2034

42(42)

V 034

0223.

Fort St. George 10th ... d. 1845 and ...
... mentioning in ... which
Order thereon - Ordered that ... the aggre-
to 9 inclusive of the foregoing Extract 9000 rens due
Consultation be furnished to the ... Zemindary -
Cuddapah who will be pleased to ... stating ... ex-
planations to the lapsed Enams reported ... Deputy
of 6th January and 30th April ... and the
... by Government ... the several liquidated
attention is drawn to Para ... Zemindary
good as to submit all future applications ... shall
the continuance of Enams in the ... the
Form now supplied to him - ... Zemindars
... rams from

5-6

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 9 November 1846

1

From The Secy to Government

Read the ... letter ... dated ... Vizag ... with the ... G. S. Harrison Revenue Vizagapatam - ... your ... thereon

To

The Chief Secretary to the ... Government ... Fort St. George &c

Sir

Herewith I have the honor to ... transmit an address to the Most Noble ... the Governor in Council which I request ... you will be pleased to lay before His ... Excellency for his information and consideration ...

Vizagapatam ... 1846

I have &c

Signed G. S. Harrison Sr. ... Revd

Fort St. George 10th August 1826

His Excellency the Most Noble the Governor in Council
Fort St. George

The humble address of Govindoo Narrain Row a Proprietor and Inhabitant of the District of Vizagapatam and an Inhabitant of that Town who solicit to set forth his case as follows.

Having come to the Knowledge of Vizaram Cuzadutty Razah of Kasaraz Lungaruvu the Zemindar of Vizeanagrum at present at Benares have written to the Collector of Vizagapatam to transfer to a Deputy the Management of his Zemindary from under the charge of your Government and of the Collector having communicated the circumstances to you, I beg leave to state in consequence of the measures pursued by the Zemindar that a balance of principal of Company Rupees 18,000 remains due up to this date on account of the pecuniary loan I advanced to the Zemindar through the Channel of the Collector of Mr. Prease on the 1st July 1

refer to your further orders and I am under said him through the mediation

Jebuthnot the Collector in 1824 and 1825 another loan of Company's Rupees 2,85,000 amounting in principal to Company's Rupees 4,85,000 which together with the interest is about the aggregate sum of Company's Rupees 19,0000 now due to me should the management of the Zemindary at present transacted by Officers acting under your authority be transferred to a Deputy whom the Zemindar may appoint and the private debts of the Zemindar be liquidated from the surplus revenue of the Zemindary and my claims remain undischarged I shall be under the necessity of prosecuting the Zemindar in Court, but since the Zemindar did not himself contract these loans from me personally at this place, I am at present here in the Court of your Agent at Vizagapatam, but in a compliance to Court at Benares which will be attended with much vexation and trouble shall see your Government however be pleased to authorize your Agent at Vizagapatam to admit and decide my suits, and that Court try and decide them in my favour there are no other expedients but the eventual sale of some Estates appertaining to the Zemindary in satisfaction of those decisions and though those Estates may be saleable yet under the apprehension of disturbances arising on them if any individuals become purchasers no persons will be forwarded but the Government itself and as it appears on the

Fort Jeorge 10 August 1846

the grounds I have advanced that it will be detrimental to the loans I have made to the Zemindar from an impression of your report ed sometime, I request you will be pleased to adopt such measures as may be requisite to your superior judgment, to induce the Zemindar in person or his Deputy, to make arrangements with me for the payment of the Amount due to me together with the Interest accruing thereon, at a stipulated amount annually by such regular instalments as may be now determined upon, payable to me through the Collector upon my receipts. If however in default of any of the stipulated instalments being unpaid to the Collector on any account whatever your Government will be pleased to ensure measures for the recovery of my debts. As I have not advanced the loans in question at the personal request of the Zemindar but upon the sanction of Government through the Collector it appears reasonable and equitable that the Government should take immediate steps to secure the discharge of my debts and upon the grounds I consequently pray it will be pleased to bring my case into favorable consideration.

Vizagapatam } [Signed] G. S. Narrain Row
5th June 1846. }

To

1022

Fort St. George 10 August 1846.

No. 2.

To

J. F. Thomas Esq.re
Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

Para. I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying Copy of a letter (with its enclosures) which I have just received from Major Carpenter, the Agent to the Governor General at Benares —.

2. From this it will be seen that a sum of Rupees 2,50,000 is still required to discharge the debts of the late Rajah of Vizianagrum at Benares — It also appears that the young Rajah is resolved not to return to his Native Country or to assume charge of his inheritance unless he is positively compelled to do so. The simplest way of effecting this would be by stopping all remittance in which case he must either return himself and take charge of the Zemindary, or adopt some other measures for its Management —

3. Vizagapatam District, —
Vizeanagram Goars or Agents —
Circuit Office, 20th December 1845 —

[Signed] W. A. Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor

Genera

No X Agency — August 1846

General Statement of the distribution of the Six hundred and [illegible] Rupees / 6,50,000 / remitted by W. H. Arbuthnot Esqr. No 2 on account Agent to the Most Noble the Governor General at Benares for the Composition and Payment of the Debts of His Highness the Rajah of Benares / Centre Agent to the Governor General at Benares for the Composition Amount of payments, dates thereof and every other particular connected with the Settlement of the Rajah's affairs. —

Names of the Creditors. —	Debts reported to the end of February 1844 —	Add Interest increased to the date of payment about —	Total Amount due on Day of payment	Amount compromised for —	Dates of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter
1. Baboo Hurree Das —	76,000 " "	5,500 " "	81,500 "	55,000 " "	27th Sept. 1844	This Creditor had instituted a Suit in the Civil Courts in Benares, but on agreeing to a compromise he filed a Razeenamah and passed a receipt in full of all demands against the Rajah. The Bonds and all other documents connected with this Debt remain in the Sudder Court at Agra, where they were deposited pending the issue of an appeal in the suit. The original receipt for Co. Rs. 55,000 in full of all demands accompanies this Statement marked No. 1. —
2. Brij Mohun Das —	46,000 " "	5,600 " "	51,600 "	3,000 " "	7th March 1845	The 2 Bonds (Not notes of hand as originally reported) duly cancelled accompany this Statement No. 2. The Jewels pledged as security being redeemed. —
3. Damodur Das —	700 " "	50 " "	750 "	382 " "	3d Oct. 1844	The Notes of hand duly cancelled accompanies this Statement marked No. 3.
4. Babos Ramruttun Sing & Ramjeetun Sing —	30,000 " "	3,000 " "	33,000 "	2,000 " "	23d Sept. 1844 8th Oct. and 27th June 1845	The 4 Bonds (not one) as originally stated duly cancelled accompany this Statement Marked No. 4. —
5. Girdhur Das —	1,426 " "	100 " "	1,525 "	740 " "	4th Oct. 1844	1 Do Do Do Do Do No. 5.
Gobindas & Jeenna Das —	18,50 " "	1,40 " "	1990 "	940	Do — Do —	1 Do Do Do Do Do No. 6.

First Dist. Genl

10th August 1846

No. of the debts as in the original statement dated 15th March 1844	Names of the Creditors	Debts reported to the end of February 1844	Add Interest incurred to the date of payment above	Total amount due on the day of payment	Amount Compromised for	Dates of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter
7	Bloodhurjee Bhuttt	1300 " "	100 " "	1,400 " "	792 " "	7th Oct. 1844	The note of hand duly cancelled accompanies this Statement No. 7.
8	Sylhet Deoshunker	21,300 " "	1,500 " "	22,800 " "	12,000 " "	28th Sept. 1844	2 Do Do Do and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No. 8.
9	Ramchunder Gopal Doo	3750 " "	250 " "	4,000 " "	2,050 " "	20th Sept. 1844	The Note of hand duly cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No. 9.
10	Nunhoo Baboo Sahary	18,600 " "	1300 " "	19900 " "	9258 " "	1st Oct. 1844	The 6 Hoondies in this case cancelled and a Do Do Do Do No 10
11	Lala Muskhun Lal	16,900 " "	1,200 " "	18,100 " "	9,358 " "	Do Do	The 14 Not 2 Bonds and 2 Hoondies stated in the original report 1 Vouchers cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No 11.
12	Gunga pershaud Bulram Das	15,800 " "	2,000 " "	17,800 " "	10,000 " "	18th April 1844	Three Bonds duly cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No 12.
13	Hukum Chund Bryballum Das	1,350 " "	200 " "	1,550 " "	1,100 " "	8th May 1845	The Hoondy duly cancelled & Do Do Do No 13.
14	Madhuvum Das Dewarka Das 1 Debt in parts of the 52,000 Rs Reported	51,000 " "	7,835 " "	58735 " "	50,000 " "	14th April 1845	The 2 Bonds only cancelled and a receipt passed for 50,000 Rs Do Do Do No 14. N.B. There is another debt due to these creditors amounting to about 7,000 Rs to the end of February 1844, the claimant held a Decree from the Civil Court which has not yet been paid. See account of Debts still due. In the original report stated 1 Decree only it should have been 2 Bonds and one Decree.

10230

Fort St George

1st August 1846

No. of the debt in the original statement forwarded 18th March 1844	Names of the Creditors.	Debts reported to the end of February 1844	Add Interest increased to the date of payment about	Total amount due on the day of Payment	Amount of Compromise to be received for Payment	Dated	Remarks by Major Carpenter
15	Baboo Rangeeder Mutter	2,800 " "	200 " "	3,000 " "	1,600 " "	1st Oct 1844	A Note of hand duly cancelled accompanies this Statement No 15
16	Jy Kissen Das & Gudhur Das	1,525 " "	100 " "	1,625 " "	925 " "	10th Oct 1844	The Bond duly cancelled Do Do Do No 16
17	Moonshee situl Sing	3,850 " "	250 " "	4,100 " "	2,300 " "	3d Oct 1844	Do Do Do Do No 17
18	Mohun Das Ganish Das	3,730 " "	220 " "	3,950 " "	1,843 " "	2d Oct 1844	Do Do and a receipt in full of all demands Do Do No 18
19	Pitum Das Busnoo Das & Brijmohun Das	6,400 " "	650 " "	6,850 " "	3,142 " "	Do	Do Do Do Do and a Do Do Do Do No 19
							A Hoondy duly cancelled accompanys this Statement No 20
20	Sivasubhaee Singum Lal	360 " "	250 " "	385 " "	193 " "	15th Oct 1844	
21	Lutchmeenarayana	1,225 " "	85 " "	1,310 " "	800 " "	Do	Do Do Do No 21
							A Bond duly cancelled and 2 receipts passed for 52,500 in full of all demands accompany this Statement
22	Ayrall Koonarswamy	81,000 " "	10,000 " "	91,000 " "	52,500 " "	26th March 1845	No 22 the Jewells pledged as security for the portion thereby amounted to being redeemed
							2 Bonds duly cancelled / but now Hoondy as stated in the original
23	Chathoor Bhuj Das Gocul Das	30,200 " "	5,100 " "	35,300 " "	20,000 " "	8th Augt 1845	report / and a receipt passed for 20,000 Rs in full of all demands accompany this Statement No 23

10231.

Fort St. George

10th August 1846

No. of the debt in the original statement forwarded 18th March 1844	Names of the Creditors	Debts reported to the end of February 1844	Add Interest increased to the date of Payment about	Total Amount due on the day of Payment	Amounts Compromised for	Dates of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter
24	Mainee Lal	11,600 "	1250 "	12,850 "	8000 "	12th Feby 1845	A Bond for 1,000 Rs duly cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No. 24
25	Venkatacharloo	27,000 "	4,000 "	31,100 "	15,500 "	30th Jany 1845	The Bond Ds Ds of Ds Ds Ds Ds Ds Ds No. 25 10 Hoondies / In the original report stated to be 12, but 2 of them appear to be duplicates / duly cancelled, and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No. 26
26	Babookallee Mill	26,800 "	2,000 "	28,800 "	18,000 "	7th Novr 1844	The Bond duly cancelled accompanies this Ds No. 27
27	Hurnarain Chote Lal	1,900 "	160 "	2,060 "	1,126 "	30th Sept 1844	
28	Brij Mohun Das	2,380 "	470 "	2850 "	1,300 "	27th Augt 1840	The Ds Ds Ds Ds No. 28
29	Lala Thakoor Das	48,000 "	3,500	51,500 "	37,418 "		Two Bonds together for 17,000 Rs duly cancelled by a payment of Rupees 17,418 accompany this statement No. 29 - 20,000 Rs have also been paid in part of a Judgment Bond for 30,000 Rs but a satisfactory compromise has not yet been effected with this creditor to whom a further sum (it is hoped a small one) will probably have to be paid, when the Judgment Bond will be cancelled and transmitted, in the meantime it is lodged in the Agency Office.

10232 — Fort St. Georges — 10th August 1846

No. of the debt in the original Statement remitted for amendment 10th March 1844	Names of the Creditors.	Debts reported to the end of February 1844	Additional increased total to date of Payment about	Total amount due on the day of Payment	Amount Compromised for	Dates of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
30	Gungadhur Sastree	2,350	150	2,500	1,650	24th Septr 1844	The Bond duly cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No. 30.
31	Sunkoo Nath	51,800	6,000	57,800	45,577	24th Septr 1844 & 13th May 1845	This Creditor had 2 Decrees against the Rajah, he executed one of them on which he received the full amount and the other was compromised, the Papers connected with this debt and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No. 31.
32	Chunder Kant Sahoe	10,100	1,200	11,300	8,000	5th March 1845	The Judgement Bond duly cancelled accompanies this Statement No. 32.
33	Mukta Bhukts Ghosaul	31,800	3,000	34,800	25,000	Different dates	Do Do Do Do Do No. 32. The Note of hand not a Bond as was reported duly cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No. 33.
34	Munker Bhatt Pergoal	4,900	"	4,900	3,800	24th Jany 1845	1 Receipt in full of all demands but no note of hand as was originally reported on the authority of the Rajah accompanies this Statement No. 34.
35	Munker Ruchiram	14,920	1,700	16,620	14,920	20th Feby 1845	The Note of hand duly cancelled Do Do Do No. 35.
36	Rajnip Vedwee pute	875	60	935	446	30th Septr 1844	The Bond not a Note of hand as was originally reported Do Do No. 36.
37	Kotteengya and Rajdeau	1,325	90	1,415	882	26th Septr 1844	The Note of hand duly cancelled accompanies this Statement No. 37.
39	Anba Bye	700	84	784	508	1st March 1840	

10233

Fort St. George.

10th August 1846.

No. of the debt in the original Statement furnished in March 1844.	Names of the Creditors.	Debts reported to the end of February 1844.	Add Interest accrued to the date of Payment about.	Total amount due on the day of Payment	Amount Comprised for	Dates of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
40	Seerattum Molly	1980 " "	110 " "	2090 " "	1,000 " "	3d Octr 1844	The receipt in full of all demands Dr Dr Dr No 39.
41	Pursun	1450 " "	" "	1450 " "	2,000 " "	28th Septr 1844	Dr Dr Dr Dr Dr No 40.
47	Annunderam Sastree	2700 " "	300 " "	300 " "	2,000 " "	19th Feby 1845	The Bond duly cancelled Dr Dr No 41
49	Seetaram Dechit	1116 " "	120 " "	1235	900 " "	25th Jany 1845	The Bond duly cancelled accompanies this Statement No 42.
50	Brij Mohandas	11,540 " "	1300 " "	12,840 " "	6,800 " "	8th April 1845	The receipt in full of all demands Dr Dr Dr No 43. The Jewels pledged as security re-deemed, he had no Bonds as was originally reported.
51	Budhoo Tumbolee	3930 " "	420 " "	4350 " "	3,000 " "	2th Jany 1845	The Note of hand, not a Bond as was originally reported, duly cancelled accompanies this Statement No 44.
52	Hurruck Chund Jeweller	300 " "	30 " "	330 " "	180 " "	1st 2d 3d	The Note of hand duly cancelled Dr Dr Dr No 45.
53	Buktawar Sing	47,000 " "	5100 " "	52,100 " "	30,000 " "	11th April 1845	The Bond duly cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No 46. The Jewels pledged as security being redeemed.
54	Chotch Lal	4540 " "	600 " "	5140 " "	3200 " "	7th June 1845	The receipt in full of all demands accompany this Statement No 47.
55	Bishoon Chund Bullwapershad	2440 " "	360 " "	2800 " "	1500 " "	28th June 1843	Dr Dr Dr Dr No 48. He had not Bonds as was originally reported on the authority of the Rajah

No. of the debt in the augmented Statement of grands 18th March 1844	Names of the Creditors.	Debts reported to the end of February 1844.	add Interest increased to the date of Payment about	Total amount due on the day of Payment	Amount Compromised for	Date of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
56	Maturam Manickaum	3,970 " "	280 " "	4,250 " "	3,000 " "	14th Oct. 1844	A Bond duly cancelled accompanies this Statement № 49.
57	Munhour Das & Hurkisen Das	6,330 " "	250 " "	6,580 " "	5,025 " "	9th Nov. 1844	1. Ds. and a receipt in full of all demands Ds. Ds. № 50.
58	Nowrid Das	1,680 " "	115 " "	1,795 " "	1,000 " "	28th Sept. 1845	A Hoondy duly cancelled Ds. Ds. Ds. № 51.
59	Hurs Das Hurkisen Das	800 " "	135 " "	935 " "	900 " "	19th July 1846	The receipt in full of all demands Ds. Ds. Ds. № 52. The Bond is filed in the Civil Court where the creditor sued the Rajah.
	The following creditors with many others not yet paid brought forward their claims subsequent to the despatch of the report on the 18th March 1844 and the justice of their demands was duly admitted by the Rajah and his Dewan.						
7	Villayudum	" " "	" " "	4400 " "	4000 " "	15th Feb. 1846	The note of hand for money borrowed duly cancelled accompanies this Statement № 53.

