MADRAS RECORD OFFICE
4749/60

	Half Pagoda Pieces	1	172	12	8	..	1	11	0			
	Quarter ___ Do.	1	86	11	3	..	..	13	10			
	Spanish Dollars	670	220	..	..	..	1474	..	..			
	Different ½ Rupees	1	49	10	1	50	..	1	11			
	Old Soolauky ___ Do.	10	97	13	5	..	9	12	6			
Silver	Do. ___ ½ ___ Do.	1	48	11	9	..	3	6	1			
	Do. ___ ¼ ___ Do.	1	24	4	5	..	..	3	10			
	New Soolauky ___ Do.	103	97	13	5	..	100	12	5			
	Do. ___ ½ ___ Do.	1	48	11	9	..	3	6	1			
	Do. ___ ¼ ___ Do.	12	24	4	5	..	2	14	1			
	Old Sicca ___ Do.	5	99	9	4	..	4	15	8			
	Sicca ___ Do.	12¼	104	5	1	..	12	12	5			
	Do. Soolauky ___ Do.	15½	104	4	6	..	16	2	1			
	Old Bombay Surat Do.	4	97	12	2		3	14	1			
	New Bombay Surat Do.	475	100	..	..	..	475	..	..			
	Do. ___ ½ ___ Do.	43	50	..								
	Do. ___ ¼ ___ Do.											

Sicca pagodas pieces

13310

Fort St. George — October 1840.

No. 1.

Received from Sir. H. C. Montgomery Bart Collector of Tanjore in the undermentioned Coins the Sum of Companys Rupees Three Lacs Eighteen thousand Six hundred and nineteen annas twelve and Pice eight

Species	Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins				Amount			J		
			Rs	a	p	b	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
	Companys Rupees of 1835 and 1840	2,61,924	100	..	..	..	2,61,924	..	..			
	Do. ___ ½ ___ Do. ___ of ___ Do.	30,184	50	..	..	..	15092	..	..			
	Do. ___ ¼ ___ Do. ___ of ___ Do.	39,548	25	..	..	..	9,887	..	..			
	Double Annas of Do.	65,301	12	8	..	..	8,162	10	..			
	Old Company's Rupees	3911	100	..	..	..	3911	..	..			
	Do. ___ ½ ___ Do.	1750	50	..	..	..	875	..	..			
	Do. ___ ¼ ___ Do.	1776	75	..	..	..	1332	..	..			
	Double Annas	1456	12	8	..	..	182	..	..			

Sum of sums pieces ——— 6 37 14 2 " 2 14 4

Summaries of small sums ——— 1016 22 3 6 66 325 12 6

Of ——— single ——— 18 11 1 9 33 2 " "

Small sums

Of ——— 4 ——— 291497 9 2 " " 1234 4 6

Of ——— 3 ——— 1665 2 1 4 " 3 7 "

Of ——— 2 ——— 146635 1 9 " " 3853 10 9

Of ——— 1 ——— 83 1 " 8 " " 13 10

Of ——— 10 ——— 370268 " 8 4 " 1930 " 8

Of ——— 5 ——— 203 1 16 1 33 3 15 2

Of ——— 4 ——— 149 " 15 6 66 2 6 9

Of ——— 3 ——— 86 " 7 9 33 " 6 8

Of ——— 2 ——— 218 " 15 6 66 2 1 11

Of ——— 1 ——— 167 " 7 9 33 2 4 4

Of ——— 4 ——— Of ——— each ——— 8675 1 4 10 " 112 15 4

Of ——— 4 ——— Of ——— each ——— 39749 " 8 4 " 2040 5 9

1318 6 12 8

Carried over ——— 3186 19 12 8

Transcribed ——— 303433 " "

Of ——— Of ——— Of ———

13311 Fort St. George October 1846.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

2

From J. J. Cotton Esqre
Sub Collr. in charge
of Tanjore

Sir.

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that I this day received charge of the Province of Tanjore from Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart. Collector to whom I have granted my receipt in Duplicate for the Balance of Cash examined and found in the Treasury amounting to Rupees 318.619-12-8.

Tanjore District Treasury
17th October 1846.

/ Signed / J. J. Cotton
Sub Collector in charge

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.

1-3

From R. M. Bunning Esqre
Head Asst. to the Coll. and
Magistrate of S. Arcot

Received letter requesting an extension of leave for 6 Months on Sick certificate, from the termination of his present leave which expires on 17th June 1847 under Section VII / amended / of the absentee Rules.

4

Order thereon.

Ordered to be submitted thro the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

4-5

At

13312 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Monday 26th October 1846

At a Meeting Present.
G. D. Drury Esqre Senior Member.
C. Maclean Esqre Acting Second Member
Walter Elliot Esqre Third Member.
and
J. Goldingham Esqre Officiating Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

6

From the Secretary to Government

Communicating observations and orders on the Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Estates of the Minor Zemindary of Deavy and Moonegal in the District of Masulipatam for Fasly 1254.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated the 29th September 1846.

Read the following —

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the Settlement of the Estates of the Minor Zemindary of Deavy and Moonegal in the Masulipatam District for Fasly 1254. A.D. 1844/45

/ Dated 3d Augt 1846 No. 372 /

1. The Government having fully reviewed the condition and Management of these Estates in the Minutes of Consultation of the 3d March last, and called for a further report on the several points therein noticed the Governor in Council deems it unnecessary to enter into any minute examination

g

of the results of the Revenue Settlement for the year to which the present report relates

2. The Season as it affected the Wet lands, appears to have been very favorable the abundant falls of rain in September and October having enabled the Ryots to extend their cultivation, and the subsequent showers in December having brought the Crops to maturity &c. and thus secured a good harvest.

	Extent Cul. Cultivation	Govt. share of produce
1253	C. 85.	Rs. 2346
1254	C. 355.	Rs. 21686
Increase	C 270	Rs. 19341

There was accordingly a considerable increase under this head — Shewn in the Margin, the extent of land cultivated being C. 270 more than in the preceding Fusly 1253 with an additional produce of Rupees 19341 which but for the fall in prices of Rupees 1-2-9 per candy would have been still larger. This improvement the Governor in Council regards with much satisfaction as an evidence of the recovery of the Estate from the depressed condition to which it was lately reduced but referring to the results of Fusly 1251,

in

in which year the Cultivation extended to C. 561 and yielded a return of Rupees 40798 it is evident that the resources of the Zemindary are susceptible of still greater improvement, and it is hoped that the Collector will give his attention to this important object.

The settlement of the Dry lands, which presents little or no variation, calls for no remark beyond the expression of the entire concurrence of Government in the views recorded by the Board in para 5 of their Proceedings, in respect of the balances due by the quinquennial Renters for Fuslies 1253 and 1254 — the Governor in Council would however observe that for the very reasons advanced in this para the Board ought not to have allowed arrears to accumulate on the lands held on rent.

Balance due by Renters:
1253. Rs 5789.
1254. Rs 3000.

6. The total demand as settled for Fusly 1254 amounted to Rupees 60,942 — or Rupees 24608 above that of the previous year, but this result, satisfactory as it is, falls far short of the expectation held out to the Government as to what would be the probable return of the Estate "in ordinary seasons and under

Settlement
1253 Rs 36334.
1254 Rs 60,942.
Increase 24,608.

* Rs. 80,000.

under a careful system of Management.

5. The Current Peishcush does not appear to have been discharged in full — although the proceeds for the year were sufficient for that purpose, while on the other hand the amount credited in part of the balance of Fasly 1253" is nearly double the sum realized of the arrears for that year, hence it would seem that part of the collections on account of Fasly 1254 was appropriated towards the payment of past years balance of Peishcush, before the current demand was discharged in full. This proceeding requires a full explanation which the Board should give without delay and also send up the information called for by Government on the 3d of March.

Peishcush	Pr. 47587
Collections credited neither the Fasly	" 38908-3-"
Amount credited subsequently	" 8870-"-"
Total	" 47778-3-"
Difference less	" 108-13-"
Amount realized on account of arrears for Fasly 1253	Pr. 3944-2-10
Amount credited in part of the balance of Fasly 1253	" 7200-"-"

6. The Governor in Council has noticed in the account Current a Charge of Rupees 20" for making Pooja to the Kistna River on the occasion of its overflowing into the Tanks of the several Villages." The Estate having been Mortgaged to Government as security

security for the repayment of the sum of Rupees 99,851 advanced from the Public Treasury to meet the private demands against it. The Governor in Council considers the payment of the above sum to be not only objectionable but contrary to Principle and he resolves to require from the Collector an Explanation of his proceeding in this Matter.

7. Pending the receipt of the informations which the Board were requested to submit respecting the Management of this Estate, His Lordship in Council does further remark in this place but he would observe in reference to the part payment by the Manager of one fourth of the stipulated annual instalment, that unless a punctual discharge of the full amount is enforced, the arrangement so strongly advocated by the Collector and recommended by the Board can be of no benefit to the Government interests, and would, he apprehends eventually entail loss of revenue.

A true Extract
(Signed) C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Ordered

Order thereon.

6-7

Ordered that Copy of the fore-going Minutes of Consultation be transmitted to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guidance.

Mr. Porter's attention is called to the remarks of Government in Para 2 and 4 in regard to the improvement of which the Estate of Deeny is susceptible, and to the realization of the expectation held out that the probable return of the Estate in ordinary seasons and under a careful System of Management would be Rupees 80,000".

Mr. Porter will be pleased to explain with reference to Para 5 why current Collections were appropriated for the liquidation of arrears of Peishcush while the current Peishcush had not been discharged in full. The information previously called for to which reference is made in the same para, and noticed by the Board in their Proceedings dated 21st May 1846, should be submitted without delay.

The payment of Rupees 29" for making Pojah to the Kistna River," alluded to in Para 6 will be discontinued in future. Mr. Porter will be pleased to explain why he allowed the disbursement in question on the occasion referred to.

The

The information relative to the Estate of Moogal called for in the Proceedings of the Board dated 21st May 1846 should be submitted at an early period, and the attention of Mr. Porter is called with reference to Para of the Minutes of Consultation now transmitted to the observations of Government in regard to the punctual discharge of the full amount of the annual instalments of Consultation

8

From the Sect. to Government's observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of North Arcot for Fusly 1254.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under Date the 29th September 1846.

Read the following

Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

Relative to the Settlement of the District of North Arcot for Fusly 1254.

Para 1

Read the Letter entered 3rd August 1846 No. 389 / A. D. 1845/46

It appears from para 1 of the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue reporting upon the Land Revenue Settlement of North Arcot for Fusly 1254 that the season contrasted with the preceding was somewhat adverse.

Fusly 1253 Cawnies 28227-5/8 Rs 175087-6-11 and occasioned a diminution of Cultivation

1254 — Do. — 26526-1/8 — 16758-9-1

1703-2-1/2 — 7639-6-11

to the extent of Cawnies 1703-2, which caused a decrease of Revenue to the amount of Rupees 7639-6-11.

Stone

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

2. From the Statement appended to para 2 Prices appear to have been considerably in advance of Fusly 1253, and it is to be hoped that the Cultivators benefitted by them in disposing of their harvest.

3. The Net Land Revenue settlement for Fusly 1254, amounted to Rupees 1487.101–5–6 being below Fusly 1253 in Rupees 77217–3–11 Including the Peishcush on Estates Permanently settled the total demand was Pr. 1938054-12-9 which with the exception of the sum of Rupees 2466-1-9 was realized by the 10th June 1846.

Fusly 1253 Rupees	1564318	9 5
1254 "	1487101	5 6
	77217	3 11
Land Revenue	1487101	5 6
Zemindary Peishcush	450953	7 3
Rupees	1938054	12 9

4. Of the uncollected Amount of Rupees 2466-1-9 the sum of Rupees 1763-4 is reported to be irrecoverable Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue the Government Grant authority for writing off in the Accounts of North Arcot the irrecoverable Ryotwar and Enam balances Amounting to Rupees 1604/ One thousand six hundred and four. The remaining sum of Rupees 152 3–11/ One hundred and fifty two Annas three and pice Eleven, due by the Proprietors of thee Shrotriem Villages which it would appear

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

appear from the Acting Collectors Report have been already resumed and incorporated with the Government lands, will continue on account until the receipt of the separate report promised by that Officer.

5. The Government observe that the Enam land which is adverted to in para 7 of the Boards Proceedings is stated by the Acting Collector/para 39/to have been incorporated with the Circar Lands, it is therefore not clear what further information is desired on the subject.

6. The Board of Revenue have very properly called on the Acting Collector for a full Statement of the works of irrigation that have been allowed to go out of repair and the Government request that this subject may receive early and careful consideration in the Department of Public Works.

7. The Governor in Council observes that the Acting Collectors report is dated the 15th June 1846, being more than Eleven Months after the close of the Fusly which requires immediate explanation, and he desires that Mr. Lowells attention be requested to the Boards Circular Order

In para 31 of Mr. Lowells report and para 2 of the Revenue Boards Proceedings.

13321 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

of the 23d January 1840 regarding reports on the Land and Exctra Sources of Revenue to be invariably submitted within three Months after the expiration of the Fasly.-

A true Extract
/signed/ C. P. Thompson

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon (8-9) Resolved that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to the Boards Proceedings dated 3d August last.-

Mr. Lovell's attention is called to Para 7. He will be pleased to furnish explanation of the delay therein noticed. And will for the future carefully conform to the instructions conveyed in the Boards Circular Order of 28d January 1840.

Extract

13322 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

From the Secy to Government (10)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 30th September 1846.

Read the following letter.-

To R. G. Clarke Esqr
Deputy Secretary to
Government

Sir.

I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council a Memorial of the Reverend Francis Xavier Missionary of the Vicariate Apostolic of Pondichery with its accompaniments.-

Catholic Cathedral Madras. 25th September 1846 } /Signed/ x. I. Fennelly.

Ordered that the papers which accompanied the above letter be referred for the report of the Board of Revenue.-

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

Resolution thereon (10-11) Resolved that the papers received with the foregoing order of Government be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Chingleput for report.-

Extract

13321 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

of the 23d January 1840 regarding reports on the Land and Exctra Sources of Revenue to be invariably submitted within three Months after the expiration of the Fasly.-

A true Extract
Signed/ C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

(8-9)

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to the Board's Proceedings dated 3d August last.-

Mr. Powell's attention is called to Para 7. He will be pleased to furnish explanation of the delay therein noticed. And will for the future carefully conform to the instructions conveyed in the Boards Circular Order of 23d January 1840.

Extract

13322 Fort St. George 26th October 1840.

From the Secy to Government

(10)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 30th September 1846.

Read the following letter.-

To
R. G. Clarke Esqr.
Deputy Secretary to
Government

Sir.

I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council a Memorial of the Reverend Francis Xavier Missionary of the Vicariate Apostolic of Pondicherry with its accompaniments.-

Catholic Cathedral
Madras.
25th September 1846

/Signed/ x. J. Fennelly.

Ordered that the papers which accompanied the above letter be referred for the report of the Board of Revenue.-

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the papers received with the foregoing order of Government be transmitted to the Acting Collector of Chingleput for report.-

(10-11)

Extract

13321 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

of the 23d January 1840 requiring reports
on the Land and Extra Sources of Revenue
to be invariably submitted within three
Months after the expiration of the
Fasly -

A true Extract
/signed/ E. P. Thompson -
Secretary to Government

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

Resolution thereon. Resolved that a Copy of
8-9 the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of
Consultation be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector
of North Arcot with reference to the Boards
Proceedings dated 3d August last -

Mr. Powell's attention
is called to Para 7. He will be pleased to fur-
nish explanation of the delay therein noticed
& must will for the future carefully conform to the
instructions conveyed in the Boards Circular
Order of 29th January 1840.

Extract

13322 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

From the Secy to Government
10

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
under date the 30th September 1846.

Read the following letter -

To
R. G. Clarke Esqre
Deputy Secretary to
Government

Sir.

I have the honor to forward
for the consideration of the Most Noble the
Governor in Council a Memorial of the Reverend
Francis Xavier Missionary of the Vicariate
Apostolic of Pondichery with its accom-
=paniments -

Catholic Cathedral
Madras
25th September 1846
/Signed/ X. I. Fennelly.

Ordered that the papers —
which accompanied the above letter be referred
for the report of the Board of Revenue -

/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the papers
10-11 received with the foregoing order of Government
be transmitted to the Acting Collector of
Chingleput for report -

Extract

13323 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

From the Secy. to Government

12

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 5th October 1846.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Guntoor transmitting an Indent for two Ghurries required for the use of the Collector and his Head Assistant while on Circuit and recommending that the Commissary of Ordnance at Masulipatam may be authorized to supply them.

/Here enter 21st September 1846 No. 448./

The Military Board will be pleased to order the requisition contained in the foregoing letter to be complied with.-

To
The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

Order thereon. Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Guntoor with reference to his letter dated 20th August last.-

12-13

Esctract

13324 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

From the Secy. to Government transmitting for report a memorial from Meenatchee Soondarummal the mother of the late Shrotriemdar of Meenjoor and Ademmoil in the Zillah of Chingleput praying for the restoration of those Villages under the terms of the Perwanah by which they were originally conferred.-

14

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th October 1846.-

Read the following Papers.

Address from Meenatchee Soondarummal Mother of the late Shrotriemdar of Meenjoor, and Ademmoil in the Zillah of Chingleput.

/Here enter 5th Aug. 1846 No. 854/

X X X

Para 1 The Governor in Council observes that in the Memorial to the address of the Honorable the Court of Directors which accompanied the above letter, Meenatchee Soondarammal, as Mother of the late Shrotriemdar of Meenjoor and Ademmoil - prays for the restoration of those Villages under the terms of the Perwanah by which they were originally conferred on Appoo Moodely or for such an allowance in money as will be sufficient for the expenses of the two Temples of Meenjoor, and her relations and dependents.-

2. By the terms of the Perwanah the Villages were conferred on Appoo Moodely "and his heirs for the support of himself and "his family, and for the annual expenses of the two Pagodas in the Village of Meenjoor" and

Appoo

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Appoo Moodelly and his heirs were authorized and empowered to hold the same in perpetuity:-

3. The circumstances of this property were brought to the notice of Government in a letter from the Board of Revenue dated 4th April 1844 wherein they stated that Davaskamanie Moodely, the late incumbent being now dead Streenevasa Moodelly and Sushuchella Moodelly would be entitled to the Shrotriem as the brothers of Somasoondara Moodelly, if the succession of the latter has been unquestionable, but as his succession in the female line was clearly irregular," they submitted for the consideration of Government, whether the Grant should be renewed in favor of those two persons, or should be resumed.-

D. to Govt 30th April 1844 No. 13-14-

4. The Government under date the 29th April 1844 sanctioned the resumption of the Villages, and provided for the maintenance of the brother of the deceased Shrotriemdar, and upon this decision the present Appeal to the Honorable Court of Directors is founded, but, before forwarding it to its address, the Governor in

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

in Council is of opinion that the legal right of the Memorialist Meenatchee Soondarammal requires to be reconsidered with reference to the original terms of the grant, which it is observed is not restricted to Heirs male:-

5. The shrotriem having been granted to Appoo Moodelly and to his heirs in perpetuity, without any stipulation for the succession being confined to the heirs male, the legal heir of Appoo Moodelly whether by the male or female line of descent would seem entitled to succeed. The absence of any such stipulation as adverted to above, may probably be owing to the circumstance that one of the objects comprized in the grant was the provision for the two Temples, which provision would cease to exist, were the terms of the grant so restricted; and under this view, if the Statements in the Memorial are correctly set forth, Somasoondara Moodelly as being the son of the daughter of the original grantee would appear to have been, on the failure of the male line, the legal heir of his grand father Appoo Moodelly, and Meenatchee Soondrammal as being widow of Somasoondara Moodelly

Moodelly, his legal heir and as such entitled to succeed to the Shrotriem.-

6. But as in this case the Board of Revenue considered that the succession of Somasoondara Moodelly in the female line was clearly irregular the Governor in Council is desirous of obtaining from them further and more particular information on this point and he requests they will state how other grants in the same terms have heretofore been construed.-

7. He requests the Board will require the Collector to report whether the family have the means of supporting the persons attached to the Temples, and whether in fact they are deprived of their means of subsistence by the resumption of the grant, and also, whether any allowance should be granted for the support of these Temples, in the event of the Shrotriem being retained in the hands of Government.-

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ C. P. Thompson
Secretary to Government

Ordered

Order thereon

(14-15)

Ordered that copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Acting Collector of Chingleput, who will be pleased to report whether the family of the late Shrotriemdar of Meenjoor and Adeemmoil have the means of supporting the persons attached to the Temples and whether in fact they are deprived of their means of subsistence by the resumption of the grant, and also whether any allowance should be

13329 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

be granted for the support of these Temples in the event of the Shrotriem being retained in the hands of Government.

In reference to Para 6 the Acting Collector will be pleased to state how other grants of Shrotriems or Enam lands in his District made in the same terms as that for the Meenjoor and Adenmoil Villages have hitherto been construed.

Esctract

13330 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

From the Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 16th October 1846.

16

Read again letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 20th August and entered in Diary to Consultation 15th September 1846 No. 922.

Read also letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Here enter 28th September 1846 No. 1651

And it appears from the correspondence recorded above that the addition proposed by the Board of Revenue to the Salary of the Tahsildar of the Cuddalore Talook Viz from Rupees 40 to 65 forty to sixty five per Mensem, can be made without any further expense to the State, the Governor in Council sanctions the measure and directs that the same be carried into effect

A true Extract

Signed E. B. Thompson

Secy to Govt

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon

16-17

Inform 24th Augt & 28th Septr

Ordered to be communicated for the information and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot with reference to his letter dated 20th July and 31st August last.

Extract

13331 Fort St George 26th October 1846

From the Secy to Govt

(18)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th October 1846

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

(Here enter 28th September 1846 No 461)

The Governor in Council agrees with the Board of Revenue in thinking under the circumstances represented that there is no objection to the Son of Captain Lamford holding land in the Tanjore District

(A true Extract)
(Signed) Ed R Thompson
Secy to Govt

To
The President & Members
of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon

(18-19)

Ordered to be communicated for the information of the Collector of Tanjore with reference to his letter dated 29th August last

Read the following letter

To T Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(20)

From the Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatam Acknowledging the receipt of G.S. Harrain Rows letter regarding

Sir,

Para 1. I had the honor to receive Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 11th July 1846 forwarding for Report a letter addressed by

13332 Fort St George 26th October 1846

regarding the sums advanced by him to the Rajah of Vizianagrum

by G.S. Harrain Row to the Most Noble the Governor in Council regarding the sums advanced by him on account of the Rajah of Vizeanagrum

2 The debt due to this person, for which he holds acknowledgments, amount in the aggregate to Rupees four lacs and sixty four thousand, composed of a balance of Rupees one lac and Eighty thousand due upon an old account, and still un-liquidated and Rupees 285000 more recently advanced to the late Rajah of Vizeanagrum thro' Mr Arbuthnot

3 The former balance originated in a Bond executed by the late Rajah of Vizeanagarum previous to his departure to Calcutta and Benares some Eighteen years ago for five lacs of Rupees with Interest at twelve per Cent, or 60000 Rupees annually Thro' the good Offices of Mr George Russell when Commissioner in the Northern Circars, this Bond was cancelled and a Loan acknowledgment granted in lieu thereof by Mr Preese the Collector and Magistrate, intimating that the Money was received for the Rajah of Vizeanagrum

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Vizianagaram, bearing interest at six per cent per Annum —

4. The present Rajah has expressed his readiness to give Bonds under his own seal and signature for the Amount of these obligations if the creditors desire it — Narrain Row, therefore, if this was done, would have exactly the same facilities for recovering his debt that he had Eighteen years ago —

5. The Loan Acknowledgments given by Mr. Freese and by Mr. Arbuthnot were issued by these Gentlemen in their Capacity of Agent for the Rajah, who had assigned the Management of his Zemindary to these functionaries during his absence by a general power of Attorney executed and attested in the Zillah Court of Chicacole — Any private Agent to the Rajah similarly appointed and acknowledged would, I presume, be equally responsible to Narrain Row —

6. The address to the Most Noble the Governor of Madras is hereunto returned —

Vizagapatam Governor
Agents Office, 20th August 1846

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor

Ordered

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply —

To The Collector of Vizagapatam

(20-21)

To the Coll.r of Vizagapatam
In Cons.n 26th Oct.r 1846

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th August last reporting upon the Petition presented to the Most Noble the Governor in Council by Narrain Row on the subject of the debt due to him by the Rajah of Vizianagram —

2. In reply the Board desire me to request that you will transmit to them Copies of the Bonds or Loan acknowledgments executed in favor of Narain Row by Messrs. Freese and Arbuthnot on the years 1835 and 1844, and if any other stipulations or engagements which may have been entered into by those Gentlemen with Narrain Row on the subject of the sums advanced by him to the Rajah —

3. It appears to the Board to be very desirable that Narrain Row's claims on the Vizianagram estate should be placed in train of adjustment as speedily as possible and they beg to be favored with your sentiments as to the manner in which this may best be effected you will be so good as to state what sum may in your opinion be devoted annually from the surplus proceeds of the Zemindary towards the liquidation of the debt and within what period it may be expected to be paid off —

4. In the Extract Minutes Consultation 21st August 1845 the Government directed that "the bonds and receipts for the sums remitted to Benares and paid to the Rajah's Creditors and the terms of the promise entered into by them should be obtained by the agent and recorded in his Office to satisfy the Government that the Claims had been fully adjusted" You will

In Cons.n 18th Sept.r 1845

be

13335 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

be pleased to state whether these orders have been Complied with

Revl. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1846

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

22

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the 15th June 1846 on the subject of Devastanum lands I have the honor to state that there are none in this District under Circar management that there are no great Devastanum Estates, nor are there any lands to be transferred to religious Establishments of any kind. It is therefore unnecessary that I should send in a Statement according to the form which accompanied your letter

Rajahmundry District
Rajahmundry 14th October 1846

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

Order thereon Ordered to lie on the table

22-23

Read the following Statement

Salt

13336 Fort St. George

Dr. Sa. the Cr.

Account Current of Salt from 12th July 1845

Cr. By Pagodas Fanams

July 12 From 12th July 1845 to March 1846 — Home Consumption 56229 8 / Inland Do. 332962 8 — 389192

From 1st April to July 1846 — Home Consumption 1757 — 1 / Inland Do. 6598 8 — 18355

402547

18355 1710

402547 1710

To Servants &c. from 12th July to 31st March 1846 — 134 1 11

From 1st April to 11th 1846 — 1 3

5503 1760

408051 270

To Balance 6727 680

Total 6 Rupees — 40258

1029778 950

Exam. & Pd. Cr. Lutchasmy 30th September 18

6th October 1846

To 11th July 1846 on for the 4th Quarter of July 1255 ——— 6 2

1846				£321	0	0
July 11th	By Cash paid for Servants wages from 12th July 1845 to 31st March 1846	3761	9 7			
	By Do Do from 1st April to 11th July 1846	1473	12 7	5235	6	2
	By Do for Roofscoons from 12th July 1845 to 31st March 1846	91	14 2			
	By Do Do from 1st April to 11th July 1846	39	1 4	130	15	6
8 6	By Do for Sadeawared Charges &c from 12th July 1845 to 31st March 1846	758	4			
8 6	By Do Do from 1st April to 11th July 1846	3058	10 10			
	By Do Share or Fine to the Manufacturers of Salt from 12th July 1845 to 31st March 1846	0	0 0	3816	14	10
	By Do Do from 1st April to 11th July 1846	10573	8 7	10573	8	7
	Net Revenue from 12th July 1845 to 31st March 1846	37914	6 2			
	Do Do from 1st April to 11th July 1846	3210	14 5	38925	4	7
9 7						
0 1 8	Total C Rupees			59583	1	8

46 Co. Rs. Jpr

(24-25)

(Signed) W. F. Porter
Collector

Order thereon — Ordered to be lodged in the Office

GB

13338 Fort St George 26th October 1846.

Read the following Letters

To T. Sycroft Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(26)

From the Collr of Guntoor — &c

Replying to Board's letter of the 11th June last relative to the exact nature and operation of certain rents now included in Land Revenue and which was suggested in letters of the 28th October 1843 to be transferred to the head "Small Farms & Licenses" of the Guntoor District —

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th Ultimo requesting information as to the exact nature and operation of certain rents now included in Land Revenue which in my letter of the 28th October 1843 I mentioned might be transferred to small farms and Licenses —

2

The following are the Items referred to and their average revenue —

	Items	Average Revenue
1	Veliadive Mudda Adive	10 14 12
2	Endowed Hill Rent	684 14 —
3	Jum Pems Cajan rushes & rattans	74 5 6
4	Chofalla manu, Fishing reels	52 — —
5	Pedacul Belmeeta	6 — —
6	Sanchi Kattanapa punn, Tare or Cometees bags	8 — —
7	Mari Akalo (Canes)	7 12 —
8	Kalapa dantalo, Reeds for pens	6 — —

Fort St George 26th October 1846.

9. Tonga	Different kinds of Grass	366.	7	—
10 Rellos.		10	9	2
11 Awora		—	4	—
12. Punuttoo		10	—	—
13. Cheraver Chayroot.		5114	6	1
14. Kaiala Punn Tase on Spades for digging Chayroot.		6	11	6.

3. The first Item is the rent of the jungles near the coast in the Nazamipa-tam Sirkar. Its proceeds are chiefly derived from the brushwood in the Salt creeks which is used for firewood, the poles for building and fences, and the leaves as food for Cattle. The renter levies according to custom a certain rate by Month or year from the Villagers who make use of these jungles either for themselves or for sale. The present rate is by the Month, 4 annas for Men, and 3. 4. as Piece for women who cut wood. The Ameen reports that this tase is not of a vexatious or objectionable character, and no complaint arising therefrom has come under my cognizance. It has been hitherto brought to account in the Zemindaries under the General

Fort St George 26 October 1846.

General Head of Land Revenue and Minor Head Poollary.

4. The second item is brought to account under the heads of Poollary and Fruit trees in the Village of Condaveed and is levied from the inhabitants of the several Villages situated at the foot of the Hills for grazing their Cattle gathering tamarinds and wood apples and cutting trees for Timber, firewood and Charcoal. When others than Cultivating rayets take from the Hills for sale, firewood, leaves for platters and thorn bushes they pay to the renter a fee of 2 annas a Month per hatchet. In some Villages it is customary to levy from these persons at the rate of a Rupee per year or 2 annas a Month or 1 piece a day. For a bullock load of firewood 2 piece. The weavers at Periapooram pay 1 anna per loom annually. For Thorns for fences the rayets, except those of the Villages of Condaveed, pay the renter at the rate of 2 annas per plough.

5. So much of this rent as is levied on the grazing of Cattle and the produce of fruit trees seems unexceptionable and may

Fort St George 26th October 1846.

may continue as at present. The only question is as to the continuance of the charge on cutting wood whether for fuel or other purposes for tho' it is paid without demur and scarcely comes under the denomination of "vexations" still it seems to press either upon the Cultivators who pay their full share of the public burden in the Land tax or upon poor Labourers.

6. The next Items that of Rush and rattan rents is peculiar to some Villages on the Coast. It is inconsiderable in amount and is levied from Basket makers of the Yerakul Caste for permission to cut rattans in the Jungle - This not particularly vexatious - I would recommended its discontinuance from its trifling amount.

7. The same observation applies to the next item of fishing creels. ——

8. The next two items are anomalous and unauthorized and were levied by the renters under the Zemindar in the Village of Mootto pully and Nayanapully the first from persons collecting cowdung for fuel, the other from petty Shopkeepers who attended in the fields during the digging

Fort St George 26th October 1846.

digging of Chay root to sell refreshments to the Coolies. ——

9. Maniakulu is peculiar to the Village of Pedda lunka in Vaferedy Estate and is levied as the fee on gathering Banean leaves for making platters - It shall be discontinued as altogether anomalous. ——

10. The remaining items are the rents of rushes reeds and different kinds of grass growing either on the Kristna Islands or beds of Tanks and Marsh lands. It seems questionable whether the Zemindars had any right to revenue from these sources which belong to the "Miniahi" or exempted lands. There seems nothing particularly objectionable in their operation and they may be continued but it seems doubtful whether they ought as at present to be classed as Pollary under the head of Land Revenue or that of sundry small farms and Licenses. ——

11. It seems proper here to explain the manner in which the revenue from Chay root is collected in this District. In the Banpetta Samnak much of it is raised by cultivation beds being excavated in the sand planted with the root and

13343 Fort St George 26th October 1846.

and watered artificially. These beds are assessed as garden land at a high rate. The wild Chayroot growing in the Sand and waste lands, has been sometimes rented, sometimes kept under a many. In either case coolies are employed to dig and collect the roots, and receive at a certain rate from one or two Rupees per bundle of two Maunds for all they produce, which is then sold at the Market price. It is carried to account

12. In the Bellumcondah Tannah of Inuliangy Estate and Konapaud in the Vaseereddy Estate only a small sum is annually levied called "Curralapunnie" on the iron Crow or Spades of those who follow the occupation of digging the wild Chayroot. It is also carried to the accounts of Poollary. There appears no objection to the continuance of the present custom of making Chayroot a source of Revenue but I think the rent of wild Chayroot might properly be transferred to the Head of "Sundry Small Farms."

Guntoor Collrs Cutcherry
22d July 1846 } I Signed H Stokes Collector.

Order thereon - Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

Jos

(26-27)

13344 Fort St George 26th October 1846.

To

T. Pycroft Esqr

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George.

Sir,

(28)

From the Collr of Guntoor submitting for orders of Government Copies of the correspondence with the officer Commanding Guntoor as to whether the sum of Rs 119-3-11 incurred over and above the estimate in building 167 sepoys Houses is to be recovered from the sepoys or charged to Government.

1 To Captain O Neill dated 22d Sept 1846.
1 From Do 24th Do

Para 1. Adverting to the Contract from the Board's Proceedings dated 17th January 1842, and Minutes of Consultation of the 31st December 1841, authorizing on the responsibility of the Officer Commanding the 2d Native Veteran Battalion an advance of Rupees 1800 for the purpose of enabling the sepoys to complete their huts at Guntoor, I have the honor to forward herewith Copies of the correspondence with Captain O Neill Commanding the Detachment at this station, noted in the Margin, and to request that the Board will obtain the orders of Government as to whether the sum of Rupees 119-3-11 being the difference between the amount of estimate and actual expenditure incurred in building 167 sepoys Houses is to be recovered from the men themselves or charged to Government.

† Actual Expenditure for 167 Huts 1124-3-11
Do at estimated amount at 6 Rs each 1005-0-0
Difference 119-3-11

2. It is here proper to observe that under an arrangement detailed in a letter from my predecessor to the Commanding Officer dated 8th September 1841, of which a Copy is enclosed, the houses

on

13345 Fort St George 26 October 1846 -

in question were built with the assistance of convicts employed under the Collector and it was supposed by Mr Goldingham on a rough calculation that the cost of materials would come to about 6 Rupees at which rate an advance was made - Up to the time that the convicts were transferred from the Collector 167 houses have been built at a cost of Rupees 1121-3-11 being 11-5 on each in excess of the original supposed Cost - Having no longer at my disposal the means of erecting the houses required, - I have called on the Commanding Officer to refund the amount expended and to take such steps as he may think best to complete the lines - He has made a reference to know whether he is authorized to recover the difference - The houses are all tiled and such as probably could not be built by the Sepoys themselves for treble the Cost - The servants who under Mr Goldingham's orders were engaged in this work were removed before my arrival, or the work might have been completed perhaps at less cost - Another cause which increased the expenditure

was

13346 Fort St George 26 October 1846 -

was that Coolys here was incurred for the conveyance of Palmera trees from the coast which had not been contemplated - —

Guntoor - - - - - }
Collectors Cutcherry } I Signed H. Stokes
25th September 1846 } Collector -

No 1.

To

Captain O. Neill

Officer Commanding

Guntoor -

From Officer Commanding 7th October 1842 To Do 2d Jany 1843.

Sir

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to state that 167 houses have been completed and made over to the Sepoys under your Command - The total Cost exclusive of convicts labour is Rupees 1121. 3-11 being at the rate of 6-11-5 per house, which I should be obliged to you to recover from the men and forward to me at your earliest convenience - There being no convicts now under my charge, or other facilities for the work - the accounts in this Department will now be closed, and such additional houses as may be required must be erected by the Sepoys themselves, or in such way as you may think best. On your informing me what portion of ground

out

Fort St George 26 October 1846.

out of that proposed for the lines is still required, I will have it pointed out to you. No further purchases of sites have been made since those mentioned in Mr Goldingham's letter to the Commanding Officer dated 29th October 1841.

Guntoor, Collectors Cutcherry, 22d September 1846

I Signed H. Stokes
Collector.

No 2.

To The Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 16 of the 22d Instant relative to the fitting of the Detachment under my command, I have the honor to inform you that a Copy of it was forwarded by me yesterday, to the Officer Commanding the Battalion with a request to know whether I had his authority to stop more than 6 Rupees from the men's as this amount was the original sum agreed to, I believe, by the then Collector Mr Goldingham, and the men are unwilling to pay more.

Guntoor 24 September 1846

I Signed O. Neill Captain
Commanding Guntoor.

Fort St George 26 October 1846.

No 3.

To The Officer Commanding Guntoor.

Sir,

It appears from your Note dated this day that the Sepoys of the Garrison are under stoppages till the 10th December and will not be able till after that period to defray the expenses incurred for building their Huts, it appears to me desirable that application should be made by you to Government for an advance of Rupees 1800 on this account to be repaid by the men at the rate of ½ Rupee Monthly. The Native Officers will of course refund the expense that may be incurred for their houses at a higher rate. If it is explained to the Government that the Houses are all to be tiled and are planned for the Comfort and health of the men, there will be no difficulty I believe in obtaining the requisite advance. It is estimated that each Sepoy's House will cost 6 Rupees besides coolies labour. The plan of the House has been approved of by the men and they have expressed a desire that ½ Rupee per Mensem may be recovered from them as above suggested.

Guntoor Collectors Cutcherry 8th September 1841

I Signed J. Goldingham
Collector.

13349 Fort St George 26th October 1846.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address—

To

The Chief Secretary to

Government.

Sir,

With reference to the orders of Government dated 31st December 1841. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Guntoor, dated 26th ultimo, forwarding correspondence with the officer commanding the detachment of Sepoys at that Station, and requesting orders as to whether the Sum of Pagodas 119-3-11 being the difference between the amount of Estimate, and actual expenditure incurred in building 167 Houses for the Sepoys stationed at Guntoor, is to be recovered from the men themselves or charged to Government.

From these papers it will be observed that an Estimate was made in 1841 for building huts for the Sepoys at a Cost of 6 Rupees each, exclusive of convict labour, the

28-29

To the Chief Secy to Government—

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Guntoor requesting orders as to whether the sum of Rupees 119-3-11 being the difference between the amount of estimate & actual expenditure incurred in building 167 Houses for the Sepoys stationed at Guntoor is to be recovered from the men themselves or paid by Government & recommended that the amount be charged to Government.

Read 5th Nov. 1846

13350 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

the amount being advanced by Government and recovered from the Men at the rate of half Rupee each per Mensem. After 167 houses had been built under the orders of the Collector, the Convicts were transferred from his Charge, and not having any longer the means at his disposal for continuing the work Mr. Stokes has requested the Officer Commanding the detachment to make his own arrangements for completing the lines.—

Owing to the removal of some of the Servants originally engaged in the work and to the Charge of Coolly hire for the Conveyance of Palmirah Trees from the Coast of Guntoor which had not been originally contemplated the Cost for erecting the 167 houses had amounted to Rupees 1121-3-11 being annas 11-5— on each in excess of the Estimated expense. Mr. Stokes has applied to the Officer Commanding the detachment to refund the amount expended who has stated in reply that his Men were unwilling to pay more than 6 Rupees for each of their houses as that was the sum originally agreed upon.—

As the Sepoys have been led to

to suppose that the cost of their houses would not exceed 6 Rupees each and as the Convicts have been withdrawn after a part only of the houses had been constructed the Board beg to recommend that the expense incurred under the causes explained by Mr. Stokes in excess of the original Estimate amounting to Rupees 119-3-11 may be charged to Government.

Revd. Bd. Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1840

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letters.

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

30

From the Collr. in the Zillah of Guntoor

Sir,

To Bd. 3d. March 1840
From 2d. 9th Do.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin I have the honor to report that V. Ramanadha Baboo persists in offering that the Repully permanent Sunnud must have been given back by his brother Juggannadha Baboo to the Repully Zemindar who declares that it is not in his possession Ramanadha Baboo also maintains that the Cowl of the Nizampatam Cirkar

Cirkar is in the possession of Pottoor Coodalra=
=maih who says that it cannot be found. Coty Pottabhiramarow a Poncar at Masu=
=lipatam admits that he has the Cowl of the Colloor Villages but declines giving it up pending the settlement of his alleged claim on Ramanadhai Baboo. It seems probable that all the missing title deeds are detained with the view of establish=
=ing certain claims by their production hereafter. In the event of their being brought forward the Board shall be informed as directed.

Guntoor Collrs. Cutcherry
6th October 1840 } /Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

30-31

To, T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

From the Collr. of Guntoor } Sir,

Parad 1. I have the honor to acknow=
=ledge the receipt of your letters dated 30th March and 21st Ultimo Calling for a Statement of allowances paid by deduction from the Kistbundy Beriz either of the land or of any of the Extra Sources of re=
=venue with the view if resting on due authority, to their being made payable direct from the Treasury.

32

2 The requisite returns having been called

called for from the Talooks they were received in almost every instance blank only two or three items of the Nature in question being entered. an examination having however being made into the Summabundy accounts for the last two Fuslies of the several villages in the Serishtadars Depart= =ment it was found that the deductions actu= =ally made are very Considerable as will be seen on reference to the accompanying State= =ment in which they are exhibited. They have been classified as follows.-

To Carnums	705-1-6
To Head Inhabitants	135-13-4
Tank Merahs to the descendants of the Constructors of Tanks	856-1-11
Dasabundum Do. Do.	255-4-11
To descendants of the planters of Topes	61-8-0
To Pagodas	528-12-4
To Water Pundal Bramins	130-6-7
To Certain Perjadahs of Nelzampatam &c.	459-10-2
To Sundry objects	470-6-2
Commission to Moturpha Carnums in 142 Villages	1004-0-2

3 These particulars having been only recently collected and arranged there has not been time to call upon the parties enjoying them to shew their authority but

but in preference to further detaining the Statement which appears to be immediately required by the Board I propose to send it as it is, and to prohibit such deductions from the present year leaving parties to appear and prove their right to them when another Statement shall be prepared of such items as may be necessary to be paid from the Treasury.-

4 It will be observed that with one exception the deductions are all in the Land revenue of the Zemindary Villages and they have been allowed I apprehend in many instances by Villages Carnums and renters or occasionally by the Zemin= =dars without good grounds and now rest in that very unsound principle of Custom except in case of Tanks Merahs and allowances to the founders of Topes.-

5. The item in the Extra sources of Commission to Moturpha Carnums was introduced by Mr. Oakes with the view of Checking the practice of private levies under the Head of Gramakurch from weavers and traders which was very prevalent= =He alludes to this measure in his letter dated 25th September 1816 Para 20 quoted in No. 8 of Mr. Whish's replies dated 18th November 1829 to the Boards &c letter of the 30th April of that year regarding the deposits. This payment therefore require the sanction of Government. Whether this mode of Remuneration be Continued or extended to other Villages remains for future Consideration in Con= =nection with a general revision of Carnums & emoluments which seems highly desirable.-

Guntoor
Collrs Cutcherry
16th October 1840

[Signed] H. Stokes
Collector

Statement.

Amount Commd Forward | Amount deducted under Salary Sheets & Commd from these Sheets now Attached Paid to | 1254 | 32 | 4 |

Reading Bo--- | Commissions & Commd on Attached Paid to Cawnpore--- | of Bd 810 at 1 Comm per Bd 1/- | 1254 | 50 | 10 |

Amroha Allahabad--- Commission & Commd on Attached Paid to of Bd 540-1 at 3 Comm per Bd 1/2 | 1254 | 25 | 5 |

Etawa Muttra--- Bo. & Bo. on Twelve Months Allowance of Bd 299-1-9 at Bd per Month | 1254 | 14 | 11 | 1 |

Bareilly--- Bo. & Bo. on Bo. of Bd 364-11-6 at 1 Comm per Bd | 1254 | 21 | 13 | |

Allahabad--- Bo. & Bo. on Bo. of Bd 135-15-3 at 1 Comm per Bd | 1254 | 4 | 4 | |

Muttra--- Bo. & Bo. on Bo. of Bd 572-15-1 Reduction of the Allowance of Bd 6-4 per Mensem | 1254 | 35 | 13 | |

Gunners Bonus & upwards per Month | Bo. of Bd 6-4 per Mensem according to Gradation | 1255 | 32 | 4 |
Seamen Petitioned and Attending as Witnesses | | | | |

Carried forward | | | 616 | 6 | 4 |

1337

Statement of Salaries Agency 26th October 1854

No. 1 Statement of allowances paid by deduction from the Gratuity Branch of the Commissariat and Establishment charged in the Quarters Bill

Bangalore--- Commission & Commd from the Establishment Bill | 1254 | 218 | 6 | 4 |

Mr. Hattix and Agents draft from the Agency Office of Bd 133-3-8 Rd.

13356

Fort St. George 26th October 1840

			Pes	As	Ds
		Brought forward	616	6	4
Tenanly Tannah Dawvooloor	Vundra or Remission to Curnums from the value of a Candy of Paddy on account of the Sirkar Share from the produce of their own Cultivation at 2 Rupees per Candy is	1252	4	11	3
	Do. Do. to Do. from Do. of Do. of Bengal gram and Castor nuts at 4 Rs. per Candy is	1252	1	5	4
Boorepolem	Do. Do. to Do. from Do. of Do. of Jonnaloo and Padaloo &c. at 2 Rupees per Candy is	1252	2	1	5
	Do. Do. to Do. from Do. of Do. of Bengal gram at 4 Rupees per Candy is	1252	4	9	7
Condoneed Tannah Cheroonaumillah	This village being under individual tenure up to Fusly 1245 the Zemindar Converted it in to Sharing tenure allowing grain fees to all Curnums at 12 Manecals per Candy and 10 Toomes of produce for their Share with the exception of Gopiaranze Byrangy who was authorized to receive his Grain fees at 1-4 per Candy and 11 Toomes Share per Candy the difference deducted from the demand for this man above all others is	1255	28	4	11
Coorapaud Tannah Goontepolem	Commission to Curnums on Grazing tax of Rupees 30 @ 3 annas per Rupee is	1254	5	8	4
Lutchemenainapolem	Do. to Do. on Do. of Rupees 20	1254	3	12	4
Tammaranepolem	Do. to Do. on Do. of Rupees 26	1254	4	14	4
Nindoogerlah	Commission deducted from Rs. 79-8- being the Amount of Grazing tax is	1254	14	11	0
Rainepolem	Commission to Curnums from Rupees 90 being the Grazing tax	1254	16	14	4
Innaconda Tannah Salalapolem	Do. to Do. at 2 annas per Rupee from the amount of Grazing tax	1254	4	2	4
Taralapully	Of the produce yielded from the Curnums own Cultivation they receive their Share of Produce without deduction on account of Tank Marahs due to the Sirkar whereas the Ryots receive it after deducting Tank Marahs the Difference arising there from is.	1255	5	13	4
	Total		705	1	6

13357 Fort St. George 26th October 1840

2 Amount payable to the Ryots	Mungalagherry Tannah Eppatem	Remission to the Village Moonsiff from the Grazing tax for 4 Bullocks at 3 annas each	1255	12	4
	Bauputtah Tannah Coochena poody	Sist fixed on Garden land of Chillies and Chayroot Cultivated by the Head inhabitants namely Nulloor Veerunnah & Colasamy China Veeruppah Salaboobaih V. Parentauloo. A Reddy; and Reda. Bauppiah at 4 Rupees per Coontah whereas the Sist on the Garden land Cultivated by other Ryots is at 5 Rupees per Coontah and the difference is.	1254	7	5 4
	Sinayembhottah Vamavarem	Pasture land free of Sist Continued to Yapoor Venkata Chelmiah for grazing his Cattle	1255	12	4
	Guntoor Tannah Ayatavarapaud	Remission allowed to P. Sarabhunnah and Bauppoolo according to Naumooloo is	1255	8	4
	Penauly Tannah Anuntavarem	Pasture land free of Sist Continued to Nauagendla Venkaiah and Ramaduramy the Head inhabitants	1252	6	4
	Condaneed Tannah Rapoody	Reyayet or Remission to the Head Inhabitants To Sipparedy Catereddy 4 — — — Chitta Bollareddy 6 — 10 — D° Kistna Reddy 4 — — — 14 — 10 —	1254	14	10 4
	Vamavarem Kendreka	Remission on Muctah Sist allowed to Dasary Sathoo as a Remuneration to discharge the Office of Head Inhabitants	1254	8	8 4
	Noodoorooband	Reyayet or Remission to the following head inhabitants Champala Bollareddy Son of Venkatareddy 3-14-6- T. Atchereddy 3-1-4	1254	6	15 0
	Ameenbada Rapelly Vintoo	Remission allowed to the following Head Inhabitants Condapauley Cotapapah 5 — — — Battoola Badavunnah 4 — — — D° Amarapapah 4 — — Total Bootcho Bauppiah 2 — — — 15 — —	1255	15	4
	Terunghee poorem Rapally Vintoo	Remission allowed to Yerreddy Pareddy and others the head inhabitants from their Muctah Sist is	1255	48	4
			Carried forward	124	2 10

13358.

Fort St. George 26th October 1840

		Brought forward		124	2 10
Nurdarowpett Tannah Appapoorum	Remission to Naidy Reddy the Village Moniaiff from the Muctah Sist is	1254	2	"	4
Innaconda Tannah Coppu Condah	Of the wet produce yielded from the Cultivation of Pundy Bungauroo he received his Share of produce without deductions on account of Tank marahs due to Sircaur whereas other Ryots receive it after deducting Tank marahs the difference arising therefrom is	1255	2	13	6
Banputtah Tannah Ganapanarem	The charge called Pootta kurch is levied at 2 Rupees per Candy from Anke=reddy Abbiah Goodooroy Venkiah Soobbiah Tanaka Cotappah and Govdoory Venkiah who are Head inhabitants whereas the same was levied at 2-4 Rupees per Candy from other Ryots the difference arising therefrom is	1254	0	12	10
	Total		135	13	4

Tank marahs paid from the Grofs Sale

3 Tank marahs payable to decendants of founders of Tanks &c.	Mauratore Tannah Copparapaud	To Mydavolo Kistniah Gollapoody Treveeramoodoo Davappah and other is	1255	65	10	6
	Mooktaswarem	To Mackkana Rungappah	1255	58	12	"
	Goontoopully	To Venkayala Sadaseemoodoo	1255	7	9	10
	Dautchapully Tannah Ganadooney paud	To Colloor Sashiah and others	1255	37	14	9
	Pittoottah	To Do. Do. Do.	1255	30	"	2
	Timmercotta Tannah Voboladoovery polem & hamlet under Dooggey	To Dandumrauze Nursingumand others	1255	54	12	2
	Gottepaullah	To Booyena prajada Nursiah	1255	21	3	8
	Daurevamalah	To Seeterauze Logiah and Mulliah	1255	4	15	11
	Munchecullo	To Seetaraumaswamy Pagada	1255			
		Carried forward		3324	2	

13359

Fort St. George 26th October 1840

	Brought forward			332	9 2
Condaveed Tannah Rayoolagnaddah	2 Toomes of Grain to Gattrauze Pureddy in the event of the land under maugaurey Tanks of the Village yielding within 5 Candies and 1 Candy if yielding more than 5 Candies - and lastly 1255 the produce Viled is 1-6-12 of which the paddy deducted is 2 Toomes in which is included an account of Sirkaur Share and Tank Marahs the sixt thereof is	1-6-5	1255	4	11 3
	Tank Marahs allowed to the same person on the Gross produce yielded is 2-5 25/32 or sixt thereof is	3-4-10			
	Totah	4-11-3			
Venkayalapaud	Tank Marahs paid to Cottapully auchartoo is		1255	26	7 4
Nursaroopett Tannah Paulapaud	Do to Bodapauty Baupunnah and Stree-gereranze Shembbheranze		1255	57	10 4
Moolakalore	Do to Nootuckkey Venkoo		1255	41	11 4
Coorapaud Tannah Bhoogoobundah	Do to Bhroogoobunda Pariah and others		1255	3	12 3
Munnasultanpolem	Do to Canderauze Govindaspah and others		1255	29	4 9
Moyaloottah	Do to Canderauze Bungaroo and others		1255	13	1 5
Punaconda Tannah Chintala Chervoo	Do to Bommagavooney Venkata Kistniah and others		1255	107	2 6
Gocanaconda	Do to Aukoola Venkatapatty & Seetaramoodoo		1255	65	8 2
Audoogalapaud	Do to Chullah Paulacondoo and others		1255	71	2 5
Culloor	Do to Battevey Soobbaraidoo		1255	42	6 6
Cuncherlah	Do to Toomauty Appiah and to certain Barbers		1255	12	11 4
Canoomurlapoody	Do to Bodapoody Venkatadry and others		1255	46	11 4
	Totah			856	1 11

Fort St. George 26th October 1840.

