213

Fort St. George 14th October 1844-

distress..

This Mr. Bannerman had per=
=sonally examined and never disturbed us-
During the said five fuslies owing to the
said reason I was obliged to make up the
deficiency of one year from the produce
of the fusly 1253 the Acting Collector Mr.
Anstruther sent an order on the 23d
December 1843 desiring me to discharge
the whole balance of Rupees 107,505 — a —
consolidating the former and the present
due including the interest and all within
15 days from that date, where-upon I
represented to him the whole circum=
=stances which caused this balance to
remain outstanding by an arzee dated 4th
January 1843 and proceeded to Chetter=
=poor, but before my arrival there, an
Ameen was appointed to my Tallook.-

When I visited the Collector I
informed him that I would pay 50,000 Rupees
before the end of the fusly 1253, and the
remainder at the rate of 10,000 Rupees
per year but for the payment of 50,000
Rupees the Acting Collector required me
to produce Security - While this my
brother

Fort St. George 14th October 1844-

brother Croostana Chendra Deo presented
some arzees before the Acting Collector
against me, who where upon attached the
whole of my property at sparing even my
domestical things and also the every thing
belonging to me under the balance due to
the Circar - As I have not secured
any private estate from the profits of
the Talook the small property what
I really had was sequestered, and afterwards
delivered up to me by the orders of the
Collector - Even after this I repeatedly
begged the Acting Collector to accept
my prayer and receive 50,000 Rupees and
discharge the Ameen but he has not con=
=sented to it..

This I should attribute to my
entire misfortune because there is
nothing to complain of him for what he
had done is strictly consistent with
his duty and beg the Circar to make some
allowance with reference to the cause
of the failure of the crop - In this Zillah
my Tallook is the first as to the barenefs
of

of the profit while the Moherry stands as the 2d. for example, because they are loaded with heavy paishcush which can hardly be paid by the products of them either in famine, or when the price of the paddy fall low - The other Zemindaries have profit, some at the rate of four some at three, some at two and some at one Rupee per Rupee - Even such profitable estates have deeply fall in arrears with the Circar from the failure of the rain and from the dearth, and partly from the cheapness of the paddy.-

In all my transanctions I have always been honest and upright with the Government and never in the least have I disappointed there expectations within the compass of my ability.-

This balance occurred providentially and through my misfortune but not by my mismanagement nor by any inattention to economy in my way of living - With a view to clear myself from the debt of the Circar I have also

settled

settled the family dispute and shortened all my domestical expences to such low scales as I and my brother to manage to subsist with 100 Rupees each per Month and my step Mother with 50 Rupees and only retained establishment in the Tallooks that it may not exceed 1000 Rupees per year - For the last two Months I am waiting upon the Collector for some settlement but he has not as yet been pleased to give any consideration to my prayer.-

The Assistant Collector had settled the Zemindary of my Tallook for Current Fusly for 63,255 —— Rupees this is the result of the disappointment of the rain and fall of the price experienced during the last four or five years.-

If the season is proper and if there be demand for grain there will be undoubtedly some increase in the Bareez. Although one or two thousand Rupees may remain outstanding upon the poor Ryots yet as we have reduced to 4000 Rupees both our domestical and Tallookah expences and although the present Zamaubundy

of

of my Tallok has been made for 63,000 Rs. there would be still surplus of about 8 or 9 thousand Rupees deducting the paishcush of Rupees 49,148 — — — If the season is favorable there will be still more an increase of 2 or 3 thousand Rupees, and also the means of profit in storing up the grain from the Ryots at cheaper rate and exporting the same to Madras and other places and also by collecting the old balances standing upon the Ryots — For fusly 1253 the Ameen has collected Rupees 25,153—15—5, and since the attachment of the Tallook by traffic of the grain, I have paid Rupees 10,100 — — — besides Rupees 3,348- — — paid by me previous to the attachment — I have shown sufficient property for the payment of the deficiency for 50,000 Rupees. which I promised to pay the Collector who I regret did not at all take my representation into his consideration. —

From the reports of Messrs. Ingles and Stevenson in the Month of March 1837 your Board might have been fully convinced of the manner in which I treat my reports and how I am liked

liked by them and the mode of my Management of my Tallook, and also if you refer to the communications of Mr. Bannerman dated 11th December 1838 you will find that he always liked my Management — If the Board were to be pleased to grant me justice in the same manner as they had done to the Zemindars in Vizagapatam and other Districts, and excuse the balance Rupees 45,301—13—5 occurred during the time in which the Tallook remained under the Circar Management and also excuse the interest 20,000 Rupees accured upon the annual Paishcush from fusly 1249 up to fusly 1253 for which indulgence my case and the misfortune which the dearth and the cheapness of paddy for the 3 or 4 years has thrown me into loudly calls (this is not a more remarkable instance of Kindness than that shown by Government to a sisporows family of Ellore) my debt to the Circar will be diminished in a great measure to Rupees 25,000 and I shall be a drift

of

of distress and my honor and country shall be saved -

From the beginning our livelihood was depending the most upon the produce of the Mokâsah of Buttely Moottah &c. in the Tallook of Purlah Kimedy but since the death of my father the Government have deprived us of its enjoyment - After which the Zemindary in question had been only the source of our maintenance but thro' our misfortune I am now informed that the Acting Collector has recommend it to be sold in satisfaction of the Circar's balance. As I stated above I am a + spring of a very noble and illustrious + family of Gazapatty, and being by birth a Rajah not adapted for any low life, consequently Trust the humanity of the Board will be graciously pleased to take my distressed case into their most generous consideration and deign to grant me permission to liquidate the balance by the train of the yearly instalments at 10,000 Rupees per year, and order the Acting Collector to revoke his order for the disposal of it, for it is the property which which has been in our

profession

profession undisturbed from a considerable time, and save our family from visiting the paths of misery which is as far as humanity extends a very compassionable thing for one of our descendency to tread and besides it is a very striking misfortune to be deprived of the Tallook for the losses occurred in bad season just at the time when the season is promising an amendment for the past and enable me to liquidate the old balance as I promise which the crop in my out for which act of generosity I pray heaven for the encreasement of the prosperity of the charitable british flag. -

Ganjam District. ---
Chitterpoor, 22nd Sept. 1844. } [Signed] In. Maharattah

A.

Extract of Letter from the Honorable Court of Directors Dated 28th August 1804 -

16 Considering the lapse of time since the deposition of Guzzapatty Narrain Deo from the Zemindary of Kimedy we shall not enter into an Examination of the Causes which produced that event especially

especially as the disturbance excited in the District by his contumacy and rebellion appear from your subsequent advices to have completely subsided, and the Company's authority fully Established therein.-

17. We are informed by your letter of the 29th May 1802 of the death of Guzzapatty Narrain Deo and of the appointment of his son Poosapatam Deo to succeed his father and we very much approve of the succession being thus Established in the family of the late Zemindar, who do not appear to have participated in his rebellion.-

18. We approve of the annual Settlement of this District as advised in the 105th Paragraph concluded by Mr. Cherry with Doraraauze under whose management the Zemindary had been placed in the interval, between the expulsion of the late and the appointment of the present Zemindar, and to whose active and prudent measures Mr. Cherry in great degree attributed the restoration of the tranquility of the District, we therefore approve of your having agreed to present Doogarauze with an Elephant, in consideration of his meritorious conduct and of his obedience and attachment to the British Government.-

14 - 15

Resolution thereon.- The Board observe that orders on the subject of the Teekaly Estate were communicated to the Acting Collector under date 14th Instant.-

Read

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell, Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

16

Sir,

From the Acting Collector of Rajahmundry &c. Para 1. I have the honor to forward
No. 1 a letter to your address, transmitted to me for that purpose from Madras, by Mr. Prendergast the Collector of this District, and received here last night;

2. Mr. Prendergast took much pains to investigate the matter which forms the subject of his letter, and being anxious that his sentiments should be known to the Board, wrote and signed, after going to Madras, the letter which I have now the honor to transmit in the same state in which I received it, except the insertion in the Margin, in red ink of one or two dates, which Mr. Prendergast appears not to have had information with him to set down. I have also had the deposition mentioned in the letter transmitted, and enclose it, authenticated by myself.

No. 1694 of 1843. 3. The Original Petition forwarded with your letter of 15th January last is herewith returned.

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 5th October 1844.

/ Signed / G. F. Bird,
Acting Collector.

13421. Fort St. George 14th October 1814.

No. 1.

To E. C. Lovell, Esqre

Sir, Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

In reply to your letter of the 5th of January last requiring me to report on the claim of Sumpania Seetah widow of the late Seetaram Rauz to certain arrears of allowance alleged to be due to her from the Late of her husband's death, upto the commencement of her present Monthly stipend, I have the honor to state that my records shew that a sum of Rupees 1,365–7–8 was due to the Petitioner under the orders of Government dated 15th June 1827. and that Mr. Anstey (then Collector) issued an order to the Petitioner dated 10th July 1827. to send her receipts to the Huzzoor by some trust worthy person to whom he would cause that sum to be paid from his Treasury, Rupees 386–2–4 being the amount of her pension for 5 Months and 16 Days at Rupees 70 per Mensem and Rupees 979. 5–8. being the amount of her pension for 24 Months and 15 days at Rupees 40 per Mensem. This latter sum is what

13422. Fort St. George 14th October 1814.

she now claims another order was issued by Mr. Anstey on the 3d of August 1827. to the Cash Keeper intimating that the Petitioner's two receipts for the two sums abovementioned were inclosed therein, and desiring him to pay the sum of Rupees 1,365–7–8 to Sandyamlah Chundriah, the person deputed by Petitioner to receive it, debiting the sum of Rupees 979. 5–8. in the sundry deposite account, and Rupees 386–2–4 in the Cittah. As the accounts shew that these two sums were disbursed accordingly I called for the receipts, and was informed that the one for Rupees 386–2–4, had been sent in a sealed packet to the Civil Auditor, according to the standing orders, and that the other for Rupees 979–5–8. had been mislaid, and was not to be found on the records of my office. Being dissatisfied with this report, which seemed to verify the Petitioner's claim, I insisted on the production of the receipt, and after some weeks delay the Record Keepers forwarded it to me while on Circuit, reporting that they had found it among some stray papers

observing

Fort St. George 14th October 1814.

No. 1.

To E. C. Lovell, Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 5th of January last requiring me to report on the claim of Sumpana Seetiah widow of the late Seetaram Rauz to ascertain arrears of allowance alleged to be due to her from the Date of her husbands death, upto the commencement of her present Monthly stipend, I have the honor to state that my records shew that a sum of Rupees 1,365–7–8 was due to the Petitioner under the orders of Government dated 15th June 1827. and that Mr. Anstey then Collector issued an order to the Petitioner dated 10th July 1827. to send her receipts to the Huzzoor by some trust worthy person to whom he would cause that sum to be paid from his Treasury, Rupees 386–2–0 being the amount of her pension for 5 Months and 16 Days at Rupees 70 per Mensem and Rupees 979. 5–8. being the amount of her pension for 24 Months and 15 Days at Rupees 40 per Mensem. This latter

Fort St. George 14th October 1814.

she now claims another order was issued by Mr. Anstey on the 3d of August 1827. to the Cash Keeper intimating that the Petitioners two receipts for the two sums abovementioned were inclosed therein, and desiring him to pay the sum of Rupees 1,365–7–8 to Sandyaulah Chundriah, the person deputed by Petitioner to receive it, debiting the sum of Rupees 979. 5–8. in the sundry deposite account, and Rupees 386–2–0 in the Chittah. As the accounts shew that these two sums were disbursed accordingly I called for the receipts, and was informed that the one for Rupees 386–2–0 had been sent in a sealed packet to the Civil Auditor, according to the standing orders, and that the other for Rupees 979–5–8. had been mislaid, and was not to be found on the records of my office. Being dissatisfied with this report, which seemed to verify the Petitioners claim, I insisted on the production of the receipt, and after some weeks delay the Record Keepers forwarded it to me while on Circuit, reporting that they had found it among some stray papers observing

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

observing that neither Petitioner's signature nor that of her Vakeel had been attested in the customary way I suspected that the Receipt was a forgery, and therefore addressed the Magestrate of Vizagapatam requesting him to enquire from Petitioner, who resides in his District, whether she had signed the Document or not. In the deposition taken from her by the Tahsildar who was deputed for the purpose of questioning her on the subject she readily acknowledged that she had received the money and had signed the acknowledgement; she also examined the signature and recognized it, as her own; she was then summoned to answer personally regarding the Petition under consideration; she was prevented by illness from attending on the day specified in the summons but she wrote an Urzee promising to appear on a later date. This engagement she broke and it was not till she was threatened with a fine that she made her appearance on the

30th August 1844.

Instant

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Instant. I then deputed the Naib Sheristadar to take from her a deposition (a translation of which is enclosed) in which she again acknowledged having received the money, avowed that she had passed her receipt for the same, and denied having sent the Petition to the Board. This latter Statement is not to be believed, because the Petition is evidently signed by the same hand as the receipt, and it could not be for the interest of any other person to set up such a claim during Petitioner's life time. If any other person did fabricate the Petition he must have acted upon his knowledge of the fact that Petitioner had not received the Money, and that the receipt had been, whether purposely, or inadvertently, mislaid. But I cannot doubt that it was sent to the Board by the Petitioner herself, and that she was defrauded of the Money, though it may be true that she signed the Receipt - whether her Vakeel alone deceived her, or whether he did in conjunction with the Cutcherry servants, it is difficult, after the lapse of 17 years, to ascertain. The latter supposition however

13423. Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

observing that neither Petitioner's signature nor that of her Vakeel had been attested in the customary way I suspected that the Receipt was a forgery, and therefore addressed the Magestrate of Vizagapatam requesting him to enquire from Petitioner, who resides in his District, whether she had signed the Document or not. In the deposition taken from her by the Tahsildar who was deputed for the purpose of questioning her on the subject she readily acknowledged that she had received the money and had signed the acknowledgement; she also examined the signature and recognized it as her own; she was then summoned to answer personally, regarding the Petition under consideration, she was prevented by illness from attending on the day specified in the summons but she wrote an Urzee promising to appear on a later date. This engagement she broke and it was not till she was threatened with a fine that she made her appearance on the

30th August 1844.

13424 Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Instant. I then deputed the Naib Sheristadar to take from her a deposition (a translation of which is enclosed) in which she again acknowledged having received the money, avowed that she had passed her receipt for the same, and denied having sent the Petition to the Board. This latter Statement is not to be believed, because the Petition is evidently signed by the same hand as the receipt, and it could not be for the interest of any other person to set up such a claim during Petitioner's life time. If any other person did fabricate the Petition he must have acted upon his knowledge of the fact that Petitioner had not received the Money, and that the receipt had been, whether purposely, or inadvertently, mislaid. But I cannot doubt that it was sent to the Board by the Petitioner herself, and that she was defrauded of the Money, though it may be true that she signed the Receipt - whether her Vakeel alone deceived her, or whether he did in conjunction with the Cutcherry Servants, it is difficult, after the lapse of 17 years, to ascertain. The latter supposition however

however is the most probable one, because she declares that she made many unsuccessful efforts to obtain redress from the Collector - If a combination among the servants of the Cutcherry did take place, it must have been a powerful one including the Head Sheristadar the Cash Keeper and the Head Munshee - with such a combination against her, Petitioner's Urzees might have been, and probably were, from time to time, suppressed for not one is to be found on the records - Being a woman of high rank she could not appear, personally to prosecute her claims - If the Vakeel before mentioned had been an honest man he would have succeeded in his attempts to bring the matter to the knowledge of the Collector - If he drew up Petitions for her on the subject and afterwards suppressed them without any communication with the Cutcherry servants, he must have lived in perpetual danger of Discovery and dismissal - By combining with them and dividing

dividing the spoils he dwelt in a state of comparative security - I am clearly of opinion that Petitioner was defrauded of her Money by her Vakeel in conjunction with the Cutcherry servants, that her complaints on the subject were suppressed - and that the transmission of the Board's orders directing me to institute an enquiry was the signal for the conspirators to satisfy the Petitioner's demands, and to persuade her to deny the Petition - It will be observed that in the very short deposition taken from her in her own Village she goes out of her way to mention the death of her Vakeel, and not his only, but that of his wife also, and of all his family - This information being uncalled for, supports the idea of the conspiracy, for if he still lives she is anxious to screen him and if he really died at the time she asserts, she might have obtained redress if there was no combination against her in the Cutcherry, by Petitioning the Collector

Collector through another Vakeel. I have made enquiries regarding the Vakeel Chendriah, but he is not to be found in his own Village, or in that where Petitioner resides.

2. I regret that I have brought this enquiry to so unsatisfactory a termination, but if the supposition I have advanced regarding the conspiracy to defraud the Petitioner be true, it would be surprising if I had not been foiled in my attempt to bring the matter to light. When the injured party consents to receive payment in whole, or in part, and to deny in a solemn manner the formal Petition presented to the Board the guilty party must escape with impunity.

3. Sumpana Seetiah Lisowns the Petition presented in her name to the Board and declares that there is no money due to her. that she duly received the sum of Rupees 979-7-8. and that she gave her receipt for it, on the ——— of July 1827. The Petition

No.

No. 1694 of 1843, should therefore be dismissed.

Madras 1844

(Signed) T. Prendergast,
Collector in the Zillah of Rajahmundry.

In No. 1.

Deposition given by Sumpanah Rajah Pensioner, widow of Seetaramraze, of Rajah Caste, and Vistnawa Sect aged 50 Years, inhabitant of Gerravaram, at present living in Sagarumpoody of Vizagapatam District

2. Did you address a Petition to the Board of Revenue, on 24th September 1843, stating that you have not received, notwithstanding your several applications the amount of Rupees 979-5-8 being the increased salary of your husband.

A. I desired my Vakeel in the last year, to write an Urzee to the Board of Revenue, for the amount due on account of Nattie fund instead of which he might have written the Urzee for the amount of the increased salary in question. He is a Nattiee of the westward. I do not know his name he served me one year.

Do.

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

2. Do you not know the name of the person who served you one year?

A. I recollect his name to be Rauchacondah Somayajoolo, inhabitant of Talekecherlah in the western Country in the Zemindary of Woopalapatewar in this District.

2. Look at the Cutcherry said to have been addressed by you to the Board of Revenue, on the 24th September 1843, and say whether the signature thereon is yours?

A. I have looked at it the signature "Rajah Gauros" was subscribed by me.

2. Hear the contents of this Petition and say whether you caused it to be written?

A. I have heard it, and the contents are what I caused to be written.

2. Did you receive the sum of Rs. as P. 979—5—8 stated in this Petition as due to you?

A. I received the amount.

2. From whom did you receive the amount?

A. My Goomastah named Immdie-yalah Chendriah brought the amount accompanied by another Goomastah Bulla Auchootaramoodoo, the former was

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

was an inhabitant of Yerravaram and the latter of Injeram. The 1st died 7 years ago, and the 2d five or Six years ago, when the amount was paid to me by the said Goomastah two of my servants named Woondoor Venkapah and Passoo-poolaty Suggapah of Yerravaram were also present.

2. How much money was paid to you at that time?

A. I recollect it was Nine hundred and forty Rupees and not more than that. I sent receipt by the same Goomastah for the amount, it was only one receipt. I subscribed my signature on it. it was also attested by some witnesses but I do not recollect their names. It was drafted at my house at Yerravaram, but I do not remember by whom.

2. Look at the Receipt dated the 17th July 1827 and say whether the signature thereon is yours?

A. I have looked at the receipt the signature is mine. The signature on the Petition I addressed to the Board, and that on this receipt were both subscribed by me, but the latter appears smaller and better as I wrote it more carefully.

Look

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

2. Look at the receipt and mention the amount therein stated and the names of the attesting witnesses.2

A. I have only learned to make my signature and cannot decipher the amount of money here entered nor the names of the witnesses as I had not been well educated, I cannot even write any body's name beside my own.

2. Did you give any Deposition before the Ameen of Cholaveram named Chavoory Seetaramiah.2

A. Four & Five Months ago the said Ameen came to Sogarumpoody and took from me a Deposition which I signed.

2. Did you receive the sum of Rupees 979-5-8- according to the receipt given by you on the 27th July 1827 or only 940 Rupees as above declared.2

A. I received Rupees 979 and odd but I stated above 940 Rupees for want of recollection, as it took place long ago.

2. If you really received the sum of Rupees 979-5-8- according to the receipt why did you deny it in your Petition to the Board, dated the 24th September 1843.

As

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

A. As I had no recollection of the receipt of the said Rupees 979 and odd, I addressed the Petition to the Board to the effect that the Collector had not paid the amount and begged that they would order the payment of the same but upon proper reflection I since find that I have received the amount.

2. In your Deposition before the Ameen of Cholaveram, how much money did you acknowledge to have received.2

A. I recollect I said Rupees 900 and odd but not more than that sum.

2. You state that for want of recollection you addressed the Urzee to the Board of Revenue saying that you had not received the Rupees 979 and odd, what made you fix upon that precise amount2 with whom did you consult.2 did you address the Urzee on reference to any account to which you could not find a receipt for that sum2 or on being informed it by any of your people.

A. I was not informed by any one but not finding the receipt of this sum in my account I addressed the same Urzee.

Did

2 Did you keep any Summah Kurch accounts for the allowances you received and where are they?

A. I have no Summah Kurch accounts nor did I keep account.

2. If you have not such accounts what makes you speak about not finding the receipts of the amount in your Account?

A. When I received the amount I caused to be written the receipt of it on one Quarter sheet of paper, as I did not find that paper I addressed Petition to the Board, and then I found that paper. I do not recollect who wrote that paper. I only recollect that it was written by the Goomastah who brought the Money it is now in a Box in my bed room at Tagarampoody- I shall get it if time be allowed me for it.

2. If you received the amount, what have you done with it?

A. After the receipt of the amount I married my Daughter and expended it under that Ceremony.

2. Did you receive any other Money when you had the said Rupees 979 and odd?

A. I received no other money on that Day, nor did I send any receipt for it.

2. Did you receive money on any account even a few Days after the receipt of the amount in question?

A. I have received no money on any other account besides the Monthly Pension, nor have I passed any separate receipt excepting for the amount of Pension, which I used to receive every Month.

2. Is the person who accompanied your Goomastah with the Money named Ballah Streeramloo or Auchootaramoodoo?

A. He is called Ballah Auchootaramoodoo but not "Streeramoodoo"

2. You stated above that you intended to write an Arzee for the amount of Native Fund; what is the nature of it?

A. I do not know its nature.

2. Did you hear this Deposition read; and do you find correct as you delivered it?

A. I have heard it and find it correct. This

13435 Fort St. George 14th October 1844

This Deposition was taken before Deganilly Sinnarauze Raib Sheristadar in the hand writing of Iyenky Venkatachellum Assistant Magistrate Savabneiss, while the curnums of Rajahmundry were present in the house of Catepilly Veerasah where the Deponent Rajah has put up.

Dated at Rajahmundry 31st August 1844.

/Signed/ Rajah

/Signed/ Vandravoo Croostniah and Sutteavde Lutchmipaty Curnums of Rajahmundry.

/Signed/ T. Prendergast, Collector.

/True Translation/

/Signed/ C. I. Bird, Acting Collector.

16. 17

Order thereon. Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1694 of 1843.

Petitioner denying that she ever sent this Petition to the Board, or that she has any claim to arrears of allowance, the Petition is dismissed.

Read

13436 Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Read again letter from the Collector of Masulipatam dated 19th April and entered in Consultation 2d May last.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

18

To the Collector of Masulipatam

To the Collector of Masulipatam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 19th April last; reporting on the condition of the Vasuntawadah Mootah in your District, and under the circumstances therein detailed to convey to you their sanction for the sale of that Estate by public auction for arrears of revenue on the 16th December next unless the full

In Cons. 2d May.

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

full amount of balance outstanding against it, principal and interest, be liquidated, on or before that Date. I am further to request that you will forward a fresh advertizement in place of that which accompanied your letter with the necessary alteration as to the date of sale, and amount of arrears, that it may be fixed up for public information in this Office under S. XI R. XXVI 1802, you are also authorized by the Board to bid on behalf of Government for the Moottah to the full extent of the arrear of Peishcush, principal and interest, which may be due upon it up to the day of sale.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 14th October 1844

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Read the following letters

To
E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(19)

From the Nr. Clk. of Huntoor } Dmal

Submits for the Boards consideration and reference a Statement of the number and progress of Suits in the Courts in which Zemindars are parties as also a Statement of the sums already expended and probable amount required for their completion that as the Estates are under Govt. management it is of importance to determine whether the Courts should be defended by the Collector or that the Courts should be moved to strike them off the file, or parties to those Suits may be settled together with the Peishcush from the balances of further collection that the Courts have been moved to delay proceedings on those Suits to let the Board have time to determine what Course is most expedient to adopt and that the Zemindary Clerk of Huntoor be directed to receive orders and instructions

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board a Statement shewing the nature and progress of Suits in Courts in which Zemindars are parties as also a Statement of the sums already expended and probable amount required for their completion.—

2 Under existing circumstances the Estates being all surrendered to Government and a detailed survey on them being about to be commenced it is of importance at once to determine whether it is advisable they should be defended by the Collector or that the Courts should be moved that they should be struck off the file.—

No.

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

full amount of balance outstanding against it, principal and interest, be liquidated, on or before that Date. I am further to request that you will forward a fresh advertizement in place of that which accompanied your letter with the necessary alteration as to the Date of sale, and amount of arrears, that it may be fixed up for public information in this Office under S. XI R. XXVI 1802, you are also authorized by the Board to bid on behalf of Government for the Mootah to the full extent of the arrear of Peishcush, principal and interest, which may be due upon it up to the day of sale.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 14th October 1844

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary
Rd

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Read the following letter

To
E. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(19)

From the Asst Collr of Guntoor } Dated

Submits for the Board's consideration and orders a statement of the names and progress of Suits in the Courts in which Zemindars and parties as also a Statement of the sums already expended and probable amount required for their completion that as the Estates are under Govt management it is of importance to determine whether the Suits should be defended by the Collr or that the Courts should be moved to stay them off the file pursuant to how Suits 1845 may be settled together with No 2 and 3 & 5 hereof the Expences of further litigation that the Courts have been moved to delay proceedings on these Suits till the Board have time to determine what Course is most expedient to adopt and that the Collr of Guntoor pays to receive early instructions

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board a Statement shewing the nature and progress of Suits in Court in which Zemindars and parties as also a Statement of the sums already expended and probable amount required for their completion.—

2 Under existing circumstances the Estates being all surrendered to Government and a detailed survey on them being about to be commenced it is of importance at once to determine whether it is advisable they should be defended by the Collector or that the Courts should be moved that they should be struck off the file.—

No

Fort St. George 4th October 1844.—

instructions particularly with reference to No. 8.

3. No. 1-2-5 being boundary disputes might be accurately and satisfactorily settled by the Revenue authorities in progress of the survey by means of Village or District Punchayets.—

4. In No. 3 and 4 there appears nothing to be done.—

5. No. 6 should be defended by the Collector on the part of the Zemindary.—

6. No. 7 I am not yet prepared to give an opinion whether it is advisable to prefer an appeal.—

7. No. 8. The long pending dispute between the Zemindar of Nuzeed in Masulipatam and the Vasareddy Estate having been once decided exparte, and ordered by the Sudder Court on the motion of Ramnad Vahoo to be reinvestigated by the Masulipatam Civil Court. Perhaps the sum applied for to defray the

Fort St. George 4th October 1844.—

the costs should be allowed altho' I think the Collectors of the two Districts might be able to effect a settlement of the dispute on the spot and save the expences of further litigation.—

8. The several Courts have been moved to stay proceedings in the above suits till the Board have time to determine what course it is most expedient to adopt and I hope to receive their early instructions particularly with reference to No. 8.—

Guntoor
Collrs Cutcherry
2nd October 1844.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Signed W. E. Lockhart
Actng Collector.—

Memorandum

13441

Fort St. George

Memorandum of Suits filed by the Zemindars in the Guntoor

14th October 1844.—

No.—
District now pending 30th September 1844.—

Number of the Suits	Nature of plaint	Names of the Suitors	Amount claimed	Present Stage of the Suit	Remarks.—
1840 No 18	1 Boundary dispute between the Villages Cherkoor of Chilkalooripaud Talook and the Village Moortoor-dunpaud in the Vassareddy Zemindary pending in the Guntoor Principal Sudr Ameens Court—	Plaintiff. Late Rajah Moonoor Veneamma Row Dr. Venecata Samooma-gemmah Zemindaress of Chilkalooripaud and Villagers of Cherkoor 18 in number.— Ver Defendants Rajah Vassareddy Ramanadha Bauboo and Villagers of Moorcondapaud 18 in Number.—	For the recovery of 10 Cochellas of Malgoozary land annually yielding 150 Rs together with 150 Rupees of past years Value of produce on which the Defendants caused a bank to be made.—	The pleadings in the Suit have been filed Witnesses require to be examined.	All the Law papers in this Suit were duly filed before the Estate was put under the Collectors Management and Summonses were issued to the Witnesses required to be examined. The Civil Judge held proceedings on the 15th November 1843 to the effect that as the Collector had the Estates of either party under Management it would be necessary for him to pay out of the respective Estates the sums required for both parties to complete the Suit and in compliance with which the Collector allowed the Zemindaress the sums to enable them to go on with the Suit.— However after the death of the late Venkana Row one of the proprietors of the Chilkalooripaud Estates, his Wife having adopted a boy a Minor and he having been at first recognized as legal heir by the authorities for some Months, though subsequently the Government repealed his title to the Estate the pleader of the Adalat (Who it seems are not aware of the repeal) expressed an opinion on their proceedings of the 25 April 1844 that the Manager of the Estate should be recognized a party to conduct the Suit on behalf of the Minor but that the Collector should not be included therein. The Principal Sudr Ameens Court in Compliance with these proceedings postponed its own. The circumstance of the Minors title having been done away with by Government it would now rest with the parties to present a motion to the Court in order that the same may be communicated to the Sudr Adalat and obtain instructions from the Court.— A motion was presented through the Government Vakeel as to the course to be adopted in this Suit upon which the principal Sudr Ameen held proceedings in the 24 January 1845 that the Collector should furnish adequate funds from the Estates of either party to prosecute the Suit. In compliance with which steps were taken. The Principal Sudr Ameen moreover held proceedings on the 31 April 1845 that owing to the proceedings in the Suit No 18 above being stopped nothing further should be done in the Suit No 74 until a decision should be given in the former; this therefore remains yet undecided.—
No 74 of 1840	2 Do. between the Villages Oppatoor in Suttanapully Jurisdiction and Moorcondapaud under the Vassareddy Estate.—	Plaintiff Rajah Moonoor Vencata Sooradeow Zemindar of Suttanapully and others 15 in number of Oppatoor— Defendants. Rajah Vassareddy Ramanadha Bauboo and others of Moorcondapaud 15 in number.—	To recover 6 Cochellas of Malgoozary Mangaunj land of the annual value of 696 Rupees and for last year's produce 696 Rupees Sheaf as also to recover 10 Cochellas of Malgoozary Burdu (pasture) land of the annual Value of 296 Rupees	Ditto—	

13441.

Fort St. George

Memorandum of Suits filed by the Zemindars in the Guntoor

Number of the Suits	Nature of Plaint	Names of the Suitors	Amount claimed	Present Stage of the Suit	Remarks.
1840 No 18	1 Boundary dispute between the Villages Cherkoor of Chilkaloopand Talook and the Village Moorkoomdumpand in the Vassareddy Zemindary pending in the Guntoor Principal Sudr Ameens Court	Plaintiff Lake Rajah Moonoo Venemma Row Dr Venecata Nanamma zemmah Zemindars of Chilkaloopand and Villagers of Cherkoor 15 in number.— Ver Defendants Rajah Vassareddy Ramanadha Row and Villagers of Moorcondapand 15 in Number.—	For the recovery of 10 Cocheilaes of Malgoozary land annually yielding 150 Rs together with 150 Rupees of past years Value of produce on which the defendants caused a bank to be made.—	The pleadings in the Suit have been filed Witnesses require to be examined.	All the law papers in this Suit were duly filed before the Estate was put under the Collectors Management and summonses were issued to the Witnesses required to be examined. The Civil Judge held proceedings on the 18th November 1843 to the effect that as the Collector had the Estates of either party under Management it would be necessary for him to pay out of the respective Estates the sums required for both parties to complete the Suit and in compliance with which the Collector allowed the Zemindars the sums to enable them to go on with the Suit. However after the death of the late Venkana Row one of the proprietors of the Chilkaloorpaud Estates, his Wife having adopted a boy a Minor and he having been at first recognized as legal heir by the authorities for some Months, though subsequently the Government repealed his title to the Minors title having been done away with by Government it would now rest with the parties to present a Petition to the Court in order that the same may be communicated to the Sudr Adalut and obtain instructions from the Court.— A Petition was presented through the Government Vakeel as to the course to be adopted in this Suit upon which the principal Sudr Ameen held proceedings on the 21st January 1844 that the Collector should furnish adequate funds from the Estates of either party to prosecute the Suit. In compliance with which steps were taken. The Principal Sudr Ameen moreover held proceedings on the 31st April 1844 that owing to the proceedings in the Suit No 18 above being stopped nothing further should be done in the Suit No 74 until a decision should be given in the former thus therefore remains yet undecided.—
No 74 of 1840	2 Do between the Villages Oppatoor in Suttanapully Jurisdiction and Moorcondapaud under the Vassereddy Estate.—	Plaintiff Rajah Moonoo Venecata Appalnarow Zemindar of Suttanapully and others 15 in number of Oppatoor— Defendants Rajah Vassareddy Ramanadha Bandoo and others of Moorcondapaud 15 in Number—	To recover 6 Cocheilaes of Malgoozary Mangaing land of the annual Value of 540 Rupees and for last year's produce 540 Rupees Sheep we also to recover 6 Cocheilaes of Malgoozary Beedes (pasture) land of the annual Value of 240 Rupees	Do.	

14th October 1844.—

No 1.—

District now pending 30th September 1844.—

13442

Fort St. George

14th October 1844

Number of the Suits	Nature of plaint	Names of the Suitors	Amount claimed	Present stage of the suit	Remarks
No 22 of 1840	An illegal exaction contrary to Maamool on Sarvarum lands under the heads Access Bedaga &c.	Plaintiff Bundaru Cundul Row an inhabitant of Chilkaloorpeandy. Vs. Defendants Late Rajah Mannoor Venkama Row and Venkata Manorma yemmah of Chilkaloorpeandy.	To recover 62 Rupees the amount illegally exacted from certain Enam Lands as Access Bedaga &c.	The pleadings have been filed in the suit together with documents and the decision has also been passed.	This suit was filed at the time the Zemindar Managed his Estate Yet as it has subsequently come under the control of the Collector who defended the suit and a decree was passed both in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court and in the Civil Judges Court in favor of the Collector Nothing further therefore appears necessary on his part.
Appeal No 4 of 1838 Original No 31 of 1838.	Laying claim to 2 Villages Comadepundy and Bonda-hand in Bellumcondah Purgunah as Sirri which is held as Agraharum in the Special Commissioners Court at Masulipatam.	Appellant Rajah Mukunze Lutchmiah. Vs. Respondents 1 Bellumcondah Court 2 Venkatacary. &c 2 Ds.	To recover 4031 Rupees 5 annas 6 piece on account of Sheist and 2 Villages.	This suit was decided by the late Provincial Court and appeal against by Rajah Mukunze Lutchmiah to the Sudr Court who authorized a review of Judgment in the special it has been decreed in favor of Respondents.	The Zemindar has applied for the amount of Costs in the Special Commissioners Court and also funds to defray the expenses of this suit an Enyetnamah has been sent to Rajah Lutchmiahgar informing her that as the suit had been decreed against her both in the Provincial Court and subsequently in the Special Commissioners Court according to the orders of the Sudr Adalat there does not appear any legal ground for a further appeal.
Appeal No 13 of 1843.	Boundary dispute between appellant in Ryapully Talook and Murrapully in Puttanapully Talook in the Civil Court of Guntoor.	Appellant Rajah Manneva Row Sungunnarow Ryapully Zemindar Respondents. Rajah Mannoor Venkata Lopan Row Puttanapully Zemindary and Others.	To recover 8 Cocheles and 4 Pucums of Rolling land of the annual income of 80 Rupees 80 Cochela as also value of grass 10 Rupees of Cochela of Sweet land annually yielding 40 Rupees together with the value of Samida grass 80 Rupees passed on that land as also 8 Cochels of Mahogany Timber yielding annually 64 Rupees as income its produce in 8 Cochelas of Rupees 7 annas 6 piece as also 1 Cochela of Mangany land of the annual income of 40 Rupees.	The late Auxiliary Court of Guntoor decreed in favor of the Plaintiff in 1838 and as the Defendant Rajah Sungunnarow appealed to the Zillah Court the Civil Judge of Guntoor confirmed the said decree. The Zemindar applied to make a special appeal in this suit to the Court of Sudr Adalut.	Applications have been made for funds to defray the expences of the suit in an appeal to the Sudr Court. But the Zemindar of Ryapully and one of the defendants having expressed their wish to have the suit decided by the Revenue authorities the Collector may upon personal inspection settle the same in the Revenue Department.