10235.

Fort St. George. 10th August 1846.

No. of the debt in the original Statement forwarded 10th March 1844.		Debts reported to the end of February 1844.	Add Interest increased to the date of Payment about	Total amount due on the day of Payment	Amount compromised for	Date of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
	Mr. Douglas Coach builder	" " " "	" " 3354	1650	" "	26th Feby 1845	The 3 Vouchers duly cancelled accompany this Statement No. 54.
	Dwarka Das	" " " "	" " 1800	803	" "	21st June 1845	The 2 Notes of hand duly cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands Do. Do. No. 55.
	Imd Hussain Payment Hussain	" " " "	" " 8800	4,800	" "	17th Feby 1845	The receipt in full of all demands for money lent at Cawnpoor Do. Do. Do. No. 56.
	Brijnutten Das	" " " "	" " 1100	600	" "	15th March 1845	The Vouchers cancelled and a receipt in full of all demands Do. Do. Do. No. 57.
	Laljee Khetree	" " " "	" " 428	260	" "	Do.	Do. The Note of hand duly cancelled Do. Do. Do. No. 58.
		74586	3309	77909	24361		49338

1569

10236

Fort St. George

10th August 1846.

The undermentioned debts did not admit of the interference of the Agent and the Rajah expressly desired to undertake the liquidation of them himself as they were all considered by him of a strictly domestic nature requiring to be paid in full the servants Wages & petty debts were of the original Statement, and at the time of settlement he estimated a sum of Rupees as the least amount required for that purpose, which sum was accordingly received by him at different times from the Agency office there is now reason to believe however that his Highness' private and petty debts with the constant accumulation of servants wages far exceeded this amount and it is even doubtful whether the Rajah himself could have fully defined their extent.-

Nos. of the debts reported in the original Statement	Names of the Creditors.	Debts reported to the end of February 1844	Add Interest increased to the date of payment about	Total Amount due on the day of payment.	Amount paid to the Rajah	Date of Payment	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
	Shop bills						
38	To Harekelen Adarijyawal	87000		87000	16000		These debts reported by the Rajah subsequent to the despatch of the original report on the 18th March 1844.-
42	Annurnak Shastree	12000		12000	8700		
43	Ramchender Shastree	5000		5000	12000		
44	Chutter expenses	6000		6000	5000		
45	Dwarkanath Dubit	4250		4250	6000		See original report of the 18th March 1844.-
46	Sare Bhutta	360		360	4250		
60	Servants wages	40000		40000	360		
61	Petty Debts	10000		10000	40000		
					10000		
		86310		86310	102310		

Settled by the Rajah himself
date and amount of payments unknown to the Agent.

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / W. W. Arbuthnot

Agent to the Governor.

N. B. There are discrepancies on the original Statement as compared with the present one regarding the nature of the securities in the possession of some of the Creditors, Bonds being erroneously styled "Hundies" and vice versa in two or three cases the creditors had no documents at all but the debts were clearly established & fully admitted by the Rajah receipts being taken in full of all demands. The Bonds connected with debts Nos. 31 and 59 are as is usual in like cases filed in the Civil Courts but can be obtained if Mr. Arbuthnot desires to peruse them receipts in full of all demands were taken in these cases also. -

/ Signed / D. Carpenter Major
Agent Governor General.

10237

Fort St. George

No. 2 in No. 1.

Supplemental list of the debts of the Rajah of Vizianagram

10th August 1846.

Sum remaining unsettled at Benares

Benares Agency Office November 1846.

No. of the debts in the original statement forwarded 18th March 1844	No. of the debts not included in the original statement	Names of the Creditors	Amount reported 18th March 1844	Add Interest to say 31st Dec. 1845	Probable amount due to 31st Dec. 1845	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
14		Mudhavan Dass } Dwarka Dass }	7,000 " "	15,500 " "	8,500 " "	Holds a Decree from the Civil Court bearing interest at 12 per cent and payable at the rate of 20,000 Rs a year see remarks in the original report forwarded 18th March 1844, a small deduction of the interest may be anticipated if this claim be liquidated at once.
48		Mr. Jno Davis	26,125 " "	5,200 " "	31,325 " "	Holds a judgment bond see remarks in the original report forwarded 18th March 1844. This debt was to have been compromised by the late Rajah himself and Major Carpenter repeatedly urged him to do so as it could not be settled in the agency office where Mr. Davis never came having been desired he says not to attend as the Rajah would pay him himself.
1		Baboo Hurree Chund	" "	2,24		
					40,000 " "	The late Rajah borrowed this sum for the marriage of his niece as Major Carpenter anticipated he would do and the so large an amount was not contemplated for the purpose it now appears nearly double would have been disbursed if the marriage had actually taken place, the dowry alone was to have been 30000 Rs and that sum was remitted to Gruckpoor to be paid to the late Rajah whose son was the affianced bridegroom circumstances however transpired which induced Major Carpenter to put the Rajah of Vizianagram on his guard against the Rajah of Jeypore and the result proved that the latter merely wished to get possession of the dowry without any intention of fulfilling the marriage contract. Major Carpenter therefore in communication with the Judge of Gorruckpore fortunately interfered in time to save the Rs 30000 from being fraudulently obtained by the Rajah of Jeypore but in the meantime the Rajah of Vizianagram had incurred very heavy preliminary expences at Benares on account of the marriage Cloths & jewels which formed part of the charges are said to have cost from 15 to 20000 Rs are still in the possession of the family for the use of the intended bride when another alliance about which they are all very anxious can be satisfactorily arranged for her.

10238

Fort St. George

12th August 1848.

No. of the debts in the general statement = amount 15th March 1844 = No. of the debts not included in the original statement	Names of the Creditors	Amount reported 15th March 1844	Add Interest to day 31st December 1845	Total amount due to 31st Dec. 1845	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
2	Laula Kandeah			36,000	Borrowed by the young Rajah for the funeral expences of his late Father and bears interest at 12 per Cent, must be paid in full, Interest paid by the young Rajah to the end of the year.
3	Chuttur expence			4,000	The Chutter was established by the late Rajah in the name of his mother and charges are indispensable on the part of his successor.
4	Bhugwan Dutta			800	Balance of account due, the party was dead at the time of the original report but her widow has now brought forward this claim which is admitted by the Rajah's Dewan as correct.
5	Apaya Pundelu			6,000	Money lent and credited in the Rajah's account Books, the party was dead at the time of the original report but her daughter has now brought forward this claim and it is admitted by the Rajah's Dewan as correct.
6	Doned Singh			1,700	Money lent and credited in the Rajah's account Books, the Creditor was in the service of the Rajah and his claim is admitted to be correct by the Dewan, as this appears to have been one of the Rajah's numerous private debts and was not included in the original report Lea= =ned by the Rajah.

10239

Fort St. George

10th August 1846.

No. of the debts in the original statement forwarded 18th March 1844	No. of the debts not included in the original Statement	Names of the Creditors	Amount reported 18th March 1844	Add Interest to day 31st Dec. 1845	Reliable amount due to 31st Dec. 1845	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
	7	Guneshee Goldsmith			1650 " "	The remark against debt No 6 applies equally to this case and his claim is admitted to be correct by the Rajah's Dewan. -
	8	Ram Das			1400 " "	Money lent, the creditor was not at Benares at the time of the original report, but on his return brought forward his claim which was admitted by the late Rajah himself as correct, the Dewan also confirms the fact. -
	9	Thakoor Das			3800 " "	Balance of account Current admitted to be correct by the late Rajah as well as by his Dewan, this appears to be a new debt contracted subsequent to the dispatch of the original report 18th March 1844. -
	10	Pushmuttyram swamy			650 " "	Holds 3 Notes of hand - the creditor was not at Benares at the time of the original report, but subsequently brought forward his claim which is admitted to be correct by the Rajah's Dewan. -
	11	Anagatikhandamah			1570 " "	Balance of account Current. the creditor was a favorite servant of the late Rajah, therefore he did not prefer any claim at the time of the original report. The Dewan admits it to be correct. -
	12	Mr. Stevens			1300 " "	Shop Bills for sundry Articles purchased subsequent to the despatch of the original report on 18th March 1844. -

10240

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

No.	Nos. of the debts in the original Statement transmitted 10th March 1844.	Nos. of the debts not included in the original Statement	Names of the Creditors	Amount reported 18th March 1844	Add Interest to day 31st December 1845	Probable Amount due to 31st Dec. 1845	Remarks by Major Carpenter.
13			Meh. Charles & Co.			4200 " "	Shop bills for sundry articles purchased subsequent to the dispatch of the original report on the 18th March 1844 and an accepted draft by the late Rajah for 3000 Rupees dated 21st May 1845 at 5 Months after date and due 21st October 1845. -
14			Sitaram appa			12000 " "	Money lent and credited in the Rajah's account Books, this creditor is dead but his Widow on her return from Vizeanagrum lately brought forward the claim which is admitted by the Rajah's Dewan as correct. -
15			Amba Shunker Jung			15700 " "	Money Borrowed at Vizeanagrum the Creditor lately arrived at Benares to recover the amount, and his claim is admitted by the Rajah's Dewan as correct. -
16			Servants Wages			30000 " "	Servants Wages accumulated since the despatch of the original report on the 18th March 1844. -
17			Madhopreshaud			9900 " "	Holds a Bond for upwards of four thousand Rupees, the balance being Cash paid by him on account of the Rajah which is credited in the Rajah's account Books and his claim admitted by the Rajah's Dewan as correct this also appears to have been a recent transaction. -
			Comp[y]'s Rupees	97125 " "	20700 " "	285825 " "	

[Signed] J. D. Carpenter
Major
Agent Governor General.

10241

Fort St. George

No. 2 inclosure 8.

Dr. The Rajah of Vizeanagram in account Current

1844/45	To Amount paid to the Rajahs Creditors as per General Statement No. 1	549238	—
	To Amount paid to the late Rajah to discharge the debts to the Brahmins &c. &c. enumerated in the List annexed to the said General Statement	100000	—
	Vakeels Fees, Stamps, Coolys hire, &c.		
	Gunny Bags &c. &c.	680	—
		649918	—
1845 Novr. 18th	To Balance due	82	—
		Company's Rupees 650000	—

Benares 19th November 1845

(Signed) J. D. Carpenter

Agent Gover

10th August 1846.

with the Agent to the Governor General at Benares Dr.

1846 Septr. 21st	Cash received from the Collector of Benares being the amount of a Draft by the Collector of Vizagapatam dated 31st August 1846	250000	—
1845 January 28th	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Dated 17th January 1845	200000	—
March 28th	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. dated 28th February 1845	200000	—
		Company's Rupees 650000	—

(True Copy)

(Signed) W. Arbuthnot

Agent to the Governor.

10242 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

No. 2 in No. 4.

To W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre
qca. yca. yca.
Agent to the Governor
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

With reference to a Verbal communication from Major Carpenter Agent to the Governor General I waited on that Gentleman, and was permitted to perus a Letter from the Government of Madras, by which I learned that it was the wish of Government that I should return to Vizagapatam.

I shall esteem it a favor if you will kindly represent to the Most Noble the Governor in Council that with the utmost deference to him, I beg leave to state the impression on my mind is, that I cannot with propriety leave Benares until the whole of my late Father's debts become liquidated, and I trust as an especial favor that Government will not urge this point at present.

I beg you will represent to Government that I was not in the slightest degree instrumental to the embarrassment of my late Father, I have a horror of debt. Return to the desire of my ever late revered and honored Father, I never was in debt even to the extent of one hundred (100) Rupees. Yet I am of course bound

10243 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

in honor to liquidate all his debts, which it is utterly impracticable for me to do without the aid of Government and my most earnest wish is to conform to the advice and instructions forwarded through you, yet I most respectfully solicit your very powerful influence in order to induce Government to reconsider my peculiar position.

Since the demise of my dear lamented Father, I have been compelled to incur debt in order to carry out the usual rites and ceremonies, I was bound in honor to perform to the Manes of the noble deceased, which will amount to a considerable sum, but which I hope will not render me liable to censure.

Benares 30th October 1845

/Signed/ +
Rajah of Vizianagrum

(True Copy)

/Signed/ W. W. Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor

No. 2 in No. 5.

To W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre
Agent to the Most Noble
the Governor of
Fort St. George
Vizagapatam.

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 13 dated 2nd October 1845 accompanied by the

From the Secy to the Board of Revenue at Fort St. George dated 27th Augt. 1846 to the Collr. of Vizagapatam

From the Secy to Govt. 2d to the Bd. of Revenue &c. dated 25th June 1845.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

various documents noted in the Margin the contents of which have been read by the young Rajah of Vizeanagrum and most fully explained to him on two or three occasions of his meeting me for that purpose.-

2d. I regret exceedingly to state that my urgent recommendation to the young Rajah amounting almost to entreaty and backed by every possible argument in my power, to comply with the Decree of the Honorable the Court of Directors the Most Noble the Governor of Madras the Board of Revenue and yourself, and proceed immediately to his country to assume charge of his inheritance, has failed to produce the desired results, he is not disposed to Quit Benares at present and urges a variety of objections- the most prominent being the settlement of the whole of his late Fathers debts and liabilities- I have given him ample time to reconsider the matter, but he entreats to be permitted to remain here under existing circumstances and requests me to transmit the accompanying letter to your address to that effect.-

3d. A general Statement exhibiting the terms of the payment and

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

composition of the late Rajahs Debts to the extent of the 6½ Lacs of Rupees remitted by you for that purpose and containing full and explanatory details of the disbursement thereof accompanies this despatch together with the various bonds and Vouchers for record in your Office as required by the 9th para of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the Madras Government under date 26th August 1845.-

4th. A Supplemental List of the debts and liabilities of the late Rajah, still remaining to be liquidated is also transmitted, and likewise explanatory remarks.-

5th. On receiving the Rajahs pecuniary affairs, it will be manifest that the terms of the composition of the debts already liquidated are much more favorable than I contemplated in my report of the 18th March 1844 wherein the amount said to be due to the ends of February 1844 Viz. Rupees 9,32,395 was expected to be compromised by a judicious distribution of 6½ Lacs of Rupees and the sale of effects about 50000 Rupees worth of Jewels, whereas the Statement herewith forwarded shews the settlement of no less than Rs. 9,50000 of liabilities without the sale of any of

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

of the Jewels.-

6th

The 2d and 3d paras of my letter of the 10th May 1845 explain the causes of the accumulation of the late Rajah's debts subsequent to the date of the original statement and to these may now be added numerous claims since brought forward and admitted by the late Rajah and his Dewan. I have also ascertained since the decease of the Rajah that his Establishment was considerably in excess of his income the monthly charges for servants wages alone

being nearly 4,000 Rupees so that alike large sums have been paid for domestic

purposes

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

purposes much remains due from the gradual accumulation caused by the household expenses for exceeding His Highness's paid allowance: it is likewise understood that the Rajah had many private debts which he did not include in his original Schedule, and it will be seen that he paid the European Shopkeepers alone upwards of Rupees 16,000 notwithstanding some of them are still his Creditors.-

7.

The young Rajah has been compelled to incur about Rupees 36,000 of debt for the expenses of his Father's funeral, but he, as well as his Dewan has shewn almost praiseworthy anxiety to reduce the Establishment within the limits of his income in accordance with my advice and he does not appear to have any extravagant habits. I think I may venture to express my opinion that he is not at all likely to involve himself in pecuniary difficulties when once those of his Father have been fully and finally liquidated.-

8.

The Dewan who appears an honest good man, and was trusted by the late as he is now by the present Rajah and the family generally, assures me that the supplemental list contains a faithful account of every debt now pendiring to be paid in Benares and

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.-

and in consultation with him I consider about 2,50,000 Rupees are required to effect a satisfactory and final Settlement provided no time be lost in its remittance as the amount is accumulating by interest and a complete reformation in the young Rajahs' Establishment and expenditure cannot be effected until the whole of his domestic liabilities are discharged.

9. In the event of the Most Noble the Governor of Madras sanctioning the foregoing amount for the liquidation of the Rajahs remaining debts in Benares it is my intention, as well for the satisfaction of His Lordship in Council as for my own, to issue a proclamation in the City announcing that a final Settlement of the late Rajahs affairs having been completed no further claims will be attended to: and I am induced to this measure because it appears the late Rajah was constantly in the habit of giving fresh bonds, notes of hand &c without redeeming the old ones, so that many individuals are said to possess securities of various kinds long since liquidated or absorbed in other documents.

10. The delay in transmitting this despatch has arisen from my desire to afford time to the young Rajah to reconsider his determination as well as to arrange and

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

and copy the numerous documents which accompany it and to endeavour by every means in my power to ascertain that no other liabilities of any kind remain to be liquidated in Benares except those now reported.-

Benares Agency Office 25th November 1845.

(Signed) J. D. Carpenter Major Agent Governor General

(True Copy)
(Signed) W. W Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor

No. 3.