4	On account of Dasabundum Enamlands the produce yielded therein was enjoyed by the Enamdars without any deduction on account of Tank Marahs due to the Sirkar.	Maurtore Tannah Coondooroo	Dasabundum Enam lands enjoyed by Broogoobunda Rungammah				
			Coondoorty Nurseiah				
			Chittalapoody Ackkooloo		1255	125	2 9
			Yaloor Nurdoo				
			Munkana venkoo and others				
		Nurdarowpett Tannah Ganapavarem	Raculabundy venkiah Venkata Cristna = mah Cheemalamurry and Baseveareddy		1255	5	10 6
		Tendapey	Raidy Appiah		1255	3	12 10
		Coorapaud Tannah Doddlairoo	Murry Parentaulu Son in law of Vedla = gala Goorappah		1255	23	13 9
		Innacondah Tannah Cyenavole	Gilda Atchemmah Dronandoola				
			Saulunnah Madermettah Nurseiah and venkiah		1255	33	3 3
		Condramoottah	Pattrey Lutchmiah and Nurseiah		1255	7	12 8
		Cauroomurchey	Kelaur Venkiah and Venkatadry		1255	23	13 10
		Bhaurtapooram	Pottloor Bootchey Paupiah		1255	21	9 3
		Sholayapolem	Muddy Cara Treepooriah Cotapah and Ankaloo		1255	10	6 1
				Total		255	4 11
5	Payable to the descendants of founders of Topes.	Maurtore Tannah Mudderalah	Proposal received for the Tamerind Topes of the Village to the extent of C 2 T — M — of which the produce of the Topes belonging to Certain individuals is C 1 T 19 M 8/10 deduct payable to Mudderala Seetiah and others who are the owners of those Topes is		1255	4	12 6
		Tenauly Tannah Yeddlapully	Proposal received for the Tamarind Topes of the Village to the amount of Rds 16-4 deduct the Moiety payable to Balabhadrapat = rooney Venkataroidoo who is the owner of the Tope is		1255	8	2 4
		E Poor	Of Rupees 7-8 being the amount of proposal made for Woodapple, the Moiety payable to Commenaney Pedda Ramniah and others who are the owners of the Trees is		1252	3	12 4
				Carried forward		16	10 6

13361

Fort St. George 26th October 1840

		Brought forward at			16	10 6
Condaveed Tannah Yeddlapaud	Proposal for the Tamerind Topes of the Village is	c. f. 1 – 5 –				
	Deduct payable to Woommatala Rayennah Puntooloo as maumool	1 –	1255	10	4	
	The reason of paying the same is not known from the accounts					
Yaloor	As per propodal received for all Topes of the Village the Quantity of Tamarind is 11 Candies of which one half of Abbarauze Seetaroomoodoo and others deducted is		1255	47	5	6
Nurserowpett Tannah Yaloochoor	It is informed by Govindoo Chencheo Venkatarow Muddutgar of Lunaconda Tannah that out of the Tamarinds of the Topes of the Village one Candy has been usually given to Catekavaney Venkata Ramaniah and the remainder entered in the accounts but in Justy 1255 the whole produce is 3/4 Candy as per Estimate which was given off to the said Venkata Ramaniah		"	7	8	4
		Total		81	8	4

6 Payable to Pagodas	Puttlepaud Tannah Condapauloo	Amount deducted from the Muctah list of the Village for certain Ceremonies of the Davestanum at Banjuttah is	1255	4	13	0
	Yanamadalah	Quantity of Grain usually deducted for the Davestanum from the Sirkar share of the Mangauney produce is 1 Candy	1255	30	"	4
	Banjuttah Tannah Banjuttah	Amount usually deducted from the list of Garden and of Muctah lands for the following Davestanums				
		Bhauvanaurdana Swamey Pagoda	1255	24.6	3	3
		Ramaswaradswamy Pagoda	1255	56	3	4
		Quantity deducted from the Gross Kile of the Maungauney produce for the following Davestanums under the Head of Baudoo Mauniem is followed				
		Codunda Ramadswamy Pagoda at the Sea Share of Masulipatam c. f. 2 – 10 –	1255	93	"	10
		Gopaulswamy Pagoda at Humdaladeevey 2 – 10 –				
		Gopaulswamy Pagoda at Nellampatam 2 – " –				
		7 – " –				
		of this the Sirkar share of produce after deducting that of the Ryot is				
		Carried forward		430	4	7

Fort St. George 26th October 1840

			Brought forward		430	4 7
	Rapully Tannah Bata poody	Quantity of land and the dist thereof deducted from the Dowls for certain ceremonies of the Daves tanums		1255	98	7 9
			Totah		528	12 4
7 Payable to Water Pendals & Bramins	Banputtah Tannah Banputtah	Quantity of Grain deducted from the Rile of the Mangauney produce for the following persons under the Head of Bandoo maneyem is as follows Boddopully Kristnamah Lingiah Venkiah & the Family Priest Conducting all the Ceremonials of the families in the Village	C. T. M. 2 - - -			
		Water Pendal of Vadoolla pully	1 - - -			
		Cauze Annavoodhauloo	- - 10 - -			
		Water Pandal of M. Nauga Bhoodana Row	5 - - -	1255	130	0 7
		Yala Swaropoo Gunja Josooloo	- - 5 - 4			
		Dº Pauparoodhauloo	- - 5 - 4			
		Mancherla. Atchennah	- - 6 - 4			
		Yalaswaraipoo Soobbaraidoo	- - 10 - -			
		Of this the Sirkaur share of produce after deducting that of the Ryot is	C. T. M. 1 - 10 - 4			
8 Payable to Meerabdul Maunney & Peerzandahs of Nezampatam	Banputtah Tannah Banputtah	Quantity of Grain deducted from the Grofs Rile under the Head of Bandoo Mauneyem is	C. T. M. 33 - - -			
		Of this the Sirkaur share of produce is	17 - 4 - 4			
		Difference of share to the Ryot for the above 33 Cauries deducted from the Serkar share of produce at 6 Manicks per Candy	- - 16 6	1255	459	10 2
			18 - - 6			
9 Payable to Different People.	Condaveed Tannah Yeddlapaud	Quantity of Grain deducted from the Rile of the Mauganey produce for Woommattala Rayenah Puntoolo is	C. T. M. 1 - - -	1255	13	0 4
		Deduct Sirkaur Share is				
		Carried forward			13	0 4

13363

Fort St. George 26th October 1840

	Brought forward			13 0 4
Nurdarowpett Tannah Yaloochoor	Tank Marahs enjoyed by Catecananey Venkata Ramanah on the wet produce yielded in his Enam land is	1255	21 0 4	
Rapully Tannah Bataspoody	Remission to Curnums and Renters from the amount of Rupees 3801 being the Ezara Berize for fusly 1255 is	1255	96 4 6	
Palleyconah	Amount deducted from Rupees 951 being the Ezara berize for fusly 1255 for the Cloths of Renters and Curnums is	1255	14 4 4	
Allapurroo	Marahs to Vettey people on nuzzur Muctah List of Rs. 135-15-3 @ 1-50 per Rupee is	1254	1 1 4	
Bauputtah Tannah Bauputtah	Amount usually deducted from the List of Gardewand of Nuzzur Muctah is as follows			
	For the Water Rendah of Parem Sashoochela Naidoo	55-12-2		
	Dº of M. Nunjiah Bhoodanarow	55-12-2		
	Dº of Yadavully Venkiah	55-12-1		
	For the Duties called Polarummah and Yaka Veerummah	57-4-9		
	For Shroffs	26-4 1/2		
	For Vetty people	26-5 6/2		
		280-14-10		
		1255	280 14 10	
Nanepully	Muctah List of Vakavaullapolam Dcª hamlets under Nanepully besides Village Charges at Rs. a 3-2 per Cent	1400	43 12 4	
	Deduction made on account of Village Charges at the above rate is			
	Total		470 8 2	
	Total Land Revenue		3623 2 11	

13364 Fort St. George 26th October 1840.

10 On account of Extra Sources	Commission to Curnums on Moturpha Collection of 142 Villages at 10 Rupees per Cent		1255	983 " 2
	Do. to the Mohatads and Vettey people on the Moturpha List of Pata Mungalagherry		1255	21 " 4
	Mohatads	3-8-"		
	Vette people	17-8-"		
		21-"-"		
	Total			1004 " 2
	Grand Total			4627 3 1

Guntoor Collectors Cutcherry 16th October 1840

E. E. Fox / Signed / H. Stokes Collector.

(32-33)

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To

13365 Fort St. George 26th October 1840.

To
C. Cycrogle Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(34) Sir,

From the Collr of Guntoor

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th ultimo with the Cs. two therein mentioned.-

Guntoor Collrs Cutcherry 16th October 1840

H. Stokes Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.-
Read the following letter.

(34-35)

To
C. Cycrogle Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr of Kellore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board of Revenue's order dated the 21st ultimo with the Cs. enclosures relative to the distribution of Salt for Chittoor and in reply thereto I beg to state that the quantity 24,3100 Lbs required to retain that the ground can be supplied by the Salt Agent can be done by me in doing so done of the Salt in other for Arrack must be used and should there

(36.)

there be a demand for export on private trade the quantity so taken can be made up from that in Store for home and inland consumption.-

Nellore Collrs Cutcherry
2nd October 1840

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Proceedings thereon-

(37)

The Board approve of the Collector's suggestion that part of the Salt in Store for export to Calcutta on account of private trade be taken in to make up the quantity of 263100 Maunds required for exportation to Chittagong during the Year 1846/47.

Ordered also that the following address be Submitted in Consequence.-

(36-38)

To, The Chief Secretary to Government

To the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Sir,

Inforn. 2d Septr. 1840.

With reference to the order of Government dated 5th September 1846 No. 904 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will Submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Nellore from which it will be seen that the whole of the Salt required for

for exportation to Chittagong on account of the ensuing Season 1840/47 can be supplied from that District.-

Revd Bd office
Fort St. George
26th October 1840

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.-

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(39)

From the Collr of Nellore

Sir

In compliance with the requisition of the Chief Engineer under the instructions contained in the Minutes of Consultation dated the 23d July 1844 the Instruments belonging to the Nellore Survey were forwarded to that Officer. The charge incurred in their transmission to the Presidency as per annexed Memorandum to Rs. 5-6. has not been admitted by the Civil Auditor for want of the special Sanction of Government which I have the honor to request you will be pleased to obtain.-

Nellore Collr Cutcherry
5th October 1840

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Memo-

13368 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Memorandum -

Bengal Presidency.

1844 August - To Cartage for Conveying the Instruments &c. of the Survey Department from Nellore to Madras 5 - - -

October - Batta to the Dalayet who accompanied the instruments of the Nellore Survey to the Presidency from Aurumbauk to Madras & back again without the limits of the District - - - - - 6 - -

Rs. - - - - 5 - 6 - -

(Signed) L. F. Bishop
Collector

Resolution thereon - Resolved that the foregoing letter be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that Sanction be granted for the charges above reported. -

(39-40)

Read

13369 Fort St. George 26th October 1846. -

Read the following letter. -

To T. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

From the Coll.r of Bellary - }

(41)

With reference to the Rules for regulating leave of absence and Acting allowances to Public Officers in India not in the covenanted service of the East India Company, published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 28th ultimo at Page 820, I have the honor to observe that Rule XII appears to include Tahsildars &c. but as in the latter part of that Rule it is specified that "in respect to other Officers whose appointment and removal rest with their immediate Superiors or with the Heads of Departments, it will be optional with the Local Governments to delegate to such Heads of Offices and Departments power to act upon the Rules without special reference to higher Authority." I have the honor to request to be informed whether I am to act upon them -

Bellary - - - }
Coll.r's Office - - - }
16th September 1846 }

(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector

Ordered

13370 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government.

To the Chief Sec.y to Government.-

(41-42)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Bellary 16th September 1846 on the subject of the rules for regulating the leave of absence and acting allowances to public Officers not in the covenanted service of the East India Company published in the Fort St. George Gazette of the 20th August last. The Board beg to be informed whether these rules are to be understood to be applicable to native Officers of a Collector's District and Huzoor Establishment in the receipt of Monthly Salaries of 100 Rupees and upwards and in the event of this being the case they would suggest that with a view to obviate constant references to Government the powers referred to in the concluding part of Rule XII be delegated to all Collectors and Sub Collectors. The Board beg also that they may be invested with the same powers in regard to the uncovenanted Servants of their own Office.-

Revenue Board office
Fort St. George.-
26th October 1846.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter.

13371 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

To T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

(43)

From the Collector of Bellary.-

Submitting Copy of a Report from the Acting Sub Collector of Bellary on the Circumstances which led to the loss of Lives in the Anantapoor Tank together with a Map of the Tank & ca.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 11th June last, calling for a full report for submission to Government, of all the details connected with the loss of lives, in consequence of the sudden filling of the Anantapoor Tank, and under what Circumstances the Ryots were permitted to extend their Cultivation and construct their thrashing floor so far within the bed of the Tank as to expose themselves to such peril, I have the honor to forward a transcript of a Report from my acting Sub Collector Mr. Daniell with Enclosure, accompanied by a Map of the Tank & ca. which latter Document it is requested may be returned when no longer required.-

2 The details furnished by Mr. Daniell in his report now submitted, render comment unnecessary on my part. According to Mr. Daniell's shewing want of attention to orders which the Amildar of Anantapoor had received from him is evident.-

† Dada Row.-

With

3. With regard to the loss of Crops sustained and the distressed condition of the Families of the dead, and survivors I beg to recommend Mr. Daniell's proposal to return the Money already Collected on the produce destroyed Amounting to Rupees 127- 3- u. for the favorable Consideration and orders of the Government.-

Bellary District,
Coll.r's Office on,
Circuit at Gooty,
22d. September 1846.-

(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector.

No. 1.-

To A. Mellor Esqre.
Collector and Magistrate of
Bellary.

Sir

Para 1. In compliance with the request contained in your letter of the 17th. of June 1846 enclosing one from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue under date 11th. June, I have the honor to submit a detailed report of the late melancholy accident in the Anuntapoor Tank and to enclose a Plan of the Tank which may perhaps be of assistance in conveying a more correct idea of the manner in which the accident occurred.-

2. The lands within the Tank are included in the lands of three Villages

Villages namely One Share to Anuntapoor, one Share to Bookaroyasamoodrum and one Share to Woopparapully in which latter lands the melancholy Catastrophe happend.- These lands it has always been customary to Cultivate when the supply of Water has been so scanty as to admit of its being done and has been insufficient for an equivalent quantity of Cultivation under the Tank and although this Cultivation is carried on to its greatest extent in years of drought and scarcity it generally happens that there is a sufficient quantity of water in the Tank to prevent the Cultivation of any considerable quantity of land in the early part of the Season, and this was the cause of the Ryots gradually extending their Cultivation in the last fusly as the Water decreased and so long as the Season for sowing Seed continued; but it does not appear that this practice was the immediate cause of the accident or that the Cultivation of the lands inside the Tank with due precaution is attended with any danger beyond the occasional injury to crops by the Sudden flowing of Water into the Tank

in

in which cases remission is always made but unhappily on the occasion under reference the Tahsildar did not exercise due precaution and although doubtless such a calamity was unforeseen by him his disregard of two orders issued from my Cutcherry has I fear in a great measure led to this melancholy loss of life.-

3. The Cultivation of the lands apportioned to the Village of Woopparapully was commenced in the Month of January by 74 Persons in 95 Shares but after the 10th of February no seed was sown Between the 25th of April and the 7th of May about one fourth of the Crops having been ready to cut the threshing floor marked A in the Map was prepared and thus much of the produce having been heaped and divided the threshing floor (marked B) at a little distance from the former but still inside the Tank was then prepared On this threshing floor other produce having been heaped on the night of the 22d May in conformity with their usual practice, 70 Persons including the Head Sharer and a Circar Peon slept for the purpose of Watching the grain intending to

to divide it the next day and afterwards to make use of a threshing floor which they had prepared on the main land and beyond high water mark on the promontory marked C.-

4. From the Statement of the Survivors it appears that previous to 12 O'Clock very little rain had fallen but that it continued raining from that hour until morning and that when they were first alarmed they found the threshing floor surrounded by water that they then wished to leave the threshing floor and endeavoured to reach the high land marked I between the Nullah and the old course of the supplying River but that the Circar Peon by abuse and persuasion prevailed upon them to endeavour to secure the Grain against damage by covering the heaps with Straw and that whilst they were thus employed the water increased so rapidly the Grain was sunk and they were driven to seek safety by Climbing on the Top of the Shed which had been constructed on the threshing floor but that they were all speedily washed away by the force of the Waters.-

The

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

5. The Statement of Woop=
=parapully inhabitants corroborates
that of the Survivors who escaped from
the thrashing floor and it appears
from the Statement of the former
persons that at about 5 O'Clock in the
morning it was first discovered by
some Persons in their Village that
the Nullah Marked H to F which
empties itself into the Tank was
running with great force and that
water was also coming into the Village
Some Persons who had relations on
the thrashing floor proceeded to the
promontary Marked C for the purpose
of warning them, whilst others occupied
themselves by endeavoring to prevent
the Water coming into their Village but
when the persons who had proceeded
from the Village for the purpose of
warning those on the thrashing floor
and bringing them to the high land
reached the promontary they found
the Water coming into the Tank at the
point F sweeping round the promontary
C with such force that it was impossi=
=ble to communicate with the Persons on
the thrashing floor either by shouting

or

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

or otherwise and this Statement will be
better understood on observing the posi=
=tion of the thrashing floor in the plan
and the manner in which the inunda=
=tion took place.-

6. In the direction of E
to D in the map in the old course of
the Suppelying River at which latter
point it empties itself into the Tank
in the space denoted between the letters
G and E there is a slight bend in
the river and here the bank being low
and insecure a Dyke was formerly
constructed with a view of preventing
the destruction of the bank and keeping
the River in its old course but on the
night in question the River having come
down with great force and having for
a time been still more swollen by the
bursting of the Kumpapoor, Gonderedde=
=pully and Rapt and Tanks the Dyke
denoted in the space between G and E
was carried away and the country
marked with blue dotted lines inundated
the water taking a course in the direction
from G to head of the Naracalva and
from E to F at which latter point it

emptied

emptied itself into the Tank Sweeping round the point C with great force whilst the old course of the supplying River still swollen emptied itself into the tank at the point D surrounding the thrashing floor on the other side.-

7. The Tahsildar states that he first heard that water was coming into the Tank at about 5 O'Clock in the Morning in question, and that as the River was coming down very rapidly he went twice to the Tank before 6 O'Clock in the Morning to see if the bund was secure, and that about the latter hour when he was in the Cutcherry there was a rumour that some People had been washed away from the thrashing floor that he then again went to the Tank and found it quite full with both mara= =vas running and having heard that three People had been found near Sen Kerroyen Goottah hill he sent Peons and People round the Tank in different directions and went himself to the hill where he found one Boodna Naik who had escaped from the thrashing floor and that he then heard for the first time of the melancholy

Melancholy accident- at this place with the aid of a light raft and some expert swim= =mers the Tahsildar was enabled to assist three other Persons from the water, but with the exception of those Persons and 16 others who were washed on shore and some of whom received assistance from the Villagers and Persons sent in different directions by the Tahsildar, the remainder numbering in all 51 were drowned.-

8. In consequence of the = Nullah and River being down and the Country inun= =pully could not send information to the Tahsildar at Anuntapoor except by the more distant route of Bookaroysamoodram which was accordingly done but it does not appear that the messenger reached the Tahsildar previously to his hearing what had occurred from the survivor above alluded to, and it would seem that after he became aware of what had taken place he neglected no= means at his disposal to render assist= =ance to any who might be found in the Water.-

9- On enquiry I do not find that a similar accident has at any time occurred in this District and probably-

emptied itself into the Tank Sweeping round the point C with great force whilst the old course of the supplying River still swollen emptied itself into the tank at the point D Surrounding the thrashing floor on the other side.-

7. The Tahsildar states that he first heard that water was coming into the Tank at about 5 O'Clock in the Morning in question, and that as the River was coming down very rapidly he went twice to the Tank before 8 O'Clock in the Morning to see if the bund was secure, and that about the latter hour when he was in the Cutcherry there was a rumour that some People had been washed away from the thrashing floor that he then again went to the Tank and found it quite full with both mara= =vas running and having heard that three People had been found near Sen Kerrozen Goottah hill he sent Peons and People round the Tank in different directions and went himself to the hill where he found one Boodna Naik who had escaped from the thrashing floor and that he then heard for the first time of the= melancholy

Melancholy accident. at this place with the aid of a light raft and some expert swim= =mers the Tahsildar was enabled to assist three other Persons from the water, but with the exception of these Persons and 16 others who were washed on shore and some of whom received assistance from the Villagers and Persons sent in different directions by the Tahsildar, the remainder numbering in all 51 were drowned.-

8. In consequence of the= Nullah and River being down and the =pully could not send information to the Tahsildar at Anuntapoor except by the more distant route of Bookaroysamoodram which was accordingly done but it does not appear that the messenger reached the Tahsildar previously to his hearing what had occurred from the survivor above alluded to, and it would seem that after he became aware of what had taken place he neglected no= means at his disposal to render assist= =ance to any who might be found in the Water.-

9- On enquiry I do not find that a similar accident has at any time occurred in this District and probably-

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

probably the posibility of loss of life was not anticipated by the unfortunate Persons themselves or by the Talook authorities - nevertheless for the security of the Crops it was considered necessary that precautionary measures should be taken and the Sheristadar of my Cutcherry having brought this to my notice a few days after my arrival in this District, and Takeed was written to the Tahsildar on the 6th of February ordering him to have the Crops removed outside the Tank immediately they were cut and on the 21st of April another Takeed was written calling his attention to the former; had these orders been obeyed no thrashing floor would have been constructed inside the Tank.-

10.- The Tahsildar when called upon to explain why he neglected to carry out the orders he had received urges in his defence that he gave verbal orders to the Potail, Curnum and Peon to have the Crops removed outside the Tank as soon as they were cut and he likewise produces one written order which he says was sent to the Potail

and

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

and Curnum - The Potail endeavours to confirm the Tahsildars Statement and at the same time to cast the blame from himself by saying that he ordered the Cirear Peon and Head sharer to remove the produce to the place prepared for the 3d thrashing floor, but both of these Persons have been drowned - The written order alluded to is so expressed that even had it been written as stated by the Tahsildar at the time when the first thrashing floor was in use, and was not an after thought to screen himself; the Ryots in constructing the 2d thrashing floor were only acting inconformity with the wording of the order and the Curnum states that the 2d thrashing floor was constructed inconsequence of this order.-

11.- Supposing the Tahsildars statement to be correct there would rest upon him the Culpability of not having enforced the orders he had been called upon to issue but I am of opinion that he never issued any orders upon the subject, and I am the more confirmed in this opinion by the inconsistency of his

his statements, by the tenor of his orders previous to my having called upon him to explain why he had allowed the Crops to be heaped inside the Tank Contrary to orders, and by the statement of the surviving sharers who heard of no such orders, likewise had he en= =deavoured to carry out my orders and had been thwarted it was his duty to have informed the same to me and I regret at having cause to observe that the Tahsildar has manifested so much disregard of orders, inattention to the wishes of his superior, and want of energy in the discharge of his duties- I never if sue an order of any import= =ance without an apprehension of its being wholly disregarded or executed in an unsatisfactory manner.-

12. With the exception of the grain divided on the first thrash= =ing floor for which the Kist was Col= =lected and which it appears yielded no profit the remaining Crops were all destroyed and the Kist had likewise been collected for the Grain heaped on the

the Second thrashing floor and previous to its destruction had been sent to the Cutcherry.- The Village is necessarily so much impoverished by so great a loss of life and many families deprived of those on whom they depended for support and almost of the means of present subsistence by the loss of their Crops are in a very destitute condition. I therefore beg to recommend that the moneys Rs. as amounting to 127 - 3 - 0 which had been collected on the produce destroyed on the second thrashing floor may be returned to the sharers or their heirs. It is perhaps necessary for me here to explain that the enclosed account relating to this amount has been made out on double inconsequence of the Amildar not having measured the land which contrary to orders he delayed doing.-

13. In conclusion, I beg to mention that the report of the accident first reached me at Vindavoor when I immediately instituted an enquiry and that after the completion of the Immediately in the Codecondah and Penacondah

13384 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

Penacondah Talooks I proceeded to Wood=
=parapully: and instituted a further en=
enquiry and that subsequently to obtain=
=ing a Plan of the Tank I had occasion to
make a further reference to the Amildar
regarding some accounts, and that there=
=fore a longer period has elapsed in
forwarding this report than would
otherwise have been the case.-

Bellary Sub Collr. & Joint Magistrate's Office - - - 12th September 1846. } (Signed) L. D. Daniell
Acting Sub Collr. & Joint Magistrate.-

(True Copy)
(Signed) A. Mellor
Collector.

Here enter Plan-

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

Sir,

To the Chief Secretary to Government - - } Para 1. With reference to my
43-44 letter of the 11th June last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the infor=
=mation and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Bellary of the 22d ultimo enclosing

13385 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

enclosing a communication from his acting Sub Collector in which that Officer has furnished further explanation respecting the melancholy accident which occurred in the month of May last when 51 lives were lost in consequence of the sudden filling of the Anuntapoor Tank.-

The detailed account now
2.- given by Mr. Daniell supplies full particulars
in respect to this accident and to the
causes which led to it. No similar occur=
=rence seems to have before taken place in
the District and there appears to have been
nothing which could have led the Ryots to
anticipate such on the late occasion. At the
same time the neglect of the Tahsildar to pre=
=vent the construction of Threshing floors
in the bed of the Tank as twice ordered by the
Acting Sub Collector was highly culpable
and his general conduct seems so little s=
satisfactory that the Board will call the
attention of the Collector to the propriety of
removing him from his Office. It will
be seen that the Sub Collector has recommended
that the amount of Rist collected
(Rupees 127-3-4) on the Grain which was de=
=stroyed may be returned to the Owners or

to

13386 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

to their heirs. The Board concur with the Collector in supporting this proposal but they would beg to suggest that the relief to be afforded to those who have in any way suffered in consequence of the late catastrophe be not restricted to this sum but that the District Authorities may be authorized to grant such further assistance either in the shape of remissions of revenue or of advances as under the circumstances of the several parties may appear to be called for.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To

T. Pycroft Esquire.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.-
Fort St. George-

45

Sir,

From the Actg Collr of Cuddapah -

With reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 10th September 1846. I have the honor to forward a revised Statement of arrears due to a Yeomiadar residing at Doranala in the Doopaud Talook.-

Cuddapah District Collrs Office Camp Moghamullah - 23d September 1846 -

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collr

Statement

13388 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

Order thereon.- Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the Statement accompanying the above mentioned letter and submitted for the Sanction of Government.-

(45-46)

Read the following letter.-

To

J. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.-

(47)

From the Actg Coll.r of Chingleput.-

Sir,

An application has been made to me by Captain Power, Joint Magistrate of this District for a copy of the Regulations and acts in English and Tamil for the use of his Office, and as the list published by Government of the Officers to whom copies of the Regulations are to be supplied includes Sub Collectors and Joint Magistrates, and seems therefore applicable to the appointment which has been conferred on Captain Power, I have the honor to request that the Board will be pleased to furnish the Regulations required for his Office.-

†Fort St. George Gazette of 15th April 1835.- Page 232.-

Chingleput District.--
Coll.rs Cutcherry.----
Pullecarney 11th Sep.r 1846.

(Signed) E. Mallby
Acting Collector

Ordered.-

13389 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.-

To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

To the Chief Secy to Government.

(47-48)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the consideration and orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Chingleput dated 11th September, requesting that a copy of the Regulations and Acts in English and Tamil may be supplied for the use of the Joint Magistrate of his district.

It appears to be desirable that Captain Power should be furnished with a copy of the Regulations and Acts referred to, but the Board are not in possession to meet the requisition they therefore beg to forward the present application to Government, and should there be no spare Copies available in the Government Office they would suggest that Mr. Mallby be authorized to purchase a set for the use of the Joint Magistrate, at the expence of Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George---
26th October 1846---

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary
Revd

13390 Fort St. George 26th. October 1846.

Read the following letters.

From J. Tycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(49)

Sir,

From the Asst. Coll.r of Chinglepute. } Para. 1. I have the honor to inform the Board that in consequence of Mr. Seed again refusing to pay the assessment of his lands at Thenbegem and Perovalore, it has been necessary for me to attach a portion of it as in the past year and I request authority for proceeding to the sale if the arrear is not discharged within the days specified in the accompanying advertisement.

*Marked A

2. I beg to enclose *copy of a letter addressed to me by Mr. Seed in reply to my application for payment of the arrear due on his lands for the fusly just closed. It has appeared to me proper to forwards that letter for the information of the Board, though as it still remained my duty to execute the orders of Government directing the collection of the assessment which is due on that Gentlemans ground, as on the other lands of the district, I informed Mr. Seed that time would be allowed till the 13th. Sept.r last for the discharge of the arrear and that I should afterwards be obliged to take steps under the Regulations for the collection of the amount if it still remained unpaid.

Chinglepute Distt. Co.s Cutcherry
Pallecarney 3d. October 1846.

/ Signed / E. Mattby
Asst. Coll.r

B

To E. Mallby Esquire

Sir, Yours Yesterday

In consequence of your refusal to furnish me with evidence that the ground in my possession at Peralore was held under a lease or otherwise from the East India Company and liable to the payment of Quit Rent, and your seizing the Land and thus enforcing the payment, I have had the whole of the Correspondence in my possession copied and forwarded to a Solicitor in London for the purpose of bringing the same to the notice of the Court of Directors, and in the event of their not furnishing me with the evidence required or returning the sum you compelled me to pay last year, I have given instructions that an action be commenced in the Supreme Court for the recovery of the sum so paid under protest to prevent the sale of my property - and until this action is decided I trust you will not take further steps which will only compel me to commence another action against yourself or the East India Company as I may be advised.

Madras - - -
28th July 1846 } Signed E. M. Reed.

The

Resolution thereon. The Board authorize

(49-50)

the sale on the 30th November next of the lands entered in the advertisement which accompanies the foregoing letter and resolve that a Copy of the Advertisement be published in their Office.

Read

13394 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Sycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

51

Sir,

From the Asst Coll.r of North Arcot. } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Sen Box referred to in your letter of the 28th Ultimo.

North Arcot ---------
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry ---
Salvanaiken 1st October 1846. } Signed/ C. C. Lovell Acting Coll.r.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

51 to 52

Read

13395 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Sycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

53

Sir,

From the Collector of Salem } I have the honor to forward 2 Statements made out agreeably to the Forms which accompanied your letter of the 6th October 1845, the Statement A. shewing the Pagoda and Mosque allowances granted in lieu of resumed lands and Rivileges and corresponding with the entries in Column 10 of Statement 28th February 1842, and Statement B. shewing the pensions and Charitable allowances with the names of the present incumbents as required in Paras 3 and 5.

The amount of Rupees 4,375-12-1 adverted to in Para 4 of the above letter being the Pagoda, Mosque, and, other allowance granted for the celebration of certain religious rites, it was omitted in Mr Glegs Davastanum report dated 28th February 1842. The particulars of which are exhibited in the latter part of Statement A.

Salem Zillah Cutcherry, Collectors Cutcherry, 17th September 1846 } Signed/ W. C. Lockhart Collector.

Statement

13307

Port Essington 20 Oct 1840.

Paramutty.

1	Paramutty Coconuts delivered								
2	Ditto Oil manufactured								
3	Coconuts purchased by Resident at Salem								
4	Coir Rope delivered								
1	Bearing date 1st January	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
2	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
3	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
4	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
5	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
6	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
7	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
8	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
9	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
10	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
11	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
12	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
1	Bearing date 1st January	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
2	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
3	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
4	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
5	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
6	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
7	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
8	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
9	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
10	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
11	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
12	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	

Salem.

1	Bearing date 1st January	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
2	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
3	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
4	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
5	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
6	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
7	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
8	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
9	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
10	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
11	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	
12	Ditto ditto	1840	1st Feb	12	12	0	0	0	

Shenkerrydroog.

1	Cornelius Jacobus Esqr Collector of the Revenue								
2	Ensign Collum Vallis Lowrey								
3	Surgeon Wm Bolton								
4	Lieut Ely Bezaza Lowrey								
5	Ditto ditto								
6	Ellandummah Cattaddy of the Kell								
7	Veerabuddra ditto								
8	Ayyalett Veerayya ditto								
9	Ellandummah Veerayya ditto								
	Shanmugam ditto								
10	Vellapulley Vijayamma ditto								
11	Ditto Veerayya ditto								
1	Cornelius Jacobus Esqr Collector of the Revenue								
2	Ensign Collum Vallis Lowrey								
3	Surgeon Wm Bolton at the Kell								
4	Ely Bezaza ditto								
5	Ditto ditto								
6	Ellandummah Cattaddy of the Kell								
7	Veerabuddra ditto								
8	Ayyalett Veerayya ditto								
9	Ellandummah Veerayya ditto								
	Shanmugam ditto								
10	Vellapulley Vijayamma ditto								
11	Ditto Veerayya ditto								

13398 | Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Pangelcoor.

1	Kylasanadyswamy	1,284	4	8	1,340	4	55	15	4
2	Allaypulty Soobroymanyswamy	1,067	3	2	1,129	5	1	62	2
3	Singalanta poorum Terrooswaraswamy	429	1	9	508	7	2	79	5
4	Attance Buddracauleyummum	26	6	3	26	6	3		
		2,806	15	6	3,004	66	107	67	

1	Vizia Saganaraswamy	759	6	4	795	1	7	35	11
2	Vythentaswaraswamy	552	4	5	583	9	10	31	5
3	Sonenboonadaswamy	62	12		65	3	7	2	7
4	Talasenpulty Appadrnayaswamy	67	14	3	69	14	5	2	2
5	Mächäky Buddracauly	220	2	4	232	1	11	11	5
6	Tiroopulty Vencatramanaswamy	289	7	8	301	11	11	12	4
7	Tauramungalum Kylasanadaswamy	1,402	6		1,458	8	10	56	2
8	— Dº — Vurdarajaswamy	78	10	8	81	7	10	2	13
9	Buddracaly	54	15		26	6	6	1	2
10	Nungopully Lutcheenaradimmaswamy	752	4	4	783	4	9	31	7

Tondaman's.

11	— Dº — Somaswaraswamy	106	2	1	109		5	2	14
12	Pellore Mullacayoonahswamy	270	14	4	284	15	9	14	1
		4,617	3	5	4,791	7	4	203	13

Tricalingade.

1	Ardanarswaraswamy of the Hill	1,531	8	11	1,581	13	11	50	5
2	Kylasavadyswamy	1335	8	3	1,392	9	3	57	1
3	Cookaroyenpetta Vanoogopaulswamy	51	13	5	51	13	5		
4	Birmaswaraswamy	51	13	5	51	13	5		
5	Comaramungalum Brendaswaraswamy	296	12	2	311	7	2	14	11
6	Yalur Dasingoo Narainswamy	371	15	5	393	14	1	21	14
7	Punemummah Karapoosaur	117	7	7	117	7	7		
8	Mullasamoodrum Allagherry permaul	596	13	6	619	12	6	22	14
9	— Dº — Salaswaraswamy								
10	Paratypully Audecasaraswamy	118	15	9	119	7	5	3	5
11	Boonaswaraswamy								
12	Vyuppamally Soobramaneiswamy	268	9	4	281	6	8	12	13
13	Paulmadoo Vencutramanaswamy	270	12	10	282	12	5	11	15
14	— Ditto — Unnadevaraswamy								
		5,012	2	7	5,204	5	8	195	9

10	4	4	1
1	1	1	1
1	1	1	1
1	1	1

13399 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Dorumpoory

1	Darumpoory Paravasadayyasawmy	583	7	2	612	8	8	29	16	-	-
2	Do. Mullacayoonacsawmy	470	15	9	489	8	3	18	8	6	"
3	Cuddagatoor Solamaraswamy	19	15	11	20	5	8	-	5	9	"
4	Do. Damadaraswamy	18	9	1	18	14	9	"	5	8	"
5	Allya Darampoory Naracimma= =swamy	34	4	2	34	9	11	"	5	9	"
6	Do. Senniarayasawmy	34	4	5	34	10	1	"	5	8	"
7	Darampoory pett Padavaraj= =waraswamy	17	2	4	17	2	4	"	"	-	"
8	Do. Jungummuttum	17	1	11	17	1	11	"	-	"	"
9	Canchcurry Venayagasawmy	6	14	"	6	14	"	-	"	"	"
10	Adsmancottah Somasaswamy	99	3	6	169	1	10	69	14	4	"
11	Baygehully Sennacoyasawmy	12	1	4	12	15	11	"	4	7	"
12	Madamungalum Solaswara= =swamy	17	1	7	18	5	1	"	9	6	"
13	Allapoorum Naracsim= =maswamy	531	12	"	553	14	6	22	2	6	"
14	Muttacul Sennayraswamy	28	9	6	29	3	1	"	9	7	"
15	Annagrum Veerasuddoscasaw= =my in the Fort	25	11	8	26	1	5	"	5	9	"
16	Sutteeannapoorum Sutteeana= =dasawmy	31	6	11	32	2	4	"	11	5	"
17	Indon Vencatramanaswamy	198	13	6	185	5	8	6	8	2	"
18	Chellapandy Veerabuddoraswamy	152	8	2	158	13	10	6	5	8	"
19	Annagrum Killatterumbacawsawmy	58	13	8	61	19	2	4	1	"	"
20	Indon Somaswaraswamy	49	7	8	50	6	11	"	15	3	"
21	Yarapully Davanadasawmy	34	4	7	35	3	10	"	15	3	"
22	Autheeapandy Lutchoomanaswa= =raswamy	34	4	9	35	4	"	"	15	3	"
		2458	1	7	2619	11	9	161	10	2	"

13400

Daramposry Cont.d

23	Elaya Conchilay in rad. many						
24	Bunapuram Cadjanyaramy in the Fort						
25	— Do. — Moor Peons						
26	Pulicat Principal many						
27	— Do. — Comma to Cha many						
28	Pallytty Pandara Swamy						
29	Tare muppalam Chetty many						
30	— Do. — Cutchemen Sabbamy						
31	— Do. — Tope Ayah many						
32	Chinnacotta Ellumalay many						
33	Palumkoil Collumeyacarumy						
34	Pariahs Many Dead						

1 Tellamally Tentaterayumalay many
2 Kadakom Chetty Pellumaul

Tengreecottahs

3	Tengreecottah Calleyana Pellumay						
4	— Do. — Pariah da Wada many						
5	Chalecattah Pariah many						
6	Pullum Pandara Swamy						
7	— Do. — Pumaswara Swamy						
8	Patengatty Cutchemen Nadaswamy						
9	Cauvery Teonarayanay						
10	Chettyhorum Pattalayanadaswamy						
11	— Do. — Chinnayya Swamy						
12	Cunnenellore Pallolomenasawmy						
13	— Do. — Paramasivan Swamy						
14	Cinpeyettah Chinnayya Swamy						
15	Pellendy Tencatta Nadaswamy						
16	Chinnamuttaleswaram Annamalay						
17	Pariah Cutty Cannemahl Pallay						
18	Collorpemkaddy Tencanakkanadaswamy						

1	2,04,119	16,102	"	"	"	"	"
2	29,47	1,74	"	"	"	"	"
	58,93	60,35	2,42	"	"	"	"
	1,18	1,18	"	"	"	"	"
	5,28,80	7,55,43	1,25,883	"	"	"	"
	44,74	44,74	"	"	"	"	"
	1,71,64	1,71,765	61,12	"	"	"	"
	2,38,121	3,37,010	99,489	"	"	"	"
	2,28,112	2,38,837	10,274	"	"	"	"
	29,48	29,45	1,39	"	"	"	"
	98,453	1,37,146	38,693	"	"	"	"
	5,24	5,24	"	"	"	"	"
	1,14,84	1,35,40	1,14,80	"	"	"	"
	2,54,129	2,44,248	10,402	10,1	"	"	"
	35,91,111	2,37,64	"	"	"	"	"
	3,11,14	4,52,22	1,60	"	"	"	"

3	4,74,411	4,93,62	19,13	"	"	"	"
4	1,05,104	1,08,121	3,16	"	"	"	"
5	8,94	8,94	"	"	"	"	"
6	42,610	43,697	1,31	"	"	"	"
7	45,43	46,98	1,31	"	"	"	"
8	2,13,54	2,15,132	2,82	"	"	"	"
9	1,66,39	1,83,49	16,134	"	"	"	"
10	2,64,91	2,98,511	46,610	"	"	"	"
11	1,22,109	1,25,105	2,15,8	"	"	"	"
12	3,32,41	3,04,88	12,19	"	"	"	"
13	23,512	23,811	2,154	"	"	"	"
14	1,82	1,82	"	"	"	"	"
15	1,02,81	1,07,34	4,113	"	"	"	"
16	1,54,62	1,60,32	5,134	"	"	"	"
17	29,101	"	"	"	"	29,101	
18	29,104	29,104	"	"	"	"	"

4,83,12,11 3,56,13,1 1,65,11,11 29,109

13401

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Kistnagherry

1	Rennaswaramuttum	Rennaswarasawmy	268	7	1	276	81	11 97	3 87
2	Cavarypuknum	Perrasanavencatrasawmy	262	8	4	275	95	13 11	" " "
3	— Dº	Jellacuntasawmy	83	8	11	86	85	2 15 6	" " "
4	— Dº	Anjanyaswamy	44	7	"	45	109	1 39	" " "
5	Venagasawmy		14	3	7	14	115	" 7 10	" " "
6	Kistnagherry	Lutchoomenarrainsawmy	298	"	8	312	151	14 14 5	" " "
7	— Dº	Somaswarasawmy	143	6	11	146	64	2 15 7	" " "
8	Doullatabad	Narrainedakistnaswamy	421	1	10	437	1310	16 12 "	" " "
9	— Dº	Anjanayaswamy	44	7	"	45	108	1 3 8	" " "
10	Veynacawamy		17	12	4	18	8 "	" 1 18	" " "
11	Maurjathiah		17	1	1	17	1 1	" " " "	" " "
12	Baroor	Lutchoomenarrainswamy	144	4	9	151	5 "	7 " 3	" " "
13	— Dº	Somaswarasawmy	53	5	"	54	124	1 7 4	" " "
14	Coolicem	Lutcheenarrainsawmy	85	14	9	89	54	3 6 7	" " "
15	Veerabuddradroo	Jennoyaraswamy	145	7	7	153	21	7 10 6	" " "
16	Royacottah	Lutchoomenarrainswamy	172	22		181	89	9 6 7	" " "
17	— Dº	Somaswarasawmy	77	"	8	78	74	1 7 3	" " "
18	Punchalingaswamy		14	13	"	14	13 "	" " " "	" " "
19	Doorrasareshiaswarasawmy		14	13	"	14	13 "	" " " "	" " "
20	Maurjathiah		5	13	4	5	13 4	" " " "	" " "
21	Curmapully	Vencatarasawmy	81	10	8	84	15 9	3 5 1	" " "
			2413	5	8	2509	77	99 10 6	3 8 7

Trichinopoly

1	Gassandrajasawmy		210	10	11	214	27	3 7 8	" " "
2	Beermaswarasawmy		111	10	"	117	9 10	2 15 10	" " "
3	Lutchoomenarrainsawmy		21	"	"	21	5 7	" 5 7	" " "
4	Dirvajah	Anjanayaswamy	48	10	11	50	15 11	2 5 "	" " "
5	Pettah	Anjanayaswamy	35	"	5	35	" 5	" " "	" " "
6	Comarasawmy		36	2	2	36	2 2	" " "	" " "
7	Perrungarum	Boorroogoonadaswarasawmy	71	7	8	71	7 8	" " "	" " "
8	Nuttum	Jarahvarcaswarasawmy	71	7	8	72	1 8	" 13 "	" " "
9	Parroondapully	Kalashyaswarasawmy	162	2	10	168	4 7	6 1 9	" " "
10	Corutty	Vencubramanaswamy	362	"	11	466	7 2	104 6 3	" " "
11	Dº	Kylashyaswarasawmy							
12	Vaniembaddy	Allagapermal	478	13	1	491	14 5	13 1 4	" " "
13	Dº	Lutchoomenarrainsawmy							
14	Alteswarasawmy								
15	Sukovapoorum	Lutchoomenarrainsawmy	70	"	2	70	9 6	" 9 4	" " "
16	Amboorpett	Pennoomiah Jathree	13	15	9	"	" "	" " "	13 15 9
			1696	2	6	1816	4 6	134 1 9	13 15 9

13402 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Denkencottah.

1	Batavoysawmy										
2	Gavynarsimmasawmy	2,236	13	2	2,361	6	7	124	9	5	
3	Davacoyjaswarasawmy										
4	Mullaeanjoonadroog Mulla = joonarasawmy	312	24		333	15		21	12	8	
5	Muddoogondahully Vencatarasawmy	152	24		160	15	2	8	12	10	
6	Do Anjanyasawmy	73	4	7	75	15	3	2	10	8	
7	Do Arkaswarasawmy	108			113	11	8	5	11	8	
8	Anjetty Persunna Vencataramasawmy	81	11	5	86	11	7	5		2	
9	Ojaneculloo Mullegum Daraswara = sawmy	120	6	10	124	6	2	3	15	4	
10	Goomoolahpoorum Davagherry aswarasawmy	68	11	6	71	6	1	2	10	7	
11	Shree Narasimmasawmy	134	24		141	15	1	7	12	9	
12	Thully Vavoogopaulsawmy	304	11	4	321	4	10	16	9	6	
13	Gungahuiswaswarasawmy	72	6	10	75	8	3	3	1	5	
14	Denkencottah Ponamjathree	21	15		21	15					

Ofsoor

15	Do Garoodacumboo Deeparadanah	4	8	6	4	8	6				
		3691		2	3893	11	2	202	11		
1	Soodanadaswarasawmy	1400	24		1478	15	6	78	13	2	
2	Do Codundaramasawmy	467	9	2	497	2	1	29	8	11	
3	Moolbagulah Anjanayasawmy	17	23		17	24			1		
4	Chuppuddy Vencataramasawmy	142	13	8	149	10	10	6	13	2	
5	Ofsoor Maurry jathra	7	11		7	11					
		2035	6	5	2150	9	9	115	3	4	
	Total Company's Rupees	54253	7		57078	13	3	2910		4	84 10 1

* The particulars of this increase are as follows—
Daunstanum Subhundy allowance in arrears for the 2nd month of June of fusly 1251 disbursed in the present fusly 2265—2—5.
Daunstanum Puddetum allowance disbursed in excess in the present fusly having been recovered and credited to Daunstanum Cuppin in the following fusslies Viz—
1252 —— 96—3—6
1253. —— 355—13—10.
1254 —— 100—15—11.
1255 —— 7—2—7.

Total 2825—6—3.

Net Increase. 2825 6 3. but will be carried to the Ayen account in the present fusly.

13403 For Ft. St. George 26th. October 1846

Statement of Pagoda & Mosque allowances granted shewing the Amount annually payable and that paid in Fusly 1252 in the District of Salem.

	Attur.	1	Veyasapoojah	5	12	11	5	12	11	"	"	"
		2	Dalravanum or Cartigapavurnomy	21	1	9	21	1	9	"	"	"
		3	Rumjan Feast	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
		4	Yilhedge	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
				33	4	"	33	4	"	"	"	"
	Pavurnomy.	1	Veyasapoojah of the Religious Bramins	5	12	11	5	12	11	"	"	"
		2	Ditto at Saudamungalum	5	12	11	5	12	11	"	"	"
		3	Cartigapavurnomy or Dalravanum	21	1	9	21	1	9	"	"	"
		4	Ditto at Saudamungalum	21	1	9	21	1	9	"	"	"
	Namcul.	5	Rumjan Feast at Ditto	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
		6	Ditto at Namcul	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
		7	Yilhedge at Ditto	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
		8	Ditto at Saudamungalum	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
		9	Mohorum Feast at Namcul	8	7	1	8	7	1	"	"	"
		10	Ditto at Saudamungalum	13	3	2	13	3	2	"	"	"
				88	2	3	88	2	3	"	"	"
	Paramuttyi.	1	Veyasapoojah	5	12	11	5	12	11	"	"	"
		2	Rumjan Feast	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
		3	Yilhedge	3	2	8	3	2	8	"	"	"
		4	Mohrum	8	7	1	8	7	1	"	"	"
				20	9	4	20	9	4	"	"	"

13401. Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Salem.	1	Veyasapoojah of the Religious Bramins at Salem	5	12	11	5	12	11	"	"	"	"	"
	2	Cartigapaurnomy of Do at Do	21	1	9	21	1	9	"	"	"	"	"
	3	Mohorum at Do	12	10	8	12	10	8	"	"	"	"	"
	4	Tsa Fakeer at Harpoor	5	4	5	5	4	5	"	"	"	"	"
	5	Rumjan Feast	10	8	11	10	8	11	"	"	"	"	"
	6	Hilhedge	10	8	11	10	8	11	"	"	"	"	"
			65	15	7	65	15	7	"	"	"	"	"
Sen Kerrydroog.	1	Veyasapoojah of the Religious Bramins	26	9	3	26	9	3	"	"	"	"	"
	2	New Punchangum	4	13	5	4	13	5	"	"	"	"	"
	3	Rumjan Feast at Sen Kerry droog	73	13	3	73	13	3	"	"	"	"	"
	4	Do at Yeddapandy	4	11	9	4	11	9	"	"	"	"	"
	5	Lighting Lamp in the Durgah on the Hill	12	"	"	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
			122	2	8	122	2	8	"	"	"	"	"
Ruizepore	1	Roman Catholic Church at Kacavady	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Wynaad	1	Topoor Chuttrum	1750	"	"	2107	3	2	357	3	2	"	"
	2	Veyasapoojah of the Religious Bramins	7	14	"	7	14	"	"	"	"	"	"
	3	Rumjan Feast	9	13	6	9	13	6	"	"	"	"	"
			1767	11	6	2124	14	8	357	3	2	"	"
Trichen: goode	1	Rumjan Feast	4	14	9	4	14	9	"	"	"	"	"
Doraisamy	1	Veyasapoojah of the Religious Bramins	22	9	4	22	9	4	"	"	"	"	"
	2	Coopacurnum	2	14	8	2	14	8	"	"	"	"	"
	3	New Punchangum	5	"	4	5	"	4	"	"	"	"	"
	4	Rumjan and Hilhedge	1	15	9	1	15	9	"	"	"	"	"
	5	Buchreed	1	15	9	1	15	9	"	"	"	"	"
	6	Roman Catholic Church at Adamancottah	42	"	"	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
			76	7	10	76	7	10	"	"	"	"	"

The first list of July 1253 or from 12 July to 11 October 1843 having been disbursed in July 1252 has caused this increase.

13405 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Tengreco Estab.

1.	Veyasapoojah of the Religious Bramins	21	12	6	21	12	6	"	"	"	"	"	"
2.	Allowances for Ghaunty Sibbundy at Teertanally	277	10	8	256	13	7	"	"	"	20	13	1
3.	Rumjan Feast	7	14	7	7	14	7	"	"	"	"	"	"
4.	Buckreed	7	14	8	7	14	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
5	Musjeed	69	15	8	69	15	8	"	"	"	"	"	"
		385	4	1	364	7	"	"	"	"	20	13	1

Hindoo Estab.

1.	Veyasapoojah of the Religious Bramins	61	4	1	61	4	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
2.	New Punchangum	14	10	1	14	10	1	"	"	"	"	"	"
3.	Durgah	153	1	7	153	1	6	"	"	"	"	"	1
4.	Musjeed	77	15	5	90	1	6	12	2	1	"	"	"
5.	Roosoom	54	10	"	56	2	6	1	8	6	"	"	"
6.	Rumjan and Mohorum	21	1	9	21	1	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
7.	Buckreed	21	1	9	21	1	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
8.	Hoorse	21	14	"	21	14	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		425	10	8	439	5	2	13	10	7	"	"	1

The arrears of Fusly 1251 having been discharged in this Fusly he cannot this

1. Veyasapoojah of the Religious —

5 " May 1843. Errors. Excepted. Pr. (Signed) H. C. Lockhart
Collector

Statement.

are paid. 1253.

Nuncors.

				C	R	a	p	C	R	a	p	C	R	a	p	C	R	a	p
1	Noorummah	13th July 1793		10	6	10		13	"	5		2	9	7		"	"	"	
2	Emom Saib	— Di —		5	3	5		6	8	1		1	4	8		"	"	"	
3	Seerungah	— Di —		10	6	10		13	"	5		2	9	7		"	"	"	
		"		26	1	1		32	8	11		6	7	10		"	"	"	

Salem

1	Cadery	— Di —		10	12	3		13	7	3		2	11	"		"	"	"	
2	Soobbah Sastry	— Di —		10	12	4		13	7	3		2	11	1		"	"	"	
		"		21	8	7		26	14	8		5	6	1		"	"	"	

Cutchoomeramanajosey and

Darumpoory	1	Narsimmah Josey Grand Sons of the late Narsimmah Josey	8th June 1792	35	"	"	43	12	"	8	12
	2	Syed Meerah Brother of the late Syed Feizel	4th Dº	84	"	"	105	"	"	21	"
	3	Ashabe Step Mother of Syed abdul Russool and two other Relatives	13th July 1796	42	"	"	52	8	"	10	8
	4	Jamal shaw son of Jungle Shaw	—"—	42	"	"	52	8	"	10	8
			"	203	"	"	253	12	"	50	12
Hirtnagherry	1	Ameen shaw & allum shaw Daughters of Peer shaw	—"—	19	13	6	20	7	8	" 10	2
	2	Manabe Kuan heirs of Ratom shaw	—"—	39	7	"	49	4	9	9	13 9
			"	59	4	6	69	12	5	10	7 11
	1	Sashodeen & abdul Curreem heirs of Hydershaw	—"—	56	"	"	70	"	"	14	"
	2	Purroordeen son of Mahamed Hussain	—"—	63	"	"	78	12	"	15	12
Prejutore	3	Saraboobee Daughter of the late Ameen shaw	—"—	42	"	"	"	"	"	"	42
	4	Narsimmahsarry son of the late Vencanasarry	—"—	78	14	"	98	9	6	19	11 6
	5	Ayahtoocawn Kuan heirs of abdul Beg	—"—	126	8	11	158	3	1	31	10 2
	6	Fultashaw wife of Mahomud Durvish	1st Novr 1812	11	1	"	13	13	3	2	12 3
	7	Emom shaw Daughter of Hassain boobee	8th Feby 1796	42	"	"	52	8	"	10	8
	8	Russool Cawn son of Esuph Cawn	—"—	80	15	"	101	2	9	20	3 9
			"	500	6	11	573	"	7	114	9 8
			Total	810	5	1	956	"	7	187	11 6
Malla-pady	1	Syed Boodden and Syed Hussain heirs of Syed Modeen	"	60	"	"	75	"	"	15	"
			Grand Total	870	5	1	1,031	"	7	202	11 6
							Net Increase			160	11 6

* The fourth Kist of fasly 1251, or from 17th April to 11th July 1842 having been disbursed in July 1852. Has caused this net increase.

13407 Fort St. George 26th. October 1846.

Statement of Yomiahs allowances of a General Nature granted Shewing the amount annually payable and that paid in Fusly 1252 in the District of Salem

Documentary.

1	Meerjaffer son of Meer Karum and three heirs & three other dependants and relatives	1st. Augt. 1792	111	12 7	139	11 8	27	15 1	"	" "
2	Janojee Mother of Meer Kumunnaverhally	7th. June 1792	82	5 10	102	15 2	20	9 4	"	" "
3	Kisnajee Chund	Do.	68	14 5	86	1 10	17	3 5	"	" "
		"	263	" 10	328	12 8	65	11 10	"	" "
1	Syed Booram Emom Modeen and Sudderdeen heirs of Jamalshaw	30th. Decr. 1796	70	10 5	88	4 10	17	10 5	"	" "
	Total Company's Rupees		333	11 3	417	1 6	83	6 3	"	" "

Prepute store.

The fourth Kist of Fusly 1251 commencing 12th April & 11th July 1842 having been disbursed in Fusly 1252 has caused this Net increase.

1st May 1843. Errors Excepted. Wm (Signed) W. E. Lockhart Coll.

Ordered.

GBB.

13408 Fort St. George 26th. October 1846.

To the Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th. Octr. 1846 forwarding the Petition of Meerjaffer Son of Meer Karum and three heirs & three other dependants and relatives of Janojee Mother of Meer Kumunnaverhally for arrears of Cowle and Allowance and to request that you will cause the Petitioners and claimants to be called before you and examined touching the allegations contained in their Petitions.

2. Petition, 1378. 12-1 and Cowle 2 of Fusly 1252 are returned herewith.

I am Sir,
Your obedient Servant
(Signed) W. Sullivan
Secy. to the Board of Revenue.

53-54

To the Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th. Octr. 1846 forwarding the Petition of Meerjaffer Son of Meer Karum and three heirs & three other dependants and relatives of Janojee Mother of Meer Kumunnaverhally for arrears of Cowle and Allowance and to request that you will cause the Petitioners and claimants to be called before you and examined touching the allegations contained in their Petitions.