13443

Fort St. George

14th October 1844.—

Number of the Suits	Nature of plaint	Names of the Suitors	amount claimed	Present Stage of the Suit	Remarks.
No 25 of 1844.	6. To recover as Maniem a Cochela of land in the Village of Soopully of Royally Talook. In the Principal Suds ameens Court of Tanjore	Plaintiff Moondayatee Venkatachy Defendants Rajah Manekarow Jemindar & others.	To recover 1 Cochela of Lankeranjy land of the annual produce of 8 Rupees 8 Annas as also 212. 9. 4 as past years produce	Only on the complaint has been received.	Venkatachy formerly presented an argee to the Collector in this case and an examination was partly held thereon. On perusing these papers no sufficient ground appeared to have been adduced to consider the site as Maniem land, there is every prospect of the suit being still carried on by Plaintiff in the courts.—
No 70 of 1844	7. Laying claim to certain Sheriy land usurped in Moondrutoo under the Vassereddy Estate.—	Plaintiff Rajah Vasseredy Ramanatha Bauboo.— Defendants Meeresha Sanaraliay Saheb.—	To recover 53 Coontahs 18¾ Veysums of land of the annual Assist ₹ 680-15-2 as also 687-0-0 as past years produced.—	The Principal Suds ameen decreed in favor of the Plaintiff for only 6 Coontahs and 1 Veysum of land on the 22nd February 1844.—	The decision passed by the Principal Sudr ameen decreeing to Plaintiff only 6 Coontahs out of the quantity sued for and does not give satisfaction to the Plaintiff, and an appeal is urged against this decision.—
No 32 of 1844.	8. Boundary dispute of Pattee Limbah in the Vasseredyzemin Levy.— In the Civil Court of Masulipatam.—	Rajah Vasseredy Ramanatha Bauboo Zemindar.— Vers. Rajah Bomadewarah Nayanah Naidoo Zemindar of Vellore.—	A Suit regarding the boundary between the Vasseredy Zemindary and Vellore Zemindary in the Masulipatam District.—	Returned with proceedings by the Court of Sudr Adalut to the Masulipatam Zillah Court for a review of Judgment.—	Application has been made for 325— 8— 0 to defray the costs of an answer &c. to be filed in this Suit.—

Guntoor Collectors Cutcherry
2nd October 1844.—

/ Signed / W. E. Lockhart
Acting Collector

Statement

13443

Fort St. George

14th October 1844.—

Number of the Suits	Nature of plaints	Names of the Suitors	amount claimed	Present stage of the Suits.—	Remarks.—
No 25 of 1844	6. To recover as maniem a Cochela of land in the Village [illegible] Pully Talook— To the Principal Suds Ameen's Court of Suntoor	Plaintiff Moondeaytee Venkatadry Defendants Rajah Mamekarow Lin= =gumarow & others.—	To recover 1 Cochela of Lankeranj Land of the annual produce of 8 Rupees 8 Annas as also 212-9-4 as past years produced	Only on the Complaints have been received.—	Venkatadry formerly presented an arzee to the Collector in this case and an examination was partly held thereon— On perusing these papers no sufficient ground appears to have been adduced to consider the site as maniem land, there is very prospect of the suit being still carried on by Plaintiff in the Courts—
No 70 of 1844	7. Laying claim to certain Sheary Land assarped in Moondradoo under the Vassereddy Estate— Do:	Plaintiff Rajah Vas= =sereddy Ramanatha Bauboo.— Defendants Meesha Janasalley Saheb—	To recover 53 Coontahs 18 ½ Veesums of Land of the annual assist ₹ 680-15-2 as also 68 ½ a= as past years produced.—	The Principal Suds Ameen decreed in favor of the Plaintiff for only 6 Coontahs and 1 Veesum of Land on the 22 February 1844.—	The decision passed by the Principal Suds Ameen decreeing to Plaintiff only 6 Coontahs out of the quantity sued for and does not give satisfaction to the Plaintiff, and an appeal is urged against this decision.—
No 92 of 1844.	8. Boundary dispute of Peter Lenkah in the Vasseredy Zemin= =dary— In the Civil Court of Masulipatam—	Rajah Vassereddy Ra= =manatha Bauboo Zemindar.— Vice Rajah Bomadewarah Kangamah Naidoo Ze= =mindar of Vellore—	A suit regarding the boundary between the Vassereddy Zemindary and Vellore Zemindary in the Masulipatam District.—	Returned with proceedings by the Court of Sudr Adalut to the Masulipatam Zillah Court for a review of Judgment—	Application has been made for 335-8-0 to defray the costs of an answer Vo. to be filed in this suit.—

Suntoor Collector's Cutcherry
2nd October 1844.—

/Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
Acting Collector

Statement

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Statements of Sums already expended for Suits in the Courts in which the Zemindars are parties and the probable Amounts required for their Completion.

	Sums already Expended	Probable Amount required
No 1.		
Amount expended by the Zemindars of Chilkalaporpand the Plaintiffs in the Suit No 38 of 1840	36 8 4	
Do. Do. by Vassereddy Ramanadah Bauboo the Defendant	2 8 "	
Amount required by the Plaintiffs for its completion	45 5 8	
Do. Do. by the Defendant	97 8 "	
		142 13 8
No 2.		
Amount expended by the Sultanapully Zemindar Plaintiff in the Suit No 74 of 1841	3 10 "	
Do. Do. by Vassereddy Ramanadha bauboo Defendant	11 8 "	
	15 2 "	
Amount required by the Plaintiff for its Completion	190 " "	
Do. Do. by the Defendant	139 " "	
		329 " "
No 3.		
Amount expended in the Suit No 120 of 1838 by the Collector who defended it on behalf of the Defendants the Zemindars of Chilkalaporpand	58 3 6	
	112 8 10	471 13 8

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

	Sums already Expended	Probable amount required
Brought forward	112 8 10	471 13 8
No 4.		
Amount applied by the Mahrajah Lutchmiah Zemindar of Innacondah and Bellum condah for her Expenses in the Special Commissioned Court as Appellant in No 18 of 1840 or original No 43 of 1838 of the Provincial Court in Rupees 300 but the actual account of expense has not been received though the decree of that Court has already been passed	" " "	300 " "
No 5.		
Amount Expended by the Zemindar of Rapully as Appellant in Suit No 313 of 1843 in the Civil Court of Guntoor	11 10 "	
Expense in obtaining Copy of the decree in the Case	" 12 "	
Amount Expended by the Zemindar of Sattanapully as Respondent in this Suit	30 10 "	
	43 " "	
Amount applied for by the above Appellant to make an appeal to the Sudr Adalat	" " "	93 12 "
No 6		
Probable amount required by the Collector to defend the Suit No 25 of 1844 in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court	" " "	111 " "
Expense incurred in moving the Court to give time to defend it	1 " "	
	156 5 10	976 9 8

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.—

Statements of Sums already expended for Suits in the Courts in which the Zemindars are parties and the probable Amounts required for their Completion.—

	Sums already Expended	Probable Amounts required
No 1.		
Amount Expended by the Zemindars of Chulkalroopand the Plaintiffs in the Suit No 58 of 1840	36 8 4	
Do Do by Vassereddy Ramanadah Bantoo the Defendant	2 8 "	
	39 4 "	
Amount required by the Plaintiffs for its completion	45 5 8	
Do Do by the Defendant	97 8 "	
		142 13 8
No 2.		
Amount Expended by the Sultana-pally Zemindar Plaintiff in the Suit No 74 of 1840	3 10 "	
Do Do by Vassereddy Ramanadha bantoo Defendant	11 8 "	
	15 2 "	
Amount required by the Plaintiff for its Completion	190 " "	
Do Do by the Defendant	139 " "	
		329 " "
No 3.		
Amount expended in the Suit No 120 of 1838 by the Collector, who defended it on behalf of the Defendants the Zemindars of Chulkaloorpand	5 8 3 6	
	112 5 10	471 13 8

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.—

	Sums already Expended	Probable amount required
Brought forward	112 5 10	471 13 8
No 4.		
Amount applied by the Mahrazu Sutchuriah Zemindar of Imaamdah and Bellum condah for her Expences in the Special Commissioners Court as appellant in No 18 of 1840 or original Court as appellant in No 43 of 1838 of the Provincial Court in Rupees 300 but the actual account of expenses has not been received though the decree of that Court has already been passed.	" " "	300 " "
No 5.		
Amount Expended by the Zemindar of Rapully as appellant in Suit of 1843 in the Civil Court of Guntoor	11 10 "	
Expense in obtaining Copy of the decree in the case	12 "	
Amount Expended by the Zemindar of Sultanapully as Respondent in this Suit	30 10 "	
	43 " "	
Amount applied for by the above appellant to make an appeal to the Sudr Adalat	" " "	93 12 "
No 6		
Probable amount required by the Collector to defend the Suit No 25 of 1844 in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court	" " "	111 " "
Expenses incurred in moving the Court to give time to defend it.	1 " "	
	156 5 10	976 9 8

13446 Fort St. George 4th October 1844.

	Sums already Expended	Probable amount required
Brought forward	156 5 10	976 9 8
No. 7. Amount Expended by the Collector in conducting the Suit No. 70 of 1844 in the Principal Sudr Ameens Court of Guntoor on behalf of Vassereddy Ramanada Baubo Plaintiff	43 4 "	
Probable amount that may be required in the event of an appeal being determined to be made from the decision of the Principal Sudr Ameen	" " "	90 " "
No. 8. Amount applied for by Vasseredy Ramanada Baubo to conduct the Suit No. 22 of 1844 in the Civil Court of Masulipatam being a dispute for an Island in the Kristna River.	" " "	325 8 "
Total	199 9 10	1392 1 8

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry
2nd October 1844

(Signed) H. E. Lockhart
Acting Collector

E.B.

13447 Fort St. George 4th October 1844.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

20

From the Asst. Collr of Guntoor. — Forwards with reference to Letter of the 2nd instant a translation of a Petition addressed by Rajah Vasseredy Ramanauda Baubo and of an address from the Govt Vakeel of the Civil Court of Masulipatam regarding the Protracted suit No. 22 of 1844 (No. 8 in Statement) That the Collr has directed the Vakeel to move the Court for a further time of one month which appears doubtfull if it will be allowed & that therefore it is requested that the Board's instructions be speedily furnished.

1. Referring to my letter of the 2nd Instant regarding suits in Court in which Zemindaries of this District are parties I have the honor to submit translation of Petition addressed by Ramanatha Baubo respecting the request that the sum of Rupees 325½ — — should be allowed to be deposited in Court to defend the Suit, as also an address from the Government Vakeel of the Masulipatam Civil Court, shewing that the time given, expires on the 12th Instant. —

2. I have again moved the Court to allow another Month, but it appears doubtful from the Government Vakeel's address, if it will be allowed, however, I hope you will be able to furnish me with the Board's instructions as soon

Fort St. George 11th October 1814

soon as possible.—

Guntoor—
Coll'rs Cutcherry
8th October 1814

(Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Acting Collector.

No. 1.

To H. Newill Esqre
Agt. Govr. & First Collector
Guntoor.

Arzee addressed by Rajah Vaseredy Ramanauta Baubo, Zemin-
-dar,

I beg to state, with reference to the case under Review of Judg-
-ment in the Masulipatam Civil Court, respecting the dispute raised by Zemin-
-dar Bomadavarah Naugunnah Naidoo, of Wolloor subdivision, about Protoor-
-lunkah situated in the limits of my Zemindary, that on the 19th August last, I addressed an Arzee to your honor, and forwarded therewith a list of the eventual Costs to be in-
-curred in the suit, as Estimated by my Vakeel Gandecherlah seetiah, whose signature it also bears, and I requested that in compliance there-
-with 325½ Rupees for pleaders Fees

Fort St. George 11th October 1814

fees and other costs should be fur-
-nished out of the cash on account of my Estate, But no Order has yet been given by you respecting the disburse-
-ment of that sum. September 11th is the day fixed, and if the fee is not deposited by, or on that day toge-
-ther with the balance, or should the pleader fail to attend, the case will be set down for trial Exparte, the suit being about landed pro-
-perty, I do not see why I should not defend the suit to the fullest extent, and thereby prevent quarrels, which may hereafter arise about the spot to which I have a right; similar disputes having been raised by the people of Cheerroor of the Chilka-
-loor pand Tantooka, and by Vooja-
-patoorwar of the Suttenapully Talak, for the village Moorecondoo pand in my jurisdiction Mr. H. Stokes, was pleased to grant the Costs required, and this will be ap-
-parent from the Records of your Office

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Office, to which I solicit your attention, I beg that as soon as your honor has perused this arzee you will be pleased to issue an order to the Cash Keeper of the Huzzoor to pay the said sum of 325 1/2 Rupees from the amount collected from my Estate, and moreover that you will have the goodness to write a Rookum to the Government Vakeel of that Court, so that he may be enabled to move the Court for further time beyond the 14th instant, the day after tomorrow, for there appears no prospect of the sum applied for by me, being paid in time, If this course is pursued, an Exparte decision may not be given in the case, Consequently I implore that you will be pleased to think it expedient to issue an Rookum as set forth above. Please to peruse this.-

9th September 1844 Corresponding with 12th Rabalawal of the Year Nidja

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Nidja Sravanum, year Crotee.-

(Signed) Rajah Ramanauta Bauboo
Zemindar

Presented 11th September 1844

(True Translation)
(Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Sr. Coll.
No. 2

To, H. Newill Esq.
Sr. Head Assistant Collector
Guntoor.

Arzee addressed by Gandeecherlah Seetah Government Vakeel in the Civil Court at Masulipatam.-

I addressed an arzee to your honor on the 21st Ultimo, representing the Circumstance of my having duly received your Order dated the 12th of the same month, for the purpose of moving the Court for further time to file Pleader's fees &c. on behalf of the Defendant Rajah Vasseredy Ramanauta Bauboo, in the Suit No. 22 of 1844, pending in the Civil Court of Masulipatam, regarding Potoorlunka situated in Rajah Vasseredy's Estate, and of my having presented a Motion accordingly, on behalf of the Defendant, and obtained from the Court one Months time

Fort St. George 11th October 1844

time from the 13th September until the 12th October, and you were thereby made acquainted with what had been done in, and to what stage the suit had advanced, The 12th October is close at hand, but, Rajah Vaspereddy Ramanauta Baubo has not yet furnished me with funds to make the usual deposit on account of pleaders' fees &c.

If the money is not forthcoming by the 12th Current, there is no possibility of obtaining further time and the case will undoubtedly be marked off for trial "Exparte" Therefore I write these particulars for your information, and hope to be speedily furnished with such Orders, you may think necessary, as to the mode in which I am still further to proceed.—

5th October 1844.

/ Signed / Gandeecherlah Seetiah

Government Vakeel

/ True Translation /

/ Signed / W. C. Lockhart

Ag. Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 11th October 1844

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.—

To the Acting Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letters of the 2d & 8th instant with their respective enclosures, on the subject of the Civil suits, in which the Exproprietors of the Estates in your District are parties.—

2. The Board agree with you that the boundary disputes which form the subjects of the Suits Nos. 1-2 & 5 in the list which you have submitted, might be most satisfactorily settled by the Revenue authorities in the course of the contemplated survey, & with the assistance, if necessary, of Village or District Punchayet. If the several parties can be induced to acquiesce in this mode of adjustment, application should be at once made to the Judicial Functionaries before whom

To the Ag. Collr. of Guntoor.

1.

Communicating instructions on the subject of the Civil Suits in which the Exproprietors of the Estates in his district are parties.

19:21

whose these suits are pending, to strike them off the file - a motion to this effect should indeed, the Board think, be made on general grounds connected with the present posi-tion of the Zemindars, even should the proposed arbitration not be agreed to, altho it may be doubted whether the Courts will feel themselves at liberty to dismiss the suits referred to without the direct assent of the parties in-terested in them - Under any cir-cumstances however it does not appear to the Board that the Government are called upon to take further part in these actions, or to provide the funds necessary for their prosecution. The whole of the Zemindaries having been surrendered by their late owners, it must, as a question of revenue, be im-material to Government to as-certain which of the Estates the tracts of land under dispute in the

the several suits may be considered to belong, and in offering to the parties in-terested the means of equitable settle-ment by arbitration at the period best suited for such investigations, the Government will have done all that can in reason be expected of them.

3. In Revs. 3 & 4 no further proceed-ings seem to be required - One as the actual amount of the expences incurred in the special Commissioner's Court in Re. 18 of 1840 being duly certified by that authority, application should be made to Government for sanction to disburse the same on behalf of the appellant

Appellant Rajah Vudrauze Lutchmiyah, but no further appeal should be permitted.

4. In the event of the suit No. 6 being proceeded into, you will be pleased to submit in the usual course the draft of the answer which you would propose to put in as also of the appeal Petition in No. 7 if in your opinion, an appeal would be advisable.-

5 In the absence of all information as to the merits of suit No. 8 the Board are unable to determine whether on the request of Rannaranda Baboo submitted in your letter of 8th instant, to be furnished with means to carry on the defence

defence of the action should or should not be complied with. In the event however of the Court having granted the extension of time for which you have applied, I have to direct that you will submit an early report on the whole subject accompanied by draft of the answer which you would wish to file, if in your opinion, the suit should be defended. It will also be proper to communicate with the Collector of Masulipatam for the purpose of ascertaining whether the Wallore Zemindar be willing to confide the decision of the dispute to the judgment of the Collectors of the two Districts as suggested in your letter of the 2d instant, in place of further litigation in the Civil Courts, a measure which the Board consider to be on every account most desirable.-

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 11th Octr. 1844. } (Signed) C. B. Lowell Sy. Secretary.

Read the following Statement & Letter.

Statement

13458

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Statement shewing the Present Establishment sanctioned and the amount actually expended from 1st April to 11th July 1844.-

Names of Parts.	Description of Servants.	No.	Establishment sanctioned. Rate of Pay per Annum.	Actual expenditure. For April Charged in April 1844	For May Charged in May 1844	For June Charged in June 1844	For 1st to 11th July 1844 Charged	Total
				£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.
(22)	Hoozoor Cutcherry.							
	Mazoomdar	1	28 0 0	28 0 0	28 0 0	28 0 0	28 0 0	112 0 0
	District Cutcherries							
Dooparauzepatam	Peons	2	5 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	40 0 0
	Saudernvared			1 2 0	1 2 0	1 2 0	1 2 0	4 8 0
Cittapatam & Paumunjee	Peons	2	11 2 0	11 2 0	11 2 0	11 2 0	11 2 0	44 8 0
	Saudernvared	2	5 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	40 0 0
				12 0	12 0	12 0	12 0	3 0 0
Middapatam & Nellimapatam	Peons	2	10 12 0	10 12 0	10 12 0	10 12 0	10 12 0	43 0 0
	Saudernvared	2	5 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	40 0 0
				1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0	4 0 0
Nootoolloor & Injpandoo	Peons	2	5 0 0	11 0 0	11 0 0	11 0 0	11 0 0	44 0 0
		2	5 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	10 0 0	40 0 0
Ramnicapatam	Nevesindah	1	12 0 0	12 0 0	12 0 0	12 0 0	12 0 0	48 0 0
	Peons	4	5 0 0	20 0 0	20 0 0	20 0 0	20 0 0	80 0 0
	Saudernvared			13 0	13 0	13 0	13 0	3 4 0
		5		32 13 0	32 13 0	32 13 0	32 13 0	131 4 0
Chapully	Peons	1	5 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0	20 0 0
	Saudernvared			6 0	6 0	6 0	6 0	1 8 0
		1		5 6 0	5 6 0	5 6 0	5 6 0	21 8 0
Toonmalapenta	Peons	1	5 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0	5 0 0	20 0 0
	Saudernvared			3 0	3 0	3 0	3 0	12 0
		1		5 3 0	5 3 0	5 3 0	5 3 0	20 12 0

Cattack 20th

Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

In transmitting the accompanied Statement of the Establishment sanctioned by the Collector of Cuttack and actually expended between the 1st April and 11th July 1844 I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to move the Board of Revenue to obtain the sanction of the Honorable the Court of Directors to the Establishment sanctioned by the Collector.

The Establishment has been sanctioned by the Collector on account of the Pilgrim Tax, which has been abolished by the Government, and the Establishment is entirely discontinued.

I have the honor to be Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Collector

13459 Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

To E. C. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(23)

From the Collr. of North Arcot.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to submit the proposed Mogenzabitah on account of the Frontier Chokeys prepared according to the Form received with your letter dated 30th Sept. 1844.

2. In the comparison between the Establishment as it stood on the 1st April 1844 and that now proposed, the Hoogoor establishment for the Layer of the whole District is entered under the Column shewing the former establishment. The whole former establishment in the Hoogoor has been abolished but no new establishment as proposed has been as yet paid, as sanction for the new establishment had not been received. Two individuals as without employ have been performing the duty, I beg permission to pay them from the date of abolition at the rates for the proposed Establishment. The Sibbendy at the Frontier Chokeys are in number and pay according to the Mogenzabitah as it stood on the 1st April 1844 pending the orders of the Board for the proposed establishment. The pay of the Chokeedars has been raised in the proposed rates with reference to the responsibility & importance attached to these situations under date 17th July 1844.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector
Statement

North Arcot Collr's Cutcherry,
Chittoor, 10th October 1844.

13458 Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Statement shewing the Present Establishment as actually existing & proposed from 1st April to 30th Sept. 1844.

Establishment

Name of Station	No. of Men	Pay per Month	Total Pay per Month	Pay for 6 Months
Conicolinum	1 Sepoy	5	5	30
	1 Sepoy	5	5	30
	2 Sepoys	5	10	60
	2 Sepoys	5	10	60
	2 Sepoys	5	10	60

13460

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

No. 1 Statement Shewing the present and proposed Establishment at the Frontier Chowkies for Fasly 1254 in the District of North Arcot.

Name of the Chowkies	Description of Servants	Establishment last sanctioned as it stood on the 1st April 1844. No.	Rate of pay per Mensem. Rs. a. p.	Amount of Pay per Mensem. Rs. a. p.	Present Establishment. Number	Rate of Pay per Mensem. Rs. a. p.	Amount of pay per Mensem. Rs. a. p.	Comparison. Increase. Rs. a. p.	Decrease. Rs. a. p.
1	2	3		4	5		6	7	8
Hoogoor Cutcherry.	Head Coomastah	1	25 " "	25 " "	1	14 8 "	14 8 "	" " "	7 8 "
	Coomastahs	2	15 12 "	31 8 "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	31 8 "
	Jewahnaviss	2	14 " "	28 " "	1	14 " "	14 " "	" " "	14 " "
	Cufterkund	1	25	25 " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	25 " "
	Mafsalgee	1	5 4	5 4	1	5 " "	5 4 "	" " "	" " "
	Sauderwared	1	5 4	5 4	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 4
		8	" " "	126 " "	3	" " "	36 12 "	" — " "	83 4 "
Palmanair Chowky.	Chowkeedar	1	12 " "	12 " "	1	20 " "	20 " "	8 " "	" " "
	Mooshariff	1	9	9	1	10 " "	10 " "	1 " "	" " "
	Shroff	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	1	4 " "	4 " "	" 8 "	" " "
	Pyguety	1	3 8	3 8	2	4	8	4 8	" " "
	Colegars	8	3 " "	24	11	3 " "	33 " "	9 " "	" " "
		12	" " "	52 " "	16	" " "	75 " "	23 " "	" " "
	Sauderwared	"	" " "	1 12	"	" " "	2 8	" 12 "	" " "
		12	" " "	53 12 "	16	" " "	77 8 "	23 12 "	" " "
Iyavarpully Chowky.	Chowkeedar	1	12 " "	12 " "	1	20 " "	20 " "	8 " "	" " "
	Mooshariff	1	9 " "	9 " "	1	10 " "	10 " "	1 " "	" " "
	Shroff	1	3 8	3 8	1	4	4	" 8 "	" " "
	Pyguety	1	3 8	3 8	2	4	8	4 8	" " "
	Colegars	7	3 " "	21 " "	10	3 " "	30 " "	9 " "	" " "
		11	" " "	49 " "	15	" " "	72 " "	23 " "	" " "
	Sauderwared	"	" " "	1 12	"	" " "	2 " "	" 4 "	" " "
		11	" " "	50 12 "	15	" " "	74 " "	23 4 "	" " "

13461

Fort St. George 14 October 1844.

Name of the Chowkies	Description of Servants	Establishment last sanctioned as it stood on the 1st April 1844. Number	Rate of Pay per Mensem.	Amount of Pay per Mensem.	Number	Present Establishment. Rate of Pay per Mensem.	Amount of Pay per Mensem.	Comparison. Increase	Decrease
1	2		3	4		5	6	7	8
			₹ a p	₹ a p		₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p	₹ a p
Patterpully Chowky	Chowkeedar	1	12 " "	12 " "	1	20 " "	20 " "	8 " "	" " "
	Moosharuff	1	9 " "	9 " "	1	10 " "	10 " "	1 " "	" " "
	Shroff	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	1	4 " "	4 " "	" 8 "	" " "
	Pyguaty	1	3 8	3 8	2	4 " "	8 " "	4 8 "	" " "
	Colegars	10	3 " "	30 " "	14	3 " "	42 " "	12 " "	" " "
		14	" " "	58 " "	19	" " "	84 " "	26 " "	" " "
	Sauderwareds	"	" " "	2 "	"	" " "	3 8 "	1 8 "	" " "
		14	" " "	60 " "	19	" " "	87 8 "	27 8 "	" " "
Goodepully Chowky	Chowkeedar	1	10 " "	10 " "	1	15 " "	15 " "	5 " "	" " "
	Moosharuff	1	8 " "	8 " "	1	10 " "	10 " "	2 " "	" " "
	Shroff	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	1	4 " "	4 " "	" 8 "	" " "
	Pyguaty	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	2	4 " "	8 " "	4 8 "	" " "
	Colegars	5	3 " "	15 " "	8	3 " "	24 " "	9 " "	" " "
		9	" " "	40 " "	13	" " "	61 " "	21 " "	" " "
	Sauderwareds	"	" " "	1 12 "	"	" " "	2 " "	" 4 "	" " "
		9	" " "	41 12 "	13	" " "	63 " "	21 4 "	" " "
Kistnapoor Chowky	Chowkeedar	1	10 " "	10 " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 " "
	Moosharuff	1	8 " "	8 " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	8 " "
	Shroff	1	3 8 "	3 8 "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	3 8 "
	Pyguaty	1	3 8	3 8	1	8	8	4 8	" " "
	Colegars	5	3 " "	15 " "	6	3 " "	18 " "	3 " "	" " "
		9	" " "	40 " "	7	" " "	26 " "	7 8 "	21 8 "
	Sauderwareds		" " "	1 12 "	4	" " "	1 " "	" " "	" 12 "
		9	" " "	41 12 "	7	" " "	27 " "	7 8 "	22 4 "
Total Taloks Pibbendy &c.		55	" " "	248 " "	70	" " "	329 " "	103 4 "	22 4 "
Grand Total of Hoozoor and Taloks		63	" " "	368 " "	73	" " "	372 12 "	110 4 "	105 8 "

Net Increase 4 12 "

North Arcot, Collectors Cutcherry, Chittoor, 10th October, 1844. E. E. J. Signed J. Goldingham
Collector

13462

Fort St. George

14th October 1844.

No. 2. Statement Shewing the present and proposed Establishment for the Sea Customs at Pulicat for Fasly 1254 in North Arcot.

Name of the Chowkies	Description of Servants.	Establishment last sanctioned as it stood on the 1st April 1844.			Present Establishment.		Comparison.	
		Number.	Rate of Pay per Mensem.	Amount of Pay per Mensem.	Number.	Rate of Pay per Mensem.	Amount of Pay per Mensem.	Increase.	Decrease.
1	2		3	4		5	6	7	8
			Rupees a ⌀	Rupees a ⌀		Rupees a ⌀	Rupees a ⌀	Rupees a ⌀	Rupees a ⌀
Pulicat Port.	Mr. J. A. Hockenson Superintendent of Sea Customs	1	30 " "	30 " "	1	30 " "	30 " "	" " "	" " "
	Mr. A. Franszy Writer	1	7 " "	7 " "	1	7 " "	7 " "	" " "	" " "
	Peons	2	3 8 "	7 " "	2	3 8 "	7 " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	4	" " "	44 " "	4	" " "	44 " "	" " "	" " "

North Arcot, Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor, 10th October, 1844.

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector.

13463

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

No. 3. Statement Shewing the Net Increase on account of the Land Frontier Establishment in North Arcot prepared as per Form No. 1 received in Letter from the Board of Revenue of the 21st March 1844.

	Year	Receipts Ordinary	Receipts Extraordinary	Charges Ordinary	Charges Extraordinary	Total Receipts	Total Charges	Net Surplus	Net Deficit	
		Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
Actual as per Receipts and Disbursements Register	1840/41	25,376 4 3	" " "	2967 11 4	" " "	25,376 4 3	2967 11 4	22,418 8 11	" " "	The sums entered as Receipts from 1840/41 to 1843/44 are "actual."
	1841/42	23,931 10 4	" " "	2967 7 3	" " "	23,931 10 4	2967 7 3	20,964 3 1	" " "	
	1842/43	23,461 4 1	" " "	2970 4 11	" " "	23,461 4 1	2970 4 11	20,490 15 2	" " "	
Estimates as per Sketch an Estimate	1843/44	24,437 " 5	" " "	2974 7 8	" " "	24,437 " 5	2974 7 8	21,462 8 9	" " "	
Sea Customs	1842/43	16 7 3	" " "	141 13 2	" " "	16 7 3	141 13 2	" " "	125 5 11	
	1843/44	461 13 2	" " "	528 " "	" " "	461 13 2	528 " "	" " "	66 2 10	

Proposition

Office to which the Proposition refers.	Nature of Charge.	Permanent Increase per Month.	Permanent Decrease per Month.	Temporary Increase per Month.	Temporary Decrease per Month.	Casual or Extraordinary.	Grounds of Proposition.
		Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Period	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	
Talmanar Chowkey	Salary of Chowkeedar to be raised from 12 to 20 Rupees per Month	8. " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	As the responsibility of the Frontier Sayer Chowkeedars, Mooshruffs and Shroffs is greater since Act VI of 1844 was brought into operation, It is proposed with a view of preventing fraud &c. to increase the pay of these Servants, also to employ in addition to the present Establishment 4 Byguseys and 13 Colegars. The Establishment to be retained
	Ditto of Mooshuruff to be raised from 9 to 10 Rupees per Do.	1. " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Ditto of Shroff to be Do. from 3½ to 4 Rupees per Do.	" 8. "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
	Do. of a Byguety to be raised from 3½ to 4 Rupees per Month including a second Byguety proposed	4. 8. "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	

13464

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Proposition.

Office to which the Proposition refers	Nature of Charge.	Permanent Increase per Month.	Permanent Decrease per Month.	Temporary Increase per Month.	Temporary Decrease per Month.	Casualties Extraordinary.	Grounds of Proposition.
		Rs a P	Rs a P Perd.	Rs a P Perd.	Rs a P	Rs a P.	
	Salary of 3 Colegars proposed at 3 Rupees each per Month in addition to the 8 Colegars at present	9					retained out of the former Establishment in the Hoozoor Cutcherry in Head Coomastah, 2 Coomastahs and a Dufterbund.
	Sauderwarah raised from 1¼ to 2½ Rupees per Month	12					
	Total	23 12					
	Salary of Chowkedar to be raised from 12 to 20 Rupees per Month	8					Of the 5 Chowkies Maintained at present, It is proposed to discontinue that at Chintapoor, as it is situated away from the
Pyarapully Chowkey	Ditto of Moosharuff to be raised from 9 to 10 Rupees per Do.	1					general route, and to retain the Pyguty there on an increased pay and one Colegar in addition to the 5 at present employed.
	Do. of Shroff to be Do. from 3½ to 4 Rupees per Do.		8				
	Do. of a Pyguty to be raised from 3¼ to 4 Rupees per Do. including a Second Pyguty proposed	4	8				
	Ditto of 3 Colegars proposed at 3 Rupees each per Month in addition to the 7 Colegars at present	9					
		23					
	Sauderwarah raised from 3/4 to 2 Rupees per Month		4				
	Total	23	4				

13465

Fort St. George 14th October 1824

Office to which the Proposition refers	Nature of Charge.	Proposition Permanent Increase per Month.	Proposition Permanent Decrease per Month.	Proposition Temporary Increase per Month.	Proposition Temporary Decrease per Month.	Casual or Extraordinary	Grounds of Proposition.
		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Period Rs. a p	Rs. a p	
Patterpully Chowkey	Salary of Chowkedar to be raised from 12 to 20 Rupees per Month	8					
	Ditto of Moashruff to be raised from 9 to 10 Rupees per Ditto	1					
	Ditto of Shroff to be raised from 3½ to 4 Rupees per Do.		8				
	Ditto of a Pyguaty to be raised from 3½ to 4 Rupees per Month including a Second Pyguaty proposed	4	8				
	Ditto of 4 Colegars proposed at 3 Rupees each per Month in addition to the 10 Colegars at present	12					
		26					
	Sauderward raised from 2 to 3½ Rupees per Month	1	8				
	Total	27	8				
Goodeapully Chowky	Salary of Chowkydar to be raised from 10 to 15 Rupees per Month	5					
	Ditto of Moasharuff to be raised from 8 to 10 Rupees per Ditto	2					
	Ditto of Shroff to be raised from 3½ to 4 Rupees per Do.		8				
	Ditto of Pyguaty to be raised from 3½ to 4 Rupees per Do. including a second Pyguaty proposed	4	8				
	Ditto of 3 Colegars proposed at 3 Rupees each per Month in addition to the 5 Colegars at present	9					
		21					
	Sauderward raised from 1¾ to 2 Rupees per Month		4				
	Total	21	4				

13466

Fort St. George 14th October 1824

Office to which the Proposition refers.	Nature of Charge	Proposition Permanent Increase per Month	Proposition Permanent Decrease per Month	Proposition Temporary Increase per Month	Proposition Temporary Decrease per Month	Casual or Extraordinary	Grounds of Proposition
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Kistnapoor attached to the Goodespully Chowkey	Salary of Chowkeedar reduced	" " "	10 " "				
	Ditto of Moorharuff Ditto	" " "	8 " "				
	Ditto of Shroff Ditto	" " "	3 8 "				
	Ditto of Pygusty to be encreased from 3½ to 8 Rupees per Month	4 8 "					
	Ditto of a Colegar proposed at 3 Rupees per Month in addition to the 5 Colegars at present	3 " "					
		7 8 "	21 8 "				
	Saiderward reduced from 1¾ to 1 Rupee per Month	" " "	12 4 "				
	Total	7 8 "	22 4 "				
	Total Talooks	1034 "	224 "				
Hoozoor Cutcherry Sibendy	Salary of Head Coomastah reduced from 25 to 17½ Rupees per Month	" " "	7 8 "				
	Ditto of 2 Coomastahs reduced at Rupees 15 12 each per Ditto	" " "	31 8 "				
	Ditto of a Coomastah at Rupees 14 reduced out of 2 who were employed	" " "	14 " "				
	Ditto of a Javabnavis reduced	" " "	25 " "				
	Ditto of a Mupaljee reduced	" " "	5 4 "				
		" " "	83 4 "				
	Amount of Sauderward proposed	7 " "					
	Total	7 " "	83 4 "				
	Grand Total Rupees	1104 "	1058 "				

North Arcot, Collector's Cutchery, Chittoor, 16th October, 1824. E.E.F. (Signed) A. Goldingham
Collector.

13467

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

No. 4. Memorandum Shewing the actual expenditure on account of Sibbendy and Saudermaud for the Frontier Sayer Chowkies, and Sea Customs from April to 11th July 1844, in the North Arcot

Items.	Amount of Charges in Frontier Chowkies. April 1844.	May 1844.	June 1844.	11th July 1844.	Total.
	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.
Land Customs.					
Establishment	239 " "	241 9 9	239 " "	84 11 2	804 4 11
Saudermaud	9 " "	9 " "	9 " "	3 3 2	30 3 2
	248 " "	250 9 9	248 " "	87 14 4	834 8 1

North Arcot Collectors Cutchery, Chittoor, 10th October, 1844.

E. E. Fox. (Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector

13468

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

No. 5. Memorandum Shewing the Actual expenditure on Account of Sibbendy for the Sea Customs from April to 11th July 1844, in North Arcot.

Items.	Amount of Charges. 1844 April.	1844 May	1844 June	1844 11th July	Total.
	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.	Rupees a. p.
Sea Customs.					
Establishment	44 " "	44 " "	44 " "	15 9 10	147 9 10

North Arcot, Collectors Cutchery, Chittoor, 10th October, 1844.

E. E. Fox. (Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector.

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Order on the two foregoing Statement and letter. — } Order to lie on the table.

(22-24)

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary dated 9th and entered in Consultation 26th August last. —

Resolution thereon. — The Board request that Mr. Mellor will be good enough to furnish translation of the Sunnuds and other papers in the Persian and Native languages, which accompany the foregoing letter. —

(25)

Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah dated 24th August and entered in Consultation 12th Ultimo. —

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence. —

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

(26)

To The Chief Secy to Government.

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Principal Collector of Cuddapah, reporting the death of Ishmael Shaka who received a Shrotriem allowance of Rs. 6-9-9½ per annum, and submitting an application from his adopted son to be admitted to succeed eventually to the Shrotriem.

From Off. Coll. 9th Nov in Cons. 22nd June 1844. Proceedings 3rd June 1844. From Actg. Princl. Coll. 24th Aug. in Cons. 12th Sept.

The

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

2. The Shrotriem is of ancient date and appears to have extended originally to the whole of the lands of the hamlet of Chouval-lavary pilly, but was afterwards reduced to a portion of them only which was finally commuted by Sir Thomas Munro when Collector of the District for the above annual allowance in Money. — From the death of the Shrotriemdar in Fasly 1250 / 1840/41 the Stipend has been paid to his widow, continued in May last the payment was discontinued by Mr. Ashton, as requiring the sanction of Government which the Board beg to recommend may now be granted, but they see no grounds for recommending a compliance with the application of the adopted son to be admitted as entitled to succeed to the Stipend on the demise of the widow, as it does not appear that the grant is hereditary. —

(Signed) E. C. Lowell
Actg. Secretary

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George, 14th October, 1844. —

To The Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah.

(26-27)

To The Actg. Princl. Coll. of Cuddapah.

Sir,

Referring to your letter (illegible) dated

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

In Con. 12 Sept. dated 24th August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe that the allowance of the late Chr Shrotrium dar of Chowcullavaripully in the Goorrumcondah Talook (C.P. 16-9-9½) appears to have been paid by a deduction from the revenue, and ought in that case to have been mentioned in Mr. Arbuthnot's

In Con. 26 June 1843. letter of 21st June 1843, you will therefore be good enough, after further enquiry to state whether there are any other stipends of a similar description that have been overlooked. —

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th October, 1844.

(Signd) E.C. Lowell
Actg. Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Read the following letter

To E. C. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

28

Sir,

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah ... Reporting on the subject of the Claim to Compensation made by the Ryotal Renters of the Cuddapah District

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 29th August last on the subject of the claim to Compensation made by the Ryotal Renters of this District, I have the honor to state that I accordingly gave notice to the Renters to attend in person at my Cutcherry to explain and discuss the nature of such claims, which they all accordingly did with exception of the Renters of Punganoor and Koilcondlah, of whom the former wrote to say that he would be satisfied with whatever decision might be passed, and the latter in requesting that he might be allowed whatever was thought fair, stated that he considered he had sustained a loss equal to the duty on 200 Maunds of Tobacco.

The

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

In Con. 12 Sept. dated 24th August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to observe that the allowance of the late Shrotriumdar of Chowcullavaripully in the Goorrumcondah Talook (C.P. 16-9-9½) appears to have been paid by a deduction from the Revenue, and ought in that case to have been mentioned in Mr. Arbuthnot's

In Con. 26 June 1843. letter of 21st June 1843, you will therefore be good enough, after further enquiry to state whether there are any other stipends of a similar description that have been overlooked.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th October, 1844.

(Signed) E.C. Lovell
Actg. Secretary

Read

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

28

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah

Reporting on the subject of the Claim to Compensation made by the Betel Vinr. Renters of the Cuddapah District

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to your letter of the 29th August last on the subject of the claim to Compensation made by the Betel Vinr. Renters of this District, I have the honor to state that I accordingly gave notice to the Renters to attend in person at my Cutcherry to explain and discuss the nature of such claims, which they all accordingly did with exception of the Renters of Punganoor and Koilcontlah, of whom the former wrote to say that he would be satisfied with whatever decision might be passed, and the latter in requesting that he might be allowed whatever was thought fair, stated that he considered he had sustained a loss equal to the duty on 200 Maunds of Tobacco

The

2. The grounds for their claim — originally set forth by the claimants were, that the Collections in the early part of the Fusly being small compared with those in the latter Months they were entitled to Compensation for loss sustained from the — abolition of the Sayer, in as much as — their receipts fell short of their expences, and the Kists paid by them to Government — and accordingly as the Renters accounts of receipts were evidently untrue Mr Ashton took the average result of the many years in each Talook as the only basis obtainable for forming any estimate of the relative proportions of receipts in different Months —

3. It is notorious however that the only reason for any particular difference in the receipts of one Month from another was the practice adopted by the Renters of — forestalling the market for their Successors, by admitting towards the end of the Fusly large quantities of Betel, Tobacco, &c at a lower rate of duty and thus deriving an illicit

illicit profit for themselves, and the Renters were all obliged to admit the incorrectness of the Monthly Accounts given in by themselves and to allow that they could not establish any claim on the grounds of actual loss, but could only ask for Compensation for the diminution caused in their expected — Profits —.