To J. F. Thomas Esquire
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to lay the accompanying Copies of communications addressed to me by Major Carpenter Agent of the Governor General at Benares and the Rajah of Vizianagrum before the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

Vizianagrum Governor Agency Office 19th February 1846.

(Signed) W. W Arbuthnot
Agent to the Governor

(True Copy)
(Signed) E. C. Thompson
Secretary to Government

To

No. 3 in No. 1.

To

W. W. Arbuthnot Esqre

Agent to the Governor

Vizagapatam

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 10th ultimo, enclosing two original Bills of Six thousand /6000/ Rupees each being my usual allowance for November and December last also No. 1600 Revenue Department Extract Minutes of Consultation under date 23d December 1846 sanctioning my accustomed remittance for three Months from the 1st Instant, as well as a remittance to Major Carpenter of ten thousand /10000/ Rupees to enable me to leave Benares and expecting me to arrive and take charge of my Zemindary in person or by Agent on or before the 31st March next—

I most respectfully submit my willingness to conform to the instructions of Government in every measure, but it would be utterly impracticable for me to depart within the prescribed period or without arranging for the payment of my debts here, as legal proceedings would consequently be instituted against me, and the Civil authorities would necessarily interfere which

which would be a reflection upon my character as a Hindoo Saint bound by the Shaster to perform various rites and ceremonies, at Gyah &c Pagoda which cannot commence until an entire year has elapsed from the demise of my late revered Father, thus I trust I may respectfully refer you to my letter to your address dated 30th October 1845 which I hope you will submit for the kind consideration of Government—

Forwarded with the Agent's letter dated 20th Decr 1845.

I further beg leave to represent that on the 14th February 1845 my late Father addressed you on the subject of specific conditions and arrangements in which I concurred and affixed my signature which Letter was attested by Major Carpenter Agent to the Governor General here—

My Most earnest wish is to be guided by Government in every respect regarding myself & my Estate, and I consider it my most heavy misfortune that I should be expected to return to my Zamindaree with in the short period of three Months—

I trust from the reasons Submitted, Government will perceive that I am anxious to obey their instructions to the strictest letter but am unable to do so at present. I am willing to appoint an agent, but the difficulty is to meet with a qualified

one

one, whom Government would sanction out of the service of the Honorable Company. When I consider the extent of my Estate, I become perplexed and uneasy in my mind, but yet hope Government will reconsider my case, and I most respectfully solicit an extended period of time, until the 31st January 1847, within which limit I will appear in person or by agent and implicitly obey the instructions of Government—

As I have already stated, it will be impracticable for me to quit Bonares until my debts become liquidated. I hope you will obtain the sanction of the Most Noble the Governor to enable you to remit to Major Carpenter two and a half lacks /2.5.0000/ of Rupees for that purpose, with the least practicable delay to prevent the further accumulation of a high rate of Interest—

I now beg leave to solicit that you will do me the favor to submit this letter to the Most Noble the Governor with your kind recommendation, which may enable Government to accede to my solicitation and also to sanction my usual Monthly remittance, any further remark from yourself which may induce the Most Noble the Governor to give my affairs

affairs a favorable consideration will be gratefully acknowledged.

(Signed)

Rajah of Vizianagrum.

Bonares
2d February 1846

To

W. U. Arbuthnot Esquire
Agent to the Most Noble the
Governor of Fort St. George
Vizagapatam

Sir.

Extract from the Minutes of Consn. in the Revl. Dept. dated 23d Decr. 1845 & 6th Jany. 1846.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letters Nos. 22 and No. dated 10th and 15th January 1846 respectively and accompanied by the documents noted in the Margin, the contents of which have been fully explained to the young Rajah of Vizianagrum—

2. The Rajah continues to urge a variety of objections to his immediate return to his Country as desired by the Most Noble the Governor of Fort St. George and he informs me that he has addressed a letter to you soliciting permission to post pone the execution of the orders of the Government until the 31st of January 1847.

3. In the event of compliance with the request of the Rajah he solemnly assures me that he will take charge of his inheritance either in person or by an agent to be approved

Set forth in my former communications. I ventured to submit for the favorable reconsideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council. the expediency of your being permitted to raise the further Sum required to complete the liquidation of the debts of the late Rajah—

6. I hold at your disposal the Ten thousand Rupees remitted with your letter No. 22. 15th January 1846. to enable the young Rajah at once to return to his Country—

(Signed) T. D. Carpenter
Major

(True Copy)

(Signed) W. W. Ambuthnot
Agent to the Governor

Benares Agency Office 5th February 1846.

No. 4.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 17th April 1846.

Read the following Papers.

From the Agent to the Governor of Fort St. George Vizagapatam—

(Here enter 19th February 1846. No. 23)

Para 1. The Most Noble the Governor in Council regrets to observe that the orders requiring the young Rajah of Vizianagrum to return and take charge of his property have not

2 Ditto 23 Dated 7/45

approved by the Madras Government as may be decided upon previous to the period named in the foregoing paragraph—

4. I have before stated my opinion that the young Rajah is not at all likely to contract fresh liabilities. and I have reason to believe he is now living within the limits of his income. but this cannot long continue. unless the remainders of his late Fathers debts be liquidated. as they are now accumulating by interest at the rate of upwards of 30,000 Rupees per Annum. and it is not improbable that one or two of the Creditors who hold Bonds may have recourse to legal measures against the young man which would involve him to a further extent by heavy Costs of Suit. moreover I do not believe all the Creditors would consent to the Rajahs leaving Benares. until the whole of his Fathers debts are paid. and if any of them obtained a decree of Court. nothing but the direct interference of the Government could set it aside. indeed one of the creditors already holds a decree and can execute it whenever he pleases. as I have stated in my previous correspondence—

5. For these and the other reasons set

6th Jany. /46.

not hitherto been attended to; especially as it had already been remarked that nothing could be done to adjust the affairs of the Zemindary until he died ss. Judging from the past, he is of opinion that little dependance is to be placed in the prospect held out by the Rajah of taking charge of his inheritance, either in person, or by an Agent in the early part of the ensuing year, especially as the promise is made dependant upon the receipt of funds sufficient to complete the liquidation of his debts, without which Major Carpenter reports, the Creditors may not consent to the Rajah leaving Benares—

2.

His Lordship in Council further observes that the Zemindar contemplated performing various rites and ceremonies at Gyah, which are not to commence until an entire year has elapsed from the date of his Father's demise which may it is probable postpone his return to an indefinite period. It is also uncertain whether any surplus Funds will be available from the resources of the Zemindary to discharge even the interest of the Rajah's debts, and as before observed, the Government have no sure guarantee that any further loans will completely relieve the Rajah from the difficulties he is involved

involved in, nor against further debts being contracted—

3.

His Lordship in Council has therefore, under a consideration of the foregoing circumstances resolved to adhere to the resolution of withholding further remittances to the Rajah, and he desires the Agent will, in communicating with Major Carpenter on the subject, request him to inform the Rajah that his immediate return to his Zemindary is absolutely necessary—

(A true Extract)

(Signed) E. P. Thompson

Secretary to Government

N: 5.

To

J. F. Thomas Esqre

Chief Secretary to

Government—

Fort St. George

Sir,

Para 1. I do myself the honor to forward for the consideration and orders of Government the accompanying communication from the Rajah of Vizeanagrum received through Major Carpenter, in reference to the orders contained in the Minutes of Consultation of the 17th April last—

2. The Rajah desires, rather than return in person, to assume charge of the Zemindary by Deputy if the Government approve

approve of the arrangement. Sometime will of Course be required to organize the necessary establishments.

Vizagapatam Governor's Agents Office 30th May 1846

(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor

To.
P. B. Smollett Esqre
Agent to the Most Noble
the Governor of Fort St. George
Vizagapatam

Sir.

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 10 of the 25th april 1846. with Copies of Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department No. 434 of the 17th idem. the contents of which have been duly communicated to the Rajah of Vizianagrum.

2. I am sorry to say all the objections to return to his Country formerly brought forward by the Young Rajah are again urged. and he now wishes to assume charge of his Zemindary by Deputy at the end of the present fusly. his application to that effect is herewith transmitted for submission to the Government of Madras and for the favorable consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

Benares Agency Office The 16th May 1846

(Signed) J. D. Carpenter Major
Agent Govr Genl

To

To. P. B. Smollett Esqre
Vizagapatam
Vizagapatam
Vizagapatam
Agent to the Most Noble
the Governor of Fort St. George
Vizagapatam.

Sir.

Major Carpenter has duly communicated to me the contents of your letter to his address No. 10 dated 25th april 1846 accompanied by Copies of the Minutes of Consultation of the Most Noble the Governor in Council of Madras in the Revenue Department No. 434 under dated the 17th april 1846. deciding that my immediate return to my Zemindary is absolutely necessary and that my request to defer assuming charge thereof until 31st January 1847 cannot be complied with.

I request you will do me the favor to represent to His Lordship in Council that all the reasons assigned in my letters to Mr. Arbuthnot of 30th October 1845 and of 2d February 1846 for being unable to quit Benares under existing circumstances, remain in full force. and I therefore most respectfully solicit that the Most Noble the Governor in Council will be pleased to permit me to continue here for the present.

In order to relieve the Madras Government from the future charge of the Zemindary and to comply to the full extent of my power

power with the commands of the Most Noble the Governor. I propose to assume charge of my inheritance by Deputy (to be approved by the Government) at the close of the present fusly. or as soon after that date as it may be possible to complete the necessary arrangements. and I trust you will be able to obtain Sanction to this measure at your earliest convenience.

Assuming as I hope. that the consent of Government to my proposal to receive charge of my Estate by Deputy will not be withheld. I further request my usual Monthly allowance may be remitted to me at Benares until the final transfer of the Zemindary takes place—

Benares—
15th May 1846

(Signed)
Rajah of Vizeanaggrum

(True Copy)
(Signed) P. B. Smollett
Agent to the Governor

No. 6.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 25th June 1846—

Read the following letter from the Agent to the Governor in Vizagapatam—

Forwards Copy of a letter from the Rajah of Vizianagrum received through Major Carpenter for the consideration and orders of Government relative to the Minutes of Consultation of the 17th April 1846.

(Here enter 30th May 1846 No. 12)

The Most Noble the Governor in

in Council regrets to learn from the foregoing communication with its enclosure that it is not the intention of the Rajah of Vizianagrum to return to take possession of his Estates— His Lordship in Council sees nothing in the foregoing communications which affects the views of Government, as expressed in the Minutes of Consultation of the 17th April last— nor does he clearly understand the course which the Rajah proposes to pursue or in what way and at what period he purposes assuming charge of his Zemindary by Deputy. Nor how this can be properly arranged whilst he remains at Benares. without having as yet even entered upon possession. His Lordship in Council is still therefore of opinion that the necessary arrangements can only be duly effected by the Rajah in person, and if necessary by the disposal of such portion of his property as may be required to discharge the debts contracted by his Father and himself— It appears to His Lordship in Council that it would not be in accordance with the views of the Honorable Court. for this Government to give its sanction to the continued, and in all probability permanent absence of the Rajah and as observed in the Minutes of Consultation already referred to it is uncertain whether

any

"any surplus funds will be available from
"resources of the Zemindary, to discharge its
"the interest of the Rajah's debts. It is not
therefore open to the Government before some de
-nite arrangement for the future has been mad
to continue the remittances as heretofore
requested in the Rajah's letter of the 15
ultimo. But the Government will direct
Monthly Sum of Rupees 2000 to be remitt
for the maintenance of the Rajah. and th
most again urge the propriety and expe
-ency of the Rajah's immediate return to
Vizagapatam that he may receive possess
of his large Estates from the Governme

Atrue Extract/
(Signed) R.G. Clarke
Dy. Sec.y to Govt
(Signed/ E.P. Thompson
Secy. to Govt

Para 1. Resolved that the ad
-dress which accompanied the foregoing
letter be transmitted to the Board of Reven
for report.

2. Resolved further that the cor
From agent in Vizagapatam 20th Decr -pondence whi
1845 No. 20 referred to in Extract Minutes has since take
of Consultation 6th January 1846- place with th
From Agent in Vizm 19th February " No. 3 agent to the
Extract Minutes Cons.t 17th April — " " 434
From Agent in Vizm 30th May — — " 12. Governor in
Extract Minutes Cons.t 25th June — — 674
Vizagapatam relative to the affairs of the
Vizianagrum

Vizianagrum Zemindary be communicated to the
Board of Revenue and that they be requested to
instruct the agent to forward his future com-
-munications through the usual channel of
their Office for the orders of Government.-

To The President & Members / A true Extract/
of the / Signed/ E.P. Thompson
Board of Revenue. Secy to Govt.

Order thereon. Ordered that a Copy of the

(7-8) foregoing Extract Minutes Consultation be
furnished to the Government Agent at Vizaga-
-patam for his information and guidance.

Mr. Smollett will be pleased to forward his
future communications on the subject of the
Vizianagrum Zemindary for the orders of Govern-
-ment thro' the Channel of this Office.-

Ordered also that the
Petition of G.S. Narrain Row be transmitted
to the Government Agent who will be so good as
to state what is the amount of the debt
now due by that individual upon the Vizia
-nagrum Estate, and what measures should
be adopted in respect to it- submitting
also at the same time any further obser-
-vations which may occur to him
upon the subject of the Petition
Extract.

(9)

From the Secy to Government authorizing the renewal of certain allowances in the district of South Arcot directing that the several Collrs be instructed to resume the grants for the support of Durgahs & the celebration of the Moharram festival where the ceremonies fall into disuse.

Mins of Cons 12th Decr 1845

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation Under date the 11th July 1846.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue. (Here enter 21st May 1846 No. 273).

Para 1. Under the explanation furnished by the Collector of South Arcot in the letter submitted with the foregoing Proceedings, the Most Noble the Governor in Council grants authority for the renewal of the allowances referred to, on the terms and in the names of the parties specified below.

No. 12 in para 4. Cavelly pension of Rupees 79-10-11- per Annum continued for life to Seshagra Modelly being inclusive of the half share of Rupees 23-7-4 enjoyed by his father Appajeer Assoo Modelly in item No. 91. in Mr. Ravenshaw's List A.

Mins of Cons 12th Decr 1845

Nos 24 & 25 in para 6. Cavelly pension of Rupees 21- per Annum continued for life to each of the parties mentioned in Mr. Ravenshaw's List B. as Seculloo Catchergyen and Moorucaneyenaver Pedda Naiken.

No. 11 in para 12. Service Jeemiah of Rupees 354 per Annum Continued to Mahomed Robeer Lauzee of Porto Novo.

No.

No. 5. in para 12. Service Jeemiah of Rupees 387-2-11. per Annum continued to Goolam Mahomed Gouz Lauzee of Chedumbrum.

No. 25 in para 12. Mootalikhan Jeemiah of Rupees 70-13-- per Annum continued to Goolam ally Khan for his life. The other portion of the Jeemiah having lapsed to Government by the death of the incumbent, sanction is granted for the amount paid to the date of his decease.

Mins of Cons 7th Dec 1845. No. 23 in para 12. Do. of Rupees 7-12-- per Annum continued to Sadeck ally for his life.

No. 27 in para 12th. Do. of Rupees 58-1-- per Annum continued for life to the six surviving incumbents in equal shares Viz.

1. Shaik abdool Cauder.
2. Zaina Beebee.
3. Hasa Beebee.
4. Mageth Beebee.
5. Meerah Beebee.
6. Fathma Beebee.

The share enjoyed by Cauder Hoosain having reverted to Government by

by his death, sanction is granted for the amount disbursed on his account.

№ 29 in para 12. Khood — Yearly of Rupees 283-3- per Annum continued for life to the under mentioned incumbents in equal shares.-

1. Syed Abdool Rujab.
2. Syed Abdool Khader
3. Syed Saliah.
4. Beebee Sohn.
5. Hyroon Nissah.
6. Coolasen Beebee.
7. Fathima Saheba.
8. Mairoon Nysa.

Mins of Cons 12 Dec. 1845

№ 30 in para 12. Khood — Yearly of Rupees 12-12- per Annum continued to Abdool Cawder for his life.

№ 36 in para 12. D:- of Rupees 119-7- per Annum. Continued in equal shares to.

1. Shaulia Beebee.
2. Beebee Saheba.
3. Rahiya Beebee.

The share of each to be discontinued on her death.-

№ 37 in para 12. D:- of Rupees 39-13- per Annum Continued to Shaba beebee for her life.-

The

2. The Civil Auditor is authorized to admit the payments already made on account of the several yearly which have now received the sanction of Government.-

№ 26 in para 12 Mootalikhan Yearly of Rs. 286-8- per Annum.

3 With a view to the removal of the retrenchment on this account, it is resolved to communicate to the Civil Auditor the Amount of the yearly as now paid under the orders of Government dated 11th February 1840 № 184 and the names of the parties in the enjoyment of it - which are accordingly noted in the Margin for the guidance of that Officer.-

Yearly № 265-8-0 per Annum. Present incumbents 1 Syed Mahomed Sheriff 2 Cawder Beebee. 3 Hoosain Beebee 4 Fatimah Beebee 5 Rubeyah Beebee 6 Mahomed Sheriff

According to the terms of the grant as laid down in the orders above referred to, each share will lapse to Government on the death of the incumbent.-

4- His Lordship in Council approves of the Rule suggested by the Board in Para 12 of their Proceedings, in order to prevent any undue extension of such grants as provide for the support of Durgahs and the celebration of the Mohurrum festival. He concludes that it is not intended by the Board that the Officers of Government should exercise any control or interference as regards the performance of the ceremonies, but solely if instances occur where they fall into disuse or the festival be discontinued from other circumstances. In such case the Collector may resume the grant.-

With

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.-

With this proviso His Lordship in Council desires that instructions to the effect proposed by the Board be issued to the Collector of South Arcot as well as to the Collectors of the other Districts in which similar grants obtain, the Rule being made equally applicable to endowments in land for like purposes, "which" (as observed by the Collector) in many instances are not supported by "any authorized grant, but simply by "the fact of their being entered as Enam "lands in the Accounts."