2. Petition, 1378. 12-1 and Cowle 2 of Fusly 1252 are returned herewith.

I am Sir,
Your obedient Servant
(Signed) W. Sullivan
Secy. to the Board of Revenue.

a gratuitous allowance on what conditions the grant was made and whether the Chuttrum is kept in repair and is available for Travellers.

2. Gland Sibbendy at Seertamally Rs. 277-10-8 per Annum.

4. The nature of the duties performed by these Sibbendies, the necessity for their employment and why the disbursement is included under the head of Religious Ceremonies should also be stated.

3. Jaheer at Hurpoor Rs. 5-4-5 per Annum.

5. You will also be good enough to mention the name of the present incumbent of the item in the Margin and explain whether the allowance is for services and if so the nature of the services should be stated, or if a mere charitable grant whether it may not be discontinued at the death of the present incumbent.

6. It should also be stated to whom and on what account these Rossoomas and Said. Full information on this subject should be given.

4th Talook Annum

Rossooms in Sripatoor Rupees 523-9-6 per

Rossooms in Histnaghery Talook Rupees 54-10 — per Annum

	Per Annum Rs. A. P.
Durghah on the Hill in Seukerydroog	12 " "
Musjeed in Sengreecottah Talook	69 15 8
Durghah in Histnaghery Talook	153 1 7
Musjeed in Ditto	77 15 5
Durghah at Varieore = = Hady	78 13 8
Musjeed at Ditto	535 10 8
Roman Catholic Church at Adamancottah	12 " "
Do at Samalapooram	12 " "
Do at Hocamady	12 " "

7. You will also be pleased to state whether services are regularly performed at the Durghas Musjeeds &c. for which allowances as noted in the Margin are granted and whether these notices are kept in repair.

8. In Columns 5 of Statement B submitted by you the duties of sanctions under which the Yearly allowances therein entered are paid are given. The Board request you will be so good as to furnish Copy of the documents to which they refer for the purposes of reference.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft Secretary

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

55

From the Collr of Salem.

Sir

With reference to the Boards

13411

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Endorsement on Petition No. 1372 of 1845 presented by Cauvery Ummal requiring me to report by Endorsement I have the honor to inform you that the Petitioner addressed two Petitions to the Board on the subject No. 1031 of 1844 and 22 of 1846 which were referred to me for enquiry and disposal they were accordingly sent with my Endorsement to the Tahsildar of the Taluk with directions to deliver them to the Party. Copy of my Endorsement is herewith enclosed.

Salem Zillah Office
Collr. Cutcherry
28th September 1846

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Petitions No. 1031 of 1844 & 22 of 1846.

After the demise of Veerarayayen the original Melavy Curnum of Annapolium Vellages the Melavy descended to his son Krishna Iyen but when this individual was charged with having concealed some cultivations in the above Villages and was sentenced to pay a fine and dismissed from office on proof thereof in July 1745 one Sabarayen the husband of the Petitioner was appointed as acting Curnum and conducted the duties until February of July 1752 in which Month he died. The relatives of the Petitioner submitted an Urzee claiming the above Curnumship when a Tahseed was issued on the 13th March 1843 to the Tahsildar directing him to enquire and report who were the persons entitled to the said Curnum Melavy. The Tahsildar on the 24th January 1844 submitted his report stating that the husband of the Petitioner was not Melavy Curnum and Veerarayen son of the dismissed Melavy Curnum was entitled to the above office but not the relatives of the Petitioner. Veerarayen was accordingly appointed by a Tahseed through the Tahsildar dated 6th February 1844 the Petitioner's husband was only acting for the dismissed Curnum for a short period.

(Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Collr.

Assor
18th July 1846.

Resolution thereon.

(55-56)

The subject of the Petition No. 1372 of 1846 having been inquired into and disposed of by the Collector according to the Endorsement passed by the Board on the previous Petitions from the same party No. 1031 of 1844 and 22 of 1846 and the orders given by that officer in the case appearing to be just and proper no further notice of Petition 1372 of 1845 seems called for.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition 1372 of 1845.

Petitioner is referred to the Collectors Endorsement on her Petitions No. 1031 of 1844 and 22 of 1845 dated 18th July last.

13412

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

(57)

From the Collr. of Salem

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and approval of the Board of Revenue a draft of an answer proposed to be filed in O. S. No. 12 of 1846 instituted against the Sub Collector and others in the Subordinate Court of Salem, a Translation of the plaint accompanies and request the necessary sanction may be obtained for defending the suit at the Government expence.

Salem Zillah
Assor
Collectors Cutcherry
28th September 1846

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector

No. 1.

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in O. S. No. 12 of 1846 of the file of the Subordinate Court by 2d Defendant T. N. Malthy Esqre Sub Collector of Salem.

The Plaintiff sues to recover a sum of Rs. 14-13-6 being the amount collected

13415 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

deficiency in their number to the notice of the Circar - nor is he now entitled to claim the annulling of an agreement which was entirely voluntary on his part - How the mistake originated if there was a mistake or how the number of Trees has been diminished it may not be possible now to ascertain - It is sufficient that the Plaintiff paid the assessment of his own free will and that as soon as he pointed out the deficiency in the number of Trees the assessment was proportionately reduced - The Plaintiff can now have no right to claim a refund - when he his own shewing the mistake was no sooner pointed out than it was corrected -

5 With reference to the point alluded to in the Plaint stating that 2nd Defendant has passed no order regarding the Complaint presented to him by the Plaintiff requesting him to refund the sum Collected from him on 5 Tamarind Trees which were found deficient and to remit the tax on them without Collecting from him hereafter - the 2nd Defendant acknowledges that the Plaintiff presented a Petition to him in July last detailing the aboresaid circumstances on which a reference was made to the Records from which it was found that the tax

13416 Fort St. George 26th October 1846 -

tax on these 5 Tamarind Trees was accepted at the time of Settlement and the request of the Plaintiff for the refund of the sum collected from him during the past years appearing inadmissible, no order was passed thereon -

Under these circumstances the 2nd Defendant prays that the suit may be dismissed with all Costs -

(Signed) F. A. Maltby
Sub Collr.

(True Copy)
(Signed) Wm Ewr Lockhart
Collr.

Translation of a Plaint preferred by Cangany Joseph of Errolapillay of Annangoor in the Talook of Sen Kerrydroog, by profession a Cultivator, against the defendants, Soobrien son of Valien the Curnum of the said Village, and F. A. Maltby Esqr. the Sub Collector of Salem -

This suit is brought to recover the sum of Rupees 10-13-6 being the Amount Collected from the Plaintiff as rent on 5 Tamarind trees for the past 10 years together with interest thereupon Rupees 9-7-7 making a total sum of Rupees 20-5-1 and also to recover the amount of interest upon the Original Amount until it shall be paid -

The

13417 Ft Ord St Geo 26th October 1846

The Plaintiff states that in the year
Immunadah 52 Tamarind trees Viz.
5 Trees situated in land No 9 called Chinnacallandoo
1 Do situated in Do No 66 called Pareynuapumeandoo
1 Do situated in Do No 70 called Vapeneandoo
1 Do situated in Do No 62 called Valuneandoo poonjah
1 Do situated in Do No 44 called Immundanalley
1 Do situated in Do No 106 called Soollaytaun
1 Do situated in Do No 102 Coolysauvoo Aulaundoo
2 Do situated in Do No 85 Sadyentaun
2 Do situated in Do No 78 Paully vountaun
5 Do situated in Do No 78 Andytaun
1 Do situated in Do No 52 Nonjauntaun
1 Do situated in Do No 10 Vellootaun
3 Do situated in Do No 66 Immuneytaun
1 Do situated in Do No 8 Paulaeandoo
1 Do situated in Do No 59 Cadamanpoonjah
1 Do situated in Do No 63 Coollaeandoo
2 Do situated in Do No 84 Paurapoonjah
2 Do situated in Do No 79 Cullumpoonjay
1 Do situated in Do No 73 Vyndalumpoonjay
1 Do situated in Do No 82 Coil Pulaypoonjay
2 Do situated in Do No 1 out of 63 Poonachypoonjay
1 Do situated in Do No 2 out of 63 —— Do ——
2 Do situated in Do No 99 Cadamalumpulliumpoonjay
2 Do situated in Do No 64 Soondrapurapoonjay
2 Do situated in Do No 69 Poolyempoonjay
52

Situated in the village of Chinna Ammoyan attached

13418 Ft St George 26th October 1846

attached to Ammoyan were rented out to him by the late Sub Collector at the rate of 2-4-9 per Tree and a puttah was passed to that effect in his name that from that time up to the year 1845 Cready the authorities collected from him the usual amount of tax and that on his examining the trees in the end of the year Cready he found there were 5 trees less than the original number said to have been situated in a land called Andytaun that on his reporting the circumstance to the Circar Authorities they entered the same in the Sarkar Book. He further states that he withheld the tax due on them for the year Vesovavanaon as none of the 5 trees were situated in the said land, that whilst there were no trees there. Defendant having falsely reported to the authorities that the trees were situated in the said land, they gave credit to his statement and issued a puttah to him including the said 5 trees also and accordingly the tax was collected, and that he gave a written complaint to the 2d Defendant requesting him to refund the tax levied on the said 5 trees for the past years and that in future no tax should be levied on them. Here requests have not met with any decision from the 2d defendant.

The cause of the Plaintiffs instituting a suit against the aforesaid defendants, arises from the illicit collection made from him by the Revenue Authorities

13419 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

authorities for the 5 Trees out of 62 mentioned in his pattah, which were not at all enjoyed by him and from the false report of the 1st Defendant which caused an excess Collection from him.

The Particulars of the Amount sued for are as follows.

The principal Sum for 10 years at the rate of 1-7-9 per annum is Rs. 11-13-6 the interest being Rupees 9-7-7. This Amount of interest is calculated excluding the years in which taxe was respectively collected from the Plaintiff.

1-7-9 Interest equal to the Principal Sum paid for the year Ivanmadah 1835.

1-7-9 Do. for 97 months from Audy of Velumby to Audy of Parabavah 1836 of the Sum paid for Yard Lumber 1837.

1-4-1 Do. for 85 Do. from Audy of Vicauny to Do. Do. of the Sum paid for Velumbee 1838.

1-1-3 Do. for 73 Do. from Audy of Sarvary to Do. Do. of the Sum paid for Vellany 1839.

0-14-5 Do. for Do. from Audy of Planah to Do. Do. of the Sum paid for Sarvary 1840.

0-11-7 Do. for 49 Do. from Audy of Sobacrotta to Do. Do. of the Sum paid for Planah 1841.

0-8-9 Do. for 37 Do. from Audy of Sobacrotta to Do. Do. of the Sum paid for Sobakrottoo 1842.

0-5-11 Do. for 25 Do. from Audy of Crody to Do. Do. of the Sum paid for Sobakrottoo 1843.

0-3-1 Do. for 13 Do. from Audy of Vessouvasoo to Do. Do. of the Sum paid for Crody 1844.

13420 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

The taxe of the said 5 trees due for the year Vessouvasoo not having as yet been paid by the Plaintiff and some remarks having been noted on the subject in the Taharar Book the amount of the said taxe is not added with the sum now sued for.

28th August 1846. (Signed) Anganapillay

(True Translation)

(Signed) F. N. Mattby Sub Collr.

Salem Subordinate Court.

Original Suit No. 12 of 1846.

Plaintiff — versus — Defendants

Anganapillay son of Eroolapillay of Annangoor. (Seal) Soobaeen son of Valien Annangoor.

2 F. N. Mattby Esqr. Sub Collr. of Salem.

To F. N. Mattby Esqr.

Whereas the Plaintiff has filed a suit in the above number against you and the other Defendant to recover Rupees 11-13-6 collected from him as rent on 5 Tamarind trees for the past 10 years together with interest thereon Rupees 9-7-7 total sum of Rupees 21-5-1 and also interest upon the original amount until it shall be paid. this is to require you in conformity with the Clause 1st Section II Regulation I A. D. 1823 to appear before the

13421 Fort St. Geo. 26th October 1846

the Court through the Government naked or otherwise within 8 days from the receipt hereof to give a written answer to the above plaint.

Given under my hand and the Seal of the Subordinate Court at Salem the 10th day of September A.D. 1846.

(Signed) Hen. Firere
Subordinate Judge

True Copy
(Signed) F. S. Matthy
Sub Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Government

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter 28th September 1846 from the Collector of Salem forwarding Copy of the plaint which has been filed

(57-58)

13422 Fort St. Geo. 26th October 1846

in the Subordinate Court of Salem in O.S. No. 12 of 1846 in which the Sub Collector of the District has been included as a Defendant with draft of the Answer which that officer proposes to put in and requesting that the defence of the action may be conducted at the public expence.

2 From these papers it will be seen that in his plaint the Plaintiff represents that from the year Nundana Fusly 1245 to Fusly 1254 being a period of ten years he has been charged with assessment on 52 Tamarind Trees, but that on his examining the Trees at the end of the year last named he found that there were five trees less than the number for which he had up to that time been charged. He applied to the Sub Collector for the refund of the amount over collected from him being inclusive of interest Rupees 21-5-1 and as that officer has declined to accede to his request he has been under the necessity of bringing the present action.

3 In his proposed answer the Sub Collector states that the assertion made by the Plaintiff in regard to the number of trees for which he has been assessed from Fusly 1245 to Fusly 1254 is

13423. Fort St George 26th October 1846

is incorrect - For the two first years of that decrease viz Fasties 1215 - 1216 Petitioner held no Pottah for any of those trees - in Fasly 1217 eight trees and in Fasly 1218 and the following Fasties until Fasly 1263 twenty eight trees only were entered in his Pottah and it was only in Fasly 1264 that a Pottah was issued to him for 52 Trees or the full number mentioned in his Plaint - In none of these years did the Plaintiff prefer a claim of any over charge but in January of Fasly 1265 an Examination of the Trees was made at his request and as it was then found that Five trees were wanting to make up the 52 which had been entered in his Pottah for the previous year the deduction of those trees was remitted in the demand for the Current Fasly - In regard to the preceding years the Sub Collector submits that it was the business of the Plaintiff to satisfy himself every year of the correctness of the charge made upon him and to bring any error which he might detect to the notice of the revenue authorities by whom it would also once have been rectified and that if in consequence of his neglecting to do this he has been subjected to any overpayment he is not now entitled to claim a refund of it -

4 The answer which the Sub Collector proposes to file appears to the Board to be good and sufficient and they therefore beg to recommend that it may be put in and that the action as regards the Sub Collector may be defended at the public Cost -

Revenue Board office
Fort St George -
25th October 1846 -

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

To

13424 Fort St George 26th October 1846

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(59)

Sir

From the Collr of South Arcot. -

Submitting a disputed claim of succession for certain Enam Lands situated in the Village of Carayaravettacooppum in the Cuddalore Talook and entered in the name of late C Vencatarow and requesting an early decision of Government on the right of the said Enam may be obtained. -

1 I have the honor to submit a disputed claim of succession for certain Enam Lands situated in the Village of Carayaravettacooppum in the Cuddalore Talook, and entered in the name of late C Vencatarow, for the consideration and decision of the Board of Revenue. -

2 On referring to the enclosures Nos 1 and 2 the Board will find the nature and extent of the Enam, with their value and the amount of Jeerwah to which they would be liable had they been Jeerwaput land as well as the circumstances by which the last incumbent Vencata Row came into their possession. -

3 This last incumbent having died on the 25th May last, without issue his nephew Rajah Row claims the renewal of the Enam in his name, as the

the next male heir of the undivided fa-
=mily of the deceased, while on the other
hand, the Widow of the deceased claims it
in the name of one Streenevasa Row, whom
she says She has adopted as her son, se-
=veral days after the death of her husband.
In the absence of any title deeds, I am
unable to ascertain the terms of the Grant
but as it appears to be of a charitable
nature similar to that recently ordered by
25th March 1846. Government to be resumed. I have consi-
=dered it unnecessary to enter into an in-
=vestigation for the purpose of ascertain-
=ing the validity, and the truth of the alleg-
=ed adoption by the Widow.-

†No 1 in No 2. It considerable portion
of the Enam land is now claimed by one
Bundel Vencatasoyloo Naick the Grand son
of B Conda Naick who was for many
years at Madras and who lately returned
to this place. He has filed a suit in
forma pauperis in the Civil Court of this
station against the late Vencatrow Rajah
Row and others for its recovery on the plea,
that it was illegally sold without his know-
=ledge and consent by his Uncle Rungappah
Naick. I am informed this suit has been
filed

filed without the express authority of Government,
but on an endorsement passed by Government
permitting him to prefer his claim for some-
other Cavil Enam lands belonging to his family,
and alluded to in the correspondence noted in
From Bd 26th May 1843 the Margin. Upon which misrepresentation, it was
to Do 16th June admitted, and rejected by the Judge as being cognizable in the Principal Sudr Ameen
Court in consequence of which the early decision
of the Government on the right of this Enam is
absolutely necessary before the party renews his
claim in the lower Court.-

5. As Government can exercise
their discretionary power in deciding cases of
this nature I see no ground to recommend the
continuance of the said land on Enam tenure.
I beg however to mention for the information of
the Board, that the last incumbent Vencata
†Item No 2 in No 2 Row purchased this as well as another Enam
at a high price and improved them at a con-
†Vide Govt Order 20th July 1846. =siderable cost and that the family are in the
enjoyment of a †Shrotriem Village.-

South street,
Collr Cutcherry,
Cuddalore,
25th August 1846.

(Signed) W. D. David
Collector

Extract

13427

Fort St George

26th October 1846

N:1 Extract from the List of Gardens in the value to the possessors in 1772 and submitted to Sr David with their report dated 30th June 1775.-

Division Carayaravitta corresponding with the yearly Government by the late Chief in Council at Fort

No of Gardens	Persons to whom said to be granted and to what purpose appropriated-	By whom said to be granted	No of years said to be granted	No of years said to be enjoyed by the present possessors	In what manner said to be obtained by the possessors	By whom now enjoyed-	Titles Deeds	Extent of the Lands	Yearly value in 1772-			
									Cawnies	Cottahs	Pagodas	Fanams	Cash
1	Mr Sloper								20	"	"	"	"
1	Mr Barlee		Unknown						5	3/4	"	"	"
1	Do:		Do:						38				
						By purchase	Condul Naick		4	41	140	"	"
						Do:	Do:		1	2 1/2	10	"	"
1				38				Total	6	18 1/2	150	"	"

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Statement

13428

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Statement shewing the extent of Dufter Enam No 2. land entered in the name of the late Vencata Row in the Village of Carayaravittacoopprum in the Talook of Cuddalore with re= marks of the Collector appended thereon submitted for the orders of the Board of Revenue.

No:	Items		amount of Jeerwah as per Pymash Account		Remarks
		Cowries annas	CRs a p	CRs a p	
1	Quantity of Dufter Enams Poon= jah land entered in the Enam accounts in the name of the late Vencata Row in virtue of purchase - - - - 16-7--		43 2 4		No 1. On refering to the Extract of Register No 1 it appears that the Enam Garden was ori= ginally entered in the name of Condaloo Naick, who purchased it from Mr Barlow after the death of Condaloo Naick, the Garden was entered in the Enam accounts in the name of his cousin Rungappah Naick from whom it was purchased by the late in= cumbent Vencata Row on the 5th June 1835 for Rs 3027-8-- and entered in his name under an order of the late Principal Collector Mr Dent dated 17th Augt 1837. Since the date of purchase it was under his enjoyment until his death which event took place on the 25th May last.
	Quantity of Q: Nunjah entered in Q: - - 5-6 1/4 --		103 12 4		
		21 13 1/4		146 14 8	
2	Quantity of Dufter Enams Oroonjah Garden entered in Q: - - - - - - - - - -	2 11 3/4		7 2 0	No 2. The Garden appears to have been originally entered in the name of Mr Sloper, which was purchased at public sale by one Veerebadrag raminey in the year 1829 and sold by him to the incumbent Vencata Row on 16th July 1837 for Rupees 500-- This land was also ordered by the late Principal Collector Mr Dent to be entered in the Enam Ac= =counts in the name of the new purchaser.
	Total - - 2 9			154 1 6	

The Jeerwah here exhibit= =ed is according to the Pymash accounts and Hookumnamah rules of the District. The whole of this property is known to yield a net sum of Rupees 350-- per Annum.

N.B. The difference in extent between this and the amount of land exhi= =bited in the Chief in Councellor's List No 1 is to be accounted for by the va= =riation in the mode of measurement a rod of 48 feet having at that time been used for which one of 24 feet has been substituted.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Ordered

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To, The Collector of
South Arcot

Sir

59-60

To the Coll.r of South Arcot.- Calling for further information respecting a disputed claim of succession to certain Enam land situated in the Village of Carayaravitteecoopum of the Cudalore Talook in his District.- In Cons.n 26th Octr 1846.

1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 25th August last submitting for their orders a disputed claim of succession to certain Enam lands situated in the Village of Carayaravitteecoopum of the Cudalore Talook of your District.-

2 The Board presume, tho' it is not distinctly so stated that the dispute has reference to both the Enams No.s 1 and 2 entered in Statement No.2 which accompanied your letter, and they request that you will explain in whose possession both these Enams now are and that you will call upon the respective claimants to state whether they have any documents in support of their titles and in elucidation of the grounds on which the enams were originally conferred. You will also state whether the Enams are entered in any of the Accounts of your District besides those of which you have already supplied Extracts

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Extracts and if so you will be pleased to send Extracts from these accounts also relative to the Enams.-

3 The Board further request that you will furnish them with a copy of the report of the Chief in Council of 30th June 1775, there being no transcript of this communication on their records- copies of the Lists of Enams appended to that report should also be furnished, but copies of the other accounts if voluminous need not be forwarded but will be called for hereafter if required.-

4 In reference to Para 4 of your letter the Board desire me to point out to you that if B Vencataroyloo Naicke should attempt to practice such a fraud as is there represented, it will be your duty at once to bring the deception to the notice of the Court and to move that his suit be dismissed.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George,
26th October 1846.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

Read the following letter

To. T. Tyeroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

61

Sir

From the Collr of South Arcot. - Reporting on Petition No 247 of 1846.

1 As the subject referred to in the Petition herewith returned, embraces a general question of some importance, involving deeply the interests both of Government and of the Cultivators in general, I have the honor to submit an explanation thereon for the consideration and orders of the Board as a better mode, than reporting on it by endorsement as therein required.-

2 The Petitioner Mootto Sawmy Pillay Brother of the Curnam of the Village of Pangalathore states in his Petition, that he has constructed a Tank or Thangal in the said Village at his own expense, and cultivated certain Poonjahs lands with wet crop, which have been charged with Kussur Teerwah since Fasly 1254: and prays that he may be exempted from praying Teerwah.

Para 22 recd from the Bd in Feby 1842.

3 Altho' in the Cookumnamah rules of the District, it is stated that

that "if any Ryot shall by means of new "Wells "or Tanks &c dug at his own expense, raise "Nunjah or Garden Cultivation upon Poonjah "land, such lands shall be charged with "Poonjah" Tarum Teerwah only, yet this exemption has been allowed only on private Wells but not on Tanks of which there are very few in this District.

Several persons in the District having made applications for permission to construct new Tanks at their own expense subject to the exemption of Kussur Teerwah on dry lands their request was invariably refused as tending to affect the Nunjah cultivation, and they were informed, at the same time that the necessary improvements and repairs will be made at the expense of Government, who would reserve, as heretofore, the right over the water for its fair distribution to all cultivators.-

5 The Petitioner states in his Petition that he constructed the new Tank at the expense of 300 Rupees; whereas he said before the Tahsildar in 1844 on a dispute between him and other Ryots for certain lands in the bed of the said Tank

Fort St George 26th October 1846

him to refund the amount of Cusur Tax levied from Petitioner in No 247 of 1846 and to abstain from any demand on that account for the future and requesting him to modify O. 22 of the district Hookumnamah and to confine the indulgence granted by it to such Wells and Tanks only as may be constructed after prior reference and leave obtained from him. In Cons 6th Octr 1846.

receipt of your letter of the 7th Ultimo, reporting on Petition No 247 of 1846.

2. From this the Board learns that the Petitioner Mootoosawmy Pillay, in or about Fusly 1248 constructed a Tank in his Village at his own expense and with its assistance raised Nunjah cultivation in his Poonjah land. He was not subjected to any additional demand until Fusly 1254 when he was charged with Nunjah Cusur, from which he now seeks exemption under Para 22 of the District Hookumnamah. This Para allows Ryots to irrigate their Poonjah land without any extra charge, from wells or Tanks which they may have constructed at their own cost. In practice however it seems that this exemption has been confined almost entirely to land watered from Wells there being very few private Tanks in the District.

3 In regard to the application made by the Petitioner, for remission of the Cusur imposed upon him,

you

Fort St George 26th October 1846.

you oppose this concession on the grounds that the construction of these private Tanks is objectionable, and that whatever expense the Petitioner may have incurred upon his Tank, has been more than repaid to him during the 6 years that he has had the free use of its water. The Board do not concur with you in this view. The Petitioner has built his Tank in conformity with a rule, which has for many years formed a part of the Hookumnamah of the District, and which is to be found in the Hookumnamah revised as lately as February 1842 and pending the determination of any general measure which may hereafter be resolved upon in respect to private Wells and Tanks in South Arcot, the Board are not willing that the advantages if held out by that rule should be limited in regard to works of irrigation already constructed tho' it may be necessary to modify its provisions for the future. Unless therefore Petitioner should have so constructed his Tank, as to intercept the supply of water to the Circar Tanks of

his

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

his own or other Villages (in which case you will again address the Board) you will be so good as to refund to him the amount of Cussur already levied, and abstain from any demand on that account for the future.

I have further to inform you that the Board agree with you in opinion that the prevelege given by Para 22 of the Hookumnamah is open to objection, and they therefore request that the Para may be modified, and that the indulgence granted by it be confined to such wells and Tanks only of those hereafter to be constructed as may be constructed after prior reference to, and leave obtained from, the Collector of the District, a restriction which will probably constitute a sufficient check.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
26th October 1846. } (Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 247 of 1846.

"Petitioner is referred to the Collector, to whom orders have been sent on the subject of his Petition."

Read

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Read the following Letter.

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

63

From the Collr of South Arcot

Reporting with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 18th May last on the subject of the Inoque at Tirumanalay

Sir.

Para 1. With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th May 1846, I have the honor to state, that in the Inoque at Tirumanalay, no particular ceremonies are performed except that of sweeping, keeping lights and calling out daily at stated hours the Musulmen of the place to attend and offer their prayers. The Inoque in question has no other endowments for its support.

2. On an enquiry made as to the truth of the alleged adoption it appears from the depositions of the Anachandars at Tanjore (forwarded by the Collector of that District) that it did take place at Tanjore, and in their presence 8 years ago as stated by Ananjon Beebee, and the Cauzees of Chellumbrum and Porto Novo have certified that such adoption is not only regular but in accordance with Mohomedan Law.

D.

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

his own or other Villages (in which case you will again address the Board) you will be so good as to refund to him the amount of Cussur already levied, and abstain from any demand on that account for the future.

I have further to inform you that the Board agree with you in opinion that the previlege given by Para 22 of the Hookumnamah is open to objection, and they therefore request that the Para may be modified, and that the indulgence granted by it be confined to such wells and Tanks only of those hereafter to be constructed as may be constructed after prior reference to, and leave obtained from, the Collector of the District, a restriction which will probably constitute a sufficient check.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George.
26th October 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 247 of 1846.

"Petitioner is referred to the Collector, to whom orders have been sent on the subject of his Petition."

Read

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Read the following letter.

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

63

From the Collr of South Arcot

Reporting with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 18th May last on the subject of the Inroguee at Tirunamalay

Sir.

Para 1. With reference to the Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 18th May 1846, I have the honor to state, that in the Inroguee at Tiruvannamalay, no particular ceremonies are performed except that of sweeping, keeping lights and calling out daily at stated hours the Mussulmen of the place to attend and offer their prayers. The Inroguee in question has no other endowments for its support.

2. On an enquiry made as to the truth of the alleged adoption it appears from the depositions of the Anachandars at Tanjore (forwarded by the Collector of that District) that it did take place at Tanjore and in their presence 6 years ago as stated by Oranzon Beebee; and the Cauzees of Chellumbrum and Porto Novo have certified that such adoption is not only regular but in accordance with Mohemedan Law.

I

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

3. I further beg to state, that Anauzen Beebee now requests that the renewal of the grant may be sanctioned in her name in the first instance, and after her demise it should be entered in the name of her son in law Sied Gouzalayen, but her claim having as already reported, been refused on account of her disqualifications owing to her sex, I am of opinion that the grant should be renewed at once in the name of her son in law.

South Arcot ---
Coulln Cutcherry ---
Cuddalore -----
7th September 1846.

/Signed/ W. D. Davis.
Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous communication on the subject be submitted with the following address.

63-64

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy to Govt

Sir

Subutting a correspondence with the Collector of South Arcot on the subject of a Mushroty Yeomiah in his District, and reporting his recommendation that the allowance be

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence which has passed

From Coll 14th April in Cons 18th May 1846.
Board's Proceedings 18th Dec
From Coll 7th September in Cons 26th Octo
2783.

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

be renewed in the name of Syed Gouza Jagine and urging that the widow of the last incumbent be permitted to draw the arrears amounting to Rupees 66-8-0.

passed between this Office and the Collector of South Arcot, on the subject of a Mushroty Yeomiah in his District.

2. From the Collector's letters it will be seen that this Yeomiah is of the annual value of Rupees 84-5-0 and appears to have been granted for the expenses of a Masgeed at Fronamalie. The Yeomiah is not supported by any sunnud from the Native Government, but its holder Salayemanshah was entered in the list of Yeomiahdars Dharmadars, and Saleyendars as they stood in Fusly 1210. or the year preceding the resumption of the Carnatic, which was obtained from the Dhurmadar of His Highness the Nawab and the Yeomiah was therefore continued to him under a Purwanah issued by the Collector of South Arcot Mr. Ravenshaw, on 24th September 1808. for the service of the Mosque which has no other endowment.

See Extract & Enclosure 1 in Coll's letter 14 April 1846.

Board to Govt 3 July 1805. 4-10. 1 to Board 10th Sept 28th Sept 1808 Paras 17-18

Enclosures 2. in Coll's Letter of 14th April 1846.

3. Salayemanshah holder of this Purwanah, died 29th June 1840, leaving no issue. His widow Anauzon Bebee, preferred a claim to the late Collector Mr. Hallett for the renewal of the Yeomiah in her name, but her application was rejected, as

as she was disqualified by sex to perform the services attached to the Mosque.

4. Mauzen Bebee has since applied to the present Collector representing that since the decease of her husband the last incumbent, she has caused the services for which the Yeomiah was granted to be kept up at her own expense and requesting that the Yeomiah may be renewed in the name of Syed Gouzalagem, husband of her adopted daughter for the support of the institution on enquiry the Collector has ascertained that the Statements of Mauzen Beebee both in regard to the performance of the duties of the Mosque and her adoption of the female whom Syed Gouzalagem has married are true, and he therefore recommends that the Yeomiah be continued in the name of the latter individual from the 12th January last, as also that half the arrears of the Yeomiah which have accumulated from 30th June 1840, the date of the last Yeomiahdar's death to 11th January 1840.

or

or that of the proposed renewal, amounting to Rupees 233-4-3 be disbursed to Mauzen Bebee.

5. The Yeomiah in question being a service Yeomiah, and the service being still rendered by the family of the last incumbent, the Board beg to support the recommendation of the Collector, that the allowance be renewed in the name of Syed Gouzalagem - In respect to the question of arrears as the widow of the last Yeomiahdar would seem to have fairly defrayed the necessary expences of the Mosque since his death, the Board see no reason why only half of the proceeds of the Yeomiah, since that time should be disallowed to her, and they would therefore suggest that she be permitted to draw the arrears in full, or Rupees 466-8-6.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
28th October 1840.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letters.

65.

From the Collr of South Arcot
dated 15th January 1842.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

With reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to obtain the sanction of Government for the Payment of the service Yeomiah allowances as specified in the accompanying Statement amounting to company's Rupees six hundred four and Pice six.

South Arcot Collr's Cutcherry
Cuddalore 15th September 1841.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector.

Statement.

13444 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Order thereon. Ordered that the
(65-66) necessary remarks of the Board be in-
serted in the proper column of the State-
ment accompanying the foregoing let-
ter and submitted for the sanction
of Government.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(67) Fort St. George

Sir.

Inform the Collr of South Arcot - 1. In reply to your letter of
the 10th Instant calling for certain infor-
mation regarding Petition No. 939 of the Current
year, I have the honor to report that the
Tahsildar of Tindivanum reports that
the land in question was in the possession
of the Petitioner for a period of 6 months,
from December 1845 to may 1846. It appears
by the Petitioner's own Statement that the
clearing of the land and preparing it for
cultivation cost him Rupees 7. or 8 that the
teerwah was Rupees 8 and that the pro-
duce was only of the value of Rupees 7 leav-
ing a loss to him of Rupees 8.

Affording certain information on the endorsement on Petition No. 939 of 1846.

She

13445 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

2. She Tahsildar however
reports that upon enquiry amongst
the neighbouring Ryots, he has as-
certained that the land not
having been waste for 6 years, the
expence of preparing it for cul-
tivation amounted to Rupees 3 only,
that the crop realized Rupees
17 — 8 — 0 leaving after deduct-
ing the above 3 Rupees and
the teerwah Rupees — 6 — 11 — 6
total — 9 — 11 — 6 a net profit of
Rupees 7 — 12 — 6.

3. She Petitioner therefore
does not appear to be entitled to
any remuneration for the loss of
the land improperly transferred
to him in consequence of the
mis-representation of the Village
servants.

13446 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Servants -

South Arcot Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore 25th September 1846. } Signed D. White
Sub Coll.r in Charge

Order thereon -

67-68 Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 939 of 1846 -

"From the explanation on the subject of this Petition furnished by the District authorities, it appears that the Petitioner was placed in possession of the land in consequence of a fraudulent representation on the part of the Village Officers - the fraud was subsequently discovered after Petitioner had been in occupation only 6 Months, and the land was restored to the Ryotwar Petitioner - he has sustained no loss, in as much as the value of the produce obtained by him from the land, more than covered the expence of cultivation and assessment - Under these circumstances the Board cannot interfere -"

To

Fort St. George 26th October 1846 -

To

J. Lycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sub Collectorate of South Parat Sir,

I have the honor to submit the Annual Report upon the Settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue of this District for the past Fusly 1206 together with the several Statements enumerated in the list of Packet -

2 The annexed tables exhibiting at one view the total amount derived from each source during the last as compared with that of the preceding Fusly shew a Nett increase of Rupees 68,694-13-a in favor of the year under review -

Table

13448 Fort St. George 26th October 184

Table.

No.	Items.	Berij of Fusly 1254.	Berij of Fusly 1255.	Increase &
		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
1	Salt Revenue	258,672 9 9	265,322 14 3	6,650 4 6
2	Sayer Da	48,099 10 6	94,977 7 10	46,877 13 4
3	Sea Customs Da	35,689 10 9	51,616 13 3	15,927 2 6
4	Abkarry Da	73,000 a a	75,100 a a	2,100 a a
5	Sundry Small Farms & Licences	5,022 a 2	4,832 1 2	a a a
6	Moturpha Revenue	39,469 9 3	41,531 2 10	2,061 9 7
7	Stamp Da	11,866 4 6	5,794 15 a	a a a 6
	Total	47,818 12 11	539,175 6 4	73,616 13 11 6
8	Extra Items	1,588 9 9	3,126 13 4	1,538 3 7
	Grand Total	473,407 6 8	614,302 3 8	75,155 1 6 6
	Deduct Decrease			6,260 4 6
	Net Increase			

13449 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

3. The following appear to be the principal causes of the variations observable in the above Table.—

Salt Revenue 4 The increase in the Salt Revenue for Fusly 1254 over that realized in Fusly 1253 amounted to Rupees 71,569-8-2 whereas the increase in the Fusly under report only exceeds that of Fusly 1254 by Rupees 6,650-4-6. The variations in the sales for home consumption in the supply to the French Government, and in the Export by Sea as well as into the neighbouring Districts do not call for explanation. The greater part of the above increase is attributable to the export of Salt by Sea which yielded a Revenue of Rupees 4,137- nor Export at all having been made in the preceding Fusly.—

The

Fusly 1255 - 2,49,126 - 2,44,322-14-3
" 1254 - 2,39,336 - 2,18,672-9-9
Increase 14,790 - 6,650-4-6

	Fusly 1255	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease
For Local Consumption	2,17,418	212,533	3,855	a
Home Da	40,475	41,368	a	893
Total	2,57,893	254,981	2,992	a
Export by Sea	4,137	a	4,137	a
French Government	3,292	3,771	a	479
Total	2,65,322	258,672	6,650	a

13448 Fort St. George 26th October 184

Table.

No.	Items.	Bariz of Fusly 1254.	Bariz of Fusly 1255.	Increase in 1255.
		C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p	C.Rs. a p
1	Salt Revenue	258,672 9 9	265,322 14 3	6,650 4 6
2	Sayer Da	48,099 10 6	94,977 7 10	46,877 13 4
3	Sea Customs Da	35,689 10 9	51,616 13 3	15,927 2 6
4	Abkarry Da	73,000 a a	75,100 a a	2,100 a a
5	Sundry Small Farms & Licences	5022 a 2	4,832 12 a	a a
6	Moturpha Revenue	39,469 9 3	41,531 2 10	2,061 9 7
7	Stamp Da	11,865 4 6	5,794 15 a	a a 6,070 4 6
	Total	471,818 12 11	539,175 6 4	73,616 13 11 6,070 4 6
8	Extra Items	1,588 9 9	3,126 13 4	1,538 3 7
	Grand Total	473,407 6 8	642,302 3 8	75,155 1 6 6,070 4 6
	Deduct Decrease			6,070 4 6
	Net Increase			

13449 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

3. The following appear to be the principal causes of the variations observable in the above Table.—

Salt Revenue 4. The increase in the Salt Revenue for Fusly 1254 over that realized in Fusly 1253 amounted to Rupees 71,569-8-2 whereas the increase in the Fusly under report only exceeds that of Fusly 1254 by Rupees 6,650-4-6. The variations in the Sales for home consumption in the supply to the French Government, and in the Export by Sea as well as into the neighbouring Districts do not call for explanation. The greater part of the above increase is attributable to the export of Salt by Sea which yielded a Revenue of Rupees 4,137- no Export at all having been made in the preceding Fusly.—

	Fusly 1255	Fusly 1254	Increase	Decrease
For Land Consumption	217,418	212,533	3,855	a
Home Da	40,475	41,368	a	893
Total	257,893	254,981	2,992	a
Export by Sea	4,137	a	4,137	a
French Government	3,292	3,771	a	479
Total	265,322	258,672	6,650	a

Fusly 1255 — Rs. 265,322-14-3
1254 — 258,672-9-9
Increase 1-1-1591- 6,650-4-6

The

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

Frontier Customs

Fasly 1255-24977-7-10
1254-48099-10-6
Increase 46877-13-4

5 The net increase in the Frontier Customs Revenue amounting to Rupees 46877-13-4 is derived from the increase in the Export to Foreign European Ports of Blue Cloth chiefly at Pondicherry. This is to be attributed to a supposed increase in the demand in Europe and cannot therefore be considered of a permanent nature. The above increase would have been much greater but for the practice which has recently obtained of evading the frontier duty by the conveyance of Goods by Sea to Pondicherry.-

Sea Customs

Export Import Salt

Fasly 1255	42149 12 4	9409	1 3 0 5 0 13 3
1254	24099 9 9	1380	3 2 3 5 9 11 9
Increase and Decrease	17810 2 7		1 5 2 2 6

6. A considerable portion of the increase in the Export Customs has been occasioned by the Export from Cuddalore and Pondicherry of piece Goods and Indigo to London and other Foreign market in consequence of a supposed increased demand in Europe. The resort of English Vessels to Cuddalore since the Establishment of Sugar Factories has also tended to encourage direct exportation.-

7. A Statement exhibiting the quantity

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

of Sugar and Rum exported from the Cuddalore Factories is herewith forwarded.-

Ab Karry

Fasly 1255-75100-a-a
1254-73000-a-a
Increase 2,100-a-a

8. The particulars of the renting of the Ab Karry were explained in the Collector's letter to the Board dated 5th July 1845.- This increase therefore call for no notice on my part further than that the renter in this Fasly is the same individual who has for the 2 preceding Fasslies rented the Farm and that at the time of the sale no competitors appeared.-

Sundry Small farms

Fasly 1254-5022-a-2
1255-4832-1-2
Decrease 189-15-a

9. The decrease in the Revenue under the head of Sundry Small Farms is trifling and calls for no particular notice. There was a considerable decrease in the renting of Jungle produce amounting to Rupees 865 in consequence of the absence of competitors for the rent.-

Motirpha

Fasly 1256-41531-2-10
1254-39469-9-3
Increase 2,061-9-7

10 The chief increase in the Motirpha Revenue is owing to the Establishment of more Looms than formerly for the Manufacture of Cloth for exportation

13452 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.—

exportation to Foreign Markets in consequence of the additional demand for this article.—

Stamps
Fasly 1254-11865-4-6
1255-5794-15-a
Decrease 6070-5-6

11 The sale of Stamp Paper has fallen off very considerably in consequence of the discontinuance of stamp Rowannahs in the Custom's Department, but es more particularly owing to the restrictions of Government prohibiting the filing of suits for small amounts in other than the lower Courts. The total decrease is Rs. 6070-5-6 consisting of Rupees 5,545-4-a in Stamp Papers and 497 in Rowannahs.—

Extra Items
Fasly 1255-3126-13-6
1254-1588-9-9
Increase 1538-3-7

12 The increase in the Extra Items of Revenue is explained in the remarks appended to the account particular thereof in Statement A.—

Statement A
Fasly 1255-542302-3-8
1254-473407-6-8
Increase 68894-13-a

13 The total settlement of the Extra Sources of Revenue as above, detailed exhibits an increase as compared with the preceding Fasly of Rupees 68894-13-a and it is satisfactory to be able to state that the whole with exception of Rupees 43-3-4 on account of Moturpha has been up to this date realized.—

Demand ---------- 542,302-3-8
Collection within the Fasly — 538,428-4-3
Since the close of Fasly — 3830-12-1
542259-a-4
43-3-4

14. It will be seen by the Statement

B

13453 Fort St. George 26th October 184

B that the old outstanding arrears under head amount to Rupees 89-12-4 a port of which is under recovery—A special re will be submitted for writing off the ir =verable Balance.—

15. In conclusion I have the honor forward printed Statements in Triplica shewing the Gross Collections Charges an Nel Revenue of each branch in Comp with those of the two preceding Fasly Y explanatory remarks on the increase decrease therein exhibited.—

South Arcot —
Collectors Cutcherry
Cuddalore
16th October 1846.

(Signed) D. White
Sub Collector
in Cha

Statemen

ABKARY

Gross Collections .....
Charges .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....

SUNDRY SMALL FARMS AND LICENSES.

Gross Collections .....
Charges .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)

Gross Collections .....
Charges .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....

GRAND TOTAL.

Gross Collections .....
Charges .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....

GRAND TOTAL.

Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco

VISSABUDDEE AND MOHTURPHA.

SALT.

Gross Collections .....
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture .....
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c. .....
Total Charges .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....

TOBACCO MONOPOLY.

Gross Collections .....
Charges for Purchase .....
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c. .....
Total Charges .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....

LAND CUSTOMS

Gross Collections .....
Charges { " Commission of Collection .....
" Drawback .....
Total .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....

SEA CUSTOMS

Gross Collections .....
Charges { " Commission of Collection .....
" Drawback .....
Total .....
Net Revenue .....
Per Centage of the Charges .....

| | | |

13456.

Fort St. George

No. 1 in A.

26th October 1846.

No. 3. Statement Shewing the particulars of Revenue in Fusslies 1254 of Extra Items included in the Extra Branches and 1255 in the Southern Division of Arcot.—

Number.	Items.	Fusly 1254.	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease.	Remarks.
		CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	CRs. a p	
1	Advance made for the Manufacture of Salt	487 12 "	842 " "	354 4 "	" " "	This increase has arisen from the amount of Advance for the year under Review being larger.—
2.	Refund of Advances made for purchasing Palmira leaves for Covering the Salt heaps	8 7 "	32 " 9	23 9 9	" " "	This Item being of a fluctuating nature calls for no remarks.—
3.	Amount of Salaries of the Establishment Refunded	1 15 2	47 12 10	45 13 8	" " "	Dr. ——— Dr. ———
4.	Sale of Smuggled Goods	511 15 11	1,618 10 10	1,106 10 11	" " "	This increase is owing to the large quantity of Smuggled goods sold in Fusly 1255.
5.	Interest recovered on the amount of balance due by the Renters of Abkarry and Small farms	57 3 8	2 9 2	" " "	54 10 6	This is of a fluctuating nature.—
6.	Penalties levied on unstamped Deeds	521 4 "	583 11 9	62 7 9	" " "	Dr. ——— Dr. ———
	Total Cs. Rupees.	1,588 9 9	3,126 13 4	1,592 4 1	54 10 6	

E. E.

(Signed) D. White
Sub Collr. in Charge
Statement

13459

Fort St. George

C.

No. 3. Statement Shewing the Quantity of

Fusly 1254.

Serial No.	Name of the Cotaur or place of Manufacture	Quantity Manufactured.					Quantity Sold.					
		Garce	M	M	Maund	Seers	Tolas	G.	M	M	Maund	Seers	Tolas
1	Mur Kanam	2068	227	"	21,8228	4	"	92	300	"	11130	"	"
								1408	70	2½	168981	3	60
								232	372	"	27951	24	"
								1733	342	2½	208062	27	60
2	Teagavully	115	365	"	13909	20	"	4	395	"	598	20	"
								237	51	1	28455	13	40
								242	46	1	29053	33	40
3	Killay	178	199	"	21419	28	"	9	46	2	1093	35	"
								151	228	"	18188	16	"
								160	274	2	19282	11	"
4	Cuddalore	195	34	"	23410	8	"	3	35	"	370	20	"
								162	158	1½	19487	18	20
								47	281	"	5724	12	"
								213	74	1½	25582	10	20
	Total	2558	25	"	306967	20	"	2349	336	½	281981	2	40

26th C

Salt lore.—

Ra

f

Ga

CR.

180

120

14

"

180

120

"

180

120

"

180

120

8

" "

Net Increase

" " 650-4-6.

nt

1 Shewing the Quantity of Salt

Salem

	Salt						Amount.		
	Garce	Measls	M	Maunds	Seers	Tolas	CRs.	a	P
	800	270	"	96081	"	"	99,4201	2	"
	21	30	"	2529	"	"	2591	4	"
	4	390	"	594	"	"	702	"	"
Total	826	290	"	99207	"	"	102774	"	"
	6	280	"	804	"	"	804	"	"
Salt lore	833	170	"	100011	"	"	103578	"	"
	760	115	"	91234	20	"	91234	8	"
	45	55	"	5416	20	"	5416	8	"
	6	266	"	799	32	"	799	12	10
Total	812	36	"	97450	32	"	97450	12	10
	5	135	"	640	20	"	640	8	"
Net Salt lore	817	171	"	98091	12	"	98091	4	10

Fort St. George

D.

Exported to each of the neighbouring Districts from 26th October 1846.

the Salt Pans in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.—

Coimbatore.								Chittoor.							
Salt.						Amount.		Salt.						Amount.	
Garce.	Measrs.	m.	Measrs.	S.	T.	CRs.	a.	p.	Garce.	Measrs.	m.	Measrs.	S.	T.	CRs.	a.	p.
468	250	"	56,235	"	"	57,801	"	"	5	345	"	703	20	"	712	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
468	250	"	56,235	"	"	57,801	"	"	5	345	"	703	20	"	712	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
468	250	"	56,235	"	"	57,801	"	"	5	345	"	703	20	"	712	8	"
484	160	"	58,128	"	"	58,128	"	"	4	100	"	510	"	"	510	"	"
"	105	"	31	20	"	31	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
484	265	"	58,159	20	"	58,159	8	"	4	100	"	510	"	"	510	"	"
1	175	"	172	20	"	172	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
486	40	"	58,332	"	"	58,332	"	"	4	100	"	510	"	"	510	"	"

Tanjore.								Trichinopoly.							
Salt.						Amount.		Salt.						Amount.	
Garce.	Measrs.	m.	Measrs.	S.	T.	CRs.	a.	p.	Garce.	Measrs.	m.	Measrs.	S.	T.	CRs.	a.	p.
"	205	"	61	20	"	61	8	"	19	165	"	2,329	20	"	2,478	12	"
"	70	"	21	"	"	21	"	"	8	255	"	10,036	20	"	10,172	4	"
"	200	"	60	"	"	60	"	"	113	370	2	13,641	3	"	14,011	12	5
1	75	"	142	20	"	142	8	"	216	390	2	26,037	3	"	26,662	12	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	120	"	876	"	"	876	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	75	"	142	20	"	142	8	"	224	110	2	26,913	3	"	27,538	12	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	12	110	"	1,473	"	"	1,473	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	58	230	"	7029	"	"	7,029	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	152	301	"	18,336	12	"	18,330	4	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	223	241	"	26,832	12	"	26,832	4	11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	290	"	447	"	"	447	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	227	131	"	27,279	12	"	27,279	4	11

13462 Fort St George

No 7 Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt sold in Fusly 1254

No	Names of the Villages in which the Pans are situated	Salt					Amount			
		£	M	M and	£	C° a P				
1	Murkanum	1733	342	2½	208862	29	60	18	90	64 54
2	Tagavelly	242	46	1	29053	33	40	2	90	35 3 5
3	Killay	160	274	2	19282	11	40	1	92	93 5
4	Cuddalore	218	74	1½	25582	10	20	2	04	25 5 7
	Total	2349	336	7	281981	2	40	25	86	72 9 9

Particulars

Inland Consumption	1727	280	2	207324	3	4	21	35	32	12 5
Home Dᵉᵒ	341	203	5	40981	3	40	4	13	68	13 9
Exported by Sea	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
To the French Government	280	253	"	33635	36	"	3	77	01	5 7
Total	2349	336	7	281981	2	40	25	86	72	9 9

26th Dec

Fusly 12

£		
1845		
237		
200	1	
207		
2491	1	
1811	3	
337	1	me would if the salt had been d as in part of ding Fusly at 180 per Garee realize ing sums. sumption Rᵘ 21004-4-5 Dᵉ Rᵘ 505-15-10
98	2	
243	2	
2491	1	

tement

	Frontier Countries										
1	Cottacoy Sum	12500	7	9	69	80	4	10	84		
2	Tondon	1162	2	6	5558	11	4	1	84		
3	Madalapatt	18323	12	2	379	46	14	19	65	2 9	
4	Chandragerry	15729	8	"	340	82	4	8	95	12 8	
5	Madura Sum	107	8	4							

13464

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Articles Imported into South Arcot from Pondicherry.

1	Liquor	4,822	11	3	1,514	12	4	"	"	"	3,307	14	11
2	Copper	412	1	9	1,500	13	6	1,088	11	9	"	"	"
3	Nuts	89	13	1	1,380	"	7	1290	3	6	"	"	"
4	Cloves	1,881	7	11	1,306	15	"	"	"	"	574	8	11
5	Piece Goods	597	7	"	864	8	1	267	1	1	"	"	"
6	Timber &c &c	380	11	2	445	8	2	64	13	"	"	"	"
7	Cotton thread	67	10	11	424	10	11	357	"	"	"	"	"
8	Benjamin	705	11	1	421	7	4	"	"	"	284	8	9
9	Nutmegs	849	15	3	402	"	6	"	"	"	447	14	9
10	Beetle	20	14	7	377	8	7	356	10	"	"	"	"
11	Paper	244	10	1	268	15	9	24	5	8	"	"	"
12	Salt fish	13	1	11	234	10	10	221	8	11	"	"	"
13	Mace	736	"	224	6	1	151	"	1	"	"	"	
14	Toroy	"	"	"	189	6	"	189	6	"	"	"	
15	Iron	79	10	6	125	4	6	45	10	"	"	"	
16	Cocoanut	7	6	7	107	2	5	99	11	10	"	"	
17	Cutch	"	"	"	73	10	1	73	10	1	"	"	
18	Sundries	529	10	6	1,452	14	3	923	3	9	"	"	"
		10,776	5	7	11,314	10	11	5,152	15	8	4,614	10	4
		47,582	8	9	94,803	13	10	52,317	8	2	5,096	3	1
Fees collected on Stamping Weights and Measures		515	2	10	173	10	"	"	"	"	341	8	10
Sale of smuggled articles		1	14	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	14	11
		517	1	9	173	10	"	"	"	"	343	7	9
Grand Total		4,809	9	6	94,977	7	10	52,317	8	2	5,439	10	10

Net Increase 538-5-4

Net Increase 47,221-5-1

Net Increase 343-7-9

Net Increase 46,877-13-4.

E. E. Jr.

(Signed) D. White

Sub Coll.r in charge

Statement

13465 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

No. 2.

No. 9. Statement shewing the settlement of the Sea Customs of Fusly 1255 compared with that of 1254 in the Southern Division of Arcot & Cuddalore.

		Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease
		C.Rs a.P.	C.Rs a.P.	C.Rs a.P.	C.Rs a.P.
Cuddalore	Export	20,987 11 6	37,329 14 1	16,342 2 7	" " "
	Import	8,023 7 2	7,288 6 10	" " "	735 " 4
		29,011 2 8	44,618 4 11	16,342 2 7	735 " 4
Portonovo	Export	3,321 12 3	4,721 5 11	1,399 9 8	" " "
	Import	3,356 11 10	2,208 10 5	" " "	1,148 1 5
		6,678 8 1	6,930 " 4	1,399 9 8	1,148 1 5
Murkanum	Export	" " "	688 "	688 "	" " "
Total	Export	24,309 7 9	42,119 12 "	17,810 4 3	" " "
	Import	11,380 3 "	9,497 1 3	" " "	1,883 1 9
		35,689 10 9	51,616 13 3	17,810 4 3	1,883 1 9

Deduct Decrease 1,883 1 9

Net Increase 15,927 2 6

C.C. Fl.r / Signed / D. White

Sub Coll.r in charge

Comparative

13468 | | Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

To

No. 12 Statement shewing the settlement on account of Sundry Small Farms and Licences for Fusly 1255 in comparison with Fusly 1254 in the Southern Division of Arcot and Cuddalore.-

No.	Description	Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease	Remarks
		C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	
1	Tindivanum	151 " "	140 12 "	" " "	10 4 "	
2	Trevady	50 " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "	
3	Vellaporum	141 " "	263 " "	122 " "	" " "	
4	Bowngherry	457 " "	461 8 "	4 8 "	" " "	
5	Munargoody	248 8 "	455 " "	206 8 "	" " "	
6	Chellumbrum	1596 " "	1751 12 "	155 12 "	" " "	
7	Trenamalay	481 " "	324 " "	" " "	157 " "	
8	Verdachellum	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
9	Ellavanasore	99 " "	66 " "	" " "	33 " "	
10	Trecullore	481 " "	324 " "	" " "	157 " "	
		5022 " "	4832 12 "	435 12 "	025 11 "	

E. E. Jr. (Signed) D. White
Sub Coll in charge

Statement

in Fusly 1255 in Comparison with Fusly 1254 in South Arcot and Cuddalore.