4. The feeling of the Principal Renters appeared to be that whatever allowance was — given to them by Government, a similar one would be expected from them by their — Subrenters, and that a small sum could only tend to embarrass their settlements — with the Subrenters, while if Government granted a considerable sum, it would facilitate the — Collection of the balances due to them by the — Subrenters — and they at first stated five per cent on the whole Amount of the rents to be what they considered a fair allowance — after much discussion 10 per cent on the amount of Kists which would have been payable to Government from the 31st of March up to the end of — the Fusly was declared to be the lowest rate which they would consent to accept — —

The

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

The Amount of Compensation payable at that rate would in all came to Rupees 997 10 4

5. The following Statement shews the particulars of the Rents, kists paid, and Compensation claimed for each Talook with the Names of the Renters.

Talooks	Amount of Beted Rents for 1253	Amount of kists paid to the Circar up to 31st March	Amount collected by the Renters according to their accounts	Amount of 5 per Cent on whole rents which the Renters claimed in the 1st instance	Amount payable from 31st March to end of Fusly	Amount of kists of 10 per Cent on unpaid kists as per Col. 6	Names of Renters
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1	Cumbum	1360 - -	977 12 7	637 7 10	68 - -	380 3 5	38 - 4	Dhoolepalem Soobarow
2	Doopaud	1335 - -	961 12 5	892 3 6	66 12 -	373 3 7	37 5 2	Garoodareddy
3	Budwail	1265 - -	911 5 6	359 6 8	63 4 -	353 10 6	35 5 10	Hyathkhan Retny
4	Jummulmudgoo	1250 - -	900 8 7	260 10 9	62 8 -	349 7 5	34 15 2	Conapaud Pedda Cunnah
5	Doovoor	2500 - -	1801 1 3	1062 12 2	125 - -	698 14 9	69 14 3	Raghavareddy
6	Koilcomtlah	820 - -	590 12 -	219 11 10	41 - -	229 4 -	22 14 10	Dryvayee Vadwariah
7	Chitwail	5400 - -	3890 5 2	1835 3 4	270 - -	1509 10 10	150 15 6	Mootial Seyshoo
8	Sidhout	1135 - -	817 11 -	413 14 7	56 12 -	317 5 -	31 11 8	Hyathkhan Retny
9	Chennoor	9575 - -	6862 1 7	1518 14 1	476 4 -	2662 14 5	266 4 8	Mootial Seyshoo
10	Comalapoor	550 - -	396 3 9	161 6 10	27 8 -	153 12 3	15 6 -	Raghavareddy
11	Goorrumcondah	2205 - -	1588 8 9	255 11 8	110 4 -	616 7 3	61 10 4	Raghavareddy and Polapully Ramasawmy
12	Muddenpully	2800 - -	1873 1 10	329 8 6	130 - -	726 14 2	72 12 -	D° D°
13	Poolevaindlah	1850 - -	1332 12 9	262 11 7	92 8 -	517 3 3	51 11 6	Kurnaty Ramacherry
14	Rayachoty	2960 - -	1628 2 9	247 - 8	113 - -	631 13 3	63 2 11	Mootial Seyshoo
15	Poonganoor	1630 - -	1174 4 10	642 4 1	81 8 -	455 11 2	45 9 2	Chinna Tippasamoody Pedda Tippareddy & Gajoolapoory Govindoo
	Total	35685 - -	25708 8 9	9129 - 1	1784 4 -	9976 7 3	997 10 4	

The

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

6. The Inland Sayer duties having been abolished by an act passed by the Government of India in which no provision is made for any compensation such as is now asked, the Renters have evidently no legal claim, nor does it appear to me that they have very much right even on the score of equity when it is considered what a loss Government have sustained in abolishing the Inland and Transit duties for the general welfare of the People, while they the Renters, have sustained no actual loss - and had the application been made to me in the first instance, I do not think I should have felt any urgent necessity for bringing it to the notice of the Higher authorities at all, but as the right to claim compensation has been discussed and admitted to a certain extent in this correspondence, and as the amount which will be received as a satisfactory settlement of all such claims is moderate,

Government

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Government may perhaps consider it expedient to sanction the disbursement of the abovementioned sum.—

Cuddapah — 9
Print. Coll.rs Office
14th October 1844

(Signed) W. A. D. Inglis
Acting Principal Collector

28·29

Order thereon, Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

(Read again in Cons.n 25th October)

Read the following letter

To C. L. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

30

From A. Freese Esqre 9
Collector of Chinglepute
Valued Pd 22 12 9

I have the honor to report the seizure of 76 Measds of Salt on the 23d Ultimo near Amaravumbaid, which was brought by certain Brinjaries without a

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

a rowanah, and which they stated was obtained by them by barter from some parriahs residing near the Salt Pans of Vadasemmunjairy whom they could not name or identify. The Salt is of inferior quality and must have been stolen from the Pans — I therefore request the Authority of the Board to confiscate the quantity and to pay the usual reward to the Pannah Peon who seized it.

Chinglepute District
Collector's Cutcherry
Pallicarney 2d October 1844

(Signed) A. Freese
Collector

Resolution thereon, The Board authorize the

30·31

confiscation of the Salt, the Seizure of which is reported in the foregoing letter, and the payment of the usual reward to the Peon who made the seizure.

Read the following Statement

Statement

13480

Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Read again the Report of the acting Collector on the Settlement of North Arcot for fusly 1252. A.D. 1842/43

(Here enter No. 238)

34

Proceedings Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the Northern Division of Arcot for Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43

thereon. A scanty, partial, and insufficient supply of rain and a somewhat unhealthy season interrupted the course of cultivation, and considerably diminished the extent both of the wet and Dry land occupied in the preceding fusly. When at length the rain began to fall in the end of September the period for raising early crops had already passed, the great intermediate for Sambah Crop and also considerably diminished, and in all two Crop-land a difficulty was experienced in cultivating the first, except when the means of irrigation from large Tanks or Spring channels were available. Mr. Babington considers that the practice of levying the highest of the two assessments to which such lands are liable, when only one Crop has been raised, has an unfavorable influence on cultivation in a dry season. But the custom is universal, and is both just and reasonable. The first crop

tax

tax is the full assessment of one crop land and the half of it is demanded for the second. If therefore a single crop only is raised in the latter part of the season from land previously undisturbed and therefore capable of yielding a full return of produce, there is no fair ground of complaint against the Collection of the full single crop demand. In the Southern Talooks the case is different, and the acting Collectors objections are better founded. a Ryot cannot be expected to subject himself to the payment of a consolidated tax founded on double produce; when the circumstances of the season lead him to anticipate a single crop only. But even here, it must be borne in mind that in the original instructions for the Survey, the assessors were ordered to estimate the productive powers of double Crop land with reference to the fact that single Crop land after having been fallow for 6 Months would necessarily yield a larger produce than that on which double crop had been raised, without being allowed time to recover, and the consolidated

consolidated demand was also calculated with reference to the same considerations, such being the case and the present system having now been in full operation not only in these but in ten Talooks of South Arcot also for a considerable period. the Board are unwilling to sanction any partial modifications in the former district until an opportunity occurs of taking the whole subject into consideration. The Board observe that in the Native accounts for the Vellore Talook the assessment of the Wet lands has been distinguished as pertaining to the first and second Crop, for many years past, and they are desirous of being informed how this peculiarity arose and whether there is any difficulty in acting upon it.—

2. The price of grain tho' higher than in $\frac{1251}{1841/42\ A.D.}$ Fusly was still low. The difference between the Principal and Sub Division is shewn here-under.—

	1251 Fusly.	1252 Fusly.	Increase
In Principal Division	73 — 9	74 — 6	1 13/16
In Sub Division	63 — 11	71 — 4	8 1/4

M.

tax is the full assessment of one crop land and the half of it is demanded for the second. If therefore a single crop only is raised in the latter part of the season from land previously undisturbed and therefore — capable of yielding a full return of produce, there is no fair ground of complaint against the Collection of the full single crop demand. In the Southern Talooks the case is different, and the acting Collectors' objections are better — founded. A Ryot cannot be expected to subject himself to the payment of a consolidated tax founded on double produce; when the circumstances of the season lead him to anticipate a single — crop only. But even here, it must be borne in mind that in the original instructions for the Survey, the assessors were ordered to estimate the productive powers of Double Crop land with reference to the fact that single crop land after having been fallow for 6 or Months would necessarily yield a larger produce than that on which double crop had been raised, without being allowed time to recover, and the

Consolidated

consolidated demand was also calculated with reference to the same considerations, such being the case and the present system having now been in full operation not only in these but in ten Talooks of South Arcot also for a considerable — period. the Board are unwilling to sanction any partial modifications in the former district until an opportunity occurs of taking the whole subject into consideration. The Board observe that in the Native accounts for the Vellore Talook the assessment of the Wet lands has been distinguished as pertaining to the first and second Crop, for many years past, and they are desirous of being informed how this peculiarity arose and whether there is any difficulty in acting upon it.—

2. The price of grain tho' higher than in $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ A.D. Fusly was still low. The difference between the Principal and Sub Division is shewn here-under.—

	1251 Fusly.	1252 Fusly.	Increase
In Principal Division	73 — 9	74 — 6	" 13/16
In Sub Division	63 — "	71 — 4	8 1/4

Mr.

Mr. Babington has compared the present with the Survey commutation prices and makes the difference to be about 11 3/4 and 18 1/2 per Cent, in the two Divisions respectively, but he has overlooked the reduction of 12 1/2 per Cent made in the original Survey Rates in 1234 Fusly.

Paras 2 and 9.

3. The decrease of Cultivation owing to the causes above mentioned was as follows.

		Cawnies.	Rupees.
Demand of 1251 Fusly	18,73,991-11-7	Paniya	34,422 — 94,050-
D° D° 1252	16,53,353-7-9	Nunjah	8,674 — 12,3700.
Decrease	2,20,638-3-10.	Garden	142 — 2886.
		Total	43,239 — 2,20,638-

Para 8.

4- The proportion of this to be debited to loss of 2d Crop / Bhoganushtee fs 1144 Rupees of which Rupees 3,550 are owing to the cessation of the system / discontinued by order of the Board on the complaint of the Ryots of Wandewash Talook in 1842 / by which the assessment of any dry land cultivated in the preceding and relinquished in the following year, is levied for that year only, on the additional Crop, which under the name of Paniya Poovashee is occasionally raised under favorable circumstances, a practice obviously unjust in itself and unknown in other districts. It is seen, however, that the deduction above specified does not comprise the whole amount under this head, and that a sum of Rupees

From Coll. 2d Div. Cons 22d Sept. 1842 — Para 10. Sub Coll. 2 — 10-12. Report — 5

Pro. 31st Oct. 1842 Para 7-

Stat. No. 8 Col. 159 and 169.

Rupees 45-8-10 on account of Paniyah Poovashee was actually brought to account in Cauvery pauk Talook, of which some explanation should have been given. The Board trust that due care has been taken not to extend the indulgence to parties leaving Paniya to cultivate additional produce under their private Wells.

Para 10. Rs. 3,452-14-10.

5. The Board desire also to notice the diminished cultivation / Rupees 3,452 / under the Cauvery pauk Tank, which was put into a state of thorough repair at a considerable expense in 1838 and 1839. The large supply of water which it is able to contain, should render the lands under it independent of the partial drought of any single year, and under this view the Acting Collector's explanation appears insufficient. The cultivation irrigated from it during the last few years is noted in the Margin, from which it would appear that it has been fully risen to the amount it had reached anterior to the date at which the damages were repaired.

Barig Rupees.	
Fusly. 1244	46,973-
45	42,719-
46	43,171-
47	43,150.
48	36,254.
49	42,074.
50	42,672.
51	43,716.
52	42,203.

Years in which it underwent repairs

1251 — 16,70,226-10-4.
1252 — 14,61,720-6-9.
Decrease 2,08,506-3-7.

6. The Settlement of the land revenue of the year exhibits a decrease of Rupees 2,08,506-3-7 as compared with that of the preceding one, a result which the explanations already given show to have been occasioned entirely by the nature of the Season. The particulars of the Settlement are exhibited in the following comparative Abstract.

Abstract.

13485

Fort St. George

14th October 1844.

	Fasly 1251 Extent of Land	Amount	Fasly Extent of Land	1252 Increase Amount	Increase Land	Decrease Amount	Decrease Land	Decrease Amount
	Cawnies A. A.	Rs. a. p.	Land A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Cs. a a	Rs. A. P.	Cs. A A	Rs. A P.
Poonjah	187042 . 14	512158 6 5	15,2336 . 7	415735 7 1	" " "	" " "	34706 " 7	96422 15 4
Munjah	115611 10 10	1301838 6 4	106936 7 6	1178132 7 7	" " "	" " "	8675 3 4	123705 14 9
Garden	1970 9 12	34071 14 3	1827 11 "	31185 5 2	" " "	" " "	112 14 12	2886 9 1
Droovapiare & Cocoanut trees	476 12 12	2079 12 1	486 12 4	2104 6 3	9 15 12	24 10 2	" " "	" " "
Poonjah converted in Munjah	3972 10 14	20177 4 8	4233 . .	22529 4 7	260 5 2	2351 15 11	" " "	" " "
Totacal Do Do	296 . 10	3665 15 10	310 9 14	3666 9 1	14 9 4	" 9 3	" " "	" " "
Total	309369 13 8	1873991 11 7	266130 8 15	1653353 7 9	284 14 2	2377 3 4	43494 2 7	22301 5 7 2

Net Decrease 220638-3-10

Deductions.								
Checkerpaoldy or land ploughed but not sown	14477 8 6						1822 5 3	
Portion of a field uncultivated	23388 2 11	12655 3 3		" " "			" " "	
Crops perished	1557 5 3	26871 8 4		3283 5 5			" " "	
Land Covered by water	1225 7 2	515 7 7		" " "			1041 13 8	
Do destroyed by inundation	140 11 3	1786 11 2		561 4 "			" " "	
Land Cultivated with Mauritius Sugar Cane	5 3 6	251 " 2		110 4 11			" " "	
Loss of 2d Crop	24914 1 5	" " "		" " "			5 3 6	
Cowle Remission	657 . 9	" " "		11029 4 3			" " "	
Remission of Survey assessment @ 12½ per Cent	1144 3 14 6	35943 15 8		413 7 3			" " "	
Bilmuctah Reyaet	6012 12 6	1070 8 "		" " "			1041 2 2 2	
Seazgary Remission	14219 3 3	104021 12 4		" " "			" " "	
Seerwah Cummies	16 6 6	6012 12 6		" " "			1812 5 10	
Reyaet to the Shotrumdars	115 8 "	12406 13 5		1 " 8			" " "	
Dusbundum Remission ½ under Tanks &c	980 12 6	17 7 2		" " "			" " "	
Total deductions	202344 2 "	115 8 "		2514 5			" " "	
		1006 10 11		15425 2 11		15093 14 5		Net Increase 334-4-6
Remaining	1671647 9 7	202675 6 6						
				" " "		220969 8 4		
Add Ready money &c	102765 13 2	1450678 1 3				294 6 1		
Total	1774413 6 9			" " "				
		1024771 7 1				221263 14 5		
Deduct Jaurce	104186 12 5	1553149 8 4		" " "		12757 10 10		
				" " "				
Net Settlement	1670226 10 4	91429 1 7				208506 3 7		
Add permanently Settled	450953 7 3	1461720 6 9						
	2121180 1 7	450953 7 3						
		1912673 14 "						

The.

Fort St. George 14th. October 1844

16@23

7. The various items of Remissions explained in these Paras do not call for particular remark. The Acting Collector states that allowance for shavi was only made where the loss exceeded 10 per Cent of the Ryots cultivation, but in the detailed Statement of this item the Board observe that of the claims for indulgence within this limit Rupees 43-13-10 were disallowed, and Rupees 9-5-7 remitted.—

Para 22.

8. In connection with the remarks on the demand of double Crop assessment in a preceding para, it is seen that the Acting Collector remitted that of one in certain lands of Trevellum Talook, where the Ryots had not been able to cultivate the first crop, and if this refers to the second or reduced assessment, the relief was fitting and proper.—

Rs. A. P.
562-7-4.

But the ambiguity of the expressions in the report leave the Board in doubt which of the two is intended to be understood.—

Of

Fort St. George 14th. October 1844

	Permanently Settled.	Aumany including Rents.	Total
	Rs. A a	Rs. A a	Rs. A a
Current Demand of Fusly 1252	4,50,953 7 3	14,61,720 6 9	19,12,673 14 "
Collection within the Fusly	4,19,630 14 1	13,98,714 14 10	18,18,345 12 11
Since Collected up to 31st August 1844.	31,322 9 2	58801 " 7	90,123 9 9
Total	4,50,953 7 3	14,57,515 15 5	19,08,469 6 8
Balance	" " "	4,204 7 4	4,204 7 4

9- Of the Demand of Land Revenue on the Government lands Rupees 14,61,720-6-9 Rupees 13,98,714-14-10 were collected within the Fusly and Rupees 58,801-"-7, subsequently. Of that permanently settled or Rupees 4,50,953-7-3, the amount recovered was Rupees 4,19,630-14-1 within, and Rupees 31,322-9-2 after the close of the year, leaving a balance of Rupees 4,204-7-4. under the head of Aumanie only on the 31st August last.—

10. Both the Acting Collector and the Sub Collector seem to have misunderstood the observations on the mode of conducting the settlement, contained in the 8th para of the Proceedings on the Settlement of Fusly 13th April 1843. 1251/1841/42. and to have supposed the Board to recommend that the whole body of the ryots should be assembled twice during the course of the Summahbundy, once when the accounts of their respective

respective Villages are prepared, and again to receive their Puttahs - Such was by no means intended, but only that a certain number of Ryots from each Talook should be sent for, to the Collectors Tummabundy Cutcherry, to be present when the Settlement is finally fixed by the Collector, and the Heazzar Cutcherry, to hear the various items read over and discussed, and to have all disputed points arranged with their concurrence - This done, there need be no delay in filling up and distributing the Koolwar Puttahs - By a little arrangement, the whole of this process might easily be gone through, and the Ryots be dismissed without detaining them from their homes, at farthest more than 3 or four days - The Board understood that this was the mode of proceeding adopted by the late Sub Collector (Mr. Bourdillon), and they know it to be the general practice in

most

most Ryotwar Districts. Its advantages for purposes of publicity and check are obvious - The Ryots cannot be expected to know the liabilities against them in the Curnums' Accounts, but all doubts are removed when they hear them read out in their own language, before all their neighbours, in the presence of the chief revenue Officers, while the Collector has, at the same time, a ready means of investigating disputed points, or individual claims, by a reference to the testimony of those best acquainted with, and most deeply interested in, the circumstances - It is contrary to all experience to suppose that ryots will always come forward of their own accord, to protest

against

against the Proceedings of the Village Officers, as the Sub Collector seems to believe, Ignorance, or fear, or indifference too often keep back the inferior class of Cultivators when their own or the Government interests have been invaded — The personal interest exhibited by the Collector in receiving information, and inviting explanations affords the most effectual check on the practices of the inferior revenue servants, and the most favorable opportunity of encouraging confidence in the means of redress is afforded at the period of the annual Settlement.—

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of North Arcot, for his information and guidance.—

Read—

Read the following letter.—

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

35

From the Colle in the Nth D. of Arcot—

Sir,

I have the honor to state that enquiry has been made for the last year on the subject of the accompanying Petition* presented to the Most Noble the Governor but no traces have been discovered who the Petitioner is, nor does it appear that there is any person of the name of Goolam Hufsain who holds Lands in Arcot.—

* No. 404 of 1843 and Bo. 1526 of 1843.

North Arcot—
Collr's Cutcherry—
Chittoor 9th Octr—
1844—

/Signed/ J. Goldingham—
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

To The Chief Secretary to Government—

35-36

To the Chief Secy to Government—

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government on Petition No. 404 of 1843 — I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the

Bo. No. 26 of 1845

In Cons. 14th Octr 1844

the accompanying letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated the 9th Instant, from which it will appear that though enquiry has been made during the past year no traces have been discovered who the Petitioner is, nor of the person named Goolam Hussain on whom he accuses of having deprived him of his lands in Arcot &c.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 14th Octr 1844. /Signed/ C. C. Lovell, Acting Secy.

Read again letter from the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot dated 19th Ultimo, and entered in Consultation 3d Instant.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address—

To The Chief Secretary to Government—

37

To the Chief Secy to Government

Sir

In Cons. 3d Octr 1844.

With reference to the order No 1113 of 1844 under date the 29th August last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot of date the 19th Ultimo, by which it will appear that the Petitioner wishes to recover a small quantity of Shotriem land which is subject to the provisions of Regulation IX of 1831 from the Widow of her late Husband's Brother, that they are both Widows of two of the 72 Sharers in the Shotriem lands and as the dispute between them is of a Civil nature there seems to be no objection to Petitioner being permitted to apply to the Civil Court for redress.—

Revenue Board's office, Fort St. George, 14th Octr 1844. /Signed/ C. C. Lovell, Acting Secretary.

Read the following letters

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

38

From H. D. Davis Esqre Coll. of South Arcot

Sir

1. adverting to the order of the Board respecting the sale of certain Mootahs for arrears of Revenue due for the expired fusly 1253, I have the honor to report, that the Mootahdar of the Estate of Koodicadoo not discharging his balance before the commencement of the sale, I proceeded to sell the Estate in accordance with the Advertisement dated the 30th ultimo.—

2. The highest bid I was able to obtain, was Rupees 3,150, but from the discretionary power vested in me by Government, I have deemed it proper to purchase the Estate for Government for Rupees 3,200 or Rupees 75—a—a below its average value, and Rupees 218 above the Peishcush paid annually, and feeling confident that it is capable of yielding a higher revenue, than it does at present which has not been realized of late years from its deteriorated state occasioned by mismanagement and other

Contingencies

x 21st Sept 1821

Note The average Pariz of 10 years is Rs 3,885 and the collection in good seasons exceed Rs 3,500 per Annum

contingencies. I therefore request the Board will be pleased to obtain the sanction of Government for Rupees 3,200 with a view to effect a final adjustment of the accounts with the late Proprietors.—.

South Arcot—
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Cuddalore—
8th October 1844—

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector—

Resolution thereon.— Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for the sum of Rupees 3,200—"—" being the purchase money of the Mootah in question—

38·39

To

C. E. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

40

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot—

Reporting the sale of two Mootahs and requesting to obtain the Sanction for the same—

Sir

With reference to the orders of the Board respecting the sale of the Mootahs of Nullathoor and Alegenuttom for arrears of Revenue due for Fusly 1253, I have the honor to report for the information of the Board that these Mootadars not having discharged their balance before the commencement of

of the sale, I proceeded to sell these Estates on the 30th Ultimo, in conformity with the advertisement.—.

On commencing the sale of the Estate of Nullathoor, I found that the friends of the defaulter had combined to raise its price under the impression, that I would purchase it for Government, but knowing from the characters of the bidders that they were incapable of managing the concerns of the Estates, and to retain it for any length of time, I was unwilling to purchase it against such bidders.—.

3. The highest bid was Rupees 3,000 made by one Sornavoor Moothoovera Reddy a Ryot of the French territory and also a cultivator of some land in the English Village of Sornavoor—This amount is Rupees 290 lower than its value, and nearly Rupees 300 above the amount due to Government. The purchaser having deposited 15 per Cent of the purchase money, I beg to recommend the sale for the confirmation of the Board.—.

4. Regarding the other Estate of Alegenuttom, I beg to report that the Proprietor not only addressed me some days ago, but appeared

appeared in person and requested I would only sell the Village of Mundagaput which would realize the whole arrears due to Government, and in case of it's falling so short of the balance due, he would pay the difference in Cash.—.

5 Taking into consideration the length of time the Estate has been in his possession, along with his Statement, that he would sustain a loss by the Villages being sold in one lot, I complied with his request, and accordingly informed the bidders that the Village of Mundagaput would be sold and that it the purchaser subjected to pay the Peishcush fixed, and confirmed by the Board, on a Sub Division of the Village according to the Regulations.—

6. I accordingly put up the Village of Mundagaput for sale which realized Rupees 3,910 being 300 Rupees more than it's value. The purchaser, Streenoovasa Raga-vyengar is a wealthy resident of New Town, who having tendered 15 per Cent of the proceeds as required, I beg to request the Board will be pleased to convey their approval of this sale, to enable me to collect the arrear as early as practicable.

7 The Statement of the Sub Division

of the Village will be shortly forwarded for the sanction of the Board.—.

South Arcot—
Coll[rs] Cutcherry—
Cuddalore ——
8th October 1844 —

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

Resolution thereon.—

40-41

The Board sanction the sale of the Mootah of Nallathoor for Rupees 3,000 and authorize the Collector to make it over to the purchaser on payment of the purchase money in full.—.

Under the circumstances stated in Para 4—5 and 6. the Board approve and sanction the sale of the Village of Mundagaput for Rupees 3,910, but cannot authorize the delivery of the Village to the purchaser until the Sub division of the Mootah of Alegenattom, of which the Village of Mundagaput forms a portion, receives their sanction—they therefore request that the Sub division Accounts may be furnished immediately.—.

To C. D. Lovell Esq[re]
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

42

From the Coll[r] in the S[th] D[n] of Arcot—

Sir,

At the requisition of the Yeomiahdar

13498 Fort St. George 14th October 1844

Yeomiahdar I have the honor to request you will, with the permission of the Board, return the original Purwannah and Certificate forwarded with the late Principal Collector's letter of the 9th January 1840, for the purpose of being delivered to the party.—

South Arcot—
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Cuddalore 8th October 1844—

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector—

Order thereon—

(42-43)

Ordered that the original Purwanah and Certificate referred to in the foregoing letter be returned as requested by the Collector.—

To

C. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(44)

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot

Sir,

In returning the Petition* received with your letter, I have the

*B. of R. No. 17 of 1844
Govt. No. 1291
10th Sept.r 1844

13499 Fort St. George 14th October 1844.—

the honor to state that on enquiry it appears that the Land claimed by the Petitioner forms part of the Shrotriem Village of Veera-Sholaveram which having been granted for the support of a certain religious ceremoney I am not aware under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1817, that any order of Government is necessary for the Petitioner to seek redress in the Civil Court.—

South Arcot—
Coll.rs Cutcherry—
Cuddalore—
8th October 1844—

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector—

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

To —

13500 Fort St. George 14th October 1844

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government

(44. 45)

To the Chief Secy. to Government —

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government dated the 5th ultimo on Petition No. 1291 of 1844 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying letter from the Collector of South Arcot from which it will appear that the case of the Petitioner comes under the provisions of Regulation VII of 1817 the Shotrium to which it relates having been granted for religious purposes and consequently the permission of Government is not required to enable the Petitioner to prosecute her suit in the Civil Court: —

Revenue Board's office
Fort St. George ——
14th October 1844 ——

/ Signed / C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

J. G. B

13501. Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Read the following letter.

To

C. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From Lt. H. C. Montgomery Bart
Collector of Tanjore — —

Sir

I have the honor to request I may receive sanction to return to Mr. Bertrand, a French Missionary residing at Negapatam the sum of 30 Rupees being part of a sum of 44 Rupees which by an error of the Manigar of the Frontier chowkey near Nagoor, was levied as duty on a Cask of Claret brought by this Gentleman from Karricaul.

† Copy enclosed.

The Manigar it will be seen by the letter of the Head Assistant Collector who enquired into the Complaint brought by Mr. Bertrand, supposed the Cask to contain spirits and charged Mr. Bertrand duty at one rupee per Gallon whereas, as wine it is only liable to a duty of 20 per Cent or Rupees 14 at the Tariff valuation of 70 Rupees. The excess sum having been received into the Treasury I am necessitated to make this application in order that it

13502. Fort St George 14th October 1824.

it may be refunded to Mr Bertrand.

Tanjore, — } Signed H C Montgomery
Vellum — — — } Collector.
7th October 1824

No 1.

To The Collector of Tanjore.

Sir

I have the Honor to enclose a letter from Mr Bertrand a French Missionary at this place Complaining of the Excessive duty that has been charged on a Cask of Red Wine introduced into Tanjore by him on the 22d May last. The amount of duty charged was Rupees 44 and one Rupee was added for the Rowannah making the sum paid by Mr Bertrand Rupees 45. I have made enquiries as to the reason why this excessive duty was levied instead of the usual one of Rupees 20 per Cent and it appears that the Manigar at Nagore supposed the Cask to contain spirits, though he had no reason for such a supposition, and charged the duty at the rate of one Rupee per Gallon taking the contents of the Cask to be 44 Gallons. The Original Cost of the wine

13503. Fort St George 14th October 1824.

wine Mr Bertrand states to be less than the duty charged. He now begs that, as to avoid delay he paid the amount of duty levied by the Manigar, the balance that will remain after duty has been levied at 20 per Cent, the Wine being valued in the Tariff at 70 Rupees per Cask, may be returned to him; The amount Collected by the Manigar from Mr Bertrand has been paid into the Treasury.

Negapatam, — } Signed G Ellis
September 21st 1824 } Head Assistant Collector.

Monsieur le Magestrat.

Je prends la liberté de m'adresser à vous pour faire rectifier une erreur commise par les douaniers à notre détriment. Le 22 Mai j'arrivai à Nagour de Karikal avec une Barrique de vin de Bordeaux, les douaniers reconnurent que le tonneau n'étoit pas plein et évaluèrent le contenu à trois quarts d'une Barrique et, cependant pour ces 3/4 de Barrique ils exigerent 45 Roupies de droit d'entrée. Je fus très étonné d'un tarif si excorbitant, mais ne pus me dispenser de payer. Depuis lors j'ai eu occasion de m'informer du veritable

tarif

Fort St George 14th October 1844.

tarif et au reconpar qu'on avait exigés de moi plus du double de ce que l'on exige ordinairement, cette somme. Il me coute beaucoup plus que le vin ne coute a Pondecherry. Comme nous sommes souvent dans le cas de faire venir ici du vin pour l'usage des Missionnaries. il nous importe de ne pas laisser subsister un antecedent fausp sur le quel les douaniers pourroient s'appuyer dans la suite pour nous tracasser. c'est pourquoi je vous prie de vouloir bien examiner et rectifier cette erreur.

J'ai l'honneur d'etre avec haute consideration.

Monsieur le Majistrat

Votre tres humble

et devoute serviteur.

Negapatam

18th 7bre 1844.

/ Signed J. Bertrand

S. J. M.

(46-47)

Resolution thereon.

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.—

Under the circumstances stated the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the refund of Rupees 30-- : being the amount erroneously overcharged as duty on a cask of Claret brought by Mr. Bertrand from Karricaul to Negpte.—

Read

Fort St George 14th October 1844.

Read the following letter—

To C. L. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

(48)

From A. P. Onslow Esqre Collr of Trichinopoly } Sir,

Herewith returned

In acknowledging the receipt of the Board's endorsement on petition No. 975 of 1844 presented by Ariff ally, I have the honor to state that agreeably to the sentiments expressed in the Board's proceedings of the 1st October 1840 the petitioner was placed in possession of all the land which, as far as can be discovered, constitutes the Enam in question, consisting of Cawnies 8-87 10/16 Goolies —

In his petition under consideration he seems to lay claim to a larger quantity — but as the lands he claims are not to be traced by means of the accounts and he cannot point out where they are situated — and as he has possession of the entire extent which his predecessor enjoyed his claims are not I submit deserving of further notice.—

Trichinopoly — Collr's Office 30th Septr 1844.

/ Signed A. P. Onslow

Collector — Ordered

Fort St George 14th October 1844.

Order thereon.

(48-49)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 976 of 1844.

It appears from the Collectors report that Petitioner is in possession of the entire extent of land constituting his Enam.

(50)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Read letter submitting an application from his Head Assistant Mr W. A. Molle requesting leave of absence for six weeks under the provisions of section XI Regulation XII (amended) of the absentee Rules.

(50-51)

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

To C. L Lowell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George.

(52)

From Wm J. Blackburne Esq Pl Collr of Madura. Sir I have the Honor to request instructions on the subject of my letter of the 28th Ultimo deprecating the subjection of His Excellency the Rajah Bahadur's territories to

Fort St George 14th October 1844.

to the imposition of customs on various grounds. My apology for recurring so early to the subject is that custom houses have been established on the frontiers of their sides by the Collectors of Trichinopoly and Tanjore; and because of the earnest hope of the Poodoocottay Authorities officially made to me as Political agent that the measure might not be persevered in.—

Madura 28th September 1844. } (signed) J. Blackburne Principal Collector.

Resolution thereon. The Board observe that

(52-53)

the orders of Government resolving not to treat the Gondwanah state as a foreign territory were communicated to the Principal Collector under date 5th Instant.

(54)

From the Principal Collr of Madura. Read Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in fusly 1253 and sanctioned by the Board of Revenue.

(54-55)

Order thereon. Ordered to lie over.

Read the following letter. To

13508. Fort St George 14th October 1844.

To

C. L. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary

to the

Board of Revenue.

56

From the Pl Collr of Coimbatore. Sir, Para 1. In reply to yours letter of the 5th Instant, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board, that the amount recovered from Venkatakistniah late superintendent of Tobacco in this District Viz. Rupees 225-13-1 has not as yet been carried to account. It is held in deposit pending the examination of the accounts of that Department which having been completed. I request the authority of the Board to adjust the said amount in satisfaction

13509 to 511. Fort St George 14th October 1844.

satisfaction of the deficiency exhibited in the Memorandum appended to para 6 of my communication to the Board dated 25th January 1844 No 8. Otherwise it will become necessary to write off that amount. Viz. 225-13-1 from the Public Accounts to the head of "Profit and Loss" which under the circumstances of the case does not appear to me called for, and against which I very respectfully beg to object on the score of example.—

2. The ease with which frauds can be committed in the Tobacco Department is notorious, and renders not only prompt but exemplaire punishment necessary to protect the interests of Government.—

Coimbatore — — — }
Pl Collrs Office, — — }
26th September 1844 }

(Signed) J. C. Stroughton
Print. Collector.

Ordered

13572 Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Order thereon
56.57

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read the following letters.

To C. L. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

58

From the Collr of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board of Revenue that a Certificate for compensation for the suppression of Salt manufactured at Eroongoonum amounting to Rupees 20-1-" per Annum, No 19 was granted to Seagaroy Naick on the 7th January 1817. He drew the allowance regularly upto the 11th July 1840, and has now applied for the arrears due for the subsequent period, adding that he did not make earlier application on account of his absence from Madras, as the period, however, within which payments can be made without special sanction has expired in this case, I request the necessary authority for the disbursement of Rupees 80-4-" being the accumulated arrears for four years, or from the 12th July 1820 to the 11th July 1844.

The

13573 Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

2. The certificate No 19 was issued for the amount exhibited in No 15 in the list submitted to the Board on the 1st April 1843.

Madras 30th September 1844 } Signed J. A. Hudleston Collector.

Resolution thereon.
58.59

Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted for the orders of the Most Nble the Governor in Council.

Under the circumstances stated by the Collector the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of arrears of Salt compensation allowance due to Seagaroy Naick from 12th July 1820 to 11th July 1844 amounting to Rupees 80-4-"

To C. L. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

60

From J. A. Hudleston Esqre Collr of Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue a Statement in the prescribed form, shewing the quantity of Salt stored and sold in Fasly 1253, with the actual wastage thereon, and to request that, under the explanation therein contained the sanction of Government may be obtained for my writing off the total amount of the Wastage in question, which is specified in Cl. 6 @ 64-129-7¾

Enclosure A.

Madras 7 October 1844 } Signed J. A. Hudleston Collector.

Statement

13574.

Statement shewing for July 1253

| Name of the Cotaurs or Depot | July in which stated | | |

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

some of the points adverted to by you, from the Collectors of the Districts between which this range of Hills is divided. Viz.t. Coimbatore and Malabar.— From the former Officer replies to five of your queries have been received, copies of which I beg leave to annex, but, from the latter I learnt that all the records of the Neilgherries having been made over to the Principal Collector of Coimbatore, that authority was the best to whom I could apply.—

I now proceed to communicate such information as I possess upon the other subjects referred to in your letter, and first, as to "the immediate object contemplated from the Revenue Survey of the Neilgherries" The only definition of this is to be found in a Despatch from the Honorable Court of Directors, dated 21st June 1843, conveying instructions to the Madras Government on the subject of grants of lands to settlers on the Neilgherry Hills, which the Honorable Court considers in so "many"

respects

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

respects similarly circumstanced as those in the Deyrah Doon and Gerruckpore" as to induce them to "transmit a copy of a Despatch recently addressed to the Government of India upon the subject for the information and guidance" of the Government of Madras. The Despatch in question also conveys the sentiments of the Honorable Court upon a point referred to them by this Government affecting the prescriptive rights of the "Todas" a small tribe believed to be the aborigines of the Hills, over the lands embraced by the Plateau of the Neilgherries, and the claims to compensation advanced by parties on their behalf, for waste or pasture tracts granted to settlers for purposes of cultivation, and it directs the Government before attempting to carry out the instructions given on this head, to obtain a detailed Survey and Map of the Neilgherry Hills, distinguishing the lands in the occupation of Government and private individuals, those brought under

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

under cultivation by the "Burghers"/ the most numerous tribe of Native Settlers here/, "those of which are to be left in the permanent occupation of the Todas, and those entirely unoccupied, over a portion of which the "Todas" have been accustomed to pasture their herds:" In the arrangement for allotments and apportionments of lands, the Honorable Court seem to point to the Deyrah Doon and Gerruckpore Survey as a standard for guidance.—

With reference to Query 6th in your letter I may state that this District presents every feature distinguishing a Mountainous region, forming a tract of ceaseless undulations, rising into precipitous and lofty ridges 2 and 3000 feet elevated above the adjacent land, or stretching out into extensive and easy slopes covered with the richest pasturage. Champaign Country there is none, cultivation being carried on upon the lower slopes of the numerous Villages where the soil is the richest, and here also are for the most part found the

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

the habitation sites of the Villages of the Burghers, the principal agricultural race on these Hills.— This description does not however apply to those inhabited by the "Todas" the sites of which seem selected more with the view to the picturesque and sylvan character of the surrounding scenery, and to the propinquity of forests, than to the advantages of the habitat for agricultural or social purposes.—

Query 10th

With regard to Query 10th I should say generally, that the Country is not "well cultivated," but considering the paucity of its agricultural population, the quantity of land which has been brought under the plough may well be called great. Except in one part of these Hills, called the "Kerridahs," there is surprisingly little Forest and wood land, the belts in which trees are found of any size or luxuriance of growth following the indentations in the Hills and the sinuosities of the Valleys in a manner which leads the observer to conclude that their

scarcity

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Scarcity may be attributed to the desolating influ-
:ance of the fierce winds which sweep over these Hills
during the South west Monsoon.- Wherever the
virgin soil has not been disturbed by Culti-
:vation pasture grass of the richest description
is found, and from what I have observed
of the almost unvarying richness of the soil,
and from the variety of climate afforded by
the different levels on which the vallies are
situated. I am inclined to believe that the
District contains every elements requisite
for a prosperous and valuable settlement -
and one more especially adapted to the wants
habits and enterprize of Europeans.—

Query 11th.