To,
The President & Members
of the
Board of Revenue.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / E. P. Thompson
Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon,

Ordered that a Copy of the above Extract from the Minutes of Consultation together with transcripts of the Board's Proceedings to which it relates be furnished for the information and

(1-10)

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.-

and guidance of the Collector of South Arcot.-

Mr. Davis's attention is particularly directed to the orders of Government communicated in the 4th para of the above Extract and he is requested in giving effect to those instructions to be cautious to restrict the controul or interference of the Officers of Government as regards the performance of the ceremonies in question to instances where they fall into disuse, or the festivals is discontinued from other

other circumstances.

Ordered also that the following letter be addressed to the several Collectors.

Circular.

(9.11)

Circular to all Collrs except South Arcot & Sea Customs Communicating instructions for the eventual resumption of Grants providing for the support of Durgha and the celebration of the Moharrum Festival. - Para. 12

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your information and future guidance the accompanying Extract from the Proceedings of the Board dated 21st May last together with transcript from the Extract Minutes of Consultation of Government thereon, bearing date the 11th Ultimo relative to the eventual resumption

Para 4.

of grants providing for the support of Durgahs and the celebration of the Moharrum Festival and am instructed to request that in giving effect to the instructions therein conveyed that you will bear in mind the proviso of Government restricting the exercise or interference of the Officers of Government to instances where the ceremonies in question fall into disuse or are discontinued from other circumstances. you will also be careful to observe that the provisions of the rule laid down by the Board is made equally applicable to Endowments in land for like purposes.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th August 1846.

I am &c.
(Signed) J. Pringle
Secretary

Read the following Extract from the Secy to Govt &c.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 30th July 1846.

Read the following letter.

(12)

To
C. F. Thompson Esqre
Secretary to Government
Fort St. George.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 659 dated the 20th Ultimo with enclosures, and in reply to state that under the circumstances therein represented the President in Council is pleased to sanction the continuance in the name

name of his widow Sarah Beebee for the support of the family during her life of a moiety (Viz.t 4 Pagodas or Rupees 14 per Annum) of the subsistence grannah enjoyed by the late Sgt. Bahadeer of Tuchinopoly.

2. The original enclosures of your letter are returned.

Fort William }
The 17th July 1846 }

(Signed) G.o A. Bushby
Sec.a to the Govt.
of India.

Ordered to be communicated to the Board of Revenue with reference to their Secretary's letter of the 18th May last No. 254 and to the Officer in account and audit.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Sec.a to Government

(12-13)
Resolution thereon,

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the letter from the Government of India to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Trichinopoly with reference to his letter dated 24th April last.

Read the following resolution.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 25th July 1846.
(From Board 29th June)

(14)
From the Secretary to Govt.

Resolved that the consideration of the cases submitted in the foregoing letter be deferred until the receipt of a reply to the reference lately made to the Government.

Government of India on the subject of Batouki Vcas Enams which have lapsed in the Cuddapah District.

The Board will in the mean time require from the acting Collector the necessary information in the point adverted to in para 6 of their letter, and also a statement in the prescribed Form, supplying all the particulars therein noted as regard the several cases of lapsed Enams reported in the present communication.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Sec.a to Govt.

Resolved

Resolution thereon

(14-15)

Resolved that copy of the foregoing order of Government and of the Board's letter to which it relates be transmitted for the information and guidance of the acting Collector of Cuddapah with reference to his letters dated 3d June last.

Both in Cons 29th June 1846.

Mr. Cochrane will be pleased to report whether the claims of the Ryotee Enams referred to in para 6 of his letter of 3d Inst last No. 47, in Nephew by the male to the female line to the late incumbent Peddyah. He will also submit an application for the continuance of the several Enams now under consideration in the form transmitted with the Boards Proceedings of this date.

Read the following letter

To

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

(16)

From the Coll.r of Rajahmundry affording an Explanation regarding the mode in which the Quarterly price Currents furnished to the Officer Commanding the Division are framed as required in the Board's letter of the 30th April last and sending Copy of the List of Articles for the quarter ending the 30th June 1846.

I have the honor to acknowledge your Circular of the 30th April last transmitting Extracts from Correspondence between the Commissariat Department and the Collector of Bellary, which accompanied the Minutes of Consultation in the Military Department dated 24th February last, relative to the Quarterly price Currents furnished to the Officer Commanding the Division requiring me to explain the mode in which they are framed, and the data upon which the wholesale and retail prices of the several Articles are regulated and to state, whether more precise and correct information than would appear to be contained in those price Currents can be obtained from Sources distinct from those by which the Kurnucks of the Commissariat Department are regulated, and whether any mode occurs to me, by which more accurate Statements can be procured.

2. In reply I beg leave to state that the Quarterly price Currents furnished to the Officer Commanding the Division from this

Fort St George 10th August 1846

this District are framed with reference to the detailed Teloogoo Lists of Kurrucks forwarded to me by the Native Police authorities at the six Principal Towns noted in the Margin, with the signature of the head Banians at those places. The wholesale and retail prices therein referred to, are ascertained on three or four different days towards the close of the quarter, thro' respectable Merchants resident at the above places. Copy of the above list furnished by me to the Officer Commanding the Division for the Quarter ending 30th June 1846 is herewith forwarded for the information of the Board. With respect to the difference between the rates recorded in the Kurrucks supplied by the Revenue Department and by the Military Superintendent of Police, I beg to state, that this Office is unable to afford the explanation required, as I am not acquainted with the mode, in which the prices furnished by the Military Department are regulated. It is probable that a different quality of Rice is denominated 1st sort in the Neighbourhood of Samulcottah. The produce of that part of the Country is quite of a different description from that grown at

Rajahmundry
Reddapoor
Samulcottah
Cocanada
Coringa
Neelapilly

Fort St George 10th August 1846

at Rajahmundry and Noolapilly, and therefore it is advisable, that samples should be interchanged with the Military Authorities in order that there may be no misunderstanding on that subject hereafter. This practice I shall immediately adopt. Another point for consideration, as that the price on a Market day when an abundant supply is brought in and competition is active, falls below the average price in the Neighbouring Villages and some allowance should be made on that account.

3. The plan of including in the Statements furnished to the Military Department, the average prices rendered by the Merchants of the Cusba Town at the end of each Market day shall be immediately adopted and for this purpose it is desirable that revised forms should be sent to me to be furnished to the Officer Commanding the Division.

Rajahmundry District
Cocanada,
30th July 1846.

(Signed) T. Prendergast
Collector

List

10278

Fort St George 10th August 1846.

A List of articles of commissariat Supply with their current prices at different Stations in the District of Rajahmundry for the quarter ending 30th June 1846.—

| | | |

| | | |

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

prices agreed upon, once a Month or oftener if necessary, by the Agents of the principal Merchants and Shopkeepers from which the Sr. Cannum frames a Weekly and Monthly account for transmission to the Huzzur through the Talook Authorities - Should the prices be changed more than once during the Month the Sr. Cannum adopts the average - a Copy of the weekly account is sent to the Commissariat Officer at Trichinopoly and one of the Monthly account to the Commanding Officer of the Regiment; and from the same data entries are made in a Form received once a Month from the Commissariat Officer at Trichinopoly - The Quarterly price Current furnished to the Officer Commanding the Division is framed on an average of these Monthly lists -

The prices of the smaller articles are those stated by the Sr. Cannum as Current in the Shops at the time -

Tinnevelly
Tencasey
30th July 1846 -

Signed R. D. Parker
Acting Collector -

Ordered -

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

(16-18)

Order on the 2 foregoing letters - Ordered to lie on the Table

Read again the following letter from the Collector of Masulipatam reporting on the Settlement of the Government Estates for Fusly 1254 in that district

(19)

29th April & 14th May 1846 -

(Were entered No 38)

Proceedings thereon - The Vinnacottah and Goodiwadah Purgunnahs and the Mootah of Podapad and have been newly added since the last report to the number of Government Estates - A small Extent of cultivation in the hamlet of Poolaty Teppah near the foot of Masulipatam also forms an addition to the revenue of Fusly 1254 / 1844/45

Relating to the Settlement of the Government Estates for Fusly 1254 in the district of Masulipatam -

Papers 4 to 6

2 With the exception of the Month of November on which no rain fell the periodical rains were regular and abundant - The wet lands of Vinnacottah and Goodiwadah enjoy an independant source of supply from the Southern which is fed by the Pleistrah and did not therefore suffer from the failure of rain in November - The wet lands of Podanah, Podapalam and Pylapully were also well supplied but the irrigation of Podapaud being imperfect the want of rain in November affected the crops

then

from coming to maturity in that portion of the district. Pedapaud is further dependant on the water of the Colair lake which unless renewed by freshes from the river becomes brackish and is thus rendered unfit for irrigation. The Crops in Pedapaud in the vicinity of the Colair appeared to have suffered from this cause. With the trifling exception of Pedapaud the result of the year was favorable. The Ponasa or early dry crops the wet cultivation and the Pyra or late dry crops equally exhibit a good outturn.

The Dry lands of the Estates entered in Margin, were rented out as reported to Government on a quinquennial lease at an annual fixed amount of Rupees 2456. for the first year with reference to the actual Produce, and Rupees 3309 for the remaining periods. In consequence, the receipts under this head in the present period the 1st year of the rent are less than the revenues of the past fasly by Rupees 162 10 – 6. The augmented amount derived from wet lands is attributable to extended cultivation and large Quantity of produce there being an excess in the former of 11 – 12 – 6 and in the latter of 175 – 12 – 6 7/16

1 Padamanchoobah
2 Pedapalam Do
3 Cuppaladoddy Village
4 Vellatore Do
5 Kodamanam Do

Dist on wet lands
Fasly Rupees
1253 – 1836 3 – 0
1254 – 1846 – 1 – 8
Increase 1838 14 – 8

The

Paras 11-12-13. 4. The total Sist on these Estates amounted to Rupees 3136 – 3 – 4. of which the sum of Rupees 3101 – 11 – 3 has been realized leaving a balance at the date of the Report of Rupees 31 – 8 – 1. outstanding against the Padamah Mootah which it is said is in course of Collection.

Para 14. 5. The arrears outstanding against these Estates have been reduced by subsequent Collections to Rupees 824 – 3 – 11. and the Collector promises to report on the nature of these balances as required in the Board's Proceedings of the 17th November 1845. as soon as the enquiries now in progress have been brought to a close.

Fly Carefully Para 16. 6. The increase of Rupees 784 – 15 – 6 in the Dry lands of this Village is owing to the advantageous terms on which they have been rented out. In the wet lands under a Permanent Management there has been an increase in the Extent of lands brought under cultivation and an increase in the Quantity of produce.

Extended 27 – 7 – 9 @ 7 8
Duration 7 @ 8 1
Quantity produced 132 @ 12 5/16
Increase

Demand 2946 – 7 – 7
Collections 2748 – 8 12
Balance 197 – 15 – 7

The Collections realized on account of the Settlement were Rupees 2748 – 8 – 12 leaving a balance of Rupees 197 – 15 – 7 for future adjustment.

This

10282/284- Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

Vinnacottah & Godewadah Paras 24-

8- This property is composed of 71 - Sary and 62. Mokasa and agraharum Villages - of the former 34 Villages both Dry and Wet - lands included were rented out for a term of fuie years - the total demands on account of which for Fusly 1254 was Rupees 35855-7-6- Three other Villages were also - rented out - one in Fusly 1243 by the Ex Zemindar for the sum of Rupees 500 and the other two on a permanent lease of 427 Rupees per annum - These several amounts with Gillahdars tuffruck and tax on Palmyra trees not included in - the rent being added. The total rents on the 37 Villages alluded to, amount to - Rupees 36736- 11-3 which is in excess of the settlement of Fusly 1253 by Rupees 13521-7-2. The remaining 34 sary Villages yielded a Revenue of Rupees 32756-11-0 which was above the past years settlement by Rupees 14874-13-2. The aggregate amount on the 62. Mokasa and agraharum Villages was Rupees 3899-6-0 which exceeded that of the previous Fusly Rupees 2960-6-11.

From -

10285- Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

Settlement of Fusly 1253 - 47349-11-3
" 1254 - 71156-11-7
Increase 31116-0-4

Vinnacottah & Godewadah Estates.

From the above Statements it will appear that the total demand - on the Vinnacottah and Goodewadah Purgunnahs is greatly above the Revenue yielded in the past year -

Para 24- 10 Of the total demand, Rupees - 74171-6-8. were realized up to the date of the Collectors report, leaving a balance of Rupees 4294-5-11 which will be collected here-after - The sum of Rupees 124-4-6 has been recovered on account of Maramut mif and the portion uncollected Rupees 198-6-9 is left for future adjustment

Para 26- 11- A large arrear amounting to Rupees 17047-5-3. stands against the Ryots of this property which it is stated must be made good from the resources of future years. Mr. Porter will be pleased to investigate these balances at his earliest convenience and report the result with a view to their final adjustment -

Para 28- Pedapaudoo 12- Owing to the unfavorable nature of the season experienced by the

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Collections 36584-12-11
Collection 6023-11-10
Balance 561-0-2

this Estate there is a decrease of revenue to the extent of Rupees 1253-11-3. A great portion of the demand has been collected and the sum that is still pending Rupees 561-0-2 is objected to by the Ryots and the Collector has promised a special report on the subject.

13. From the foregoing review it will appear that the receipts realized on account of the several properties under report were much larger than in the previous years. After deducting the disbursements of every kind the Net Revenue yielded by these Estates amounted to Rupees 17205-6-5 which sum has been carried to the credit of Government.

Net Increase Rs. 30788-2-9

Para. 32.

14. Notwithstanding these favorable results the settlement of the whole of these Estates falls below the Peishcush and average Collections by Rupees 17234-3-8 as will be observed in the Abstract Statement appended to Para. 33 of the Collector's report. It will also be perceived that whilst there was a decrease in the revenue of the first six Estates to the extent of little less than half of the Government demand and a falling off in Pedapaud to more than a moiety of the Peishcush

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Peishcush in Vinnacottah and Goodewadah the difference between the settlement and the Peishcush was but trifling. The benefits of an independent supply of water from the Rivtnah which is enjoyed by the last mentioned Estates are strongly shewn in the experience of the year under consideration. The unremitting attention of the Collector should be given to the irrigation of these Estates in conformity with the views of the Board noted in the Margin. Mr. Porter's best attention should also be directed to the irrigation of the Pedapaud Mootah by augmenting if practicable the supply from the Tamelaré.

18th May 1846.

Paras 35 & 42-15. In these paras the Collector enters at some length into explanation in regard to the falling off of the revenues of the Padamaud & Estates in Fusly 1253. compared with the results of Fusly 1251 in reply to the observations contained in para 2 Extract Minutes of Consultation

Inft. 2d March 1846. 13th February last. It is unnecessary to follow Mr. Porter thro all the detail he has supplied which is mainly intended

10288. Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

intended to shew the influence of season and prices. Declension has been traced elsewhere to other causes as more particularly noticed by Government and it is very desirable that the attention of the Collector should be fully given to the subject in order that the real causes which retard the progress of the Ryots to a state of Prosperity may be clearly ascertained.

16. The Board would observe with reference to Para 41 of Extract of Minutes of Consultation alluded to above that Rents for long threatened periods have not their disposal as more fully explained to Government in the correspondence noted in the Margin. It does not appear that any cases of default on the part of Renters were noticed by Mr. Porter in Paras 29. 30. and 32 of his Report for last year as supposed by Government in the concluding part of Para 41 of the said Extract.

To Govt. 2d March 1846
From Do. 25th Feby. to 30th March 1846.

17. The gross demand on the Jaey Minor and Jufty Estates amounted to Rupees 6,38316 — 1 — 11 of which the sum of Rupees

Para 43.

 Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

Rupees 6,37,031 — 1 — 11 was brought to account leaving a balance of Rupees 201286 — 0 — 11 for future adjustment. Of this amount the sum of Rupees 76,470 — 4 — 10 is due by Estates in possession of their Proprietors in the proportions shewn in the Margin. If to this be added the arrears of Peishcush outstanding against them the total amount that will be owing on account of these properties will be Rupees 306132 — 6 — 3.

Balance of Current Peishcush Para 43.

1	Kedavele	26706	8	4
2	Pentapaud	21763	5	7
3	Bezoara	20138	5	0
4	Coivencalvo	7061	2	1
5	Jungatamody	630	1	6
6	Bullapurroo	176	11	4
		76470	4	10
Add old arrears		229662	1	5
Total Balance		306132	6	3

18. The balance due on the Village of Bullapurroo has since been realized and the Estates of Bezoara and Coivacalvo have been advertised for sale in conformity with the orders of Government on account of the Current and outstanding arrears of Peishcush due by them.