No.	Description	Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease
		C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p	C Rs a p
1	Tindevanum	1195 6 11	1234 7 1	39 " 2	" " "
2	Trevandy	5215 11 11	5431 1 10	215 5 11	" " "

J.G.B

13470 Fort St. George 28th October 1846

Particulars of the above

| | | |

Years	Months	Days	Receipts	Payments	Balances
1754.			1753		
			Recd. the Rect. Recd. the Rect. Recd. the Rect.		
			942 173	298 149	18 24
			97 97	113 113	16 11
			123 246	103 206	20 40
			566 343	314 148	68 66
			1,812 1203	1075 578 12	737 666
			1584 192	962 482	622 310
			1907 1907	871 871	636 636
			264 528	91 182	173 346
			342 684	244 488	98 392
			132 066	34 172	98 784
			17 752	13 208	34 544
			24 768	10 320	14 448
			17 860	4 320	13 660
			9 300		3 300
			3 150	2 300	1 150
			2 300		2 300
			8537 487	4,306 1286	4,231 3215

2	8	Mannee
	1	Reuben
	9	
		Peter Pierce
		Mann Peters
	8	
	1	Reuben
	2	
	4	
2	8	
	16	
	32	
	50	
	100	
	150	
	250	

Stuttgart Hauptstätter Gemeinde-Pflege
1854. 1855

Alle alte, Alle alte, Alle alte					
946 172	—	298 149	—	—	18 24
97 97	—	113 113	—	16 16	— — —
123 246	—	103 206	—	— —	20 120
586 516	—	374 148	—	16 16	18 86
1.812 1803	—	2075 578 12	—	— —	2137 682
1584 192	—	962 182	—	— —	822 312
1307 1307	—	871 871	—	— —	136 136
264 528	—	91 182	—	— —	173 346
342 1848	—	244 972	—	— —	98 392
192 1056	—	34 272	—	— —	98 784
17 752	—	13 208	—	— —	34 544
24 768	—	10 320	—	— —	14 448
17 860	—	1. 320	—	— —	13 650
3 300	—	— —	—	— —	3 300
3 150	—	2 300	—	— —	1 150
2 520	—	— —	—	— —	2. 520
8537 9872	—	1.306 1356	—	— —	1.231 3272

Stuttgart
Leer

8 Alleen			
1 Leer			
9			
Leer Pl. 1			
1. Alleen Pl. 1			
8			
1 Leer			
2			
1.			
8			
16			
32			
50			
100			
150			
250			

13472

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

		July 1254.	July 1255.	Increase	Decrease
		Rdd cfs a	Rdd cfs a	Rdd cfs a	Rdd cfs a
1.	4 Anna Cadjans	1573 36 4	1666 44 8	93 23 4	" " "
	8 Do	439 24 8	443 22 8	4 2 "	" " "
	1 Rupee	232 232 "	242 242 "	10 10 "	" " "
	2 Do	49 98 "	45 90 "	" " "	4 8 "
	4 Do	3 12 "	2 8 "	" " "	1 4 "
	8 Do	2 16 "	1 8 "	" " "	1 8 "
		2298 910 12	2399 986 "	107 35 4	6 20 "
2	8 Annas Europe Stampt Papers	1 " 8	2 1 "	1 " 8	" " "
	1 Rupee Do	" " "	4, 4 "	4 4 "	" " "
		1 " 8	6 5 "	5 4 8	" " "
		11402 1284 7225 5787 12		128 55 12	4,305 5784 Net Decrease 4177-5573-8-"
	Sayer Rowanahs.				
	4 Annas	410 102 8		" " "	4 10 102 8
	8 Do	135 67 8		" " "	135 67 8
6	1 Rupee	55 55 "		" " "	55 55 "
	2 Do	35 70 "		" " "	35 70 "
	4 Do	6 24 "		" " "	6 24 "
		641 349 "		" " "	641 349 "
		584 368		" " "	584 368
7	1. Anna Paper	1225 355 8		" " "	1225 355 8
		12627 1176 12	7225 5787 12	128 55 12	5530 5784 12
	The additional fee at half an Annas per Roll leviable on the above Europe Papers as per order of Govern: ment dated 31st March 1835	Pim " " 6	" " 3	" " 2 6	" " "
		12627 1176 12 6	7225 5787 15	128 55 14 6	5530 5784 12 Net Decrease 5402-5928-13-6
	Sea Custom Rowanahs.				
	Cuddalore Vea Porto Novo	89 1188	5 7 "	" " "	84 1118
	Total	12716 1165 4 6	7230 5794 15	128 55 14 6	5614 6926 4 Net Decrease 5486-6070-5-6

E. E. Jr. / Signed / D. White
Sub Coll.r in charge
Lieut.

13473

Fort St. George 28th October 1846

No 15. List of the Accounts accompanying the Report on the Extra Branches of Revenue for Fusly 1255.-

13. Printed Statements in Triplicate.

1 Statement Marked A of Demand Collection and Balance.

1 Do Do No 1 in the Statement Marked A.

1 Do Do B. of Demand Collection and Balance in Arrears.

1 Do Do C. Shewing the Quantity of Salt Manufactured and Sold.

1 Do Do D. Shewing the Salt exported to the Neighbouring Zillahs

1 Do Do No 1. Shewing the Quantity of Salt Sold.

1 Do Do No 2 Shewing the Settlement of Frontier Sayer Revenue

1 Do Do No 3. of Sea Custom Collections.

1 Do Do No 1. in the Statement No 3.-

1 Do Do No 4 of Abkary Revenue.

1 Do Do No 5 of Sundry Small Farms &c.

1 Do Do No 6. of Moturpha Revenue.

1 Do Do No 7. of Stamp Revenue.

16
12 Huidoovy Statements.

28 Total.

Cuddalore
Coll.rs Cutcherry
18th October 1846.

/ Signed / D. White
Sub Coll.r in Charge
ordered

13474 Fort St. George 26th October 1816.

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again

(69-70) in circulation.-

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Tanjore } Sir,

(71) I have the honor to
submit 2 lists of useless records
which I request the permission
of the Board to destroy. In
addition to the room they
would occupy there exists the
expence their conveyance to
Tranquebar would entail
as a reason for their destruc-
tion — The accumulation
of records has of late years
proceeded so rapidly that
it becomes imperative to
retain none that can be
dispensed with, and the
removal

13475 Fort St. George 26th October 1816.

removal of the Cutcherry from
Negapatam seemed a fitting time to
separate the useless from the
useful.

Tranquebar
9th September 1816 } Signed H. C. Montgomery
Collector

List

13474 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again
(69-70) in circulation.

Read the following letter.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Tanjore } Sir,
(71)

I have the honor to submit 2 lists of useless records which I request the permission of the Board to destroy. In addition to the room they would occupy there exists the expence their conveyance to Tranquebar would entail as a reason for their destruction. The accumulation of records has of late years proceeded so rapidly that it becomes imperative to retain none that can be dispensed with, and the removal

13475 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

removal of the Cutcherry from Negapatam seemed a fitting time to separate the useless from the useful.

Tranquebar
9th September 1846 } Signed H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Sit

14	Marahmut Estimates & Report of Balance	Talookwar & Villagewar	Dº	1237	1250	Dº	by abstract Accounts
15	List of accounts received from Talooks	Talookwar	Daily	1240	1250	Evidently useless	
16	Register of Summuds to Talooks	Dº	Monthly	1246	1250	Ditto	
17	Register of Public Documents sent to & from Dufter	Dº	Daily	1246	1250	Ditto	
18	Account of Monthly receipts & Disbursements in Devastanum Departments	Pagodawar	Monthly and Quarterly	1227	1251	Rendered unnecessary by the annual Accounts	
19	Anzees relative to the Department of inland Chauky	Talookwar	Daily	1240	1253	Dº	by the abolition of Transit Duty
20	Account of Sayer Drawback	Sumwar	annual	1240 to	1253	Dº	by abstracts

Tranquebar 9th September 1846 (Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Collector

Statement

13478 Fort St George 26th October 1826

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

To the Sub Collector in charge of Tanjore

(71-72)

To the Sub Collr in charge of Tanjore authorizing him to destroy some useless records with the exception of certain Items & calling for further information regarding them

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Sir H. Montgomery's letter of the 9th Ultimo forwarding two lists of records which he proposes to destroy as useless—

In reply I am directed to inform you that on referring to List No 1 the Board are led to doubt whether 2. Items 8 and 9 being accounts of produce and prices may not be of service hereafter in the introduction of the Survey and Assessment— You will be so good as to state whether these accounts are likely to be useful for this purpose or whether the "abstracts" will be sufficient and in what manner these Abstracts are prepared—

In destroying the Tuckavi 3 Muchilkas Nos 12 of 1 and 6 of 2 those executed by parties by whom balances are still due must be preserved—

In reference to Items 15 4 and 16 of No 1 the Board presume that general lists are kept in the Cutcheries of

Fort St George 26th October 1826

No 2

Statement of useless Surveys or Cadjan Accounts to be destroyed with the Sub Collr of Tanjore

No	Description of Account	Years	Remarks
1	Daily Accounts	From 1240 to 1253	Rendered annually by Abstract
2	Do	1223 1250	Do
3	Accounts of Devastanam receipts and disbursements	1241 1248	Do by the Annual Abstracts
4	Accounts of estimation of Produce in Survey Villages	1240 1253	Do by Condensed Abstracts
5	Field rent	1257 1250	Do by Village Accountants with the Balance of the Rent
6	Do	1250	Do by the Taluk Canany of Balance

(Signed) H. Montgomery
Collector

Ordered

Accountant to list to what years are the accounts to be destroyed with the foregoing

Description of Account — Taluk or how formed

Sayer Daily Accounts — 950 Shoukyaar

Do — 75 Panruti

Dale — 10 Tatookuai

Accounts of Devastanam receipts and disbursements — 9

Accounts of estimation of Produce in Survey Villages — Do

Field rent — 24 Do

Tuccany Muchilkas — 45 Do

Fort St George 9th September 1826.

13479 Fort St. George 25th October 1846.

of all accounts received from the Talooks as also copies of all Summuds despatched thither, in which case these daily lists and Monthly Registers seem useless and their retention unnecessary—

5. The other accounts entered in the two Statements may at once be destroyed—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th October 1846.

Signed I. Pycroft
Secretary

Inbound 10th Septr 1846.

Read letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly, dated 27th August 1846, reporting on the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue of his District for Fusly 1255 A.D. 1845/6—

73

Proceedings Relative to the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Trichinopoly for Fusly 1255.

(Here enter No. III)

Thereon From the general Statement annexed to these proceedings, it will be observed, that the total demand on account of all sources of Extra Revenue during the year under report, was Rupees 1457-8-5 in excess of that of the preceding Fusly resulting from a gross increase of Rupees 3506-11-5 and a gross decrease of Rupees 2049-3-0—

Settlement	
Fusly 1254	38851-2-6
" 1255	40308-10-11
Increase	1457-8-5

13480 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Increase	
Abkarry	2830-0-0
Small Farms including Tanks	517-12-6
Fisheries	
Moturpha	158-14-11
Total Increase	3506-11-5

2 The Revenue under the several heads noted in the Margin has improved during Fusly 1255 to the extent noted opposite each item— The increase in the Abkarry receipts arose from the higher offers received for the rent of several Talooks in Fusly 1255, than in the year preceding and to the same cause is attributed the augmentation in the revenue on account of small Farms and Licences— The Collector states in reference to his observations on this Report [illegible] the subject of Tank Fisheries, that his anticipations in regard to the increase derivable from these Minor rents, by care and attention in their disposal have not been realized— The Moturpha increase arose from the Emigration of certain Weavers from Pondicherry to Trichinopoly—

Decrease	
Stamps	Rupees 2049-3-0

3. Owing to a recent order of the Sudr Adawlut prohibiting the filing of suits cognizable by Moonsiffs in the higher Courts, there was a great falling off in the sale of Judicial Law Papers during the year under review— the sale of other stamped papers however increased to a small extent, thus making a net decrease under the head of Stamps of Rupees 2049-3-0— The

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Charges Rupees 586-3-8. 4 The only item on account of which charges are incurred in Trichinopoly is Stamps. In Fusly 1254 a large increase was caused by the refund, under the orders of the Court of Sudr Udawlut, of the value of certain Stamp papers in an appeal Suit, but in the Fusly under notice the charges fell to nearly the same sum as they amounted to in Fusly 1253. The Collector will be pleased in his future reports, should any material difference appear in the comparison of charges, to explain the causes which may have led to such a result.

Vide From Coll.r 6th inst.o 24th Nov.r 1846.

Net Revenue Fusly 1255 Rupees 39905-13-. 5 Deducting charges, the Net Revenue of Fusly 1253 was Rupees 39905-13-. being Rupees 3164-15-4 more than that of Fusly 125-.

Collections Current and Arrears. 6 The entire demand for the year under report, including arrears, was recovered, so that no balance remained outstanding on account of former Fuslies at the close of the period under report.

7 Agreeably to the instructions of Government conveyed in para 12 of the Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 27th January last, the Collector has submitted with his report upon the Extra Sources of Revenue a separate report upon the roads and communications

In Con.n 19th Feby 1846.

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Communications in his District. In the concluding portion of the para quoted the Board were directed in connection with these reports from the Collectors, to make such suggestions as appeared to involve important results for the formation and extention of the best means of communication throughout the Province, they beg leave however to suggest that these reports may be transferred for consideration in the Department of Public Works as it occurs to them that the important subjects noticed would be more advantageously considered and discussed in that Department of their Office in which the correspondence connected with the question involved more immediately remains: and they propose therefore, presuming any contrary instructions from Government, to adopt this course in the disposal of these reports.

Ordered that a Copy of these proceedings be submitted to Government and a duplicate of the same furnished for the information of the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Ordered also that Copy of para 7 of these proceedings be forwarded to the Department of Public Works, with the report on the Roads and communications in the Trichinopoly District therein referred to.

Trichinopoly

13484 Fort St George 26th October 1846

Read the following letter

To

T. Sykroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

(74)

From the Coll[r] of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that taking advantage of the terms of the advertisement which accompanied your letter of the 14th October 1846 which seem to authorize me to [illegible] the sale of the lands, et alludes to in the event of a failure to sell them on the day originally named I again exposed them to sale on the 23d Instant having failed to dispose of them in the first instance, when offers were made for them as entered in the accompanying Statement and I have to request the Board's confirmation of the Sale

Trichinopoly Collector's Office 5th October 1846

Signed A. P. Onslow

Collector

List

13485

List Showing the extent of Lands sold in satisfaction of Arrears against the Defaulters undermentioned in the Talooq of Tirumayam for Fusly 1256 and the Names of Purchasers

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Name of the Village

Name of the Defaulters

Amount of Arrears Due

Extent of Land sold

Amount for which sold in Public Auction

Name of the Purchasers

Remarks

Pd as per Kistbundy

Fusly 1256 33-15-1

1256-30-0-0

1256-34-9-8

1256-26-0-4

1256-31-10-10

Ramasawmy Naigue

Narrayanda Naigue

155 3 0

Fusly 1260-18-1-10

1262-13-0-0

1259-23-0-10

1258-26-11-9

1254-28-9-7

Mandamangalam

Ditto

109 8 0

Total 264 11 0

The Lands of these Defaulters were sold pursuant to Public Notice given on the 3d December 1846 against the Board's Order of the 14th October 1846 dated 15th October 1846 but there were no purchasers come forward claiming same & the proprietors have not redeemed the Lands sold on the 23d & 28th Instant 1846

Trichinopoly 5th October 1846

Signed A. P. Onslow

Collector

Enclosed

Fort St George 26th October 1846

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

To the Collector of Trichinopoly.

74-75

To the Collr of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipts of your letter of the 5th instant reporting the sale on the 28th Ultimo of certain lands on account of arrears of public revenue These lands appear to have been put up to auction on the 3d December 1845 agreeably to the orders of the Board of 9th October 1845 but no offers were then obtained, and the sale was resumed on the 28th Ultimo in virtue of the Advertisement originally published, which authorized the continuance of the sale until the whole of the lands specified in it should have been disposed of—

In reply I am instructed to inform you that the Board confirm the sales now under report but they think it proper at the same time to point out to you that you have given to the terms of the Form of Advertisement sent to you from this office on the 7th October 1845 a greater latitude of construction than those terms were intended to bear When the sale of lands for arrears may

Fort St George 26th October 1846

have been postponed for a few days only, the auction may be proceeded with under the original notification, but when an interval of several weeks and even Months may have occurred the sale should not be resumed without prior reference to the Board and the publication of a fresh Advertisement

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
26th October 1846

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

76

From the Collr of Trichinopoly

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 28th Ultimo, and also the Tin Box forwarded to me for the purpose of securing the Madras bank notes and Post bills—

Trichinopoly
Collrs Office
20th October 1846

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following letter

76-77

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

78

From the Pt Collr of Madura forwarding copy

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Copies

13488 Fort St George 26th October 1846

Copy of complaint from the Sub Treasurer and instructions from the Accountt General & submitting the question to the Board with suggestions for new treasure boxes for remittances

Copies of letters from the Accountant General and Sub Treasurer, respectively of the 5th Instant and 29th Ultimo, received on the 10th Instant

2 I cannot pretend to judge of the amount of injury sustained by the Madura treasure boxes in their recent steam trip, nor is it specified if the one that gave way belonged to Tanjore or Madura; if to the latter, I cannot but believe it must have been owing to some very lubberly proceedings of the Boat men

3 I do not know the dimensions assigned to what the two Gentlemen call a six thousand Rupees box, tho it would be easy to make one capable of containing that sum, still if they do not give the dimensions it might not meet their approbation

4 It occurs to me, if the Board authorise the sale by the Sub treasurer at Madras, of the 23 boxes belonging to Madura, they should, at the same time, cause to be made up for me boxes sufficient to contain 8 lacs of Rupees, of a pattern to be duly considered and approved by them, in reference to their being chiefly required for sea, or land, carriage. In the former case I would suggest they should have no handles; and consideration should be

13489 Fort St George 26th October 1846

be had to the advantage of padlocks or locks only with strong iron bar but on these two points it might be advisable to consult the convenience of the Treasure Board in shipping and transhipping

5 In giving orders on the subject it will be for the Board to bear in mind that the Madura boxes have 46 excellent European Padlocks supplied by the Ordnance Department and each box has an ordinary catch lock, of country manufacture - that boxes made up at the Presidency from the cheaper rate of teakwood and from the much greater skill of joinery procurable; and they should also bear in mind that tho' the carts of the country can carry one box of 20000 Rupees capacity or even three of Rupees 6,000 each, a single cart will not undertake to convey four boxes of Rupees 6000 each

No. 8 New remains 24 good Padlocks in my office and 17 20,000 Rupee boxes

Madura
17th September 1846

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

No. 1.

To J. Blackburne Esqre
Principal Collector &
Madura

Sir I have the honor to forward herewith

13490 Fort St George 26th October 1846

herewith, copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Treasurer, dated 29th Ultimo, No. 402 and to request that you will be good enough to give effect to the suggestion in the latter part of the above letter regarding the size of Treasure Boxes to be used in your future remittances.

Fort St George
Accountant Genl's Office
5th September 1846

/Signed/ J. V. Stonhouse
Accountt Genl

To J. V. Stonhouse Esqre
Accomtant General

Sir

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the boxes in which the recent remittances, brought by the Honorable Company's Steamer "Hugh Lindsay" from the Districts of Madura, and Tanjore, were packed are different in make from the ordinary Treasure boxes, being larger and heavier, in consequence of the heavy iron clamps with which they are bound - They are further old, and injured to so much so that on the unshipment of them from the Steamer a portion of the contents of one or two, of them escaped - It was customary formerly when remittances were received from the above named Districts, to return these boxes by the "return Shroffs" but as it is probable that the Honorable Company's Steamer "Hugh Lindsay"

13491 Fort St George 26th October 1846

Lindsay" will in future, be the conveyance for all remittances from the Provincial Treasuries on the Sea coast and as these boxes are cumbersome and damaged at above shown I would submit to you the propriety of authorizing their being sold together with the old Treasure boxes, by public Auction - If this be sanctioned, I beg to Request you will have the goodness to communicate the same to the Collectors of Madura and Tanjore and direct that future remittances be packed in boxes of smaller size or as they are usually called six thousand Rupee boxes

Fort St George
General Treasury
29th August 1846

/Signed/ J. D. Birch
Acting Sub Treasurer

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Reply

78-79

To the Principal Collector of Madura
Stating in reply to his letter of the 17th Ultimo that it does not rest with the Board to authorize the sale of the boxes used in the remittance of treasure and informing him that the Board are prepared to recommend to Government that he may be authorized to dispose of himself with boxes

To The Principal Collector of Madura

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Ultimo forwarding copy of a letter from the Accountant General with its enclosure respecting the boxes to be henceforth used in the Remittance of Treasure from your District to the Presidency

I am

13492 Fort St George 26th October 1846

boxes of the kind referred to by the accountant General on his furnishing a statement of the number required and their estimated cost

2- In Para 4 of your letter you suggest that the Board should cause to be made up for you a sufficient number of boxes of the new pattern if they "authorize the sale by the Sub Treasurer at Madras of the 23 boxes belonging to Madura" In reply, I am instructed to inform you that it does not rest with this office to authorize the sale of those boxes. From the tenor of the letter addressed to you by the Accountant General on the 5th September last there seems however reason to suppose that the sale of the boxes has been already sanctioned by that office, and under any circumstances it appears evident that the boxes of a different description are to be employed hereafter

The Board are therefore prepared to recommend to Government that you may be authorized to provide yourself with as many boxes of the kind referred to by the Accountant General as may suffice to replace those of the description lately condemned, and they request that you will be so good as to furnish a statement of the number required (which the Board

Board think may be made up at Madura) and an estimate of the cost. In respect to the dimensions of the boxes and to the manner in which they are to be fitted up you should consult the Accountant General

Revenue Board Office
Fort St George
26th October 1846

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

To

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

(80)

From the Principal Collector of Madura 31 Oct 1846

Submitting Sub Collectors Request for instructions in case of a Cazy Inamdar of $ 7 assessable at Rs 4-2-5-9 be continued to the eldest son of the last incumbent deceased in April last, who never performed any services & submitting proposed copy and translate of the grant agreeable with office register and recommending the Communication in the name of the 1st or 2d son on their quitting the Regiment stationed at Sungawarpatty in default to the 7 years sent down by the 2d wife - leaving him to perform the duty by deputy till he attains his majority

Rec'd 9 Nov

Sir

I have the honor to forward a letter of the 25th Ultimo, from the Sub Collector requesting instructions Regarding a cazy Inamdam in Sungawarpatty of Shencottay comprising 4 Cooles 7 as equal to 1 Chey originally taxed at Rupees 4-2-5-9- per annum in the name of Baddy Sah deceased in April (Jungoony) last, leaving several Sons but never having executed the duty of Cazy. for which the Inamdam was granted but neither is it attempted to be shewn that he ever refused to perform such duties.

2 Thinking it possible the Board

13494 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Board might require inspection of the original purwanah (copy only and translate herewith forwarded) I addressed the Sub Collector on the 29th Ultimo to obtain it for me, and am informed by him under date the 18th Instant, that it is supposed to be in possession of his eldest son, Syed Amir, who had proceeded to join the 45th Regiment in which he is a Sepoy and in trust it may not be essential I now submit the question, in reference to the Boards' Proceedings of the 21st May last and beg to recommend the Inamiem may be renewed as one of service in name of the elder or seconds son, by the first wife on their quitting the army to reside in the Village and perform the required duties or on their default that it be continued to the eldest son (of 7 years old) by the second wife leaving the duty to be performed during his minority by deputy, if called upon for tho' the deceased is shewn to have performed no duty there is no pretence that he ever refused performance if called upon.

Madura
19th September 1846

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Asst Collr

13495 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

No. 1.

To The Principal Collector of

Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that there is in the Village of Sungwarpatty attached to the Talook of Tengany a Inamiem for the duties of Kazy consisting of 4-7 Cawnies as of Punjah land the assessment on which is Rupees 42-5-9. and which has been in the sole enjoyment of one Buddah Sah since the Fysul time to within the last 10 years, when he appears to have shared the Inamiem with his Nephew Amir Buddah who is absent. During the month of Pungoony last April 1846 date not precisely known, the said Baddah Sah died, leaving 2 sons by his first wife the Elder of whom Syed Amir is reported to have enlisted as a Sepoy in the 45th Regiment N. I. and is now on furlough on leave of absence, the younger son Syed Ismail is said to be a Sepoy in the 18th Regiment By the second wife Baddah Sah left also two sons Adam Sah 7 years old and Bisla Naga 5 years both of whom with their Mother are living in Sungwarpatty. The 1st wife is not there nor is any mention apparently made of her by her son if the point be material it can with facility be ascertained.

The

Fort St George 26th October 1846

3 The Vernacular depositions taken, were sent to the Dufter on the Instant but as no further information was to be obtained, they are now submitted to your consideration, the Tahsildar stating that the deceased had never exercised the functions of Cazzy though he continued to hold his Inaam.

4 It is therefore desired to be known whether the Inaam is to be continued to the family, and if so, in the name of which individual Should any further information be required, I shall be happy to submit it if obtainable

Dindigul Sub Collectors Cutchery 25th August 1846.

/Signed/ W. Elliot
Sub Collector

No 2.

Translation of a Purwanah (grant) dated 1st Jamadithavel Fizree 1203 corresponding with Keelaka to Thoomula Row Amildar of Catalagoontah Talook.

As a Inaam of 1 Chey of Nunjah land has been granted to Mahommed Inaddeen Cazzy of Calikaba in the Village of Gungwarputty for his expence, and a written authority issued to

Fort St George 26th October 1846

to that effect you are hereby required to make over to him the above 1 Chey of land out of the arable Nunjah of that Village and to let him enjoy the produce thereof from 1203 Fizree corresponding with Keelaka to be continued to his posterities. You are to obey this as a final permanent order and not as one requiring annual Sanctions. You are further required to retain a copy of this order in the public Records and furnish one to the Natoo curnum of the Village returning the original Purwanah to the Cazzy Talika Cad or Dindigul.

/Signed/ and Sealed
Braz

N. B. Entered in the Dufter
1st Jamadithavel Fizree 1203 or Keelaka

N. B. Mr. J. B. Hurdis Collector
of Dindigul to whom this order was produced, confirmed the grant of Chey of Inaam bestowed upon the Cazzy.

/Signed/ J. B Hurdis
Collector

31st March 1797

Registered 14th December 184

13498 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

in the Principal Collector's Office at Madura in No. 915.

/True translate/

/Signed/ J. Blackburne.
Trichinopoly

Resolution thereon. The Board think it desirable the original Cowl referred to in the above letter should be procured if possible. If it cannot be obtained the Principal Collector will be so good as to explain by whom the copy which he has forwarded was taken and by whom it has been compared with the original. He will further state, by whom the Cowl was granted, what connexion exists between the last holder Baddy Lah and the original grantee Mahommed Moaddeen and in what manner the Exam is entered in the District accounts.

(80-81)

It should also be stated whether there are any Mahomedans in Singwarputty and its Neighbourhood and whether the services of a Cazy are in any way required there.

To

13499 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

(82)

From the Joint Collector of Madura 31 Recommending the dismissal of Annajee Row Tahsildar of Madaeolum for receiving this present in his Taluk.

Annajee Rao claims to have served the Honorable Company for 7 years before he came to this Collectorate in 1819 where he has been uninterruptedly employed for 27 years with exception of less than one, when dismissed by Mr Commissioner Drury in 1843, of which time he was, for 16 years, at the head of his Taluk.

2 The fraudulent suppression of a case of homicide in his autokery, as also acceptance of a compromise in his own authority, in a charge of violent carrying off Jewels, papers &c. to value of 3,000 Rupees, which so far credited at first as to issue a warrant for the Defendants and afterwards required Magisterial interference because of opposition and contempt of it, makes his removal from office imperative: and the complicity, or even guilty knowledge is not proved against him. I cannot

13498 Fort St George 26th October 1846

in the Principal Collector's office at Madura
in N° 915.

/True translate/

/Signed/ J. Blackburne
Pinkhollr

Resolution thereon. The Board think it
desirable the original Purwanah referred
to in the above letter should be procured
if possible. If it cannot be obtained the
Principal Collector will be so good as to
explain by whom the copy which he has
forwarded was taken and by whom it
has been compared with the original.
He will further state, by whom the Purwa-
nah was granted, what connexion
exists between the last holder Baddy Sah
and the original grantee Mahommed
Noadeen and in what manner the
Enam is entered in the District accounts.
It should also be stated
whether there are any Mahomedans
in Singwarputty, and its Neighbourhood
and whether the services of a Cazy
are in any way required there.

To

13499 Fort St George 26th October 1846.

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

(82)

Sir

From the Asst
Collector of Madura

Recommending the dismissal of Annajee Row Tahsildar of Madacolum for present Char- fraudulent conduct in his Talook.

I Annajee Rao claims to have served the Honorable Company for 7- years before he came to this Collectorate in 1819 where he has been uninterruptedly employed for 27 years, with exception of 6 years, when dismissed by Mr Commissioner Drury in 1843, of which the at- for 16 years, at the head

2 The fraudulent suppression of a case of homicide in his cutcherry as also acceptance of a compromise in his own authority, in a charge of violent carrying off Jewels, papers &c- to value of 3000 Rupees, which is far credited at first as to issue a warrant for the Defendants and afterwards required Magisterial interference because of opposition and contempt of it, makes his removal from Office imperative: and tho' complicity, or even guilty knowledge is not proved against him. I

Cannot

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

24. From the above Statement it will be observed that compared with Fusly 1254 there is a Decrease in the Total Demand on account of Extra Sources of Revenues for Fusly 1255 of Rupees 105315-12-6. and in the Collections realized within the Fusly of Rupees 114603-7-5. The increase in the Charges for the year under report was occasioned by the larger quantity of Salt manufactured as already noticed. The balance at the end of Fusly was Rupees 15394-9-8. or more than that of the previous Fusly by Rupees 9287-10-11. Of the above balance the rent due by the Shank Renters alone amounts to Rupees 14887. for the Collection of which the necessary measures have been adopted. The remaining Balance of Rupees 527-9-8 has been by subsequent Collections reduced to Rupees 91-13-11.

The

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

	Fusly 1252	Fusly 1253	Fusly 1254	Total
	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P	Rs a P
Balance at the close of Fusly 1255	37 3 8 1	20 14 11	73 2 11	167 9 11
of which Amount recoverable	42 99	20 14 11	28 8 6	92 1 2
Amount not recoverable in Consequence of the persons by whom it is due having emigrated to other Districts and which is therefore now recommended for remission	30 144 " "	" "	44 10 5	75 8 9
Balance at the close of Fusly 1255	1 10 6 16	" "	" "	17 10 6
of which Amount due by paupers and therefore Irrecoverable	1 10 6	" "	" "	1 10 6
Do due by the Renter of the Fish revenue of a certain Tank but not recoverable because the Tank became dry before the Renter could derive any produce & from it therefore now recommended for remission	" "	16	" "	16 "
Total of the Irrecoverable balance under the Head of Moturpha and Fish-rent and now recommended for remission				93 3 3

Note for Sheet.

Total Cont.

25. The Marginal Statement is annexed to show the amount of Balance on account of Moturpha and Fish Rent for Fuslies 1252-1253 and 1254 at the close of Fusly 1255 as also how much of each of the 2 Items has been ascertained to be irrecoverable and I request that you will, for the reasons shown, be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for writing off the same amounting to Rupees 93-3-3 to "Profit and Loss".—

Tinnevelly
Tencausey
5th October 1846.

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Acting Collector.

N. B. The Report on Roads &c called for in the proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 19th February 1846 is under preparation and will be sent as soon as it is ready

Statement.

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.—

Action and Balance of arrears of Extra Revenue due on account of former Fuslies for Fusly 1253 in the Province of Tinnevelly.—

	Collections in Fusly 1253.							Collections in Fusly 1253.				Total Collections on account of Fuslies 1252, 1253 and 1254.—			
	arrears due on account of Fusly 1253	Collections in Cash	add amount remitted under the sanction of Government dated 2d Feby 1846	Total	Balance	arrears due on account of Fusly 1254		Collections in Cash	add amount remitted under the sanction of Government dated 2d February 1846	Total	Balance	Collections in Cash	Add amount remitted under the sanction of Govt dated 2d Feby 1846	Total	Balance
	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1 C	" " "

13516. Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

No. 1. Statement of the Gross Collections of Salt Revenue in Fusly 1255.
in the Province of Pinnevelly.—

Name of the Chaukies	Places of present Manufacture	Places of former Manufacture	Amount of Collections of Fusly 1255.		Total	Remarks.— Memorandum of Salt sold since the Establishment of the Monopoly.—					
									6		
1	2	3	4		5				Quantity of Salt sold		Amount of sales
			C Rs	a p	C Rs a p				G m	m	C Rs a p
Tuticorin	Tuticorin	Tuticorin	35722	8		Fusly	1215		637	191 1/8	44625 11 4
		Puttanamurdoor	"	"			1216		716	323 11/16	50176 8 6
		Colatoor	"	"			1217		975	195 5/16	68282 7 5
		Mailmanday	"	"			1218		1434	343 6 10/16	100440 3 7
		Culloorany	"	"	85122 8		1219		1288	355 4½	122443 9 10
Manjuncolum	Manjuncolum	Manjuncolum	10126 8	"	10162 8	"	1220		1237	177 2	129364 10 3
		Arasady	62738	"			1221		1257	191 2	132035 2 4
							1222		1275	95 ½	133891 12 4
							1223		976	59 3/16	102495 9 9
							1224		1442	104 ½	151438 2 6

13517 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.—

No. 2. Comparative Statement Shewing the Gross Collections of Salt Revenue between Fuslies 1254 and 1255.—

Salt Chokkies	Amount of Gross Collections of Fusly 1254	Amount of Gross Collections of Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease
1	2	3	4	5
	C.Rs. a. P.	C.Rs. a. P.	C.Rs. a. P.	C.Rs. a. P.
Vypaur	38886 - -	35122 8 -	- - -	3763 8 -
Manjancolum	39924 - -	19162 8 -	- - -	20761 8 -
Araisady	41135 8 10	46273 8 -	5137 15 2	- - -
Tutacorin	44872 8 4	53637 - -	8764 7 8	- - -
Palacoil	55082 4 -	40530 - -	- - -	14552 4 -
Coilpatam	4,382 - -	56,888 -	13306 8 -	- - -
Coolansaygarapatam	29915 4 -	24,405 9 7	- - -	5509 10 5
Vizianpathey	18,683 8 11	26,135 13 9	7452 4 10	- - -
Total	3,02,681 2 1	2,92,755 7 4	34,661 3 8	44,586 14 5

Deduct Increase --- 34,661 3 8

Net Decrease --- 9,925 10 9

Tinnevelly ---
11th July 1846 —

E.E.J.P. / Signed / R. D. Parker
Ag. Collector

Statement.

26	10	"	"	9	224	"	"	149 "
27	10	"	"	9	211	"	"	189 "
28	10	"	"	9	155	"	"	215 "
8	80	"	"	76	361	"	3	99 "
1845								
1	10	"	"	9	395	"	"	5 "
1845								
15	10	"	"	9	392	"	"	8 "
16	10	"	"	9	371	"	"	29 "
17	10	"	"	9	344	"	"	23
18	10	"	"	9	392	"	"	28 "
24	10	"	"	9	394	"	"	6 "
32	10	"	"	9	348	"	"	52 "
33	10	"	"	9	369	"	"	31 "
7	70	"	"	69	243	"	"	154 "
1844								
29	6	"	"	5	344	"	"	24 "
1845								
10	7	243	"	4	252	"	"	106 6
1844								
13	1	2	"	"	398	2	"	3 6
1845								
1	10	"	"	9	321	"	"	79 3
2	11	2	"	10	392	"	"	82 6
3	18	245	"	14	364	"	"	286 4
28	264	249	"	258	294	4	5	354 4

Grand Total

Signed/ R. D. Parker, Esqr. Collr.

E. E. Fr.

Tinnevelly
11th July 1846

Comparative

13520

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

No. 5

Comparative Statement of the Collections of Frontier duty in Fasles 1254 and 1255 in the District of Tinnevelly.

Name of Chowkies	Annual Peiz of Fasly 1254	Annual Peiz of Fasly 1255	Increase	Decrease
	1	2	3	4 5
		Ckr. A C	Ckr. A C	Ckr. A C Ckr. A C
Frontier chowkies.				
Poolary	6890 11	7665 72	774 121	" " "
Punbooly	11388 152	11974 810	585 98	" " "
Pacoorgoody	3812359	3029983	" " "	781376
Alvarcoorchy	69566	78753	9119	" " "
Dacadanum	24563	48044	23441	" " "
Total	57343	129511	87110	168727 781376

Deduct Increase 168727

Net Decrease 612649

E. E. Fr.

Tinnevelly
11th July 1846

/Signed/ R. D. Parker
Asst Collector
Statement

No. 6. Statement shewing the different Monsoon which the Land duty has been collected in Fasly 1225 in the District of Tinnivelly as required by Minutes of Consultation of 7th February 1837.

	Raw Articles	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	Betel	118 10 9	
2	Betelnut	1731.9 1 2	
3	Tobacco	10399 3 "	
4	Cocoanut	1363 8 4	
5	Salt fish	1811 11 8	
6	Tamarind	1339 4 8	
7	Paddy	85 1 11	
8	Rape Seed	423 11 5	
9	Poonnyarel	194 4 3	
10	Margosa seeds	2 9 1	
11	Blackgram	57 11 6	
12	Greengram	76 2 2	
13	Redgram	22 9 10	
14	Horsegram	50 2 3	
15	Cholam	" 5 10	
16	Thallapayer	1 15 5	
17	Wheat	" 11 10	
18	Cumboo	" " 11	
19	Sawny	" 2 6	
20	Varagoo	" 8 11	
21	Bengalgram	2 10 2	
22	Mochay	" 8 3	
23	Lamp Oil Seeds	9 1 1	

		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
24	Keerithundoovery	" 2 "	
25	Cardamonums	" 8 5	
26	Garlic	201 9 10	
27	Onions	65 3 2	
28	Greengenger	112 10 9	
29	Coriander	1.7 1 4	
30	Cummin Seeds	100 " 1	
31	Long pepper	4 " 9	
32	Seeruvalum or croton	31 12 3	
33	Coffee	46 11 10	
34	Anjoam	15 15 11	
35	Anookeranee	" 6 "	
36	Cummin seeds black	" " 6	
37	Sathacoopjy	" 1 5	
38	Abruck	" 5 7	
39	Sauscoopjy	4 7 8	
40	Shimbagapoo	" 5 1	
41	Thalisputhry	1 13 10	
42	Calamous	2 2 11	
43	Dill	" 11 11	
44	Mustard Seeds	1 " 1	
45	Carcolarcoy	" 9 3	
46	Salamoncoy	4 " 11	
47	Seeroothakee	" 13 9	
48	Cinnamon	" " 4	
49	Vambadumputty	" 11. 1	
50	Custhoory munjub	" 2 2	
51	Garooda putchy	1 2 5	
52	Caulgaucy	" " 6	

		Rx.	A.	P.	Rx.	A.	P.
53	Cummin seeds wild						6
54	Salt petre					11.	11. 2
55	Coorasawny ounum						1. 3
56	Poolooka veir						7
57	Poolookaputty						4
58	Copperas						1 5
59	Cottum						12 4
60	Suny Nagaroo						7 2
61	Culnar						4 1
62	Senna						1 9
63	Poppy seeds						10 5
64	Ochre						2 5
65	China root						1 4
66	Narookoonoolum				1	3	6
67	Carooalumputty						1 5
68	Cakycolly very						8 2
69	Cureadagasing				1	7	6
70	Seeruthemaltoo						3 6
71	Poolaunkilangoo				2	13	3
72	Secroonanel flowers						5 1
73	Possopossoocoy				3	4	
74	Do. Puthy						1 3 9
75	Magaraspoo						7 9 7
76	Licorice						2 4
77	Gallnut						12
78	Gorcas						6 4
79	Shanatharum				2	7	11
80	Bengal puteby						5 3

		Rx.	A.	P.
81	Cowhage			1 5
82	Coongoomuspoo			8
83	Japan	21.	12	11
84	Poovulhoo	6	1	3
85	Tady poovulhoo	8	10	7
86	Chegaroob	72	2	1
87	Senna Country	1.	1	1
88	Munchanathy putty			12
89	Seulhoorookeumpoo	3	1.	5
90	Raisins			2 11
91	Plums	5	6	
92	Cashewnuts	2	12	5
93	Dates	6	6	9
94	Almond			8 3
95	Carongoy	2	5	2
96	Chank	11.	1.	5
97	Camery manns Moody	3894	3	2
98	Cotton	8	1.	5
99	Athalanuthul	16	13	10
100	Moorookunnuthul	2		7
101	Soondanuthul	37	9	1
102	Pillow Cotton	167	12	6
103	Chillies			16
104	Cork	6		9
105	Swine	1		2
106	Catgut	116	7	
107	Indian Jafferan	5	1.	6
108	Potatoes	2	11.	1
109	Leather			2
110	Gofy chindal			

13525 Fort St. George 26th October 1816.

		Cwt.	A.	Q.	Cwt.	A.	Q.
111	Gunjah	"	13	5			
112	Wax	"	11	6			
113	Rootkrachum	"	9	10			
114	Ochre	"	10	1			
115	Elkshorn	"	9	"			
116	Hoonus Bullocks	"	"	6			
117	Tea	1	3	2			
118	Cudjan	"	2	2			
119	Aricanut	"	5	1			
120	Gunjah leaves	"	"	8			
121	Tigers skin	"	1	2			
122	Elks skin	"	"	5			
123	Lamidchinweir	"	1	7			
124	Seycoy	"	13	8			
125	Corymoody	29	5	10			
126	Honey	1	6	10			
127	Samoothrapalum	"	7	3			
128	Rattans	"	"	3			
129	Hadamon combroo or a kind of Deers shorn	"	4	5			
130	Cocoanut dry	"	"	10			
131	Birds skin	"	4	"			
132	Dammer	"	10	8			
133	Do. black	"	7	2			
134	Gum	"	2	10			
135	Carroonapputty	19	6	9			
136	Do. Colomboo	"	2	9	6		
137	Dry ginger	78	12	2			
138	Mace	13	9	"			

13526 Fort St. George 26th October 1816.

		Cwt.	A.	Q.	Cwt.	A.	Q.
		11	11	"			
139	Nutmeg	19	12	10			
140	Pepper	5	7	9			
141	Cubibs	"	7	"			
142	Sandalwood	1	7	8			
143	Sugara wood	"	11	"			
144	Margosa planks	2	13	7			
145	Mango Do.	1	10	10			
146	Early Ditto	9	7	11			
147	Jack Ditto	"	2	"			
148	Murootham Ditto	1	7	10			
149	Anjeny Ditto	71	11	"			
150	Bamboos	"	"	11			
151	Jungle wood	"	2	8			
152	Arroopooley	"	"	7			
153	Door frames	3	3	4			
154	Palmirah rafters	2	0	11			
155	Ochre				387	2	2
	Manufactured Articles						
1	Rice	10	1	7			
2	Piece goods	8060	7	2			
3	Cotton wool	668	"	8			
4	Juggery Palmirah	891	13	9			
5	Poonnacoy Oil	1353	3	"			
6	Cocoanut Oil	273	10	9			
7	Arethärum	"	2	1			
8	Aloes	"	11	10			

13527 Fort St. George 26th October 1816.

		Rs. A	Ps. A
9	White allocs	" 1	6
10	Valyelvoospoo	" 7	5
11	Salamoniac	" 8	7
12	Brimstone	5 3	10
13	Persian Salt	3 6	5
14	Vitriol white	" 1	"
15	Senacarum	" 14	5
16	Catecha	1 2	8
17	Nasagoondoo	" 4	10
18	Arrow root	3 14	8
19	Camphor	15 8	"
20	Arispoo	4 7	"
21	Shoorootoo	1 14	8
22	Ungarum	" 3	2
23	Hookil	1 4	7
24	Camphor fine	" 1	10
25	Blue	1 8	"
26	Purpadagum	" 2	4
27	Cashew nut seeds	" 2	6
28	Boxes	3 5	"
29	Moorookumpetty	1 3	7
30	Betelnut Box	" "	8
31	Pepper Box	" "	2
32	Marany	6 5	1
33	Rattan basket	1 5	"
34	Mora chepoo	" 1	4
35	Chairs	" 3	8
36	Cots	" 11	2
37	Do: Planks	" 2	7

13528 Fort St. George 26th October 1816.—

		Rs. A	Ps. A
38	Wooden traf	" 5	"
39	Do: Measure	" 2	"
40	Cadasel lamp	" 4	11
41	Bed	" 6	3
42	Pillow	9 5	9
43	Bed mat	" "	6
44	Do: Shadookoo	" 10	"
45	Palmirah Mats	" 3	3
46	Coiry Do:	" "	10
47	Colomboo Ditto	" 7	10
48	Celoyra Ditto	" 8	3
49	Thaly Ditto	" 12	2
50	Bamboo Ditto	" 1	5
51	Cachen Ditto	" 13	10
52	Jar	" 1	2
53	Hoorn Box	" 1	8
54	Stone Mill	" 17	
55	Ditto Mortar	" 5	7
56	Stone Sholly	" 5	1
57	Do: Nagar	" "	7
58	Grinding stone	" "	4
59	Do: Coolany	" 5	"
60	Table	7 "	"
61	Palankeen	" 15	"
62	Cradle	" "	8
63	Pots &c.	" 1	"
64	Coloured Coiry Mat	" 1	7
65	Hat	" 1	5
66	Thaly Umbrella		

13529 Fort St. George 26th October 1816

		Rx. A. P.	Rx. A. P.
67	Poycadaly	1 11 .	
68	Chunkvalyeb	. 7 6	
69	Do. ring	. 1 10	
70	Looking Glass	. 3 2	
71	China Glasses	. 7 2	
72	China frames	. 3 2	
73	Glass	. 3 6	
74	China plates	2 15 3	
75	Rubber	. 11. 3	
76	Marub Nar	1 11 1	
77	Red Cotton	. 1 2	
78	Silk thread	27 2 3	
79	Thread	109 3. 11	
80	Cheya root		
81	Coonjah Rool	72 5 5	
82	Cotton rope	2 11 1.	
83	Sugar	10 15 5	
84	Shoe	4 4 9	
85	Shoe with lace	. 2 5	
86	Leather Cuckaly	. 1 5	
87	Umbrella	5 6 3	
88	Silk Do.	1 9 2	
89	China Do.	. 4 10	
90	Cloth Do.	. 9 4	
91	Flatrice	. . 9	
92	Nar Basket	9 7 9	
93	Sandal wick	. 2 2	
94	Sugar	. 2 2	
95	Ghee	18 9 5	
96	Sugar Molasses	. 11 7	

13530 Fort St. George 26th October 1816

		Rx. A. P.	Rx. A. P.
97	Net	11. . 1	
98	Soap	. 1 5	
99	Razor	. 3 11	
100	Snuff	. 13 11	
101	Cargolly and Comb	. . 8	
102	Cudjan basket	. 7 5	
103	Rinnow	. 2 .	
104	Stockings	11 . 1	
105	Sealing wax	10 7 3	
106	Harness	1 . 10	
107	Bullock Bandy	1 4 3	
108	Bandy apparatus	. 2 2	
109	Blue Coir rope	. 1 1	
110	Silk Do. Ditto	. . 4	
111	Do. thread black	. 6 5	
112	Coongoomum	. . 5	
113	Jaggery refined	. 5 11	
114	Sugar Candy palmira	1 5 7	
115	Cheese	. 3 11	
116	Stick lac	. 1 10	
117	Glass Pictures	1 5 7	
118	Stone pots	. . 4	
119	Buttons	. 2 11	
120	Carroonamony pansey	. . 11	
121	Pansy saram	. 2 5	
122	Ollet	. . 11	
123	Tin Mug	. . 4	
124	Do. plates	8 9 9	
125	Hand rings	. . 3	
126	Ganal powder	. . 2 8	
127	Sandal	. . 9	
128	Cassey Corspy	. . 6	
129	Sandal ller	. . 8	
130	Rose Ditto	. . 6	
131	Lavender	. . 16	
132	Wooden Comb	. . 9	
133	Brass handle	. . 3	
134	Scissars		

13531 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

		Cks.	A.	P.	Cks.	A.	P.
135	Cotton seeds	1	11.	3			
136	Loose Coir	276	2	3			
137	Savary	2	2	10			
138	Drum stick	"	"	7			
139	Paper umbrella	"	"	10			
140	Cocoanut sugar	"	"	9			
141	Small slates	4	15	4			
142	Spinning wheels	2	"	5			
143	Wooden Shoe	"	2	4			
144	Ring	64	8	7			
145	Gyllo of cocoanaboo	"	"	2			
146	Rose water	2	12	9.			
147	Scales cover	"	4	"			
148	Lantern	"	"	4			
149	Paulicdooky sticks	"	10	10			
150	Loom	"	2	10			
151	Iron pin	"	2	7			
152	Do Knives	"	"	4			
153	Do oil &c.	6	13	7			
154	Milk vessey	"	2	"			
155	Benjamin	3	9	8			
156	Secretary	"	2	9			
157	Wood	"	1	1.			
158	Liquor	"	3	5			
159	Brandy	13	8	5			
160	Gin	2	8	7			
161	Beer	4	13	3			
162	Peppermint	"	1	"			
163	Vinegar	"	"	3			
164	Copper old	11.9	2	"			
165	Copper	23	11	1			
166	Iron	51	8	7			
167	Black lead	"	2	11			
168	White Ditto	"	4	8			
169	Candoos	"	10	4			
170	Iron Curspe	"	4	3			
171	Brass utensils	7	13	"			
172	Copper Ditto	1	13	4			

13532 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

		Cks.	A.	P.	Cks.	A.	P.
173	Gingely Oil	39	1	1			
174	Cocoanut Oil	"	"	11			
175	Mocoogo Oil	"	"	11			
176	Manowlly Oil	"	1	10			
177	Varnish	6	8	11			
178	Lamp Oil	1	2	8			
179	Mongoose Oil	1	10	11			
180	Country Mocoogo Oil	"	3	4			
181	Gunny	1	1	3			
182	Tape large	4	8	2			
183	Do Small	"	10	3			
184	Do Black	"	"	11			
185	Puttoonoolcoonjum	1	1	"			
186	Tape white	"	8	4			
187	Crumble black	"	8	7			
188	Scarlet	"	7	3			
189	Do Negarcoody	"	1	2			
190	China paper	1	3	"			
191	White paper	17	6	7			
192	Paper Clouded	"	10	10			
193	Red Do	"	1	7			
194	Black Do	"	3	6			
195	Brass laced paper	"	2	11			
196	Do rolls	"	3	7			
197	Yellow paper	"	3	4			
198	Gilt paper	"	6	8			
199	Do Sarookeypatlay	"	3	7			
200	Palmirah Leaves	"	4	10			
201	Sawoody Combo	"	8	9			
202	Books	14	11	7			
203	Castor shells	"	1	5			
204	Measures	"	1	7			
205	Bottle used to oil prep	"	1	8			
206	Cocoanut solely	1	11	"			
							12429 lbs 5

Grand Total Company's Rupees 5137 1 10

Tinnevelly 11th July 1846 } E. E. Jr. / Signed R. D. Parker
By Collectors Statement

13533

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

No. 7 Statement of the Gross collections of Sea Customs Revenue in Fusly 1256 in the Province of Tinnevelly -

Name of the Chowkies	Amount Collection for Fusly 1255 Rs a P	Remarks
Uyspaur	3213 10 10	
Tutacorin	52067 9 11	
Coilpatam	5733 9 11	
Colasagarapatam	11239 3 6	
	77254 2 2	
Tonnage duty	1759 6 11	

Tinnevelly 11th July 1846

E. E. P. / Signed / R. D. Parker
Ag Collr

Particulars

13535 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

No 9. Statement of Ab.Kary Revenue in Fusly 1255 in the Province of Timmevelly

1	2	Name of the Renters	Amount of rent 3 Rs as	Total 4 Rs as
Arrack rent of the whole District		Veeracoomara Panicken	14800 . .	
D° of Palamcottah		Veeracoomara Panicken Suppawny moottoo Panicken Maudasawmy Panicken	3300 . .	
D° of Tutacorin		Veeracoomara Panicken Suppawny moottoo Panicken and Madasawmy Panicken	1600 . .	
D° of Teotiwab at Tuckendoor		Trichendoor Madasaw-my Panicken	38 . .	
			19738 . .	
Toddy rent of Sauttoor D° of Sheeveelly poottoor D° of Shenkerninarcoil		Ramanadan and Vellia Naudan	976 . .	
D° of Ottapadarcum D° of Shermadavy D° of Vedoogramum		Shanny Permal Naudan and Shevadungoo Naudan	606 . .	
D° of Nangoonary D° of Vullyoor		Kathiapaula Naudan	477 . .	
D° of Nellambalum D° of Brummadasum D° of Punjamah		Sevanadanand Savary Moottoo Nadan	1379 . .	
D° of Sheeveycoondum		Permaul Naudan	562 . .	
D° of Tencausy		Maudasawmy Panicken	900 . .	
D° of Palamcottah		Maudasawmy Panicken	300 . .	
D° of Tutacorin			5700 . .	
		Total	25438 . .	

E E P

Timnevelly 11th July 1846 } Signed R. D. Parker
Ag Collector
Statement

13536 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

No 10. Statement of the Sundry Small farms and Licenses in the Province of Timnevelly for Fusly 1255.

1	Names of the Renters 2	Amount of Rent 3 Rs as	Total 4 Rs as
Fish Rents			
Nellumbalum		773 2 8	
Vedoogramum		696 6 .	
Tencausey		359 11 9	
Sathoor		50 15 11	
Streevygoontum		2134 10 2	
Ottapadarcum		219 3 .	
Punjamah		772 9 9	
Nangonary		990 6 .	
Vullyoor		3767 .	
Shermadavy		6867 13 8	
Brommadasum		651 13 .	
Streevelly poottoor		952 6 5	
Shenkerninarcoil		3328 3 .	
		431 11 .	5401 1 5
			12271 14 8
Shayarat rent of the whole District	Annamalyappah Pillay V Cumthemathenada Pillay	. . .	7800 . .
Shank rents	Mahomed Ebrahim Saib Aboobacker Lubby and 20 others	. . .	11867 . .
	Total Rupees		34938 14 8

E E P

Timnevelly 11th July 1846 } Signed R. D. Parker
Ag Coll.
Statement

1837.