The Geological structure of
these Hills is exclusively primitive, granite,
sienite, greiss, and hornblende rock forming
the nuclei or Masses, intersected in many
places by extensive dykes or veins of basalt,
and other rocks of the Trap class.- The covering
of this formation consists of the soils generally
produced by the decomposition of the rocks enume-
rated, in most places, though in some I observe
laterite

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

laterite making its appearance in a more or less
indurated form.— Some iron ore, hematitic and
magnetic, exists in this District, but whether
to any extent likely to render it available
for economic purposes I have not as yet
had opportunities of ascertaining owing to
the impossible nature of the Country in the
vicinity of the mineral deposits during the present
prevailing Monsoon. No Limestone has, as yet,
been discovered, and the only other mineral pro-
:duction which appears to be turned to any ac-
:count by the inhabitants is a species of coarse
Kaolin, existing in reteform deposits in many
places, and which affords a good ingredient
for whitewashing walls of buildings. Regard-
:ing the vegetable productions of the Neilgherries,
I have only to remark that these appear
to be few that are indigenous to the temperate
climates of Europe which cannot be successfully
raised here. Wheat / bearded / Barley, some
Oats, Millet and many Native dry grains, Turnips,
Beet root, and every description of domestic Vegeta-
-bles are produced on the drained levels and lower

slopes

Fort St George. 14th October 1844.

slopes of the Vallies, and a more extended market appears alone wanting to induce the inhabitants to bring a far more considerable portion of the District into Cultivation than is at present registered for Assessment.

The esctreme length of the District entitled The "Neilgherries" is about 40 Miles, and the esctreme breadth about 21, and the superficial area which it appears necessary to survey may be estimated at about 1200 Square Miles.

I duly note the remarks in Para 12 of your letter regarding the System upon which the detailed revenue Survey of these Hills is to be carried on, and I shall be glad to receive the instructions you allude to as being in course of preparation by the Surveyor General relative to the plan upon which the preliminary Trigonometrical operations are to be pursued.—

The inclemency of the weather at the present season, and the almost constant cloudiness of the atmosphere do not admit of much activity in carrying these on; but having renewed several

Fort St George 14th October 1844.

of the old points of Major Ward, Colonel Mackenzie, with much difficulty, owing to the dissimilarity of the names given to them in their Asap, and those by which they are known to the Natives, and assumed from their measurements a base between two of them, "Do-dabetta" and "Kouridamogay" of 22219 feet. I have to enable me to give some employment to the three Sub Assistant Surveyors who have already joined, extended from this several subordinate triangles in an Easterly and South Easterly direction, where the rain in this Monsoon is not so constant; and the details of these are being filled in as the weather permits.— The scale upon which I proposed to the Board of Revenue of Madras to construct the general Map of the Neilgherries is 1000 One thousand feet to an inch conceiving that for Revenue purposes a coincidence of feet and inches would be more convenient than one of Miles; but upon this point I shall doubtless receive instructions from the Surveyor General or Yourself.—

Neilgherries.
24th September 1844.

(Signed) J. Ouchterlony Lieutt.
Revenue Surveyor Neilgherries.

J

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to your letter of the 30th Ultimo to forward a Memorandum containing information on the several points referred to in the Deputy Surveyor General of India's letter of the 9th Ultimo.—

Coimbatore, Pr. Collr's Office, 9th September 1844

I have &c.
(Signed) J. L. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

Memorandum.

Query—

Whether the County of Cherry Hills form a Taluk to be surveyed is which is designated the divided into Districts, Neilgherry Taluk. It is again into Purgunnahs, and so on into divided into three Naads or Divisions Viz. Maik Naad, Taluks and Villages. Suda naad, and Peringa Naad, to each of which are

Or what is the designation of the District sub divisions?

many small dependencies or Mizrahs: the annexed Memorandum A will exhibit the number of these Hamlets belonging to each Division or Naad. Independent of these three other Villages situated

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

situated at the base of the Hills on the North side also are comprehended in the Taluk Viz. Segoor, Sembanuttum, and Boodemuttum, their dependent Hamlets are three, the names of which are also shewn in the Memorandum.

Whether the boundary circuits of the Districts of the large sub divisions and those of the Village professions be defined and clearly marked off—

Each Division or Naad, has its respective limits assigned to it. there are no boundary Stones or other artificial works, but in the oldest accounts prepared by the Surveyors, Streams, Trees, rocks, and such other natural land marks indicate the boundaries. Vide Statement B accompanying. which the Inhabitants are bound to respect. the disputes which frequently occur among the Ryots of

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

Sir,

I have the honor, with reference to your letter of the 30th Ultimo to forward a Memorandum containing information on the several points referred to in the Deputy Surveyor General of India's letter of the 9th Ultimo. —

Coimbatore, Collr's Office, 9th September 1844

[Signed] J. L. Wroughton
Principal Collector.

Memorandum.

Query-

Whether the County to be surveyed is divided into Districts again into Purgunnahs, and so on into Talooks and Villages.

Or what is the designation of the District Sub divisions?

The whole of the Neilgherry Hills form a Talook which is designated the Neilgherry Talook. It is divided into three Naads or Divisions Viz. Maik Naad, Juda naad, and Perunja Naad, to each of which are many small dependencies or Mezrahs: the annexed Memorandum A will exhibit the number of these Hamlets belonging to each Division or Naad. Independent of these three other Villages situated

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

situated at the base of the Hills on the North side also are comprehended in the Talook Viz. Segoor, Sembanuttum, and Boodemuttum, their dependent Hamlets are three, the names of which are also shewn in the Memorandum.

Each Division or Naad has its respective limits assigned to it. there are no boundary Stones or other artificial works, but in the oldest accounts prepared by the Surveyors, Streams, Trees, rocks, and such other natural land marks indicate the boundaries. Vide Statement B accompanying. which the Inhabitants are bound to respect. the disputes which frequently occur among the Ryots of

Whether the boundary circuits of the Districts of the large sub divisions and those of the Village professions be defined and clearly marked off.

Fort St. George 14th October 1844.

of the two neighbouring Villages for the same land are adjusted with reference to the Accounts abovementioned, which up to this time, has afforded general satisfaction to the parties concerned. No regular and professional Survey appears to have hitherto been made.—

In some common Standard of Measure in use between the Government and the Sudder Malgozars, i.e. those who engage for and pay the revenue, or do the measures fluctuate. Should a Standard Measure obtain, what is its designation and value in square Yards.—

* This measurement applies to dry lands. Wet lands are measured by Cawnies.—

The Standard of Measure in use on the Fields and Lands between the Government, and the Ryots is the same: it is distinguished by the local term of Bullah, Consisting of 166464 Square feet or 18496 Square Yards = the assessment on each Bullah varies from a Maximum of Rupees 3-8-4 to a Minimum of Rs. A. P. —9-5- or Rupees 2—11—10 upon the average. The Lands taken up by Europeans

Fort St. George. 14th October 1844.

Europeans and other non native settlers for building and agricultural purposes on the terms of the Quit-Rent rules are distinguished by a different measurement. Viz.t Cawnies, Grounds and Square feet. each Cawny containing 24 Grounds or 57,600 Square feet the Quit Rent in this instance amounts to 5¼ Rupees a Cawny for the site of Buildings and Rupees 1-2-4 a Cawny for land appropriated for agricultural purposes. The Survey Accounts referred to in the answer to question No. 2 shew the capability of each Village in Bullahs only, Bullah being equal to 2 11/18 Cawnies.

No Settlement of an entire Village or Taluk is made in this District, which being Ryotwar, the Settlement of Revenues effected annually with each proprietor of land with reference to the extent, classification and quality of his holding.

The Country is under the immediate Control of Government Officers and without the intervention of a single Sayhuredar or Renter.

Settlement annually made on the entire extent of a Taluk or Village on an Estimate. Or is it made Ryotwar i.e. with each Cultivator according to the area and quantity of land he cultivates.—

Is the Country generally under the immediate Control of Government Officers or under Sayhuredars or others.—

Coimbatore, Pr. Coll.r Office 9th September 1844.

Signed J. C. Stoughton
Princ.l Collector
Last

13528-

Fort St. George, 14th October, 1844-

A.

List shewing the Names of the Villages and Hamlets forming the Nulghurry Talook in the District of Coimbatore.-

Totanaad Village	1
Cadanad Hamlets	1
Terrachagody	1
Pungorchy	1
Canadalay	1
Cookul	1
Hebbanad	1
Coonoor	1
Ooctoo Solliam	1
Toodagooly	1
Solloor	1
Padoogoolah	1
Mullanad	1
Kekarah	1
Shergarah	1
Cotacamund	1
	15 16.
Parangarad Village	1
Hamlets	
Tondanad	1
Tachanary	1
Poollagoodoo	1
Mailhottah	1
Keelcottah	1
Nadoogoolah	1
Blackoo	1
Bogeemoody	1
Codoonad	1
Edehorad	1
Toombeemalay	1
Kengaray	1
Calygaray	1
Ichtalum	1
Takatalah	1
Hoobboodalay	1
Conore	1
Budmy	1
Salatodawo	1
	19 20
Marhanad Village	1
Hamlets	
Maloor	1
Keloor	1
Addykurhutty	1
Kotary	1
Tamlahutty	1
Tooddoor	1
Koolycul	1
Kurtes	1
Kotymalay	1
	9 10
Boothinuttum Village	1
Hamlets	
Hoondy	1
Carahunhully	1
	2 3
Segoor Village	1
Kelputham Hamlet	1
	1 2
Bembanuttum Village	1
Kaitanapoorum Hamlet	1
	1 2
	53

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton-
Principal Collector-

13529- Fort St. George, 14th October, 1844.

B.

Description of Boundaries of the Sub Divisions of the Neilgherries-

East of Codookullah stream contiguous to Nedoobettah South of the Tamarind tree and Granaladakullah stream in the limits of Segoor, west of Ellakutty limits and North of Sopake-Mullah Stream and a high ground distinguishing the limits of Nair road and a Peringarand and also the Koondah Mountain-

East of Baccooly Mullah Stream, South of Ellacumbey Potemarum, west of Orakimullah Stream, and North of Janalay Hill-

North of Paria Pullum of Teradcombay, South of Cookalollahubly limits in Tudamand, west of Palanteombay-Malay and East of Puttarapulum Stream of the Katta Village-

East of Mayar River, west of the Segoor River, South of the Mayar River and North of the Attoocoolay Hill-

East of Arahutty Cottay, west of Poodoovamarum Mullah, South of Attoocundoo Hill, and North of Poodocattieparapullum Stream-

East of Poodooparapullum Stream, west of Attaetorey road and the base of the Ghaut, South of the Mayar River and North of the foot of the Tudamand Hill-

Signed J. C. Kroughton
Principal Collector-

Ordered

13530. Fort St. George, 14th October 1844.

Ordered to be brought forward

Order thereon
(Read again inforc: 28th Oct.)
(62. 63)

at a future meeting. The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statement noted below and to record their remarks therein-

From the Collector of Malabar- Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of fusly 1253-
(64)

Ordered that the necessary

Order thereon.
(64. 65)

remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement and submitted for the sanction of Government-

Read the undermentioned Statements-

From the Pro Coll. of Coimbatore - Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 4th Quarter of fusly 1253-
(66)

From the Pro Coll. of Coimbatore - Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Magisterial Department in the 4th Quarter of fusly 1253-
(67)

Order on the two abov: Statements. Resolved that the
(66. 68)

necessary orders be passed-

Read the undermentioned Statements-

From the Collector of Vizagapatam - Statement shewing
(69)

the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 30th September last-

From

13531- Fort St. George, 14th October 1844.

From the Asst. Coll.r of Rajahmundry - Statement shewing
70 the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 30th
September last -

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam - Statement shewing
71 the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 30th
September last -

From the Coll.r of Tanjore - Statement shewing the
72 quantity of Salt sold from 1st to 15th September
last -

From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura - Statement shewing
73 the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 30th
September last -

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly - Statement shewing
74 the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 30th
September last -

From the Asst. Pr. Coll.r of Canara - Statement shewing
75 the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 30th
September last -

From the Coll.r of Malabar - Statement shewing
76 the quantity of Salt sold from 16th to 30th
September last -

Order on the eight abov.e Statements - Ordered to lie over
69-77

From the Coll.r in the Asst. Dist. of Arcot - Register of
78 Endorsements passed by him on Petitions
referred by the Board during the Month of
September last -

13532- Fort St. George, 14th October 1844.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly - Register of Endorsements
79 passed by him on Petitions referred by
the Board during the Month of August
last -

Order on the two abov.e Registers - Ordered to be lodged
78-80 in the Office -

Read the undermentioned Lists -

From the Coll.r of Malabar - List of letters addressed
81 to the Board and remaining unanswered
to the 30th September last -

From the Coll.r of Malabar - List of letters received
82 from the Board and remaining unan-
-swered to the 30th September last -

Order on the two abov.e Lists - Ordered to be lodged
81-83 in the Office -

Resolved that the
following letters be despatched -

To the Collector of Masulipatam -

To the Coll.r of Masulipatam } Sir,
84 of the 2nd September last - I am directed
by the Board of Revenue to recall your
attention to the submission of the revised
Moyen Jabitah of the Establishment for
the Management of the Vennaottah -
and

13533- Fort St. George, 14th October, 1844

and Goodevadah Purganahs in your Collectorate.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th October 1844.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

To,
The Collector of
South Arcot.

85
To the Coll.r of South Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Appeniengar of Veroovendepoo-ram in South Arcot, and with reference to the Orders of Government thereon of date the 10th Instant, to request you to report regarding the particular nature of the Maunium to which it relates, and whether there is any objection to the Petitioner's claim being referred for decision to the Civil Courts.

Board No. 22 of 1844.
Govt. No. 1410

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th October 1844.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

To,
The Collector of
Trichinopoly.

86
To the Coll.r of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition from Chellapah Sastrien residing in Ammahpuntty in Trichinopoly, and with reference to the Orders of Government thereon of date the 10th Instant, to request you to report regarding the particular nature of the Maunium to which it relates and whether there is any objection to the Petitioner's claim being referred for decision to the Civil Courts.

Board No. 23 of 1844.
Govt. No. 1435

13534- Fort St. George, 14th October 1844.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
14th October 1844.

(Signed) C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous Letters and Statements.

87
From the Coll.r in the Sn. Dn. of Arcot. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX. of 1832 for the month of August last.

88
From the Coll.r of Bellary. Reporting that no persons have been either charged or confined under Regulation IX. of 1822 during the Month of August last.

89
From the Coll.r of Bellary. Stating that no persons have either been suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII. of 1831 during the Month of August last.

90
From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX. of 1832 for the Month of September last.

From

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura. Return of fines
(91) imposed and Stoppages made from the
pay of Public Servants for the quarter ending
30th September last.

From the Pt. Coll.r of Madura. Stating that certain
(92) Returns for the Month of September last
are not sent there being no entry to make.

From the Coll.r of Malabar. Statement shewing the
(93) smuggled Tobacco recommended for Confis-
=cation for the Month of August last.

Order thereon.

Ordered that the confiscati=
(93-94) =on and sale of the smuggled Tobacco enume=
rated in the abovementioned Statement be
authorized.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Return of persons
(95) charged with Offences under Regulation IX
of 1822 for the Month of August last.

From the Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Informing that no persons
(96) was suspended or dismissed under Regulation
VII of 1831 for the Month of August last.

" Robertson.

Diary

15th October. Received the following Extract.

(1) From the Chief Sec.y to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th October 1844.

Read the following letter

from the Civil Auditor.

Reports that there is no objection to a compliance with the application of (Here enter Mr. Molle Head Assistant Collector 14th October 1844 of Trichinopoly for six Weeks Leave of (No. 1154) absence under Sections XI and XII (amended) of the Absentee Rules.

The Government observe that Mr. Molle has omitted to state to what place he proposed to proceed on leave of absence, and desire that he may be called upon to supply this information through the Board of Revenue.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary.

(1-2) Resolution thereon 16th Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultations be transmitted to the Collector of Trichinopoly for communication to his Head Assistant Mr. Molle who will be pleased to furnish the information required by

by Government.

3
From the Coll.r of Bellary Received letter submitting an application from the Sub Collector Mr Pelly requesting leave of absence for 2 Months preparatory to proceeding to England on Furl.

3-4
Order thereon, Ordered to be submitted the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

Thursday 17th October 1844 } At a Meeting Present

John Dent Esqre Senior Member
A Robertson Esqre Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 21st and entered in Consultation 30th January 1843-

5
Order thereon, Ordered that transcript of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Collector of Malabar for his information and guidance in reference to the Proceedings of the Board under date. 15th December 1842.-

Refering to Para 5 of the above minutes

Minutes of Consultation, before giving final instructions as to the period when the Gardens should undergo inspection the Board would be glad to receive Mr Conolly's opinion upon this point as also for what period he considers that Gardens newly enclosed should be exempted from tax.-

The Board will be glad to receive this information at as early a period as Mr Conolly may be able to afford it.-

Read the following Extracts.

6
From the Chief Secy to Govt Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Cuddapah.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 30th September 1844.-

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue Relative to Settlement of Land Revenue in district of Cuddapah for Fusly 1252.- A.D. 1842/43 (Here enter 12 Septr 1844 No 406)

Para 1. Although the season appears to have been generally unfavorable occasioning a considerable decrease in the land Revenue as compared with the preceding Fusly, the Most Noble the Governor in Council is happy to remark that this decrease, as

Fusly 1251	Rupees	21,42,341-3-7
" 1252	"	20,15,993-5-7
Decrease		1,26,347-14--

as a measure of the prosperity of the district is rather nominal than actual, in as much as it arises solely from the fact of the comparison being instituted with a period of extraordinary production and prosperity while in reality the results of the year exceed the average, either of the 5 or 9 years immediately prior to that Fasly, and may therefore be considered as evidence of the real and substantial welfare of the district, and of the existence and operation of causes, which notwithstanding temporary difficulties enable it to make steady progress.-

9 Years.
Average from 1243 to 1251 — 19,24,606-1-.
Fasly — 1252 — 20,15,993-5-7
Increase — 91,387-4-7.

5 Years.
Average from 1247 to 1251 — 19,88,768-12-8
Fasly — 1252 — 20,15,993-5-7
Increase — 27,224-8-11

2. The Government concurs with the Board of Revenue in the necessity of adhering to the standing orders of 7th June 1824 relative to the relinquishment of superior for the occupation of inferior descriptions of Land.-

His

3. His Lordship in Council remarks with satisfaction the very creditable state of the outstanding balances sanctioning as recommended the writing off, the sum of Rupees three thousand one hundred and one, annas seven, and pice two. (3,101-7-2) as recommended by them.

Balance of Fasly 1252 } 1586-15-4.
Old balances 3843-4-4.

4. In the proceedings under review one other subject alone calls for any remark. Viz: that of the "New Wells" which however His Lordship in Council forbears to enter upon in this place expecting the general report on that subject alluded to by the Board of Revenue on receipt of which having the matter more fully before him he will be better able to express his opinion thereon, while in the mean time he concurs in the propriety of the modifications in the rules of the Collector suggested by the Board of Revenue

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Resolved

Fort St George 17th October 1844

6.7 Resolution thereon.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 12th September last.

8 From the chief Sec^{y} to Gov^{t}

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st October 1844

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 12th July 1843. a letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Salem stating that some of the Enamdars in that District are permitted the enjoyment of the Moturpha and Loom taxes but that no compensation is granted in any instance in lieu of such taxes and though the Jaghiredar of Ammapolliem continued some time to receive them, he is not entitled to receive them by the terms of his Sunnads.

(Here enter 9th September 1844 No 1404)

Para 1

The Most Noble the Governor

Fort St George 17th October 1844

in Council is satisfied from the information furnished in the foregoing letter that Syed Ahmed Shoottary Jaghiredar of Ammapolliem, in the Salem District has no claim to the Moturpha and Loom Taxes in his Jaghire. and desires accordingly that the Collection of the former Tax may be resumed on account of Government.

2 The Board of Revenue will cause the foregoing decision to be communicated to the Jaghiredar and instruct the Collector of Salem to adopt the same course with respect to all those Enamdars in his District who are not entitled to collect the Moturpha and Loom taxes of the terms of their Sunnads.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

A true Extract
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Resolved

13543 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Resolution thereon.

(8.9)

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it refers be furnished for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Salem.-

Mr. Gleig will communicate the decision of Government to the Jaghiredar of Ammah-pollium, and will give effect to the instructions contained in Para 2.-

To

13544 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

Gentlemen

(10)

From the Chief Sec.t to Government } Para 1.

I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to request that you will at your earliest convenience transmit to Government a Statement shewing the extent of Land cultivated annually with wet, Dry grain and Garden produce in each of the Districts under this Presidency during the last 15 years and specifying the increase and decrease under each description of cultivation during this period.-

2. You will be pleased to add a Column shewing the average assessment on each description of land so cultivated per Acre, or Cawney or whatever measurement may be in use in each District.-

Fort St. George 5th October 1844.

(10.11)

[Signed] G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

Order thereon.

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting.-

[Read again in Cons.t 24th Oct.r]

Extract.

12

From the Chief Sec^t to Gov^t — Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Malabar for Fuslies 1251 & 1252.

Fort S^t George 17^th October 1844

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation — under date the 8^th October 1844.

Read the following Extract from the proceedings of the Board of Revenue — Relative to Settlement of Land Revenue in the District of Malabar for Fuslies (Here enter 24^th August 1844 R. 371)

1251 - A.D. 1841/42
1252 - - - 42/43

In both of the Fuslies under review the season appears to have been generally favorable. The Land Revenue Settlement of 1251 exhibits an increase, that of 1252 a decrease when compared with that of 1250 and 1251 a circumstance which is accounted for by the failure of the November rains which materially injured the up land cultivation in the latter Fusly, in which however the season was so favorable to Garden cultivation as to admit without difficulty of the realization of the entire demand.

1250 - 16,48,619 - 5 - 1
1251 - 16,52,947 - 11 - 1
Increase 4,328 - 6 -
1250 - 16,48,619 - 5 - 1
1252 - 16,40,714 - 11 - 8
Decrease 7,904 - 9 - 5
1251 - 16,52,947 - 11 - 1
1252 - 16,40,714 - 11 - 8
Decrease 12,232 - 15 - 5

2 The Most Noble the Governor in Council concurring in the satisfaction expressed by the Board of Revenue at the smallness of the outstanding balances sanctions the writing off of the sum of Rupees two hundred and eighty eight and annas eight (288 - 8 -) reported in Para 9. of

1241 - 10 - - - -
1243 - 21 - 11 - 8
1246 - 45 - 9 - 11
1247 - 38 - 9 - 6
1248 - 14 - 5 - 5
1249 - 27 - 14 - 9
1250 - 130 - 4 - 9
288 - 8 - -

Fort S^t George 17^th October 1844.

of their proceedings as irrecoverable and will be glad to receive from them the explanations shewn in that Para as well as in Paras 3 - 6 - and 24 to be necessary in regard to other items of proposed remission -

His Lordship in Council

3. fully concurs with the Board in the expediency of methodising the Cowle system, as far as circumstances may render it practicable. He is of opinion also that the principle which forbids the grant of a Cowle - by which he concludes is meant a "Cowle Chartee or Jurrum" to any Ryot relinquishing his usual holding is just and equitable, and should form part of such system -

It is satisfactory to observe to

that altho' for the reason above noted the Land Revenue of Fusly 1252 fell below that of 1251, yet that the general result of all sources of Revenue exhibits an increase in the latter over the former periods -

1251 - 31,51,580 - 3 - 10
1252 - 31,98,021 - 14 - 5
Increase 46,441 - 10 - 7

5. His Lordship in Council agrees with the Board of Revenue in the expediency

Fort St George 17th October 1844

expediency of preserving the integrity of the Survey accounts a purpose which while every proper indulgence may be shewn to the cultivator is fully answered by adopting the mode prescribed by the Board in the 23d Para of their proceedings under review the remissions being reported annually for sanction.

6. The Government in conclusion would remark that no cause is assigned, or appears, for the delay which has occasioned proceedings relative to Fusly 1251 to be laid before them simultaneously with those connected with Fusly 1252 - the report of the Collector having been before the Board since the 21st November 1842.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

(A true Extract
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

12-13

Resolution thereon:- Resolved that a copy of Para 1 to 5 of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar with reference to the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated 22nd August last.-

Extracts

13548

14

Fort St George 17th October 1844

From the Chief Secy to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 8th October 1844

Read the following letters.-

Resolving to address the Government of India & the Government of Bombay regarding the necessity of recurring to the rule hitherto in force in respect to the exportation of Salt to Malabar

From the Secretary to the Government of Bombay.-

Stating that instructions have been issued for the Collection at Bombay on all Salt exported to Madras of the full rate of one Rupee per Indian Maund imposed by Act No XVI of 1844 from the 1st Proximo and it is presumed that to this extent an abatement will be allowed from the duty of 3 Rupees per Indian Maund to which the article is liable under Act No VI of 1844 at any of the Ports of the Madras Presidency to which it may be taken.-

(Here enter 31st Augt 1844 No 2926)

From the Sy Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Malabar giving cover to copy of his correspondence with the authorities

(Here enter 19th Septr 1844 No 426)

authorities in Bombay on the subject of Act XVI 1844, and calling the immediate attention of the Board to the effect which the provisions of that enactment will have upon the supply of Salt from Bombay to Malabar and to the Measures to be adopted in consequence, with the Board's recommendation on the subject.

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in Council resolves to refer the subject of the foregoing communications for the considerations and orders of the Government of India, and to recommend that the measure proposed by the Collector of Malabar may be adopted.

2. His Lordship in Council will in the mean time address the Government of Bombay on the subject and urge upon that Government the necessity of recurring to the rule hitherto in force in respect to the exportation of Salt to Malabar.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Sec.t

To, The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Ordered

Order thereon. Ordered that Copy of the

14.15 foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government with the Board's letter therein recorded be furnished for the information of the Collector of Malabar with reference to his letters dated 13th ultimo and 4th and 5th Instant.

16 From the Chief Secs to Govt } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 11th October 1844.

× × ×

The resignation by Mr Ashton of the Office of Principal Collector and Magistrate of Cuddapah having occasioned a permanent vacancy the Most Noble the Governor in Council deems this a fit opportunity to carry out the views of Government expressed in Para 1 of the Extract Minutes Consultation under date the 8th July 1843 N: 732, and in para 22 of the Honorable the Courts Despatch date the 3rd July last N: 17 and he accordingly resolves that the Appointment of Temporary Member of the Board of Revenue shall be discontinued and that Mr Maclean be appointed.

Fort St George 17th October 1844

appointed to the Collectorate of Cuddapah, but to continue to act as third Puisne Judge of the Court of Sudr and Fouzdaree Adalut without prejudice to his allowances as Collector and Magistrate of that District.-

To, The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

16.17

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.-

From the Chief Secy to Government.-

18

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 14th October 1844.-

Read the following letter-

To, G. D. Drury Esqre
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir,

Dated 10th Instant & herewith returned

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department No. 931. and its enclosure, I have the honor by order of the Medical Board to report for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, that as it appears there is now a similar Civil Establishment at Sirsi, as that formerly at Honore, the services of an assistant apothecary are in their opinion, necessary for the performance of the Medical duties

Fort St George 17th October 1844.

duties of the Station.-

Under this arrangement the ser-
2.
-vices of the 2nd Dresser will be unnecessary.-

Fort St George - - - -
19th September 1844.

(Signed) Geo. Pearse M. D.
Secretary Medical Board

Ordered that the foregoing letter with the papers referred to be communicated to the Military Department where instructions will be issued for appointing an Assistant Apothecary to the Civil Establishment at Sirsy to relieve the 2nd Dresser now doing duty at that Station.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

18.19

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated to the Acting Principal Collector of Canara with reference to his letter dated 7th August last.-

20

From the Chief Secy to Govt.-

Directing that a guard of one Duffadar and 6 Peons be furnished by the Collector of North Arcot for the protection of the females of the Goomsur family proceeding to Berhampore and that it be relieved from District to District until their arrival at their destination also directing that an advance

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 15th October 1844.-

Read the following letter.

To, The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to the Minutes of Consultation No. 378 under date the 16th April 1844 I do myself the honor to report for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council that

Fort St George 17th October 1844

advance of five months stipend be made by the Coll of Guntoor to the party on their arrival at that Station.-

that with reference to the Minutes of Consultation above referred to the persons noted in the Margin are making arrangements to avail themselves of the permission of Government therein granted and they wish to proceed to Berhampore so soon as Brindavan Rowter may arrive at Vellore who has written to say, he expects to reach Vellore in about one Month from this date. Brindavan Rowter is the Father of Putta Mahadavee, the married widow of the late Zemindar of Goomsur.-

N:
1 Putta Mahadavee
2 Pedda Rannee
3 Chinna Ranny
4 Soovurnamala Jamah
5 Dyamah, permitted to visit Juggernaud by Minutes of Council 3rd January 1842.-
6 Noovamahadavee
7 Pedda Jamah.
8 Umbicah Jamah
9 Sooriah Hote
10 Mercut Hote, draws no pension-

2

In order to enable these females to proceed on their journey, it will I suppose be necessary that they should be provided with the means of conveyance, and I am consequently beg to forward for sanction Indents on the Commissariat Department for the requisite carriage.-

3.

By a reference to the Minutes of Consultation N: 1133 of date 10th September 1839, you will perceive, that the Palcondah family were supplied

Fort St George 17th October 1844.

supplied with the requisite carriage under similar circumstances, the present party will require to receive a Months Pay in advance with authority to the Collector of Guntoor to pay to them another Months stipend in advance on my pay certificate as they pass through.-

With reference to the last Para. 4.

of Mr Anstruthers letter to your address dated 3rd February 1844. I beg to report that the Ladies of this party have all of them valuable Jewelry in their possession, it would therefore, I am of opinion, be advisable, that the escort provided for their protection should be sufficiently strong to ensure a capable resistance in case of an assault, and I would therefore suggest that one Peon to assist in providing supplies on the Road, and a guard of one Naique and 4 Sepoys be authorized for their protection; or otherwise, one Duffadar and 6 Peons if the party is to be purely civil

5

Authority will be necessary for me to communicate with the various Collectors

Collectors through whose District this party may pass in order to get the Guard of Peons relieved.-

Vellore, Stipend Pay Office, 21st September 1844. - - -

(Signed) Jno. Gibson
Major General
Commanding Vellore

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in Council desires that the Military Board and the Commissary General will issue the necessary instructions for supplying the Carriage &c. required by the Officer Commanding Vellore, for the conveyance of the females of the Goomsur family to Berhampore, and in order to provide for their protection, he further directs that a Guard of one Duffadar and Six Peons be furnished on the Major Generals requisition by the Collector of North Arcot and relieved from District to District until their arrival at their destination.-

2. His Lordship in Council also authorises Major General Gibson to grant an advance of one Months Stipend to the party and directs that a similar advance be made by the Collector of Guntoor on their arrival at

at that Station on the production of a last pay certificate.-

3. The Major General will report whether the parties have agreed to the conditions referred to in Para 1 of the Minutes of Consultation of the 16th April last and furnish the document required from them to this effect.-

(A true Extract).
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

20. 21. Resolution thereon-

Resolved that a copy of Para 3 of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collectors named in the Margin and that copy of Paras 1 and 2 be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Guntoor for his guidance.-

North Arcot
Nellore
Masulipatam
Rajahmundry
Vizagapatam
and
Ganjam.

22

From the Chief Sect to Govt. - - - Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th October 1844.-

Read the following -

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 27th September 1844.-

Read the following letter -

From the Secretary Military Board.

(Here enter 7th September 1844 R: 390)

The Most Noble the Governor in

Collectors through whose District this party may pass in order to get the Guard of Peons relieved.-

Vellore, Stipend Pay Office
21st September 1844. . . .

(Signed) Jno: Gibson
Major General
Commanding Vellore

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in Council desires that the Military Board and the Commissary General will issue the necessary instructions for supplying the Carriage &c. required by the Officer Commanding Vellore, for the conveyance of the females of the Goomsur family to Berhampore, and in order to provide for their protection he further directs that a Guard of one Duffadar and Six Peons be furnished on the Major Generals requisition by the Collector of North Arcot and relieved from District to District until their arrival at their destination.-

2. His Lordship in Council also authorises Major General Gibson to grant an advance of one Months stipend to the party and directs that a similar advance be made by the Collector of Guntoor on their arrival at

at that station on the production of a last pay certificate.-

3. The Major General will report whether the parties have agreed to the conditions referred to in Para 1 of the Minutes of Consultation of the 16th April last and furnish the document required from them to this effect.-

(A true Extract)
(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

20. 21.

Resolution thereon.-

Resolved that a copy of Paras 1 of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Collectors named in the Margin and that copy of Paras 1 and 2 be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Guntoor for his guidance.-

North Arcot
Nellore
Masulipatam
Rajahmundry
Vizagapatam
and
Ganjam.

22

From the Chief Sect to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 15th October 1844.-

Read the following

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 27th September 1844.-

Read the following letter

From the Secretary Military Board.

(Here enter 7th September 1844 R: 390)

The Most Noble the Governor in

13557

Fort St George 17th October 1844

in Council sanctions an advance of Rupees (13,333) Thirteen thousand three hundred and thirty three, being made to Mess.rs Fischer & Co.s being equal to two thirds of the value of Salt petre deliverable into the Gunpowder Manufac-tory during next year. the amount to be paid to that firm from the Treasury at Salem.-

(Signed) G. Fryer. Lt Coll.
At Sec.y to Gov.t

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated thro' the Board of Revenue for the informa-tion and guidance of the Principal Collector of Salem.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Sec.y

22.23

Order thereon

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Prin-cipal Collector of Salem.-

Read the following Resolutions.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th October 1844.-

From Bd 23rd Sept.r

24

From the Chief Sec.y to Gov.t.- Resolved that the Prin-cipal Collector of Cuddapah be autho-rized to pay to Kalil Khan the sum of

13558

Fort St George 17th October 1844

of Rupees (5,714-4-10) Five thousand seven hundred and fourteen, annas four and pice ten being the Revenue realized from the Enam Lands made over to that individual under the orders of Government dated the 2nd April last N.o 327.-

The Portions of the Enam awarded under Decrees of the Zillah Court to the parties referred to in Para 2 of the Board's Pro-ceedings, will on their death, be resumed on ac-count of Government.-

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

24.25

Order thereon.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Resolution of Government and of the Board's Proceedings to which it refers be furnished for the information and guidance of the acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letter dated 20th August last N.o 71.-

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th October 1844.-

(From Bd 26th Sept.r)

26

From the Chief Sec.y to Gov.t.- Ordered that the following Endorsement be communicated to the Petitioner on N.o 1362 of 1844.-

Government having made a reference

reference to the Board of Revenue on this subject, concur with them in thinking that the Rajeenamah produced by Booranood Deen was rightly regarded by the Collector as a legal & valid document. It was open to Petitioner to have proved its forgery by legal proceedings."

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

26. 27

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 14th October 1844.-

28

(From Board 23rd Septr)

From the Chief Secy to Govt.. Ordered that the following Endorsement be communicated to the Petitioner on No 1046 of 1844..

"Permission is given to the Civil Courts to entertain this Suit."

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

28. 29

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.-

30

From the Chief Secty to Government.. Read the following Extract

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 12th October 1844..

Read the following papers.-

From the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras with Copies

(Here enter 26th August 1844 No 392)

copies of the Proceedings of the Acting Deputy Collector Mr Maltby in the investigation relative to the conduct of certain Subordinate Officers of the Collectors Office in an action lately brought in the Supreme Court against the Officer in charge of the Police at the Mount and stating that the Board entirely concur in Mr Maltby's view of the Case..

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue..

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Madras forwarding Copy of a report from his Acting Deputy Mr Maltby stating that no facts were established in his enquiry or were communicated to him by the Law Officers of Government to prove that other Servants of power and influence in the Office besides Moottoo Moodelly and the Maistry Pooniah were engaged or interested in the late actions against the Officers in charge of the Police at St Thomas Mount..

(Here enter 19th Septr 1844 No 422)

The Most Noble the Governor in Council having had under consideration the Proceedings of Mr Maltby in the investigation ordered

Para 1.

to

Fort St. George 17th October 1844

to be instituted into the conduct of certain Subordinates Officers on the Establishment of the Collector of Madras in the action lately brought in the Supreme Court against the Officers in charge of the Police at Saint Thomas' Mount entertains no doubt of the guilt of the individuals named V. Mootoo Moodelly the Superintendent of the Abkarry Department and Ponnoopillay, the Maistry. He accordingly directs that they be dismissed from their situations, from the date of their suspension.

2. The Board of Revenue will direct Mr. Maltby, to place himself in communication with the Honorable Company's Solicitor, with the view of ascertaining, to what other Servants of the Madras Collectors Cutcherry, the observations in the letter of the Advocate General dated 26th April 1844 is applied, and he will report to Government after further enquiry, his opinion, whether there is ground to suppose, that these servants were implicated in the proceedings which have led to the dismissal of the Superintendent and Maistry.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Sec'y to Govt.

To. The President and Members
of the Board of Revenue

30. 31

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letters dated 19th August and 18th September last.

The Collector will be pleased to direct Mr. Maltby's attention to the orders contained in Para 2.

Read

J. G. B.

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Read again the following letter from the Acting Collector of Ganjam to the Board of Revenue in their capacity of Court of Wards reporting on the Settlement of the Purlah Kemedy Zemindary

for Fusleis 1248 - 1249 - 1250 - and 1251 -
A. D. 1838/39 - 1839/40 1840/41 1841/42 -

Here enter 25th January in Cons 12th Feby 1844.
No. 15.

also from the Acting Collector.

Here enter 8th in Cons 22d April 1844.
No. 43.

Submitting the accounts of the same Zemindary

for Fusly 1252
A. D. 1842/43.

32

Proceedings thereon.

Relative to the Settlement of the Purlah Kemedy Zemindary in the District of Ganjam for Fusleis 1248-1249 A. D. 1838/39-1839/40 1250 - 1251 - & 1252 1840/41 1841/42 1842/43.

In the former of these letters the acting Collector has submitted the accounts of the Zemindary for four fusleis at once, but without affording any information as to the system under which the Estate was managed. The subjoined abstract Statement exhibits the Beriz for each Fusly.

	Fusly 1248.		Fusly 1249.		Fusly 1250.		Fusly 1251	
	Cht.	a. p.	Cht.	a. p.	Cht.	a. p.	Cht.	a. p.
Seroyetty	163481	9 4	158882	12 8	160182	14 6	163167	13 10
Extra Seroyetty	188	4 "	202	12 "	172	12 "	169	" "
Advce Kunjah or Sungle Tax	394	11 6	390	" 6	382	11 6	382	11 6
	164062	8 10	159475	9 2	160738	6 "	163719	9 4
Cattoobadies of agraharums &c.	17137	12 6	17174	12 6	17186	1 2	17201	1 2
	181202	5 4	176650	5 8	177924	7 2	180920	1
Motarpha	6788	10 "	6642	1 2	6744	3 7	6765	4 4
Total.	187990	15 4	183192	6 10	184668	10 9		

The

Fort St. George 17th October 1844

to be instituted into the conduct of certain Subordinates Officers on the Establishment of the Collector of Madras in the action lately brought in the Supreme Court against the Officers in charge of the Police at Saint Thomas' Mount entertains no doubt of the guilt of the individuals named V. Mootoo Moodelly the Superintendent of the Abkarry Department and Ponnoopillay, the Maistry. He accordingly directs that they be dismissed from their situations from the date of their suspension.

2. The Board of Revenue will direct Mr. Maltby, to place himself in communication with the Honorable Company's Solicitor, with the view of ascertaining, to what other Servants of the Madras Collector's Cutcherry, the observations in the letter of the Advocate General dated 26th April 1844 is applied, and he will report to Government after further enquiry, his opinion, whether there is ground to suppose, that these servants were implicated in the proceedings which have led to the dismissal of the Superintendent and Maistry.