From Govt. 6th Feby 1846
to Govt. 19th Feby 1846

19. The Balances due on Kedadavele Pentapaud and Jungatamody

if

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

if not discharged within a reasonable period the Collector proposes to attach these Estates. If this measure is found to be necessary and a Satisfactory arrangement for the liquidation of the dues of Government is unattainable, it is desirable that the provisions of the Regulation for the recovery of arrears should be enforced.

20. The sum due by the Mina and Fifty Estates both on account of Current and outstanding arrears, will receive the consideration of the Board when the affairs of these Estates are brought under review.

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government, and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Masulipatam for his information and guidance.

Read

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

From the Collector of Masulipatam.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an Account Particular of Law Charges incurred in the Revenue Department in fusly 1254 and A.D. 1844/45 and request that the Sanction of Government may be obtained for the Same, amounting to Company's Rupees 302-5-0-0.

Masulipatam
Collector's Cutcherry
7th July 1846

Signed R. T. Porter

Collector

10292

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.—

Account Particulars of Law Charges in the Revenue Department in the Zillah of Masulipatam for fusly 1254.—

C Rupees A P

Charges incurred in suits ordered by Government to be defended at the Public expence as per Sanction of Government under date 7th June 1845.—

Value of Stamp Papers purchased for appeal Petition to be presented in the Civil Court against the Decree passed by the Principal Sudr Ameen on account of a Suit preferred by D Gungarauze in N.o 1 of 1843, Viz.t—

1 Paper ——— 50 " "

41 Do. at 4 Rupees each ——— 164 " " ——— 214 " "

2 Do. for presenting extra Petition in the Principal Sudr Ameen's Court. ——— 1 " "

215 " "

Batta paid to P. Narsimvarauze and P. Veerabadrarauze Guardians to P. Bootchitemmarauze Mokassadar of Gotaro &c.a Villages in the Purgunah of Neddadavole confined in the Civil Jail for not having discharged the balance of Cuttoobady due to the Circar, Viz.t—

P. Narsimharauze from 6.th December 1844. to 31.st July 1845— being 238 days at 1 anna per day ——— 14 12 "

P. Veerabadrarauze from 6." D.o to 30.th June 1845 being 207 days at D.o per D.o ——— 12 15 "

27 13 "

Amount paid to Government Vakeel on account of batta to be paid to Peons deputed by the Civil Court to apprehend and bring P. Veeranah surety of the above Mokassadars from Madras to Masulipatam for the purpose of incarcerating him in Jail for not having discharged the balance of Cuttoobady due to the Circar 61 " "

Amount paid to D.o D.o on account of Postage of a letter received by him from P. Soobaroydoo Huzzoor Cutcherry Peon who was deputed to proceed to Madras for the apprehension of P. Veeranah surety of the above Mokassadar— " 2 "

Deduct 88 15 "

303 15 "

Amount refunded by Government Vakeel being batta due to — Veerabadrarauze for 26 days from 5." to 30." June 1845 who having died on the 4.th of that Month ——— 1 10 "

Net— 302 5 "

Masulipatam— Collector's Cutcherry— 7.th July 1846 ----- E. E. J.s/ Signed/ R. T. Porter— Collector.—

10293 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.—

Ordered that the fore=
=going letter be submitted with the fol=
=lowing address.—

To The Chief Secretary to
Government

20-21
To the Chief Secy to Govt.—

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Masulipatam forwarding a Statement of Law Charges incurred in that District in Fasly 1254 and to recommend that sanction may be granted for the disbursement amounting to Rupees 302 — 5. —.

7th July
Inclosures 10th
August 1846—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,—
10th August 1846—

/Signed/ T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read the following letters—

To

10294 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

To T. Pycroft Esqr.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

22.
From the Collr. of Guntoor—

Sir,

1. I have the honor to request Sanction for the expenditure of Rupees 150. for the repairs of a Well in the Village of Vapacumpully in the Pimmerecottah Tanah of Palnaud.—

Requesting Sanction for the expenditure of Rupees 150 for the repairs of a well in the Village of Vapacumpully in Pimmerecottah Tannah of Palnaud & whereby the Ryots engage to bring under the Plough 100 acres of land assessable at Rs. 310 in addition to their present holdings which bear an Assessment of Rs 430, and 20 new Ryots are proposed to take up 300 acres of uncultivated land assessable at 300 Rupees

2. The ryots who cultivate the lands of this Village have been subjected to great inconvenience and distress for water, since their Well has fallen into its present defective State, and their own resources not enabling them to restore it, this has proved prejudicial to the present limited cultivation which has been reluctantly carried on without any prospect of its extension under such unfavorable circumstances—

3. Upon condition of the well being

10295 Fort St. George 10th August 1846

being put into repair the Ryots of the Village in question have now engaged to bring under the plough 100 Acres of land assessable at Rupees 110 in addition to their present holdings which bear an assessment of Rupees 430, and 20 new Ryots are prepared to take up 300 acres of uncultivated land assessable at 300 Rupees, making together an extension of Cultivation of 400 Acres, from which Rupees 410 annual Sheist is expected to be realized upon the outlay of the sum for which sanction is now solicited.—

Guntoor, Collectors, Cutcherry 16th July 1846 } /Signed/ H. Stokes Collector

Resolution thereon—

(22-23) The Collector is requested to afford some further information in regard to the above application. He will be so good as to explain the description of the Well proposed to be constructed, the produce to be raised under it, and how the water of one Well can suffice for the cultivation of so large an extent of land as 400 Acres. It is observed that 1 Rupee per Acre appears a low rate of Assessment for well land.— —

To

10296 Fort St. George 10th August 1846

To T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(24)

From the Coll. of Guntoor — —

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Board's Proceedings of the 11th Ultimo, relative to the rents of the Kistna Ferries, and to express my regret that the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d August 1845 disapproving the exemption from Toll of certain privileged classes did not come to my notice until after I had dispatched my letter dated 13th May last. It was my own opinion when I first had the subject under consideration that this exemption was objectionable, but it afterwards occurred to me that destitute persons might be put to great suffering if detained on the rivers' bank. Proposals have now been accepted for the Ferries according to the terms approved of by the Board without any exemption of particular classes and the result will be reported on, with the other Extra sources of Revenue as directed by the Board.—

Guntoor, ——— Coll.rs Cutcherry, 28th July 1846 } /Signed/ H. Stokes. Collector.—

Order thereon.—

(24-25) Ordered to be recorded— Read the following Statement Statement.—

(26)

10297 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Statement exhibiting the quantity of Salt in Store available for exportation to Calcutta on payment of the General Rates of Export on the 31st July 1846.—

No.	Places at which Salt is stored	Quantity of Salt remaining = Quantity = remaining in the Store = already = exported = during the year 1845/46 = exported = on the 31st July 1846 =	Quantity actually in Store and ready to be exported on the 31st July 1846
1	Tuticorin	42,111	42,111
2	Colachel	38,338	38,338
3	Goodalore	10,667	10,667
4	Karaikal	6,417	6,417
5	Kadapatam	5,467	5,467
	Total	31,000	31,000

Fort St. George 5th August 1846. (Signed) J. F. Bishop Collector.

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette

(26-27) Order thereon.

10298 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(28)

From A. Mellor Esqre Collector of Salt Military

Sir

Submitting for the sanction of Govt a statement of allowances to Pagodas & Mosques in lieu of resumed Lands Offices and Privileges for Fasly 1252 & 1253 amounting to C. Rs 39054-11-3 to enable the Civil Auditor to pass the charges in part Antinuance at the rate specified in Col 6 of the Statement

I have objected to pass the actual charges on account of Pagoda and Mosque allowances of this District for Fasleies 1252 and 1253 with- A.D. 1842/43 A.D. 1843/44 out the sanction of Government, as will be perceived by the subjoined Extract from the Retrenchment.—

Charges objected to	Amount	Total	Remarks of the Civil Auditor.
Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed Lands, Offices and Rimleges. Amount charged on that account in Fasly 1252	18,627-10-9		Nos. 36 and 37. Will continue retrenched till the sanction of Government is obtained.—
Do Do in Fasly 1253	18,629-6	37,256-11-3	
Pagoda and Mosque Allowances. Amount charged on that account in Fasly 1252	399--		
Do Do in Fasly 1253	399--	798--	
Total Company's Rupees		38,054-11-3	

(Signed) J. C. Morris

Civil Auditor

2. I have therefore the honor to submit a Statement exhibiting the sums always disbursed under the two Head of "Pagoda and Mosque Allowances in lieu of Resumed Lands, Offices and Rivileges" and "Pagoda and Mosque allowances" as well as the charges actually incurred in Fusles 1252 A.D. 1842/43 and 1253 A.D. 1843/44 and reque[s]t that the Board will be pleased to obtain for me the sanction of Government for the Charges amounting in the aggregate to Company's Rupees 38,054-11-2.

3.- The late Principal Collector Mr. Robertson furnished the Board under date the 31st October 1838 with a List of Pagodas and Mosque allowances as they stood on the 1st January 1837, and though the Jusdeck (or the amount payable for one year) was therein entered in Company's Rupees, yet, in point of fact, the Amount fixed for each Pagoda has always been in Canteroy Pagodas according to the system of the District, and in ifouing payments the practice was to convert the Canteroy Pagodas into Company's Rupees. The practice having been abandoned from Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840/41 and all the Treasury accounts being framed from that time in Company's Currency

currency only certain items of the Pagoda and Mosque allowances the fluc=tions of which did not admit of payment — have necessarily produced a trifling decrease of 6-1 being the dif=ference between the former Account and the correct sum payable which latter amounting to Rupees 19027-14-8 per Annum is hereunder contrasted with the actual charges of Fusly 1252 and 1253 and the net result shewn.—

*Vide Col.6 of the Statt

Fusly	Actual Charges	Net Increase as per account=ing State=ment	Net Decrease as per account=ing State=ment
1252	19,026 10 9	— — —	1 3 11
1253	19,028 — 6	— 1 10	— — —

The difference arises only under the Head of Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed Lands Offices and Privileges" The increase in Fusly 1252 is Rs. a. p. — 4 — 5. and decrease 1 — 8 — 4 the Net decrease

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

decrease being Rs. 1 a. 3 p. 11 as above specified.
In Fusly 1253, the increase is Rs. 1 a. 4 p. 5
and decrease 2 a. 7 p. the net increase being
as above noted 1 a. 10 p.

4. The only item of the above worthy of notice is the decrease of Rs. 1 a. 8 p. 4 in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 It arises from a portion of the Wages of a servant of a Pagoda at Ringondah not disbursed (Office being vacant for a few days) amounting to Rupees 1-5-8, this being deducted from Rs. 1 a. 8 p. 4 the net decrease is only 2 a. 8 p. produced by the Anversion of Canteroy Pagodas into Company's Rupees in Fusly 1252 A.D. 1842/43 and the net increase arising from the latter mentioned cause is in Fusly 1253 A.D. 1843/44 Rs. 1 a. 10 p.

5. I have also the honor to request in order to obviate any further objection on the part of the Civil Auditor

that

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

that sanction may be obtained at once for the continuance of the allowances amounting to Rupees 19027-14-8 for the future, as per Column 6 of the Statement now submitted.-

Bellary Collector's Office 11th July 1846

I Signed I A. Mellor
Collector.

Statement

10303

Fort St. George

10th August 1846

No. 1 Statement of Pagodas and Mosque allowances Disbursed in Fuslies 1252 and 1253 requiring the sanction of Government as per remarks of the Civil Auditor in his audit of the accounts of the Bellary Collectorate

Collectorate	Items	Names of	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring sanction Monthly Amount	Requiring sanction Annual Amount	Annual Amount Disbursed for each procession	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8. Decrease
		Total										
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Bellary. Pagodas.		Pagoda and Mosque allowances in lieu of resumed lands and Privileges &c.a.												
		Kusba Bellary Chenakasavaswamy Retta Hanoomun=taswamy	42	3 8	42			42	42	42				
		Mullikharjoona=swamy	84	7	84			84	84	84				
		Jeevee Hanoomun=tasawmy	168	14	168			168	168	168				
		Rasnah and Putch=mana Pagoda on the Hill	21	1 12	21			21	21	21				
		Raghavendraswa=my Mutt	21	1 12	21			21	21	21				
			70	5 13 4	70			70	70	70				
			406	33 13 4	406			406	406	406				
Mosque		Moozanar Goolam Mohomed Mosque	105	8 12	105			105	105	105				
		Imam shaw Ashoor=khanah	35	2 14 8	35			35	35	35				
		Salee shaw Ashoor khanah	17 8	1 7 4	17 8			17 8	17 8	17 8				
			157 8	13 2	157 8			157 8	157 8	157 8				
			563 8	46 15 4	563 8			563 8	563 8	563 8				

10304.

Fort St. George

10 August 1846.

Requiring Sanction

Talooks Heads	Names of Pagodas. Villages	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Monthly Amount	Annual amount	Annual amount Disbursed for car procession	Total amount Disbursed in Fasly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Total amount Disbursed in Fasly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8 Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kumplee Pagoda.	Amrootasala Ramaswamy in the Kusba of Kumplee	105 - -	8 12 -	105 - -	- - -	105 - -	105 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Anjanayaswamy in the Fort	42 - -	3 8 -	42 - -	- - -	42 - -	42 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Veroopatchaswamy behind the Fort	52 8 -	4 6 -	52 8 -	- - -	52 8 -	52 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Somaseharaswamy	52 8 -	4 6 -	52 8 -	- - -	52 8 -	52 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
		252 - -	21 - -	252 - -	- - -	252 - -	252 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
Hurpanhully Pagoda.	Trimmalapoor Venkatramanaswamy	127 12 -	5 11 -	68 4 -	59 8 -	127 12 -	127 12 -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Kusba Harponhully Rasunna Venkatramanaswamy	152 4 -	6 15 7	83 11 -	68 8 8	152 3 8	152 3 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Anjanayaswamy in the Fort	35 - -	2 14 8	35 - -	- - -	35 - -	35 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Ramaswara-swamy	35 - -	- - -	- - -	35 - -	35 - -	35 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
		350 - -	15 9 3	186 15 -	163 - 8	349 15 8	349 15 8	- - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

10305

Fort St. George

10th August 1846.

Requiring Sanction

Talooks Items	Names of Pagodas	Year	Annual or Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Monthly amount	Annual amount	Annual Amount Disbursed for car procession	Total Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7 Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8 Decrease
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Poodeghee Pagodas	Poodeghee Ramaswamy		42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Boakenarkaia Ayjee = =nayaswamy		42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Narayanadavera Kerah Narrainesawmy and Anjanayaswamy		332 13 10	5 9 5	67 1 "	265 13 "	332 13 10	332 13 10	" " "	" " "	2 " "	" " 1
	Ruthaghut Ayyana = =yaswamy		7 5 3	" 9 9	7 5 "	" " "	7 5 "	7 5 3	" " 3	" " "	" " 3	" " "
	Kelakuntaswara = =swamy in the Fort		7 5 3	" 9 9	7 5 "	" " "	7 5 "	7 5 3	" " 3	" " "	" " 3	" " "
	Hosoor Hosoorummah		84 12 3	1 3 8	14 12 "	70 " "	84 12 "	84 12 3	" " 3	" " "	" " 3	" " "
	Anjanayaswamy		54 11 "	1 10 3	19 11 "	35 " "	54 11 "	54 11 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Bazar Eswaraswamy		7 5 3	" 9 9	7 5 "	" " "	7 5 "	7 5 3	" " 3	" " "	" " 3	" " "
	Bawawaswarah		7 5 3	" 9 9	7 5 "	" " "	7 5 "	7 5 3	" " 3	" " "	" " 3	
	Hospett Stree ramaswamy and Vadakaryaswamy		162 6 8	1 " 7	12 7 "	150 " "	162 6 8	162 6 8	" " "	" " 4	" " "	" " 4
	Jumboo Nandaswa = =raswamy		77 5 3	" 9 9	7 5 "	70 " "	77 5 "	77 5 3	" " 3	" " "	" " 3	" " "
			825 6 "	19 8 8	234 8 "	590 13 "	825 5 "	825 6 "	825 6 1	" 16	" " 6	" 16	" " 5
Hoveneladagalee Pagodas	Hoveneladagalee Anjanayaswamy		42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Ellaswaraswamy		42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
			84 " "	7 " "	84 " "	" " "	84 " "	84 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

10306

Fort St. George

10th August 1846.