32									
14	do	do					9	144	-
32	do	do					2	64	-
20	do	do					3	230	-
100	do	do					1	100	-
180	do	do					1	180	-
260	do	do					1	250	-
3	8	Johnnie Deere	Plows				227	113	8
1	Plows	do					127	127	-
2	do	do					140	280	-
4	do	do					1	4	-
24	do	Deere	Plows				18	4	8
8	do	do					30	15	-
1	Plows	do					14	14	-
2	do	do					3	10	-
4	do	do					1	4	-
8	do	do					2	16	-
								70	63

8043 9205 12 -

8	do	do							
32	do	do							
20	do	do							
100	do	do							
180	do	do							
1	Johnnie	do							
8	do	do							
1	Plows	do							
2	do	do							
4	do	do							
8	do	do							

32	do	do							
20	do	do							
100	do	do							
180	do	do							
1	Johnnie	do							
8	do	do							
1	Plows	do							
2	do	do							
4	do	do							
8	do	do							
2	do	do					2	306	-
8	do	do					875	218	12
4	do	do					396	148	-
8	do	do					696	696	-
1	Plows	do					95	190	-
2	do	do					186	744	-
4	do	do					30	240	-
8	do	do							

32	do	do							
20	do	do							
100	do	do							
180	do	do							
1	Johnnie	do							
8	do	do							
1	Plows	do							
2	do	do							
4	do	do							
8	do	do							
2	do	do					2	306	-
								4633	3128

13540 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

No. 13. Statement Showing the Amount of Revenue of Moturpha in the Zemindaries in the Province of Tinnevelly for Fusly 1255.

Number and Names of Zemindaries	Summah Amount Rs	a	p
1 Ettiapooram	4062	2	4
2 Shevagherry	1455	10	10
3 Woothoomalay	497	13	1
4 Parivyoor	720	11	8
5 Talevenkottah	128	.	9
6 Codumboor	150	15	3
7 Panully	133	2	1
8 Gollapuitty	292	8	8
9 Yaelvomaday	255	2	9
10 Nagapoory	70	13	5
11 Kadovacoorchey	19	2	11
12 Monyatchey	881	15	1
13 Sorundy	84	13	7
14 Sennelcoody	82	14	10
15 Attungary	102	.	2
16 Mailmaudy	75	12	10
17 Nagalapooram	613	3	3
18 Sundyoor	234	9	6
19 Woorcaud	155	14	1
20 Singumputty	15	11	5
21 Mannarcottah	178	10	.
22 Saithoor	324	11	1
23 Sastoor	241	3	9
24 Sevulputty	383	4	6
25 Surroodeapooram	130	1	9
26 Vadymettah	192	8	2
27 Collengoondam	38	43	1
28 Colathoor	132	4	9
29 Cadulgoody	248	10	.
Total Rupees	11363	5	7

Tinnevelly 11th July 1846. } E. E. P. / Signed R. D. Parker, Asst. Collr. Account.

13541 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

No. 14. Account Particulars of the Source of Extra Collections entered in Column 9 of the Statement A of the Extra Revenue of Fusly 1255 in the Province of Tinnevelly.

	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p
Salt						
Amount of Fines collected from Salt Servants	46	.	.			
Do. of Rasur being the Amount not paid to certain Salt Servants for broken periods during Fusly 1255	4	12	10			
Do. of Do. in the Sadar and Shengeo	572			56	8	7
Sayer						
Amount of Rasur being the Amount value of Sayer Rawanah not paid to certain Renters for Fusly 1253	2	.	.			
Amount value of Smuggled goods sold by Auction	323	12	8	325	12	8
Sea Customs						
Amount value of Smuggled goods sold by Auction	.	.	.	835	14	7
Stamp						
Amount of Fee levied on the sale of Dead papers	2	3	.			
Do. of Penalty levied from Suitors for writing Deeds on unstamped Paper	681	4	.			
Do. of Stamp duty Collected from Plaintiffs in Sawyer Suits	242	13	2			
				926	4	2
Moturpha						
Amount of Collections made on account of Moturpha not included in the Summah Sundry account of the preceding year	286	1	1			
Do. of Excess Collections made on account of Do.	5	8	8			
				291	9	9
Total Company's Rupees				2185	7	9

E. E. P.

Tinnevelly 11th July 1846. } / Signed R. D. Parker, Asst. Collector, Statement.

13542

STATEMENT *shewing the Gross Collections, Charges and Net Revenue of all Branches of Revenue, Land Revenue excepted, for Fuslies 1251 and 1255.*

	For the last three Fuslies.									Comparison of the Last two Fuslies.						REMARKS.
	Fusly 1253			Fusly 1254			Fusly 1255			Increase.			Decrease.			
	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	Rups.	A.	P.	
SALT.																
Gross Collections	270891	10	1	302734	13	10	293811	15	11	"	"	"	8922	13	11	
Charges for Purchase or Manufacture	34054	8	"	20964	11	1	27100	15	11	6136	11	10	"	"	"	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	12473	8	4	12359	1	7	12643	4	6	284	2	11	"	"	"	
Total Charges	46528	"	4	33323	5	8	39744	4	5	6420	14	9	"	"	"	
	219363	9	9	269411	8	2	253067	11	8	"	"	"	16343	12	8	
Net Revenue	19	"	44	11	"	1/8	13	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges																
TOBACCO MONOPOLY.																
Gross Collections	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Charges for Purchase	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Do. Superintendence and Sale, &c.	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges																
LAND CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	155841	10	9	59001	"	4	31557	7	8	"	"	"	6441	8	10	
Charges of Collection	10655	12	10	2301	6	"	7013	15	2	4212	9	2	"	"	"	
" Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
" Drawback	1849	12	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total	12505	9	6	2801	6	"	7013	15	2	4212	9	2	"	"	"	
	143336	1	3	55799	10	4	44518	8	4	"	"	"	10854	2	"	
Net Revenue	8	"	9/16	4	13	3/16	13	9	7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges																
SEA CUSTOMS.																
Gross Collections	59599	14	1	16612	11	3	7779	3	9	"	"	"	8836	4	6	
Charges of Collection	2126	14	5	2483	7	11	2898	4	3	414	12	4	"	"	"	
" Commission	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
" Drawback	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Total	2126	14	5	2483	7	11	2898	4	3	414	12	4	"	"	"	
	57472	5	8	14129	3	4	4881	2	6	"	"	"	84028	"	10	
Net Revenue	3	9	1	"	"	5 5/8	3	11	7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges																
ABKARY.																
Gross Collections	24939	"	"	25273	13	"	25662	"	"	388	3	"	"	"	"	
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	24939	"	"	25273	13	"	25662	"	"	388	3	"	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
SUNDRY SMALL FARMS and LICENSES.																
Gross Collections	36479	8	5	26443	11	10	20070	4	2	"	"	"	6373	7	8	
Charges	1	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	36478	2	5	26443	11	10	20070	4	2	"	"	"	6373	7	8	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
(Here enter all other items of Extra Revenue peculiar to the District.)																
Stamp Revenue.																
Gross Collections	23836	11	"	20402	10	6	10891	1	2	"	"	"	9511	12	4	
Charges	546	11	10	654	9	"	572	4	4	"	"	"	82	4	8	
Net Revenue	23289	15	2	19748	4	6	10318	12	10	"	"	"	9429	7	8	
Per centage of the charges	"	2	4 2/3	"	3	4	"	5	4 7/8	"	"	"	"	"	"	
VISSABUDDEE and MOHTURPHA.																
Gross Collections	98883	5	4	102653	12	11	109912	15	5	"	"	"	7160	13	6	
Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	98883	5	4	102653	12	11	109912	15	5	"	"	"	7160	13	6	
Per Centage of the Charges	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections	690477	11	8	696922	12	8	589707	2	11	"	"	"	16215	9	9	
Charges	66708	10	1	39262	12	7	59228	12	2	10965	15	7	"	"	"	
Net Revenue	623769	1	7	657660	"	1	530478	6	9	"	"	"	12781	9	4	
Per Centage of the Charges	9	13	12/13	5	10	11/16	8	10	29/32	"	"	"	"	"	"	
GRAND TOTAL.																
Gross Collections after deductions for Manufacture or Purchase of Salt & Tobacco	631417	3	8	675938	8	7	583600	3	"	"	"	"	122352	5	8	
Charges after deductions for Do.	27856	2	1	18238	8	6	23427	12	3	4829	3	9	"	"	"	
Per Centage of the Charges on the Gross Collections after deductions	4	8	7/8	2	11	3/4	4	2	17/31	"	"	"	"	"	"	

Finally 11th July 1846

A. Collector

A. Collector

13548

84-85

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Order thereon — Ordered to be sent again in Circulation
Read the following letter —

To,

J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

86

From the Pl. Coll. of Coimbatore — Requesting to know why there is no charge of 10 P Centon account of Sayer duty upon Tobacco to be supplied to Cochin may be made hereafter for the Amount that the Amount may be added to the Prices at which this article is furnished to the Government —

Sir

Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Board Revenue dated the 8th Ultimo, and Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 29th July last directing the immediate withdrawal of the Company's Sookies on the Cochin Frontier —

2 In obedience to these instructions the Custom Stations of Bodi Kepolliem and Marchemaikem pollium have been removed and due publicity given to the orders of Government in this District —

3 From the tenor of the communication now under reply I am led to conclude that the Sayer duty of 10 per Cent, also a Custom levied on Tobacco consigned to the Cochin Ceaver Since the promulgation of Act No VII of 1844 must also cease: but being doubtful whether I am correct in drawing this conclusion, or whether any arrangements are contemplated by the Board for securing this revenue by including it in the fixed prices as on a former occasion, I consider it necessary to bring it forward promineatly for consideration in order that I may be furnished with definite instructions on this point —

4 The duty on Tobacco to be furnished to the Travancore State being still leviable, and the Land Revenue Establishment being also small in comparison with that of the neighbouring Districts and inadequate for the performance of the multifarious duties here I have not dispensed with the Services of the two Sayer Goomastahs borne on the Rugeer list —

5 The loss of Sayer duty to Government by the withdrawal of the Custom station on the Cochin Frontier, amounts to Rs 3632-6½ per Annum upon the average of the last two years, that is from the period of the promulgation of Act VII of 1844 at 10 per Cent subject to the deficiency with reference to the fixed prices rate [29-6-1 13/16 a Candy] would Amount to

13544

Fort St. George 26th October 1846

to Rupees 18907-11-1 on the average Collections of 8 years from July 1846 to 1853 inclusive should a revision of such be claimed by the Cochin Government. Under these circumstances I would venture to remark that the liberal policy which the Government appreciate so much is apparently on the part of this Government and not on that of the Cochin State so far as this District is concerned: the import duties collected by our Custom Servants on Goods from Cochin being merely 900 Rupees per Annum whilst the Amount relinquished Rs will in one instance Amount to 3,632 and the other, to Rupees 18,907 as above stated —

Coimbatore — 7th September 1846 —

Signed J. C. Boughton
Principal Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply —

To
The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

86-87

To the Principal Collr of Coimbatore

Sir

Para I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th ultimo reporting

13545 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

reporting the removal of the Cochin Frontier Chokies, and requesting instructions regarding the 10 per cent advalorem duty which since the passing of Act XI 1844 has been charged on Tobacco supplied from Coimbatore to the Cochin Government.

2. In reply I am directed to request that you will state in what manner this and the corresponding duty on the Tobacco furnished to Travancore has been charged since 1844 where it has been paid and under what head it has been carried to account also by what route the Tobacco consigned to Travancore is usually conveyed to that Country, and whether it passes thro' the Cochin Frontier Custom Houses.

3 The Board presume that the sum Rupees 3,632-6 mentioned in Para 5 of your letter as the loss of Sayer duty accruing to Government from the withdrawal of the Cochin Chokies refers only to the duty on Tobacco exported to that State but as Tobacco cannot have been the only export the Board beg that you will furnish them with a Statement in the annexed form

13546 Fort St. George

form shewing the total import and export duty levied at the Cochin Frontier Custom Houses for each of the Fasles 1254-1255 that charged on Tobacco consigned either to Travancore or Cochin being distinguished from that Collected on all other articles. It is requested that an early reply may be sent to this letter.

Statement shewing the Amount of Import and Export duty levied at the Coimbatore Chokies on the Frontier of the Cochin Territory for the Fasles 1254-55.

Fasly	Export duty: Tobacco	Export duty: All other articles	Export duty: Total	Import duty	Total of Cols 4 and 5
	2	3	4	5	6
1254					
1255					

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1846

/Signed/ J. Bycroft
Secretary

Read

From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore — Read letter submitting an application from his Assistant Mr. M.J. Walhouse requesting leave of absence for one Month to proceed to the Neilgherries on private affairs under Sec XI (amended) of the absentee rules dated 29th January 1840.

(88)

Order thereon — Ordered to be submitted thro' the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.

(88-89)

To

S. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(90)

From the Collr of Canara —

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin* I have the honor to state that I entertain some doubts whether or not it was the intention

* From Dr 1st June 1843
To Dr 7 Ditto
From Dr 22d May 1846
" Dr 29th Sept.
" Dr 30th March 1846
To Dr 25th Sept.

intention of the Board that all the Enam lands elapsed as Saree Enam in the accounts of this district, and which were explained by the late Principal Collector Mr. Blair in his letter of the 17th June 1843 to be permanent alienations of land Revenue should come under the rules laid down by the Minutes of Consultation dated 26th April 1845, and to be reported on, on each occasion of a casualty occurring and I am induced therefore to request the Board's instructions on the subject, as I find that there have occurred within the past year some cases of deaths amongst the holders of such Enams which it will be my duty to report on should the Board be of opinion that such Enams come within the scope of the instructions above referred to.

Collr Cutcherry —
Mangalore —
4th September 1846

(Signed) J.S. Blane
Collector

Ordered

13549 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Canara

(90-91)

To the Coll.r of Canara

In Cons.n 26th Oct.r 1846

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 4th ultimo requesting to be informed whether all the Enam lands which are classed as Saree Enam in the accounts of your District are intended to be embraced by the Rules laid down in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation 24th April 1845, and in reply to state that all such Enams as may be of the nature of charitable grants, or conferred for any of the purposes specified in the Extract must be understood as coming under its provisions, and the lapses of such Enams with all particulars connected with them should be duly reported.

In Cons.n 22 May 1845

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1846

(Signed) J. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

13550 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Read the following Letter.

(92)

From the Collector of Canara

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request the sanction of the Board for the purchase of 725 Garces of Bombay Salt required for the consumption of the current year as shown in the accompanying statement.

The price paid last year for the supply of Bombay Salt was as high as 25 Rupees per Garce. The present contract of 17 Rupees per Garce is 8 Rupees less than the price paid in that year, and the saving upon the quantity now required amounts to Rupees 5800—

Of the total amount of the purchase price Rupees 12325 I request sanction may be granted for making the necessary advance to the Contractor to the extent of 4000 Rupees—

Collector's Cutcherry—
Mangalore 12th October 1846

(Signed) T. L. Blane
Collector

Statement.

13553 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

the whole of that sum —

will be required for —

the Manufacture of County

Salt — In the former case

it will not be necessary —

to obtain sanction from —

Government for the —

proposed disbursement —

of Rupees 12,000 for the

purchase of Bombay —

Salt —

13554 Fort St. George 26th October 1846 —

Read the following letter —

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

(94)

Sir,

Under date the 11th

From the Collr of Malabar — Reporting with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 11th June last on the present State of the Government Teak plantations in this District —

Para 1.

June last the Board of Revenue forwarded to me a Copy of a letter from Dr Gibson of the Bombay Establishment with instructions to submit an opinion and report on certain suggestions contained in it relative to the supply of Teak for Government purposes —

The Board are aware —

2.

that I delayed replying to this call till the cessation of the Monsoon would permit me to visit the plantations which have been formed within the last four years on the Beypoor river and report on their present state —

A correct report on this point it will be seen was most essential with reference to Dr — Gibson's remarks and queries —

In my letter of the

3.

14th October 1844 I mentioned that the difficulty of getting the Teak seed to germinate had been overcome, and recommended that planting operations should be carried on —

13553 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

the whole of that sum —

will be required for —

the Manufacture of Country

Salt - In the former case

it will not be necessary —

to obtain sanction from —

Government for the —

proposed disbursement —

of Rupees 12,000 for the

purchase of Bombay —

Salt —

13554 Fort St. George 26th October 1846 —

Read the following letter —

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

(94)

Sir,

Under date the 11th

From the Collr of Malabar — Reporting with reference to the Board's Proceedings of the 11th June last on the present State of the Government Teak plantations in this District —

Para 1.

June last the Board of Revenue forwarded to me a Copy of a letter from Dr Gibson of the Bombay Establishment with instructions to submit an opinion and report on certain suggestions contained in it relative to the supply of Teak for Government purposes —

The Board are aware —

2.

that I delayed replying to this call till the expiration of the Monsoon would permit me to visit the plantations of Teak which have been formed within the last four years on the Beypoor river and report on their present state —

A correct report on

this point it will be seen was most essential with reference to Dr — 's Gibson's remarks and queries —

In my letter of the

3.

14th October 1844 I mentioned that the difficulty of getting the Teak seed to germinate had been overcome, and recommended that planting operations should be carried on

Travelly cow and yeddacote on opposite sides of the Beypoor river.

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

on with vigour on two several though not distant localities, the soil of which appeared well suited for Teak. My proposition having been approved of cleared a sufficient space in the commencement of 1845 to enable me to put down 73,000 young plants and in this year, 1846, I succeeded in getting a lac into the ground.

The exact state of the plantation is as follows —.

I only take into consideration the trees planted in yeddacote and Travelly Cow. Many thousands were put down into two other sites nearer the sea (Manasherry & Ariacode) in the years 1843 & 1844 (as explained in my letter of the 14th October 1844) but they do not hold out hopes of ever becoming valuable timber.

Trees planted in	1843 —	10,000
Ditto ———	in 1844 —	42,000
Ditto ———	in 1845	73,000
Ditto ———	in 1846	100,000
	Total —	2,35,000

The general condition of these plantations is very satisfactory. The 10,000 trees planted in 1843 and of which I wrote so favorable an account in Number 4 of that year continue to thrive. They received a temporary check from a mistaken pruning some short time ago, but I have no doubt they will speedily recover.

4. The 42,000 planted in 1844 have on the whole a good appearance tho'

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

there are some partial failures — but nothing can surpass the promise of the 73,000 put down in 1845. May Native Conservator had profitted by experience and some hints I had been able to give him, and planted out a Crop of seedlings which at the age of 15 Months present an appearance of vegorous and even growth which it is a pleasure to look on. The lac of seedlings put down this year (3 to 4 Months ago) are planted in the immediate vicinity of those of 1845, and on the same principle and may reasonably be expected to grow up equally well with their predecessors.

The result of our planting

5. operations so far has no doubt been very satisfactary and gives every encouragement to persevere. It is for the Government to decide whether these operations shall be confined to Malabar or extended to Canara as suggested by Dr. Gibson. My opinion is that it will be well to extend them for the reasons given by the Doctor especially for that given in his 7th para that the forests of Canara are far more salubrious than those of Malabar.

I have already alluded to the

6. fact of the unhealthiness of the Forests properly so

Vide Letter to Board dated 30th November 1843.

so called of Malabar and shewn that experience has decided that all schemes for renovation of demand teak tracts must be confined to the more healthy or rather the less unhealthy localities to be found on the outskirts of the forest. Such are the localities on which I am at present Engaged - They are of Considerable extent and will afford surface for a large amount of plantation, but there is reason to believe that the trees though they will doubtless produce fine timber will not arrive at the same bulk or be equally valuable with the trees which grow in the deeper and more congenial forest such as by Dr. Gibsons account is available in Canara - It seems to me that considering the national purposes for which so much teak is required and that any we have to spare will always meet with Customers in the public Market, we may go on planting with vigour for many years in both Canara and Malabar without any fear of raising an over supply of teak - It must be remembered that not more than one third at the most probably only one fourth of the trees that are planted as ours are, Eight feet a part are destined to remain for Timber - The others must be thinned out as they arrive at maturity - I mention this with reference to the large numbers which I have shown at the Commencement of this letter we have already got planted. -

Probably 6 or 7 square Miles. - Note

It will be remembered I am only speaking of new plantations - here and that I do not wish to convey the idea that the forests which the Government obtained on mortgage - at the same time as they obtained the ground now used for plantations is bad property - altho not of such value as they would have been - had I been able to plant teak in them as I had hoped to have done; they are yet valuable for their self-sown plants of which there are many - thousands in all stages of growth -

7. In case that operations are Commenced in Canara I would give a Caution against the

13554 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

indiscriminate use of open glades. sites considered favorable for teak planting by Dr. Gibson - Experience has shewn me that the trees planted on the debris of the thick Jungle which has been previously felled and burnt, thrive infinitely better than those planted in the more open ground, which in some instances I had selected for the sake of economy - The reason is obvious enough that the soil is richer / and is acted on invariably in Ceylon by Coffee planters - But the glades referred to by Dr. Gibson may be different in soil &c. from those I have found in Malabar and better adapted for the purpose he specifies - I have no doubt the Doctor knows infinitely more of planting than I do and I merely desire for a general Superintendence of the new plantations on the western Coast - My sentiments on the necessity of having a person thoroughly qualified by a peculiar education to supervise our planting operations - to put us right when we are going wrong or still better to prevent us from going wrong at all, have been more than once brought to the notice of Government - See Letters to Board dated 13th June 1840 - 2d October - " 9th Nov. - " 10th March - 1843 19th April - " 30th Nov. - " 14th Octr. 1844 -

They are strengthened by every years Experience - For the present I think one person might well suffice for both Districts - Canara & Malabar His time might be devided between them as Experience shewed to

13555 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

In Europe I think a good deal of the more finer work pruning &c.a is done by the head man himself.—

to be most desirable—The real work in a Country like this must be done by his subordinates, Natives, but a visit and instruction of a few weeks would Ensure their doing it well—My present Native Conservator continues to merit approbation and he wants nothing but greater knowledge, both theoritical and practical, to make him a very useful servant.—

Calicut—
30th a September—
1846—

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly.—
Collector—

P. S.

Dr. Gibson in the Commencement of his letter, speakes decidedly against the use of — Molmain Teak which he says is proverbial for its inferiority—It may not be amiss to mention that Captain Williams the Government — Timber Agent at Calicut is of opinion that this inferiority is only owing to the injudicious way in which the tree is treated from having its oil extracted prior to its being felled and that if this process were put a stop to the timber would be found to approach in value to that of this Coast.—

I do not feel sure that this subject has not already attracted the Notice of Government.—

Captain Williams may further remark

13556 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

is quite of opinion with Dr. Gibson that something should be done to renovate and preserve the teak forests in Canara—He has favored me with an

/27th March 1844.— Extract from a demi-Official letter which he wrote to the Superintendent of the Indian Navy on this topic more than two years ago, and which I beg to forward—for perusal

/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector—

Extract from a demi-Official letter to the Superintendent of the I. N. dated Sadashagur—27th March 1844—

I cannot but consider it a matter of regret that the Forests in this quarter should of late years have fallen into such total neglect, the whole of the Northern part of this district is one vast Jungle, intersected throughout its entire extent by magnificient Rivers which render access possible and easy during the whole year, it is reported that lacs of teak trees are to be found in them and though they do not grow to the same size as the teak trees in Malabar. Still they average from three to ten Candies which may be considered valuable timber—there are also many other very Excellent sorts of wood, some of which are noticed in Captain Miller's report which was sent to me in July 1839—

In former years it was thought necessary to conservate these Forests and Officers were appointed for the purpose by

by the Bombay Government - Colonel Gilbert held the situation of Conservator for more than 16 years and afterwards Captain Jackes of the I. N. Succeeded to the appointment - about the year 1823/24 Captain Jackes was withdrawn and the Conservator's Establish=ment broken up, I am not aware from what cause but I have an impression it was in Consequence of the discontinuance of the building in Bombay of the line of Battle ships for the Crown and in consideration of the very large Stock of timber — which was then on hand and which was only entirely expended towards the latter end of Sir Charles Malcolm's administration during the time of the Conservancy precautionary measures were in force and although no attempts were made to improve the Condition of the Forests by pruning and planting, still means were adopted to preserve the Teak — trees from destruction and injury - for the last ten — years not only have all prohibiting restrictions been removed but permission has been granted by the Collector to cut indiscriminately all kinds of wood except Teak, without other Cost or fee than the payment of the sea Customs duty on exportation - to such an extent has this permission been taken advantage of that for at least 25 Miles up this River, the vicinity of the banks on each side have been Entirely cleared of every kind of Jungle wood and the Sub Conservator declares -

declares that if ordered to provide 100 logs of such he could not obtain them without great difficulty and expense - To shew the trifling gain to Government by the export duty on the timber cut down, I have procured the following Statement from the Poshkars Office —

	Rs	As	P
From June 1841 to June 1842 Candies cut 2,908 amount of duty	599	14	10
— " — " — 1842 - " — " — 1843 — " — " 1541	308	7	— "
— " — " — 1843 to this time — " — " 2370	474	4	— "

until within the last 2 Months the permission to cut, extended to all kinds of wood except Teak but lately the felling of 3 other sorts has been prohibited, viz^t Blackwood Jackwood and Poon Spars it is however almost too late to apply the remedy as the great evil has already been effected - the Sub Conservator considers that at the Smallest Computation 5,000 Blackwood trees have been felled and taken away from the immediate vicinity of the banks of the River - The purposes for which Jungle wood is cut are to supply the Goa Country with material for building and the Bombay market with firewood, there are many Jungles within the Goa estates from whence wood might be obtained for building purposes but the Goa authorities have wisely inter=dicted the cutting of wood from them for the present. —

Captain Miller in his report states that he found the

Fort St.e George 26.th October 1846.

by the Bombay Government - Colonel Gilbert held the situation of Conservator for more than 16 years and afterwards Captain Jackes of the I. N. Succeeded to the appointment - about the year 1823/24 Captain Jackes was withdrawn and the Conservator's Establish=ment broken up. I am not aware from what cause but I have an impression it was in Consequence of the discontinuance of the building in Bombay of the line of Battle ships for the Crown and in Consideration of the very large Stock of timber - which was then on hand and which was only entirely expended towards the latter end of Sir Charles Malcolm's administration during the time of the Conservancy precautionary measures were in force and although no attempts were made to improve the Condition of the Forests by pruning and planting, still means were adopted to preserve the Teak - trees from destruction and injury - for the last ten - years not only have all prohibiting restrictions been removed but permission has been granted by the Collector to cut indiscriminately all kinds of wood except Teak, without other Cost or fee than the payment of the sea Customs duty on exportation - to such an extent has this permission been taken advantage of that for at least 25 Miles up this River, the vicinity of the banks on each side have been entirely cleared of every kind of Jungle wood and the Sub Conservator

declares -

Fort St.e George 26.th October 1846.

declares that if ordered to provide 100 logs of such he could not obtain them without great difficulty and expense - To shew the trifling gain to Government by the export duty on the timber cut down, I have procured the following Statement from the Postkeard's Office. -

	Rs	as	p
From June 1841 to June 1842 Candies cut 2,908 amount of duty	599	14	10
— " — " — 1842 - " - " — 1843 — " — " 1841 —	308	7	"
— " — " — 1843 to this time — " — " 2370 —	474	4	"

until within the last 2 Months the permission to cut, extended to all kinds of wood except Teak but lately the felling of 3 other sorts has been prohibited Viz.t Blackewood Jackwood and Poon spars, it is however almost too - late to apply the remedy as the great evil has already been effected - the Sub Conservator considers that at the Smallest Computation 5,000 Blackewood trees have - been felled and taken away from the immediate vicinity of the banks of the River - The purposes for which Jungle wood is cut are to supply the Goa Country with material for building and the Bombay market with firewood, there are many Jungles within the Goa estates from whence wood might be obtained for building purposes but the Goa authorities have wisely inter= dicted the cutting of wood from them for the present. -

Captain Miller in his report states that he found

the

13559 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.—

the Teak tree to flourish better in situations where it obtained shelter from other trees growing immediately around it, and I consider therefore that the present supply of timber which was all felled from places where the Jungle wood had long since cleared away may have become greatly deteriorated from the soil being rendered dry, hard and impoverished by the absence of surrounding vegetation.—As yet the Cutting of Jungle wood is almost solely confined to this River, being the nearest to the Goa territory, but as the supply is becoming exhausted, it will no doubt be soon Commenced in the Rivers Gungawallah, Tuddery and Honore, which are all within a few Miles of each other to the Southward of this and on the banks of which teak timber is found.—

I regret that my information on the subject of the former conservancy is so limited and that I possess so little means of adding to it—the whole of the conservators records were destroyed some years since at the burning of the Principal Collectors Office, which took place during some outbreak at Mangalore—but should it be required, much I think may be learnt from the records of the old Marine Board, part of which are still in the Superintendents Office, and part transferred to the Military Auditor Generals Office

13560. Fort St. George 26th October 1846.—

Office, I would however respectfully submit as a matter worthy of consideration whether it may not be desirable to restore the Conservancy of the Canara Forests on its former footing—the Jungles being entirely the property of Government there can be no objection on the score of interference with private right, as in Malabar, and being so conveniently situated and so easy of access they are capable by due Conservation and proper attention to planting and pruning of being made nurseries on the grandest scale, from whence the Dock yard may be supplied with all kinds of timber which can only be grown in Malabar.

(Signed) C. T. W.

Read again also letter from the Collector of Canara dated 24th June and entered in Consultation 24th August last.—

Ordered that the foregoing letters be submitted with the following address.—

To The Chief Secretary to Government—

94-95

Sir,

To the Chief Secy. to Government— In Cons. 11th June 1846.

Para 1. With reference to the Resolution of Government dated 29th May last, I am directed by

13561. Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit to the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the accompanying letters from the Collectors of Malabar and Canara, on the subject of the suggestions relative to the Teak Forests of their respective districts, contained in Doctor Gibson's letter of 25th April 1846.—

From Malabar 3d Sept. inl. 26th Oct. 1846.
From Canara 24th June inl. 24th August.
3069–1988–

2. It will be seen that the Collector of Canara has stated, that no other observations occur to him as necessary to be made on Dr. Gibson's report, than those which he has already offered in his letter of 20th June last, which, with the Board's views regarding it, was laid before Government on the 20th August.—

Inl. 20th Aug. 1846.

3. The Collector of Malabar has given a highly gratifying account of the state of the new Teak plantations in his district, and has submitted some suggestions on the subject of Teak planting, which seem to the Board likely to be of service in the event of planting operations being extended to Canara.—

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
26th October, 1846

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

13562 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

To
T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

96

Sir,

From the Collector of ——— Madras & Regr. of Govt. Grants.

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue the papers noted in the Margin relative to a Permission Certificate applied for by Mr. A. Stokes for certain land Situated in the Collectorate of Guntoor.

Submitting certain papers connected with an application by Mr. Stokes for a Permission Certificate for certain lands Situated in the Collectorate of Guntoor.

1 Explanatory Statement from the Register of Government Grants.
1 Letter from the Collector of Guntoor dated 8th September 1846.
1 Copy of Dr. to Dr. 14th Dr.
1 — Dr. from Dr. 18th Dr.
1 Application.
1 Plan.

Madras 25th September 1846.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston.
Actg. Regr. of Govt. Grants.

Description

13564 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

No. 2.

To,

The Register of Government Grants,
Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to request you will be pleased to submit my application for a Government grant for the land described in the accompanying plan Situate at the Town of Guntoor and comprising 46 — 3 10/16 acres Contains as recently measured.

2. This land was included partly in the Village Site of Guntoor, and partly in the Vasireddy Zemindary. The greatest part of it was enclosed many years ago and occupied by Mr. Churchill who built a Bungalow upon it. The Bungalow and ground were subsequently purchased by the Vasireddy Zemindar. After his death the property was purchased by Mr. Oakes, and has since passed by purchase, thro the hands of the several Collectors, 14 Veesums of Sery land having been enclosed by Mr. Whish, 32 Veesums by Mr. Goldingham as explained in the accompanying Copy of a letter from the last named Gentleman to the Board of Revenue.

3. I purchased the property from Mr. Goldingham in 1842 and have since paid for the ground

13565 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

ground according to the usual rate of assessment in this Village. As the Zemindaries have now been purchased by Government the difficulties in the way of obtaining a Government grant have been removed.

Guntoor,
Collr's Cutcherry,
8th September 1846.

(Signed) H. Stokes.
Collector.

Enclosure in No. 2.

To,

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Board 26th Sept. 1839. Para 2 & 4 June 1840.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin, I have the honor to state, that, with the exception of the ground on which the house occupied by the Commanding Officer Stands, there are no lands at this station held under Government grants, on which quit rent is payable. The ground on which my own Bungalow is Situated with the exception of about 14 Veesums enclosed by Mr. Whish, and 2 Veesums enclosed by myself, as well as the ground on which the Bungalow lately used as the Court house, belonging to Mulrauze Lutchmiah, is situated in the Village Site. My own ground appears to have been enclosed many years ago & afterwards became the property of Mr. Churchill,

who

who built a Bungalow upon it. The Bungalow and ground were subsequently purchased by Wassereddy Vencatadry Naidoo after his death the property was purchased by Mr. Oakes and has passed thro the hands of the Several Collectors to the present time. The ground belonging to Mulrauze Lutchimah was formerly Village Site. as also a portion of the ground on which Mr. Newberry's Bungalow Stands. Quit Rent has never been payable, on the grounds of the 3d Bungalows above indicated, and it is concluded that the orders of the Board cannot apply to the same. The ground on which the new Court house lately purchased by Government is Situated, as well as the land Enclosed by Mr. Walsh and myself and other Serj lands in the occupation of individuals, is, of course, liable to the rules regarding Serj Assessment; the Amount of Assessment being payable to the Zemindar.

The house and land in the occupation of the Commanding Officer was the property of Moonsoondaramiah. A quit rent is payable on this land as noted in the Correspondence referred to in the Margin.

(Signed) J. Goldingham
Collector.

(A true Copy)

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector.

*The Collectors Bungalows
Mr. Newberry's
Do Mulrauze Lutchimiahs do.

From Bd. to Government dated 23d January 1832
From Govt. to Board 3d July 1832.
From Bd. to Coll. 10th November 1832.

Guntoor
Coll. Cutcherry
13th October 1846.

No. 3.

To H. Stokes Esqr.
Collector of
Guntoor

Sir,

With reference to your letter under date the 8th instant, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to furnish me with an application in the form prescribed by the Mems of rules transmitted to your Office on the 2d April 1835, shewing the extent of ground in Cawnies, Grounds and Square feet, and to state what amount of Quit rent the property is liable to pay, and whether there is any bill of Sale from Mr. Goldingham assigning over his title and interest to you.

2. I beg leave to return the plan as the extent in it also should be in Cawnies Mas. instead of Acres and Gountahs.

Madras
11th September
1846.

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Coll. & Regr. of Govt. Grants

(A true Copy)

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Coll. & Regr. of Govt. Grants.

No. 4.

To
J. A. Hudleston Esqr.
Collector of Madras and
Register of Government Grants.

Sir

13568

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Sir,

Para 1. As pointed out in your letter of the 14th Instant, I have the honor to send in the prescribed form of an application from myself for a Government Grant for the land in my possession at Guntoor, together with the plan in which the extent of land has been entered in Cummins.

2. As it is my own land I feel a difficulty in proposing the amount of Quit rent to be fixed on it. The land having been partly Village Site exempt from assessment, and partly zemindary property, no assessment appears to have been paid up to the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 26th September 1839.

3. Since the property came into my possession the assessment was fixed upon it by the Cummins under the ameen of Rupees 22-8-3. being at the rate of Rupees 16-4-11 per Cootchell for 1. 6-3/11 of land of 4th Class.

4. I have no bill of Sale from Mr. Goldingham The whole of his property in the Guntoor District including several other Bungalows and furniture was purchased by me and I have his notes acknowledging the receipt of the purchase Money which shall be sent if required.

Guntoor,
Coll'rs Cutcherry
18th September

Signed H. Stokes.
Collector.

To,

13569

H. Stokes Esq.
Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

I request you will procure the permission of Government to dispose in the above manner of forty cawnies of ground herein described.

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

Name, Caste and Residence of the Applicant: H. Stokes

Situation of the Ground: Since within which it is held

Extent in Cawnies: 31

Contents in Square Yards: 20

Boundaries on the East, West, North and South sides: Bounded on the East by Cawnies, on the West by Cawnies, on the North by a road, on the South by a road.

Nature of the Soil: Red and gravelly

Buildings erected thereon: I have laid out Forty cawnies as a Garden; Forty cawnies as a Compound. On one large and two smaller dwelling houses Cook room and Godowns, a Stable and Coachhouse. Carriage and Cattle shed. All of which buildings I have erected.

Signed H. Stokes

Collector.

Guntoor,
Coll'rs Cutcherry
18th September 1846.

Sale Certificate.

Sale hereby certified that the foregoing application has been duly entered in the Cutcherry Register of Sales.

Signed H. Stokes
Collector.

Here enter No. & Name of Village.

13570. Fort St. George 26 October 1846.

Resolution thereon- Resolved that the foregoing

(96-97) letter be submitted for the orders of the

Most Noble the Governor in Council with

the Board's recommendation that Sanction

be granted for the issue of the

Permission Certificate requested

by Mr. H. Stokes for certain

land Situated at Guntoor measuring —

Gs Sfs

Cawnies 34—20—1309 subject to a Quit

Rent of Rupees 22—8—3-

Read the following —

letter —

To,

13571- Fort St. George 26th October 1846-

To J. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(98)

From the Actg Collr of Sea Customs Madras

Sir,-

With reference to your letter of the 3d Instant, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that the 40 Bags of seed Paddy therein alluded to have been Shipped on board the Dhoney No. 957 consigned to the Collector of Tanjore at Negapatam as directed and to request that you will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of the Board of Revenue for the accompanying Bill amounting to Company's Rupees 14-7-2 being charges incurred thereon-

Sea Custom House
Madras
30th September 1846

I have the honor Sir
Your most obedt Servt
(Signed) J. H. Morris-
Actg Collr of Sea Customs-

To

13572 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

The Honorable Company ——— Dr.

1	To 2 Boats hire for landing the seed Paddy from the Steamer Hugh Lindsay received from Rajahmundry		3 " "
2	To 40 Ramiapatam Empty Gunny bags for packing the above at 16½ Rs. 7f 0/		6 9 6
3	To 10 Pobluns of Gunny Twine		" 1 "
4	To Coly hire for Packing the above		" 6 3
5	To Dr. Do. for measuring 335 Measals of seed Paddy at 20 fanams per Garce		1 6 5
6	To Do. Do. for removing the 40 Bags of seed Paddy from the Custom House to the Beach to Export		" 10 "
7	To 2 Boats hire for Shipping the above on board the Dhoney No. 987		1 14 "
8	To 2 Turpaulins hire for the use of Shipping the seed Paddy		" 8 "
	Company's Rupees		14 7 2

Sea Custom House
Madras
30th September 1846

E.E. Dr. (Signed) H. Morris
Asst. Coll. Sea Customs.

Resolved

13573 Fort St. George 26th October 1846

Resolution thereon. Resolved that the foregoing

(98-99) letter be submitted for the orders of the most Noble

the Governor in Council with reference to Extract

In Con. 6th July 1846. minutes of Consultation dated 23d June last.

The Board beg to recommend

that the Acting Collector of Sea Customs may receive

sanction for charging the above sum of Rupees

14—7—2. in his accounts.

The Board proceed to take into

consideration the Statement noted below and to

(100) record their remarks thereon.

From the Actg. Sub Treasurer. Statement of Contingent Charges

(100-101) incurred during the months of August last.

Order thereon. Ordered that the necessary re-

=marks of the Board be inserted in the proper

Column of the abovementioned Statement and

submitted for the sanction of Government.

(102) Read the undermentioned Statements

From the Coll. of Ramdipatam. Statement of Contingent

Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred

in the Revenue Department during the

Quarter ending 30th June last. Statement-

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

13574

(103) From the Coll.r of Masulipatam.- Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Magisterial Department during the Quarter ending 30th June last.-

(104) From the Coll.r of Guntoor Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the Revenue Department during the Quarter ending 30th June last.-

(102-105) Order on the 3 abv.e Statements.- Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.-

(106) From the Coll.r of Nellore.- Read the undermentioned lists.- List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th Sept.r last.-

(107) From the Coll.r of Nellore. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.-

(108) From the Coll.r of Malabar.- List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.-

(109) From the Coll.r of Malabar. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September last.-

(106-110) Order on the 4 abv.e lists. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

(111) From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Read Register of Endorsements passed by him on petitions referred by the Board during the Month of September last.- Ordered.-

Fort St. George 26th October 1846.-

13575.

Order thereon. Ordered to be lodged in the Office

(111-112) Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.-

(113) To the Collector of Bellary.

To the Coll.r of Bellary. Sir, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to furnish the further information called for in my letter of the 20th April last regarding the nature of certain petty taxes.-

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George 26th October 1846. } /Signed/ J. Pycroft.- Secretary.-

To The Acting Collector of North Arcot.-

(114.) To the Actg Coll.r of North Arcot. 1350 of 1846. Sir,- I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Petition from P. Soobarayaroodelly and P. Yagambaroodelly and to request you will be pleased to report on the subject brought to the notice of the Board by Petitioners.

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George 26 October 1846. } /Signed/ J. Pycroft Secretary.

To-

13578. Fort St. George 26th. October 1846.

121 From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last.

122 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the month of September last

123 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Statement shewing the average bazaar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of September last.

124 From the Coll.r of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain & Salt from 12 to 31st. July last.

125 From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the month of September last

126 From the Commr. in Kurnool Acknowledging the receipt of printed forms of Demand Collection & Balance & Extra Revenue Statement.

127 From the Coll.r of Madras Submitting for sanction an Indent on the Commissary General for 6 empty Casks required for the use of the abkarry Department.

127-128 Order thereon. Ordered that the original Indent accompanying the abovementioned letter be passed and returned.

129 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Return of Persons suspended & dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during the month of September last.

130 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Stating that the Returns of persons tried and confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the month of September last are not sent there being no entry to make.

131 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly Acknowledging the receipt of printed forms of Statements of Demand Collection & Balance & Extra sources of Revenue.

" A Maclean

" Wollen Elliots

" Ogilvie Shaw

13579. Fort St. George — October 1846.

Diary.

27th. October. Received the following letters.

To, T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

1 From the Sub Collr in charge of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that I have this day made over charge of the District to W. D. Davis Esqre. Collector, whose Receipts for the balance of Cash in the Treasury are herewith transmitted.

South Arcot
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore,
24th. October 1846

(Signed) D. White
Sub Collector
in Charge

Received.

13576 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

115

To the Collr of South Arcot.

To the Collector in the Southern Division of Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will be so good as to forward to this Office at your early convenience a copy of the abstract and detailed Lists of Jemmadars Durmadars and Saliyendars for Fasly 1210 transmitted to you from this Office under dated 2d October 1806.

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1846

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary.

116

To the Collr of Tanjore.

To
The Collector of
Tanjore.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Petition from Annamoottien Veeraspidar of Ramanaya and to request you will have the goodness with reference to your Endorsement dated 5th October last to report more fully by letter on the Petitioners case.

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1846

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary

13577 Fort St. George 26th October 1846.

117

To the Collr of Vizagapatam.
The same to Masulipatam Bellary Cuddapah Chingleput North Arcot Tanjore Madura Coimbatore Madras and Salem.

To
The Collector of
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

With reference to the Circular from this Office dated 17th August last I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit as early as practicable the Statement therein called for of the annual Revenue drawn from the Land in your District during the last 30 years or from 1815 to 1845.

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
26th October 1846

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Circulars news letters and statements.

118 From the Collr of Bellary. Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during the Month of September last.

119 From the Collr of Canara. Stating that no Revenue defaulters were confined or released during the Quarter ending 30th September last.

120 From the Collr of Guntoor. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance from 12th to 31st July last.

13578. Fort St. George 26th. October 1846.

121 From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

122 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last

123 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

124 From the Coll.r of Guntoor. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain & Salt from 12 to 31st. July last.

125 From the Pr. Coll.r of Madura. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last

126 From the Commr. in Rurnool Acknowledging the receipt of printed forms of Demand Collection & Balance & Extra Revenue Statements.

127 From the Coll.r of Madras Submitting for sanction an Indent on the Commissary General for 6 empty Casks required for the use of the Abkarry Department.

127-128 Order thereon. Ordered that the original Indent accompanying the abovementioned letter be passed and returned.

129 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Return of Persons suspended & dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during the Month of September last.

130 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. Stating that the Returns of persons tried and confined under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of September last are not sent there being no entry to make

131 From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly Acknowledging the receipt of printed forms of Statements of Demand Collection & Balance & Extra Sources of Revenue

" A. Maclean
" Wm. Elliot
" Goldingham

13579. Fort St. George — October 1846.

Diary.

27th. October. Received the following letters.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.

1 From the Sub Collr. in charge of — South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that I have this day made over charge of the District to W. D. Davis Esqre. Collector, whose Receipts for the balance of Cash in the Treasury are herewith transmitted.

South Arcot
Collector's Catcherry
Cuddalore,
24th. October 1846

(Signed) D. White
Sub Collector
in Charge

Received.

13580 Fort St. George the October 1846.-

No. 1.-

Received from D. White Esqre. Sub Collector in Charge of South Arcot in the under-mentioned Coins the Sum of Company's Rupees Four Lacs Ninety nine thousand six hundred and fifty nine and Pice one being the Balance of Cash up to this date according to the accounts of the Treasury.-

	Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount	Total
			Co Rs. a 8 Co Rs. a 0 Lo Rs. a 0		
Gold	Gold Rupees	15	1500 " "	225 " "	
	D — 1/2 — D.	4	750 " "	30 " "	255 " "
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835 and 1840	4,00,183	100 " "	4,00,183 " "	
	D. — 1/2 — D.	22,301	50 " "	11,150 8 "	
	D. — 1/4 — D.	8,029 0	25 " "	2,007 2 8 "	
	Double Annas	38,121	128 "	4,765 2 "	
	Silver Rupees of the Coinage previous to 1835	42,410	100 " "	42,410 " "	
	D. — D. — 1/2 — D.	8,766	50 " "	4,383 " "	
	D. — D. — 1/4 — D.	4,833	25 " "	1,208 4 "	
	Double Annas	731	128 "	91 6 "	
	Single — D.	204	64 "	12 12 "	
	Bombay Rupees	508	100 " "	508 " "	
	D. — 1/2 — D.	44	50 " "	22 " "	
	D. — 1/4 — D.	10	25 " "	2 8 "	
	Dollars Spanish	3,091	220 " "	6,800 3 2	
	Sicca Rupees	1	10451	1 " 8	4,91,610 3 10
Copper	New Copper 1/2 anna pieces	65,600	32 "	2,050 " "	
	D. — 1/4 — D.	1,42,871	19 "	2,232 5 9	
	Pice	69,390	" 84	361 66	4,643 12 3
	Bank Notes	7	" " "	3150 " "	3,150 " "
			Total Company's Rupees		4,99,659 " 1

Cuddalore
Collector's Cutcherry
24th October 1846.-

Errors Excepted

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Received

13581 Fort St. George — October 1846.—

Received from D. White Esqre. Sub Collector in charge of South Arcot, in the undermentioned Coins, the sum of Company's Rupees six thousand three hundred and twenty five and Pice ten, being the amount deposited in the Revenue Treasury by the Civil and Session Judge and the Principal Sudr Ameen of Cuddalore according to the accounts of the Treasury.—

Species		Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion per 100 pieces of Coins.—	Amount	Total
			C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.	C Rs. a. p.
Silver	Company's Rupees of 1835 and 1840	1,000	100 " "	1000 " "	
	Silver Rupees of the Coinage previous to 1835	5300	100 " "	5300 " "	
	Dc. — 1/2 — Dc.	50	50 " "	25 " "	
Copper	Piece	10 " 84	" "	10	
	Total Company's Rupees				6325 " 10

Cuddalore — — —
Collector's Cutcherry
24th October 1846.—

Errors Excepted (Signed) W. D. Davies
Collector

Lo

13582 Fort St. George — October 1846.

To J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(2)

From the Collr. of South Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that I have this day taken charge of the District together with the balance of Cash in the Treasury amounting to Company's Rupees 5,05,984-a-11 from D. White Esqre. Sub Collector in charge to whom I have passed my Receipts in duplicate.—

Company's Cash — 4,99,659-a-1
Court Deposit — 6325-a-10
5,05,984-a-11

South Arcot — — —
Collector's Cutcherry
Cuddalore — — —
24th October 1846.—

(Signed) W. D. Davies
Collector.—

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government.—

(1-3)

28th October.

From the acting Collector of Chingleput.

(4)

Received letter submitting an application from his Assistant Mr. G. F. Fullerton requesting leave of absence for one Month under Section XI of the (amended) absentee rules to proceed to the Presidency on urgent private affairs.—

(4-5)

Order thereon. Ordered to be submitted through the Channel of the Civil Auditor for the favorable consideration of Government.—

13583 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

At a Meeting Present.-

Thursday 29th October 1846.- } G. D. Drury Esqre. Senior Member
A. Maclean Esqre. Acting Second Member
Walter Elliot Esqre. Third Member
and
J. Goldingham Esqre. Officiating Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.-

Read the following Extract.-

From the Secy. to Government } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 10th August 1846.-

Read the following letter.-

6

To E. P. Thompson Esqre.
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.-

Sir.

1 I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 20th Ultimo and enclosure replying on the subject of my letter dated the 9th May.-

2. The President in Council concurs in the views of the Governor in Council at Fort St. George on the expediency of allowing Deeds to be registered under Act XIX of 1843 at the Cutcherries of Tahsildars and the Court Houses of District Moonsiffs and would propose to give the Madras Presidency a

13584 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

a Law like the Act XXX of 1838 for the Bengal Presidency with any alteration that the Governor in Council may suggest which shall be considered suitable.- It is an object of much importance and one which must depend on the local Authority to secure the appointment of Trustworthy Persons to the charge of the Registry Office wherever established.-

Fort William } (Signed) G. A. Bushby
The 18th July 1846. } Secretary to the Govt. of India

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue and Court of Sudr Udalut for their opinion and report.-

Ordered that the following letter be Submitted in reply.-

6-7

To the Chief Secy. to Government } To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

Stating that it appears to the Board that the provisions of Act XXX 1838 may very properly be made applicable to the Madras Presidency.

Sir,

1 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of an order of Government No. 467, 10th August 1846. communicating to them for their opinion and report, copy of a letter to the Madras Government, from the Secretary to the Government of India, 18th July 1846.- in which it is stated that the President in Council would propose

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

propose to give to the Madras Presidency a Law like the act XXX of 1838 for the Bengal Presidency with any alteration which may be deemed suitable

2. In reply the Board direct me to state that it appears to them that the provisions of Act XXX 1838 may very properly be made applicable to this Presidency. The only suggestion which occurs to the Board to offer is that in Section III the words "should be subject to the payment of the same fees as are prescribed" might perhaps with advantage be altered to "shall be subject to the payment of no higher fees than are prescribed" or some equivalent expression. The fee on the registration of every deed prescribed by Section XIV Regulation XXXVI. of 1793. of the Bengal Code, corresponding with Section XIV Regulation XVIII 1802 of that of Madras is two Rupees which appears to the Board to be a high charge. They would submit that this sum may properly be fixed as the maximum, and that it be left to the local Government in carrying out the arrangement to determine according to circumstances the precise amount to be charged, which the Board further think should be as moderate as possible, and should be fixed at such a rate only as may

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

suffice to cover the necessary expences of registration.-

3. The Board would further take this opportunity of observing that on the Local Registry Offices being appointed, under the proposed Act, it would be very desirable that a General Register should be kept at the Central Registry Office at the Zillah Court, and that the parties in charge of the local Offices, should be required to transmit for entry in such General Register, Copies of all Registries made in their Offices, within a given time (perhaps 24 hours) after the original registration may have been made. It is not necessary that a rule to this effect should form a part of the provisions of the Act itself; but it appears to the Board to be deserving of adoption, with other subsidiary arrangements, on the System coming into operation, as calculated to form an useful check upon the subordinate registry Offices.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following Extract

Extract

13587 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

From the Offg. Secy to Govt. } Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 24th October 1846.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue.-

(Here enter 27th August 1846 No. 411)

Under all the circumstances communicated in the foregoing letter, the Governor in Council is of opinion that the safest course which can be adopted with respect to the surplus funds of the Ramnad Zemindary which are invested in Government Promissary Notes, is that suggested by the Board of Revenue in the concluding portion of para 4.- They will accordingly instruct the Principal Collector of Madura in the first instance to move the Civil Court for permission to deposit the Promissary Notes in the Court pending the issue of the legal proceedings now in progress, and on refusal to proceed to the further measures suggested.-

(True Extract)

To the President and Members of the Board of Revenue.-

(Signed) H. C. Montgomery
Offg. Secy to Govt.

Resolution thereon.- Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Principal Collector of Madura, with reference to his letter dated 21st July 1846.- Mr. Blackburn will be pleased, in conformity with the instructions contained in the Extract Minutes Consultation communicated to him, to move the Civil and if necessary the Sudr Court for permission to deposit the Promissary Notes in their charge pending the issue of the legal proceedings now in progress and he will report to the Board the result of his application.

Read

13588. Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Read the following letter

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From Collector of Madulipatam. Forwarding the consideration of the Board's application of the Zemindar of Ellore requesting that upon certain conditions the attachment lately made of the Bahurzully portion of his estate may be taken off- The Amount of arrears on account of which the Bahurzully Purgunah has been Instituted was Rupees 39,317-14- as shewn in the margin, of this the Zemindar has already paid up Rupees 10,000 and in the Argee now submitted he promises to pay up another 10,000 Rupees previously to the attachment being withdrawn and the remainder Rupees 19,317-14-; with the Kists of Fusly 1256 & 1257

Sir,

Para 1. With reference to my letters of the 3d Instant, I have the honor to forward for the purpose of being laid before the Revenue Board the accompanying copy and translation of an Argee addressed to me by Narrain Apparow Zemindar of Ellore, requesting that

Fusly 1256 1284-230
1255-1625
Total 39317

13589 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

3- As the Zemindar would have some difficulty in raising the 10,000 Rupees which he has now engaged to pay in anticipation of the withdrawal of the attachment unless there were a prospect of its immediate withdrawal, and as the proposed arrangement appears to afford as early a prospect of the realization of the present balance as could be expected were the estate retained under management, there appears to me to be no objection to the Zemindar's proposition being entertained, and I accordingly submit it for the Board's favorable consideration.

Masulipatam Collector's Cutcherry 21st September 1846. } (Signed) R. T. Poc

Translation of an Urzee addressed to R. T. Po... Collector in the Zillah of Masulipatam by Ka... Naviah Apparow Bahadur Zemindar of ... Yrs Dated 13th September 1846.

Your honor having ... namah to me on the 28th August ... that as there is a balance of Rs. ... 39317-14- due on my Estate on ...