(A true Extract)
(Signed) J. F. Thomas
Secy to Govt

To. The President and Members of the Board of Revenue

30. 31

Order thereon.

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of Madras with reference to his letters dated 19th August and 18th September last.

The Collector will be pleased to direct Mr. Maltby's attention to the orders contained in Para 2.

Read

J. C. B.

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Read again the following letter from the Acting Collector of Ganjam to the Board of Revenue in their capacity of Court of Wards reporting on the Settlement of the Purlah Kemedy Zemindary

for Fusleis 1248 - 1249 - 1250 - and 1251 -
A. D. 1838/39 - 1839/40 1840/41 1841/42 -

Here enter 25th January in Cons 12th Feby 1844. No. 15.

also from the Acting Collector.

Here enter 8th in Cons 22d April 1844. No. 43.

Submitting the accounts of the same Zemindary

for Fusly 1252
A. D. 1842/43.

32

Proceedings thereon.

Relative to the Settlement of the Purlah Kemedy Zemindary in the District of Ganjam for Fusleis 1248-1249 A. D. 1838/39-1839/40 1250-1251 & 1252 1840/41 1841/42 1842/43.

In the former of these letters the acting Collector has submitted the accounts of the Zemindary for four Fusleis at once, but without affording any information as to the system under which the Estate was managed. The subjoined abstract Statement exhibits the Beriz for each Fusly.

	Fusly 1248.		Fusly 1249.		Fusly 1250.		Fusly 1251	
	Chs	a p	Chs	a p	Chs	a p	Chs	a p
Jeroyetty	163481	9 4	158882	12 8	160182	11 6	163187	13 11
Extra Jeroyetty	138	4 "	202	12 "	172	12 "	169	" "
Advce Kunjah or Jungle Tax	394	11 6	390	" 6	382	11 6	382	11 6
	164064	8 10	159475	9 2	160738	6 "	163719	9 4
Cattobadies of Agraharums &c	17137	12 6	17174	12 6	17186	1 2	17201	1 2
	181202	5 4	176650	5 8	177924	7 2	180920	1
Motarpha	6788	10 "	6642	1 2	6744	3 7	6765	4 4
Total	187990	15 4	183192	6 10	184668	10 9		

The

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

2.

The short interval which had elapsed between the date of Mr Anstruther's taking charge of the District and the submission of the letter under consideration will account for the absence of a full report by him on the several Settlements, the accounts of which he has forwarded, and the omission on the part of the Collector, Mr. Bannerman, to report on his management of this Zemindary at the close of each Fusly would appear to have arisen in the first instance from a misapprehension of the Board's wishes, the subsequent delay in furnishing the report called for by the Board in their Proceedings of the 2d January 1843 and 11th September was in all probability occasioned by the state of Mr Bannerman's health which eventually compelled him to proceed to sea. The consequence is a want of almost all information, which is the more unsatisfactory, that the mode in which the Settlement in this Zemindary was made previously to Fusly 1248 A.D. 1838/39 had been disapproved of by both the Board of Revenue and the Government.

From Coll.r 15th April in Cons 11th Jany. 1843. Proceedings of the Board 14th September 1843.

3.

It is not necessary to recapitulate

B.d Proceedings 10th October 1839. Min. of Cons 31st Octr in Cons 11th November.

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

recapitulate in this place the objections recorded in other Proceedings to the system in Ganjam of receiving Dharkasts or Proposals for annual rents and individual Settlements founded chiefly on the circumstances of the preceding year, and before the probable outturn of the Fusly for which they are made can possibly be known, they are adverted to now only, with the view of directing the acting the Collectors attention to the correction of the practice in this Zemindary if it still prevail. In order that the Board may be better able to judge of the precise nature of the Settlements, the acting Collr will be pleased to submit a specimen of the accounts called for in para 4 of the Board's Proceedings of the 10th October 1839.

4.

The subjoined abstract exhibits the result of the Settlements for Fusles 1248 A.D. 1838/39 1249. 50. and 51. 1839/40. 1840/41. 1841/42.

	Fusly 1248			Fusly 1249.			Fusly 1250.			Fusly 1251			Total.		
	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p	Cds	a	p
Total Settlement—	19,799	15	4	18,3192	6	10	19,4668	10	9	18,7693	12	7	76,3545	13	6
Collections within the Fusly—	15,7366	10	8	15,1860	15	2	16,9398	7	9	17,9502	7	3	66,1628	8	10
Subsequent Collections up to the end of December 1843—	2204	2	"	963	3	"	812	3	6	1772	7	10	5,752	"	4
Total—	16,870	12	8	15,5824	2	2	17,0210	11	3	19,7274	15	1	66,7380	9	2
Balance—	26,420	2	8	27,368	4	8	14,457	15	6	7918	13	6	76,165	4	4

The

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

2. The short interval which had elapsed between the date of Mr Anstruther's taking charge of the District and the submission of the letter under consideration will account for the absence of a full report by him on the several Settlements, the accounts of which he has forwarded, and the omission on the part of the Collector, Mr Bannerman, to report on his Management of this Zemindary at the close of each Fusly would appear to have arisen in the first instance from a misapprehension of the Board's wishes, the subsequent delay in furnishing the report called for by the Board in their Proceedings of the 2d January 1843 and 14th September was in all probability occasioned by the state of Mr Bannerman's health which eventually compelled him to proceed to Sea. The consequence is a want of almost all information which is the more unsatisfactory, that the mode in which the Settlement in this Zemindary was made previously to Fusly 1248 A. D. 1838/39 had been disapproved of by both the Board of Revenue and the Government.

From Collr 10th April in Cons 11th Jany 1843.
Proceedings of the Board 14th September 1843.
Bd Proceedings 10th October 1839. Mins of Cons 31st Octr in Cons 11th November.

3. It is not necessary to recapitulate

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

recapitulate in this place the objections recorded in other Proceedings to the system in Ganjam of receiving Durkasts or proposals for annual rents and individual settlements founded chiefly on the circumstances of the preceding year, and before the probable outturn of the Fusly for which they are made can possibly be known, they are adverted to now only, with the view of directing the acting the Collectors attention to the correction of the practice in this Zemindary if it still prevail. In order that the Board may be better able to judge of the precise nature of the Settlements, the acting Collr will be pleased to submit a specimen of the accounts called for in para 4 of the Board's Proceedings of the 10th October 1839.

4. The subjoined abstract exhibits the result of the Settlements for Fusly 1248 A. D. 1838/39

1249 - 50 - and 51 -
1839/40. 1840/41. 1841/42.

	Fusly 1248			Fusly 1249.			Fusly 1250 -			Fusly 1251			Total.		
	Cdys	a	p	Cdys	a	p	Cdys	a	p	Cdys	a	p	Cdys	a	p
Total Settlement	1,79,990	15	4	1,83,192	6	10	1,94,668	10	9	1,87,693	12	7	7,45,545	13	6
Collections within the Fusly	1,57,366	10	8	1,54,860	15	2	1,69,398	7	9	1,78,502	7	3	6,60,128	8	10
Subsequent Collections up to the end of December 1843 -	2,204	2	"	963	3	"	812	3	6	1,772	7	10	5,752	"	4
Total	1,60,570	12	8	1,55,824	2	2	1,70,210	11	3	1,80,274	15	1	6,65,880	9	2
Balance	26,420	2	8	27,368	4	8	14,457	15	6	7,918	13	6	76,165	4	4

The

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

5- The decrease in the Collection on account of Fusly 1249 the acting Collector attributes to the badness of the season, and the necessity of retaining under Cumanie some Villages formerly rented. There was an increase in both the Settlement and Collections in Fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 compared with the two preceding Fuslies which is attributed to the return of Emigrants and the improved resources of the Zemindary generally.

6- The total amount of the balances outstanding on account of these four Fuslies amounts to Rupees 76,165-4-4- the whole of which the acting Collector recommends may be written off to profit and loss, endeavours having been made without effect to recover the arrears and there being difficulty in realizing the then Current Demand in consequence of continued low prices and the occasional failure of crops. It does not appear whether any of the authorities in the District have made a particular and detailed enquiry respecting the balances here proposed to be written off.

7- The Board in their Proceedings of the 10th. October 1839 expressed a hope that the Officer forming the Settlement would

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

would personally visit the Villages of the Zemindary at proper periods to prevent himself from being imposed upon. During these inspections enquiries should be instituted respecting outstanding balances with the view of ascertaining from the Ryots whether the balances appearing against their names are actually due by them. Until this has been done and the acting Collector has satisfied himself by the further information which he may receive that the outstanding balances on account of Fuslies 1248-49 / A.D. 1838/39-1839-40 50- and 51 / 1840/41. 1841/42 are irrecoverable, the Board cannot recommend that they should be written off. Mr. Anstruther should explain by whom the balances are due whether by Renters or Ryots as well as the cause of failure on the part of defaulters.

8- The Board sanction the charges on account of Fuslies 1248-1249-1250- and 1251 / A.D. 1838/39- 1839/40- 1840/41- 1841/42 as exhibited in the acting Collectors Accounts, Amounting in the aggregate to Rs. 559585-1-3 which includes the Peishcush paid to Government Rupees 330118.-

9- In the acting Collectors letter regarding the Settlement for Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 he observes "Owing to the partially unfavorable turn of season in the Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 in this Estate & to the

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

the great fall in the price of grain consequent on the cheapness of it at Arracan which has drawn away thither much of the trade, some balance remained outstanding against the Moostageers. Mr Bannerman personally enquired into the state of the balances as well as the condition of the Ryots and Moostageers, and with reference thereto the following settlement was made in Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43.

	Bareez for Fusly 1251		Bareez for Fusly 1252		Increase		Decrease	
	Cks.	a p	Cks.	a p	Cks.	a p	Cks.	a p
Jeroytee	16,316	13 10	16,832	12 8	1284	3 10	3,619	5 "
Exctra Do.	169	" "	126	8 "	"	" "	42	8 "
Adeveekonjah or Jungle tax	382	11 6	348	" 6	"	" "	34	11 "
	16,3719	9 4	16,1307	5 2	1284	3 10	3696	8 "
Cuttoobadies of Agraharums	17201	1 2	16940	1 2	29	" "	290	" "
Total	180920	10 6	178247	6 4	1313	3 10	3986	8 "
Moturpha	6773	2 1	6344	5 5	"	" "	428	12 8
	187693	12 7	184591	11 9	1313	3 10	4415	4 8
				Deduct Increase			1313	3 10
				Nett Decrease			3102	" 10

10. If this be taken literally it would imply that from the unfavorable season and the depression in the price of grain some balances were at the time of the settlement

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Settlement outstanding against the Moostageers on account of Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 and that Mr Bannerman after personally enquiring into the state of those balances and the condition of the people then formed the settlement of which the abstract is given in para 2. This view receives some confirmation from what is stated in the subsequent paras 3 & 4, where the decrease in certain Villages is ascribed to the partially bad season and the recovery of the balance Rupees 16299-7-3 is held to be doubtful from the same cause. The Board are however at a loss to conceive how balances could have accrued before the formation of the settlement which are referrible to the demand on account of the same year. It is possible that the settlement may originally have been made according to the System of Dharkasto, and that the actual demand to be continued in the accounts was determined after remissions were allowed in consideration of the prospects of the season, the balances adverted to being due on account of a previous Fusly, &c. but the information before the Board is

insufficient

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

insufficient to enable them to judge how far this was the case in Fusly 1252. the Board trust that the next Report of the acting Collector on this Zemindary will leave no doubt as to the precise course which has been followed in making the Settlement and managing the Zemindary generally.

11- The total Settlement for Fusly 1257 / 1847/48 amounted to Rupees 1,84,591-11-9- being Rs. 3,102-"-10 below the total demand for Fusly 1251. Rupees 1,65,561-"-2 were collected within the Fusly which was Rupees 12,441-7-1- below the amount realized in Fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 the subsequent collections amounted to Rupees 2731-4-4- leaving an outstanding balance of Rupees 6,299-7-3, the recovery of which the acting Collector considers doubtful, but on which he will report hereafter-

12- The surplus deposited in the Collector's Treasury after defraying all charges was on the 31st December 1843 Rupees 2,70,248-11-9- The Account current with the Minor Zemindar for Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 shews that at the close of that year the surplus was Rs. 2,04,504-6-8- The Board request that of this amount the acting Coll.r will invest the sum of two Lacs of Rupees in the Government 4 per Cent. loan now open-

13- The Board sanction the charges incurred in Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 amounting to Rupees 13,157-8-8-

Ordered

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Proceedings of the Court of Wards be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Ganjam for his information and guidance

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Ganjam dated 19th ultimo and entered in Consultation 3d Instant.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

33

To the Acting Collector of Ganjam--

To The Acting Collector in the Zillah of Ganjam

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 19th September last reporting the death of the Zemindar of Boodarsingy & Gopenaud Nishunk, and proposing that the sanction of Government may be obtained for placing the chief of the Widows left by the late Zemindar and his predecessor in charge of the Estate- It is stated at the same time that Goure Chundur Deo the brother of the late Zemindar is the nearest heir-

The Board are not prepared on the grounds of conveyance and expediency to set aside the just claims

claims of any individual, they are precluded therefore from giving their support to the measure proposed by you of maintaining the widows in possession of the Estate to the prejudice of the legal heir. Upon Govt Chunder Deo therefore paying up the arrears you will be pleased to place him in possession of the Estate, and will at the same time impress upon him the necessity of so deporting himself towards the widows of the late Zemindars that they may have no cause of complaint against him.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
17th October 1844

I have &c
Signed / E. C. Lovell,
Acting Secretary

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell, Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(34)

From the Acting Collr of Ganjam---Parla / Sir, I have delayed until I can wait no longer to address the Board respecting the system of renting the Cocoanut topes in this District.

Submitting remarks on the present system of renting out Cocoanut Topes and suggesting a better mode

2- The Board will have seen

seen from the successive Jummabundy Report of various Collectors that the numbers of the productive Cocoanut Trees are constantly diminishing. In Jusly 1249. one tenth perished under the exciting system of granting short leases of one or even of 3 years, the numbers must diminish and can never be restored, because the planting and rearing of Cocoanut Trees is a work of expense, labor, and risk for the first 5 or 6 years which is only repaid by the produce of the mature tree. No one will plant and pay heavily to rear a tree of which another is to reap the fruit, tho' he gladly will if the fruit be secured to him.

3- As the first 6 years are productive only of trouble and loss the produce of the next 3 years should be allowed to the planter to repay that loss, and as something more than a mere chance of repaying barely the outlays is required to induce the Ryots to undertake so serious a risk, at least one year's profit more should be secured to them. I therefore beg leave to recommend that where the Villagers may take the rent jointly or severally leases be granted for at least 10 years and that they be assured that at the

expiration

expiration of this lease, fresh leases as shall be granted to them in preference to strangers wherever feasible.

4. I do not recommend that this long lease should be given to strange renters because I am sure that if any Villager, however few lead the way in offering for such long leases, the rest will soon follow, and it is for various obvious reasons preferable that the Village should rent its own topes rather than strangers

5. The object of assuring the Villagers a preference over strangers after the first rent is this - if the topes are likely to be rented to strangers after the first lease is out, the Villagers will only plant new trees during the first 3 or 4 years of their rent, because trees planted later would not begin to make any return during their lease, whereas if the second and subsequent leases are ensured to them there need be no limit to their planting in each successive year of the ten - Giving the rent to a stranger is objectionable in this way likewise, as he would not plant trees which would bear fruit only after his rent was out -

The

6 The subsequent lease cannot of course be ensured to the Villagers unreservedly because the subsequent rent should bear a just proportion to the increase of trees, and if they perversely refuse to come to reasonable terms, a stranger's offer might be taken - But acting in good faith and with a sincere wish to promote the comfort and prosperity of the Villagers, I am confident the Collector could in every instance conclude agreements satisfactory to both parties, if allowed a discretion to act according to circumstances - At all events whether the second rent be ensured to the Villagers or be perfectly open the first renters should be assured that they may safely go on planting up to the very last year of their rent, and the value of the new trees they planted which had made no return, should be made up to them if the rent went to a stranger -

7. To omit no means of inducing the Villagers severally if possible, if not jointly to take the topes in rent for ever I would propose that the Demand and Collection of the Cocoanut Tope rent for the last 10 years be averaged and the

amount

amount distributed on the exciſting trees, which ſhould be forthwith counted for the purpoſe, and that the rate ſo diſtributed on each tree be declared the permanent rate at which the new trees bearing fruit at the expiration of a rent ſhould be aſſeſſed to the Revenue.

8- The Board may poſſibly conſider it premature to provide now for a contingency ten years hence, but in offering a ten years' lease, it is manifeſtly, under the peculiar circumſtances alluded to above, expedient to ſettle for the future rent general terms, on the nature of which the firſt rent in fact depends.

9- Some ſuch arrangement as I propoſe muſt come ſooner or later and it is a great pity to loſe precious time, ſince each year the cocoanut plantations which are ſo very valuable an article of trade, and are ſo excellent a ſtand-by in caſes of draught or famine are ſyſtematically, if the Collector's annual Reports

Reports be true dwindling to extinction.

10- I beg leave to forward a Statement ſhewing the Settlement and Collections of the laſt 10 years, together with the average, which will put the Board in poſſeſſion of information of the ſtate and proſpects of the Cocoanut Tope Rents.

Ganjam Diſtrict; Collector's Cutcherry, Ganjam, 21st September, 1844.

Signed / T. A. Anſtruther
Acting Collector.

Statement A

Fort St. George

nt and bollections of the

5

For Fusly 1246

Election Settlement bollection

Rs. a P Rs. a P Rs. a P

1 15 u 2 u u u u

42 8 u 165 u u 165 u u

17 u u 77 u u 77 u u

0 u u 50 u u 50 u u

22 12 u 380 u u 193 4 u

1 10 u 40 u u 35 u u

12 u u 112 u u 112 u u

7 8 u 92 8 u 92 8 u

4 u u 24 u u 12 8 u

1 u u 1 u u 1 u u

3 u u 3 u u 3 u u

40 8 u 250 u u 250 u u

10 u u 10 u u 10 u

3 u u 3 u u 3 u u

2 12 u 2 12 u 2 12 u

113 u u 113 u u 86 12 u

57 4 u 4313 14 u 1084 6 u

17th October 1844

Cocoanut Gardens situated in the Poobacondah Talook

For Fusly 1247 For Fusly 1248 For Fusly 1249

Settlement bollection Settlement bollection Settlement bollection

Rs. a P Rs. a P Rs. a P Rs. a P Rs. a P Rs. a P

2 u u 2 u u 2 u u 2 u u 2 u u 2 u u

165 u u 66 u u 165 u u 109 8 u 165 u u 92 4 u

71 u u 71 u u 71 u u 71 u u 71 u u 45 12 u

50 u u 11 9 u 50 u u 49 u u 50 u u 10 u u

380 u u 88 14 u 380 u u 374 6 u 380 u u 113 12 u

40 u u u u u 40 u u 40 u u 40 u u 27 8 u

112 u u 21 u u 112 u u 111 8 u 112 u u 71 8 u

92 8 u 33 1 4 92 8 u 50 8 u 92 8 u 34 u u

24 u u 24 u u 24 u u 24 u u 24 u u 8 u u

1 u u u u u 1 u u 1 u u 1 u u 1 u u

3 u u u u u 3 u u 3 u u 3 u u 3 u u

288 10 u 24 10 u 288 10 u 275 10 u 294 10 u 251 u u

u 10 u u 10 u u 10 u u 10 u u 10 u u 10 u

3 u u 3 u u 3 u u 3 u u 3 u u 1 6 11

2 12 u 2 12 u 2 12 u 2 12 u 2 12 u u u u

113 u u 56 u u 113 u u 108 8 u 113 u u 38 u u

1,346 8 402 8 4 1,346 8 u 1,221 12 u 1,352 8 u 697 12 11

13578

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

of the District of Ganjam.

For Fusly 1250		For Fusly 1251		For Fusly 1252		For Fusly 1253		Total Collection up to the 20th August 1844.	Average	
Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection		Settlement	Collection
CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P	CRs a P
2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	2 " "	1 10 11	17 9 11	2 " "	1 15 4
160 " "	60 " "	96 12 8	96 12 8	110 " "	110 " "	110 " "	110 " "	1137 2 8	146 10 10	113 11 5
71 " "	24 " "	71 " "	69 12 "	71 " "	71 " "	71 " "	71 " "	654 8 "	72 12 10	65 7 3
50 " "	9 " "	32 15 2	32 15 2	32 " "	32 " "	32 " "	28 " "	323 8 2	44 12 8	32 5 3
380 " "	10 " "	316 " "	316 " "	318 " "	176 " "	320 " "	317 " "	2192 5 9	361 6 5	219 3 9
40 " "	8 " "	35 " "	17 8 "	23 " "	23 " "	23 " "	10 " "	218 10 "	36 1 7	24 4 8
112 " "	13 " "	85 " "	85 " "	85 " "	85 " "	85 " "	85 " "	807 " "	103 14 5	80 12 10
67 8 "	17 " "	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	527 1 4	77 4 "	52 11 4
24 " "	2 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	20 " "	178 " "	22 12 "	17 12 10
1 " " "	" " "	1 " " "	" " "	1 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	5 " "	1 " "	1 " "
3 " " "	" " "	3 " " "	" " "	3 " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	15 " "	3 " "	3 " "
241 " "	64 " "	245 " "	217 " "	245 " "	244 " "	245 " "	245 " "	2061 12 "	259 12 7	206 2 10
" 10 "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" " "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" 10 "	" 6 "	64 "	5 6 "	" 10 "
3 " "	" " "	3 " "	" " "	3 " "	2 4 "	3 " "	" 14 "	198 11	3 " "	2 7 1
2 12 "	" " "	2 12 "	" " "	2 12 "	" " "	2 12 "	" " "	11 " "	2 12 "	2 12 "
100 " "	10 " "	90 " "	90 " "	90 " "	88 2 "	90 " "	90 " "	793 6 "	104 12 10	79 5 5
1235 14 "	217 10 "	1052 1 10	994 15 10	1054 6 "	902 " "	1056 6 "	1027 14 "	8950 4 10	1240 10 2	901 10 2
								12406 5 10		

Fort St. George

17th October 1844

245. For Fasly 1246		For Fasly 1247		For Fasly 1248		For Fasly 1249.	
ction Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection
R. a P	CR. a P	CR. a P	CR. a P	CR. a P	CR. a P	CR. a P	CR. a P
4 " "	3 6 "	3 6 "	3 6 "	3 6 "	3 6 "	3 6 "	3 "
4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 "
2 " "	2 " "	2 "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 " "	4 "
3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 " "	3 "
1 " "	1 " "	1 " "	1 " "	1 " "	1 " "	1 " "	1 "
1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "
6 " "	6 " "	6 " "	6 " "	6 " "	3 " "	6 " "	" "
160 " "	160 " "	160 " "	160 " "	160 " "	160 " "	160 " "	125 "
60 " "	60 " "	60 " "	29 " "	60 " "	40 " "	45 " "	34 "
50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	50 " "	10 " "	10 " "	" "
9 " "	10 " "	10 " "	" "	10 " "	113 " "	125 " "	59 2
33 " "	143 " "	100 " "	40 8 "	143 " "	8 " "	8 " "	8 "
8 " "	8 " "	8 " "	8 " "	8 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 "
5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "	5 " "			
419 9 "	430 8 6	387 8 6	430 10 6	286 15 6	430 10 6	390 7 6	397 10 6	240 1 "
578 12 "	174 6 6	147 1 14 6	177 9 2 6	69 1 7 10	177 9 2 6	161 4 3 6	175 2 6	93 9 13 11

13580

Fort St. George

For Fusly 1250		For Fusly 1251		For Fusly 1252		For Fusly 1253		Total Collection up to the 20th August 1844.	Average	
Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection	Settlement	Collection		Settlement	Collection
CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P	CRs. a P
" 36	" 36	" 36	" 36	" 36	" 36	" 36	" 36	2 6	" 37	" 33
" 4	" 4	" 4	" "	" 4	" 4	" 4	" 4	2 "	" 4	" 4
" 4	" 4	" 4	" "	" 4	" 4	" 28	" 24	2 "	" 37	" 36
" 4	" 4	" 4	" "	" 4	" 4	" 24	" 24	2 "	3 "	2 12 10
3 "	3 "	3 "	3 "	3 "	2 8 "	30 "	28 "	8 7 9	1 "	" 15 1
1 "	1 "	1 "	" "	1 "	" 7 9	10 "	8 7 9	1 "	" 15 1	
1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	1 12 "	1 12 "	
" 6 "	" 3 "	" 6 "	" "	" 6 "	" "	3 14 "	26 "	" 63	" 55	
160 "	27 8 "	162 "	162 "	162 "	162 "	1606 "	1427 4 "	160 9 7	142 11 7	
50 "	4 "	50 "	26 8 "	50 "	33 "	550 "	379 8 "	55 "	37 15 3	
45 "	2 "	36 "	36 "	36 "	36 "	463 "	399 "	46 4 10	39 14 5	
10 "	10 "	10 "	10 "	10 "	9 36	100 "	74 36	10 "	9 4 5	
110 "	16 8 "	95 "	95 "	95 "	95 "	1235 "	886 2 "	123 8 "	88 9 10	
" 8 "	" "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" 8 "	" 8 "	5 "	3 8 "	" 8 "	" 8 "	
" 5 "	" 5 "	" 5 "	" 5 "	" 5 "	" 5 "	32 "	23 "	" 5 "	" 5 "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" 2 "	" 2 "	" 2 "	" 2 "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" 2 "	" 2 "	" 2 "	" 2 "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" 4 "	
" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" 6 "	" 6 "	" 6 "	" 6 "	
382 10 6	66 10 6	360 10 6	335 4 6	360 10 6	341 7 9	4031 6 "	3235 " 9	403 14 10	326 10 7	
1640 8 6	286 4 6	1414 12 4	1332 4 4	1417 " 6	1245 7 9	1418 12 6	1353 " 5	1645 7 11 10	1220 15 6	1646 9 " 1230 4 1

Ganjam District Collr's Cutcherry Ganjam 21st Septr 1844.

E. E. Pr / Signed / P. A. Armstrother
Acting Collector

13581 & 85 Fort St. George 17th October 1844

Order thereon,

(34-35)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Acting Collector of Ganjam ... 3

(36)

Sir,

1st The necessity of preparing monthly weekly and daily Statements Accounts &c and letters all of the same form and varying only in some very small items, entails much unnecessary labour on the Establishment of this office, which it is on various grounds desirable to save. I have therefore the honor to request you will procure the orders of the Board of Revenue to the Government Printer to print and forward to me copies of the accompanying forms of letters of statements and of labels of address of letters and of accounts Vca Vca Vca in number as marked thereon.

2d I would further suggest that these papers when printed should be sent by sea to Vizagapatam whence I can arrange for their conveyance.

Ganjam District Collector's Cutcherry Ganjam 28th September 1844

/ Signed / T. A. Anstruther. Acting Collector.

EB 1

Ordered

13586 B. Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Order thereon,

Read again in Cons 19 Dec

(36-37)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

(38)

From T. A. Anstruther Esqre Acting Collector of Ganjam &c

Sir,

I beg to inform the Board of Revenue in reply to their requisition for a report on the Mauritius Sugar Cane that it is as yet cultivated, to a very inconsiderable extent, in the neighbourhood of Aska only.

Stating in reply to the Board's requisition for a report on the Mauritius Sugar Cane that it is as yet cultivated to a very inconsiderable extent in the Neighbourhood of Aska only, and requesting sanction for purchasing some canes.

2d Mr. Bannerman intended to extend the cultivation of this cane and had been promised a supply of it for seed from the Horticultural Society of Madras but they themselves were disappointed and could not send him any.

3d I will do all I can to introduce this very valuable product, and have no doubt I shall succeed, but I trust the Board will be pleased to sanction my purchasing as much cane as I can procure, and can be sure of properly planting before next January or February, I will endeavour to sell it, and I should hope that the

the superiority of the variety of cane over the common country cane which shall be fully made known to all, will induce the growers to buy the seed cane, so that Government will recover all or nearly all its outlay. But should the novelty be displeasing it might possibly be necessary to distribute it gratis.

4th I beg permission to express my belief that this District is now about to advance to great prosperity from the keen attention now drawn to it, as a very superior Sugar Country. Doctor Duncan who has been long in the Mauritius states that Ganjam is the best field in the world for a profitable trade in Sugar in which he is largely engaging. Mr. Fletcher who resided long in the West Indies, and is familiar with the whole manufacture and trade in Sugar says the same, and Mr. Mackenzie of Vizagapatam is now by his Agents endeavouring to buy up Sugar here.

5th The Sugars now made from the common cane, and in the simple native way, are so excellent, and so cheap, that very large profits would be realized by purchasing it here and

selling it in Europe. The Mauritius cane being less costly to rear, and more abundant in outturn will yield a greater profit to the producer, and will as this is seen be preferred every where to the common stunted country cane.

6th If the Board would permit me I would on a favourable opportunity send as samples about 100 Rupees worth of Sugar to Madras with details of particulars of each sort for the inspection of the Board, and, if they thought fit, of Government. The sugar is so very good that though made in the simplest way it is considered at Home to be refined Sugar and is taxed accordingly. If the duty at home were levied according to the mode of manufacture instead of the quality produced Ganjam Sugar would unquestionably be the first in every Market. If the Board moved in the matter so as to induce the Court of Directors to procure an order from the Home Government for the admission of Ganjam Sugar as unrefined Sugar if certified to be so by proper authority, it would be difficult to assign a limit to the resort of the trade to this District. I trust that it will not be

7. considered

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

considered irrelevant to the matter on which I was called on to report, if I have stated my views on the prospects of the Sugar Trade as on those of the Mauritius

Cane

Ganjam District — Collector's Cutcherry Ganjam, 5th October 1844 — Signed / T. A. Anstruther, Acting Collector

Order thereon.

38·39

Ordered to lie on the table

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

40

From C. S. Bird, Esqre, Acting Collector of Rajahmundry, Sirs,

I have the honor to forward the accounts connected with the revenue arising from Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates in this District for last Fusly, accompanied by a few explanatory remarks.

Reporting on the subject of the revenue balances outstanding against the several Zemindary and Proprietary Estates up to 31st August 1844, and submitting a statement shewing the same, with an advertisement for the sale of some Estates on that account for the orders of the Board.

† Marked A & B

At the end of Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 the Peishcush amounted to Rupees 7,22,942-12-0

In February of Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 the Rauly Estate was purchased by Government on which account the Kists for that Estate from February to June amounting to Rupees 27,345-8-0 are to be deducted, leaving the Demand

Fort St. George 17th October 1844

Demand for Peishcush in Fusly 1763 A.D. 1843/44 Rupees 1,20,976-4-0

3. There are 6 Estates under management which have to be separately reported upon Viz.

Pittapore Zemindary whose Peishcush as per separate report of Mr. Prendergast dated 7th September 1844 is — 39,292-2-8

Ramachendrapooram Zemindary assessed at — 60,220-9-0

Vagayemmakpettah Do. — — 8,750-0-0

Peddapoor — — Do. — — — — 1,691,35-0-0

Penapullah — — Do. — — — — 1,829-0-0

63,726-11-8

The Peishcush of these five Estates whose total is Rupees 63,726-11-8 together with the amount of Kists due up to January 1844 on the Rauly Estate which was charged against the Proprietor as already reported by Mr. Prendergast Viz. Rupees 16,422 in all Rupees 64,148-11-8 being deducted from the Rupees 1,20,976-4-0 Specified above there remain Rupees 56,827-8-4 of Peishcush, which is assessed upon 60 Estates. These are exhibited in the accompanying Statement marked A and distinguished into 8 Classes.

4. The 24 Estates Nos. 1 to 24 which are included

Fort St. George 17th October 1844

Vide Board's Proceedings of 27th June 1844

included in 1st 2d & 3d classes were advertised for sale under orders of the Board of Revenue for arrears of Revenue but of these the 10 entered in the 1st class of Statement A were redeemed by their respective Proprietors who discharged the balances due for Fuslies 1251 and 1252 A.D. 1841-42 1842-43

The next 10 Viz. Inoorgollah &c. Nos. 11 to 20 entered in class 2d were purchased for Government, while the sale of the 4 remaining Estates which had been advertised Viz. Inoongondah &c. Nos. 21 to 24 Comprised in class 3d was postponed, for Reasons given in Mr. Prendergast's report of 6th of the present Month of September.

5. The Amount of Peishcush due for Fuslies 1253 A.D. 1843-44 upon the fourteen succeeding Estates named in the Statement A Viz. MooRamalah, Inoorooroondah &c. Nos. 25 to 38 entered as class 4th was paid in full, with the exception of Rs. a. p. - - 1 - 7 arising from Capelaswarapooram, which will be realized during this Month.

6. From the 7 Estates Comprised in class 5th Viz. Yalamunchely &c. Nos. 39 to 45 there is a balance due for Fuslies 1253 A.D. 1843-44

Fort St. George 17th October 1844

of Rupees 5064-15-11 of this Rupees 335-13-8 due upon the 6 Estates noted in the Margin, will be realized at the Commencement of October next, but for the balances of Rupees 3738-10-3 due from Yalamunchely and Rupees 990-8-11 due from Annadavarapandoo, an indulgence of a few Months has been granted in consideration of the injuries which both these Estates suffered from the overflow of the Godavery during last year. The Proprietor of Yalamunchely has promised to pay his arrears by February next, and the Proprietor of Annadavarapandoo has engaged under the Security of Rajah Row Boodee Summiah Proprietor of Peirlumpody, to discharge his balance before the end of this year.

	Rs. a. p.
Inggumpettah &c.	- - - 18-8-11
Talekrackerlah & Goondapilly	- 30-11-11
Vellah	- - - - - - 7-10-8
Boochempettah	- - - - 66-9-11
Casanacorroo	- - - - - 183-2-11
Total	- - 335-13-8

7. The 6 Estates Collected into class 6 Viz. Salemoody &c. Nos. 46 to 50 have an aggregate outstanding balance of Rupees 6103-7-7 as noted in the Margin, but as these arrears are due on account of years previous to Fusly 1250, A.D. 1840-41 they are suffered to remain pending the finial orders of the Board

	Rs. a. p.
Saulemoody &c.	- - - 391-2-10
Crapah	- - - - - 3600-11-11
Mullavarum	- - - 1079-8-11
Noondaswarapooram	- - 329-11-9
Pinchabunkah	- - 303-12-11
Total	- - 6103-7-7

for

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Vide Board's Proceedings of 27th June 1844.

included in 1st 2d and 3d classes were advertised for sale under orders of the Board of Revenue for arrears of Revenue, but of these the 10 entered in the 1st class of Statement A were redeemed by their respective Proprietors who discharged the balances due for Fasleies 1251 and 1252. A D 1841-42 1842-43.

The next 10 Viz. Inoorgollah &c. Nos. 11 to 20 entered in class 2d were purchased for Government, while the sale of the 4 remaining Estates which had been advertised Viz. Inoongondah &c. Nos. 21 to 24 Comprised in Class 3d was postponed, for Reasons given in the Provincial Prendergast's report of 6th of the present Month of September.

5. The Amount of Peishcush due for Fasly 1253 A D 1843-44 upon the fourteen Succeeding Estates named in the Statement A Viz. MooRamalah, Inooroonundah &c. Nos. 25 to 38 entered as class 4th was paid in full, with the exceptions of Rs. a. p. 1-7 arising from Capelaswarapooram, which will be realized during this Month.

6. From the 7 Estates Comprised in class 5th Viz. Yalamunchely &c. Nos. 39 to 45 there is a balance due for Fasly 1253 A D 1843-44 of

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

of Rupees 5064-15-11. of this Rupees 335-13-8 due upon the 6 Estates noted in the Margin, will be realized at the Commencement of October next, but for the balances of Rupees 3738-10-3 due from Yalamunchily and Rupees 990-8-11 due from Annadavarapandoo, an indulgence of a few Months has been granted, in Consideration of the injuries which both these Estates suffered from the overflow of the Godavery during last year. The Proprietor of Yalamunchily has promised to pay his arrear by February next, and the Proprietor of Annadavarapandoo has engaged under the Security of Rajah Row Boochee Summiah Proprietor of Pirlumpody, to discharge his balance before the end of this year.

	Rs. a. p.
Juggumpettah &c.	18-8-11
Talakracherlah & Goondapilly	30-11-11
Vellah	7-10-8
Boochenpettah	66-9-11
Casanacorroo	183-2-11
Total	335-13-8

7. The 5 Estates Collected into class 5 Viz. Yalamoody &c. Nos. 46 to 50 have an aggregate outstanding balance of Rupees 6103-7-7 as noted in the Margin, but as these arrears are due on account of years previous to Fasly 1250 A D 1840-41 they are suffered to remain pending the final orders of the Board

	Rs. a. p.
Yalamoody &c.	391-2-10
Crapah	3000-11-11
Mullavarum	1079-8-11
Woondaswaraporam	329-11-9
Pruthabunlah	303-12-11
Total	5,103-7-7

Jose

for their disposal.—

8 Class 7 Comprises nine Estates Rajah-mundry Vca. Nos. 51 to 59 which are now under attachment for arrears of Revenue—of these, the Proprietor of the recently transferred Estate of Rajahmundry, manifests no disposition to liquidate the outstanding arrears amounting to Rupees 3030-5-9 and indeed indicates plainly that he considers the Estate unprofitable, and wishes to relinquish it—He therefore to propose the sale of this Estate and have entered it in the accompanying advertisement marked B against Canetanadah there is an arrear of Rupees 1060-10-0, as noted in the margin—The Proprietor of this Village who is a Native, has engaged to pay up shortly as required by the Board, the portions due for Fuslies 1251 and 1253 amounting to Rupees 396-2-0 and the Village will remain under attachment until that sum is defrayed—The balance due upon Cotavaram for Fusly 1253 is Rupees 737-8-7 and

	Rs	a	p
Fusly 1248 A.D 1838-39	664	6	0
" 1251 A.D 1841-42	153	4	0
" 1253 A.D 1843-44	21.3	0	0
Total	1060	10	0

and the Proprietor does not offer to discharge it. I enter the Village consequently in the advertisement, under the belief however, that the Proprietor will contrive to pay the amount when he finds it will otherwise be sold.—There is a balance of Rupees 538-0-0 upon Bommoor Village for Fusly 1263 A.D 1853-54 which is to be accounted for by a dispute between the Proprietor and the Renter being under summary investigation by the Collector, and the amount will most probably be realized as soon as the case is decided.—The present balance due upon Ingeram Estate for Fusly 1263 A.D 1843-44 is Rupees 1546-12-4 which is a large sum to be allowed to remain outstanding, but as this Estate suffered severely from the overflowing of the River, I would propose some indulgence to be shown to it, in hopes that the arrear may be placed in a train of liquidation.—The balance outstanding against Cotah Estate is very large Viz. Rupees 136656-14-4, as noted in the Margin.—In Mr. Smith's report for Fusly 1252 A.D 1842-43 (dated 20th July 1843) he suggested that a one year should be allowed for the trial of its management by a person connected with

† That is 4.7-6 per Cent on its Permanent Resources

	Rs	a	p
arrears from Fusly 1248 to 1252 A.D 1838-39-1842-43	102594	9	4
Fusly 1253 A.D 1843-44	34062	5	0
Total	136656	14	4

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

for their disposal.