Talooks
Items

Pagodas

Names of Pagodas	Year	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838. Amount	Requiring sanction Monthly amount	Annual amount	Annual amount Disbursed for car procession	Total rendered to the Civil Auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fasly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7 Increase	Decrease	between Col. 6 and 8. Increase	Decrease
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11	12
Vengalapoor Ayjaiaya = =swamy		264 "	23 "	264 "	" " "	264 "	264 "	264 "	"	"	"	"	"
Bagumpoor Hanoomum = =taswamy		264 "	23 "	264 "	" " "	264 "	264 "	264 "	"	"	"	"	"
Munga Hanoomunta = =swamy		29 28	27 "	29 4 "	" " "	29 4 "	29 4 "	29 4 "	"	"	"	"	"
Danamundala Hanoo = =muntaswamy		264 "	23 "	264 "	" " "	264 "	264 "	264 "	"	"	"	"	"
Siweethkutty Hanoomun = =taswamy		264 "	23 "	264 "	" " "	264 "	264 "	264 "	"	"	"	"	"
Mullekharjoona and Veerabudra		528 "	46 "	528 "	" " "	528 "	528 "	528 "	"	"	"	"	"
Kattar Ramalingaswamy		178 "	173	177 "	" " "	177 "	177 "	177 "	"	"	"	"	"
Havanapetta Hanoomun = =taroyswamy		178 "	173	177 "	" " "	177 "	177 "	177 "	"	"	"	"	"
Koveghee Hanoomuntayaswamy		183 12 "	26 "	528 "	131 4 "	183 12 "	183 12 "	183 12 "	"	"	"	"	"
Kundakoor Ramalingaswamy		35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	35 " "	"	"	"	"	"
Kalatoombala Ramaswamy		64 2 8	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	64 2 8	64 2 8	64 2 8	"	"	"	"	"
Cowtall Kasavaswamy		90 6 8	5 1 8	61 4 "	29 2 8	90 6 8	90 6 8	90 6 8	"	"	"	"	"
Naganathanakully Venka = =tramanaswamy		55 6 8	2 3 "	26 4 "	29 2 8	55 6 8	55 6 8	55 6 8	"	"	"	"	"
Kucholy kasavaswamy		20 8 "	1 11 4	20 8 "	" " "	20 8 "	20 8 "	20 8 "	"	"	"	"	"
Kapatee Nataraswamy		26 4 "	2 3 "	26 4 "	" " "	26 4 "	26 4 "	26 4 "	"	"	"	"	"
Chicktoombala Nar = =simhaswamy		55 6 8	2 3 "	26 4 "	29 2 8	55 6 8	55 6 8	55 6 8	"	"	"	"	"
Moosanakully Sooma = =swaraswamy		14 9 4	1 3 4	14 8 "	" " "	14 8 "	14 8 "	14 8 "	"	"	"	"	"
Vindagull Hanoomuntaswamy		178 "	174	178 "	" " "	178 "	178 "	178 "	"	"	"	"	"
Kaikkolaree Siddaswara = =swamy		142 14 8	5 13 4	70 " "	72 14 8	142 14 8	142 14 8	142 14 8	"	"	"	"	"
Kalakul Kasavaswamy		64 2 8	2 14 8	35 " "	29 2 8	64 2 8	64 2 8	64 2 8	"	"	"	"	"
Munchala Raghaven = =draswamy		196 " "	11 10 8	140 " "	56 " "	196 " "	196 " "	196 " "	"	"	"	"	"
		1,187 10 8	65 2 2	781 10 "	406 " "	1,187 10 "	1,187 10 "	1,187 10 "	"	"	"	"	"

Adonies
Pagodas

10307

Requiring sanction.

Comparison

Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Monthly amount	Annual amount	Annual amount Disbursed for car procession	Total Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	between Col. 6 and 7 Increase	between Col. 6 and 7 Decrease	between Col. 6 and 8 Increase	between Col. 6 and 8 Decrease
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Zoomnah Mazeed in the Fort	127 5 5	10 9 9	127 5 ⁄	" " "	127 5 ⁄	127 5 ⁄	127 5 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Mosque in the Fort	96 12 11	8 1 1	96 13 ⁄	" " "	96 13 ⁄	96 13 ⁄	96 13 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Durgah Moortyah Khader	142 4 9	11 13 8	142 4 ⁄	" " "	142 4 ⁄	142 4 ⁄	142 4 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Mosque near the Tank Bank	21 13 1	1 13 1	21 13 ⁄	" " "	21 13 ⁄	21 13 ⁄	21 13 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Futta Mosque on the Hill	30 8 4	2 8 8	30 8 ⁄	" " "	30 8 ⁄	30 8 ⁄	30 8 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Jomma Mosque Pett Bambonagur	1032 5 1	86 ⁄ 6	1,032 6 ⁄	" " "	1,032 6 ⁄	1,032 6 ⁄	1,032 6 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Durgah near the Kulloobhavee Gate	32 7 8	2 11 4	32 8 ⁄	" " "	32 8 ⁄	32 8 ⁄	32 8 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Mullick Kahemandurgah	92 15 6	7 12 ⁄	93 ⁄ ⁄	" " "	93 ⁄ ⁄	93 ⁄ ⁄	93 ⁄ ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Durgah Kerliaula Saib	23 11 8	1 15 8	23 12 ⁄	" " "	23 12 ⁄	23 12 ⁄	23 12 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Chentha Saib Durgah	81 12 11	6 13 1	81 13 ⁄	" " "	81 13 ⁄	81 13 ⁄	81 13 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Naval Soozavar Moolka Mookhara	1032 8 ⁄	86 ⁄ 8	1,032 8 ⁄	" " "	1,032 8 ⁄	1,032 8 ⁄	1,032 8 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ajoar shariffs Schoolkhanah near Cutcherry	38 11 6	3 3 8	38 12 ⁄	" " "	38 12 ⁄	38 12 ⁄	38 12 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ajoar Shariff near Fort gate	38 11 6	3 3 7	38 11 ⁄	" " "	38 11 ⁄	38 11 ⁄	38 11 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ajoar Shariff Mayamoobaruck	105 ⁄ ⁄	8 12 ⁄	105 ⁄ ⁄	" " "	105 ⁄ ⁄	105 ⁄ ⁄	105 ⁄ ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Tantina shaw Corner	⁄ 14 ⁄	⁄ 1 2	⁄ 14 ⁄	" " "	⁄ 14 ⁄	⁄ 14 ⁄	⁄ 14 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Syed Comers Durgah ni Retta	8 12 ⁄	⁄ 11 8	8 12 ⁄	" " "	8 12 ⁄	8 12 ⁄	8 12 ⁄	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Talooka Mayne

Adyaroo Mosque

10308

Fort St. Geo[illegible] August 1846.

Names of Pagodas	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Requiring sanc[illegible]		Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7.		Comparison between Col. 6 and 8.	
		Monthly amount	Annual amount			Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
1	2	3	4	6	7	8	9	10	11
Syed Azumshaw Soodadharick	2 10 "	" 3 6	2 10 "	2 10 "	2 10 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Shaw Ally Mootan Shaw in Moharum East	4 6 "	" 5 10	4 6 "	4 6 "	4 6 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Faree Sahib Corus	10 8 "	" 14 "	10 8 "	10 8 "	10 8 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Shoolshaw Corus	4 6 "	" 5 10	4 6 "	4 6 "	4 6 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Hoosany allum in Moharum Feast	34 10 9	2 14 3	34 11 "	34 11 "	34 11 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Rajah allee Saib in Moharum Feast	175 " "	14 9 4	175 " "	175 "	175 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Hazarut Sahib Corus at Syed Pett	5 4 "	" 7 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	5 4 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Shaik Hussain Shaik homed Durgah	24 1 8	2 " 2	24 2 "	24 2 "	24 2 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Shaw Shareff Khoja Moobarick	777 1	67 2	77 6 "	77 6 "	77 6 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	3,244 15 10	270 6 8	3,245 " "	3245 "	3245 "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	4,432 10 6	335 8 10	4,026 10 "	4,432 10	4,432 10	" "	" "	" "	" "

Talooks
Attend

Adonies
Mogu

Con[illegible]
Con[illegible]

Talook. Stone.	Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction. Monthly amount.	Annual Amount.	Annual amount Disbursed for corresponding.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase.	Decrease.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Increase.	Decrease.	Total.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Goodlore Pagoda.	Hodalagoondy Siddasawarasawamy	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
	Chipplaycry Bhogaswaraswamy	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
	Halahurvey Chittragoody Anjanayaswamy	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
	Hulty Belagul Ramaswamy	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
	Hoorapoor Hanoomantaswamy	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
		175 " "	14	9 4	175 " "	" " "	175 " "	175 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	175 " "
Punchapalam Pagoda.	Kuppatrol Koulootaswamy	80 8 "	3	8 "	42 " "	38 8 "	80 8 "	80 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	80 8 "
	Putty Conda Kasavaswamy	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
	Kuppatrol Ramanavamee Kotchana	61 4 "	5	1 8	61 4 "	" " "	61 4 "	61 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	61 4 "
	Paravalee Runyaswamy	122 8 "	5	13 4	70 " "	52 8 "	122 8 "	122 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	122 8 "
	Peapally Casavaswamy	52 8 "	4	6 "	52 8 "	" " "	52 8 "	52 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	52 8 "
	Moony Madoogoo Gopalaswamy	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
	Muddekara Kasavaraswamy	17 8 "	1	7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	17 8 "
		404 4 "	26	1 8	313 4 "	91 " "	404 4 "	404 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	404 4 "
Mosque.	Kuppatrol Mosque	35 " "	2	4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	35 " "
		439 4 "	29	4 8	348 4 "	91 " "	439 4 "	439 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	439 4 "

Fort St. George

10th August 1846

Taluks. Items.	Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction - Monthly Amount.	Annual Amount.	Annual Amount Disbursed for Cus procession	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase.	Decrease.	between Col. 6 and 8. Increase.	Decrease.
		1.	2.	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Husba Gooty Rama Chendraswamy	105 " "	8 12 "	105 " "	" " "	105 " "	105 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Narasimmahswamy	105 " "	8 12 "	105 " "	" " "	105 " "	105 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Mauryooty Anjanayasuamy	10 8 "	" 14 "	10 8 "	" " "	10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Sailhanacherla Anjanayasuamy	10 8 "	" 14 "	10 8 "	" " "	10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Goutamaswara	21 " "	1 12 "	21 " "	" " "	21 " "	21 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Gramadavata yelumamah	10 8 "	" 14 "	10 8 "	" " "	10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Gooty Pagoda	Bhagasungamaswara	42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Neelakuntaswara	21 " "	1 12 "	21 " "	" " "	21 " "	21 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Gooty Ramaswara	21 " "	1 12 "	21 " "	" " "	21 " "	21 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Ramasuamy and Varabudrasuamy	58 4 4	4 13 8	58 4 "	" " "	58 4 "	58 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Nettakal Anjanayasuamy	207 2 4	17 4 2	207 2 "	" " "	207 2 "	207 2 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Amedal Pennakoba ladwamy	350 " "	17 8 "	210 " "	140 " "	350 " "	350 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Penna Runyaswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Harotta Ramaliyaswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Hannalagee Anjanayasuamy	21 " "	1 12 "	21 " "	" " "	21 " "	21 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		10,52 14 8	76 12	912 14 "	140 " "	10,52 14 "	10,52 14 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George

10th August 1846

Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Monthly Amount	Annual Amount	Annual Amount Disbursed for car procession	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8 Decrease
Total.										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12.
Stone. Goothy Mosques. Hoosmah Mosque in the Fort	158 6	13 3 2	158 6	" "	158 6	158 6	158 6	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Moolla Hussain Mohomed Moollah in Gooty Pettah	59 11	4 14 10	59 2	" "	59 2	59 2	59 2	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Durgah on the Hill	43 12	3 10 4	43 12	" "	43 12	43 12	43 12	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Syed Ibrahim Cuteel	44 4	3 11	44 4	" "	44 4	44 4	44 4	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Cauzee Abdool Mazeed	87 8	7 4 8	87 8	" "	87 8	87 8	87 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	392 15 8	32 12	393	" "	393	393	393	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	1,445 14	108 13 2	1,305 14	140 "	1,445 14	1,445 14	1,445 14	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Yadaree Pagoda. Yadaree Hasanaswamy	87 8	7 4 8	87 8	" "	87 8	87 8	87 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Polamada Hasanaswamy	35	2 14 8	35	" "	35	35	35	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Yootoor Chennakasavaswamy	35	2 14 8	35	" "	35	35	35	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Malakatalla Annatagery swamy	35	2 14 8	35	" "	35	35	35	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	192 8	16 8	192 8	" "	192 8	192 8	192 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
Moguee Mosque. Meer Mucktoom Allee Shaw Syed Booraun Durvish Makon	42	3 8	42	" "	42	42	42	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "
	234 8	19 8	234 8	" "	234 8	234 8	234 8	" "	" "	" "	" "	" "

For Ft. St. George

10th August 1846

Zillahs. Talooks.	Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction - Monthly amount.	Requiring Sanction - annual Amount.	Annual amount Disbursed for Cash procession.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1247 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7 Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8 Decrease
	Total										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Ruiboong Pagoda.	Hasba Raidroog Ven katramanaswamy	1288 " "	85 2 10	1022 2 "	265 14 "	1288 " "	1288 " "	1288 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Mandhavaroya swamy	217 9 4	18 7 1	217 9 "	" " "	217 9 "	217 9 "	217 9 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Vadanta Desekah	23 5 4	1 15 1	23 5 "	" " "	23 5 "	23 5 "	23 5 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Ramaswamy	109 1 4	9 1 5	109 1 "	" " "	109 1 "	109 1 4	109 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Gopalakristna swamy	96 4 "	8 " 4	96 4 "	" " "	96 4 "	96 4 "	96 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Annabhavee Nurs simmaswamy	43 12 "	3 10 4	43 12 "	" " "	43 12 "	43 12 "	43 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Kundachar Nair simmahaswamy	43 12 "	3 10 4	43 12 "	" " "	43 12 "	43 12 "	43 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Jumboo Kasvara swamy	165 10 8	13 12 1	165 11 "	" " "	165 11 "	165 10 8	165 10 8	" " "	" " 4	" " "	" " 4
	Yellummah	39 6 "	3 4 6	39 6 "	" " "	39 6 "	39 6 "	39 6 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Sutya bodha Mutt Anjanayasamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Sovrapett Anja swamy	8 12 "	" 11 8	8 12 "	" " "	8 12 "	8 12 "	8 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Siddaswaraswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Chowdaswaraswamy	8 12 "	" 11 8	8 12 "	" " "	8 12 "	8 12 "	8 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Vidyah Sankarolo	8 12 "	" 11 8	8 12 "	" " "	8 12 "	8 12 "	8 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Dasabooja Ganapaty	43 12 "	3 10 4	43 12 "	" " "	43 12 "	43 12 "	43 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Yeppraka Nair simmaha swamy	84 9 4	7 " 9	84 9 "	" " "	84 9 "	84 9 4	84 9 4	" " 4	" " "	" " 4	" " "

10313

10th August 1846

Fort St. George

Requiring Sanction.

Names of Pagodas 88 ca.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Monthly Amount.	Annual Amount.	Annual amount Disbursed for Cash Procession.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Decrease
Total.										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12.
Shedumbaraswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "		35 " "	35 " "						
Raghavendraswamy Mutt Mookeyapeanaswamy	8 12 "	" 11 8	8 12 "		8 12 "	8 12 "						
Veyasaroya Mutt Anj: -janayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "		17 8 "	17 8 "						
Kanakul Somaswara Swamy	207 1 4	17 4 1	207 1 "		207 1 4	207 1 4						
Nagana hully Anja: -nayaswamy	110 13 4	9 3 9	110 13 "		110 13 4	110 13 4						
Rutchamurry Anja: -nayaswamy	87 8 "	7 4 8	87 8 "		87 8 "	87 8 "						
Kanakul Anja: -nayaswamy	67 14	5 9 5	67 1 "		67 14	67 14						
Moordy Anjanaya: -swamy	70 " "	5 13 4	70 " "		70 " "	70 " "						
Singavahully Sunga: -maswaraswamy	52 8 "	4 6 "	52 8 "		52 8 "	52 8 "						
Boodegoomma Anja: -nayaswamy	52 8 "	4 6 "	52 8 "		52 8 "	52 8 "						
Sreerunga poor Run: -gaswamy	51 " 8	4 4 1	51 1 "		51 " 8	51 " 8						
Vapodapurty Runga: -swamy	52 8 "	4 6 "	52 8 "		52 8 "	52 8 "						
Roopanagordy Venkut -ramanaswamy	294 9 4	24 8 9	294 9 "		294 9 4	294 9 4						
Brumha Sanoodra Poty Mahaindraswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "		17 8 "	17 8 "						
Yaradekera Anjana: -yaswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "		35 " "	35 " "						

Talookas. Stone.

Raidroog (cont'd) Pegada (cont'd)

10314

Fort St. George

10th August 1846

Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursements as per Account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Monthly amount Requiring Sanction.	Annual amount Requiring Sanction.	Annual amount Disbursed for Civil prosecution	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per Account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per Account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Nagalapoor Venkutra-manaswamy	35 - -	2 14 8	35 - -			35 - -	35 - -	35 - -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Balagopa Ramaswara-swamy	26 4 -	2 3 -	26 4 -			26 4 -	26 4 -	26 4 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Gungauvr Anjanaya-swamy	35 - -	2 14 8	35 - -			35 - -	35 - -	35 - -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Rakutta Ramaswara-swamy	17 8 -	1 7 4	17 8 -			17 8 -	17 8 -	17 8 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Honnoor Anjanaya-swamy	17 8 -	1 7 4	17 8 -			17 8 -	17 8 -	17 8 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Hoodloor Anjanaya-swamy	26 4 -	2 3 -	26 4 -			26 4 -	26 4 -	26 4 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Dhurmapoory Anja-nayaswamy	11 10 8	1 5 7	11 11 -			11 11 -	11 10 8	11 10 8	" " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
Gopalpoor Anjana-yaswamy	8 12 -	1 1 8	8 12 -			8 12 -	8 12 -	8 12 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Bundanakal An-janayaswamy	11 10 8	1 5 7	11 11 -			11 11 -	11 10 8	11 10 8	" " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "
Pubberlahully Eeswa-raswamy	17 8 -	1 7 4	17 8 -			17 8 -	17 8 -	17 8 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	3,582 12 4	276 6 6	3,316 14 -	265 14 -		3,582 12 9	" 2 1 -	" 1 4 -	" 2 1 -	" 1 4 -
Mosque. Masque at Raidroog	73 8 -	6 2 -	73 8 -	" " "		73 8 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Mosque at Kanakal	14 9 4	1 3 5	14 9 -	" " "		14 9 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	88 1 4	7 5 5	88 1 -	" " "		88 1 -	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	3,670 14 8	283 11 11	3,404 15 -	265 14 -		3,670 13 9	" 2 1 -	" 1 4 -	" 2 1 -	" 1 4 -

Raidroog Pagoda (Cont'd)

10315.

