Sub Treasurer- Indent on the Commissary
General for carriage for conveying Treasure to
the Beach to be shipped on the Hugh Lindsay
for Tanjore.-

(98-99) Order thereon.- Ordered to be supplied ac-
accordingly.-

(100) From the Collector of Timevelly - Acknowledging the receipt of
certain printed forms.-

(101) From the Collector of Timevelly - Indent on the Conductor in
charge of the Arsenal at Palamcottah for
Padlocks and keys.-

(101-102) Order thereon- Ordered to be passed and
transmitted to the Secretary to the Military
Board.-

From

7753.

(103)

Fort St. George 18th May 1848.

From the Collector of Vizagapatam

Salt Account Current

for the Quarter ending 31st March last.

" J. D. Dinwy

" Wallin Elliot

"

Compd. Thompson