Fusles C... 1282-230 ... 1255-1625 ... Total 39317

13590 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

the Peishcush Amount probably fixed thereon — the Peishcush Amount probably fixed thereon — Viz. Rupees 23058-5-6 for Fusly 1254, and Rupees 16259-2-6 for Fusly 1255, a Tauleed has been issued to the Tahsildars of Ely Rabut in order that the Bahurgully Purgunnah appertaining to my Estate should be retained under sequestration; and that the Management thereof be accordingly carried on the Fusly Establishment for the same being entertained and deputed, I most submissively beg leave to state my Estate, previous to your assuming charge of this District was held under Circar attachment, your honor understanding the circumstances of my having been subjected to every trouble in life by all means, were so graciously pleased as to put the Management of my Talook in my hands at the commencement of Fusly 1254 with a view to extricate me from the troubles I have experienced, and tho' I therefore did my utmost to bring the Talook in question, which had prior to that time, been under deteriorated condition into improvement as far as practicable with intent to pay up in full the Circar Peishcush by improving it extensively so... too for Rs. at the same might be fully paid without allowing the

13591 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

the Talook to be retained again in attachment and to cherish thereby your honors favor; yet owing to the failure of seasonable rains the produce in fusly 1264 failed added to x the depreciation in prices while in fusly 1255 [the favor] the outturn was in likemanner decreased and thus the resources in the said two years not having yielded in full and likewise the Villages appertaining to my Estate, which are capable of yielding an annual sist of Rupees 11000 having been made to my creditors [the sist thereof has been reduced from the of my Estate] a sum of Rupees 2,37,462 15- 2 hitherto paid to Circar on account of the each of the said two years, the sibbandy Charges which are fixed on a moderate scale having been deducted, the balance amounting to Rupees 39,317-14- as stated in the Enoytnamah issued by your Honor after deducting the Amount of interest the payments made by me remained unpaid And tho' there have been at present available resources to pay up the balance, I heartily trust that after Subdivision of my Talook is effected

13592 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Circar, will on consideration of the relative conditions of the same fix thereon Peishcush amounts as may be deemed advisable, and so save my Estate consequently I with a view to liquidate the balance in question as far as possible, have addressed to your honor an Arzee on the 28th August promising to pay Ten Thousand Rupees within the 10th Instant by procuring loans and advances of money - But previous to the said Arzee being received by your honor the attachment of the said Bahargully Purganah - has been effected, as the measure in attaching the same operates to decrease the Extent of cultivation of the Purganah in as much as it entails large expence on account of Fusly charges - I have this day remitted to your Treasury (10,000) Ten Thousand Rupees as I agreed to in your presence, in part of the balances of Rupees 39,317-14- above alluded to, besides this I should procure loan of Ten Thousand Rupees under the confidence that the attachment will be withdrawn and pay the same prior to the removal of the attachment - to the remaining

amount

13595 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

No. 1

Guntoor Zillah Principal Suder Ameens' Court

Auxiliary Court No. 70 of 1841

Plaintiff
Rayah Wafsereddy
Ramanadha Bamboo.

Defendants
Meerka Sawarully
Vakeel
Chametey Caty Rauma.
Swamy Sastrey.

H. Stokes Esqre
Vakeels

1. Tandapully Croostniah
2. Goondasarapoo Croostniah.

Decree.—

The Plaintiff in his Plaint states that in the Village of Moondraidoo the Defendant had clandestinely raised an Enclosure of prickly pear which included 53 Coontahs and 15¾ Veesums of Survey land Vizt. in Fusly 1247 40 Coontahs bordering on the Garden of 6 Veesums of Manloogoojaurey (Ameen) belonging to Ameenuddeen Cultivated by N. Tesdee C Rungiah, Mootiah and Curnautey Pooliah and others, and 6 Coontahs and 13¼ Veesums of Sur land on which Natcheney was Cultivated by Manleek and belonging to Hanzee on the Sou of the Luckkaranza warey Garden; and fusly 1250-7 Coontahs and 2½ Veesums of C land cultivated by Aumoodala Pully Kis and others on the South of the Garden of 5 Veesums of the said Ameenooddeen and he (Defendant) had Cultivated part land without paying the assessment to

382-230
5-1623
fol 39317

13590 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

that he (Plaintiff) had preferred a Complaint to the Collector of this Zillah which was referred to the Head of Police for investigation that the Defendant had, before the case was decided by the Head of Police, set up litigious Claims against the Village Curnums and the Renters and in Nos. 1141 & of 1841 in the Auxiliary Court and in Nos. 42 of 1840 and 110 of 1841 in the District Moonsiffs Court of Chaybrole and that therefore the Suit is instituted for the recovery of the land Comprising 53 Coontahs and 15¾ Veesums paying an annual Sistiof 188-15-2 ath the rate of Rupees 3-6-0 per Coontah and of Rs 680-10-6 on account of the Assessment of past fusles.

The Defendant in his answer denying the allegation of the dispute, asserts, that one Ameen Cadeen had granted by one Allah Khaly according to the permission of the Baudooshaw on the 6th of Shoval Month Hejjera 1151; that in this land he (the Ennamdaur) had planted Palmira Mango, and Cocoanut trees and having Constructed a Tank in the Middle Cultivated Natcheney & that he having had 4 Sons, named Fariza Mahomed Goolam Saheb, Hanzim Khaudeen and Meeryah Bunker they enjoyed the land in question in four divisions; that three of them had died leaving Beejaubee and Bada Saheb as heirs that 6 Veesums of land which fell to the share of Beejaubee was professed by him the (Defendant) according to the

Document

13597 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

Document dated 12th of Rujjub Month Hezere 1230, Corresponding with the 13th increasing Moon of Chaitrem year vreshu; that Badasahel was enjoying the remaining 10 Veesums, had given the same as dowry to his daughter Loonabee on her marrying him /the Defendant/ as would appear by the Document dated 15th Mohurrum Hezery 1240, Corresponding with the 2nd increasing Moon of Bhaudrapadem year Taurnah; that he /the Defendant/ having proceeded to Hyderabad sent for his wife and Beejabee possessing the said 6 Veesums of land in the year Nundanah, and that in the year Menmardha, he returned and having gradually raised an Enclosure, planted Mango trees and grew Nutcheney thereon. He further States that no assessment is to be paid to the Zemindaur on account of the Lands in question that the Crops would not pay the Sist as claimed and that the Suit was preferred against him in this Court as well as that of the Chaybrole District Moonsiff thro' the instigations of his Enemies.-

The Plaintiff in his reply states that Mahomed Ameenooddeen had not one Cotchel of Enam land, but only the Garden Containing 6 Veesums of Maulooga jaurey land; that he and his family had likewise right only to the produce of the Trees in that Garden; that assessment on account of other Crops should be paid to the Zemindaur and that the Summuds and Documents mentioned in the Answer are not genuine and moreover that the land is twice the extent

mentioned

13598 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

mentioned in the answer.- He further enumerates the owners of the land.-

The Defendant has filed his Rejoinder refuting the Statements Contained in the Reply.-

25 Documents have been filed on the part of the Plaintiff. These are marked with A. B. C. D. E. F. G. H. I. J. K. L. M. N. O. P. Q. R. S. T. V. W. X. and Y.-

Seven Witnesses named Yellapragadah Croostnah, Y Cadavaloo, Ammoodaulapully Paupiah alias Venkata Croostnamah Yellapragadah Pretenamen Amoodaulapully, Tangunnah, Potacoora Nauroydoo and Raunadoss have been examined on the part of the Plaintiff and the other 3 are dispensed with.-

17 Documents have been filed on the part of the Defendant. these are marked with A. A. B. B. C. C. D. D. E. E. F. F. G. G. H. H. I. I. J. K. K. L. L. M. M. N. N. O. O. P. P. and 6 Seven Witnesses named Ahmuzeegaurey Shaick Hussain Cuddapah Shaik Meerah Pedda Shaik Dawa Nautum Gopaulcristnamah Meer Abdul Hupsain Meer Pantelah and Curnanty Poolliah have been examined. The Voluminous Record of this Cause has been perused by the Acting Principal Sudr Ameen to the best of his power.-

In the Pleadings filed by both parties the fact of some land having been granted to Meer Ameenooddeen for planting a Garden in the Village of Moondraidoo is fully acknowledged. The Garden

Contents

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Consists of the Shares of Beejan Beebee and Bada Saheb the heirs of Ameen Noodaleen. The Share which Beejan Beebee had in the Garden was trans-ferred to the Defendant by the Ittehanamah or Document dated 12th Rujjul Month Hejery 1230 Corresponding with the 13th Increasing Moon of Shyetrem year Croodhy. The fact of the Ittehanamah having been executed by Beejan bee is established by the evidence of the 4th 5th and 6th Witnesses on the part of the Defendant. From the Record it appears that Bada saheb's right to the Garden and its produce was transferred to the wife of the Defendant by the Document called Buksheelnamah marked A. A. bearing the date 15th Mohorum Month Hejery 1240 Corresponding with 2nd Decreasing Moon of Bhandra-padum year Tarnah. From the evidence of the Witnesses Named Caddapa Shaik Meeran and Nauben Gopaulloo on the part of the Defendant it is clear that this Document was executed by Badasaheb to his daughter Sonabee as to the enjoyment of the produce of the trees in the Garden it is fully owned that Ameen Noodden and his heirs have a right.-

The 2nd Plaintiff pleads that the Land of the Garden is only 6 Veedums and that he had unquestionable right of Collecting the Assessment on

Fort St. George 29th October 1840

on the crops cultivated on that land. On the other hand the Defendant urges that the land granted to Ameen Noodaleen by the former Govern-ment for raising a Garden and Constructing a Tank is one Casarapauty Coolchill and that no Assessment is payable to the Circkaur on account of it.-

Consequently the points to be consi-dered in this Cause are three 1st The extent of the land originally granted for raising a Garden and Constructing a Tank.-

2nd In the event of the Crops being raised on the land granted for such purpose whether or not any Assessment should be paid to the Circaur on account thereof.-

3rd Whether the Defendant has annexed any land to the Garden he originally had by enclosing it.-

With respect to the 1st of the 3 points it is to be observed that the Extracts of the Goodecattoo Accounts of the Village of Moondrandoo for Fuslies of 1236-1241-1242-1244-1234-1240-1239-1237- & 1235 marked with the K. L. A. P. Q. R. W. and H. and the document marked J. being Extract from the Form of Gardens for Fusly 1235 which have been filed by the Plaintiff and the documents of

Fort St. George 29th October 1840

of the Defendant of Fuslies 1241 & 1244 Marked with O. & P. & exhibit that the Gardens under dispute is half a Cootchel of land - From these documents it appears that the extent of the land is greater by 2 Veesums than than Mentioned in the Plaint by the Plaintiff Consequently there is a Contradiction between the assertion made in the Plaint and Exhibits produced by the Plaintiff - In opposition of the extent discovered by these Exhibits the Document Marked S being an Extract from the Dowle Khaum-dist Memorandum of Fuslay 1219 filed by the Plaintiff further shews that the Garden land of Ameen Oodeen is 6 Veesums - In Contradiction to this document the Exhibit Marked V.I. dated 5th increasing Moon of Chaitrein year Doormaty Fuslay 1210 being Goodecultoo Memorandum of Moondraidoo which has been filed by the Defendants shews that the Garden in question is 14 Veesums A Copy of this Document it appears was filed in the original Suit No. 559 of 1820. To account for the above Contrarieties it appears that a Kyfeyet was taken before the Shirstadar of the Collectors Cutcherry on the 16th April 1843 from the Village Curnums named Yellapragada Croostniah and Kasavooloo - From this Statement it appears in the accounts from fuslay 1223 to Fuslay 1225 the extent

Fort St. George 29th October 1840

extent of the Garden under dispute was entered as only 4 Veesums by the Curnum Appenh and that the period from which it is mentioned in the accounts that the Garden is half a Cootchel is fuslay 1234 - In the event of Ameen Oodeen or his heirs having really usurped other land why then was the Garden land Mentioned in the old account of fuslay 1210 as 14 Veesums? It could not be accounted for after the Curnums were given to understand that a part of the land was included in the Garden why was it Stated in the account from fuslay 1234 as half a Cutchell? No reason has been assigned for it. The acting Principal Sudr Ameen having examined the Original Goodecultoo accounts of certain Fuslies of the Village Moondraidoo finds the whole extent of land of the Village to be 489 Cutchells and 10 Veesums - He observes that all the exceptions were not at once deducted from the above Cutchells - The total land of the Village, sites tanks Ponds Rivers, forests barren ground, sand hills passages and burying ground differ from the accounts of fuslies 1234 - 1235 - 1236 - 1237 - and 1239 - In the account for fuslay 1240 the total land of the extra exceptions was correctly noted notwithstanding which the No. of Cootchels of land occupied by the sand hills that is 240 or 241 does not

603

not correspond with that entered in the accounts of former fuslies under this head. The number of Cutchells said to Contain Gardens does not appear to have been uniformly entered in all the accounts above mentioned.-

Although the Gardens are not entered under the head of exceptions in these accounts yet from the Moojmool Dowle accounts of fusly 1223 they appear to have been deducted as exceptions. The Dowle accounts of fusly 1224 and the Khoom List accounts of Fusly 1225 exhibit nearly the same. The above Documents being inconsistent with one another are not applicable or useful (to the suit).-

With respect to the 2d Point the acting Principal Sudder Ameen observes that from the Document Marked J. bearing date of 8th July Fusly 1238 from the Extract of Kyfeyet of Amanney Gardens for Fusly 1239 marked M. the Memorandum marked N. bearing date 11th August Fusly 1243 the document marked T. being Extract of Dowle account for Fusly 1235 that marked V. being Extract of the Dowle account of Fusly 1237 and from the Document marked W. being Extract of the Dowle account of Fusly 1237 it appears, that an assessment was Collected on account of the Crops Cultivated within and without the Garden under dispute but the Defendant asserts that 2 or 3 years after the Garden came into his possession he left this Country for Hyderabad which has not been objected to by the Pleint ff from this it appears that during the time Defendant was absent this Collection was made. Consequently the Collection

marked
under
do do
d is
Pleint
tion
ibits
to
t

Collection is not sufficient proof to establish the right of the Zemindar to the land containing the Garden. In the Document Marked G. dated 31st October 1840 it is stated that Collection was made during the time the Defendant was absent It is not intended by this either to refute the above allegation or to prove that the Collection was made in presence of Defendant or with his knowledge. From the Document Marked L. L. filed by the Defendant it appears that the Ameenah was of opinion that the Zemindar had no right to Collect such dues. From the Memorandum Marked C. dated 5th January 1840 it appears that some assessment was Collected in Fuslies 1210-1219-1221-1224 & 1228 on account of Crops, but the original account from which the item of Collection made in fusly 1210 was excheated was not produced to the Court by the Deputy Recordkeeper of the Collectors Cutcherry who declared that the original account was not to be found. From the original account of Fusly 1219 the Item of 27 Rupees does not appear as from the Memorandum. Moreover in this account the Garden of Beejanbee is not entered in the names of the individuals from whom the amount in question was collected as appear from the Memorandum. The account of fusly 1221 brought by the Deputy Recordkeeper bears the same appearance. The original accounts of Fuslies 1224 & 1228 do not at all shew the Garden in question. The original accounts

Fort St. George 29th October 1840

accounts capable of shewing the items of Collection exchibited by the Memorandum as made in Fuslies 1240 and 1241 were not brought by the Deputy Record keeper.-

The payments which were made, as appears from the Memorandum from Fusly 1210 to Fusly 1226 are not established by the original account in the Collectors' Cutcherry.- The Defendant having instituted a Suit against the Curnum Yella pragada Croostnah and Casavooloo it is stated that the Memorandum in question was prepared with reference to the Accounts alleged to have been in the possession of the said Curnums which has warranted the assumption that they were influenced by Malice against the Defendant. Consequently the above mentioned Witnesses were cited and on Examining the 1st and 2d Witnesses regarding the original accounts the 1st states that in the accounts of the said Fuslies the Garden of Beejanbee was not entered and that he recollects having seen Crops raised in Fusly 1210 as well as in the other period abovementioned and caused it to be entered in the accounts. The Acting Principal Sudr Ameen is therefore of opinion that the Memorandum is not sufficient to support the presumption that revenue was collected on account of the Cultivations both within

Fort St. George 29th October 1840.

within and without the Garden prior to Fusly 1228.-

The Order of the Collector, appearing from the document marked D. being the deposition given without oath before the Head of Police on the 6th November 1840 purporting to have been issued directing the Collection of Assessment upon a Complaint preferred by T. Raumachendra Row the renter, in consequence of an objection to the payment of the same made by Beejanbee either in Fusly 1231 or 1232 has not been filed the Government Vakeel has declared that this order was not for the coming- From the Kroboom marked J. J. dated 21st January 1841 filed by the Defendant it appears that he has enjoyed not only the fruits of the Trees in the Garden under dispute, but also the produce yielded in the land, and that it was directed that he should be allowed to enjoy the same as usual- From the Document marked A. A. addressed by the Tannadar of St. Jamptotam under date the 13th July 1838, it appears that the Gardens in the Village of Moondraidoo have been deducted under the head exclusive lands also the Document marked M. M. dated 11th July 1838 being Copy of the Kyfyet bearing the signatures of the Curnums Yella pragada Croostnah and Casavooloo tend to infer that the Garden under dispute has been entered under the Head of Exclusive Lands.

The Document marked I being Copy of the

Fort St. George 29th October 1840

accounts capable of shewing the items of Collection exchibited by the Memorandum as made in fuslies 1240 and 1241 were not brought by the Deputy Record keeper.-

The payments which were made, as appears from the Memorandum from Fusly 1210 to Fusly 1226 are not established by the original account in the Collectors' Cutcherry.- The Defendant having instituted a Suit against the Curnum Yella pragada Croostnah and Casavooloo it is stated that the Memorandum in question was prepared with reference to the Accounts (alleged to have been in the possession of the said Curnums) which has warranted the assumption that they were influenced by Malice against the Defendant Consequently the above mentioned Witnesses were cited and on Examining the 1st and 2d Witnesses regarding the Original Accounts the 1st States, that in the accounts of the said Fuslies the Garden of Beejanbee was not entered and that he recollects having seen Crops raised in fusly 1210 as well as in the other period abovementioned and caused it to be entered in the accounts.- The Acting Principal Sudr Ameen is therefore of opinion that the Memorandum is not sufficient to support the presumption that revenue was collected on account of the Cultivations both within

Fort St. George 29th October 1840.

within and without the Garden prior to Fusly 1228.- The Order of the Collector, appearing from the document marked D. being the deposition given without oath before the Head of Police on the 6th November 1840 purporting to have been issued, directing the Collection of Assessment upon a Complaint preferred by T. Raumachendra Row, the renter, in consequence of an objection to the payment of the same made by Beejanbee either in Fusly 1231 or 1232 has not been filed the Government Vakeel has declared that this order was not for the coming- From the Memorandum marked J. J. dated 21st January 1841 filed by the Defendant it appears that he enjoyed not only the Fruits of the Trees in the Garden under dispute, but also the produce yielded in the land, and that it was directed that he should be allowed to enjoy the same as usual- From the Document marked L. L. addressed by the Tannadar of Chingleput under date the 13th July 1838, it appears that the Gardens in the Village of Moondrandoo have been deducted under the head exclusive lands also the Document marked M. M. dated 11th July 1838 being Copy of the Kyfyat bearing the signatures of the Curnums Yella pragada Croostnah and Casavooloo tend to infer that the Garden under dispute has been entered under the Head of Exclusive Lands.- The Document marked I being Copy of the

the deposition given by Totacoor Nauraidoo under date the 5th January 1841 is of no use, in consequence of its having been given without oath - altho it appears from the Document Marked A. that the Garden under dispute has not been entered under the head of Enams in the Village of Moondraidoo yet this is not sufficient to conclude, that this Garden is of Malguzary, whereas it appears from certain documents above discribed that the Garden has been entered under the head of Exclusive lands. The 7th Witness on the part of the Plaintiff have in three places, stated that the Defendant had usurped the land, that the Garden of Beejanbee consists of only 6 Veesums, that the Heirs of Ameenooddeen have right to enjoy only the fruits of the Trees in the Garden, and that an assessment was collected at 3½ Rupees per Cutah on account of all kinds of Crops cultivated on the land said to have been usurped. Nevertheless the 1st 2nd & 3d Witnesses being the Curnums of Moondraidoo appertaining to the Zemindaury of the Plaintiff were named by the Defendant in this Suit, in consequence of the late Auxiliary Court's Rule. Besides, the evidence they give, that the Garden consists of 6 Veesums is opposed to the accounts kept by the Curnums from Fusly 1234. In the Document marked

Marked G. they admit that in the accounts from Fusly 1234 the Garden is entered as half a Cutchell without omitting it in any one of them. altho the Witnesses appear to be ardently desirous to declare that the Tank and the Garden under dispute, which are entered in the accounts as half a Cutchell, have not been constructed and raised by Ameenooddeen the author thereof - Yet, from the Document marked F. F. filed by the Defendant, it appears, that the Tank near the Garden was only constructed by Ameen ooddeen. From the Village accounts shewing the Tank to be situated at a distance of 200 Fathoms from the Garden, this circumstance appears to have been surmised moreover from the Document marked L. of the Plaintiff it appears that the Tank in question is the Eastward boundary to the Garden. -

The 4th Witness Vistah Venkatacroot=namah preferred a Complaint against the Defendant that he had usurped his Enam. Consequently he may perhaps be at variance with him. The 5th Witness appear to be a near relation to the Renter who has several concerns with the Defendant either in respect to the land under dispute or its produce. The 6th Witness admits that he had given evidence against the Defendant before the District Moonsiff of

of Chaybrole - Under these circumstances their evidence appear to be inadmissible. The evidence of the 7th Witness has been unsupported, consequently it is not sufficient to establish any of the points. -

Although the Defendant asserts that the land granted to Ameenoodeen is one Cutchell in Casara pand standard. Yet the Accounts marked B. B. C. C. & D. D. filed by him to prove this circumstance have been torn or worn out in some places and in some places the words are totally illegible. On considering the tenor of either these Documents or any of them which have not been filed before any of the Public Officers, the enjoyment of Sistah Venkata Crishnamah, of a portion of the land situated within the boundaries specified by the Witness on the part of the Defendant, and the removal effected according to the orders of the Magistrate of the enclosure raised by the Defendant to that land the statement that these Documents have not been conformed to in the actual transactions appears probable. The other Documents filed by the Defendant are not of any importance. -

From the Ameenah Report filed under Section XVII Regulation III. of 1802 the 6½ Coontahs of land appears to have been

situated at a distance of about 3 miles from the Garden under dispute. The Defendants failed to shew the manner in and the obligation by which the Defendant had obtained the land therefore it is decided that this land viz. 6¼ Coontahs should be made over to the Plaintiff by the Defendant. -

Under the above circumstances the acting Principal Sudr Ameen is not of opinion that the Plaintiff has proved his right to any portion of the remaining land at the two places claimed out of the 69 Coontahs and 9 Veesums exhibited by the above respondent as present in the possession of the Defendant. -

Therefore the claim to the other land is rejected. -

It is awarded that both parties should pay their Costs respectively. -

22d February 1844.

In conclusion the Court declare that in the event of making a private settlement with respect to this Decree, the Conditions of such settlement should be reciprocally made known to all the parties concerned in it and an agreement entered into by all of them filed in the Court's Record, otherwise they cannot be admitted as valid. -

Costs

13611 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Costs incurred by the Plaintiffs

one stampt paper for Vacaalutnamah under
Section XX. Regulation XIII
of 1816 ——— " 8 "

First paper of the Complaint
Dr. XIII Dr. of Dr. ——— 50 — " —

Attached papers Dr. XIX of Dr. ——— 1 — " — 51 — " —
2 Papers for reply under Dr. Dr. of Dr. — 2 " "
3 Dr. for application of 10 Witnesses
under section XVI Dr. of Dr. ——— 10 — " —
25 Dr. for Dr. of 25 Exhibits under
Section XVII Dr. of Dr. ——— 8 — " —
1 Dr. for Vakalutnamah under
Section XX Dr. of Dr. ———
5 Dr. for three Motions under
Section XIX Dr. of Dr. ——— 5 — " —
Pleader fees under sections
XXV Regulation XIV of 1816 ——— 43 — 7 — 3
Notice Batta ——— 1 — " —
Batta for serving Summons on
the Witnesses ——— 1 — " —
33 Stamps for Copies of ——— 16 — 8 — "

These should be borne by the Plaintiff 155 — 15 — 3

Costs

13612 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Costs incurred to the Defendants.

Stamp for Vakalutnamah under
Section XX Regulation XIII of 1816 ——— 8 — "
5 Dr. for Answer under Section
XIX Dr. of Dr. ——— 5 — " —
1 Dr. for Rejoinder Dr. Dr. Dr. ——— 1 — " —
2 Dr. for applications for 9
Witnesses under section XVII ——— 9 — " —
19 Dr. for Dr. Dr. Documents
under section XVI Dr. of Dr. ——— 19 — " —
3 Dr. for 3 Motions under section
XIX Dr. of Dr. ———
Pleaders fees under section XXV
Regulation XIV of 1816 ——— 43 — 7 — 2
Batta for serving summons
on the Witnesses ——— 2 — " —
18 Stamps for Copies of ——— 9 — " —

These should be borne
by the Defendants 91 — 15 — 2

Out

13613. Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Out of Rupees 43-7-3 being Fees deposited on behalf of the Plaintiff Rupees 27-2-6 being 6/10 should be paid to the Pleader Tandapally Croostniah and Rupees 16-4-9 being 6/10 to the Pleader Goondavarapoo Croostniah and the Fees of Rupees 43-7-3 depo-sited by Chamity cunty Ramaswamy Sastry Pleader on behalf of the Defendant should be paid to him

The appeal time terminates with the 22d March 1844

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court this 24th day of February 1844.

/Signed/ T. Soondarachariar
Acting Principal
Sadr Ameen

/Signed/ Croostniah
Government Vakeel

/True translation
/Signed/ H. Stokes
Collector.

13614 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

No. 2.

Draft of Answer.

No. 70 of 1841.

To The Zillah Court at Guntoor.

Respondent.

Appellant.
H. Stokes Esquire
Collector of Guntoor
on behalf of Government.

Meersha Sawenully in public Employ and an inhabitant of Nizampatam.

Appeal against the decision of the Acting Principal Sudder Ameen in O.S. No. 70 of 1841.

Para 1. A Suit having been instituted in the late Auxiliary Court of Guntoor in No. 70 of 1841 against the Respondent (then Defendant) by Rajah Vaseereddy Rama-nadha Bauboo, for the recovery of 53-15 2/4 of land, paying an annual sist of Rupees 188-15-2, at 3 Cost Fees.

Rupees 8 as: per Coontah, illegally inclosed and claimed as exempted land by the Respondent; viz in Fusly 1247, 40 Coontahs of Sery land situated near the garden of 6 Veesums of Maaluzaary land belonging to Ameen Godheen, and Cultivated by Nurrah Rangiah Moottya Curnaty Poolliah and others; also 6 Coontahs and 13/4 Veesums of Sery land South of Lue Karaudzewar's garden, and Cultivated with Kutcheny by Mulucka and

and others belonging to Stanjee; and in Fusly 1250, 7 Coontahs and 2½ Veesums of Sery land cultivated by Amoodalapully Nursiah and others situated South of the Garden of 6 Veesums belonging to the said Ameen Oodheen; and for Rupees 680-10-6 on account of past years Shist; the Respondent filed his answer, and other documents, and while the Suit was pending the Vassereddy Estate came under Government management on the 7th January 1842, since which time the Suit was Carried on thro' the Collector on behalf of Government, and the Vassereddy Zemindar. The Vassereddy Estate having on the 1st of April 1846 been purchased by Government. Vasseredy Ramanadha Bauboo has ceased to have an interest in the Suit which is therefore now carried on by the Collector on behalf of Government alone.-

2. The Acting Principal Sudder Ameen not considering the real merits of the case, passed a decree on the 22d February 1844 adjudging to the Plaintiff, only 6 Coontahs 13¼ Veesums of land and rejecting the claim to the remainder; making at the same time each party responsible for their respective Costs. The decree was signed and sealed on the 24th and time for Appeal allowed to the 22d March

The

3. The Appellant having been under the necessity of referring to the Board of Revenue, and various hendrances thro the change of Collectors and other causes having occurred, to prevent the preparation of the appeal, it was necessary to move the Court at various times for an extension of the time allowed to appeal, which was duly granted. The time last allowed will expire on the 5th November.-

4 The Appellant being dissatisfied with the above decision, appeals to the Zillah Court to reverse it on the following grounds.-

5 The decision of the Principal Sudder Ameen is grounded on the Assumption, that the possession by one Ameen Oodheen, of a certain quantity of land granted for making a garden and Tank in the Village of Moordadoo is admitted by both parties; that the Defendant, now Respondent, has proved, that he obtained that land, being the land under dispute, from Ameen Oodheen's heirs, and that the Plaintiff had failed to prove that either encroachments have been made beyond the limits of the land originally granted to Ameen Oodeen, or that the land in dispute, if Cultivated with other

produce

produce than trees, is liable to Assessment.

6. The Appellant however submits that under the provisions of Regulation XXXI of 1802, the Respondent was bound to produce an authentic title for all the lands claimed, and that under Section XII of that Regulation, if the Sunnud were liable to exception, the Court was bound to decree in favor of the Plaintiff. That the Sunnud which has been produced in this suit, is not to be depended on is fully admitted by the Principal Sudder Ameen, and he has in fact actually decreed to the Plaintiff, part of the land which Defendant claims under that Sunnud.

7. Moreover, the limits laid down in the Chacknamah produced by the Defendant, include altogether about 3 Cooly bells of land of which ½ a Cutchell is held as a garden, with an undisputed title, by one Sistla Venkata Kistnama.

8. The Principal Sudder Ameen appears to have considered, that there is some defect in the proof, that the Defendant has not occupied the land claimed free of assessment, and to have given

given him the benefit of the doubt, and decided with the exception above: that the land is Enam and that no assessment can be levied thereon. Whereas it is enacted by Section VIII of Regulation XXXI of 1802, that it shall not be competent for persons holding exempted lands under invalid titles, to plead possession for any length of time whatever, in bar to the right of Government to resume such lands; and persons resisting the demands of Government upon the grounds of long possession, shall be non-suited with the costs of suit.

9. By Sections XVIII and XIX of the regulation already quoted, persons holding exempted lands are required to register their titles; and Sunnuds not so registered shall not be deemed to be valid in any case whatsoever. It is admitted, that the Sunnud on which the land is claimed in this case, has never been registered.

10. On each and all of these several grounds therefore, the Appellant submits, that he is entitled to a decree for the recovery of the whole land and assessment claimed; and moreover, that the land of Veesanna which was in the possession of

of ameen Oodheen, tho he has been allowed to plant a garden thereon, and to enjoy the produce of the trees, is not entitled to exemption from public revenue if other crops be there cultivated.-

11. Under these circumstances, it appears scarcely necessary to review the arguments in the decree, which is now prayed to be set aside, further than briefly to point out their inconclusiveness.-

12. The Principal Sudder Ameen apparently attaches weight to the document marked J J, purporting to be a Goodient account of Fusly 1210 of the Village of Moondradoo, wherein the garden in dispute is said to be 14 Veesums. This document does not appear to have been written by any duly nominated Kurnum of the Village Moondradoo, or signed and recorded by any public Officer, or to have been given under the signature and seal of the Collector or the Zemeendar, or to have been produced as a voucher on any former occasion with respect to the land in dispute. It is understood that a suit No. 559 of 1820 was instituted in the Zillah Court at Masulipatam by Baganatoola Garu, puty of Masulipatam against

against Pooligudda Murthoonjaydoo of Nizam=patam, that the gardens in Moondradoo belonging to the latter, were in consequence sold by public auction. that two of them were purchased by Meer Murtoosa Khan, the brother in law of the Re=spondent. that on his applying for a Bill of Sale for the said garden, the Court directed the production of the Goodient accounts of the Village whereupon the said Meer Murtoosa Khan obtained a fabricated account from one Casoolad Condiah, which was laid before the Court; and that on this account, the extent of the garden was incorrectly entered. The said Murtoosa Khan having obtained from the Court an authenticated Copy of that account kept it for the purpose of aiding his brother in law, to Establish his surreptitious claim-

13. The Principal Sudder Ameen after pointing out the discrepancies in the extent of the garden in question, and other gardens as entered in the Goodient accounts of different years, and the Muzmoodoule accounts for Fusly 1223, and the Khan Shist accounts for Fusly 1225, pronounces that these documents are not of use to the present suit. It is very true

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

true that the Kurnum's account Cannot be relied on in proving the titles to exempted lands; and for this very reason the Respondents claims, in the absence of any authentic registered Sunnud, must fall to the ground.-

14. Accounts having been produced to shew that an assessment was levied on the crops sown both within and without the garden, in the successive years from 1235 to 1242, the Sudder Ameen observes, that as the Respondent says he was absent at Hyderbad two or three years after the Garden came into his profession, these Collections having been made in his absence, cannot prove the right of the Zemindar to the land containing the Ganden. It is obvious that a person really in possession of a bonâ fide. Enam, would not readily submit to the levy thereon of an assessment by the Zemindar. Notice would undoubtedly have been sent him by the people left in charge of the land, and he would have taken measures accordingly to obtain redress.

15. Under Section IX. of Regulation XXXI of 1802, even if the title to the exemption from

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

from revenue were authentic, the payment of revenue for a period of 12 years would have rendered the titles nulls and void. In this instance there is proof of the payment of revenue for more than 12 years while at the same time there is not in the possession of the Respondent, a Doomballah for a single year.-

16. The Principal Sudder Ameen takes exceptions severally to the first six of the Appellants witnesses, which it will be obvious are perfectly futile. The three first witnesses, it is observed, are Kurnums of the village Moondradoo belonging to the Zemindar, and were included as Defendants in the former Suit brought by the Respondent in the Court of the Chaybrole District Moonsiffs. It is obvious however that in a question of this kind, there can scarcely be more important evidence than that of the village Kurnums, and the Respondents sole reason for including them in his Suit in the Moonsiffs Court seems to have been, to prevent their being cited as witnesses. The unreasonableness of so including them was apparent to the Court who ordered

ordered their names to be Struck off. The 3d witness is himself and has been for a long time, actually residing within the limits shewn by the Respondent as those of his grant. The 4th witness he thinks may have given false evidence thro' enmity. This person's land is also included within the limits claimed by the Respondent, and when the latter encroached on his land, his plaint was redressed, and the hedge erected by the Respondent taken away under the orders of the Magistrate. The alleged relationship of the 5th witness to the renter of the village is given as a reason for rejecting his evidence, whereas such relationship does not exist. The evidence of the 6th witness is rejected because he had already given evidence against the Respondent on a former occasion. -

17- The objections taken by the Principal Sudder Ameen to the accounts produced in support of the Plaintiff's claim are equally frivolous but it appears unnecessary to go through them, as abundant ground has been shewn why the decree of the Lower Court should

be reversed; and the land with the assessment claimed thereon in the plaint together with the Costs of original and appeal suits should be adjudged in favor of the appellant. -

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector-

(12-13)

Proceedings Directing the Collector of Guntoor to proceed with the appeal Suit No. 70 of 1841 on behalf of Government as supports to the rights of Ramnadha Baboo late Zemindar of Vasareddy Estate in certain land submitting the correspondence to Government and stating that the Board trust that the measure which they have taken will be approved-

The suit brought to notice in thereon the letter above recorded was instituted by Ramnadha baboo late Zemindar of the Vasareddy Estate to recover 53 Coontahs and 15 3/4 Veesums or Sixteenths Dairy land bearing an annual assessment of Rupees 188-15-2 the property of his Zemindary but illegally appropriated and held free of assessment by the Defendant- as also to recover the sum of Rupees 680-10-6 being the arrears of assessment due by that individual on the land for previous Fuslies. The Acting Principal Sudr Ameen has found for the Plaintiff to the extent of 6 1/2 Coontahs only but has given a verdict in favor of the Defendant as regards the remaining 47-7 3/4 Coontahs- The decree was passed on the 24th February 1844. But various circumstances connected with the state of the District the changes in the executive revenue Authorities and pressure of other business have delayed the prosecution of the appeal until

13625 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

until the present time-The Appeal term has been enlarged from time to time and the period last granted will expire on the 5th Proxims-The Collector requests permission to proceed with the appeal on behalf of Government in place of the original Plaintiff Ramanadha Baboo, the Estate having passed by sale into the hands of Government and he has submitted for the approval of the Board draft of the appeal Petition which he would propose to put in.-

2. The Board have gone carefully through the Decree given by the Acting Principal Sudr Ameen but they cannot concur in the Conclusion at which that officer has arrived They find that the greater part of the land sought to be recovered by the Plaintiff has been decreed by the Principal Sudr Ameen to the Defendant as his enam land. It is alleged that this enam was granted under a certain Sunnud but it does not appear that the Defendant has proved or has been called upon to prove the authenticity of this document which moreover is not registered and therefore under Section XIX Regulation XXXI 1802 even if authentic is of no validity The land is further asserted by the defendant to be the garden of a meenoll deen-

whereas

13626 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

whereas according to all the Accounts produced on either side, with the exception of one account of a very suspicious character-This garden does not exceed half a Coonchell in extent and the land in the holding of the Defendant is 53 Coontahs or nearly a whole Cunchell No proof has been given to show that the land whether Ameenoodeen's garden or not is enam land. it is not entered in the list of enams - and Assessment is proved to have been actually paid upon it for several years - if not indeed for the full period which under Section IV Regulation XXXI - 1802 would bar all future claims for exemption - The objections also taken by the Acting Principal Sudr Ameen to the competency of some of the most material witnesses on behalf of the Plaintiffs are altogether unreasonable and futile-The Board are clearly of opinion that it would not be just to the rights of Government who by their late purchase of the Vasareddy Zemindar have succeeded to the interests of Ramanadha Baboo in the land under litigation, that the decree should be acquiesced in, and they therefore direct the Collector to proceed immediately with the appeal on behalf of Government as successors to the rights of Ramanad Baboo

64 Coontahs 1 Coonchell

in

13627 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

in the property at issue and to file the appeal plaint according to the Draft which he has submitted.-

2. Adverting to the great delay which has already occurred, and to the fact that the Appeal term last granted will expire on the 5th Proximo the Board have been unwilling to defer sending instructions to the Collector for the prosecution of the appeal until they should have communicated with Government upon the subject. but they resolved to submit these proceedings for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council and they trust that the measures which they have taken will meet his Approval.-

To. J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(14)

From the Collr. of Guntoor
To Board 18th Oct. 1846
From Board 23rd Oct. 1846

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin,

13628 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, that the Suit therein referred to filed by Pedda Daroga and Chinna Daroga and others was on the 30th June 1846 decided by the Principal Sudr Ameen in favor of the Defendants, and this decision confirmed on appeal by the Civil Judge on the 2d Instant, Plaintiffs being non suited with Costs.-

Guntoor ....
Collector's Cutcherry
10th October 1846

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector.

Resolution thereon.- The Collector of Guntoor will be pleased to obtain and submit a copy of the Decree in the suit referred to in the foregoing letter for submission to Government.-

(14-15)

Read the following Statement.

Statement.

13629

Fort St. George 24th October 1846

Statement of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta on private Trade at each of the several places of Export in the District of Guntoor (1st October 1846)

Places at which shipped	Quantity of Salt available in the year 1846	Quantity of Salt already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in Store and remaining to be exported	Remarks
	Mds S	Mds S	Mds S	Mds S	
(16)					
Esorepollium	285,000 " "	"	285,000 "	285,000 "	
Nizampatam	52,000 " "	"	52,000 "	52,000 "	
	337,000 " "	"	337,000 "	337,000 "	

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry
21st October 1846

(Signed) H. Stokes
Collector

Order thereon (16-17) Ordered

(18)

Read Letter from the Board of Customs Salt & Opium dated 24th October 1846

Letter from the Collector of Ceylon, reporting the Settlement of the Coolies employed on the Public Works in that Island for the year 1845

Proceedings thereon

Statement of Revenue for Ceylon for the year 1845

Read Letter from the Collector of Customs Salt & Opium dated 12th October 1846 forwarding a Statement of the Quantity of Salt manufactured in the Districts of Ceylon during the year 1845

Ceylon
Salt
12554

Ceylon
Salt
12555

Ceylon Revenue Department

Salt	490963	1	1	881220	2	10	19278	11	3	"	"
Opium	427	13	"		61	2	5	"	"	368	10
Sea Customs	12771	3	10	13016	13	8	245	8	10	"	"
Arrack	24467	2	5	28459	10	0	1733	8	4	"	"
Land Customs and Licences	214	0	"	308	11	"	94	2	"	"	"
Stamps	9525	10	"	9115	5	0	"	"	"	410	4
Miscellaneous	21269	1	0	21782	9	1	483	1	11	"	"
Total	539066	5	4	963560	0	3	19278	"	"	779	15
				Deduct Drawbacks						779	15
				Charges						15498	11
										15498	11

The printed accounts from the Ceylon Customs of Revenue and Charges

4

Fort St. George 29th October 1845.

to credit for Fusly 1255 amount to Rupees 7,53,650 6 3 shewing an excess of Rupees 18,395 2 11 over the gross Collections from the sources during the preceding Fusly. The Collector states in Para 3 of his Report that he has not included in his calculations the proceeds amounting to Rupees 13,871 6 8 of the sales of Salt effected between the 30th of June and 11th of July because they had not been actually received into the Hoozoor Treasury before the latter date. It appears to the Board that altho' they could not be brought into the account of the Fusly under review, yet still that they ought may here be viewed as forming a part of the out-turn of that period as having accrued from sales of Salt completed within it. The real gross amount of Extra Revenue may thus be considered to be Rupees 7,67,521 12 11

3. The chief improvement has occurred under the head of Salt. The gross receipts from it, exclusive of Extra Collections, amount to the sum of Rupees 6,80,273 8 — being greater by Rupees 1,82,206 7 5 than those of Fusly 1254. There is a trifling falling off in the Receipts at some of the Pans owing to local circumstances while at

Salt.

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

at others the sales have considerably exceeded those of the previous Fusly. This is particularly observable in those Pans situated at the northern extremity of the District, while the Collector attributes partly to the enhanced demand for the article at Hydrabad and partly to the careful superintendance of the Acting Sub Collector of the District.

4. The total charges incurred on account of Salt were less by Rupees 11,857 5 9 than during Fusly 1254, owing chiefly to the circumstances of a smaller quantity of it having been manufactured, and less advance made to the Cultivators. Thus appears that a Net Revenue of Rupees 5,86,736 11 4 has been obtained from the sale of Salt during the Fusly reviewed, being Rupees 193330 1 — in excess of that of the previous Fusly.

Sayer and Stamps. 6. The decrease on Sayer and Stamps of Rupees 770 15 1 does not appear to demand any particular remark.

Sea Customs. 7. The increase in the Sea Customs amounts to Rupees 245 8 10, which is absorbed by the high charges incurred in the Department. There is also a small

falling

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

falling of under the head of Exports Duty which appears greater than it actually has been in consequence of the Collector having omitted to bring to account the Collections made between the 30th June and 11th of July.

Abkarry. 8. In the Abkarry there is an increase of Rupees 1,732-8-4, arising chiefly from the higher rents at which the Farms where taken, and the greater sale of confiscated goods.

Sundry small Farms and Licences. 9. There is trifling increase of Rupees 94-2-0 on account of Sundry small Farms and Licences, which does not require particular observation.

Moturpha 10. In the Collections on account of Moturpha there is an increase of Rupees 483-1-7. The balance due under this head on account of Fusly 1254 amounted to Rupees 701-9-5 of which Rupees 319-11-8 have only been subsequently realized. The balance outstanding at the close of the Fusly under review was 395-10-4 of which Rupees 101-10-2 have been since realized leaving still a balance of Rupees 294-14-2 a part only of which seems likely to be recovered.

11. The above shows that a net Revenue of Rupees 65,499-2-2 has been

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

derived from Extra Sources during Fusly 1255 being in excess of the net produce from the same sources during the previous Fusly by Rupees 19,454-6-10. The Board consider the Settlement now before them to be very satisfactory. They resolve to request the Collector to submit a special report explaining the causes which either impede or prevent the realization of the outstanding balances of Moturpha revenue, and showing the reasons of the high charges incurred on account of the Sea Custom Department.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Proceedings be submitted to Government and a duplicate of the same furnished for the information of the Collector of Nellore.

Nellore

13635.

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

A Nellore Fusly 1255

		Fusly 1254	Fusly 1255	Increase	Decrease
		℔ a p	℔ a p	℔ a p	℔ a p
Salt	Demand	4,98,067 " 7	6,80,273 8 "	1,82,206 7 5	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	4,98,067 " 7	6,80,273 8 "	1,82,206 7 5	" " "
	Extra Dr	986 7 "	952 10 10	" " "	33 12 2
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	4,99,053 7 7	6,81,226 2 10	1,82,172 11 3	" " "
	Charges	105,646 13 3	94,489 7 6	" " "	11,157 5 9
Sayer	Demand	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Extra Dr	360 3 4	61 2 5	" " "	299 " 11
	Arrears	67 9 8	" " "	" " "	67 9 8
	Total	427 13 "	61 2 5	" " "	366 10 7
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Sea Customs	Demand	12,598 14 2	12,741 3 5	142 5 3	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Current Collection	12,598 14 2	12,741 3 5	142 5 3	" " "
	Extra Dr	172 5 8	275 9 3	103 3 7	" " "
	Arrears	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	12,771 3 10	13,016 12 8	245 8 10	" " "
	Charges	2,305 10 8	2,904 10 7	598 15 11	" " "
Ab Kary	Demand	26,133 2 "	28,353 8 "	2,420 6 "	" " "
	Balance on the 11th July	" " "	589 14 3	589 14 3	" " "
	Current Collection	26,133 2 "	27,963 9 9	1,830 7 9	" " "
	Extra Dr	18 9 7	176 1 "	157 7 5	" " "
	Arrears	255 6 10	" " "	" " "	255 6 10
	Total	26,407 2 5	28,139 10 9	1,732 8 4	" " "
	Charges	336 " "	336 " "	" " "	" " "

13636-

Fort St George 29th October 1846

		Fasly 1254		Fasly 1255		Increase		Decrease	
		Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p	Rs	a p
Sundry small farms and Licences	Demand	302	15 "	302	15 "	"	" "	"	" "
	Balance on the 11th July	102	" "	96	4	"	" "	5	12 "
	Current Collection	200	15 "	206	11 "	5	12 "	"	" "
	Extra ——— Dr	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Arrears	13	10 "	102	" "	88	6 "	"	" "
	Total	214	9 "	308	11 "	94	2 "	"	" "
	Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Stamps	Demand	8,455	10 "	8,093	" "	"	" "	362	10 "
	Balance on the 11th July	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Current Collection	8,455	10 "	8,093	" "	"	" "	362	10 "
	Extra ——— Dr	1,070	" "	1,022	5 6	"	" "	47	10 6
	Arrears	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
	Total	9,525	" "	9,115	5 6	"	" "	410	4 6
Motunpitha	Charges	426	2 1	421	2 "	"	" "	5	" 1
	Demand	21,180	11 6	21,521	2 10	340	7 4	"	" "
	Balance on the 11th July	828	13 6	395	10 4	"	" "	433	3 2
	Current Collection	20,351	14 "	21,125	8 6	773	10 6	"	" "
	Extra ——— Dr	1	4 "	15	4 "	14	" "	"	" 7
	Arrears	946	5 6	641	12 7	"	" "	304	8 11
	Total	21,299	7 6	21,782	9 1	483	1 7	"	" "
	Charges	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "
Total	Demand	5,66,738	5 3	7,51,485	5 3	1,84,747	" "	"	" "
	Balance on the 11th July	930	13 6	1,081	12 7	150	15 1	"	" "
	Current Collection	5,65,807	7 9	7,50,403	8 8	1,84,596	" 11	"	" "
	Extra ——— Dr	2,608	13 7	2,503	1 "	"	" "	105	12 7
	Arrears	1,283	" "	743	12 7	"	" "	539	3 5
	Total	5,09,699	5 4	7,53,650	6 3	1,83,951	" 11	"	" "
	Charges	108,714	10 "	98,151	4 1	"	" "	10,563	5 11

13637. Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Read the following letter.

To

I. Pycroft Esquire
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

From the Coll.r of Nellore.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 7th Instant and its enclosures herewith returned. Petitioner D. Venkiah was a Goomasta under the Head of Police of Dursy on the Sub Division and was tried by the late Joint Magistrate Mr. Purvis together with the Head of Police for gross oppression and abuse of authority, the Principal Sudder Ameen committed the Parties for trial to the Sessions Judge who after a full enquiry sentenced the Head of Police to 4 years imprisonment and his Goomasta to one. The Fouzdary Udalut in reviewing the Proceedings of the Session Judge differed in opinion with that Officer and directed the release of the Parties - whereupon Petitioner in a highly disrespectful manner demanded his situation which I declined granting as reported to the Fouzdary Udalut on a former

(19)

13638. Fort St. George 29th October 1846

former Petition presented to that Court. The Head of Police and Petitioner were released from confinement by order of the Superior Court they had been considered guilty by the Joint Magistrate, the Principal Sudder Ameen and the Session Judge, public opinion also did not acquit them and it appeared to me that an immediate restoration to their appointments would encourage rather than deter others from following their example. Petitioner has been punished severely by the loss of his place for many Months and as he acted under the authority of the Head of Police the greater criminal. I see no objection to his re-employment when a opportunity offers.

Nellore. - - -
Coll.r Cutchery - -
23d September 1846

Signed J. F. Bishop
Collector

Resolution thereon.

The Board will leave it to the Collector to employ the Petitioner when a fitting opportunity offers.

(19-20)

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1213 of 1846.

Petitioner is referred to the Collector

13629. Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Collector of Nellore. to whom the Board have communicated orders on the subject of his Petitioner.

Read the following letter

To

L. Rycroft Esquire.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(21)

From the Collr. of Bellary

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you, that the Tin Box referred to in your letter of the 28th ultimo has been received in good condition.

Bellary
Collectors Office
21st October 1846

/Signed/ A. Mellor.
Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

(21-22)

Read the following letter

To

L. Rycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Actg Collr. of Cuddapah

(23)

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt by Banghy of a Box for the purpose of securing notes and Post Bills of the Madras Bank with reference to

13630. Fort St. George 29th October 1846

to Para 11 of the rules circulated by the accountant General for the guidance of Officers in charge of public Treasuries.

Cuddapah District
Collectors Office 6am
Summulmudgoor
21st October 1846

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector.

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.

(23-24)

To

J. H. Cochrane Esqre.
Acting Collector
of Cuddapah.

From the Actg Collr. of Cuddapah

(25)

Sir,

Having completed a residence of ten years in India I have the honor to request that you will forward for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor, this my application to return to Europe for three years with the benefit of the furlough allowances.

Cumbum Division
Sub Collectors Office
22nd October 1846

/Signed/ Wm. Mollo
Sub Collector.

Order thereon.

The Acting Collector of Cuddapah is informed that application for furlough to Europe should be addressed to Government direct.

(25-26)

Read

13641 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Read the following letter

To J. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

27

From the Actg Collr of North Arcot — Para 1

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 2d March last, with a petition from Narrain sing, complaining of having been dispossessed of his share of the Mongarah[?] and Manieum in the Village of Anchamungalum

v. The Petitioner in the year 1837 purchased the share of Cawder Saib in the Mongar Manieum and Meerasy of the Village, which had been before for some time Mortgaged to him - About a year afterwards, Cawder Saib interfering with his occupation of the land you complained to the Sub Collector Mr Bonrdillon who confirmed the Sale - But on Cawder saib appealing to the Collector the decision of the Sub Collector was set aside on the grounds that the sale of such property is illegal It appears Cawder Saib has not refunded the purchase Money - The petitioner therefore

† 1st 274th of 1846 herewith returned

† 10th Dec 1846

13642 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

therefore now appeals to the Board against the above decision of the Collector

North Arcot —
Coll[r] Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 5th Sept[r] 1846

Signed / E. b Powell
Acting Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply -

To The Acting Collector
in the Northern Division
of Arcot

27-28

Sir

To the Actg Coll[r] in the 1st Div[n] of Arcot — Requesting them with reference to his letter of 5th ultimo to endeavour to effect some arrangement between Cawder Saib and petitioner in 274th of 1846 in regard to that portion of the sum paid to the former by the latter on account of the Achamungalum Meerasydars Share and its Manieum lands.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5th ultimo reporting upon Petition No 274 of 1846.

From your letter and from the Petition itself the Board learn that in 1837 the Petitioner purchased from one Cawder Saib a part of the Puttah lands held by the latter in this Village of Achamungalum and one fourth of his Mongar Manieum and Meerasy in that Village Petitioner held these lands and Meerasy see until December 1845 when he was dispossessed of the Meerasee and the lands annexed to it, under orders of the Collector

on

13641 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Read the following letter

To S. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

27

Sir,

From the Actg Collr of North Arcot — Para 1 — I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 2d March last, with a petition from Narrain sing, complaining of having been dispossessed of his share of the Monigarship and Manieam in the Village of Auchanmungalum

† Pet. 274 of 1846 herewith returned.

2. The Petitioner in the year 1837 purchased the share of Cawder Sait in the Monigar Manieam and Meerasy of the Village which had been before for some time Mortgaged to him. About a year afterwards Cawder Sait interfering with his occupation of the land you, he complained to the Sub Collector Mr Bourdillon who confirmed the Sale. But on Cawder Sait appealing to the Collector the decision of the Sub Collector was set aside on the grounds that the sale of such property is illegal. It appears Cawder Sait has not refunded the purchase Money. The petitioner therefore

† 10th Dec 1846

13642 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

therefore now appeals to the Board against the above decision of the Collector.

North Arcot
Collr Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 5th Septr 1846

Signed / E. C. Powell
Acting Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Acting Collector
in the Northern Division
of Arcot

27-28

Sir,

To the Actg Collr in the 1st Dn of Arcot — Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 5th ultimo reporting upon the Petition of Narrain sing. Para 2.

Requesting him with reference to his letter of 3d ultimo to endeavour to effect some arrangement between Cawder Sait and petitioner in Pet. 274 of 1846 in regard to that portion of the sum paid to the former by the latter on account of the Actaramungalum Meerapydaram shipared to Manieam lands.

From your letter and from the Petition itself the Board learn that in 1837 the Petitioner purchased from one Cawder Sait a part of the Puttah lands held by the latter in this Village of Auchamungalum and one fourth of his Monigar Manieam and Meerapy in that Village. Petitioner held these lands and Meerapy until December 1845 when he was dispossessed of the Meerapy and the lands annexed to it, under orders of the Collector

on

13643 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

on the ground that the sale of such offices was illegal and Cawder Saib was reinstated but without repayment of the money received from the Petitioner.

3. In reply the Board direct me to observe that the sale of hereditary Village Offices is unquestionably very objectionable as however in the present case the sale appears to have been a bonafide transaction and the purchaser had been for some years in possession it is to be wished that prior to the sale being set aside and Petitioner being removed from the Mo[illegible] some measures had been taken to provide for the refund of the money originally paid for it The Board request that you will now endeavour to effect some arrangement between Cawder Saib and the Petitioner in regard to that proportion of the sum (Rupees 57½) paid to the former by the latter as may appear to have been paid on account of the Meerasseeship and its Mauniam lands it is presumed that the orders of the Collector did not extend to the puttah lands purchased by the Petitioner.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846.

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 274 of 1846.