8 Class 7 Comprises nine Estates Rajah-
-mundry Vca. Nos. 51 to 59 which are now under
attachment for arrears of Revenue - of
these, the Proprietor of the recently trans-
-ferred Estate of Rajahmundry, manifests
no disposition to liquidate the outstand-
-ing arrears amounting to Rupees 3030-5-9
and indeed indicates plainly that she
considers the Estate unprofitable, and
wishes to relinquish it - She therefore
to propose the sale of this Estate and
have entered it in the accompanying
advertisement marked B
against Coretanadah there
is an arrear of Rupees
1060-10-0, as noted in the
margin - The Proprietor of
this Village who is a Minor, has engaged to
pay up shortly as required by the Board, the
portions due for Fuslees 1251 and 1253 amount-
-ing to Rupees 396-4-0 and the Village
will remain under attachment until
that sum is defrayed - The balance due upon
Cotaveram for Fuslee 1253 is Rupees 737-8-7,

	Cds	a	p
Fuslee 1248 A.D 1838-39	664	6	0
" 1251 A.D 1841-42	153	4	0
" 1253 A.D 1843-44	243	0	0
Total	1060	10	0

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

and the Proprietor does not offer to discharge it - I
enter the Village consequently in the advertisement,
under the belief however, that the Proprietor will
contrive to pay the amount when he finds it will
otherwise be sold - There is a balance of Rupees 538-0
upon Bommoor Village for Fuslee 1253 A.D 1843-44 which is to be
accounted for by a dispute between the Proprietor
and the Renter being under summary investiga-
-tion by the Collector, and the amount will
most probably be realized as soon as the
case is decided - The present balance due
upon Ingeram Estate for Fuslee 1253 A.D 1843-44 is Rupees
1646-12-4 which is a large sum to be allowed
to remain outstanding, but as this Estate suf-
-fered severely from the overflowing of the
River, I would propose some indulgence
to be shown to it, in hopes that the arrear
may be placed in a train of liquidation - The
balance outstanding against
Cotah Estate is very large
Viz. Rupees 136656-14-4, as
noted in the margin - In Mr.
Smith's report for Fuslee 1252 A.D 1842-43 (dated
20th July 1843) he suggested that
one year should be allowed for the
trial of its management by a person connected
with

† That is 4 7-8 per Centum to Permanent Rent.

	Cds	a	p
arrears from Fuslee 1248 to 1252 A.D 1838-39 - 1842-43	102594	9	4
Fuslee 1253 A.D 1843-44	34062	5	0
Total	136656	14	4

with the Zemindars of that Estate. But in fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 the Estate suffered much from the floods of the Godavery and also it is feared from the mismanagement of the person employed to superintend it. There seems little likelihood of this large balance being ever recovered, but as an investigation is proceeding into the conduct of the late Manager, no measures are submitted at present for adoption regarding the Estate. There is a large balance of Rupees 3955-11-1 upon Talairo Village, as noted in the margin, the Proprietor of which is a Minor. His Manager having allowed so great balances to accumulate, the Village was attached, and it remains to be seen, whether any portion of the arrears can be collected. The balances outstanding against Goottanadavy and Mulkapilly for fusly 1253 A.D. 1843-44 as noted in the margin, are expected to be recovered before the Commencement of the Rents for the present fusly of 1254 A.D. 1844-45

	Cash	a	p
Fusly 1248 A.D. 1838-39	626	14	-
1249 A.D. 1839-40	305	-	3
1250 A.D. 1840-41	1112	4	10
1251 A.D. 1841-42	81	-	3
" 1252 A.D. 1842-43	1026	8	-
1253 A.D. 1843-44	804	2	9
Total	3955	11	1

	Cash	a	p
Goottanadavy	94	12	2
Mulkapilly	330	-	-
Total	424	12	2

9 The arrears against Goottalah Estate No. to be entered under below 8th are as follows

	Cash	a	p
For Fusly 1253	500	-	-
For Fusly 1248 and 1249 A.D. 1838/39 1839/40 as per Sir Montgomery's Report of 26 December 1843	18286	-	-
Total	18786	-	-

+ Vide accompanying Statement A

the first mentioned sum has been since realized from the Proprietor, and the rest is held in abeyance pending the final orders of the Board.-

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada - - -
27th September 1844. - -

(Signed) C J Bird
Ag. Collector

Statement A

13595 Fort St. George 17th October 1844

with the Zemindars of that Estate. But in fusly 1253 A D 1843-44 the Estate suffered much from the floods of the Godavery and also it is feared from the mismanagement of the person employed to superintend it. There seems little likelihood of this large balance being ever recovered, but as an investigation is proceeding into the conduct of the late Manager, no measures are submitted at present for adoption regarding the Estate. There is a large balance of Rupees 3955-11-1 upon Talairo Village, as noted in the Margin, the Proprietor of which is a Minor. His late Manager having allowed so great balances to accumulate, the Village was attached, and it remains to be seen, whether any portion of the arrears can be collected. The balances outstanding against Gootta-nadeem and Mulkapilly for fusly 1253 A D 1843-44 as noted in the Margin, are expected to be recovered before the Commencement of the Rents for the present fusly of 1254 A D 1844-45

		Ch'r	a	p
Fusly 1248 A D 1838-39		626	11	-
" 1249 A D 1839-40		305	-	3
" 1250 A D 1840-41		1112	4	10
" 1251 A D 1841-42		81	-	3
" 1252 A D 1842-43		1026	8	-
" 1253 A D 1843-44		804	2	9
	Total	3955	11	1

	Ch'r	a	p
Goottanadavy	94	12	2
Mulkapilly	330	-	-
Total	424	12	2

13596 Fort St. George 17th October 1844

9 The arrears against Goottalah Estate No. to be entered under below 8th are as follows

	Ch'r	a	p
For Fusly 1253	500	-	-
For Fuslies 1248 and 1240 w D 1838/39 1839/40 as per Sir Montgomery's Report of 26 December 1843	18286	-	-
Total	18786	-	- +

+ Vide accompanying Statement A

the first mentioned sum has been since realized from the Proprietor, and the rest is held in abeyance pending the final orders of the Board.-

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada
27th September 1844.

Signed C. J. Bird
Ag. Collector.

Statement

Names of the Estates of the Zemindars and Proprietors	No. of the Estates	Demand arrear up to the beginning of Fusly 1253.	Demand Permanent Beriz of Fusly 1253.	Total	Collection arrear
1	2	3	4	5	6
		C P A P	C P A P	C P A P	C P A P
4th Class					
25 Inookamalah	1	" " "	133 " "	133 " "	" " "
26 Inooroomundah	1	" " "	1850 2 "	1850 2 "	" " "
27 Potoocooroo	1	" " "	913 " "	913 " "	" " "
28 Capelaswarapooram	1	" " "	9463 7 6	9463 7 6	" " "
29 Vungalapoody	1	" " "	3817 10 "	3817 10 "	" " "
30 Virlumpoody	1	3536 " "	23306 8 "	26862 8 "	3556 " "
31 Dunnawaram	1	" " "	2402 15 11	2402 15 11	" " "
32 Puttesum	1	" " "	5249 3 "	5249 3 "	" " "
33 Cottam	1	5825 14 "	27163 8 "	32989 6 "	5825 14 "
34 Bheemole	1	909 14 "	3031 " "	3940 14 "	909 14 "
35 Jungareddygoodem	1	113 14 "	499 10 "	613 8 "	113 14 "
36 Dandaswaram	1	" " "	1765 2 "	1765 2 "	" " "
37 Nadawapilly	1	657 12 "	3190 " "	3847 12 "	657 12 "
38 Nagulloo	1	" " "	3710 12 10	3710 12 10	" " "
	14	11063 6 "	86495 15 3	97659 5 3	11063 6 "
5th Class					
39 Galamunchily	1	2090 8 "	12000 " "	14090 8 "	2090 8 "
40 Juggumpettah &c.	3	6006 " "	38458 " "	44464 " "	6006 " "
41 Talakicherlah & Goondapilly	2	497 " "	1925 " "	2422 " "	497 " "
42 Vellah	1	79 13 7	1445 8 "	1525 5 7	79 13 7
43 Boochempettah &c.	2	171 9 "	2140 9 "	2312 2 "	171 9 "
44 Casanacooroo	1	2895 14 "	11873 " "	14768 14 "	2895 14 "
45 Annadavarapandoo	1	220 8 "	3895 8 "	4116 " "	220 8 "
	11	11961 4 7	71737 9 "	83698 13 7	11961 4 7

Names of the Estates of the Zemindars and Proprietors	No. of the Estates	Demand arrear up to the beginning of Fusly 1253.	Demand Permanent Beriz of Fusly 1253.	Total	Collection arrear
1	2	3	4	5	6
		C P A P	C P A P	C P A P	C P A P
6th Class					
46 Saulemoody &c.	4	4228 8	2296 4 11	2718 13 7	10
47 Crapah	1	3297 10 "	6509 " "	9806 10 "	" "
48 Inullavaram &c.	2	1434 " "	2419 8 "	3853 8 "	3 9
49 Koondaswarapooram	1	329 " 9	637 " "	966 " 9	" "
50 Pruckalunkah	1	339 7 8	1811 " "	2180 7 8	" "
	9	5822 11 1	13702 12 11	19525 8 "	7
7th Class					
51 Rajahmundry	1	326 15 1	5774 5 "	6101 4 1	3 9
52 Conetanadah	1	1320 10 "	2058 " "	3378 10 "	5 7
53 Cautavaram	1	258 8 "	2135 " "	2393 8 "	23 "
54 Bommoor	1	31 6 "	926 6 "	957 12 "	" 4
55 Ingeram	1	1040 1 2	3265 8 "	4305 9 2	10 4
56 Cotah	1	102594 9 4	64375 15 "	166970 8 4	" 1
57 Polairoo	1	3151 11 4	3223 8 "	6375 3 4	2
58 Gootanadeenee	1	" " "	776 12 "	776 12 "	"
59 Inulkapilly	1	377 11 11	2282 " "	2659 11 11	3 3
	9	109101 8 10	82817 6 "	193918 14 10	25
8th Class					
60 Gootah &c.	3	23757 " "	42717 " "	66474 " "	54 5
Grand Total	40	402827 8 7	552927 8 4	955755 " 11	453

13602 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

be resold at the risk of the first purchaser.

8. In case of the sale not being sanctioned and the farmer declaring it retained, and the Collector not having sanctioned it returned, the money will be received in Company's Rupees, Star Pagodas, and Madras Pagodas, at the Company's rate of exchange.

9. Payment of the purchase money will be received in the Treasury of the Collector of Cocanada, Collectory at Cocanada, Collectory at Rajahmundry, Collectory at Ellore, Collectory at Masulipatam, and at the Collectory at Nellore on Sundays.

10. For the particulars enquire at the Office of the Board of Revenue between Hours 4 o'Clock, and at the Collectorate Cutcherry at Cocanada, between 10 and 5 o'Clock, except on Sundays.

11. If the above mentioned arrears are not paid by the day of sale, the Estate will be sold for the Recovery thereof with the Legal interest.

Signed C. J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Rajahmundry - - }
Cocanada 24th September 1844. }

13603 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Order thereon. To Ordered to be sent again in Circulation

40.41

E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

42

From C. J. Bird Esqre
Actg Coll.r of Rajahmundry } Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor

To B.R. 26th July 1844
From Dt. 25th Dto

to submit copy and translation of the Separate

+ No. 1. Pleading filed by Rajah Narayah Apparow, Appellant in case No. 27 of 1843 on the file of the late Northern Provincial Court, together

÷ No. 2. with my proposed answer, and request that Sanction may be obtained for defending the appeal suit in question.-

Rajahmundry District, - - - } (Signed) C. J. Bird
Cocanada 1st October 1844. } Actg Collector.

No. 1.

To The Special Commissioner's Court at Masulipatam.

A Separate Pleading filed by Rajah Naraya Apparow Bahadar Zemindar of the Talook of Nedadavole &c. appellant in case No. 27 of 1843 on the file of the late Provincial Court N. D.

The Decree of the late Masulipatam Zillah Court in Original Suit

No.

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

No. 252 of 1838 states that Plaintiff or Respon-
dent has fully established her claim to the
lunkah land or Island in dispute, and the
documents regarding this land have been
regularly kept by Plaintiff's public servants
from 1818, and filed before the Court. To this I
beg leave to observe that it does not appear
either by the original complaint or by the
vouchers alluded to in the Decree, what has
been the total quantity of land of Vasun-
tavada Village, whereof the Plaintiff
argues, that a part of the houses, land, and
lunkah land, were washed away by the
river, and the lunkah land in dispute was
formed both before and after the formation
of the Permanent assessment, what part of
it is dry, and what part is lunkah land,
what quantity of land was washed away
in each fusly, and in what fusslies, and
what portions of such washed land were deducted
in the accounts. Had the land, and lunkah land viz.
of Vasuntavadah, been really washed away, and the lunkah
land in dispute formed in their stead, the portion
of the land so washed away should have been deducted from
the

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

the total extent of the land of that Village, and
what was formed should have been added to
the lunkah lands of the Village, as has been
customary in the Country. But the Plaintiff
has not proved her claim by producing
such testimonials, nor does such detail appear
in the accounts, which the Plaintiff prepared
through her Servants, and filed in the Court.
It does not also appear by these accounts
in what fuslies the lunkah land in dispute
has grown up, and what was the extent in
each of those years. the boundaries of
the disputed land were not likewise
entered.- Consequently your Court will
find that those accounts cannot establish
the claim of the Plaintiff, that her ser-
vants said to have written those accounts
are not public Servants, and that
it is not just for the acting Judge of
the Zillah Court, to state in the Decree
that such accounts were admissible.
If so large quantity of land as 50
or 60 Pootties were washed away by the
river from between the western
bank of Vasuntavadah Village, and
that of the disputed lunkah land,
there would be no reason whatever for
not

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

No. 252 of 1838 states that Plaintiff or Respon-
-dent has fully established her claim to the
lunkah land or Island in dispute, and the
documents regarding this land have been
regularly kept by Plaintiff's public servants
from 1818, and filed before the court.- To this I
beg leave to observe that it does not appear
either by the original complaint or by those
vouchers alluded to in the Decree, what has
been the total quantity of land of Vasun-
-tavada Village, whereof the Plaintiff
argues, that a part of the houses, land, and
lunkah land, were washed away by the
river, and the lunkah land in dispute was
formed, both before and after the formation
of the Permanent assessment, what part of
it is dry, and what part is lunkah land,
what quantity of land was washed away
in each fusly, and in what fuslies, and
what portions of such washed land were deducted
in the accounts.- Had the land, and lunkah land &c.
of Vasuntavadah, been really washed away, and the lunkah
land in dispute formed in their stead, the portion
of the land so washed away should have been deducted from

the

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

the total extent of the land of that Village, and
what was formed should have been added to
the lunkah lands of the Village, as has been
customary in the Country. But the Plaintiff
has not proved her claim by producing
such testimonials, nor does such detail appear
in the accounts, which the Plaintiff prepared
through her Servants, and filed in the Court.
It does not also appear by these accounts
in what fuslies the lunkah land in dispute
has grown up, and what was the extent in
each of those years.- the boundaries of
the disputed land were not likewise
entered.- Consequently your Court will
find that those accounts cannot establish
the claim of the Plaintiff, that her Ser-
-vants said to have written those accounts
are not public Servants, and that
it is not just for the acting Judge of
the Zillah Court, to state in the Decree
that such accounts were admissible.-
If so large quantity of land as 50
or 60 Pootties were washed away by the
river from between the western
bank of Vasuntavadah Village, and
that of the disputed lunkah land,
there would be no reason whatever for

not

not deducting it from the accounts of the land & cist of the village. Though the Estate of Rauley to which the Vasuntavadah Village appertains, has not been placed under Circar's attachment, since the formation of the Permanent assessment, yet the Civil Enginer has once obtained the detailed accounts showing the lands &c. of several Villages thereof - and the Head of Police took the similar accounts for every 4 years - as these accounts are lodged in the Collector's office, the Court will perceive that they specify the same quantity of land in Village as was entered in the Permanent accounts without any deductions &c. In defiance of such public proofs the Brother-in-law of the Plaintiff, named Cocherlacotah Ramanah, having caused a false charge to be presented by the Villagers of Vasuntavadah and others before the Magistrate of Rajahmundry, pretending that the ryots of the Plaintiff's Cultivated Tobacco on the disputed kunkah land, in fusly 1245, and that my servants took it away by force, the Magistrate dismissed it, and passed a decision ... as the

the 27th June 1836 stating that from the depositions and accounts produced by cursnums. the 3d. and 4th Defendants in support that charge, the kunkah land in dispute, appeared to have been under my Cauvoor Village, and that both parties should in consequence preserve the peace. - As these ryots of my Cauvoor Village have been accordingly Cultivating the said disputed kunkah land, the Villagers of Vasuntavadah prevented it on the 6th October 1836. I have presented an Arzee on the subject to the Collector of Masulipatam, on the 15th of the same Month. He referred it to the Head of Police of Palungy Division, named Bhattlah Panoomarty Nursiah, for Summary enquiry. The latter officer came into the kunkah land, in dispute, inspected the spot, enquired of the Villagers and many others, examined the accounts, and made a report to the Collector of Masulipatam, on 1st July 1837, that the kunkah land in question had been for a long time under Cauvoor Village. The said Collector of Masulipatam, Mr. J. C. Wroughton, resolved that he would personally inspect the

Fort St.e George 17th October 1844.-

the lunkah land, and settle the matter He accordingly arrived at Caunoor Village, accompanied by Mr.r G.t Smith acting Collector of Rajahmundry and the Head Assistant Collector of the latter District, and these three Gentlemen inspected the whole lunkah land in dispute, the limits of the Polemara or Boundary, the positions and limits of certain other lunkah lands, lying on the North at a distance of 3 Cofs, and on the South at a distance of 2 Cofs. enquired into the Matter of disputed lunkah land, through several persons, selected indiscriminately. Examined the former accounts produced by the Curnums of Caunoor, namely the Detailed Mashat Jabitahs of the lunkah lands from fusly 1174, a similar account of fusly 1203, the Goodecuttoo Jabitahs or accounts showing the total extent of land from fusly 1212 when the Permanent Assessment was formed, up to fusly 1245, a period of 34 years, and the account Showing the quantity and cist of the Lunkah lands, also the Cabolyets &c.a executed by Byaraauzu Vencatarazdoo and

Fort St.e George 17th October 1844.-

and others of Vasantavadah, belonging to the Plaintiffs for cultivating the said lunkah land by obtaining cowl from my people. the Permanent accounts lodged in the Collector's office. the Vufsool Baky accounts, Goodoocultoo accounts, and anchanah Jabitah's &c.a taken when my Estate was under attachment during the Collectorship of Mr.r Rufsell. the Management accounts taken during my Minority. the Plans formerly drawn by the Civil Engineer; and the accounts produced by the Curnum of Vasantavadah. and passed a decision in my favor on the 9th Januarary 1838.- It being thus established by the said Decision as well as by Documentary and other Evidence, that the lunkah land in dispute belongs to Caunoor Village, your Court will perceive that the acting Judge of the Zillah Court erroneously expressed his opinion in the Decree that Plaintiff has fully Established her claim in direct contradiction to the said decision and other substantial proofs.-

2. The Decree further states that

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

that the Mutchilkah tendered by Plaintiff's Vakeel is not considered valid, as no Vakalutnamah was given to the vakeel authorizing him to consent to such settlement on Plaintiff's behalf. To this, I beg to observe that as Plaintiff had presented a Vakalutnamah to the Collector, stating that She would consent as if she were personally present, to whatever her vakeel Subnevis Sayennah Puntoolo, might speak and write regarding the affairs of her Zemindary, he, the said Sayennah Puntoolo was thus authorized by the Vakalutnamah for conducting all affairs which was connected with the business of the Plaintiff. Moreover the said Sayennah Puntoolo must have obtained a letter from the Plaintiff allowing him to execute the said Mutchilkah, which it may be presumed he chose not to produce to the court knowing that it would invalidate the Plaintiff's pretended claim. Plaintiff falsely alledged by certain of her own people Viz the 1st 2d 4th & witnesses 1 - 3 - 5 - 15 and 18 that the Mutchilkah in question was extorted, and your Court will therefore allow that it was not just to state in the Decree that it was not valid, especially since it has been customary to receive Mutchilkahs from both the parties when the Collector was to settle similar disputes. -

The

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

3. The 10th Witness of the Plaintiff declared that he had received duty upon Tobacco from the ryots of Vasantavadah in fasly 1245, but he did not prove by any Public voucher that he had recorded the remark of the Tobacco having been grown on the Lunkah land in dispute. By this it is very plain, that he had no Knowledge concerning the said Lunkah. The 1st Witness of the Plaintiff upon proposing a question No. 69 whether the Lunkah land was ever measured, answered in the negative, saying that it is not custom to measure the land when the Villagers pay the Cist, but on the Contrary, he declared in his answer to the question No. 50, that he was informed by the Villagers of their having measured it, but he did not see the lists thereof. Consequently it was an oversight in the Acting Judge to state in the decree that the Witnesses of the Plaintiff fully Established her Claim,

where

when there were such glaring discrepancies in their depositions.—

4. In Cases of disputed Sunkah lands Yielding produce, it has been usu= =al for the Villagers and the Zemindar &c. to enter, at the time of Collecting the Cist, or Cultivating lands, only the Amount of Cist, the Name of the ryot from whom it has been collected, and the Quantity of the land occupied by such ryot, but it has not been Customary to enter the name of the Sunkah land, its boundaries, and on which side of the Stream it is situated, nor were any Ac= =counts describing such limits produced by the Plaintiff Consequently the objection offered by the Acting Judge is not just.—

5. The Acting Judge states in his Decree that the Accounts presented by me do not specify that the Sunkah land in dispute is on the east side of the river which sepa= =rates it from my Cannoor Village, but as that land has been undisputedly enjoyed by me, there is

no occasion to mention in those Accounts that it is situated on the east or any other side of the river, and the objection offered by the Acting Judge, is not just.— The Decree Admits that I have produced a number of documents which show that there have been Sunkah lands under my Cannoor Village, but it is a mere mistake to state that there is not a single document which supports my Claim.— It is not also just to mention in the Decree that my Witnesses were interested, when it is admitted that they Established my defence.— The State= =ment of my Witnesses established the Public document specified in the 2d Paragraph, and my defence was also fully established by my 2nd 3rd 5th 6th 7th 8th 9th 10th 11th 12th 13th 14th 15th 16th 17th and 18th Witnesses.— The Witness named Anapurty Chitty Called by the Court also declared that he Cultivated Zeroyety land in the disputed Sunkah under me, but it is not just to mention in the

Decree

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

Decree that his Statement was not to be believed, as there was no Cowl but a mere Khut or Mutchilkah. The Servants of the Plaintiff tampered with my 1st. 4th. and 5th. Witnesses during the trial, but that will be of no use for the Plaintiff 6th.

The Acting Judge did not mention in the Decree what doubt he entertained regarding the Plans, and what he discovered by his own inspection of the locality. Moreover it was not proper for him to seek information in that manner since the orders of the Court of Sudr Udalut direct that a lawful Decision should be passed upon due enquiry into the evidence and testimonials of both parties. If your Court inspect my plan, you will understand that it Corresponds with the one formerly made by the Civil Engineer, so that the lower Court Could not have any mistrust of it, and that the Plan filed by the Plaintiff is inadmissible.

The Ramley Mootah to which the said Vasuntavadah Village appertains, was

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

was put up to sale for arrears of revenue, and bought in on account of Government in fasly 1253. The Plaintiff in applying for putting the Lower Courts Decree into execution, came to an understanding with the other Defendants, and together with them presented a fraudulent motion, in which the Plaintiff acknowledged the receipt of the Warrant Amount which really was never paid, and the Defendants in return conceded that the disputed Lunkah land belonged to Vasuntavadah Village. Upon this I presented a Petition offering several objections, which the Court took into consideration, and after due examination refused the proposals of the Plaintiff.

I therefore pray your Court will, on the perusal of the foregoing circumstances as well as the record in the original Suit, be pleased to pass a Decree reversing the Lower Courts Decision, and adjudging the Plaintiff or Respondent to make over to me the disputed Lunkah land together with its produce and Court Charges

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

Decree that his Statement was not to be believed, as there was no Cowl but a mere Khut or Mutchilkah. The Servants of the Plaintiff tampered with my 1st. 4th. and 5th. Witnesses during the trial, but that will be of no use for the Plaintiff 6th.

The Acting Judge did not mention in the Decree what doubt he entertained regarding the Plans, and what he discovered by his own inspection of the locality, Moreover it was not proper for him to seek information in that manner since the orders of the Court of Sudr Udalut direct that a lawful Decision should be passed, upon due enquiry into the evidence and testimonials of both parties. If your Court inspect my plan, you will understand that it Corresponds with the one formerly made by the Civil Engineer, so that the lower Court Could not have any mistrust of it, and that the Plan filed by the Plaintiff is inadmissible.

The Ranley Mootah to which the said Vasuntavadah Village Appertains,

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

was put up to sale for arrears of revenue, and bought in on account of Government in fusly 1253. The Plaintiff in applying for putting the Lower Courts' Decree into execution, came to an understanding with the other Defendants, and together with them presented a fraudulent motion, in which the Plaintiff acknowledged the receipt of the Warrant Amount which really was never paid, and the Defendants in return conceded that the disputed Lunkah land belonged to Vasuntavadah Village. Upon this I presented a Petition offering several objections, which the Court took into consideration, and after due examination refused the proposals of the Plaintiff.

I therefore pray your Court will, on the perusal of the foregoing circumstances, as well as the record in the original Suit, be pleased to pass a Decree reversing the Lower Courts' Decision, and adjudging the Plaintiff or Respondent to make over to me the disputed Lunkah land, together with its produce and Court

Charges

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.-

charges, as prayed for in my appeal Petition.
Please to peruse - Dated 28th. April 1844.-

/ Signed / Tokaty Poorshotamiah
Pleader.

Filed in Court, the
29th April 1844. / True Translation /

/ Signed / C. I. Bird
Acting Collector.

No. 2.

To, The Special Commissioners Court
at
Masulipatam -

A proposed Answer to be filed by C. I. Bird Esqre.
Acting Collector of the District of Rajahmundry
Supplemental Respondent to a Separate
Pleading filed by Rajah Narayu Apparow
appellant in Case No. 27 of 1843 on the file of
the late Provincial Court Northern Division -

The appellant impugns the Decree
of the late Masulipatam Zillah Court on several
grounds, some of which are too futile to need
a detailed Answer - To the objections which are
at all material, the following replies are submitted -
The appellant objects that in the Original trial no
Accounts were produced shewing what was the extent of

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.-

of land in the Village of Vassuntavandah before,
and at any time after, the Permanent Assessment,
nor of the quantity washed away at different
times by the river. Nor of Lunkahs formed -
To this it is answered that it was unnecessary
for Plaintiff in the original Cause to enter any
Accounts of the kind described - Plaintiff proved
by Accounts and by evidence her occupation of
the disputed Lunkah for 16 Years from 1818 to 1833,
Expressly establishing the fact of its position ad-
joining the Village of Vassuntavandah and the
very material Circumstance of the Course of the
River not having changed during more than
twenty Years past - With regard to the disputed
Lunkah, She proved further with respect to
the ground in question - the quantity brought under
Cultivation during the 16 Years above
specified, the Cist assessed upon it -
and the Amount Collected by her
family - She established moreover that
the Tobacco carried away on 15th. March 1836 by the
Servants

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

Servants of the Appellant had been Cultivated under Moochelkahs tendered to her brother in law. In the above explanation is comprised the Answer to another of Appellants objections, namely that the boundaries of the disputed Lunkah were not laid down and proved in Plaintiffs' Case. It may be further added that neither were any of the points referred to cleared up by any of the exhibits filed by Appellant on his part, and it was the more needful for him in-as-much as the disputed land is separated from his Village by the River. The accounts which Appellant pretends were taken by the Civil Engineer and Police Darogah of the extent of lands in the Village of Vusuntavandah are not, as he says, in the Collectors Cutcherry.

Appellant states, that in a decision of a Police Case by the Magistrate made June 27th. 1836, wherein Appellants Servants were Accused by Plaintiffs brother-in-law of Carrying away

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

away his Tobacco, the Magistrate Acknowledged Appellants right to the Lunkah land in question. This is not the fact. No opinion was expressed by the Head Assistant Magistrate on the subject of the Proprietary right, but the contending parties were merely bound to preserve the public peace.

Appellant insists strongly on the decision in his favour made by the Collector of Rajahmundry on 9th. January 1838. The Wording of that decision however shews, that it was framed without Complete information of the fact since established on the trial, of the Lunkah land in dispute having always been attached to the Village of Vusuntavandah.

With regard to Appellants repetition of the Assertion of the validity of the Moochelkah taken from Subnevees sayanah Puntooloo to the effect that the Proprietrix should abide by the Collectors decision, and the allegation that he received private instructions from his client to give such a Moochelkah, the fact of the Extortion of the Moochelkah, it is submitted, was completely proved and of the other allegation there can be no proof.

Appellant

Fort St. George 17th. October 1814.

Appellant urges objections to weight being allowed to the evidence of the 10th. Witness, because he does not specify that the Tobacco, on which he said he received duty, was grown upon the disputed Lunkah - Also to the evidence of the 1st. Witness in consequence of what he conceives to be a discrepancy, it is submitted that he has not invalidated the testimony of these Witnesses; and if he had, that it would not be material to the issue. Appellant has misconceived and misrepresented quite the exception taken by the late Acting Judge of Masulipatam to the evidence of Anaparty Chitty, and his plea

Fort St. George 17th. October 1814.

plea that it was not competent to the Judge to use the evidence of his own senses to test the correctness of the plans of the locality entered in evidence, it is argued is most absurd and inadmissible.

In conclusion it is submitted that the justness of the Decree appealed against, is completely vindicated by the acknowledgment, by all the other Defendants

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Defendants in the original Cause, of the right of Plaintiff to the disputed Lunkah which acknowledgment they formally made by Razeenamah filed subsequently to the date of the Decree and paid to her in Cash their respective portions of her demand.

The Acting Collector of Rajahmundry therefore prays the Court in taking the above Reply and explanation into consideration to Confirm the Decree of the Zillah Court, and adjudge the Appellant to pay the Amount of the Value of produce due from him, with all Costs of Suit.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Acting Collector.

(42-43)
Order thereon.
(Read again in Cont 28th Octr)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.
To,

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

From C. J. Bird Esqre
Acting Collector of
Rajahmundry

Sir.

Para 1. I have the honor to submit the accompanying usual Statements exhibiting the Settlement of Extra Sources of Revenue in this District for Fusly 1253. / A.D. 1843/44.

Reporting on the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue of this District for Fusly 1253.
Salt.

Vide Sect 10 of Act XIV

2. The Marginal Table (I) contrasts the Collections of Salt Revenue in fuslies 1252 and 1253 / A.D. 1842/43 and A.D. 1843/44, and shews a net increase of Rupees 52,622-5-9. which is the result of an increase in all the items excepting "Sales of smuggled Salt." The receipts on Salt for

(I)

Items	Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43		Fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44		Increase		Decrease	
1	2		3		4		5	
	C Rs.	As P	C Rs.	As P	C Rs.	As P	C Rs.	As P
Home Consumption	1,32,387	8 "	1,50,684	3 "	18,246	11 "	"	"
Inland Do	2,169	9 "	3,0990		2,8821	3 "	"	"
Sales of Smuggled Salt	65	14 9	1	14 10	"	"	63	15 11
Export to Calcutta	93,852	3 3	98,498	55	4,646	2 2	"	"
Sold for the consumption of French Settlement	90	" "	105	" "	15	" "	"	"
Recovery of the advance for the manufacture of Salt	2,982	14 3	3,931	2 5	948	4 2	"	"
Extra Revenue	"	" "	9	1 4	9	1 4	"	"
Total	2,31,548	1 3	2,84,170	7 "	52,686	5 8	63	15 11
			Deduct Decrease		63	15 11		
			Net Increase		52,622	5 9		

for Home Consumption were augmented this last year principally by the raising of the price in April from 105 Rupees to 180 Rupees per Madras Garce — The returns on sales for inland Consumption were affected by an unusual number of Bringaries arriving for Salt before the end of March - The receipts on Salt for exportation also increased, in consequence of a greater demand in Calcutta, for the average price in the fusly reporting upon was above 10 Rupees less per Garce than in fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 - Smuggling of Salt has been very much prevented this last fusly by the storing, on account of Government, of all the spontaneously formed Salt - and this perhaps may, in a slight degree, have affected the Amount of Sales — as shewn in the annexed Statement, as well as caused the decrease in the particular item alluded to - The increase exhibited against the item "Recovery of Advances" arose from the greater exertions made in the last fusly to manufacture a large quantity

quantity of Salt, and the consequent extensive advances given out -

3 The Marginal Table II compares the Salt charges of two past fuslies -

II

Items	Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43		Fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44		Increase		Decrease	
1	2		3		4		5	
	C. Rs	A. P.	C. Rs	A. P.	C. Rs	A. P.	C. Rs	A. P.
Salaries of Hoozoor and District —	7.239	24	7.294	1	6.	54.	152.	"
Sauderwared Do.	267	65.	267	65.	"	"	"	"
Extra Charges -	9,386	67.	9,947	11.	561.	45.	"	"
Advances for the Manufacture of Salt —	812.	8.	6025	"	5.	5212.	8.	6.
Prime Cost of Salt received into store —	23,889	11	8.	6,132	1	11.	"	"
Total -	41,595	3.	29,666	5	4.	5828.	12	1.
							17,757.	9. 9.

Deduct increase — 5,828. 12. 1.

Net decrease — 11,928. 13. 8.

In Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 certain situations in the Salt Department remained some time unfilled. Hence the increase attributed to the last fusly. The increase against Extra Charges is the difference between a decrease in the Salaries of Extra Peons, amounting to upwards of a thousand Rupees, and an increase of more than fifteen hundred Rupees in the charges of Measurement, and Exportation of the large Sales indicated in the last paragraph - The same para accounts for the augmented advances for

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

for Home Consumption were augmented this last year principally by the raising of the price in April from 105 Rupees to 180 Rupees per Madras Garce — The returns on sales for inland Consumption were affected by an unusual number of Bringaries arriving for Salt before the end of March - The receipts on Salt for exportation also increased, in consequence of a greater demand in Calcutta, for the average price in the fusly reporting upon was above 10 Rupees less per Garce than in fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 - Smuggling of Salt has been very much prevented this last fusly by the storing, on account of Government, of all the spontaneously formed Salt - and this perhaps may, in a slight degree, have affected the Amount of Sales as shewn in the annexed Statement, as well as caused the decrease in the particular item alluded to - The increase exhibited against the item "Recovery of Advances" arose from the greater exertions made in the last fusly to manufacture a large

quantity

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

quantity of Salt, and the consequent extensive advances given out.—

3 The Marginal Table II compares the Salt charges of two past fuslies—

II

Items	Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43		Fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44		Increase.		Decrease		In Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 certain situations in the Salt Department remained some time unfilled. Hence the increase attributed to the last fusly. The increase against Extra Charges is the
	1		2		3		4		5
	C. Rs	A. P.	C. Rs	A. P.	C. Rs	A. P.	C. Rs	A. P.	C. Rs	A. P.
Salaries of Hoozoor and District—	7.239	24	7.294	1	6.		54.	15	2.	" " "
Sunderwared Do.	267	6	5.	267	6	5.	"	"	"	" " "
Extra Charges—	9,386	6	7.	9,947	11.	-	561.	4	5.	" " "
Advances for the Manufacture of Salt—	812.	8.	-	6025	"	6.	5212.	8	6.	" " "
Prime Cost of Salt received into Store—	23,889	11	8.	6,132	1	11.	"	"	"	17,757. 9. 9.
Total—	41,595	3	-	29,666	5	4.	5828.	12	1.	17,757. 9. 9.
Deduct increase—							5828.	12	1.	
Net decrease—							11,928.	13	8.	

difference between a decrease in the Salaries of Extra Peons, amounting to upwards of a thousand Rupees, and an increase of more than fifteen hundred Rupees in the charges of Measurement and Exportation of the large Sales indicated in the last paragraph - The same para accounts for the augmented advances

for

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

for manufacture, yet the annexed Table shews a decrease of nearly 18,000 Rupees in the prime cost of Salt, which however has arison simply from the circumstances of less Salt having been received into store within the Fusly.—

Sayer 4. The abolition of the Transit
III. Duties early in the present year sufficiently accounts for the decrease against "inland duties" in the annexed Statement /III/ and the new export Tariff brought into operation at the same time has produced the increase of Collections on the Frontier. The outstanding balances on account of Sayer having been remitted by the bounty of Government in April last, and none having accrued subsequently; there is nothing to remark upon that score.—

Items	Collections for Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43	Collections for Fusly 1853 A.D. 1843/44	Increase	Decrease
	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁
Inland duty	90,705 14 5	62,736 13 2	" " "	27,969 1 3
Frontier duty	876 1 11	1923 13 8	1047 11 9	" " "
Total	91,582 " 4	64,660 10 10	1047 11 9	27,969 1 3
Deduct increase			1047 11 9	
Net decrease				26921 5 6

Vide Proceedings Board of Revenue 22d April 1844 with Extract from the 2d Minutes of 4th of the same month 4. His Lordship in Council grants authority for writing off the undermentioned old balances reported to be irrecoverable, amounting to Rupees 6191-4-9 Rs a. p.
Sayer 201-14 6
ab Karry 2094-7-9
Small Farm 427-1 "
Moturpha 3467-13 6
6191-4-9

5. The Sayer Charges in the last Fusly, vide Table /IV/ were affected also by the abolition of the land Customs and need no further observations.

/IV./

Items	Charges for Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43	Charges for Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44	Increase	Decrease
1	2	3	4	5
	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁
Salaries for Huzzoor and District Servants	14,993 11 5	11587 10 2	" " "	3406 1 3
Sauderwared	485 6 5	361 3 3	" " "	124 3 2
Extra Charges	258 13 "	154 5 9	" " "	104 7 3
Drawback	2029 8 4	1464 8 1	" " "	565 " 3
Total	17767 7 2	13567 11 3	" " "	4199 11 11

Sea Customs. 6. Table /V/ exhibits a very large increase in the Sea Customs, both on account of Exports and Imports, the Collections in last Fusly being more than double of those in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 - To a considerable degree, this is attributable to the operations of the new rates imposed by Act No. VI of

/V./

Items	Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43	Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44	Increase	Decrease
1	2	3	4	5
	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁	C Rs a ♁
Export	10318 9 7	18188 1 6	7869 7 11	" " "
Import	3460 7 8	10617 14 7	7157 6 7	" " "
	12779 1 3	28806 " 1	15026 14 10	" " "
Extra Revenue	144 6 8	" " "	" " "	144 6 8
Total	13923 7 11	28806 " 1	15026 14 10	144 6 8

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

of 1844, for, it will be remarked —

/VII/

from Table VI, that upwards of 6,000 Rupees were collected upon imports after the 1st of April. This was principally upon Grain, a commodity which, for the last two years, has been imported at Coringa in great quantities from Arracan for Home consumption, while the produce of the District is exported to the Mauritius and other places. By the Marginal Statement /VII/ it will be seen that for the last ten years the collections either on exports

Fuslies	Up to 31st March 1844 Exports	Up to 31st March 1844 Imports	From 1st April to 11th July 1844 Exports	From 1st April to 11th July 1844 Imports
1	2 C Rs a ℘	3 C Rs a ℘	4 C Rs a ℘	5 C Rs a ℘
1252 A.D. 1842/43	7,695 4 -	3,221 8 8	2,623 5 7	238 15 -
1253 A.D. 1843/44	12,414 7 8	4,088 3 8	5,773 9 10	6,529 10 11

VIII

Fuslies	Exports C Rs	Exports a ℘	Imports C Rs	Imports a ℘	Total C Rs	Total a ℘
1	2		3		4	
1243 A.D. 1833/34	6,449	7 11	4,933	3 10	11,382	11 9
1244 A.D. 1834/35	9,299	2 3	3,804	11 11	13,103	14 2
1245 A.D. 1835/36	11,038	13 2	3,354	- 4	14,392	13 6
1246 A.D. 1836/37	12,509	15 3	1,986	- -	14,495	15 3
1247 A.D. 1837/38	13,090	9 -	4,293	15 7	17,384	8 7
1248 A.D. 1838/39	7,495	10 -	6,239	3 1	13,734	13 1
1249 A.D. 1839/40	8,469	10 1	4,085	7 5	12,555	1 11
1250 A.D. 1840/41	5,437	4 8	2,643	15 4	8,081	4 -
1251 A.D. 1841/42	8,867	8 6	4,273	1 4	13,137	9 10
1252 A.D. 1842/43	10,318	9 7	3,460	7 8	13,779	1 3
1253 A.D. 1843/44	18,188	1 6	10,617	14 7	28,806	- 1

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

exports or imports have never approached the amounts now realized. Seven tenths of the net increase has been received upon Grain imported and exported in the manner just described. Whether this trade will continue to flourish to the same extent now that a duty is levied both on importation and exportation remains to be seen. —

VIII

Fusly 1252 - C Rs 3,920-3-6.
A.D. 1842/43.