For Ft. St. George

10th August 1846

Talooks.	Items.	Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction. Monthly amount.	Requiring Sanction. Annual amount.	Annual Amount Disbursed for ens procession	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Comparison between Column 6 & 7. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Decrease.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Decrease.
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Tanjore	Pagoda.	Tantepurty Chentala. royaswamy	280 " "	14 9 4	175 " "	105 " "	280 " "	280 " "	280 " "				
		Ramaswaraswamy	280 " "	14 9 4	175 " "	105 " "	280 " "	280 " "	280 " "				
		Anjanayaswamy over the Bank of the River	49 3 7	4 1 7	49 3 "	" " "	49 3 "	49 3 "	49 3 "				
		Pett Anjanayaswamy	29 8 6	2 7 5	29 9 "	" " "	29 9 "	29 9 "	29 9 "				
		Mandavasarovara. Anjanayaswamy	21 14 "	1 13 2	21 14 "	" " "	21 14 "	21 14 "	21 14 "				
		Aloor Rona Runjod. swamy	105 " "	4 6 "	52 8 "	52 8 "	105 " "	105 " "	105 " "				
		Yellanoor Venkat. ramanaswamy	178 8 "	8 12 "	105 " "	73 8 "	178 8 "	178 8 "	178 8 "				
		Jagunnadapoor Gopa. laswamy	21 14 "	1 13 2	21 14 "	" " "	21 14 "	21 14 "	21 14 "				
		Daudetolah Eeswara. swamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "				
			983 8 "	53 15 4	647 8 "	336 " "	983 8 "	983 8 "	983 8 "				
	Mosque.	Yoonmah Mazjed	309 12 "	25 12 11	309 11 "	" " "	309 11 "	309 11 "	309 11 "				
		Mosque in the Fort	154 14 "	12 14 6	154 14 "	" " "	154 14 "	154 14 "	154 14 "				
		Shumshaddeen's Durgah on the left Bank of the River	38 11 7	3 3 8	38 12 "	" " "	38 12 "	38 12 "	38 12 "				
		Mosque at Singava. ram	2 3 5	2 11	2 3 "	" " "	2 3 "	2 3 "	2 3 "				
		Yaseen Saib Tuckeyah	38 11 7	3 3 7	38 11 "	" " "	38 11 "	38 11 "	38 11 "				
		Shaw Yaseen Durgah.	27 1 "	2 4 1	27 1 "	" " "	27 1 "	27 1 "	27 1 "				
			571 5 7	47 9 8	571 4 "	" " "	571 4 "	571 4 "	571 4 "				
			1554 13 7	101 9 "	1218 12 "	336 " "	1554 12 "	1554 12 "	1554 12 "				

10316

Fort St. George

10th August 1846

Talooks. Stores.

Annam Lopes Pagoda.

Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursements by account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction. Monthly Amount.	Annual Amount.	Annual amount Disbursed for Car procession	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase	Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8 Increase	Decrease
Total										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Anantapoor Veeroopatcha Swamy	151 10 8	2 14 8	35 " "	116 10 8	151 10 8	151 10 8	151 10 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Kasavaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Anjanayaswamy	10 8 "	" 11 "	10 8 "	" " "	10 8 4	10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Pettah Anjanayaswamy	42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Boo Karoya samoodra Konda Mudee Royaswamy	210 " "	5 13 4	70 " "	140 " "	210 " "	210 " "	210 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Coodaree Singyanaswa: swamy	175 " "	5 13 4	70 " "	105 " "	175 " "	175 " "	175 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Nadeima doddee Chinna Kasavaswamy	56 " "	4 10 8	56 " "	" " "	56 " "	56 " "	56 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Pinjanoor Ahobala Swamy	61 13 4	1 7 4	17 8 "	44 5 4	61 13 4	61 13 4	61 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Tandemurry Chenna Kasawaswamy	161 " "	9 " 8	108 8 "	52 8 "	161 " "	161 " "	161 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Kanpala Chinna Kasa: swamy	92 2 8	5 4 "	63 " "	29 2 8	92 2 8	92 2 8	92 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Toompery Anjanaya: Swamy	58 10 "	4 14 2	58 10 "	" " "	58 10 "	58 10 "	58 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Singannala Gol: condarayswamy	80 8 "	3 12 8	45 8 "	35 " "	80 8 "	80 8 "	80 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ramaswamy	130 1 4	5 4 "	63 " "	67 1 4	130 1 4	130 1 4	130 1 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

10317

For Fort St. George

10th August 1846.

Talooks. Stations.	Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursements as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction. Monthly Amount.	Annual Amount.	Annual Amount Disbursed for Car processions	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per Account rendered to the Civil auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Decrease
	Total										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Annotations (on Pagoda)	Eraswaraswamy	17 8	1 7 4	17 8	" "	"	17 8	17 8	17 8	"	"	"	"
	Sodanapully Anjana-yaswamy	14 14	1 3 10	14 14	" "	"	14 14	14 14	14 14	"	"	"	"
	Ranchapully Eswara-swamy	71 2 8	3 8	42	29 2 8	"	71 2 8	71 2 8	71 2 8	"	"	"	"
	Dumpatla Chinna-Rasaraswamy	58 8	2 6 6	28 4	29 2 8	"	58 8	58 8	58 8	"	"	"	"
	Chegoollaroovoo Vanoo-gopalaswamy	29 2 8	" "	" "	29 2 8	"	29 2 8	29 2 8	29 2 8	"	"	"	"
		1,43 7 10	63 5 10	760 6	6 7 4	"	1,43 7 10	1,43 7 10	1,43 7 10	"	"	"	"
Mosque	Hyoonmah Mahazeed at Tandeewary	7 7 6 11	6 7 8	7 7 7	" "	"	7 7 7	7 7 7	7 7 7	"	"	"	"
	Peer Ally shaw Tuckyah	25 6	2 1 9	25 6	" "	"	25 6	25 6	25 6	"	"	"	"
	Shareefshaw Ackunshaw Ibrahimshaw and Yaseenshaw Tuckyahs 4 in Number	7 7 6 11	6 7 8	7 7 4	" "	"	7 7 7	7 7 7	7 7 7	"	"	"	"
		180 3 10	15 4	180 4	" "	"	180 4	180 4	180 4	"	"	"	"
		1,617 13 10	78 6 2	940 10	6 7 4	"	1,617 14	1,617 14	1,617 14	"	"	"	"

10378

Fort St. George

10th August 1846.

Talooks. Items	Names of Pagodas &c.a	Annual Disbursements as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction. Monthly amount.	Requiring Sanction. annual amount	Requiring Sanction. annual amount Disbursed for cus. procession.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Comparison between Col.s 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Col.s 6 and 7. Decrease	Comparison between Col.s 6 and 8. Increase	Comparison between Col.s 6 and 8. Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Pamgondah Ramaswamy	312 1 4	26 " 1	312 1 "	" " "	312 1 "	310 11 4	312 1 "	" " "	1 6 8	" " "	" " "
	Ghanagery Somaswarswamy	35 9 4	2 15 6	35 10 "	" " "	35 10 "	35 10 "	35 10 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Pett Anjanayaswamy	7 " "	" 9 4	7 " "	" " "	7 " "	7 " "	7 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Venauyaka	3 8 "	" 4 8	3 8 "	" " "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Durvanjeh Anjanayaswamy	3 8 "	" 4 8	3 8 "	" " "	3 8 "	3 8 "	3 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Postapurty Gopalaswamy	42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Roddom Roddraspanthum	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Aat Koor Sungamaswarswa-my	35 " "	2 4 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 4 "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Mylasamoodrum Anjanayaswamy	10 8 "	" 14 "	10 8 "	" " "	10 8 "	10 8 "	10 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Boo Kapatnum Venkat-ramaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 5	17 6 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		484 2 8	40 5 7	484 3 "	" " "	484 3 "	482 13 4	484 3 "	" " "	1 5 8	" " "	" " "
Mostyes	Pamdoorty Nizam-shaw Tuckeyah	29 1 7	2 6 9	29 1 "	" " "	29 1 "	29 1 "	29 1 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		513 3 3	42 12 4	513 4 "	" " "	513 4 "	511 14 4	513 4 "	" " "	1 5 8	" " "	" " "

0319

For Ft St George

10th August 1840.

Book Items	Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction. Monthly Amount.	Annual Amount.	Annual amount Disbursed for each occasion.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor.	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor.	Comparison between Col. 6 and 7. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 and 8. Decrease.	Increase	Decrease.
	Total										
		1.	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kolavendal Pagoda	Suntabedanoor Anjana-yasuamy	420 - -	26 4 -	315 - -	105 - -	420 - -	420 - -	420 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Satyavairaswamy Mutt	140 - -	11 10 8	140 - -	- - -	140 - -	140 - -	140 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Hindoo por Venkatra-manaswamy	29 12 -	2 7 8	29 12 -	- - -	29 12 -	29 12 -	29 12 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Anjanayaswamy (Seeba)	26 4 -	2 3 -	26 4 -	- - -	26 4 -	26 4 -	26 4 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Eswaraswamy in the Fort	24 8 -	2 - 8	24 8 -	- - -	24 8 -	24 8 -	24 8 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Goodatha Venkatrama-naswamy	14 - -	1 2 8	14 - -	- - -	14 - -	14 - -	14 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Nady Anjanayaswamy	14 - -	1 2 8	14 - -	- - -	14 - -	14 - -	14 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Basaweswara Swamy	15 5 8	1 4 6	15 5 -	- - -	15 5 -	15 5 -	15 5 -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Nundeeswaraswamy	14 - -	1 2 8	14 - -	- - -	14 - -	14 - -	14 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Bodeconda Gopalaswamy	35 - -	2 14 8	35 - -	- - -	35 - -	35 - -	35 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Anjanayaswamy	35 - -	2 14 8	35 - -	- - -	35 - -	35 - -	35 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -
	Eeswaraswamy	35 - -	2 14 8	35 - -	- - -	35 - -	35 - -	35 - -	- - -	- - -	- - -

10320

Fort St. George

10th August 1846.

Taluk Stations.

Names of Pagodas &c.

Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838

Requiring Sanction.

Monthly amount.

Annual amount.

Annual amount Disbursed for Car procession

Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor.

Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor.

Comparison between Col.ns 6 and 7.

Increase.

Decrease.

Comparison between Col.ns 6 & 8.

Increase.

Decrease.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Chelamatoor Kanavee Narsemhaswamy	175 " "	8 12	105 " "	70 " "	175 " "	175 " "	175 " "	"	"	"	"
	Di Anjanayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	"	"	"	"
	Di Easwaraswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	35 " "	"	"	"	"
	Choultoor Anjanayaswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	35 " "	"	"	"	"
	Goodehully Venkata Easwaraswamy	52 8 "	4 6 "	52 8 "	" " "	52 8 "	52 8 "	52 8 "	"	"	"	"
Kodambakkam (Conjeeveram) Pagoda (Contd.)	Boodalee Easwaraswamy	23 5 6	1 15 1	23 5 "	" " "	23 5 "	23 5 6	23 5 6	"	" 6	"	"
	Anjanayaswamy	11 10 6	" 15 7	11 11 "	" " "	11 11 "	11 10 6	11 10 6	"	" 6	"	" 6
	Ramachava Parpanaatry	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	35 " "	"	"	"	"
	Sasana Cotta Anjanayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	"	"	"	"
	Lapantcha Ramaswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	35 " "	"	"	"	"
	Amagoondapollem Venkatramanaswamy	28 14 "	2 6 6	28 14 "	" " "	28 14 "	28 14 "	28 14 "	"	"	"	"
		1,269 3 3	91 2 11	1094 3 "	175 " "	1269 3 "	1269 3 "	1269 3 "	"	" 6	"	" 6

Fort St. George

10th August 1846

Talooks Taxes.

Mudugserah Pagoda.

Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursements as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction — Monthly amount	Annual amount	Annual Amount Disbursed for Car: procession	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7. Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8. Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8. Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Madugserah Venktra-manaswamy	172 1 4	5 13 4	70 " "	102 1 4	172 1 4	172 1 4	172 1 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Prasunna Mookya Pra-naswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Pett Anjanayaswamy	17 8 4	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Vehery Anjanayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Chutter Vanoogopalaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Chenduck Cherla Anjan-mayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Rutnagery Anjanayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Soobarayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Ugalee Seu Karaswamy	26 4 "	2 8 "	26 4 "	" " "	26 4 "	26 4 "	26 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Payalbenda Rungaswamy	26 4 "	2 8 "	26 4 "	" " "	26 4 "	26 4 "	26 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Rolla Anjanayaswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Hanumvaty Siddhas-waraswamy	43 12 "	3 10 4	43 12 "	" " "	43 12 "	43 12 "	43 12 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	408 5 4	25 8 4	306 4 "	102 1 4	408 5 4	408 5 4	408 5 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Mosque at Mudugserah.	52 8 "	4 6 "	52 8 "	" " "	52 8 "	52 8 "	52 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	460 13 4	29 14 4	358 12 "	102 1 4	460 13 4	460 13 4	460 13 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Mosque.

1846.

10322.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Taluks. Name.

Mootapilly Pagodas.

Dhanamanerum Pagoda.

Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838.	Requiring Sanction. Monthly amount.	Annual amount	Annual amount Disbursed for ceremonies	Total	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8 Decrease
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Dheirmaver Kasavaswamy	157 8	5 18 4	70	87 8	157 8	157 8	157 8				
Chandra Moulaswariaswamy	10 8	14	10 8		10 8	10 8	10 8				
Siddhaswaraswamy	10 8	14	10 8		10 8	10 8	10 8				
Culyanadroog Puttabhe Ramaswamy	88 10 8	3 8	42	46 10	88 10 8	88 10 8	88 10 8				
Anjanayaswamy	17 8	1 7 4	17 8		17 8	17 8	17 8				
Koonatoor Chenna Kasavaswamy	17 8	1 7 4	17 8		17 8	17 8	17 8				
Gottoor Anjanaya swamy	58 5 4	4 13 8	58 14		58 4	58 4	58 4				
Apperachervoosun: ganasevenswamy	37 14 8	3 2 7	37 15		37 15	37 14 8	37 14 8				
Rauvoolacharoovoo: Codundaramaswamy	13 2	1 1 6	13 2		13 2	13 2	13 2				
Nagasamoodrum Rungandahaswamy	17 8	1 7 4	17 8		17 8	17 8	17 8				
Kasavaswamy	17 8	1 7 4	17 8		17 8	17 8	17 8				

10323

Fort St. George

1th August 1846.

Names of Pagodas &c.a	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Requiring Sanction			Amount Disbursed in Fasly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fasly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7		Comparison between 6 & 8	
		Monthly Amount	Annual amount	Annual amount Disbursed for car procession			Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Kontamuddy Rum= =gaswamy	27 11 4	2 4 11	27 11 "	" " "	27 11 "	27 11 "	" " 4	" " "	" " 4	" " "
Koondoorpy Audekasaraswamy	28 " "	2 3 4	28 " "	" " "	28 " "	28 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Do Eswaraswamy	21 " "	1 12 "	21 " "	" " "	21 " "	21 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Do Kotta Casaraswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Do Narasimmaswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Kambadoor Mullekajoona swa= =my	42 " "	3 8 "	42 " "	" " "	42 " "	42 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Do Audekasaraswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Parsoor Anjanayaswamy	35 " "	2 14 8	35 " "	" " "	35 " "	35 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Timmapoor Narsimha= =swamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Vittalumpully Konda= =kinderoyswamy	17 8 "	1 7 4	17 8 "	" " "	17 8 "	17 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	740 4 "	50 8 "	606 " "	134 28	740 2 8	740 2 8	" " 4	" " 4	" " 4	" " 4
	18,629 4 9	1,295 10 3	15,547 11 "	3,081 38	18,628 14 8	18,627 10 9	18,627 " 6	" 45	1 8 4	" 45	" 27

1st Decade 1 3 11 " " 1 10 " " "

Net Increase

10324

Fort St. Geor[illegible] 4th August 1846.