Petitioner is referred to the Acting Collector to whom instructions have been sent on his repre[illegible]

13644 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Read the following letter

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

From the Dy Collr of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Boards orders in regard to the Extra Tent allowance to be drawn by the Acting Sub Collector during his residence at Palma[illegible] nair. Mr Cotton has drawn the allowance during the whole of his stay. It is usual during the whole of his stay. It is usual I believe for the Sub Collector to receive Extra Tent allowance during his visit to the Collector for about a Month or as long afterwards as his presence may be required on public grounds. Mr Cotton draws the allowance on account of the Sub Collectors house being out of repair under the Boards Order dated 5th December 1846. I request therefore the Boards instructions whether under these circumstances it should be paid to him for the full period or for one Month only of his stay at Palamanair.

From 1st to May to 20th July 1846

North Arcot
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Palamanair 28th Sept. 1846

(Signd) C. to Lovett
Acting Collector
The

13543 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

on the ground that the sale of such offices was illegal and Cawder Saib was reinstated but without repayment of the money received from the Petitioner.

3. In reply the Board direct me to observe that the sale of hereditary Village Offices is unquestionably very objectionable as however in the present case, the sale appears to have been a bonafide transaction and the purchaser had been for some years in possession it is to be wished that prior to the sale being set aside and Petitioner being removed from the Monigarship some measures had been taken to provide for the refund of the money originally paid for it. The Board request that you will now endeavour to effect some arrangement between Cawder Saib and the Petitioner in regard to that proportion of the sum (Rupees 57½) paid to the former by the latter as may appear to have been paid on account of the Meerasseeship and its Maniam lands it is presumed that the orders of the Collector did not extend to the putta lands purchased by the Petitioner.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846

/Signed/ I. Pycroft
Secretary.

Ordered also that the following Endorsement be passed on Petitioner's Petition No. 27th of 1846.

Petitioner is referred to the Acting Collector to whom instructions have been sent in this

13544 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(29)

From the Actg Collr of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to request the Boards orders in regard to the Extra Tent allowance to be drawn by the Acting Sub Collector during his residence at Palmaner. Mr Cotton has drawn the allowance during the whole of his stay. It is usual I believe for the Sub Collector to receive Extra Tent allowance during his visit to the Collector for about a Month or as long afterwards as his presence may be required on public grounds. Mr Cotton draws the allowance on account of the Sub Collectors house being out of repair under the Boards Order dated 1st December 1846. I request therefore the Boards instructions whether under these circumstances it should be paid to him for the full period or for one Month only of his stay at Palmaner.

From 1st May to 20th July 1846

North Arcot
Collrs Circuit Cutcherry
Palamanair 28th Sept 1846

/Signed/ Ed. le Sorest
Acting Collector

13645

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Resolution thereon.

29-30

The period for which the Acting Sub Collector can be allowed to draw Extra Tent allowance whilst residing at Palmanair in Fusly 1255, must be restricted to one Month - The Order of the Board of 4th December 1845 contemplated Mr. R R Cottons residence at Ranneepet and not at a place so far beyond the limits of his Sub Division as Palmanair.

Read the following letter -

To T. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

31

From the Collr. of Salem — Relative to the appeal Petition No 511 of 1846 from one Sambiah late Joint Curnum of Cheranatore received with his letter of the 07th April last.

With reference to your letter of the 27th April last forwarding an appeal Petition No 511 of the current year from one Sambiah late Joint Curnum of Cheranatore in Ossoor Talook and requiring me to submit for the information of the Board all the Proceedings held in his case together with any further observations which I may have to offer on the subject: I have the honor to state that the Curnum Murasy of the Cheranatore Village was in the name of one

13646

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

one Munyapah who had 2 sons Vizt. Tuniyen by his first wife, Narrasyen and Venkattapen by his second - The father of the Petitioner was Tuniyen who died in Fusly 1245 while Nuna Tuniyen (the Grand father) was living and conducting the duties - after the death of Nuna Tuniyen in the same Fusly, (1245) the Murasy descended to Narrasyah he being the eldest son. The Petitioner who was young at the time of his Fathers death brought a complaint during the settlement in Fusly 1252 against his uncle Narrasyah the present Curnum for the recovery of half of the landed property which belonged to his grand father and which was then entered in his (Nursiahs) name. orders were issued to the Parties directing them to get the case settled by a Punchayet agreeably to Regulation 12 of 1816, but the Defendant (Narrasiah) not being willing to submit the case to a Punchayet the Petitioner presented an Urzee in the Month of August 1843 requesting that it might be decided ex parte agreeably to Clause 7 Sec 5 of the above Regulation. The case was accordingly referred to the Talook Moonsiff at Ossoor who considered it to be investigated and determined by a

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

a Punchayet, whose decree was forwarded to the Huzzoor on the 23d September 1843 in which the Petitioner was declared to be entitled to half of the landed property which belonged to his Grand father-Copies of the decree were furnished to the Parties on the 23d November and orders issued to the Tahsildar to enter the lands in both their names.-

2.- The Petitioner having subsequently presented an urzee stating that he was entitled to half of the Curnum Meerasy also and that his name should be entered as joint Curnum-Assessors were appointed to enquire into the case of his right to a share in the Curnum Meerasy and report the result. On the 28th February 1845 the Assessors submitted their report that the Petitioner was entitled to half of the Curnum Meerasy an order was accordingly issued to the Tahsildar on the 19th May 1845 to enter the name of the Petitioner in the Register as joint Curnum of Chennatoor, when Nursiah represented that the Curnum Meerasy was conferred on him, on the death of his father 11 years ago, he being the eldest

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

eldest son, and that he alone conducted the duties during that period and that the Assessors were biased in their opinion shewing that the Petitioner was entitled to a share in the Meerasy and requested that the case might be reinvestigated and the Meerasy conferred on him alone as before-The Tahsildar also in his urzee dated 31st July 1845 states that the Defendant alone is entitled to the Meerasy-No provision being made in Section 8 Regulation 6 of 1831 on this subject the Collector, or Assessors have no power to interfere in the case and the said Nursiah being the eldest son of the Original Curnum on whom the Meerasy was conferred in fusly 1244, 11 years ago, on the death of his father an order was issued to the Tahsildar on the 12th December 1845 that Nursiah alone should be allowed as before to conduct the duty of the Curnum of Chennatoor leaving the parties to settle the right of their relatives to shares among themselves, consequently the order conferring the Office of Joint Curnum on the Petitioner was cancelled-Besides, the Petitioner during the 7 Months from the date of his being appointed Joint Curnum to the date of his

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

a Punchayet, whose decree was forwarded on to the Huzzoor on the 23d September 1843 in which the Petitioner was declared to be entitled to half of the landed property which belonged to his Grand father-Copies of the decree were furnished to the Parties on the 23d November and orders issued to the Tahsildar to enter the lands in both their names.-

2. The Petitioner having subsequently presented an urzee stating that he was entitled to half of the Curnum Meerasy also and that his name should be entered as joint Curnum-Assessors were appointed to enquire into the case of his right to a share in the Curnum Meerasy and report the result. On the 28th February 1845 the Assessors submitted their report that the Petitioner was entitled to half of the Curnum Meerasy on order was accordingly issued to the Tahsildar on the 19th May 1845 to enter the name of the Petitioner in the Register as joint Curnum of Chennatoor, when Nursiah represented that the Curnum Meerasy was conferred on him on the death of his father 11 years ago he being the eldest

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

eldest son and that he alone conducted the duties during that period and that the Assessors were biased in their opinion shewing that the Petitioner was entitled to a share in the Meerasy and requested that the case might be reinvestigated and the Meerasy conferred on him alone as before-The Tahsildar also in his urzee dated 31st July 1845 states that the Defendant alone is entitled to the Meerasy- No provision being made in Section 8 Regulation 6 of 1841 on this subject the Collector or Assessors have no power to interfere in the case and the said Nursiah being the eldest son of the Original Curnum on whom the Meerasy was conferred in fusly 1244, 11 years ago on the death of his father an order was issued to the Tahsildar on the 12th December 1845 that Nursiah alone should be allowed as before to conduct the duty of the Curnum of Chennatoor leaving the parties to settle the right of their relatives to shares among themselves consequently the order conferring the Office of Joint Curnum on the Petitioner was cancelled- Besides, the Petitioner during the 7 Months from the date of his being appointed Joint Curnum to the date of his

13649 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

his dismissal, performed no duty whatever
The Office of Curnum being hereditary, and
the present incumbent the direct heir and
in long possession the Petitioner has no right
whatever to the above Office.

The Proceedings held
in the case are herewith forwarded, which
I request may be returned when no longer
required.

Salem Zillah
Oswd ———
Coll.rs Cutcherry
4th August 1846

Signed W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Ordered that the following
letter be sent in consequence.

(31-32)

To the Collector of Salem

To the Collector
of Salem

Sir,

Referring to the latter part of your
letter of the 4th August last on the subject
of Appeal Petition No 541 of 1846 I am directed by
the Board of Revenue to request that you will re-
port for their information the grounds on which
you form the opinion that Samiah has no
right to the Meraji Curnumship of Chinnatan-
and also that you will state whether you con-
sider him in any way disqualified to perform either
the whole or part of the duties of that Office.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary

13650 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Read the following letters—

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(33)

From the Collector of Salem Regarding the Poolvary tax contained by the ryots of Soleyampatty agrar—

Sir,

With reference to the Board's proceedings dated 3 September 1846 on the subject of an Endorsement given by me on the Petition No 990 of 1845, regarding a Poolvary tax of Rupees 8—3—1, payable according to the Pymash settlement by the Ryots of Saleyampatty agrar formerly a Jodegy Village, but now assumed I have the honor to report that at the time of the Pymash settlement in Fusly 1211, the Saleyampatty agrar Village was held on Jodegy tenure, when the Mahajanums of the Village were in the habit of grazing their Cattle on the waste lands attached to the adjacent Curnamy Village called Arasanettum, consequently a grazing Tax of Rupees 8—3—1 was imposed on them which they continued paying annually dividing the amount according to the number of their Ploughs. The agrar Village was in Fusly 1223 attached for the arrears of Jodegy from which year the payment of the grazing tax was also withheld by the Mahajanums up to Fusly 1246. This having been brought to notice at the time of Settlement of Fusly 1247 an order was given not only to suspend

13651 Fort St. George 29th October 1856

suspend the demand of the arrears of this tax but also to omit it from the Summahbundy accounts, which was acted on from fusly 1247 to fusly 1253 after the Agrair Village of Seleyampully was resumed and incorporated with the Amany lands agreeably to the Board's instructions, the Tahsildar at the settlement of fusly 1254 fixed a tax on each head of Cattle and Sheep belonging to the Mahajanums in consequence of their grazing on the Circar waste lands agreeably to the practice of the adjacent Villages Viz^t On a Sheep or Goat Rs a p 0 0 3 per annum
Do a Buffalo 0 4 0

This tax amounted to Rupees 25 79 6. on the Number of Cattle and Sheep professed by the Agraharumdars in fusly 1254 and entered in their individual puttah by the order of Mr Brett then in charge of the District—

The Agraharumdars preferred a Complaint to me on the subject when I issued an order to the Tahsildar to report fully on this case From the Tahsildars Merzee it appeared that originally grazing tax on the Aggrair Ryots was fixed with reference to the number of Ploughs each professed, at 4 as per

13652 Fort St. George 29th October 1856

per Plough be long to those in good circumstances and 2 as per plough to the poorer Ryots, that per the tax thus imposed amounted to Rupees 8 2 0 which was continued to be paid as a standing rate by the people of the Agrahar Village in question from fusly 1211 to fusly 1238 and that the Number of Ploughs in the Village amounted only to 37 consequently I issued an order that the tax in the Village in question should revert to its original amount Rupees 8 3 1. from fusly 1255, so as to relieve the Ryots from a tax levied on every head of Cattle and sheep contrary to the usage of the Village

Salem Zillah Collrs Office Cutcherry 25th Sept 1856 } (Signed) W. E. Lockhart Collector

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 990 of 1855.

From the report of the Collector on the subject of this Petition it appears that the Ryots of the Petitioners Village were assessed at the time of the Peymash with a Poolary Tax of Rupees 8 3 1 for the privilege of sending their Cattle to graze in the pasture lands of the adjoining Circar Villages The collection of this tax was for some years intermitted but it has lately been renewed When first reassessed in fusly 1254 the demand made was in excess of the original

Order thereon

33-34

13653

136

Fort St.

October 1846

original Pymash
fied and the arrears of this tax
in the Pymash Summahbundy
reduced amount from fusly 1247 to
the Board cannot Village of Selvampully
Petitioner's case with the Amany
's instructions,

To T. Pycroft Esqr. of fusly 1254
Settle and Sheep
inconsequence
aste lands

35

From the Collector
of Salem

Sir,

I have Adjacent Villages
Copy of an Anzee from Mr
residing in the Town of Mor per annum
for permission to erect a bo
=bore for the accommodation
at a Cost of more than 2,000 25 to 6.
high road from Madras to Sat proposed
Trichinopoly Via Vaniembady 12 and
Chuttrum to Wonnalore on the bay
is at Tossoor distant 15 Miles, large of
South at Muscallyputty distant 2
road in question is always frequented
Travellers from Madras to Trichinopoly and
Southern Districts, as also by Pilgrims pro-
=ceeding to Ramasaram. Beg to recommend
that permission be granted to the applicant for
the erection of the Chuttrum on the completion
of which a Plan and Estimate of the Cost will
be submitted

Salem District
Ofsoor Cutcherry 26th Septr. 1846

Signed J. W. D. Lockhart
Collector

This

13652 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

per Plough be low The Board of Revenue sanc-
tances and 29 Petitioners of the Choultry referred
Ryots, that the 2 for The Collector will be
to Rupees 81 or 1/10 completion of the building
paid as a standing and Estimate of its cost,
Agrahar Village nt of endowment to be assigned
fusly 1238, and nt will be taken into con-
the Village at
I issued an Pycroft Esqre
in question Secretary to the
amount Board of Revenue
to relieve Fort St. George
every hea
usage 30th March last requiring me to state
Salem Village besides the ready Money allowances or
Ofsoor Colltrs proms more immediately referred to in
Cutcherry 26th Board's Circular letter of the 1st June 1843
Order thereon e are any others in my District of the
=men ue of Yeomiahs personal or Charitable
33-34 ants, with or without service annexed, which
by have been paid by deduction from the Kist.
=bundy Beriz either of the land revenue or
of any of the Extra Sources, I have the
honor to report for the information of the
Board that although the ready money allow-
=ances granted to Yeomiahdars &c. have never
been paid by deduction from the Kistbundy
Beriz in this District there are four cases
as exhibited in the annexed Statement, the
allowances of which were continued to be

do

an arrangement with the Mootahdar, who rented the whole Village to the Polligar, receiving one half of its Baruz deducting the other half with the Sibbendy Charges and the tax on trees. This arrangement continued undisturbed till Fusly 1244 in which the Mootah, which includes the Village in question, reverted to Government, and the payment of the allowance stopped, when Mooloovencatachella Reddy preferred his claim to Mr. Orr, who submitted to the Board in his Report of the 20th October 1837. a further Report was on the 21st December 1838 made by Mr. Ogleg on the subject, and subsequently agreeably to the Minutes of Consultation under date 28th November 1839 and the sanction of Government conveyed in the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th February 1839, the arrears due for 3 years from Fusly 1244 to fusly 1246 were paid and from fusly 1247 to fusly 1254 one half the Baruz of the Village in question, after deducting the Sibbendy and tax on trees, was Continued to be disbursed by deduction from the Kistkundy Baruz. The allowance for fusly 1255 amounted to Rupees 61-4 Mooloovencatachella Reddy professes no other Document than the Puttah above alluded

alluded to.—

In the Native Government, a Village called Anuddoor, was granted as Manium to a Cauzy Mahomed Ebraham for the purpose of performing Namaz and lighting the Mosque of the Hunchatty &c. Villages as well as for conducting the funeral and Marriage rites among the Mahomedans in those Villages in the Talook of Denkencottah. This was subsequently resumed, and money allowance granted of 15 Conteroy Pagodas per annum, and continued after the assumption of the Country, Viz.— Rupees 46—7—1. equal to 15 Conteroy Pagodas to be paid by deduction from the Kistkundy Baruz after the demise of the above Cauzee, the performance of the ceremonies devolved on his heirs who continue to enjoy the allowance in question. There is only an original Sunnud, granted to Mahomed Ebrahim to this effect on the 2nd Kaurtegy of the Pingala year by Syed Emam Sahib, in the profession of the present incumbents, in which his authority for granting it is not inserted.—

The

13657 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

an arrangement with the Moctahdar, who rented the whole Village to the Pollegar, receiving one half of its Bariz deducting the other half with the Sibbendy Charges and the tax on trees. This arrangement continued undisturbed till Fusly 1214 in which the Moctah, which includes the Village in question, reverted to Government, and the payment of the allowance stopped, when Mootoovencatachella Reddy preferred his claim to Mr. Orr, who submitted to the Board in his report of the 20th October 1837. a further report was on the 21st December 1838 made by Mr. Gleig on the subject, and subsequently agreeably to the Minutes of Consultation under date 28th November 1837 and the sanction of Government conveyed in the Minutes of Consultation dated 28th February 1839. The arrears due for 3 years from Fusly 1244 to fusly 1246 were paid and from fusly 1247 to fusly 1254 one half the Bariz of the Village in question, after deducting the Sibbendy and tax on trees, was Continued to be disbursed by deduction from the Thirtheundy Bariz. The allowance for fusly 1253 amounted to Rupees 61-4

Mootoovencatachella Reddy professes no other Document than the Puttah above alluded

13658 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

alluded to.

In the Native Government a Village called Muddoor, was granted as Maniem to a Cauzy Mahomed Ebraham for the purpose of performing Namaz and lighting the Mosque of the Hunchatty Village as well as for conducting the funeral and Marriage rites among the Mahomedans in those Villages in the Talook of Denkencottah. This was subsequently resumed, and money allowance granted of 15 Conteroy Pagodas per annum, and continued after the assumption of the Country, Viz. Rupees 4-7-1. equal to 15 Conteroy Pagodas to be paid by deduction from the Thirtheundy Bariz. after the demise of the above Cauzee, the performance of the ceremonies devolved on his heirs who continue to enjoy the allowance in question. There is only an original Sunnud, granted to Mahomed Ebrahim to this effect on the 2nd Kaurtegy of the Pingala year by Syed Emaum Sahib in the profession of the present incumbents, in which his authority for granting it is not inserted.

The

13661 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

Ordered to lie on the table.-

Order thereon,

(37-38)

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of Salem ---

(39)

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the tin Box forwarded by you by Banghy for the purpose of securing the Notes and Post Bills of the Madras Bank received into my treasury.-

Salem Zillah ----- Cofsoor Collrs Office 21st October 1840

/Signed/ W. E. Lockhart
Collector

Order thereon,

(39-40)

Ordered to be recorded.-
Read the following letter.-

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr of South Arcot

(41)

Para 1. I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from my Sub Collector regarding the conduct of Muddoo Row Tahsildar of Cullacoorchy and late Acting Tahsildar of Ellavenasore.-

2. As the exertions of Muddoo Row in the realization of the arrears of Revenue in Ellavenasore appeared to have been most praiseworthy and deserving of some special mark of the Board's approbation I beg to submit Mr. White's suggestion for the

13662 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

the favorable consideration of the Board.-

South Arcot Collrs Cutcherry Cuddalore 24th September 1840

/Signed/ W. D. Davis
Collector

No. 1

To, W. D. Davis Esqre
Collector of
South Arcot.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that at the close of the past fusly there was an outstanding balance of Rupees 27,039-7-2 in the Ellavanasore Taloob. The Tahsildar of Ellavanasore /who had been lately dismissed by order of the Board of Revenue/ was suspended by me on the 23rd May 1840 and although it may at first sight be supposed that this balance had accumulated in consequence of his removal this cannot be considered to be the case as the balance outstanding at the close of the preceding fusly was Rupees 16,996-9-2.-

The Tahsildar of Cullacoorchy /Muddoo Row/ having realized within the fusly the whole revenue of his Taloob I deputed him to officiate as the Tahsildar of Ellavanasore for the express purpose of Collecting the arrears which duty he has performed in a most praiseworthy manner having up to this

13065 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

To,
J. Sycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of South Arcot

Sir,

In reference to an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 7th September 1840, transmitting copy of an Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 14th August last and directing the Collector to take from the heirs of the late Moottahdar agreements relinquishing all demands against their former Subtenants up to the day of the death of the Moottah

(43)

I have the honor to bring to the Board's notice that the heir of the late Moottahdar is his son a minor aged 6 years- the next heirs are the late Moottahdars two Nephews (sons of a Brother deceased) and no other descendants are reported to be living.-

2. The two Nephews have already as stated in Mr. Davis letter dated 29th June 1840 expressed their willingness to relinquish all demands against the former Subtenants, but as I am doubtful how far their acquittance alone will stand good and whether I shall be justified in calling upon the son who is the immediate heir / he being a Minor / to sign any acquittance at all I beg I may be favored

13066 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

favored with the instructions of the Board upon the subject.-

South Arcot
Collr's Cutcherry
Cuddalore 26th Septr.
1840

/Signed/ D. White
Sub Collr. in Charge

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.-

To The Collector in the
Southern Division
of Arcot.-

To the Collr. in the Southern Division of Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the letter of your Sub Collector in Charge under date the 26th ultimo requesting instructions as to the parties by whom the agreement to be taken from the heirs of the late Moottahdar of Vannamadany is to be executed. The nearest heir of the Moottadar is stated to be his son a Minor of 6 years of age- the next heirs are his two Nephews and these latter have expressed their willingness to execute the agreement.-

(43-44) Copies sent

In reply I am instructed to inform you that in the events of any party having been duly appointed by the late Moottadar as Guardian to his son, it appears to the Board that it will be sufficient if the agreement be taken from such Guardian in Conjunction with the

two

13065 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

To,
J. Pycroft Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Collr. of South Arcot

Sir,

In reference to an. Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 7th. September 1840, transmitting copy of an Extract from Minutes of Consultation dated 18th August last and directing the Collector to take from the heirs of the late moottahdar agreements relinquishing all demands against their former subtenants up to the day of the sale of the moottah I have the honor to bring to the Board's notice that the heir of the late Moottahdar is his son a minor aged 6 years the next heirs are the late Mootahdars two nephews (sons of a Brother deceased) and no other descendants are reported to be living.

43

2. The two nephews have already as stated in Mr. Davis letter dated 29th. June 1840 expressed their willingness to relinquish all demands against the former subtenants, but as I am doubtful how far their acquittance alone will stand good and whether I shall be justified in calling upon the son who is the immediate heir / he being a minor / to sign any acquittance at all I beg I may be

13066 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

favored with the instructions of the Board upon the subject.

South Arcot
Collr's Cutcherry
Cuddalore 26th Septr. 1840

/Signed/ D. White
Sub Collr. in Charge

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector in the
Southern Division
of Arcot.

To the Collr. in the Southern Division of Arcot

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the letter of your Sub Collector in Charge under date the 26th ultimo requesting instructions as to the parties by whom the agreement to be taken from the heirs of the late Moottahdar of Vannamadany is to be executed. The nearest heir of the moottadar is stated to be his son a minor of 6 years of age - the next heirs are his two Nephews and these latter have expressed their willingness to execute the agreement.

43-44

Collr. of Arcot Letr. 1840.

In reply I am instructed to inform you that in the event of any party having been duly appointed by the late Moottadar as Guardian to his son, it appears to the Board that it will be sufficient if the agreement be taken from such Guardian in Conjunction with the

two

13007. Fort St. George 29th October 1840

two nephews. Should none have been nomi=
=nated it seems desirable that under Regula=
=tion V 1804 Section XX & Regulation X 1831 Section III
application for the appointment of a Guardian
should be made to the Zillah Court and
the party so nominated may together
with the two nephews execute the required
engagement.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1840 } [Signed] T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letter-

To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(45)

From the Subll. in Charge of South Arcot } Sir,

The Tin Box for securing the notes Vza alluded to in your letter of 28th September last has been duly received by Banghy.-

South Arcot Collr's Cutcherry Cuddalore 22nd October 1840 } [Signed] D. White
Sub Collr.

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.-
Read the following letter.-

(45-46) To, T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(47)

Sir,

From the Collr of Trichinopoly } In acknowledging the receipt of your letter of the 5th Instant I beg to state that the statements connected with the new Cavil system are now in process of being fair copied and that I hope to despatch them in the course of a week.-

Trichinopoly Collr's Office 10th October 1840 } [Signed] A. P. Onslow
Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded.-

Read

(47-49)

13668 Fort St. George 29th October 1840

Read the following letters-

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(49)

From the Sub Collr of Masulipatam Forwarding Correspondence with the Sub-Collr in excuse for having sought Sanction to the Board for more than Sanctioned estimate for Extra Settlement Gomastahs in the Strength of his returns and neglect to report which he afterwards recovered and declared unnecessary.-

Sir,

In apology for the unnecessary trouble I have put the Board to in my applica=tion of the 2nd Ultimo, to procure me sanction for over charge of Extra Gomastahs sanctioned for preparation of the Settlement accounts of last fusly. I have the Honor to submit the subjoined entire Correspondence with the Sub Collector on the subject, shewing that the Surcharge has been recovered, but whether with prospect or not I am still in doubt.-

From the Sub to the Principal Collector

4	May 1840.	No. 209-
23	do	do 245-
1st	June	do 259-
2nd	June	do 262-

Translate of Maratta bill of Charges 29 August

From

13669 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

From the Sub. 31st August 1846 — No. 348.
23d September — 390.
24th — 392.
24th — 393.
24th — 401.
26th — 402.

From the Principal Collector to the Sub Collector.

13th September 1845 — No. 709.
13th May 1846 — 337.
30th — 394.
16th July — 574.
18th September — 716.
24th — 737.

Madura 1st October 1846. } I Signed J. Blackburne Principal Collector.

To W. Elliot Esqr. Sub Collector of Madura. Dindigul.

Sir,

In the estimate that accompanied your letter of the 8th Instant No. 446 for pay of Coolie servants for the Current Fusly is a sum of Rupees 945 for 30 Goomastahs at 10½ Rupees

13670 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Rupees each per mensem, for preparation of the Settlement Accounts, shewing the great increase of Rupees 157-13-7, above the charges of the preceding year, by entering 5 more Goomastahs. I have the honor to inform you I have found it necessary from your recording no sufficient reason for such increase, to reduce it to Rupees 840, for 28 Goomastahs at 10 Rupees a month, or to a monthly charge of Rupees 280, shewing still however, an increase of Rupees 52-14-6 above the last Fusly. in which state it is now being forwarded to the Board of Revenue for sanction.

Madura 13th September 1845. } I Signed J. Blackburne Princl Collr.

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir

I have the honor to forward herewith Accounts of Pay &c due to the Sub Collectors Cutcherry for the month of April last; the disbursements of which, to the amount of Rupees 1036-2-0 I request you will be pleased to sanction.

Palamy 4th May 1846. } I Signed W. Elliot Sub Collr.

To

13671 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

To W. Elliot Esqre Sub Collector
Dindigal

Sir,

I have the honor to return the pay roll for last month, of the settlement Goomashtas, received with your letter, No. 209, of the 4th Instant for correction, The pay of each Goomashta is entered @ 10½ Rupees per mensem, whereas the sanctioned estimate allows 10 Rupees only, as has been brought to your notice by my letter of the 13th September last No. 2709 Same in doubt with reference to the caste of the 5 persons named in the margin, as to their knowledge of writing Hindoo accounts, They to be informed if they are employed in writing accounts, or what description of service are they employed in Vcan. Vcan.

1 Chinnatambi Mundeliar
2 Muttoosamy Sastry
3 Sabanayagum Mundeliar
4 Venkatachella Mundeliar
5 Dalavoy Singy

Madura
13th May 1846

Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collr

To The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

13672 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

the receipt of your letter of the 13th Instant No. 337 and to state that there is considerable work for Tamil Goomashtas in the preparation of the requisite Chittas even though those accounts are eventually written in Mahratta. The Tysal and number Adangoo. The Savary and Karnam Jugabady with other accounts must be compared the last years Tamil or Village accounts examined, Muttahs fixed and the Circular instructions to each Natangar must be written, which renders it necessary to have Tamil Goomashtas as well as those who write Mahratta for the purposes of the annual settlement:-

Dindigal Sub Collrs Cutcherry - - - 23d May 1846

Signed W. Elliot
Sub Collr

To W. Elliot Esqre Sub Collr, Dindigal.

Sir,

In acknowledging receipt of your letter of the 23d Instant No. 246, I have the honor to point out your omission to return the pay roll duly rectified, as requested in mine of the 13th to which it was an answer, and requested your doing so now to enable me to comply with

13673 Fort St. George 29th October 1846-

with your indent for Extra Goomashtas-

Madura 30th May 1846 } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Coll.r

To The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir

I have the honor to en-
close the list of Hangamy Goomashtas
with pay altered in Conformity with the
instruction contained in your letter of the
13th Ultimo No. 337-

Tenkurray-
Sub Coll.rs Cutchery
1st June 1846- } (Signed) W.m Elliot
Sub Coll.r
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

To The Principal Collector of
Madura.

Sir

I have the honor to acknow-
ledge the receipt of your letter of the
30th Ultimo and to state that the corrected
list of Hangamy Goomashtas was not
Completed

13674 Fort St. George 29th October 1846-

when my letter of the 23d was written- the
said list was dispatched by yesterday's
Tappal from Tenkurray to your addres-

Devadanapettah
Sub Coll.rs Cutchery
2nd June 1846 - - } (Signed) W. Elliot
Sub Coll.r
(Signed) J. Blackburne Principal Coll.r

To The Sub Collector of
Madura.

Sir

My letter of the 13th September
last No. 709 forces the pay of Extra Goomashtas
at 10 Rupees each giving a total sum for 3 months
at Rupees 840 but as the Calculation of your
indents from April to June gives their pay at
Rupees 866-10-8 exceeding my authority by
Rupees 26-10-8, I request your explanation of the
grounds on which this additional charge
has been incurred.-

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Coll.r

Madura
18th July 1846

Registering

13673 Fort St. George 29th October 1846-

with your indent for Extra Goomashtas-

Madura 30th May 1846 } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Coll.r

To The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir

I have the honor to enclose the list of Hanganny Goomashtas with pay altered in Conformity with the instruction contained in your letter of the 13th Ultimo No. 337-

Tenkurray-
Sub Coll.r Cutcherry
1st June 1846- } (Signed) W.m Elliot
Sub Coll.r
(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

To The Principal Collector of
Madura-

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th Ultimo and to state that the corrected list of Hanganny Goomashtas was not completed

13674 Fort St. George 29th October 1846-

when my letter of the 23d was written- the said list was dispatched by yesterday's Tappal from Tenkurray to your address-

Devadanapettah
Sub Coll.r Cutcherry
2nd June 1846 - - } (Signed) W.m Elliot
Sub Coll.r
(Signed) J. Blackburne Principal Coll.r

To The Sub Collector of
Madura-

Sir

My letter of the 13th September at 10 Rupees each giving a total sum for 3 months, at Rupees 840 but as the Calculation of your indents from april to June gives their pay at Rupees 866-10-8 exceeding my authority by Rupees 26-10-8 I request your explanation of the grounds on which this additional charge has been incurred-

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Principal Coll.r

Madura
16th July 1846

1367.5

Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

Statement of Extra Gomastas employed for
[illegible] in the Sub Division of
Madura Collectorate.

Designation of Employment.	Name of person employed.	Date of Appointment.	Date of Discharge.	Rate of Allowance.	Amount of Charges.	Remarks.
Extra Gomasta	Vellaya	23	10	230	4	8 10 3
		29th August 1816.				

(Signed) W.m Elliot
Sub Collector.

(Signed) J.n Blackburne
Prin.l Coll.r

1367.6

Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

To The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 18th Ultimo, received after my return to the District and duly sent to the Sheristadar for explanation, he informs me that the amount discussed to the Hangany Gomasthas of the Sub Division for Fusly 1255 in excess of the amount sanctioned by your letter of the 13th of September last viz 8 10 will be refunded to the amount of Pagodas 26-10-8- The extra charge appears to have arisen from some mistakes.

Dindigul
Sub Collector's Cutcherry
31st August 1816.

(Signed) W.m Elliot
Sub Collector

(Signed) J.n Blackburne
Prin.l Collector

To W.m Elliot Sub Collector
Dindigul.

Sir,

In recalling to your recollection your Mahratta return of excess disbursement on account of Extra Settlement Gomasthas of the 29th ult.o with your signature thereto without any observation for the irregularity in the Columns of remarks, and your information

13677 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

information of the 31st Idem, No. 318 in answer to my special questions of the 16th July, on the matter, that the Extra Charge of Rupees 26-10-8 would be refunded, you will be pleased to favor me with the brief remark that it appeared to have arisen from some mistake, I have the honor to request you will inform me if it has been so refunded; and to detail to me by whom, under what circumstances &c. from what mistake originating, as I shall have to complain it to Superior Authority.—

Madura 18th September 1846 } (Signed) Jn. Blackburne. Princl. Collr.

(True Copy)
(Signed) Jn. Blackburne
Princl. Collr.

To The Principal Collector &c.
Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 18th Instant No. 716 on the subject of the excess disbursements for Hangarny Gov: =mashta employed in preparing the Settlement ac: =counts for the past Fasly (1255) and to state that the amount so expended above the sum sanctioned viz Rupees 26-10-8 will be debited to your towar: =row by a Perw. The mistake alluded to was the entry for the months of June of the amount for the original number

13678 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

number of assistants or Temporary Gomastahs estimated for which was not discovered till the following month. The money so over-drawn will be refunded by those who were employed for the Cummeavady estate during the past months; They having been also previously employed for certain of the Aim Villages. The whole duty not having therefore been performed within the period fixed and there being according to the sanctioned amount no funds the amount overdrawn will forthwith be refunded and forwarded to you as above stated.—

Dindigul Sub Collr. } (Signed) W. Elliot
Cutcherry 23d September 1846. } Sub Collr.

To W. Elliot Esqre Sub Collr. Dindigul

Sir

In answer to your letter of yesterdays date, No. 399 and in reference to the correspondence in the margin I am sorry to remark that your explanations now here satisfy me of the propriety of your recovering money from Gomastahs who appear to me to have honestly earned their

From Sub Collr. 4th May 1846 No. 239
To D° D° 13th D° No. 337
From D° D° 30th D° 245
To D° D° 30th D° 349
From D° D° 1st June 259
From D° D° 2d D° 262
To D° D° 16th July 514

Jubbulpore to Mahratta return 29th August

13679 Fort St. George 29th October 1846-

From Sub Coll.r 31st Augt. No. 348
To D.o 13th Sept.r - " 709
To D.o 18th D.o - " 716.
From D.o 23rd D.o - " 390.

their hire, altho you were not authorized to entertain them to the extent you did; and, since upon your protracted answers to my questions, and on the trust placed in your statements I have sought the sanction of the Board for the surcharge, I shall be necessitated to submit our correspondence when excusing the unnecessary trouble to which I have exposed them; and unless you think they will comprehend your explanations better than I do, I would suggest you should lay it before them in the way that may be satisfactory to them.

Madura 24th Sept.r 1846 } (Signed) J.n Blackburne
Prin.l Coll.r

To The Principal Coll.r of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the sum of Rupees 26-10-8 as stated in my letter of yesterday No. 390 has this day been delivered to a Peon for conveyance to Madura.

Dindigul Sub Coll.rs Cutchery 24th Sept.r 1846 } (Signed) W. Elliot
Sub Coll.r

To The Principal Coll.r of Madura

Sir,

With reference to my letters of yesterday and

13680 Fort St. George 29th October 1846-

and today No.s 390 and 392 I have the honor to send herewith by the Peon Shaik Maadheen the sum of Rs. 26-10-8 on account of the sum accidently over drawn by this office on account of the Hangamy Gomastahs employed in the preparation of the settlements accounts for Fasly 1256-

Dindigul Sub Coll.rs Cutchery 24th September 1846 - - - } (Signed) W. Elliot
Sub Coll.r

To The Principal Collector of Madura

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

+ Rs. A. P. 26-10-8- the receipt of your letter of the 24th Instant on the subject of the sum overdrawn on account of the Hangamy Gomastahs required for the preparation of the Settlement accounts for Fasly 1255 and beg to inform you that although the number of persons is stated and the number of months fixed it is impracticable to employ them all at one time as some accounts are not ready. With the means allowed the work has to be perfected and this year much labour & care have been bestowed on the accounts to get them done according to the Boards instructions - The Hobors and Munsiel remittances in Dindigul which do not occur in Madura,

give

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

give much additional labor to the accounts as drawn up in the Sub Division, the appointment and arrangement of the Gomastahs are left to the Sheristadar as the responsibility for the correctness after the receipt of instructions, chiefly rests with himself and his office.—

The amount drawn for april last for the said Gomastahs was — Rupees 272 — 8 —
For May —————— " 353 — " —
For June —————— " 241 — " —

Total Rupees 886 — 10 — 8

being an excess of Rupees 26 — 10 — 8 which sum has already been forwarded to you — I regret that there should have been any mistake in the accounts but it has been corrected, the surplus recovered and duly submitted to you with my letter of the 24th Instant No. 393 should you be pleased to recommend to the Boards' Consideration sanction for the Extra Amount I shall not certainly offer any objection, although I did not at the time consider there was any necessity for the same or would have submitted the proposal for your approval.—

Pondigul Sub Collectors Cutcherry 26th Sept. 1846

(Signed) W. Elliot
Sub Collector

(Signed) J. Blackburne
Pr. Coll.

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Proceedings thereon — The Board are unable to gather from the correspondence which accompanied the above letter whether the extra servants on whose account the additional Rupees 26-10-8 was originally drawn were actually entertained for the period for which this sum was charged or not. If they were so employed they are entitled to their pay and in this case the Statement furnished by the Principal Collector as the 2d ultimo may stand — but if otherwise a revised account should be forwarded.

(49-50)

The Principal Collector will be pleased to satisfy himself whether the extra Gomastahs in question were or were not thus employed and to report the result of his inquiry briefly to the Board but it is not necessary that he should send up any correspondence which he may have with his Sub Collector on the subject.—

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(51)

From the Pr. Coll. of Madura — 5

Receiving no answer to my Communication of the 18th Ultimo requesting

13683 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

requesting permission to return the Glossaries with the new entries made with so much pains by the Sub. Collector Mr. W. Elliott. I have the honor to apprise you all 15 Sections in duplicate will be forwarded by successive Banghies.

Madura — 29th October 1846. } (Signed) J. Blackburne Pl. Colr.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

(51-52) To T. Pycroft Esqre. Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

From the Pl. Colr. of Madura — } I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of a new iron Box for preserving Notes and Post Bills of the Madras Bank in my Treasury as Communicated in your letter of the 28th ultimo received on the 19th Current.

(53)

Madura — 21st October 1846. } (Signed) J. Blackburne Principal Colr.

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

(53-54) Read.

13684 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly dated 27th August and entered in Consultation 21st Ultimo.

Ordered that the following letter be submitted together with the abovementioned letter and the several other communications therein referred to.

(55) To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Secy. to Government — Para 1. Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of Extract Minutes Consultation 19th May 1846, directing them to submit to Government at an early date a Statement of lands held in Tinnevelly by Protestant Missionaries or by Protestant Christians on which a remission of rent or any peculiar advantage has been conceded and showing under which circumstances, and by whose recommendation and by whose orders, a remission has been granted — also a Statement exhibiting the numbers of the Revenue servants in all Departments in Tinnevelly, with a Memorandum, shewing how many of them are Protestant Christians when —

Submitting with reference to Collector's Minutes of Consn. 19th May 1846 a letter from the Acting Collr. of Tinnevelly with statements showing the extent of land in that District held by Protestant Missionaries or by Protestant Christians on which remission of rent has been granted the number of Revenue servants employed in the Districts & how many of them are Protestant Christians and a Statement exhibiting the proportion of native Christians in Revenue employ in the Southern

1388

13685

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Southern Districts compared with the proportion of Native Christians employed in Tinnevelly.

Sir,

I am directed by the Most Noble the Governor in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 27th August last, with its enclosures, noted in the margin, and to request you will inform the Board when first appointed & by whom they were appointed to their present Offices. The Board are further directed to state whether the proportion of Native Christians in Revenue employ in Tinnevelly is greater or less than in the southern Districts and the relative proportion of the Christians to the Heathen population of the District.

2. In reply the Board direct me to submit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying replies with their enclosures from the Collectors of the districts noted in the Margin, to whom reference has been made on the subject of the Extract Minutes of Consultation now under acknowledgement.

From Tinnevelly 27 August inclosg 24 Sepr 1846.
From Tanjore 19th August inclosg 24th Augt "
From Madura 7th inclosg 15th October "
From Trichinopoly 31st July inclosg 5 August "
From Coimbatore 20th inclosg 30th July "
From S. Arcot 22d inclosg 30th July "

3. From Statement No 1 in the letter from the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly of the 27th August last, it will be seen that the total extent of land in that district held by Protestant Missionaries or Protestant Christians, on which a remission of rent

race -

53-54

13686

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

* Seed Cotton note - a Seed Cotton is rather more than 1½ Acre.

has been granted is Punjah 5. 15. 1/8 and Punjah 6 9/32 Chains - the full assessment of which is Rupees 79 - 4 - the whole amount of which has been remitted - There are also remissions on Topes to the amount of Rupees 15 - 7 - 10 - on Palmyra Trees of Rupees 32 - 5 - 10. and of Goterpha of Rupees 207 - 9 - 5 The total amount of remissions under all these heads is Rupees 334 - 11 - 1. The authority under which these several remissions have been granted is given by the Acting Collector in Col: 15 of his Statement.

4. From Statement No 2 forwarded by the same Officer, it will be perceived that the total number of revenue servants in Tinnevelly, Hozzor, Talook and Village, is 4,117 of whom 13, including three east Indians are Protestant Christians - The Officers by whom the Protestant Servants in the Hozzor and Talook establishments were appointed and the dates of the appointment are also exhibited in this Statement - The same information has not been given in regard to the 8 Protestant Village servants as these parties hold the Offices on hereditary tenure.

5. The accompanying Statement which the Board have prepared from the Returns furnished by

13687

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Furnished by the Collectors of the southern districts as noted in the margin, will exhibit at one view the proportion of Native Christians in revenue employ in those several districts in juxta position with the proportion of Native Christians employed in Tinnevelly.

Tinnevelly
Tanjore
Madura
Tuticorin
Coimbatore
South Arcot

6. It will be seen from the concluding para of Mr Parker's letter that the total population of his district amounts to 1076266 of whom 61088 or about 1/17 are Christians. Of this latter class the number of Protestant Christians as returned by the Village Officers is 35158, but the Acting Collector is of opinion that this is below the true number and that the Protestants in Tinnevelly really amount to somewhat above 40000.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846

/signed/ F. Pycroft
Secretary

Tanjore

13687 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Tinnevelly	Protestant Christians	3	2	8	13		
	Christians of other sects	"	3	24	27		
	Heathens	291	1365	2145	4091		
Tanjore	Protestant Christians	10	15	"	25		
	Christians of other sects	3	45	"	51		
	Heathens including Mohammedans	304	3552	1374	5233		
Madura exclusive of Ramnad & Sivagunga	Protestant Christians	"	"	3	3		
	Christians of other sects	"	"	41	41		
	Heathens	636	864	5940	7430		
Ramnad & Sivagunga	Protestant Christians	3	2	58	63		
	Christians of other sects	1	12	518	531		
	Heathens	85	1030	9185	10300		
Tuticorin	Protestant Christians	"	"	"	"		
	Christians of other sects	1	"	"	1		
	Heathens including Mohammedans	"	"	"	"		
Coimbatore	Protestant Christians	"	3	7	10		
	Christians of other sects	"	"	"	"		
	Heathens	607	1393	5104	7104		
South Arcot	Protestant Christians	"	"	"	"		
	Christians of other sects	9	36	108	153		
	Heathens including Mohammedans	189	2124	11259	13572		

/signed/ F. Pycroft
Secretary

13689 Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

Read the following letters

To T. Pycroft Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(56)

From the Asst Collr of Tinnevelly. Sir

With reference to your letter of 6th April last I have the honor to forward herewith as per enclosed list such of the Original Documents as bear directly upon the true facts of the Case.

+ to be returned

Tinnevelly
Tencassey
30th June 1816.

Signed R D. Parker
Asst Collr

A

List of Principal Documents connected with the case of the Curnums of Tangoonairy Talook who were convicted of having committed frauds on the Revenues.

1st Petition presented by Imbraloy Venkatachurry and others to the Tahsildar.
2d Tahsildar's Uleze No. 203 of 1815.
3d Deposition given by Kalyanasoondrum Pillay at the Hoozoor.
4th Ditto given by Eswaramoorty Pillay the son of Theravyum Pillay deceased at Ditto.
5th Ditto given by Seetalutchmy a dancing woman at the Talook.

Petition

13690 Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

6th Petition given by Coonarasawmy Pillay at Ditto.
7th Ditto given by Kylasa Tavan at Do.
8th Ditto given by Alagyanundy Tavan at Ditto.
9th Ditto given by Settiraputtara Tavan at Ditto
10th Ditto given by Vanamamaly Pillay at the Hoozoor.
11th Ditto given by Theroomaly muny Tavan at the Talook.
12th Ditto given by Curnum Inakandra Pillay at the Hoozoor.
13th Ditto given by Mootta Pillay at Do.
14th Ditto given by Elyaperoomal Pillay at Ditto
15th Cudjan leaf containing a Statement of Poolly Teerwah to the amount of Rupees 916 - 13 - 8. given by Curnum in the names of Sivatanoova Pillay father of Kalyanasoondrum Pillay
16th Cudjan leaf containing a Statement of Pully Teerwah to the Less amount of 83. 13 - 8. given in the name of Ditto.
17th Cudjan leaf containing a Statement of Pully Teerwah prepared in lieu

13691 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

of that destroyed lessening the average rate of assessment) given in the name of Moottia Dancing woman.

18th Ditto of Ditto as per former average rate of assessment given in the name of Ditto.

19th 2 Puttahs of Fusilies 1246 and 1242 given in the name of Ditto.

20th 3 Ditto of Fusly 5152 and 53 which were prepared lessening the average rate of Teerwah and given in the name of Ditto.

21st 2 Pindarees accounts received from Salork.

22d Copy of a previous orders imposing fines on the said Curnums.

23d Pindarees Rems of sentence in the case sent to Salork.

24th Deposition of Sookamattu Tavens son.

Tennevelly - Tencauay - 30th June 1846. } (Signed) R. D. Parker
A. Coll.

Read again letter from E. B. Thomas Esqr Collector of Tinnevelly dated 12th February 1846. reporting on appeal in Petition Nos. 119 of 1846 from Makandras & Henry Pillay and Mottiah Pillay late Curnums of Munjoonary in Tinnevelly

In Com 6th April 1846.

13692 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Tinnevelly - Read also letter from R. D. Parker Esqr Acting Collector of Tinnevelly dated 30th June 1846 submitting original proceedings connected with the case.

(56-57)

(Here Enter Nos 32 & 152.)

Proceedings thereon - It appears from the Documents before the Board that the Appellants were charged with having in connection with another Curnum defrauded the Circar to the amount of Rupees 146-12-10. Firstly by having made unauthorized remissions estimated at Rupees 91-0-1 in the Government share of the grain produce of Certain cultivated land bearing a teerwah assessment of Rupees 1-8-0 and thirdly by having deducted under false pretences the sum of Rupees 54-4-9 from the Public Revenue - The Board notice that the two appellants were convicted and punished in 1844 for offences of a similar nature - The case now under review was tried and disposed of under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 and by decision of the Collector dated 30th July 1845 it was adjudged that each appellant should make good the sum of Rupees 18-1-7 being one third of the amount deducted from the

On passing the decision of the Collr of Tinnevelly Dist. Regn IX of 1822 against Pudhan Pagthevy Pillay and Mottiah Pillay late Curnums of Mungoonary in that Dist.

7 June 1846.

Sirkar

13693 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Sinkars dues under false pretences and pay a fine of 50 Rupees each or in default undergo imprisonment for 3 Months.

2. It is observed that the two first sums amounting together to Rupees 92-8-1. were collected by the Government Officers from the Ryots after the fraud was detected.

3. After an attentive perusal of the documents connected with the Case and a careful consideration of the evidence and the defence the Board resolve to confirm the sentence passed by the Collector agreeably to the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822.

4. The Board order the following Endorsement to be passed on the Petition No. 119 of 1846.

The Board of Revenue have carefully reviewed the Proceedings held in the case herein appealed, and see no grounds to disturb the decision passed agreeably to the Provisions of Regulation IX. of 1822. The Prayer of Petitioners for an order from the Board for their restoration to office is rejected.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

(58)

From the 1st Coll.r of Tinnevelly. } Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt by Bangly of the Tin Box mentioned in your letter of the 28th Ultimo.

Tinnevelly 22d October 1846. } (Signed) R. D. Parker
1st Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read

(58-59)

13694 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(60)

From the Coll.r of Canara. } Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to enclose

Copies of Drafts in answer to two Suits filed against me as Collector of Canara, together with translations of the Plaints, and I beg to request that the Board will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of Government for their being defended at the public expence.

2. The answers now submitted with sufficiently explain the nature of the suits and it does not appear to me necessary to enter into any further detail; but I would beg to request the attention of the Board to the facts referred to by me in my answer to Suit No. 16 of 1846 filed by Mudda Hegada and to request its instructions as to whether measures should not now be taken for resuming the Estate which he holds, and which it appears from the mookteiarnamah granted by the Villagers ures an Enam attached to the Office Heggada, and which on the failure of heirs aught there can be no doubt to have become escheat to Government.

Coll.rs Cutcherry, Mangalore, 9th October 1846. } (Signed) T. L. Blane
Collector

Draft

D of 1846 on the file of the Court at Honore.
"D of 1846 ditto ditto at Mangalore.

13695 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

13

Draft of an answer to Suit No. 1 of 1846 on the file of the Honore Court.

Sipparyah Son of Mylarayana Ramaya

Verses.

The Collector of Canara

The Plaintiff in this case claims as belonging to his Mirasy No. 23 at Candapoor a Juddoo or piece of waste land situated about 3 or 4 miles off in the Village Neerambally there being none of the cultivated land of the estate near it.

This piece of waste, so situated at a distance from the cultivated land, was not occupied or claimed by Plaintiff or his family until Fusly 1230. It had therefore remained for 21 years, at least since the commencement of the Company's Government without any act of occupancy either by cultivation, or letting it, having been exercised by the Plaintiff. He then attempted to establish a claim by renting it to a person named Coosah Onnoo who commenced to Cultivate it; but he was opposed by the inhabitants of the neighbouring Village Mooodiwagh. It is stated that a punchayet of neighbours assembled on this occasion to settle the boundaries but as was

13696 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

was not with the Knowledge or Junction of the Circar, no claim can be founded on this circumstance.

The above Coosah Onnoo did not cultivate it and it remained unoccupied for 15 years more when Plaintiff again attempted to let it to two other parties named Narna Carantah and Rama Krishna Carantah and was again opposed by another party Viz.t Dasachary of the Krishnapoor Mutt to which Mutt there is land belonging situated near or adjoining to the disputed Juddoo which Dasachary also claimed as belonging to the Mutt.

It was this dispute between these two parties which first brought it under the notice of the Circar and a Punchayet was appointed to investigate it, but it was to be observed that both by the Tashildar and the Punchayet the question appears to have been treated as exclusively between Plaintiff and Dasachary. It seems to have been taken for granted that the Juddoo must belong to one of these two parties and any idea of its not belonging to either and being Circar waste, appears not once to have been entertained. This is of importance because it will be observed that through the whole proceedings until it came before Mr. Batty the Sub Collector, the evidence and arguments brought forward have only reference to the claims of each as opposed to the other and the defects in the proof on either side is only considered as telling against him and in favor of the other, but not as supporting or

opposing

opposing the claim of a third party Viz. the Circar.

The question however has now assumed altogether a different aspect. Mr. Mullby on review of the case rejected the claim of both parties as being insufficient to establish their right of property in this piece of land and the point now for the consideration of the Court is whether the Plaintiff has produced sufficient evidence of the Suddoo being his property and included in his Warg.

The Plaintiff grounds his claim upon the circumstance of the Suddoo bearing the same name as that of the Grand father and on his Warg being assessed too high and also upon the decision passed by the Villagers and the Punchayet on two separate occasions.

Mylariah is a common name in this part of the Country and affords therefore no proof that a piece of ground so called must have necessarily belonged to his grand father and the circumstance of the warg being highly assessed is no evidence that this distant spot was included in it. With respect then to the decision of the Punchayet which seems to be the only ground upon which he can found any claim, it is to be observed that referring to their report dated 8th June 1837 it seems that of the witnesses examined by them, Gurtoo sharagar did not know who the Suddoo belonged to, Sham sherogar the same, Poolia shanbhogue stated positively that a portion of the ground belonged to

to the Mutt according to certain boundaries named by him but when he comes to speak of Plaintiffs right it appears he could only state in qualified terms that another portion Viz. a waste hill "was said" to belong to Mylarayah. Ramayah Aitalla styled a complainant and Gunapah Muniyah state that they had heard from their ancestors that the ground had been made over to Mylarayah as a gift from the Warg of one Hegada in the Moodadiwap Village, but if these people as complainants were opposing the claim of the Mutt owners their hearsay evidence on their own side is of little or no value. Yet this appears the only evidence on which the Punchayet decree a portion of the land to Mylarayah for they expressly say that "there is no documentary proof on his side and that "from the statement of Rama Aitalla and Gunapah Muniyah the spot of ground would appear to have been granted to Mylarayah as Dottar or gift."

These two people it appears on former occasion had succeeded in establishing a claim to a portion of the ground claimed by Mylarayah and to show how completely the Punchayet appears to have looked upon the question as pending solely between the Mutt owners and Mylarayah they observe that "the silence of the Mutt people on that occasion has now enabled Mylarayah to establish his own claim to the land and he has therefore right to

rent

13699 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

rent the said ground on Moolgueny from which it would seem that they never entertained the idea that the Government had any interest in or claim on the spot in dispute.

The 1st Defendant therefore submits that the evidence adduced by Plaintiff is wholly insufficient to establish a legal claim to this spot of ground. He has no documentary proof to establish his right which rests only on a general vague report that some land in this neighbourhood, the boundaries and extent of which were not known, had once been made over to one of his ancestors. He had allowed his claim such as it was to be dormant for 21 years without asserting it since the commencement of the company's Government, but how long before is not known though as no Sunnuds were granted to Brahmins or Pagodas by Hyder or Tippoo it must have been 36 years at least previous to this that it had been granted him if it ever was so as alleged by him, and this would make a period of 57 years during which he had never asserted a claim - when he did assert it, it was opposed by other parties - and at last by the Revenue authorities when an opportunity was offered them of doing so. The 1st Defendant therefore considers that the sub Collector was fully justified in refusing to admit the claim of either of the parties, and in asserting the right of the Government to the ground, it as the question of ownership in waste ground is one of great importance to the interest of Government, and it is desirable that claims to it should not be admitted except upon clear and satisfactory evidence of private ownership. He prays that the claim of Plaintiff may be rejected and the suit dismissed with costs.

(signed) T. L. Blane
Collector

To

13700 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

No. 2.

To The Civil Court of
Honore.