" 1252 A.D. 1843/44 - 3,913-2-3

Decrease - 7-1-3

The difference in Sea Customs Charges between the two Fuslies here compared is too small to want explanation. Vide Table /VIII/.

Abkarry.

There was no alteration made in regard to the Divisions rented and kept last fusly in the Abkarry with

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

of 1844, for, it will be remarked —

/VII/

Fuslies	Up to 31st March 1844 Exports		Imports		From 1st April to 11th July 1844 Exports		Imports	
1	2		3		4		5	
	C Rs	a ℘	C Rs	a ℘	C Rs	a ℘	C Rs	a ℘
1252 A.D. 1842/43	7,695	4 -	3,221	8 8	2,623	5 7	238	15 -
1253 A.D. 1843/44	12,414	7 8	4,088	3 8	5,773	9 10	6,529	10 11

from Table VI, that upwards of 6,000 Rupees were collected upon imports after the 1st of April - This was principally upon Grain, a commodity which, for the last two years, has been imported at Cuddalore in great quantities from Arracan for Home consumption, while the produce of the District is exported to the Mauritius and other places - By the Marginal Statement /VII/ it will be seen that for the last ten years the collections either on exports

VIII

Fuslies.	Exports. C Rs	a ℘	Imports. C Rs	a ℘	Total. C Rs	a ℘
1	2		3		4	
1243 A.D. 1833/34	6,449	7 11	4,933	3 10	11,382	11 9
1244 A.D. 1834/35	9,299	2 3	3,804	11 11	13,103	14 2
1245 A.D. 1835/36	11,038	13 2	3,354	- 4	14,392	13 6
1246 A.D. 1836/37	12,509	15 3	1,986	- -	14,495	15 3
1247 A.D. 1837/38	13,090	9 -	4,293	15 7	17,384	8 7
1248 A.D. 1838/39	7,495	10 -	6,239	3 1	13,734	13 1
1249 A.D. 1839/40	8,469	10 1	4,085	7 5	12,555	1 11
1250 A.D. 1840/41	5,437	4 8	2,643	15 4	8,081	4 -
1251 A.D. 1841/42	8,867	8 6	4,273	1 4	13,137	9 10
1252 A.D. 1842/43	10,318	9 7	3,460	7 8	13,779	1 3
1253 A.D. 1843/44	18,188	1 6	10,617	14 7	28,806	- 1

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

exports or imports have never approached the amounts now realized. Seven tenths of the net increase has been received upon Grain imported and exported in the manner just described - Whether this trade will continue to flourish to the same extent now that a duty is levied both on importation and exportation remains to be seen. —

VIII

	C Rs. a ℘	
Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43.	3,920-3-6.	Abkarry.
" 1252 A.D. 1842/44	3,913-2-3	
Decrease - 7-1-3		

/. The difference in Sea Customs Charges between the two Fuslies here compared is too small to want explanation - Vide Table /VIII/ - There was no alteration made last fusly in the Abkarry with regard to the Divisions rented and kept

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

kept under Government Management.

The increase shewn in Table [IX] was caused almost entirely by the rented Divisions selling better from good prospects of the demand amounting to Rupees 49,175-2- Rupees 48,467-6-8 were realized within the fusly, and Rupees 50-12- more, by the end of August, leaving balances of Rupees 656-15-4 due from the Divisions, and in the proportions shewn in the Margin [X]. Arrangements have been made with the Renters of Dracharam and Cadeyem, whereby it is hoped these arrears will disappear in the report of next fusly.

[IX]

Fusly	Rent	Sumary	Total.
1	2 Cks A P	3 Cks A P	4 Cks A P
1252 A.D. 1842/43	45,266 " "	2,852 " "	48,118 " "
1253 A.D. 1843/44	46,293 " "	2,880 2 "	49,175 2 "
Increase	1,029 " "	28. 2. "	1,057 2 "
Decrease	" " "	" " "	" " "

[X]

	Cks A P
Dracharam	576-11-2
Cadeyem	79-4-2
	655-15-4
Yernagoodem under Sumary	1-"-"
Total	656-15-4

Table

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

(XI.) Table (XI) shews the state of the Arrears of Balance of Revenue of longer standing. The balance on account of Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41.

Fusly	Arrears outstanding at the end of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43	Collections within the end of fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44	Remission under Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844.	Total of Columns 3 and 4	Balance	Subsequent Collection up to the end of August 1844	Balance still unpaid.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Cks A P	Cks A P	Cks A P	Cks A P	Cks A P	Cks A P	Cks A P
1244 A.D. 1834/35	" 4 "	" " "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1245 A.D. 1835/36.	1 " 6	" " "	1 " 6	1 " 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
1250 A.D. 1840/41.	2731 2 9	158 3 6	2093 3 3	2251 6 9	479 12 "	296 7 8	183 4 4
1251 A.D. 1841/42	1844 3 4	254 14 11	" " "	254 14 11	1589 4 5	" " "	1589 4 5
1252 A.D. 1842/43	369 4 8	240 6 "	" " "	240 6 "	128 14 8	24 " "	104 14 8
Total	4945 15 3	653 8 5	2094 7 9	2748 " "	2197 15 1	320 7 8	1877 7 5

(XII)

	Cks A P
Pittapoor Division	174-8-4
Rajahmundry Division	8-12-"-
Total	183-4-4

are due from the Renters of the Divisions named in the Margin XII, in the sums set against each. The Pittapoor Defaulter is dead, but measures have been taken to recover as much of the arrears as possible from his securities, one of whom has promised to discharge his quota of 85 Rupees by the end of November and the sale of attached property

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

kept under Government Management.

The increase shewn in Table [IX] was caused almost entirely by the rented Divisions selling better from good prospect of the demand amounting to Rupees 49,175-2- Rupees 48,467-6-8 were realized within the Fusly, and Rupees 50-12- more, by the end of August, leaving balances of Rupees 656-15-4 due from the Divisions, and in the proportions shewn in the Margin [X]. Arrangements have been made with the Renters of Dracharam and Cadeyem, whereby it is hoped these arrears will disappear. in the report of next Fusly.

[IX]

Fusly	Rent	Sumary	Total.
	2	3	4
	Ckr. a. p.	Ckr. a. p.	Ckr. a. p.
1252 A.D. 1842/43	45266 " "	2,852 " "	48118 " "
1253 A.D. 1843/44	46293 " "	2,880 2 "	49175 2 "
Increase	1029 " "	28. 2. "	1057 2 "
Decrease	" " "	" " "	" " "

[X]

	Ckr. A. P.
Dracharam	576-11-2
Cadeyem	79-4-2
	655-15-4
Yernagoodem under Sumary	1-"-"
Total	656-15-4

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

(XI.) 9. Table (XI) shews the state of the

Fusly.	Arrears outstanding at the end of Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43	Collection within the end of Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44	Remission under Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844.	Total of Columns 3 and 4	Balance	Subsequent Collection up to the end of August 1844	Balance still unpaid.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P	Ckr. A P
1244 A.D. 1834/35	" 4 "	" " "	" 4 "	" 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1245 A.D. 1835/36.	1 " 6	" " "	1 " 6	1 " 6	" " "	" " "	" " "
1250 A.D. 1840/41.	2731 2 9	158 3 6	2,093 3 3	2251 6 9	479 12 "	296 7 8	183 4 4
1251 A.D. 1841/42	1844 3 4	254 14 11	" " "	254 14 11	1589 4 5	" " "	1589 4 5
1252 A.D. 1842/43	369 4 8	240 6 "	" " "	240 6 "	128 14 8	24 " "	104 14 8
Total	4945 15 3	653 8 5	2,094 7 9	2,748 " "	2,219 7 1	320 7 8	1877 7 5

(XII)

	Ckr. A. P.
Pittapoor Division	174-8-4
Rajahmundry Division	8-12-"-
Total	183-4-4

are due from the Renters of the Divisions named in the Margin XII, in the sums set against each. The Pittapoor Defaulter is dead, but measures have been taken to recover as much of the arrears as possible from his securities, one of whom has promised to discharge his quota of 85 Rupees by the end of November and the sale of attached property

of Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41.

property belonging to the other two has been ordered. Referring to the notice of this Pittapoor Renters' case taken by Mr. Smith in Para 22 of his report dated 18th October 1842, and by Sir H. Montgomery in Para 22 of his report dated 18th October 1843, I beg leave to recommend that whatever remains of this balance after the result of the measures have detailed may be remitted. The balance due by Rajahmundry it is expected, will be recovered. The

(XIII)

	Rs	A.	P.
Coringa Division	1101	4	4.
Pittapoor Ditto	488	"	1.
Total	1589	4	5.

arrears on account of fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 stand against the two Divisions particularized in the annexed Statement (XIII.) The property of the Renter and Security of Coringa Division has already been sold and the Renter sent to Jail in November last but nothing further has been recovered or is likely ever to be recovered either from himself or his security. If it seem good to the Board to recommend the remittance of this balance as irrecoverable, I should be glad to liberate this

person, as nothing is to be gained by his continued incarceration. The Pittapoor renter for fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 has absconded. His security agrees to defray a part of the balance due from him by instalments, and some portion will be recovered. The further notice of this matter is postponed till another report is made. The arrears for fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43. are on one Division only, vide (XIV) and it is believed to be recoverable. No means therefore will be neglected for its realization.

(XIV.)

Dracharam Div. Rs A. P. 104-11-8.

Sundry small Farms and Licenses.

10. The increase on "Small Farms and Licences" shewn in Statement XV is owing to some fishermen having now commenced the exercise of their Craft in the Division of Coringa and its neighbourhood. The outstanding balance on this account amounts to Rupees 427-3-6 up to the end of August. It will be recovered as speedily as possible.

(XV.)

	Rs	A.	P.
Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43.	6,980	1	8.
" 1253 A.D. 1843/44.	7,142	12	6.
Increase	1062	11	"

The whole of the arrears up to fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 having been remitted

property belonging to the other two has been ordered. Referring to the notice of this Pittapoor Renters case taken by Mr. Smith in Para 22 of his report dated 18th October 1842, and by Sir H. Montgomery in Para 22 of his report dated 18th October 1843, I beg leave to recommend that whatever remains of this balance after the result of the measures have detailed may be remitted. The balance due by Rajahmundry it is expected, will be recovered. The

(XIII)

arrears on account of fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 stand against the two Divisions particularized in the annexed Statement (XIII.) The property of the Renter and Security of Coringa Division has already been sold and the Renter sent to Jail in November last but nothing further has been recovered or is likely ever to be recovered either from himself or his security. If it seem good to the Board to recommend the remittance of this balance as irrecoverable, I should be glad to liberate

	Cds	A.	P.
Coringa Division	1101	4	4.
Pittapoar Ditto	488	"	1.
Total	1589	4	5.

this person, as nothing is to be gained by his continued incarceration. The Pittapoor renter for fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 has abscanded. His security agrees to defray a part of the balance due from him by instalments, and some portion will be recovered. The further notice of this matter is postponed till another report is made. The arrears for fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 are on one Division only, vide

(XIV.)

Dracharam Cds 104 A. 11 P. 8. Division.

(XIV) and it is believed to be recoverable. No means therefore will be neglected for its realization.—

Sundry small Farms and Licenses. 10.

(XV.)

The increase on "Small Farms and Licences" shewn in Statement XV is owing to some fishermen having now commenced the exercise of their Craft in the Division of Coringa and its neighbourhood. The out-standing balance on this account amounts to Rupees 427—3—6 up to the end of August.— It will be recovered as speedily as possible. The whole of the arrears up to fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 having been remitted

	Cds	A.	P.
Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43.	6,080	1	8.
" 1253 A.D. 1843/44.	7,142	12	6.
Increase	1,062	11	14.

Vide Proceedings Board of Revenue 22d April 1844.

(XVI)

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

remitted by Government, there remains now due, as shewn in Table (XVI) for fuslies 1251. and 1252 / A.D. 1842/43. an aggregate amount of Rupees 676-5-10- very little of which will ever be paid, but whatever can be recovered, will be brought to account and the subject taken upon again in a succeeding report.-

Fuslies.	Amount outstanding at the commencement of Fusly	Collections within the end of Fusly	Balance at the end of Fusly	Subsequent Collection up to the end of August 1844	Remaining Balance
	1253 A.D. 1843/44	1253 A.D. 1843/44	1253 A.D. 1843/44		
1	2	3	4	5	6
	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.	Rs. A P.
1251 / A.D. 1841/42	407 12 10	63 83	344 4 7	" "	344 4 7
1252 / A.D. 1842/43	619 5 3	287 " "	332 5 3	" 4 "	332 1 3
Total	1027 2 1	350 8 3	676 9 10	" 4 "	676 5 10

Stamps 11- There is a considerable decrease in the revenue from Stamps- vide Table XVII, which might have been looked for from the discontinuance of the sale of Sayer Rowanahs- But there has also been a great decrease in the other descriptions of Stamps, for which it is not easy to assign a reason- The particulars of the decrease are stated in Table XVIII. The charges are effected by similar causes- The particulars of the decrease are annexed in Statement XIV.

(XVII)

Fuslies.	Collections	Charges	Net Revenue
1	2	3	4
	Rs. A P	Rs. A P	Rs. A P
1252 / A.D. 1842/43	19457 9 "	1077 1 11	18380 7 1
1253 / A.D. 1843/44	15191 5 "	747 9 3	14443 11 9
Increase	" " "	" " "	" " "
Decrease	4266 4 "	329 8 8	3936 11 4

(XVIII.)

Decrease-

	Rs. A P.
In the sale of Sayer Rowanahs	1917-13 "
Ditto Stamps for the Court	2300-8 "
Penalties and Stamps duty for Pauper Suits	433-15 "
Value of Stamps for the security of Public Servants	5- " "
	4657-4 "
Deduct increase in the sale of Sea Custom Rowanahs	391- " "
Net Decrease	4266-4 "

(XIX)

	Rs. A P.
Decrease in the Commission from the decreased Sales of Stamps	190-48
Stamps duty repaid to the clients in Pauper Suits	137 12 "
Sundry Charges	1 8 "
Total	329 88

Moturpha- 12- The Demand on account of Moturpha will be seen from marginal Statement (XX.) has increased Rupees 4237-15-2 and is attributable chiefly to a vigorous investigation at the time of making the Settlement for fusly 1253 / A.D. 1843/44. The outstanding balances at the end of the fusly amounted to

(XX)

	Rs. A. P.
Fusly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43	43232-12-2
" 1253 / A.D. 1843/44	47470-11-4
Increase	4237-15-2

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

to Rupees 6,357-11-10. of which Rupees 2,162-7-9- have since been collected, leaving now due Rupees 4,194-9-2, as much as possible of which will be recovered.- Government was pleased in the orders already more than once quoted, to write off the old balances of Moturpha down to fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41. There

Vide Proceedings Board of Revenue- 22nd April 1844-

(XXI)

Fuslies	Arrears outstanding at the commencement of Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44		Collections within the Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44		Balance at the end of Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44		Subsequent Collection up to the end of August 1844.		Remaining Balance-
1	2		3		4		5		6
	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs A P
1251 — A.D. 1841/42	2486	9 3	168	10 5	2317	14 10	"	"	2317 14 10
1252 — A.D. 1842/43	4850	11 6	2391	9 5	2459	2 1	32	8 "	2426 10 1
Total	7337	4 9	2560	3 10	4777	" 11	32	8 "	4744 8 11

remain unpaid on account of fuslies 1251 A.D. 1841/42 and 1252 A.D. 1842/43 as arrears amounting altogether to Rupees 4744-8-11. as detailed in Table XXI.

efforts are making for their realization- The result of which will be submitted in further reports.—

She

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

13.- The following Abstract- XXII. Shews the net Revenue from all sources for fusly 1253. A.D. 1843/44 amounting to Rupees 4,32,747-11-4- and compares it with that for fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 exchibiting an increase of Rupees 54492-5-8.—

(XXII.)

Number	Items-	Demand Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43		Collection including Extra Revenue Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44		Charges Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43		Net Revenue Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44		Per centage of Charges of Collection for Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44
1		2		3		4		5		6
		Cs Rs	A P	Cs Rs	A P	Cs Rs	A P	Cs Rs	A P	
1	Salt—	23,548	1 3	28,461	5 8	23,548	1 3	28,417	0 7	41595 3
2	Sayer—	91,532	" 4	66,660	10 10	91,631	4 7	64,764	10 2	17,767 7
3	Leaseetum—	13,779	1 3	28,306	" 1	13,923	7 11	28,306	" 1	39,203
4	Abkarry—	43,118	" "	49,175	2 "	49,315	5 2	49,120	15 7	2 10
5	Sundry Small Farms & Licenes	6,020	1 6	7,142	12 6	5,823	" 9	6,782	6 3	" "
6	Stamps—	19,457	9 "	15,791	5 "	19,457	9 "	15,791	5 "	1077 1 11
7	Moturpha—	43,232	12 2	47,470	11 4	42,325	3 6	43,763	12 9	11,960 " 11
	Total—	4,53,797	9 6	4,96,607	15 5	4,57,584	" 2	4,92,602	8 4	76,328 10 6
	Increase—	" "	" "	42,810	5 11	" "	" "	38,013	8 2	" "
	Decrease—	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "	16,473	13 6	" "

Rajahmundry District Cocanada — 8th October 1844.-		(Signed) C. J. Bird Ag Collector—

Statement-

13638 Fort St. George

17th October 1844.

A. Statement due in the District of

Rajahmundry for Fuslies 1252 and 1253.—

...ly 1253.—

	...a ...ions	Total Collections on Account of Fusly 1253.	Balance of Current Revenue on the 11th July 1843.—	Comparison of Settlement of Fusly 1253 with that of Fusly 1252.— Increase	Decrease	Comparison of Total Collections of Fusly 1253 with that of Fusly 1252.— Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	1	10	11	12		13		14	15	16
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Salt	1 4	2,84,170 7	" "	52,613 4 5		" "		52,622 5 9	" "	
Sayer	15 4	64,760 10 2	" "	" "		26,921 5 6		" "	26,844 3 3	
Sea Customs	" "	28,806 " 1	" "	15,026 4 10		" "		14,882 8 2	" "	Of the Balance of Rs. 707-11-4 outstanding at the end of the Fusly 1253 Rs. 50-12-" have been subsequently collected.—
Abkaury	" "	48,467 6 8	707 11 4	1,057 2 "		" "		718 11 4	" "	
Sundry small Licences	" "	6,427 2 "	715 10 6	1,062 " "		" "		966 5 9	" "	Of the Balance of Rs. 715-10-6 Do Do Rs. 288-7-" Do Do—
Stamps	" "	15,791 5 "	" "	" "		4,266 4 "		" "	4,266 4 "	
Motursha	4 "	41,131 14 5	635 7 " 11	423 7 "		" "		271 9 9	" "	Of the Balance of Rs. 635-7-" 11 Do — Do — 2,162-7-9 Do Do—
Total	4 8	4,83,954 13 4	7,780 6 9	73,997 15 5		31,187 9 6		71,909 8 9	31,110 7 3.	

N. B.
Total Collections on ...
Stamps Revenue as pe...
of Demand Collection ...
to 11th July 1844. —
Add Value of Stamp...
for Securities, from p...
Servants and adjuste...
under instructions fr...
Accountant General, b...
in the above account...

Totals as per this

Total Collections on acc...
as per statements of D...
Collection and Balan...
July 1844. —
On account of recovery...
for Salt Manufacture...
included in Do —
On account of Share...
Salt unclaimed not...
in Do —

Total as per this

8th October 1844.

C. C. Jr. (signed) C. J. Bird
Ag Collector

Statement A

B. Statement of

17th October 1844 –

former Fuslies in the District of Rajahmundry –

| | Balance. | | |

13640.

Fort St. George

17th October 1844.-

Remission as per Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844 - 17		Balance 18		Arrears due on account of Fusly 1245. 19		Collections on account of Fusly 1245 - 20		Remission as per Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844 - 21		Balance 22	
CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P
21	12 4	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	4 "	"	" "	1	6 "	"	" "	1	6 "	"	" "
"	2 "	"	" "	3	12 6	"	" "	3	12 6	"	" "
13	9 8	"	" "	11	3 11	"	" "	11	3 11	"	" "
35	12 "	"	" "	11	8 8	"	" "	11	8 8	"	" "

Arrears due on account of Fusly 1246 - 23		Collection on account of Fusly 1246 - 24		Remission as per Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844 - 25		Balance 26		Arrears due on account of Fusly 1247 - 27		Collections on Account of Fusly 1247 - 28	
CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
5	13 9	"	" "	5	13 9	"	" "	1	6 14	"	" "
142	13 4	"	" "	142	13 4	"	" "	81	9 7	"	" "
148	11 1	"	" "	148	11 1	"	" "	98	7 7	"	" "

13641

Fort St. George

17th October 1844.-

Remission as per Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844 - 29	Balance. 30	Arrears due on account of Fusly 1248. 31	Collections on account of Fusly 1248. - 32	Remission as per Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844 - 33	Balance 34 -
CR A P	CR A P	CR A P	CR A P	CR A P	CR A P
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
1614 " "	214 " "	214 " "	214 " "		
8197 " "	249011 " "	249011 " "			
9877 " "	252811 " "	252811 " "			

Arrears due on account of Fusly 1249 - 35	Collections on account of Fusly 1249 - 36	Remission as per Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844 - 37	Balance. - 38	Arrears due on Account of Fusly 1250. - 39	Collections on account of Fusly 1250. - 40
CR A P	CR A P	CR A P	CR A P	CR A P	CR A P
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	273129	15836
2710 "	14 "	266 "	" "	370109	38 "
283145	814 "	280 "	5 " "	2616710	62126
31685	102 "	30665	" "	5718554	22248 "

13642

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

Remission as per Sanction of Government Dated 4th April 1844.- 41		Balance. 42		Arrears due on Account of Fusly 1257.- 43.		Collections on account of Fusly 1257 44		Balance 45.		Arrears due on account of Fusly 1252.- 46.	
CRs	A s	CRs	A s	CRs	A s	CRs	A s	CRs	A s	CRs	A s
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
20733	479 12.	1844 3 4		2574 11		1589 45		369 48			
367 29	" "	407 12 10		63 8 3		344 47		61 9 53			
253311 4	" "	2486 9 3		168 10 5		2317 14 10		4850 11 6			
5014 14	479 12.	4738 9 5.		487 1 7		4251 7 10		5839 5 5			

Rajahmundry District Cocanada 8th October 1844.

Collections on Account of Fusly 1252.- 47.		Balance. 48		Total Collections of Old Arrears in Fusly 1253.- 49		Total Remission 50.		Total Balance.- 51	
CRs	A s	CRs	A s	CRs	A s	CRs	A s	CRs	A s
"	"	"	"	7	" "	194 14 6		"	"
2406.	128 14 8			653 8 5		2094 7 9		2197 15 1	
287 "	332 5 3			355 4 3		4231.		676 9 10	
2391 9 5	2459 21			2631 14 4		3446 9 3		4777 " 11	
2918 15 5	2920 6.	3647. 11.		6159. 6		7651 9 10			

C. C. Js (signed) G. J. Bird Ag Collector

Statement

13643

For P. St. George

C. Statement of the Balance of Extra Revenue of Rajahmundry due on account

Fusly 1250 — Fusly 1251 — Fusly 1252 — Grand Total Recoverable — Grand Total Irrecoverable

	Total amount of Balance outstanding at the Close of Fusly 1253 due on account of Antecedent Fusles or up to the Close of Fusly 1252	Recoverable	Irrecoverable	Total Recoverable	Irrecoverable	Total	Recoverable	Irrecoverable
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S	C R A S
Abhurry	2197 15 1	479 12	" " "	479 12	488 " 1	1101 44	1589 4 5	1288 14 8	" " "	1288 14 8	1096 10 9	1101 44	
Sundry Small Farms & Licences	6769 10	" " " " " " " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	3444 7	332 53	" " "	332 53	6769 10	" " "	
Moturpha	4777 " 11	" " " " " " " " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2317 14 10	2459 21	" " "	2459 21	4777 " 11	" " "	
Totals	7651 9 10	479 12	" " "	479 12	3150 3 6	1101 44	4251 9 10	2920 6	" " "	2920 6	6550 5 6	1101 44	

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 8th October 1844

C. C. S. (signed) C. J. Bird Ag Collector

Statement

17th October 1844 -

Outstanding at the close of Fusly 1253 in the District of antecedent Fusles -

13644

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

No 1. Statement Comparative of Gross Collections, Charges, and Net Revenue, on account of Salt for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.-

	Fusly 1252.-	Fusly 1253.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.-
	C. R. A. P.	C. R. A. P.	C. R. A. P.	C. R. A. P.	
On account of Home Consumption at 105 Rupees per Garce	1,32,387 8. 1,50,634 3.	18,246 11 " " "			
Do of Inland Consumption at Do	2,169 9. 30,990 12.	28,821 3 " " "			
Do of Sales of Smuggled Salt at different rates	65 14 9 1 14 10 " "	63 15 11			
	1,34,622 15 9 1,81,626 13 1,04,706 14.	63 15 11			
Export by Sea to Calcutta	93,852 3 3 98,498 5 5	4,646 2 2 " " "			
	2,28,475 3. 2,80,125 3 3,51,714. 2	63 15 11			
Amount received on account of Charges Manufactures for Salt sent to the French Settlement of Yanam at 6 Rupees per Garce	90 " . 105 " . 15 " .	" " "			
Amount recovered on account of advances for Salt Manufactures including ready money	2,982 14 3 3,931 2 5	948 4 2 " " "			
	23,1548 1 3 2,84,161 5 8	52,677 4 4	63 15 11		
Extra Collections	" " . 9 1 4	9 1 4 " " "			
Total Gross Collections received into the Treasury within the Fusly	23,1548 1 3 2,84,170 7.	52,686 5 8	63 15 11		Vide Statement A.
Deduct-					
Charges Establishment at the Huzzoor and District	7239 2 4 7,294 1 6	54 15 2 " " "			This increase arises from certain situations in fusly 1252 having remained some time unfilled.-
Sauderward	267 6 5	267 6 5 " " "			
Extra Charges	9,386 6 7 9947 11.	561 4 5 " " "			This increase arises chiefly from the Charges incurred for the Exportation of Salt in fusly 1253.-
Advances for Salt Manufacture	812 " . 6025 "	6,5212 8 6 " " "			Do - by a small quantity of Salt Manufactured in fusly 1252.-
Prime Cost of the Salt delivered by the Manufacturers &c	23,389 11 8 6232 1 11	" " . 17757 9 9			Caused partly by the Balance of prime Cost for the large quantity of Salt Manufactured in fusly 1251 having been paid in fusly 1252 and partly by the greater part of the quantity Manufactured in fusly 1252 not having been received into store within the fusly.-
Total Charges	41595 3. 26666 5 4	5828 12 1	17757 9 9		
Net Revenue	1,89952 14 3 2,54504 1 8	64,551 3 5 " " "			

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 8th October 1844

C. C. Jr (Signed) C. J. Bird
Ag Collector

Statement.-

13645

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

No 2. Statement Comparative of Gross Collections, charges, and Net Revenue, on account of Land Customs for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.-

	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253.	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	C.C. A.C.	C.C. A.C.	C.C. A.C.	C.C. A.C.	
Summary					
Arrears on account of Fusly 1244	91582	46460	10	10	26921 5 6
Do Do 1243	21124	21124			
Do Do 1242	25610	21110			45
Do Do 1241	25710	25710			
Do Do 1239		22			22
	15586	13386			22
	22858	20146			26 72
Total Demand	91810 6	61362 9 4			26947 128
Deduct Balance outstanding at the end of Fusly 1253.-					
On account of Fusly 1244	21124				21124
Do Do 1243	21110				21110
Do Do 1242	25710				25710
Do Do 1241					
Do Do 1239	13386				13386
	201146				201146
Remission as per sanction of government dated 4th April 1844		194146	194146		
Total Deductions	201146	194146	194146		20146
Remaining or Gross Collections received into the Treasury within the Fusly	91608 7 6	64667	10	10	26940 128
Add Extra Collections	22131	99154	77023		
Total Collections	91631 4 7	64767	10 2	77 2	26940 128 Vide Statement A. and B.
Deduct.					
Charges Establishment at the Huzzoor and District	14993	11587	10 2		3406 13
Sunderwared	4856 5	3613 3			1243 2
Extra Charges	2581 3	1549			1047 3
Drawback in the Custom Department	2029 8 4	1464 8	1		565 3
Total Charges	17677 2	13567	11 3		4199 11 11
Net Revenue	73863 5	51199	14 11		22763 14 6

These decreases are caused by the abolition of the Sayer with the exception of the Frontier duty from 1st April 1844.-

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 8th October
1844

C. C. Pl. / Signed / C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

13645

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

No 2. Statement Comparative of Gross Collections, charges, and Net Revenue, on account of Land Customs for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.-

	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253.	Increase	Decrease.	Remarks
	C.R. A.	C.R. A.	C.R. A. P.	C.R. A. P.	
Jeumany	91582 .	46466 0 10	a a .	26921 5 6	
Arrears on account of Fusly 1244	21 12 4	21 12 4	a a .	a a .	
Do Do 1243	25 6 10	21 1 10	a a .	4 5 .	
Do Do 1242	25 7 10	25 7 10	a a .	a a .	
Do Do 1241	a 2 2	a a .	a a .	2 2	
Do Do 1239	155 8 6	133 8 6	a a .	22 a .	
	228 5 8	201 14 6	a a .	26 7 2	
Total Demand	91810 6 .	64862 9 4	a a .	26947 12 8	
Deduct Balance outstanding at the end of Fusly Vizt.-					
On account of Fusly 1244	21 12 4	a a .	a a .	21 12 4	
Do Do 1243	21 1 10	a a .	a a .	21 1 10	
Do Do 1242	25 7 10	a a .	a a .	25 7 10	
Do Do 1241	a a a	a a .	a a .	a a .	
Do Do 1239	133 8 6	a a .	a a .	133 8 6	
	201 14 6	a a .	a a .	201 14 6	
Remission as per sanction of government dated 4th April 1844		194 14 6	194 14 6	a a .	
Total Deductions	201 14 6	194 14 6	194 14 6	20 14 6	
Remaining or Gross Collections received into the Treasury within the Fusly	91608 7 6	64667 10 10	a a .	26940 12 8	
Add Extra Collections	223 1	99 15 4	7 7 2 3	a a .	Vide Statement A and B.
Total Collections	91831 7 6	64767 10 2	7 7 2 3	26940 12 8	
Deduct.					
Charges Establishment at the Fuzzoor and District	14993 11 5	11587 10 2	a a .	3406 1 3	These decreases are caused by the abolition of the Sayer with the exception of the Frontier duty from 1st April 1844.-
Sunderwared	4856 5	3613 3	a a .	1243 2	
Extra Charges	2581 3 .	1547 9	a a .	1047 3	
Drawback in the Custom Department	2029 8 4	1464 8 1	a a .	565 . 3	
Total Charges	17767 7 2	13567 11 3	a a .	4199 11 11	
Net Revenue	73863 13 5	51199 14 11	a a .	22663 14 6	

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada 8th October
1844

C. C. Vlt. / Signed / C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

13646

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

No. 3 Statement Comparative of Gross collections charges and Net Revenue on account of Sea Customs for Fusles 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.

	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	C.Rs. a. p.	
Export Duty	10,318 9 7	18,188 1 6	7,869 7 11	- - -	
Import Duty	3,460 7 8	10,617 14 7	7,157 6 11	- - -	
	13,779 13 2	28,806 - 1	15,026 14 10	- - -	
Extra Collections	144 6 8	- - -	- - -	144 6 8	
Total Collections received within the Fusly	13,923 7 11	28,806 - 1	15,026 14 10	144 6 8	
Deduct.					
Charges Establishment at the Huzzoor and District	3,736 14 11	3,739 14 6	2 15 7	- - -	
Saudervared	171 9 9	171 9 9	- - -	- - -	Need no Remark.
Extra charges	9 8 -	1 10 -	- - -	7 14 -	
Drawback	2 2 10	- - -	- - -	2 2 10	
Total Charges	3,920 3 6	3,913 2 3	2 15 7	10 - 10	
Net Revenue	10,003 4 5	24,892 13 10	14,889 9 5	- - -	

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada
8th October 1844

E. E. J. [illegible] / Signed / C. J. Bird

Acting Collector

Statement

13646

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

No. 3 Statement Comparative of Gross collections charges and Net Revenue on account of Sea Customs for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.

	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	C.Rs a p	C.Rs a p	C.Rs a p	C.Rs a p	
Export Duty	10,318 9 7	18,188 1 6	7,869 7 11	- - -	
Import Duty	3,460 7 8	10,617 14 7	7,157 6 11	- - -	
	13,779 13 2	28,806 - 1	15,026 14 10	- - -	
Extra Collections	144 6 8	- - -	- - -	144 6 8	
Total Collections received within the Fusly	13,923 7 11	28,806 - 1	15,026 14 10	144 6 8	
Deduct.					
Charges Establishment at the Huzzoor and District	3,736 14 11	3,739 14 6	2 15 7	- - -	
Saundervaped	171 9 9	171 9 9	- - -	- - -	Need no Remark.
Extra charges	9 8 -	1 10 -	- - -	7 14 -	
Drawback	2 2 10	- - -	- - -	2 2 10	
Total Charges	3,920 3 6	3,913 2 3	2 15 7	10 - 10	
Net Revenue	10,003 4 5	24,892 13 10	14,889 9 5	- - -	

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada
8th October 1844

C. C. Jr. /Signed/ C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

13047

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

No. 4 Statement Comparative of Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue on account of Abkarry for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.

	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
	C. Rs. a. p.	C. Rs. a. p.	C. Rs. a. p.	C. Rs. a. p.	
Rented	45,266 - -	46,295 - -	1029 - -	- - -	
Amanny	2,852 - -	2,880 2 -	28 2 -	- - -	
	48,118 - -	49,175 2 -	1057 2 -	- - -	
Arrears on account of Fusly 1252	- - -	369 4 8	369 4 8	- - -	
Ditto Ditto 1251	3,463 4 1	1,844 3 4	- - -	1619 - 9	
Ditto Ditto 1250	3012 10 6	2,731 2 9	- - -	281 7 9	
Ditto Ditto 1249	154 5 5	- - -	- - -	154 5 5	
Ditto Ditto 1248	11 11 11	- - -	- - -	11 11 11	
Ditto Ditto 1245	1 - 6	1 - 6	- - -	- - -	
Ditto Ditto 1244	- - 4	- - 4	- - -	- - -	
	6,643 4 5	4,945 - 153	369 4 8	2,066 9 10	
Total Demand	54,761 4 5	54,121 1 3	1,426 6 8	2,066 9 10	

Deduct
Balance outstanding at the end of the Fusly Viz.

On account of Fusly	1253	- - -	707 11 4	707 11 4	- - -
Ditto Ditto	1252	369 4 8	128 14 8	- - -	240 6 -
Ditto Ditto	1251	1,844 3 4	1,589 4 5	- - -	254 14 11
Ditto Ditto	1250	2,731 2 9	479 12 -	- - -	2,351 6 9
Ditto Ditto	1245	1 - 6	- - -	- - -	1 - 6
Ditto Ditto	1244	- 4 -	- - -	- - -	- 4 -
		4,945 15 3	2,905 10 5	707 11 4	2,748 - 2

Remission allowed on account of Balance for Fusly 1244 1245 & 1250 as per sanction of Government Dated 4th April 1844 - - - 2,094 7 9 2,094 7 9 - - -

Total Deductions 4,945 15 3 5,000 2 2 2,802 3 1 2,748 - 2

Remaining on Gross Collections received into the Treasury within the Fusly 49,815 - 2 49,120 15 1 - - - 694 6 1 Vide Statements A. and B.

Deduct.
Extra Charges - - - 8 10 - - - - - - 8 10 -

Net Revenue 49,806 - 12 2 49,120 15 1 - - - 685 12 1

Particulars of Balance outstanding on the end of Fusly 1253. Viz.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Total
Collected from 12th July to 31st August 1844	296 7 8	- - -	24 - -	50 12 -	371 3 8
Recoverable	183 4 4	488 - 1	104 14 8	656 15 4	1433 2 5
Irrecoverable	- - -	1101 4 4	- - -	- - -	1101 4 4
Total	479 12 -	1589 4 5	128 14 8	707 11 4	2905 10 5

Rajahmundry District Cocanada 8th October 1844. E. E. Jr. /Signed/ C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

13648

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

No. 5. Statement Comparative of Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue on account of Sundry small Farms and Licences for Fasles 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry

		Fasly 1252	Fasly 1253	Increase	Decrease	Remarks.
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Settlement		6,080 1 6	7,142 12 6	1,062 11 -	- - -	
Arrears on account of	Fasly 1252	- - -	619 5 3	619 5 3	- - -	
Ditto	Ditto 1251	801 4 1	407 12 10	- - -	393 7 3	
Ditto	Ditto 1250	395 6 -	370 10 9	- - -	24 11 3	
Ditto	Ditto 1249	29 2 -	27 10 -	- - -	1 8 -	
Ditto	Ditto 1248	3 15 -	2 14 -	- - -	1 1 -	
Ditto	Ditto 1247	18 7 -	16 14 -	- - -	1 9 7	
Ditto	Ditto 1246	5 13 9	5 13 9	- - -	- - -	
Ditto	Ditto 1245	3 12 6	3 12 6	- - -	- - -	
Ditto	Ditto 1244	- 2 -	- 2 -	- - -	- - -	
		1,257 14 4	1,454 15 1	619 5 3	422 4 6	
Total Demand		7,337 15 10	8,597 11 7	1,682 - 3	422 4 6	

Deduct

Balance outstanding at the end of Fasly Viz.