Bill of Plaint filed by Lippiya
Son of Myelareyana Ramaya formerly residing
at Mundagudday in Cowledroog in Mysore
and now at Cundapoor Cutcha and Taloor
on the 31st December 1845.

The following is my claim against
Mr. Blair the Principal Collector of Canara.
My family possessed an Estate numbered
23, registered in the name of my grand e-
father Myelaraya and subsequently changed
to that of my elder uncle Vencapaya and
paying a Beriz of Hoons 9-7-1 in Coteshwar
Cundapoor village. My ancestors having
demised and myself being left a youth
I went and lived with my relations
above the Ghauts. The Estate was
cultivated through tenants and the
mesne profits paid to me. During this
time i e in Doormoottee (1802)
I let out on Moolgueny tenure to Narna
Caranta and Ramakrishna Caranta
the undermentioned ground in dispute
called Myelarayana Juddoo - while they
were

13701 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

were in possession of it, one Dassachary preferred a complaint stating that it belonged to him. An investigation was then held and a Mahazur &c. obtained from which and the Jummabundy and Azmaish Chittahs and the proceedings held in the matter it appeared that the ground in question was a part of my Estate but notwithstanding this, one Mr. Maltby issued an order to the Cundapoor Tahsildar under No. 398/1842 declaring it to be the Government property. As soon as I was informed of this circumstance, which I was in another Zillah, I presented a petition praying that I should not be put out of possession of this ground and that in the event of my being so, a Corresponding abatement of Beriz should be granted to me on my Estate. An enquiry was then made and the Tahsildar reported that there was sufficient proof to warrant a compliance with my prayer but the Defendant without taking the Circumstance into consideration issued an order under Fusil No. 213/1255 confirming the one passed by the late sub Collector Mr. Maltby and further directing that the land should be laid

13702 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

under attachment and advertised for sale on account of the arrears of Revenue. The ground having thus been detached from my Estate, I beg to prefer this Suit & claim Hoons 9 or Rupees 36 being the net produce due to me for 9 years from Fusly 1247 to this time @ 1 Hoon per annum agreeably to the chist given to Ramakrooshna Carnata and another and the piece of ground is hereunder Circumscribed called Myelaraya's Juddoo producing annually Hoons 6 or Rupees 24, as attached to my Estate. I therefore pray that the Defendant may be sent for and examined and a decree passed in my favor. The said ground is bounded on the east by the road leading from Nerambully to Kody, on the south by a hill, on the west by a Moondaka fence and on the north by a high road.-

(Signed) Myelarayana
Seppiya

To The Civil Court of
Honore.

Supplement filed by Myelarayana Ramaya's son Seppiya inhabitant of Coteshwar

13703. Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Coteshwar. Candapoor in Candapoor Taloor
formerly residing at Mundegudday. in
Cowlidroog in Mysore, on the 18th April 1846.-

Mr. Blair the late Principal
Collector of Canara against whom I filed
a Suit for the recovery of a land producing
Rupees 24 and net produce Rupees 36
having retired from his office and Mr.
Thomas Law Blane appointed to it and
assumed its duties, the latter Gentleman
is answerable for the whole of my demand
and is my Defendant - I therefore pray
that he may be examined and my claim
adjusted to me.-

(Signed) M. Sippiya
(True Translation)
(Signed) T. L. Blane.
Collector

No. 3

Draft of answer to Suit No. 16 of 1846.-

Mudda Heggada alias Luxmiah Sawuntah

Versus

The Collector of Canara.

The Plaintiff, in this case
claims the ground on which the public
bungalow at Kaup now stands and that
which

13704 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

which immediately surrounds it. The erection
of a new bungalow has lately been sanctioned
by Government, and on the 1st Defendant
directing this building to be erected close
to the former one, Plaintiff has filed this
Suit for the purpose of preventing it.-

The public bungalow has
stood upon this plot of ground ever since
the year 1824 or for 22 years. It is a piece
of immemorial waste, of a sandy soil.
It has never been inclosed or exclusively
enjoyed by the Plaintiff. It is situated
near the village and is so barren as to
produce scarcely any grass, and has apparently
been never occupied in consequence of its entire
worthlessness. The Plaintiff asserts it to be
included in his wargy but he can produce no
documentary proofs of its being so, nor show
that he has enclosed, or cultivated it, or
exercised over it any act of ownership. The
Defendant therefore maintains it to be a
waste land belonging to the Circar.-

But admitting for the sake
of argument, that the spot of ground was for-
merly included in the Wurg No. 4 to which
he claims it as appertaining there are

two

13705 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

two distinct grounds upon which the claim now set up by the Plaintiff should be rejected.-

The first is that the Plff himself admits that the bungalow was originally built with the Consent of the former owner and his objection that the bungalow cannot now be rebuilt without his Consent being again obtained, and that the only ground made over by the former owner was that on which the foundations of the present building stand is frivolous and absurd. The stables and other out-houses at present existing are much further from the bungalow than the new site selected and the spot of ground which is Compact and well defined has always been made use of and considered as appertaining to the bungalow.-

The second objection is that the Plaintiff has actually no valid claim to the Estate to which he alleges the waste ground in question to belong for it has transpired during the investigation of this claim brought forward by him that the Estate No. 4 H.D is an Oomboly or Enam Estate granted to the former Hegadahs of

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

of Coup, and that the family of the former Chiefs having entirely failed the village people had taken upon themselves in 1833 to elect the Plaintiff to fill that office and had conferred on him the Estate of their own authority and without the Consent of the Government.- The Estate however being of the same nature as a Poligar Enam ought on the failure of the old family to have lapsed to Government or the Consent of Government to have been obtained for installing the Plaintiff in the Office of Hegadah and making over the land attached to that office to him, and without that consent his present possession of it is invalid.- Not only therefore is the waste land in question the property of Government, but the whole of the Cultivated land included in the Estate also.-

The Defendant for the above reasons submits that the claim of the Plaintiff is litigious and vexatious and he prays that the Court will dismiss it with Costs.-

(Signed) T. L. Blane
Collector

To

137

13705 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

two distinct grounds upon which the claim now set up by the Plaintiff should be rejected.-

The first is that the Plff himself admits that the bungalow was originally built with the Consent of the former owner and his objection that the bungalow cannot now be rebuilt without this Consent being again obtained, and that the only ground made over by the former owner was that on which the foundations of the present building stand is frivolous and absurd. The stables and other out-houses at present existing are much further from the bungalow than the new site selected and the spot of ground which is Compact and well defined has always been made use of and considered as appertaining to the bungalow.-

The Second objection is that the Plaintiff has actually no valid claim to the Estate to which he alleges the waste ground in question to belong for it has transpired during the investigation of this claim brought forward by him that the Estate No. 4 is an Ombly or Enam Estate granted to the former Hegadahs

of

13706 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

of Coup, and that the family of the former Chiefs having entirely failed the village people had taken upon themselves in 1833 to elect the Plaintiff to fill that office and had conferred on him the Estate of their own authority and without the Consent of the Government.- The Estate however being of the same nature as a Poligar Enam ought on the failure of the old family to have lapsed to Government or the Consent of Government have been obtained for installing the Plaintiff in the Office of Hegadah and making over the land attached to it to him, and without that consent his present possession of it is invalid.- Not only therefore is the waste land in question the property of Government, but the whole of the Cultivated land included in the Estate also.-

The Defendant for the above reasons submits that the claim of the Plaintiff is litigious and vexatious and he prays that the Court will dismiss it with Costs.-

(Signed) T. L. Blane
Collector

To

13707 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

No. 4.

To The Subordinate Judge of Mangalore.

Plaint filed by Madda Hegaday or Luamiah Sawntah of Bullisavara residing at Pudoo Villa, Caup Mogany in Oodipy Taloor under date the 10th September 1845.

The whole of a ground called Pajra or grass land bounded on the east by the highroad on the South by a large paddy field belonging to my warg on the west by a large rock near the paddy field called Beedina Bagalao Gudday on the north by the hedge of the Warg of Anuntha Bettoo Buswa and a lane called Poolippoo Puttana, capable of sowing about 20 Moodies and fit for being converted into a garden being included in my warg registered in the name of my Ancestor Madda Heguday of Fl. 64-4-5 Shurao Beriz was ever possessed by my ancestors and myself. At the old public Bungalow at Caup which was built at a distance on my own ground, was not on an open and eligible situation, the former Collector when at that Station, relinquished the site to my ancestors and desired them to give

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

a small portion of the above described ground for the site of a new one. Accordingly my ancestors not liking to displease the Collector on a small matter and that Officer having on the other hand promised not to interfere with the rest of the ground, they consented to give up the site on which the present Bungalow stands and the Bungalow was accordingly built, no objection whatever was ever made to the free possession and enjoyment of the rest of the ground by my ancestors and myself. Accordingly my cattle grazed on it, and I obtained the straw grown on it for the thatch of my house and derived other advantages from it besides renting out a part of it and collecting the rent. This year however, i e, in april last a foundation for a new Bungalow was laid and the Shanbhogue Manjoonatha Manigar Ramachendra, Potail Ramanatha Ogrinay Soobraya and Mafsalchee Goorooa and others having prevented my cattle grazing on any part of the ground within the

13;

13709 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

the boundaries above defined and otherwise allowed me no access to it on the ground that they had received orders to that effect from the Tahsildar and the latter from the Deft, I presented petitions on the subject both to the Deft and Tahsildar and the latter having by orders of the former instituted an enquiry, the Mokhtesors and Potail of the Village and others gave a Mahazurnamah to the effect that the entire ground in question was included in my Wurg and made to the Deft by the Tahsildar, the Deft without taking the merits of the question into Consideration, passed an order observing that as a Bungalow had been built before, and I had put forth no objections I should acquiesce henceforward also, and that I could obtain no other orders. I therefore personally presented a Second petition on the 9th of this month shewing grounds for favorable order being passed and redress afforded and at the same time praying that I may be furnished with a Copy of the

13710 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

order that may be passed, in the event of its being unfavorable to me, but no order was passed, and I was told that no Copy of the order would be granted. It therefore beg to represent that since the ground in question is included in my Wurg and was ever enjoyed by me, I was justified in making objections to the forcible building of a fresh Bungalow on it on the plea of a small site having been given for one before, and therefore the opinion that because I had set forth no objections before I should acquiesce henceforward also is an unjust one. Therefore and as in consequence of the usurpation of my ground, my Cattle &c. have perished and I have been deprived of the produce of the ground and thereby subjected to loss and the said ground being an important assistance to me for the grazing of my cattle and the thatching of my house, I have brought this action against the Deft and accordingly claim from him the ground as above Circumscribed, producing Rupees 2 1/2 with

13711 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

with the exception of the site formerly relinquished by my ancestors for a Bungalow and pray that the Defend=ant may be directed to withdraw all interference in my possession of the ground as before and refrain from erecting a fresh Bungalow thereon.-

(Signed) Midda Hagaday.

(True Translation)

(Signed) T. L. Blaine

Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following address.-

(60-61)

To The Chief Secretary to Government.-

To the Chief Sec.y to Government.- Submitting a letter from the Coll.r of Canara reporting the institution of 2 Suits against him in the Honore and Mangalore Courts respectively and forwarding translation of the plaint in each case and recommending that the Coll.r may be allowed to defend the actions at the public cost.-

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to Submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Canara reporting the institution of two Suits against him in the Honore and Mangalore Courts respectively and forwarding translation of the Plaint in each case and of the Answers which he proposes to file.-

2.- The object of the Suit in each

13712 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

of these cases is the same Viz.t to establish the title of the Plaintiffs to a Certain piece of land allegedly the Plaintiffs to a Certain piece of land allegedly held by him to form part of his Wurg but withheld by the revenue Authorities on the grounds of its being Sircar waste- The reasons which have induced the revenue Authorities to resist the claims advanced by the Plaintiffs are set forth in the answers proposed to be filed in the respect=ive cases.-

3.- In respect to the claim prosecuted in Suit No.1 of 1846 the Coll.r States in his proposed answer that the Ground in question is at a considerable distance from the Plaintiffs Wurg that Plaintiffs pre=tensions must accordingly to his own showing have lain dormant for 57 years before Firstly they were op=posed and the land remained unoccupied for a further period of 15 years and Finally that the claim is unsupported by any documentary proof or direct parole evidence and rests altogether on Vague and general assertion.-

4.- In respect to the land which forms the Subject of the other Suit or No.16 of 1846 the Coll.r represents that this Ground on which the Public Bungalow was erected 24 years ago is immemorial waste and has never been enclosed or exclusively enjoyed by the Plaintiff that Plaintiff can furnish no documentary proof in support of his claim nor show that he has ever exercised any act of ownership over the land- that

13713 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

that even according to Plaintiffs own admission the Ground was given up to the Sircar 24 years since as the site of a public Bungalow and cannot now be resumed and lastly that the Wurg of which the land is alleged to be a part and on account of which it is claimed does not belong to the Plaintiffs but is a Pegada Enam which should have lapsed to the Government on the extinction of the Family by whom it was formerly held but has been Continued to the Plaintiffs irregularly and without due authority.-

5- The grounds of defence set forth by the Collr in his answers, seem to the Board to be just and valid, and they therefore beg to recommend that he may be allowed to put in the Answer and to defend the Actions at the Public Cost - They will instruct him to take immediate measures for indicating the rights of Government to the escheated Enam mentioned in Para 2 of his letter and at present in the enjoyment of the Plaintiff in No 16 of 1846.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846.-

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read

13714 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Read the following letter.

To

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(62.)

From the Collr of Madras — Stating that it appears from certain Documents at the Cutcherry that the Title Deeds produced by Lutchmee Unnimal and Neelatachee Unnimal have reference to the premises entered as No 37 in the abstract furnished by the Board on the 1st June 1805.- Enclosure No 1.

Sir.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 10th Ultimo relative to the claim to compensation preferred in a petition from Lutchmee Unnimal and Neelatachee Unnimal, and to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I caused further search to be made for the plan of the different spots of Ground taken possession of in 1805, but without success - Having called upon the Surveyors attached to this Office to inform me of the result of his examinations. I submit Copy of a report which he addressed me on the 14th instant.-

2. As this report does not afford satisfactory proof of the identity of the Petitioners' property, I required the whole of the Title Deeds for the premises sold to Government in 1805 to be brought before me. Of these I took up two bills of Sale, one of which shews that the House referred to in it was situated on the South side of "Chickla Gadangoo Cundapah Moodelly's Godown" and

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

and the other that the House therein alluded to was on the North side of "Chickle Cundapah Moodelly's Godown" in "Lascars' Street".

3. The first Bill of Sale is from "Waub" and Cawder Saib, Sons of "Pakeer Mahomed" dated 31st October 1805. and the second is from "Esmall Lubbay" dated 15th October 1805. the original title deed for the premises being a Choultry Bill of Sale. dated 30th September 1754. in the name of "Meerah Hoofsain".

4. The Sheriff's Bill of Sale produced in support of the present claim is dated 18th March 1786. It states that the property therein described which in a Bill of Sale for it dated 11th December 1800. is called a "Gadangoo" or Godown was situated in "Lascars Street" between the Houses of Meera Hoofsain and Doctor Andeapen.

To Board 8th May 1846. P. 11.

5. Whilst I have already shewn that the property sold by Esmall Lubbay which was on the North side of Cundapah Moodelly's Godown belonged in 1754. to "Meerah Hoofsain" who was probably one of the two parties named in the Sheriff's Bill of Sale of "1786" produced by the Petitioners, the name of the other "Doctor Andeapen" does not occur in any of the documents which have been examined by me.

6. The three Houses adverted to above being thus contiguous to each other as the enclosed Sketch

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Encl: No 2 sketch plan now drawn with reference to the Title deeds enumerated above will more clearly shew. I referred again to the Abstract which accompanied Mr. Waytes' letter dated 6th June 1805. and found them therein entered in consecutive order as follows

To Bd 8th May 1846. P. 3.

36 Waub son of Pakeer Saib - - - - - - - 1402 - 37 - 30.
37 Cundapah Moodaly - - - - - - - - - - 1565 - 7 - 40.
38. Esmall Lubbay - - - - - - - - - - - 648 - 26 - 70.

7. I. Cundapah Moodally is the proper name of the individual whose property was taken in possession of in 1805. but it appears he was employed in the Laboratory at the Arsenal of Fort St. George for some time, and that he was in consequence called by many persons "Chickli Gadangoo Cundapah Moodelly".

8. The Documents alluded to in the foregoing Paras would seem to afford sufficient evidence that the property entered as No. 37 in the "Abstract" of 1805 is the same as that the site and boundaries of which are described in the documents produced by the petitioners.—

(Signed) J. A. Bendlaston
Collector

Madras - - - - -
28th September 1846

No. 1.

Memorandum—

Agreeably to orders of the Collector under date the 10th Ultimo I have made further strict

and the other that the House therein alluded to was on the North side of "Chickla Cundapah Moodelly's Godown" in "Lascars' Street".

3. The first Bill of Sale is from "Waub" and Cawder Saib, Sons of Pakeer Mahomed dated 31st October 1805. and the second is from "Esmall Lubbay" dated 15th October 1805. the original title deed for the premises being a Choultry Bill of Sale. dated 30th September 1754. in the name of "Meerah Hoofsain".

To Board 8th May 1846. P. 11.

4. The Sheriffs Bill of Sale produced in support of the present claim is dated 18th March 1786. It states that the property thereon described which in a Bill of Sale for it dated 11th December 1800. is called a "Gadangoo" or Godown. was situated in "Lascars Street" between the Houses of Meera Hoofsain and Doctor Audeapen".

5. Whilst I have already shewn that the property sold by "Esmall Lubbay" which was on the North side of Cundapah Moodelly's Godown belonged in 1754. to "Meerah Hoofsain" who was probably one of the two parties named in the Sheriffs Bill of Sale of "1786" produced by the Petitioners. the name of the other "Doctor Audeapen" does not occur in any of the documents which have been examined by me.

6. The three Houses adverted to above being thus contiguous to each other as the enclosed Sketch

Encl. No 2

To Board 8th May 1846. P. 3.

sketch plan now drawn with reference to the Title deeds enumerated above will more clearly shew. I referred again to the abstract which accompanied Mr. Way's letter dated 4th June 1805. and found them therein entered in consecutive order as follows

36 Waub son of Pakeer Saib - - - - - - - 1402 - 37 - 30.

37 Cundapah Moodaly - - - - - - - - - 1565 - 7 - 40.

38. Esmall Lubbay - - - - - - - - - - 648 - 26 - 70.

7. I. Cundapah Moodelly is the proper name of the individual whose property was taken possession of in 1805. but it appears he was employed in the Laboratory at the arsenal of Fort St. George for some time. and that he was in consequence called by many persons "Chicklie Gadangoo Cundapah Moodelly".

8. The Documents alluded to in the foregoing Paras would seem to afford sufficient evidence that the property entered as No. 37 in the "Abstract" of 1805 is the same as that the site and boundaries of which are described in the documents produced by the petitioners.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Madras - - - - - 3
28th September 1846 3

No 1.

Memorandum -

Agreeably to orders of the Collector under date the 10th Ultimo I have made further Strict

and the other that the House therein alluded to was on the North side of "Chichle Cundapah Moodelly's Godown" in "Lascars' Street".

3. The first Bill of Sale is from "Waub" and Cawder Saib, Sons of "Pakeer Mahomed" dated 31st October 1805. and the second is from "Esmall Lubbay" dated 15th October 1805. the original title deed for the premises being a Chowltry Bill of Sale. dated 30th September 1754. in the name of "Moorah Hoofsain".

4. The Sheriff's Bill of Sale produced in support of the present plaint is dated 18th March 1786. It states that the property therein described which in a Bill of Sale for it dated 11th December 1800. is called a "Gadangoo" or Godown was situated in "Lascars' Street" between the Houses of Moora Hoofsain and Doctor Audeapen".

To Board 8th May 1846. P.11.

5. Whilst I have already shewn that the property sold by "Esmall Lubbay" which was on the North side of Cundapah Moodelly's Godown belonged in 1754. to "Moorah Hoofsain" who was probably one of the two parties named in the Sheriff's Bill of Sale of "1786" produced by the Petitioners. the name of the other "Doctor Audeapen" does not occur in any of the documents which have been examined by me.

6. The three Houses adverted to above being thus contiguous to each other as the enclosed Sketch

Encl. No 2 sketch plan now drawn with reference to the Title deeds enumerated above will more clearly shew. I referred again to the abstract which accompanied Mr. Waytes letter dated 4th June 1805. and found them therein entered in consecutive order as follows

To Board 8th May 1846. P.3.

36	Waub son of Pakeer Saib	1402	37	30
37	Cundapah Moodely	1565	7	40
38.	Esmall Lubbay	648	26	70

7. I. Cundapah Moodelly is the proper name of the individual whose property was taken in possession of in 1805. but it appears he was employed in the Laboratory at the Arsenal of Fort St. George for some time. and that he was in consequence called by many persons "Chichli Godangoo Cundapah Moodelly".

8. The Documents alluded to in the foregoing Paras would seem to afford sufficient evidence that the property entered as No 37 in the "Abstract" of 1805 is the same as that the site and boundaries of which are described in the documents produced by the petitioners.

(Signed) J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Madras 28th September 1846

No 1.

Memorandum -

Agreeably to orders of the Collector under date the 10th Ultimo I have made further strict

13719 Fort St. George 29th October 1806.

Ordered that the following letter be addressed together with the foregoing letter.—

62-63

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Correspondence between this Office and the Collector of Madras on the subject of a Claim preferred against Government by Lutchmee Ummal and Neelatachee Ummaul.—

From Coll.r 8th May in Cons.y 25th June 1806
To Coll.r 10th August —"—
From Coll.r 28th Sept.r in Cons.n 29th Oct.r 2984.—

2. These parties claim the sum of Pagodas 1565—7—40 with interest at the rate of 8 per Cent per annum from the 1st January 1806. being the purchase money of a house and ground taken posession of by Government in December 1805. for the formation of a road on the North Beach.— From the report of the Collector and the correspondence to which he refers it will be seen that in the year 1805 the Government purchased certain houses and plots of ground for the purpose of making improvements on the North Beach and that in three instances in consequence of the inability of the parties to make satisfactory transfers of the property it was agreed that the purchase money should remain in deposit bearing interest at 8 per Cent until the proprietors should be in a Condition to execute valid Conveyances to the Honorable Company. This arrangement received the Sanction of Government 28th October 1806. In two of the cases referred to the conveyances have been duly made and the purchase money discharged but without interest in the years 1807 and 1818 respectively. The third case is that reported on by the Collector.—

To Coll.r 4th June 1805.
From Coll.r 22d inCons.n 26th May 1806
To Coll.r 19th June 1806
From Coll.r 28th in Cons.n 31st July—
Submitted to Gov.t 12th Sept.r 1806.

3. The property now under consideration is entered in the various Statements drawn up by the revenue and Engineer Officers in the year 1805 in the name of Cundapah Moodly with whom the arrangement already adverted to was effected by the Honorable Company's Solicitor. The present applicants state that in 1820 after the death of Cundapah Moodaly his grandson T. Sevachedumbara Moodaly's Guardian Vadachilla Moodaly executed in behalf of his ward a bill of sale transferring the

To the Chief Sec.y to Government

Submitting a Correspondence with the Coll.r of Madras on the subject of a Claim preferred against Government by Lutchmee Ummal and Neelatachee Ummal for the sum of Pagodas 1,565-7-40 with interest at the rate of 8 per Cent per annum being the purchase Money of a house and Ground taken possession of by Government in December 1806 for the formation of a road on the North Beach & recommending that the Collector be directed to place himself in Communication with the Land Officers of Govt with a view to ascertain whether the bill of sale produced by the Petitioners be a genuine instrument and whether the present Claimants

13720.

Fort St. George 29th October 1806.

Claimants can establish by legal proof that the premises have passed from the Vendee on that Bill regularly to themselves

Gov.t to Board 28th Oct.r 1806. Para 2.

From Gov.t to the Board 30th May 1805. Enclos.r 3.
From Coll.r 22d May in Cons.n 26th May 1806 Enclos.r No. 1.
From Dep.y Sec.y 28th July in Cons.n 31st July 1806 Enclos.r 2.

13721 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

the premises to Collengaroy Moodely - that on the death of Collengaroy Moodely in 1830 the property devolved upon his Widow Mungatha Vallee Ummaul - and that on the decease of this latter, in 1833 her right in it has passed to them as her Executrixes and residuary legatees. They have further produced certain Correspondence which passed between Condapah Moodely on Collengaroy Moodely and the Collector of Madras or Company's Solicitor, with other papers and the alleged title deeds of the House and Ground in question in support of their claim.-

From Coll.r 8th May in Cons.n 28th June 1846- 4. From Para 13 of this Collector's letter it will be observed, that on the Petitioners applying to his predecessor Mr. Robertson in the year 1842, they were informed by that Officer, that "before the Collector could proceed further in an enquiry they must point out the precise Spot for which they asked "Compensation" This precise spot cannot now be determined - The plan transmitted to the Board in Mr. Ogilvie's letter of 22d

in Cons.n 26th May 1806- May 1806. and in which the Houses and Grounds for which payment was suspended were distinguished by Yellow Color, was forwarded to Government with the Board's

13722 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

letters 12th September 1806. and does not appear to have been returned - and no other plans have been discovered either in the Government Office, in that of the Chief Engineer or of the Collector of Madras, which throw any light upon the Subject. The circumstances however explained in detail by Mr. Hudleston in his

in Cons.n 29th Octr 1846. letter of the 28th Ultimo seems to leave no doubt that the premises taken possession of by Government in 1805. as the supposed property of T. Condapah Moodelly, are the same as those entered in the Sheriffs bill of Sale of 18th March 1786. being the earliest of the documents produced by the Petitioners in support of their claims. Under these Circumstances the Board would beg to recommend that the Collector be directed to place himself in communication with the Law Officers of Government, with a view to ascertain whether this bill of Sale be a genuine instrument and whether, supposing it to be so the present Claimants can establish by legal proof, that the premises to which it has reference have passed from the Vendee in that Bill through T. Condapah Moodelly

13723 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Moodelly. Cahngaroy Moodelly, and Mungatha Ummal, regularly to themselves; and so that they are consequently in a condition to make a valid Conveyance of the property to the Honorable Company.—

5. In the event of the opinion of the Law Officers being in favor of the Petitioners title to the premises, so their right to the principal of the Compensation money would seem to be undoubted. It will however be seen so that besides this Principal, they have made a further demand for interest at 8 per so Cent from the 1st January 1806, amounting up to 31st December last, to Rupees 23 00 7-15.

6. It has been already noticed that no interest was paid in the two other Cases Similar to the present, and it should further be observed, that the engagement made by the Honorable Company's Solicitor was with T. Condapah Moodelly only, and that the great delay which has occurred in the Settlement of the question was evidently not Contemplated by the parties concerned at the time when the arrangement so

13724 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

was concluded. The claim for interest seems to the Board to be questionable but in the event of the title of Petitioners to the principal being fully substantiated, it will remain for the consideration of Government, whether the demand for interest should also be admitted: and if so, whether it should be allowed at 8 per Cent, or at some lower rate.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following

Statement.—

Statement

13725

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

Statement Showing Separately the Collections on account of the Several Items included in the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance under the Several heads of Extra Revenue from 12th July to 30th September 1846 Compared with the average Collections of the same period during the preceding Fusilies.—

(64)

	Fusilies	Salt	Abkarry	Sundry Small farms and Licences	Stamp papers & Cadjans	Grand Total.
		Rs. a/	Rs. a/	Rs. a/	Rs. a/	Rs. a/
From 12th July to 30th September	1253	26,761 13 2	1,30,448 4 8	3375 7 3	2,454 8	1,63,040 1 1
	1254	50,499 9	1,32,578 15 3	3,328 11 8	3,133 10 6	1,89,540 14 5
	1255	35,886 8 4	1,29,958 13 8	3,300 2 7	1,237 9	1,70,383 7 7
		1,13,147 14 6	3,92,986 1 7	10,004 5 6	6825 11 6	5,22,964 1 4
Average	"	37,715 15 6	1,30,995 5 10	3334 12 6	2,275 3 10	1,74,321 5 8
Actual Collections from 12th July to 30th September 1846	"	23,500	1,07,853. 5 5	30506 11	1,487 9	1,35,891 5 4

Madras
20th October 1846

E. E. Fr. / Signed / J. A. Hudleston
Collector.
Ordered

13726

Statement of Revenue to be Recorded
Ordered to be Recorded.
Paid the following Letters.

(44-65)

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the following Sale, which took place on the 24th Instant, has been confirmed by me.

Sale of Land

Purchaser { Name Mayappah
Residence Kadaveram

Amount Rs. 1,000

Land { Nature Nunjah
Extent 1 Cawny
Situation Kadaveram

Boundaries { East Land of Purchaser
West Land of Purchaser
North Land of Purchaser
South Land of Purchaser

Reason for Sale Default in payment of Revenue

Date of Sale 24th October 1846

Date of Confirmation 29th October 1846

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Collectorate Office
Chingleput
29th October 1846

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Sale of Land mentioned in the accompanying Statement, which took place on the 24th Instant, has been confirmed by me.

Sale of Land

Purchaser { Name Mootia Moodelly
Residence Chingleput

Amount Rs. 1,000

Land { Nature Nunjah
Extent 1 Cawny
Situation Chingleput

Boundaries { East Land of Purchaser
West Land of Purchaser
North Land of Purchaser
South Land of Purchaser

Reason for Sale Default in payment of Revenue

Date of Sale 24th October 1846

Date of Confirmation 29th October 1846

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. A. Hudleston
Collector

(66)

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Sale of Land mentioned in the accompanying Statement, which took place on the 24th Instant, has been confirmed by me.

Sale of Land

Purchaser { Name Mootia Moodelly
Residence Chingleput

Amount Rs. 1,000

Land { Nature Nunjah
Extent 1 Cawny
Situation Chingleput

Boundaries { East Land of Purchaser
West Land of Purchaser
North Land of Purchaser
South Land of Purchaser

Reason for Sale Default in payment of Revenue

Date of Sale 24th October 1846

Date of Confirmation 29th October 1846

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Sale of Land mentioned in the accompanying Statement, which took place on the 24th Instant, has been confirmed by me.

Sale of Land

Purchaser { Name Mootia Moodelly
Residence Chingleput

Amount Rs. 1,000

Land { Nature Nunjah
Extent 1 Cawny
Situation Chingleput

Boundaries { East Land of Purchaser
West Land of Purchaser
North Land of Purchaser
South Land of Purchaser

Reason for Sale Default in payment of Revenue

Date of Sale 24th October 1846

Date of Confirmation 29th October 1846

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Sale of Land mentioned in the accompanying Statement, which took place on the 24th Instant, has been confirmed by me.

Sale of Land

Purchaser { Name Mootia Moodelly
Residence Chingleput

Amount Rs. 1,000

Land { Nature Nunjah
Extent 1 Cawny
Situation Chingleput

Boundaries { East Land of Purchaser
West Land of Purchaser
North Land of Purchaser
South Land of Purchaser

Reason for Sale Default in payment of Revenue

Date of Sale 24th October 1846

Date of Confirmation 29th October 1846

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Sir

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Sale of Land mentioned in the accompanying Statement, which took place on the 24th Instant, has been confirmed by me.

Sale of Land

Purchaser { Name Mootia Moodelly
Residence Chingleput

Amount Rs. 1,000

Land { Nature Nunjah
Extent 1 Cawny
Situation Chingleput

Boundaries { East Land of Purchaser
West Land of Purchaser
North Land of Purchaser
South Land of Purchaser

Reason for Sale Default in payment of Revenue

Date of Sale 24th October 1846

Date of Confirmation 29th October 1846

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J. A. Hudleston
Collector

13727 Fort St. George 29th October 1806.

it, and that a new Course was required to supply Coonoor with water. Mr. Dawson who was then the proprietor of Major Underwood's Property had shut up his Hotel and as Mr. Barr a major and myself felt the urgent need of water for our silk manufactory here, we cut a new course at an expence of Rupees 100 since which period Coonoor has been abundantly supplied with water.—

In the beginning of the present year Mr. Davison bought Mr. Dawson's Property and a short time since Vizt. the end of July claimed the whole of the water, and has since deprived all the Residents here of the use of the Stream.—

We referred all the matter to Mr. Moughton the Collector of the District who after long delays has decided that he has no power to do justice to us, though he allows the question of the water has been an open question for eleven years.—

In a late personal interview with the Collector I pointed out my desire to settle the matter reasonably and even offered to waive our undoubted right to the use of the water and to bring a new stream but as Mr. Davison claims all the Land around we have no power as he forbids our cutting through any part of his waste land, and in anticipation of this difficulty the Collector suggested our bringing the new Stream by the side of the Public Road leading to Kotagherry but on my again referring to the subject in a private note

for

13728 Fort St. George 29th October 1806.

for the Collectors decision he again in a public letter in reply reiterates his impotency to interfere.—

From the severe loss we have already sustained for the last 2 Months, I am induced to bring it to the notice of the Revenue Board, and to request permission may immediately be granted to cut the channel by the side of the Road and a copy of the permission sent to the Collector Captain Ochterlony who is now here surveying our land has

upon

13727

Fort St. George 29th October 1840.

it and that a new Course was required to supply Bonnoor with water Mr. Dawson who was then the proprietor of Major Underwood's Property had shut up his Hotel and as Mr. Bavanajor and myself felt the urgent need of water for our silk manufactory here, we cut a new course at an expence of Rupees 100 since which period Bonnoor has been abundantly supplied with water.—

In the beginning of the present year Mr. Davison bought all Mr. Dawson's Property and a short time since Viz.t the end of July claimed the whole of the water, and has since deprived all the Residents here of the use of the Streams.—

We referred all the matter to Mr. Maughton the Collector of the District who after long delays has decided that he has no power to do justice to me, though he allows the question of the water has been an open question for eleven years.—

In a late personal interview with the Collector I pointed out my desire to settle the matter reasonably and even offered to waive our undoubted right to the use of the water and to bring a new stream, but as Mr. Davison claims all the Land around we have no power, as he forbids our cutting through any part of his waste land, and in anticipation of this difficulty the Collector suggested our bringing the new Stream by the side of the Public Road leading to Kotagherry, but on my again referring to the subject in a private note for

13728.

Fort St. George 29th October 1840.

for the Collectors decision he again in a public letter in reply reiterates his impotency to interfere.—

From the severe loss we have already sustained for the last 2 Months, I am induced to bring it to the notice of the Revenue Board, and to request permission may immediately be granted to cut the Channel by the side of the Road and a Copy of the permission sent to the Collector Captain Ochterlony who is now here surveying our land has

appointed

13729

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

assured me there can be no ob-
jection as it will improve the
public road, serving as a drain-

The unjust conduct
of Mr. Davidson has entirely ru-
ined our land so that without
this permission we purpose
joining up our land, which hereto-
fore having been irrigated by the
above stream is now of no value.—

We feel that con-
fidence in the justice of the
Revenue Board, and their desire
to protect the holders of land who have
embarked capital on the faith of protection that
I trust this request will be immediately granted.—

Coonoor } / Signed / F. Minchin
21st Septr 1846 } Major

13730

Fort St. George 29th October 1846

To,
The Secretary to the
Revenue Board.

(67)

From Major F. Minchin

Sir,

In reference to my last com-
munication I have the honor to submit for
the consideration of the Revenue Board the
enclosed Complaint marked No 1 which the
Principal Collector of Coimbatore has re-
fused to receive— and I beg to enclose a
Statement No 2 on which Mr Davison a
claims the exclusive and prescriptive right
to all the water here, and on this claim I
would only remark that if in 1834 because the
Government did not any longer require the
public Stream for the Sappers and Mi-
ners, Major Underwood could claim the
whole of it, because at an expense of 40 Rs
he turned it all into his garden how
much more might Mr Casamajor and
myself claim the same by the rule of ap-
propriation when in 1845 Major Under-
wood's house then an hotel being
shut up, and no water at all in the public
course, we at an expence of Rupees 100 made
a new Channel and brought water to
our Property.—

We

13731

Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

II. We have however no wish to make this selfish claim; we consider the water course undoubtedly the property of Government as it was originally cut by the Pioneers and all we ask is that it should flow unhindered through all the Compounds every one freely dipping but no one allowed to divert it, and a rule passed to that effect.—

III. The Paper No. 3 is the history of the Water course since 1834.—

IV. If the Revenue Board do not protect us, we desire at once to give up all the land as it is not now worth the Quit rent charged annually Viz. Rupees 40 and which we have paid regularly.—

Coonoor
1st October 1816.

/Signed/ T. Minchin.
Major.
No. 1.

To
The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.

The following claim is preferred under clause I Section IV Regulation XII of 1816 and Para 18 Regulation V of 1822 by Major T. Minchin a renter and cultivator of land in the Village of Coonoor in Jalook Dandikenaltum on Neilgherry Hills in the Zillah of Coimbatore against T. Davidson also a renter of Land in the same Village respecting the irrigating 2 lands thereon.—

Coo-
1st Sep

Inv.

13732

Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

In 1831 when Pioneers were encamped on part of the land now occupied by complainant a channel was cut by them for the consequence of water from a Hill Stream of the said land that on the removal of the Pioneers Major Underwood of the Engineers spent 120 Rupees in making a branch channel from the channel abovementioned in order to convey water from it to the house and land now occupied by the Defendant which then belonged to Major Underwood from that time Viz. many years without interruption the water continued to supply the land both of the Defendant and the complainant until last years when the original channel from want of being duly repaired and cleared became dry at this time the premises now occupied by the Defendant belonged to one Mr. Davison to whom they had been made over by Major Underwood who for some time kept an Hotel there but at the time of the water failing the said Hotel was shut up and there being no one to share with complainant the expences of repairing the original channel and also cut a new channel, the complainant did it at a cost of Rupees 100. thereby enlarging the supply of water in the original channel which ever since has been —

abundantly

13733 Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

abundantly supplied. On the 28th and 29th of July the Defendant diverted all the water into his Garden and being remonstrated with in a friendly manner of the injury he was causing the complainant and all the Residents at Coonoor he the Defendant declined to enter into any amicable arrangement and was resolved to make use of the water in any way he liked and would not yield to any proposition on the complainants part and claiming an exclusive right not made before—

The complainant therefore claims that the hindrance put by the defendant to the water running to the grounds be immediately removed and that the Defendant be restricted from putting any hindrance in the way of the water hereafter.

The annual Quitrent of forty Rupees and 9 annas will be of no use without water.—

The channel having been originally cut and used as above stated there is no custom of the Village relative to the irrigation of the land in question, but the practice has been for the occupants in other Houses and lands lying between the Defendants premises and those of the complainants to enjoy the unrestricted use of the Channel though none of them bore any part of the expence of repairing and

13734 Fort St. George 29th October 1816.

and enlarging in last year.

Coonoor
1st August 1816 } / Signed / J. Murchin

No 2.

I hereby Certify that on my arrival at Coonoor in the year 1834 to take Command of the Pioneers, ordered to be incorporated with the Sappers & Miners, I found the Corps encamped in the Ghaut about a mile below the Travellers Bungalows and the Public stores situated about 20 or 30 feet below the house at present belonging to General Kennett was supplied by a Stream of water which went so far and no further Some short time after my arrival, it became necessary to remove the artificers Stores &c to the encampment in the Ghat below, and as the Stream was no longer required for the original purposes I subsequently at my own expence, cut a new channel for it on a higher level and repairing the whole throughout brought the watercourse into the property which I had then purchased and which I afterwards disposed of to Mr. H. Davison. By raising the watercourse to a superior level of about ten or 50 feet and by adding to its original quantity an additional small stream near its source Doctor Palmer the then owner of General Kennetts House, was enabled to carry the Stream after leaving my property into his own

Garden

+ cut by the Pioneers for the use of the Corps.

40 Rs

Garden and thence it ran waste down the Hill into the Nullah below. The waste stream however was some short time after divided into a small channel beyond General Kennetts house by some of the Sapper Serjeants who lived on the high ground beyond - but most assuredly in those days there never was the least question or doubts as to my right over the watercourse which ran through my Grounds and which at that time I used constantly for the purpose of irrigating my Garden - The watercourse was never originally for the purpose of supplying all the houses at Coonoor and I transferred the property with the decided Conviction that it possessed the exclusive right over the Streams in question -

The water supplied the Officers temporary houses the present dwelling houses were subsequently built -

/ Signed / Underwood.
Major of Engineers.

No. 3.

In reference to the annexed Statement of Major Underwoods respecting the Public Water course at Coonoor up to the period of 1834. I beg to add the subsequent history of it, amongst the Serjeants alluded to, who built houses at Coonoor, was a Pensioner of the name of Holmes who finding the water barely sufficient for the new Houses went to the expence of bringing in a new Stream

so that all the dwelling Houses were well supplied with water - As soon as Coonoor attracted the notice of Government as a Sanatarium and a Medical Officer received an allowance to afford Medical aid, other individuals built Houses for the Convenience of Invalids and several were built for the purpose of being rented and 3 by a Mr. Norris who settled here. From the period alluded to by Major Underwood till 1845 for Eleven years the public Stream supplied all the Houses here without any hindrance and as the Principal Collector has allowed in his Official letter, the Proprietary right of the watercourse has been considered an open question.

In 1845 the Public Water course from having been neglected became quite dry, the channel having been broken and in many places destroyed and on a survey it was found impossible to repair it and that a new course was required to supply Coonoor with water Mr. Davison who bought Major Underwoods' house had given up the Hotel and it was empty, Mr. Norris who possessed 3 houses was in poor circumstances and could not afford any help and Mr. Casamajor and Major Murchin who required a stream of water for their Silk Manufactory recently built, determined on the expence of cutting a new course which needful and thoroughly repairing the whole

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

whole line about a Mile in extent, this was accomplished at an expence of Rupees 100 and since which period Coonoor has been well supplied with water. In 1845 Mr. Davison purchased Major Underwoods' Property and claims the exclusive and proprietary right of the whole of the Stream and unjustly deprives all the residents of Water the Principal Collector of the District declines to interfere and to prevent altercation General Hewett and Major Minchin propose to bring a new Stream but Mr. Davison now claims all the high Land on each side of the Kotagherry road and forbids it, so that now all the residents of the Sanatarium are entirely deprived of water—

Coonoor ——— } / Signed / T. Minchin
12th September 1846 }

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

From Major T. Minchin, Coonoor } Sir,

(68)

I do myself the honor to request you will state to the Revenue Board that I have been informed on good authority that the Government have issued instruction to the Engineer Officer now surveying the Hills that the principle followed in the Debra Dhoon in Bengal should be adopted here and that under this system all the Mist

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Course will be reserved to the lands of Government.

I therefore feel that the case which I have lately submitted for the consideration of the Revenue Board, urgently demands the interference of Government, that the Water Course originally cut by the Corps of Pioneers, should be regulated so as to supply all the lands here that now pay Tax, and a general rule passed to apply to all the Renters of Land that all may derive equal benefit.—

Coonoor ———
10th October 1846 } / Signed / T. Minchin Major.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.—

(66-69)

To The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

To the Pal Collr of Coimbatore — — } I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying letters addressed to this office by Major T. Minchin a resident at Coonoor on the Neelgherry Hills complaining that the supply of Water to his lands at that place has been intercepted, and to request that you will at an early date favor the Board with a full report upon the subject to which Major Minchin's communications have reference.—

21st September
1st Octr —
10th Do —

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George — —
29th October 1846 — — } / Signed / T. Oglevie
Secretary

The

13741 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

81

To The Principal Collector of Madura

To the Pr. Coll.r of Madura

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to refer to you the accompanying Appeal Petition from Hajy Seobiah Naicker Ambalagar of Lutchmeepooram in your District, and to request you will be so good as to transmit the original proceedings held in the case together with a copy of the points adverted to in the Appeal.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846

I have &c.
Signed R. Pt. Jackson
Secy.

82 Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot

83 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.

From the Collector of Bellary.

84 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

From the Collector of Canara.

Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

13742 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

85 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of September last.

86

From the Acting Collector of Chinglepat.

87 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

From the Commissioner of Kurnool.

88 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

From the Collector of Madras.

89 Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry.

90 Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

From the Acting Collector of North Arcot.

91 Statement shewing the Average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of August last.

From the Collector of Bellary.

92 Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

Statement

13723 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Moodelly. Cahngaroy Moodelly, and Mungatha Ummal, regularly to themselves; and so that they are consequently in a condition to make a valid Conveyance of the property to the Honorable Company.—

5. In the event of the opinion of the Law Officers being in favor of the Petitioners title to the premises, so their right to the principal of the Compensation money would seem to be undoubted. It will however be seen so that besides this Principal, they have made a further demand for interest at 8 per so Cent from the 1st January 1806, amounting up to 31st December last, to Rupees 23 00 7-15.

6. It has been already noticed that no interest was paid in the two other Cases Similar to the present, and it should further be observed, that the engagement made by the Honorable Company's Solicitor was with T. Condapah Moodelly only, and that the great delay which has occurred in the Settlement of the question was evidently not Contemplated by the parties concerned at the time when the arrangement so

13724 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

was concluded. The claim for interest seems to the Board to be questionable but in the event of the title of Petitioners to the principal being fully substantiated, it will remain for the consideration of Government, whether the demand for interest should also be admitted: and if so, whether it should be allowed at 8 per Cent, or at some lower rate.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
29th October 1846

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following

Statement.—

Statement

1845. Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

108 Stationery and Sundries required for the year 1847.-

From the Principal Collector of Madura Indent for Stationery and Sundries required for the use of his Sub Collector for the year 1847.-

107-109 Order on the 2 abovementioned Indents. Ordered that the Original Indents be passed and transmitted to the Secretary to the Military Board.-

110 From the Collector of Nellore.- Statement shewing the quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1845/46 at the Ports upto 15th October 1846.-

111 From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry.- Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 30th September last.-

112 From the Sub Coll.r in charge of Sargore.- Informing that no persons were charged or convicted under Regulation IX of 1832 during the Month of September last.-

113 From the Acting Coll.r of Tinnevelly.- Informing that no Revenue Defaulters were confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 30th September last.-

" A. Maclean

" Waller Elliot

" Hyde Wingfield

13741 Fort St. George 29th October 1846

To The Principal Collector of Madura.

(81) To the Pe Collr of Madura ---

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to refer to you the accompanying Appeal Petition from the Hazy Soobiah Naicker Ambalagar of Lutchmeepooram in your District and to request you will be so good as to transmit the original Proceedings held in the case together with a report on the points adverted to in the Appeal.

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George --- 29th October 1846 ---

I am Sir Your most obedt Servt

Signed Jno Lyon Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

(82) From the Acting Collector of North Arcot ------- Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of August last.

(83) From the Collector of Bellary. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of September last.

(84) From the Collector of Canara. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

Abstract

13742 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

(85) From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah ------ Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

(86) From the Acting Collector of Chinglepat. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of September last.

(87) From the Commissioner of Kurnool. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of September last.

(88) From the Collector of Madras. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of September last.

(89) From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Months of September last.

(90) From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

(91) From the Acting Collector of North Arcot. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Months of August last.

(92) From the Collector of Bellary. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of September last.

Statement

13739 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.

(70) From the Collector of Nellore. Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fasly 1256.

(71) From the Acting Collector of Chinglepute. Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fasly 1256.

(72) From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement of sums proposed to be advanced for Cultivation for Fasly 1256.

(73) From the Principal Collector of Coimbatore. Statement of sums proposed to be disbursed on account of advances for purchase of Tobacco for Fasly 1256.

(70-74) Order on the 4 abovementioned Statements - - - - - Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Columns of the abovementioned Statements and submitted for the Sanction of Government.

(75) Read the undermentioned Lists.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th Sept. 1846.

(76) From the Collector of Trichinopoly. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September 1846.

13740 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

(77) From the Collector of Canara. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September 1846.

(78) From the Collector of Canara. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th September 1846.

(75-79) Order on the 4 abovementioned Lists. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

Resolved that the following letters be dispatched.

(80) To the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.

To the Actg Collr of Cuddapah - - - -

Sir,

With reference to my letter under date 24th September last, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will be so good as to forward without delay your Statement of Persons charged with Offences Vide under Regulation IX of 1822 for the half year ending 30th June 1846.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George - - October 1846 - - -

I have &c.

(Signed) J. Cycroft

Secretary

To

13737

Fort St. Georg 29th October 1846.

whole line about a Mile in exctent, this was accomplished at an excpence of Rupees 100 and since which period Coonoor has been well supplied with water. In 1846 Mr. Davison purchased Major Underwoods' Property and claims the exclusive and proprietary right of the whole of the Stream and unjustly deprives all the residents of Water the Principal Collector of the District declines to interfere and to prevent altercation General Kennett and Major Minchin propose to bring a new Stream but Mr. Davison now claims all the high land on each side of the Kotagherry road and forbids it, so that now all the residents of the Sanatarium are entirely deprived of water—

Coonoor ------ } Signed F. Minchin
19th September 1846 }

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

From Major F. Minchin } Sir
Coonoor

I do myself the honor to request you will state to the Revenue Board that I have been informed on good authority that the Government have issued instruction to the Engineer Officer now Surveying the Hills that the principle followed in the Debra Dhoon in Bengal should be adopted here and that under this system all the Water

(68)

courses

13738.

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Courses will be reserved in the hands of Government.

I therefore feel that the case which I have lately submitted for the consideration of the Revenue Board, urgently demands the interference of Government, that the Water Course originally cut by the Corps of Pioneers, should be regulated so as to supply all the lands here that now pay Assd, and a general rule passed to apply to all the Renters of Land that all may derive equal benefit.

Coonoor ------ } Signed F. Minchin Major.
10th October 1846 }

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence.

To The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

(66-69)

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying letters addressed to this office by Major F. Minchin a resident at Coonoor on the Neelgherry Hills complaining that the supply of water to his lands at that place has been intercepted, and to request that you will at an early date favor the Board with a full report upon the subject to which Major Minchin's communications have reference.

To the Pl. Collr of Coimbatore --
27th September
1st Octr --
10th Do --

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George --
October 1846 --

Signed T. Oycroft
Secretary

The

Garden and thence it ran waste down the Hill into the Nullah below The waste stream however was some short time after divided into a small channel beyond General Kennett's house by some of the Sapper Serjeants who lived on the high ground beyond – but most assuredly in those days there never was the least question or doubts as to my right – over the watercourse which ran through my Grounds and which at that time I used constantly for the purpose of irrigating my Garden – The watercourse was never cut originally for the purpose of supplying all the houses at Coonoor and I transferred the property with the decided Conviction that it possessed the exclusive right over the Streams in question. –

/ Signed / Underwood.
Major of Engineers.

The water supplied the Officers temporary houses the present dwelling houses were subsequently built. –

No 3.

In reference to the annexed Statement of Major Underwoods respecting the Public Water course at Coonoor upto the period of 1834. Beg to add the subsequent history of it, amongst the Serjeants alluded to, who built houses at Coonoor, was a Pensioner of the name of Holmes who finding the water barely sufficient for the new Houses went to the expence of bringing in a new Stream

so

so that all the dwelling Houses were well supplied with water – As soon as Coonoor attracted the notice of Government as a Sanatarium and a Medical Officer received an allowence to afford Medical aid, other individuals built Houses for the Convenience of Invalids and several were built for the purpose of being rented and 3 by a Mr Norris who settled here. From the period alluded to by Major Underwood till 1845 for Eleven years the public Stream supplied all the Houses here without any hindrance and as the Principal Collector has allowed in his Official letter, the Proprietary right of the watercourse has been considered an open question.

In 1845 the Public Water course from having been neglected became quite dry the channel having been broken and in many places destroyed and on a survey it was found impossible to repair it and that a new course was required to supply Coonoor with water Mr Davison who bought Major Underwoods' house had given up the Hotel and it was empty Mr Norris who possessed 3 houses was in poor circumstances and could not afford any help and Mr Cavanagh or and Major Minchin who required a stream of water for their Silk Manufactory recently built, determined on the expence of cutting a new course when needful and thoroughly repairing the

whole

&c

13703. Fort St. George 29th October 1846.

Coteshwar. Candapoor in Candapoor Taloor
formerly residing at Mundegudday. in
Cowlidroog in Mysore, on the 18th April 1846.-

Mr. Blair the late Principal
Collector of Canara against whom I filed
a Suit for the recovery of a land producing
Rupees 24 and net produce Rupees 36
having retired from his office and Mr.
Thomas Law Blane appointed to it and
assumed its duties, the latter Gentleman
is answerable for the whole of my demand
and is my Defendant - I therefore pray
that he may be examined and my claim
adjusted to me.-

(Signed) M. Sippiya
(True Translation)
(Signed) T. L. Blane.
Collector

No. 3

Draft of answer to Suit No. 16 of 1846.-

Mudda Heggada alias Luxmiah Sawuntah

Versus

The Collector of Canara.

The Plaintiff, in this case
claims the ground on which the public
bungalow at Kaup now stands and that
which

13704 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

which immediately surrounds it. The erection
of a new bungalow has lately been sanctioned
by Government, and on the 1st Defendant
directing this building to be erected close
to the former one, Plaintiff has filed this
Suit for the purpose of preventing it.-

The public bungalow has
stood upon this plot of ground ever since
the year 1824 or for 22 years. It is a piece
of immemorial waste, of a sandy soil.
It has never been inclosed or exclusively
enjoyed by the Plaintiff. It is situated
near the village and is so barren as to
produce scarcely any grass, and has apparently
been never occupied in consequence of its entire
worthlessness. The Plaintiff asserts it to be
included in his wargy but he can produce no
documentary proofs of its being so, nor show
that he has enclosed, or cultivated it, or
exercised over it any act of ownership. The
Defendant therefore maintains it to be a
waste land belonging to the Circar.-

But admitting for the sake
of argument, that the spot of ground was for-
merly included in the Wurg No. 4 to which
he claims it as appertaining there are

two

13705 Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

two distinct grounds upon which the claim now set up by the Plaintiff should be rejected.-

The first is that the Plff himself admits that the bungalow was originally built with the Consent of the former owner and his objection that the bungalow cannot now be rebuilt without his Consent being again obtained, and that the only ground made over by the former owner was that on which the foundations of the present building stand is frivolous and absurd. The stables and other out-houses at present existing are much further from the bungalow than the new site selected and the spot of ground which is Compact and well defined has always been made use of and considered as appertaining to the bungalow.-

The second objection is that the Plaintiff has actually no valid claim to the Estate to which he alleges the waste ground in question to belong for it has transpired during the investigation of this claim brought forward by him that the Estate No. 4 H.D is an Oomboly or Enam Estate granted to the former Hegadahs of

Fort St. George 29th October 1846.-

of Coup, and that the family of the former Chiefs having entirely failed the village people had taken upon themselves in 1833 to elect the Plaintiff to fill that office and had conferred on him the Estate of their own authority and without the Consent of the Government.- The Estate however being of the same nature as a Poligar Enam ought on the failure of the old family to have lapsed to Government or the Consent of Government to have been obtained for installing the Plaintiff in the Office of Hegadah and making over the land attached to that office to him, and without that consent his present possession of it is invalid.- Not only therefore is the waste land in question the property of Government, but the whole of the Cultivated land included in the Estate also.-

The Defendant for the above reasons submits that the claim of the Plaintiff is litigious and vexatious and he prays that the Court will dismiss it with Costs.-

(Signed) T. L. Blane
Collector

To