On account of	Fasly	1253	- - -	715 10 6	715 10 6	- - -
Ditto	Ditto	1252	619 5 3	332 5 3	- - -	287 - -
Ditto	Ditto	1251	407 12 10	344 4 7	- - -	63 8 3
Ditto	Ditto	1250	370 10 9	- - -	- - -	370 10 9
Ditto	Ditto	1249	27 10 -	- - -	- - -	27 10 -
Ditto	Ditto	1248	2 14 -	- - -	- - -	2 14 -
Ditto	Ditto	1247	16 14 -	- - -	- - -	16 14 -
Ditto	Ditto	1246	5 13 9	- - -	- - -	5 13 9
Ditto	Ditto	1245	3 12 6	- - -	- - -	3 12 6
Ditto	Ditto	1244	- 2 -	- - -	- - -	- 2 -
			1,454 15 1	1,392 4 4	715 10 6	778 5 3
Remission allowed on account of balance from Fasly 1244 to 1250 as per sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844			- - -	423 1 -	423 1 -	- - -
Total Deductions			1,454 15 1	1,815 5 4	1,138 11 6	778 5 3
Remaining or Gross collections received into the Treasury within the Fasly			5,883 - 9	6,782 6 3	899 5 6	- - -

Vide Statements A. & B.

Particulars of Balance outstanding on the end of Fasly 1253 Viz.

	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Fasly 1253	Total
Collected from 12th July to 31st August 1844	- - -	4 -	288 7 -	288 11 -
Recoverable	344 4 7	332 1 3	427 3 6	1,103 9 4
Total	344 4 7	332 5 3	715 10 6	1,392 4 4

Rajahmundry District Cocanada 8th October 1844. E. E. W. / Signed / C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

13649

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

No 6. Statement Comparative of Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue on account of Stamps for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.-

	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Increase	Decrease
	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P	C.R. a P
Amount received from the sale of Sayer Peiwannahs	7,272 15 -	5,355 2 -	- - -	1,917 13 -
Ditto Ditto of Stamp Papers	10228 - -	7,927 8 -	- - -	2,300 8 -
Do Do of Sea Custom Pawannahs	898 - -	1,289 - -	391 - -	- - -
	18,398 15 -	14,571 10 -	391 - -	4,218 5 -
Amount received from the Zillah Court on account of Penalties levied upon unstamped Deeds	625 - -	351 8 -	- - -	273 8 -
Amount of Stamp duty received from Do on account of Pauper suits	396 10 -	236 3 -	- - -	160 7 -
	19,420 9 -	15,159 5 -	391 - -	4,652 4 -
Value of Stamp Papers used for Securities from public servants and adjusted as received under instructions from the accountant General	37 - -	32 - -	- - -	5 - -
Total Grss. collections received into the Treasury within the Fusly	19,457 9 -	15,191 5 -	391 - -	4657 - - Vide Statement A.
Deduct				
Commission to the Venders at 5 per Cent	918 13 11	728 9 3	- - -	190 4 8
Value of Stamp Papers used for securities from Stamp Venders and adjusted as above	1 - -	- 8 -	- - -	- 8 -
Charges incurred for conveying chests containing Stamp Papers (received from the Residency) from Coringa to Cocanada	5 - -	4 - -	- - -	1 - -
Amount repaid to the Parties on account of Stamp duty under orders from the Court	152 4 -	14 8 -	- - -	137 12 -
Total Charges	1,077 1 11	747 9 3	- - -	329 8 8
Net Revenue	18,380 7 1	14,443 11 9	- - -	3936 11 4

Rajahmundry District Cocanada 8th October 1844. E. E. Pt. /Signed/ C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

13050

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

No. 7 Statement Comparative of Gross Collections Charges and Net Revenue on account of Moturpha for Fuslies 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry.

Ditto	Ditto	1245	113 11 ~			113 11 ~
Ditto	Ditto	1246	13 9 8			13 9 8
Ditto	Ditto	1243	2 8 6			2 8 6
Ditto	Ditto	1242	8 14 6			8 14 6
			10,855 8 6	11,134	10,635	11,604 8 7 7
Total Deductions			10,855 8 6	14,550	11,980	10,260 8 7 7
Remaining or Gross Collections received within the Fusly			42,294 15 6	43,745	5 9,450 9 3	
Add Extra Collections			30 ~	18 ~	~ ~ 12 ~	
Total Collections			42,325 3 6	43,763	12 9,450 9 3	12 ~ Vide Statements A. and B.

Deductions

Paid to the several ancient Zemindars in this District on account of remission for the resumed Moturpha as per Sanction of Government dated 19th May 1836 and 11th December 1838	1,127 8 2 8	1,127 8 2 8	
" to the Proprietor of Peemanapully Estate on account of Moturpha collected from Pundaporah Village as per letter from the Board of Revenue dated 31st March 1825	681 11	3 681 14 3	
Total Charges	1,809	11,960 ~ 11	3 1,803 11 10
Net Revenue	30,36	5 2	4,438 9 3
of Balance from Fusly 1242 to 1250 as per Sanction of Government dated 4th April 1844		3,246 9 3	3,246 9 3

Rajahmundry District

Cocanada 8th October 1844. E.E. 7th / Signed / C. J. Bird
Acting Collector

Statement

13657

Fort St George 17th October 1844

No 8 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured and sold together with the Value thereof for Fasties 1252 and 1253 in the District of Rajahmundry

Names of the Stations or place of the Manufacture	Fasly 1252 Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Fasly 1252 Quantity sold	Fasly 1252 Rate per Garce	Fasly 1252 Value	Fasly 1253 Quantity of Salt Manufactured	Fasly 1253 Quantity sold	Fasly 1253 Rate per Garce	Fasly 1253 Value	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
	In Mds	In Mds	Rs a P. Cs	Rs a P.	In Mds	In Mds	Rs a P. Cs	Rs a P.			
Pittapore	462273 32	111924 20	14	97933 15	72108	63094 8					
		1800	9-60	90	11784 13	1-8	17676 8				
		194470	7-8-60	93852 3 3	2100	25-9-60	105				
					250000	6-3-65	98498 5 5				
	462273 32	308194 20		191876 2 3	77749 20	335992 13		179374 5 5	27797-33		
Mogultore	53662 20	41855	14	36623 2	52218 20	8920 20	14	71680 7			
						19449	1-8	29173 8			
	53662 20	41855		36623 2	52218 20	101369 20		100853 15	59514-20		
Total	515936 12	350049 20		228499 4 3	129968	437361 33		280228 4 5	87312-13		

Rajahmundry District, Cocanada 8th October 1844. E. E. J. [illegible] /Signed/ C. J. Bird
Acting Collector.

Statement

13052

Fort St. George 17th October 1864

No. 9 Statement shewing the Inland Districts or places for the supply of which Rowannahs have been issued for the transport of Salt from the Cotaurs in the District of Rajahmundry and the Quantity of Salt transported to each place according to the Rowannahs in each of the last two years or Fusslies 1252 and 1253 together with the route by which it is conveyed from the Cotaurs.-

Names of the Inland Districts or places to which Salt was transported under Rowannahs.	Route through which Salt is conveyed under Rowannahs.	Number of Rowannahs issued In Fusly 1252	Number of Rowannahs issued In Fusly 1253	Total	Quantity of Salt sold and transported from the Cotaurs to each place according to the Rowannahs. In Fusly 1252 Candies	In Fusly 1252 Seers	In Fusly 1253 Candies	In Fusly 1253 Seers
Rajahmundry District.	Through Cotepilly, Pandulapaukah, Bickavole, Vatta=pollem, Drachasamah, Hoo=spada Cocanada Narasapoor, Paulicole, Veeravasaram Goonoopoody Bheemavaram	110402	119100	229502	15145	20	148063	33 29 92 09 13
Masulipatam	Coulepatnum Goonoopoody Mahadarapatnum do. do.	18	159	174	252	"	1782	" 2032 "
Vizagapatam	Narasapoor	"	1	1	"	"	1	" 1 " "
Sauda Nagpore	Veeravasaram Goonoopoody Yalasvaram do. do.	10	66	76	2479	20	35418	" 37897 20
Yanam	Coringa	5	5	10	1800	"	2100	" 3900 "
	Total	110432	119331	229763	15677	"	187364	33 343041 33

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada
8th October 1864

E. E. Jr. /Signed/ C. J. Bird.
Acting Collector

Statement

13053

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1251, 1252 & 1253*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 51			Fusly 52			Fusly 53			Increase.			Decrease.			
SALT.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
Gross Collections																
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	270645	12	2	231543	1	3	284170	7	-	52622	6	9	-	-	-	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	27033	5	3	24702	3	8	17157	2	5	-	-	-	12545	1	3	
	19099	9	1	16892	15	4	17509	2	11	616	3	7	-	-	-	
Total Charges	45132	14	4	41595	3	-	29666	6	4	616	3	7	12545	1	3	Vide Statements A & No 1
Net Revenue	225512	13	10	189952	11	3	254504	1	8	64561	3	5	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	16	10	9	17	15	5	10	7	-	-	-	-	7	8	5	
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Charges for Purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections																
Charges... of Collection	91413	15	11	91631	4	7	64767	10	2	-	-	-	26863	10	5	Vide Statements A, B & No 2
Charges... „ Commission	15890	1	4	15737	14	10	12103	3	2	-	-	-	3634	11	8	
Charges... „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	3073	9	4	2029	8	4	1464	8	1	-	-	-	566	-	3	
Net Revenue	18963	10	8	17767	7	2	13567	11	3	-	-	-	4199	11	11	
Per Centage of the Charges	72660	5	3	73963	13	5	51199	14	11	-	-	-	22663	14	6	
SEA CUSTOMS.	20	8	5	19	6	3	20	15	2	-	8	11	-	-	-	
Gross Collections																
Charges... of Collection	13424	9	10	13923	7	11	28806	-	1	14882	8	2	-	-	-	
Charges... „ Commission	3944	11	7	3919	-	9	3913	2	3	-	-	-	4	14	5	Vide Statements A & No 3
Charges... „ Drawback	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	2	2	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	10	
Net Revenue	3944	11	7	3920	3	6	3913	2	3	-	-	-	7	1	3	
Per Centage of the Charges	9479	14	3	10003	4	5	24892	13	10	14889	9	6	-	-	-	
	29	6	2	28	2	5	18	9	4	-	-	-	14	9	1	
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	44359	2	11	49815	6	2	49120	15	1	-	-	-	694	6	1	Vide Statements A, B & No 4
Charges	12	-	-	8	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	10	-	
Net Revenue	44347	2	11	49806	11	2	49120	15	1	-	-	-	685	12	1	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	5455	4	1	5883	-	9	6782	6	3	899	5	6	-	-	-	Vide Statements A, B & No 5
Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	5455	4	1	5883	-	9	6782	6	3	899	5	6	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Stamps																
Gross Collections	21779	14	-	19457	9	-	15191	5	-	-	-	-	4266	4	-	Vide Statements A & No 6
Charges	1061	7	2	1077	1	11	747	9	3	-	-	-	329	8	8	
Net Revenue	20718	6	10	18380	7	1	14443	11	9	-	-	-	3936	11	4	
Per Centage of the Charges	4	14	-	5	8	7	4	14	9	-	-	-	-	9	10	
VISSÁBUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	39568	3	1	42325	3	6	43763	12	9	1438	9	3	-	-	-	Vide Statements A, B & No 7
Charges	11960	-	11	11960	-	11	11960	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Net Revenue	27598	2	2	30365	2	7	31803	11	10	1438	9	3	-	-	-	
Per Centage of the Charges	30	3	9	28	4	2	27	5	3	-	-	-	-	14	11	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	486634	14	-	454534	-	2	492602	8	4	69342	12	8	31824	4	6	
Charges	80374	12	8	76328	10	6	59854	13	-	618	3	7	17090	1	1	
Net Revenue	406260	1	4	378205	5	8	432747	11	4	81778	11	7	27236	5	11	
Per Centage of the Charges	16	9	10	16	12	7	12	2	4	-	-	-	4	10	3	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	450601	8	9	429831	12	6	480445	6	11	50563	9	5	-	-	-	
Charges after deductions for Do.	53341	7	5	51626	6	10	47697	10	7	-	-	-	3923	12	3	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	11	11	3	12	-	1	9	14	10	-	-	-	2	1	3	

Rajahmundry District
Cutcherry 8th October 1844.
E. E. Fr

Ex Bungalow

13654 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

List of accounts which accompanied the report on the Extra sources of Revenue of the District of Rajahmundry for July 1253 sent to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date the 8th October 1844.-

Usual Statements.
Printed Statements in triplicate only in English.-

A. Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of current revenue in English and Telugoo.-
B. Do — Do - of arrears in - Do — Do -
C. Do — Do - of Balances of former years in Do - Do
No 1 - Comparative Statement of Salt in Do - Do
" - 2 Do - Do - of Sayer in Do - Do
" - 3 Do - Do - of Sea Customs in Do - Do
" - 4 Do - Do - of Abkarry in Do - Do
" - 5 Do - Do - of Sundry small Farms in Do - Do
" - 6 Do - Do - of Stamps in Do - Do
" - 7 Do - Do - of Moturpha in Do - Do
" - 8 Statement shewing the manufacture and sale of Salt prepared according to the form which accompanied the letter from the Board of Revenue dated 22d June 1837 - in Do - Do -
" - 9 Statement shewing the Salt Rowanahs issued - prepared as per letter from the Board of Revenue dated 22d September 1838 in Do - Do -

Rajahmundry District — Cocanada 8th October 1844 } C. C. J. (signed) C. J. Bird Acting Collector.

Order thereon, Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting

Read

(44-45)

J. & B.

13655 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.-

Read the following letter.

To, E. b. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(46)
From R. T. Porter Esqre Collr. of Masulipatam ... } Sir,

In conformity with the orders of Government under date 3d June 1844, I have the honor — to forward a Return of Tahsildars in this Collectorate for the Official Year 1843/44.-

Masulipatam Zillah Collrs Circuit Cutcherry, at Chodaviram, ----- 10th October 1844. ----- } (Signed) R. T. Porter. Collector

Return

13656

Fort St. George

No. 1. Return of Tahsildars of the Collectorate of Masulipatam for the Official Year 1843/44.

17th October 1844.

	Name and Place of birth.	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Pay Collection	Length of service as Tahsildar in the Present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to Appointment as a Tahsildar and length of Service in such employment.	Total Length of Service.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5 6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Tolah Brumnaiah Naidoo inhabitant of Dusahepetta.	Gentoo	37	Masulipatam Talook.	13227 100	1 4 5	2 2 15	As Sayer Ameen of Cundacolly	4 m 0 d " 5 2 7	
								As Superintendent to Assist in the Settlement of Boundary disputes between the Villages of H.H. the Nizam and those of the Honorable Company in this District.	3 3 "	
									3 8 27	
									1	5 11 12
								As Zufty Revenue Ameen of Culdindy Purgunah	" 4 12	
								As Head of Police of Weyore Do.	1 4 7	
								As Head of Police and Revenue Ameen of Cocanada in the Zillah of Rajahmundry	" 2 13	
								As Do. and Amuldar of Moguttoor in the Do. of Do.	1 6 12	
								As Do. and Do. of Nagaram in the Do. of Do.	" 1 23	
								As Do. and Do. of Pittapore in the Do. of Do.	" 10 20	
								As Sayer Ameen of Bunder Division	" 7 6	
									5 1 3	
										9 8 26
2	Siddoo Lingiah Bramin inhabitant of Bunder	4th Jugguishetty		Talook.	21007 70	1 11 7	4 7 23			

13657.

Fort St. George

17th October 1844.—

	Name and Place of birth.	Caste	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collection	Pay.	Length of service as Tahsildar in the Present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previous to Appointment as a Tahsildar and length of Service in each employment.—	Total Length of Service	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4.	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
3	Meerja Mahomed Ally inhabitants of Masulipatam Fort.	Moor	40	Chullapully Talook.	121324	100	" 1 19	" 5 "	As Persian Moonshey in the late Northern Provincial Court	Y. M. D. 11 1 23	11 6 23
4	Kanenla Lutchme: nurseiah Dº of Nellore.	Brumin	38	Deeny Talook.	51737	80	1 8 "	3 10 26	As Deputy Tahsildar of Kykaloor Mootah	" 8 23	1 7 19
									As sakoor Mootah Samuldar in the Zillah of Guntoor	" 1 15	
									As Muddutgar in the Panchoor Tanah in the Ditto	" 9 19	
									As Dº in the Huzzoor Mooonshy Department in the Dº	1 8 12	
									As Revenue Jamabneviss in the Chabrole Tanah in the Dº	6 6 22	
									As Sheristadar Head Muddutgar in the Masulipatam Zillah	1 2 7	
									As Sherista Muddutgar in the Ditto	" 4 15	
									As Salt Ameen of Pondrawa Cotaun in the Ditto	5 1 28	
										15 10 28	16 8 9
5	Bhonagary Soobbaiah Dº of Guntoor	Dº	37	Vinnacottah & Goodevadah Talook	107715	100	" 4 13	" 9 11			
6	Tandeondah Siddee Ganapaty Dos inhabi: tant of Bunder	Dº	28	Bezoarah Talook	91691	50	" 4 13	" 6 15	As Huzzoor Assistant Jamabneviss in the Zillah of Masuli: patam	8 " 11	8 6 26

13657.

Fort St. George

17th October 1844.—

	Name and Place of birth.	Caste	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collection	Pay.	Length of service as Tahsildar in the Present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previous to Appointment as a Tahsildar and length of Service in such employment.—	Total Length of Service	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4.	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
									Y. M. D.		
3	Meerja Mahomed Ally inhabitant of Masulipatam Fort.	Moor	40	Chullapully Talook.	12,132 4	100 "	1 9 "	5 "	As Persian Moonshey in the late Northern Provincial Court — 11 1 23	11 6 23	
4	Kanenla Lutchme: mussiah Dº of Nellore.	Brahmin	38	Deeny Talook.	51,737	80	1 8 "	3 10 26	As Deputy Tahsildar of Kykaloor Mootah — " 8 23	4 7 19	
									As Sudder Mootah Samuldar in the Zillah of Guntoor — " 1 15		
									As Muddutgar in the Pandoor Tanah in the Ditto — " 9 19		
									As Dº in the Huzzoor Moonshy Department in the Dº — 1 8 12		
									As Renenue Jamabneviss in the Chabrole Tanah in the Dº — 6 6 22		
									As Sheristadar Head Muddutgar in the Masulipatam Zillah — 1 12 7		
									As Sherista Muddutgar in the Ditto — " 4 15		
									As Sall Sumeen of Pondrawa Cotaun in the Ditto — 5 1 28		
									15 10 28	16 8 9	
5	Bhonagery Soobbaiah Dº of Guntoor	Dº	37	Vinnacottah & Goodevandah Talook.	107,715	100 "	4 13 "	9 11			
6	Tandeondah Sudder Ganapaty Doss inhabi: tant of Bunder	Dº	28	Bezgarah Talook	9,191	50 "	4 13 "	6 6	As Huzzoor Assistant Jamabneviss in the Zillah of Masuli: patam — 8 " 11	8 6 26	

13658.

Fort St. George

17th October 1844.

Name and Place of birth.	Caste	Age	Talook.	Amount of Collection	Pay	Length of service as Tahsildar in the Present Talook.	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate.	Employments previously to Appointment as a Tahsildar and length of Service in such employment.	Total Length of Service	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
7 Chittoor Nursingarow Dº of Arcot	Smartum Brahmin	40	Tirwoor Talook.	20296	100	2 4 5	6 4 6	As Sayer Muddutgar of Bunder Division in the Zillah of Masulipatam	y m d " 7 17	
								As Goomastah in the General Treasury Establishment in the Dº Dº	2 4 15	
								As Head of Police of Chintalapoody Division in the Dº Dº	" 9 5	
								As Dº Dº of Palungy Dº in the Dº Dº	" 6 9	
								As Dº Dº of Mylevaram Dº in the Dº Dº	2 " 19	
								As Dº Dº of Noozeed Dº in the Dº Dº	4 5 9	
								As Dº Dº of Tirwoor Dº in the Dº Dº	" 5 17	
								As Dº Dº of Noonnastalum in the Dº Dº	1 2 21	
								As Revenue Ameen of Valavadum Mottah in the Dº Dº	" 3 3	
									12 8 25	19 1 1
								As Sayer Zufty Goomastah of Anked in the Zillah of Masulipatam	" 3 11	
								As Zufty Revenue Peishcar of Neeladavole Purgunah in the Dº	2 2 9	
								As Revenue Muddutgar of Goondoogolanoo Purgunah in the Dº Dº	1 5 7	
								As Goomastah of Minor Estates in the Dº Dº	" 10 9	
								As Sale Ameen of Pondreca Cotaur in the Dº Dº	" 3 "	
									5 " 6	11 6 23
8 Woopparoory Venkatapah Dº of Bezwarah.	Brahmin	38	Palungky Talook.	132073	90	1 10 1	6 6 17			

13659.

Fort St. George

17th October 1844.

Name and Place of birth	Caste	Age	Talook	Amount of Day Collection	Length of Service as Tahsildar in the Present Talook	Total length of Service as Tahsildar in this Collectorate	Employments previously to Appointment as a Tahsildar and length of Service in such employment	Total Length of Service	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
9 Pannyam Goorroomoorty inhabitant of Chittoor	Bramin	39	Ellore Talook	639 78	80	1 8	6 3 14	As Head of Police of Juggiahpett Division in the Zillah of Masulipatam	Y. M. D. " 7 20	6 11 4	
								As Assistant Writer in the Masulipatam Post Office	" 1 18		
								As Acting Head Writer in the Do. Do.	" 1 12		
								As Assistant Writer in the Do. Do.	2 5 6		
								Ditto Do. Do.	8 8 11		
								As Writer in the Bezoarah Do.	" " 15		
								As Head Writer in the Masulipatam Post Office	1 5 16		
								As Head of Police of Gollapully in the Zillah of Masulipatam	" 7 4		
								As Do. Do. of Ellore in the Do. Do.	" 4 19		
								As Deputy Tahsildar of Bhoojabalapatam Mootah in the Do.	1 5 5		
								As Sayer Tobacco Goomastah in the Masulipatam Do.	" 1 9		
									15 4 28	15 7 28	
								As Huzzoor Sadr Peishcar in the Masulipatam Zillah	" 2 27		
								As Head of Police of Gollapully in the Do. Do.	" 6 4		
								As Mazoomdar of Valavadum Mootah in the Do. Do.	" 1 "		
								As Sadr Ameen of Innayoodroo Ketaur in the Do. Do.	" 5 15		
								As Sadr Ameen of Goomastahm Puryanah in the Do. Do.	" 1 16		
								As Deputy Tahsildar of Goomastahm Puryanah in the Do. Do.	" 7 12		
								As Do. Do. of Vasantavadah Mootah in the Do. Do.	2 " 14	3 5 24	
10 Moommedy setty Kroostnamah Naidoo inhabitant of Bunder	Gentoo	40	Gollapully Talook	208 34	50	" 1 19	" 3 -				
11 Manapaka Nootee Yala Naidoo Do. of Bunder	Do.	31	Pedana Talook	79 07	20	" 1 9	1 5 10				

Masulipatam Zillah Coll's Circuit Cutcherry at Chodavarum 10th October 1844.

E. E. Wm. (Signed) R. T. Porter Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

Order thereon.

(46.47)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read again letter from the Acting Sub Collector in charge of Nellore dated 28th. May and entered in Consultation 6th. June 1844.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To, The Acting Principal Collector of Nellore

(48)

To the Act. Princ. Collr. of Nellore.

Para 1.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Acting Sub Collectors letter of the 28th. May last regarding the practice observed in Nellore of making disbursements from the Talook Treasuries, by which it appears that the existing system owes its introduction to Mr. Fraser, and that after having been discontinued by Messrs. Smalley and Wish it was again brought into operation by Mr. Stonhouse.

2. The opinion of the Board with regard to the propriety of such a practice is sufficiently shewn in their Circular of the 19th. February 1816, Communicating the rules adopted in Cuddapah by one of the ablest Revenue Officers that the service has

Recommending him to discontinue the practice of allowing disbursements to be made direct from the Talook Treasuries. 1st Cons. 6th June 1844.

Fort St. George 17th. October 1844.

has produced. The course therein indicated has been generally adopted, and the Board are not aware of any district under this Presidency in which a contrary usage exists except Nellore.

3. The only objection that can be urged to Mr. Ross' system is the trouble and expence occasioned by retransporting from the Hoozoor the sums required for disbursement in the Talooks. The risk of such transmission, the alleged delay in making the payments to the Establishment &c. noticed by the Acting Sub Collector are more imaginary than real and may be easily obviated by proper arrangement. On the other hand the temptation offered to Tahsildars of tampering with the public money, is obvious when such frequent opportunities are presented to them of drawing upon their Treasuries. It is true that the means of gaining a profit by exchanges of Coins are fully Curtailed by the uniform character of the present Currency, but there are many other means such as by interest on advances, discounting

13662

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 12th instant, and to request that you will be pleased to cause the Statement which accompanied it to be returned to me, as it is considered that some mistake has occurred in the Statement, and that it will be necessary to make some alteration therein before the Board can receive it.

I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient Servant

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Signed E. E. Lovell
Secretary

To
The Collector of Nellore

Statement

13663 (49) Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Statement shewing the Quantity of White Salt in store and ready to be exported to Calcutta in the Current Year 1844 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore up to 8th October 1844.

No.	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the Year 1844	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported in the Current Year	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Quantity manufactured and remaining under Estimated Heaps	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			Bengal Maunds	Bs M	Bs M	Bs M	Bs M	
1	Eskapully	14 44	109157	57000	52157	52157		
2	Kristnapatam	14 10	100000	"	100000	100000		
		Total	209157	57000	152157	152157		

Nellore Princl. Coll.s Cutcherry 12th October 1844.

E. E. Jr. (Signed) J. T. Dishop
Asst. Dy. Collector

Statement

13664. Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation and the Beach of Ennore from 7th to 13th October 1844.

50

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1844.	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the Year 1843.	Quantity actually in store at the Depôts ready for exportation.	Remarks.
	Bs. Mds	Bs. Mds	Bs. Mds	Bs. Mds	
Madras	1,93,931	80,190	1,13,741	1,13,741	No application has been received since the date of last report.

N. B.

In addition to Bengal Maunds 1,13,741 ready for exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 29th April 1844 there is an excess of Bengal Maunds 2,464 14/20 in store at the Ennore Beach about the disposal of which the Collector will issue orders hereafter.

Madras, 14th October 1844.

E. E. Fr.
(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Ordered

13665 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Order on the 2 foregoing Statements. Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette

49-51

Read again letter from the Collector of Bellary Dated 12th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 7th Instant.

Ordered that the abovementioned letter be submitted with the following address.

57

To the Chief Secretary to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Bellary, and recommending that his request that 4 carts be supplied by the Deputy Commissary of Ordnance and the Cattle and drivers by the Commissariat Department of Bellary may be complied with to give full effect to the measure recently sanctioned by the Govt. of India for cleansing the streets of the principal towns in that District.

12th Ultimo & Letter from Collr. 18th Octr. 1844

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter with its enclosures from the Collector of Bellary under Date the 12th Ultimo.

2. From the correspondence on the subject of this letter as Noted in the Margin, it will be perceived that in his Estimate of the cost to be incurred for Maintaining a Scavenger Establishment for the purpose of cleansing the streets of the Principal Towns in his District, it was stated by the Collector, and in submitting that Estimate to Government, it was pointed out by the Board that

From Govt. 21st Jany in Cons. 18th July -- 1843.
From the Collr. 22d June in Cons
3d July ——"
To Govt. 20th July ——"
From Do 25th in Cons 30th Nov.
From the Collector 8th in Cons
18th April 1844
To Govt. 22d April ——"
From Do 24th Augt. in Cons
2d Septr. ——"

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

21st Oct. 1843 and 29th June 1844

that the total expense would amount annually to Rupees 1,815/ the sum since sanctioned by the Government of India/ only on the condition that 4 Carts with Bullocks and drivers could be supplied for the use of Cuddapah Bellary from the Public stores and Public Cattle Department, but that otherwise the charge would be increased to Rupees 2391 per annum. From the enclosure in Mr. Mallet's letter now forwarded it will be seen that the Military Board to whom application has been made on the subject have stated in reply that the supply of Carts to Civil Departments is not within their cognizance. The Collector therefore solicits the sanction of Government that the 4 carts may be supplied by the Deputy Commissary of Ordnance and the Cattle and Drivers by the Commissariat Department at Bellary, and the Board adverting to the importance of keeping the streets of so large and populous a Town as Bellary in a cleanly state, and with a view to full effect may be given to the measure recently sanctioned by the Government of India beg to recommend that his request be complied with.

First granted 21 October 1843 Continued 29th June 1844

The

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

3.

The Board embrace this opportunity of stating that the question referred for their consideration in the order of Government No. 873. 24th August last, Viz. as to the Modifications necessary to adapt the provisions of Act X 1842, to the wants of this Presidency, is engaging their attention, and that they will have the honor of submitting their views on the subject as soon as they shall have obtained the requisite local information from the Provinces.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 17th October 1844

/ Signed / E. B. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read the following letter

To E. B. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

53

From J. Goldingham Esqre
Collr. of North Arcot

Sir,

In consequence of the

Petitioners to Board in No. 1023 of 1844

Jemidars Zakarah Bee and Abdool Ahmeed Sahib who are residing at Madras and drawing allowance from

No. 30 Rupees 7-10-8 p. Mo. Amount

my Treasury this their Vakeel, having requested me to transfer the payment of their Jemidari to

13668 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

to the Collector of Madras I have the honor to request permission for the same.

North Arcot Collector's Cutcherry, Chittoor 30th Sept. 1844 } I Signed I S. Goldingham Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(53-54)

To the Collector of Madras. } To the Collector of Madras.

To The Collector of Madras.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying copy of a letter from the Collector of North Arcot recommending the transfer of the payment of the Yeomiahs of Bohara Bee and Abdool Ahmeed Saib from his District to your Treasury.

2. As the parties are stated to reside at Madras the Board request you will ascertain their identity & for which purpose you will be pleased to obtain from the Collector of North Arcot a descriptive Roll of their persons.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 17th October 1844 } I Signed I E. C. Lovell Acting Secretary.

Read again letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly dated 10th ultimo and entered

13669 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

entered in Consultation 10th Instant.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with the abovementioned letter, and the correspondence therein referred to.

(55)

To the Chief Secy to Government. } To the Chief Secretary to Government.

From Trichinopoly 7th inst. to 25th June 1844 Proceedings 24th Dec. Do. From Trichinopoly 10th Sept. to Do. 10th Octr.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly reporting the death of Goolam Mahomed who received a Yeomiah of Pagodas 31-22-40 per annum, and submitting an application from his Brother Baker Ally to be allowed to succeed to the stipend.

From Chief Secy to Govt. 8 to Cons. 10th May 1832.

This yeomiah having been granted for certain services to be performed at the tomb of Syed Ally Khan the father in law of the Nawab Wallajah the Board beg to support the Collector's recommendation that

13670 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

that it may be continued to Baker Ally from the 1st. February last the date of the late incumbent's decease.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
17th October 1844

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Read again letter from the Principal Collector of Madura dated 24th August, and entered in Consultation 2d. Ultimo

51

Proceedings thereon

The Board observe that the orders of the Honorable Court of Directors above noticed refer to the oppressive exaction of forced labor for general purposes, and cannot be supposed to interfere with the customary municipal works, such as repair of Tank bunds, clearing of channels, mending of roads, &c. on which the well being of the Village community depends. Such labors are sanctioned by ancient usage and are always cheerfully performed.

Proceedings

13671 Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Report of the Collector of Tinnevelly upon the Settlement of the Land Revenue of that District for fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42

in Cons. 15 Sept. 1842

(Here enter No. 101)

The Board will at the same time take under review the Report of the Collector upon the Settlement of fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43.

30th Dec. 1843. in Cons. 25th Jan. 1844

(Here enter No. 204)

57

Proceedings thereon

Relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue of the District of Tinnevelly for fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 & 1252 A.D. 1842/43

The Report upon the Settlement of 1251/1841/42 remaining undisposed of and some of the points referred to therein respecting Nunjah Mail Poonjah Cultivation being again brought forward and discussed in more detail in the Report upon the succeeding fusly, the Board consider that it may be attended with advantage to review the two Reports together.

Fuslies	Extent of Cultivation	Extent of Land suffered from drought	Remission thereon
	S.C.	S.C.	C/R.
1232	99.741	"	"
1233	93.483	"	"
1234	87.198	"	"
1235	69.772	"	"
1236	82.296	"	"
1237	85.561	"	"
1238	79.710	"	"
1239	84.962	"	"
1240	81.398	383	7010
1241	67.623	10665	2.11595
1242	71.847	1690	20473
1243	80.538	482	13880
1244	81.416	440	15434
1245	80.985	93	3903
1246	71.168	5071	100267
1247	83.693	163	4091
1248	83.921	4617	1.16258
1249	80.894	851	13583
1250	87.623	2379	93604
1251	94.465	72	862

2. The season of 1251/1841/42 was most favorable especially for the wet Cultivation which exceeded that of any former year since fusly 1232 A.D. 1822/23 the year immediately succeeding the

the decennial Lease, and exceeded the fusly. immediately preceding by 7403 Rs. whilst the remission claimed for perished Crops was only upon 86.72 amounting to Rupees 862, being less than in any year on record-

Claims
Fusly 1247 - 159141-
1248 - 165513-
1249 - 163148-
1250 - 151416-
1251 - 151824-

3. The Poonjah Cultivation was not so favored owing to a failure in the rains of August and September, for tho' it exceeded the extent of fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$ A.D. it fell considerably short of that of the 3 preceding fuslies, neither was the quantity or quantity of the Cotton Crop equal to that of fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$ A.D.

	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Decrease
	S.C.	S.C.	S.C.
Nunjah Mail Poonjah	22234	17567	4666
Vaunjyre	7882	6703	1179

4. In the Nunjah Mail Poonjah and Vaunjyre cultivation there was also a considerable decrease arising however, not so much from the season as from the recent orders which had been given by the Board, under the Sanction of Government, for assessing full Nunjah Teerwah upon dry Crops produced on Nunjah Lands by means of Sircar Water. On the Subject of these orders the Board

Board will have occasion to enter at some length in a subsequent part of these Proceedings - they will therefore add no more here - But the great falling off in the Revenue of the year compared with the preceding fusly was mainly to be attributed to the fall of price, the Current Selling price being 28 1/16 per Cwt less than that of fusly $\frac{1250}{1840/41}$ A.D.

The extent of Melt Cultivation in the Woolungoo rented Villages in fusly $\frac{1251}{1841/42}$ A.D. exceeded that of the preceding fusly by S.C. 6305, and the Nuilmaram Crops Grain by 23,995 - which commuted into money at the standard price would have yielded a Revenue of Rupees 65,756 in excess of that of the preceding year, but owing to the great loss by price amounting to Rupees 233885 instead of there being any increase there is a decrease in the Jumma of the Woolong rented Villages alone of Rupees 168128 - and adding the decrease in the Ammanie and Potom Pooroopoo and Poonjah lands 8% Amounting to 20342 Ps., the total decrease in the Gross Jumma of the District amounted to 188471 which after deducting the difference remitted in the two years on account of perished Crops Viz. 92742 Ps. left a decrease in the

Sta. 4 Col. 5. Col. 9 Col. 10 Col. 11 34727
Co. 12 - 199158
233885
Sta. C. Col. 13. Para 15

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

the Nett Settlement of fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 compared with fusly 1250 / A.D. 1840/41 of Rupees 97,366.-

5. The 18 Villages composing the Chokumpully Estate are the only portion of the District under Annamie in the Circuit is satisfactory to observe there is a progressive increase since fusly 1246 / A.D. 1836/37 the year after the Estate came under Sircar management.

Report Para 17.

6. The cutting of the Ashaunum Harvest in these Villages was committed to the Superintendence of the Assistant Collector Mr. Fane and the Board have not failed to observe with satisfaction the creditable manner in which he appears to have performed the duty.

7. The Cotton and Coroopoo cultivation calls for no particular remark. the Collectors' observations upon Nunjah Mail Poinjah will be noticed hereafter.

8. The total demand on account of Ayen Land Revenue for fusly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 amounted to Rupees 15,16,162- or including Peshcush Shotrium &c. to

Report Para 35. 36.

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Rupees 19,71,956- of this amount 18,71,380- were collected within the fusly, leaving a balance of Rupees 100576- of this 29811- was unpaid Peshcush of certain Zemindaries, and 70764- balance of Village Kists- this had been reduced to 18534, within the date of the Collectors' report, which was either in course of collection or satisfactory explanation given for its being allowed to stand over. Appended to Para 37 is a Statement of the balance of Land Revenue outstanding at the close of each fusly since 1232 / 1822/23 and the Board can only view the result exhibited in the fusly under notice, when prices were unusually low, as most satisfactory and reflecting the greatest credit on the management of Sir Henry Montgomery.

9. The Board have not failed to observe the terms of satisfaction in which Sir Henry Montgomery speaks of his Subordinates Mr. C. Whittingham, Mr. Pringle and Mr. Fane, and have much pleasure in bringing the same to the notice of the Most Noble the Governor.

in

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

Fasly.	Extent of Land Cult. S.C.
1232	99741
1233	93433
1234	87198
1235	69772
1236	82296
1237	85561
1238	79700
1239	84962
1240	81398
1241	67623
1242	71847
1243	80538
1244	81416
1245	80985
1246	71168
1247	83693
1248	83921
1249	90894
1250	87823
1251	94465
1252	99843

in Council -

10. The season of fasly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43. seems to have been favorable almost beyond all precedent as may be inferred from the vast increase of cultivation in both Nunjah and Poonjah Lands. the extent of Nunjah cultivation exceeded that of the preceding year by 5,981 Seed Cottahs, and of any year since fasly 1232 / 1822/23 inclusive, and the extent of Poonjah Cultivation exceeded that of fasly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 by chains 8,800, without almost a claim to remission on account of perished Crops, the actual sum remitted on this account being only 27 Rupees.

11. In the Nunjah Mail Poonjah cultivation there was a decrease to the extent of Seed Cottahs 15,887 arising from Causes altogether irrespective of the season which will be hereafter explained -

12. In a Season where cultivation was carried to such an extent and produce so abundant, with an abundant Harvest in the neighbouring Districts, and Cattle demand for Grain abroad, it is not surprising that prices should have fallen still

Fort St. George 17th October 1844.

still lower than they did in fasly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42. and it is accordingly seen that the prices of fasly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43. were considerably lower than those of the preceding year which were recorded to be 28 1/16 per Cent below those of fasly 1250 / A.D. 1840/41 the increase therefore, which might have been expected from extended Cultivation was more than balanced by the falling off in the price, and although the Nunjah Cultivation in the Woolung rented Villages exceeded that of the preceding fasly by Seed Cottahs 5,036 the revenue derived from the same was short of that year by Rupees 12,577. But Mr. Thomas attributes this decrease, in part also to another cause Viz. to Lands of an inferior description being cultivated in fasly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43 to the prejudice of better Lands, and he arrives at this conclusion from the assumption that as 85,602 S.C. of land yielded a Mailwarum of 3,89,734 G.C. in fasly 1251 / A.D. 1841/42 or 4 G.C. — 11 — 4 7/8 per seed Cottah, so in fasly 1252 / A.D. 1842/43. 90,639 S.C. of land ought to have yielded in proportion, while they actually produced a Mailwarum of only 4,07,576 - or at the rate of 4 G.C. — 10 — 3 7/16 per

Seed

State in Para 14.

Para 16.