Talooks	Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Requiring Sanction			Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fusly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7.		Comparison between Col. 6 & 8.	
			Monthly Amount	Annual amount	Annual Amount Disbursed for Car procession			Increase	Decrease	Increase	Decrease
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
	Pagoda and Mosque Allowances.												
Bellary	Cusba Bettary Lutchmee Narainaswamy in Bruce pette	157 8 ~	13 2 ~	157 8 ~	" " "	157 8 ~	157 8 ~	157 8 ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Veerabhuddraswamy	7 ~ ~	~ 9 4	7 ~ ~	" " "	7 ~ ~	7 ~ ~	7 ~ ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Basavaswaraswamy	10 8 ~	~ 14 ~	10 8 ~	" " "	10 8 ~	10 8 ~	10 8 ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Ganasha	168 ~ ~	14 ~ ~	168 ~ ~	" " "	168 ~ ~	168 ~ ~	168 ~ ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
		343 ~ ~	28 9 4	343 ~ ~	" " "	343 ~ ~	343 ~ ~	343 ~ ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Anantapore	Mosque at Anantapore	14 ~ ~	1 2 8	14 ~ ~	" " "	14 ~ ~	14 ~ ~	14 ~ ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
Bangalore	Nagalore Kasavaswamy	42 ~ ~	3 8 ~	42 ~ ~	" " "	42 ~ ~	42 ~ ~	42 ~ ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	399 ~ ~	33 4 ~	399 ~ ~	" " "	399 ~ ~	399 ~ ~	399 ~ ~	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Grand Total	19,028 4 9	1,328 14 3	15,940 11 ~	3,081 3 8	19,027 14 8	19,026 10 9	19,028 ~ 6	~ 4 5	1 8 4 ~	4 5 ~	2 7	
								Net Decrease		Net Increase			
								1 3 11 ~		1 10 ~		5 ~	

10325

Fort St. George

Falconkeen's Abstract of the Pagoda &c Kaipi in Lieu of Remitted Land Assessment & Rent-free Allowances.

Names of Pagodas &c.	Annual Disbursement as per account transmitted to the Board of Revenue on the 31st October 1838	Monthly amount	Annual amount	Annual amount Disbursed for car procession
1	2	3	4	5
Bellary	563 8 ~	46 15 4	563 8 ~	~ ~ ~
Kumpelee	252 ~ ~	21 ~ ~	252 ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Hurponhully	350 ~ ~	15 9 3	186 15 ~	163 ~ 9
Coodleghee	825 6 ~	19 8 8	234 8 ~	590 13 ~
Hoovenhadgalee	84 ~ ~	7 ~ ~	84 ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Adonie	4,432 10 6	335 8 10	4,026 10 ~	406 ~ ~
Goollem	175 ~ ~	14 9 4	175 ~ ~	~ ~ ~
Runchapollem	439 4 ~	29 ~ 4	348 4 ~	91 ~ ~
Gooty	1,445 14 4	108 13 2	1,305 14 ~	140 ~ ~
Yadakee	234 8 ~	19 8 8	234 8 ~	~ ~ ~
Paidroog	3,670 14 8	283 11 11	3,404 15 ~	265 14 ~
Pantepultry	1,554 13 7	101 9 ~	1,218 12 ~	336 ~ ~
Anantapoor	1,617 13 10	78 6 2	940 10 ~	677 4 ~
Ryaundah	513 3 3	42 12 4	513 4 ~	~ ~ ~
Codecondah	1269 3 3	91 2 11	1094 3 ~	175 ~ ~
Madugeerah	460 13 4	29 14 4	358 12 ~	102 1 4
Shirnavarum	740 4 ~	50 8 ~	606 ~ ~	134 2 8
	18,629 4 9	1295 10 3	15,547 11 ~	3,081 3 7

Bellary Collectors Office 11th July 1846.

10th August 1846.

Total	Amount Disbursed in Fasly 1252 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Amount Disbursed in Fasly 1253 as per account rendered to the Civil Auditor	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 7 Decrease	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8 Increase	Comparison between Col. 6 & 8 Decrease
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
563 8 ~	563 8 ~	563 8 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
252 ~ ~	252 ~ ~	252 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
349 15 8	349 15 8	349 15 8	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
825 5 ~	825 6 ~	825 6 ~	~ ~ 1	6 ~ ~	6 ~ 1	6 ~ ~
84 ~ ~	84 ~ ~	84 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
4432 10 ~	4432 10 ~	4432 10 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
175 ~ ~	175 ~ ~	175 ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
439 4 ~	439 4 ~	439 4 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
1445 14 ~	1445 14 ~	1445 14 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
234 8 ~	234 8 ~	234 8 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
3670 13 ~	3670 13 9	3670 13 9	~ 2 1	~ 1 4	~ 2 1	~ 1 4
1554 12 ~	1554 12 ~	1554 12 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
1617 14 ~	1617 14 ~	1617 14 ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
513 4 ~	511 14 4	513 4 ~	~ ~ ~	1 5 8	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
1269 3 ~	1269 3 ~	1269 3 ~	~ ~ 6	~ ~ 6	~ ~ 6	~ ~ 6
460 13 4	460 13 4	460 13 4	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~	~ ~ ~
740 2 8	740 2 8	740 2 8	~ ~ 4	~ ~ 4	~ ~ 4	~ ~ 4
18,628 14 8	18,627 19 9	18,629 ~ 6	~ 4 5	1 8 4	~ 4 5	~ 2 7

E. E. Jr. / Signed A. Mellor

Collector

Resolved

1032[illegible] Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Resolution thereon.-1 Resolved that the foregoing
(28.29) letter be submitted for the orders of the
Most Noble the Governor in Council

2. The Board observe that
the details of the amount stated to be
annually payable on account of Pagoda
and Mosque allowances Viz.th Rupees 19,028-6
as entered in Column 2 of the Statement
submitted by Mr. Meller, corresponds
with the sum entered in the List furnished
by the late Principal Collector Mr.
Robertson under date 31st October 1838,
a copy of which was submitted to Govern
=ment on the 20th December of that Year.-

3 Under the explanation given in
Para 3 the Board recommend that
sanction be granted for the actual
charges incurred on this account
in Fusles $\frac{1252}{1842/43}$ and $\frac{1253}{1843/44}$ amounting in
the aggregate to Rupees
38,054-11-3 and for the
continuance in future
of the allowances amount
=ing to Rupees 19,027-14
8. as entered in Column 6 of the
Statement submitted by the
Collector.-

Fusly 1252 — 19,026-10-9
" — 1253 — 19,028-" -6
38,054-11-3

Read

1032[illegible] Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Read the following letter.

To J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(30)

From the Acting Collector of Cuddapah.....

Sir,

In conformity with the in=
structions contained in your letter of the 20th October
1845, and in Extract from the Proceedings of the Board
of Revenue dated 5th February 1846, I have the honor
to forward for approval the accompanying draft
of an appeal Petition to the Sudr Adalut together
with Copies of all Proceedings which have passed
between the Civil Judge and myself on the subject
of certain Bhatavrittee Enams which had been
attached and sequestered in satisfaction of a Decree
in a Suit before a District Moonsiff contrary to the provi=
=sions of Section III. Regulation IV of 1831 as amended by
Act XXIII of 1838 the Civil Judge having declined
to accede to my application.

Cuddapah District
Collector's Circuit Cutcherry
27th June 1846.

[Signed] J. H. Cockrane
Acting Collector

A.

To H. D. Phillips Esqre
Register of the Court of
Sudr Adalut
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward Copies

of

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

of Proceedings that have passed between the Civil Judge and myself on the subject of certain Bhatavrittee Enams having been sequestered in satisfaction of a Decree in a suit before two of the District Moonsiffs and to make this appeal against the order of the Civil Court in which the Civil Judge has declined to accede to my application requesting that the said lands may be released from sequestration, the same being assignments of Land revenue for charitable allowances and among the descriptions of Grants specified in Regulation IV of 1831 extended by Act XXXI of 1836 and consequently under Section III as amended by Act XXIII of 1838 not liable to attachment in satisfaction of any decree or order of the Court.

2. The point which appears to me to involve a decision is, whether Bhatavrittee Enams from their Origin and for the purposes for which they were bestowed are or are not of the nature of Charitable allowances. In exposition of the grounds on which I have arrived at my opinion in affirmation I beg to offer a few

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

observations. The derivation of the word "Bhatavrittee" implying livelihood or means of subsistence to Bramins appears in itself sufficient in elucidation of the point at issue, for there is every reason to suppose that from the interpretation or meaning of the term was to be inferred, the nature and origin of these Enams and the purposes for which they were bestowed. The term Bhatavrittee as stated by the Civil Judge is derived from two Telooga words implying maintenance for Bramins and (x View Bhattoo & Bramins & Vrittee livelihood or maintenance)

it remains for the higher authorities to decide whether allotments of land thus granted to Bramins free of rent for their livelihood are or are not to be considered Charitable allowances, in the same manner as money granted to other classes under the Head of Yeomiah which term means daily food. The terms "writtee" and yeomiah seem synonymous and the only difference between Bhatavrittee and Yeomiah allowances appears to me to consist in the one being granted in land and the other in money, both being equally of an eleemosynary nature, the grantees obtaining their livelihood

livelihood by living on charity or the bounty of Government.

5 The Civil Judge acknowledges that "Khyratee Enams as the name distinctly implies "that they are charitable grants, have always been "considered and treated as such by the local "Courts" Both these descriptions of Enam were granted and the terms by which they were designated given by the former Government there can be no question that they are both similar grants (and I may here state that there seems to be the same universal opinion among all the Revenue Native Servants of experience in my Establishment) the one being designated by a Persian word and granted to Mahomedans and Hindoos other than Bramins, the other derived from Telugoo and granted to Bramins alone and not to all Classes as imagined by the Civil Judge and I opine that whether granted to Mahomedans and Hindoos under one term or to Bramins under another the object was the same Viz^t Charity Under any circumstances it cannot be argued that Bhatavrittee Enams were not granted as charitable allowances because the term itself does not strictly imply charity as in the case of Khyratee but maintenance and support for Brahmins

4 The opinions of the Head Sheristadar and and other old experienced Revenue Native Servants were taken at this point when I had occasion to address the Board of Revenue on the subject some short time ago which are herewith submitted and in corroboration of what is set forth I beg to shew in detail the manner in which the Enams belonging to the District were classified in the Revenue Accounts prepared by Colonel Munro at the time the Survey of the District was made on its being ceded to our Government. In the 16th Para of a Hookumnamah issued by Colonel Munro Enams were classified under two Heads.

1 Davadayem or "allowances (in money and in Land) granted for religious purposes"

2 Durmadayem or "charitable allowance" Under the latter classification were included both Bhatavrittee and Khyratee Enams as follows-

1 Bhatavrittee granted to Brahmins"

2 Khyratee granted to the following Classes of Hindoos Viz^t

Bhutrauze

Bhagavatoolu

Dommaravandlu

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Dommaravandloo

Jogooloo

Jungaloo

Lalanooloo

Veeramoostooloo

and granted to the following classes of Mussulmen.

Fakeers.

Aushurkhana or Durgah

5 The Mootsuddy or Revenue Servant deputed to Survey the Enam lands after a strict enquiry into the nature of all descriptions of Enam accordingly entered those that were conferred to Brahmins as charity under the Head Bhatavrittee and those granted to other Hindoos and Mussulmen as Khyratee and in the Memorandum of the Accounts transmitted to the Board of Revenue these two Classes of Enams Viz. Bhatavrittee and Khyratee are entered under the General Head of "Dharmadayem" the interpretation of which word is strictly and literally "a charitable allowance".

I am perfectly at a loss to conjecture on what grounds the Civil Judge has asserted at

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

asserted that Bhatavrittee Enams have been for a period of nearly ten years since the passing of Act XXXI of 1836 as previously considered to be grants of a general nature not falling within the classes of Section II Regulation IX of 1831 and therefore within the Jurisdiction of the Courts. In no one instance have these numerous grants amounting to Rupees 4,84,563-13- been originally conferred to others than Brahmins though no doubt owing to the local Courts having hitherto taken cognizance of claims to succession to or participation in such grants on deeds of Gift sale or by adoption and to their having caused transfers to be made to all classes by attachment in satisfaction of Decrees for debts &c. these Enams (none of which have consequently reverted to Government on the occurrence of lapses) are now held by Parties of all classes, but in respect to their being grants of a general nature, when the great variety of Enams, exclusive of Service and Religious as there is in this District, each specially designated according to the purpose for which they were granted (as shewn by the Enclosures

No 2

Nos. 4 and 5 that accompany is considered, it would be difficult to specify and define what these grants are, which are to be considered of a general nature and why they were not entered under the head of one or the other of these various Classes at the time of the Survey, instead of under that of Bhatavrittee, the Enams under which latter head have been in no cases granted to others than Brahmins for their support.

7- Though the Native Government were certainly very fanciful and capricious in the grants they conferred as to the purposes for which they were bestowed (as will appear from the Enclosures Nos. 4 and 5 which accompany which shew the meaning of each term and the purpose for which each Enam so denominated or was granted) still none were made without a special designation or classification, or without a particular purpose and the Civil Judge appears certainly to have fallen into an error in supposing that "any such granted for no particular purpose without a "special designation under which they may be "classified

"classified were entered at the time of Survey under "the general head of Bhatavrittee without "enquiring into the motives on which these "grants originated" The Records shew that Colonel Munro issued three separate Circular Orders directing enquiries to be instituted on the subject of these Enams one dated 21st July Fusly 1214. / 1804 to the Tahsildar another dated 21st May in the same year to the Moostuddies and a third after the enquiry had been made directing the resumption of some of the Enams. A strict and scrutinizing enquiry was I am informed, instituted and all Enams were at the time entered under the separate Heads to which they belonged, all Enamdars holding lands on Anna Shrotriem and fixed Jody tenures and Surva Enams were examined, most of them produced Sunnuds, deeds of Gift &c. on plain paper & some engraved on Copper In Cases of Enamdars holding Enams without Sunnuds the old accounts kept by the Mayana Bahadur, Sultan and Mogul Governments were carefully examined and the enjoyment of several Grantees of Shrotriem Villages and Bhatavrittee and Khyrater Enams were not interfered with if their validity

of

of Title was supported by these accounts. in which the class of each Enam, Bhatavritte Khyratee &c was exhibited.—

8 In several instances also in which Enams so were resumed by the late Government consequent on the Grantees having lost their Summuds, or having none to produce. they were put in possession of them again and fresh Summuds were issued to them if their claims and validity of title to the same were established by the accounts. Independent of this, in respect to these Enam lands of small extent for which no Summuds were forthcoming, but which had descended hereditarily, to those the names of whose ancestors were entered in the former accounts, as well as for such Enams for which Summuds were produced, these grants were all continued to the parties. The Enamaty accounts underwent a careful revision and preparation at the time, the purpose for which they were bestowed enquired into and ascertained, and classified according to their designation, and these accounts clearly exhibit the respective classes to which all Enams appertain.

9 I must here also observe with reference to the concluding part of Para 2 of the above Extract

Extract from the Court's Proceedings, that there is no "abstract Statement in the Records of my "Office shewing the total amount of Enams "in the District under the different classifica- "tions in which Bhatavrittee Enams are stated "to have been granted for various purposes" &c. Such a Statement would be in direct variance to the details of Enamaty accounts so minute- ly Kept as above shewn.—

10 Bhatavrittee, Khyratee, and indeed several other descriptions of Enam enumerated in the abovementioned Lists, and which were granted by the Native Government must therefore from their origin and for the purposes for which they were bestowed be considered to fall under Regulation IV of 1831, &c being grants of Land Revenue "under various denominations" of one of the descriptions specified in Section II, the Regulations having by act XXXI of 1836, been extended to all similar grants therein specified which have been conferred by the Native Govern- ment, and confirmed and continued by the British

11 Regulation IV of 1831 as extended by act

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Act XXXI of 1836 may perhaps be some-
what defective, as there are some descriptions
of Enams (such as those specified in
Enclosure No. 5) regarding which doubts
are entertained as to their falling under
that Regulation and it would be desira-
ble that there should be a further
Enactment regarding them, but in respect
to Bhatavrittee, Khyratee and other
Enams specified in Enclosure No. 4 the
Regulation appears to me clearly defined
these Enams being similar to some one
of the Classes specified in the Regulation.

12 With reference to the Civil Judge's Re-
marks contained in Para 5 of the Extract
from the Proceedings of the Court, I have
only to submit that "Jeomiahs and Charita-
ble allowances" may have been only intended
to apply to grants of money conferred in charity
by the British Government and it may not
have been the practice to confer grants
of lands as a charitable allowance
still as the Regulation embraces

Grants

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

"Grants of Land of Revenue of whatever
denominations conferred as a "Charita-
ble allowance" nature grants so conferred
must I imagine by the Act fall, under the
Regulation extended by the Act

13 There are very few original Summuds
forthcoming in support of these lands thus
held rent free under the head Bhatavrittee
and from all I can learn from persons most
competant to give me information on the
subject none of such as are forthcoming
would throw any light as to their having
been granted for other purposes than for
charity; on the contrary I am told it will
be found that it has been particularly
specified in some of them that the Enams
to which they allude have been conferred as
charity, and that the Grantee is to enjoy
the same and pray for the prosperity of
the State.

14 The point under discussion is no
doubt one of importance as regards the
Interest of Government as in the event of this
description of Enam being considered to
fall under Regulation IX of 1831 the

local

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

(Ordinary Local) District Moonsiffs Courts will be precluded from causing transfer to be made to the sequestration of such lands or their sale of all parties by sequestration in satisfaction of Decrees in other Suits or from acknowledging the validity of a deed of Gift or sale or mortgage of such Enams executed by Parties, a practice which it is needless to say, has been the cause of many of these Enams having lapsed to Government in default of heirs, a practice otherwise very objectionable in itself as in the event of an Enam lapsing, and there being no heirs to the deceased grantee, and of his not having previous to his demise executed any Document by which the Enam might revert to another Party - there will always be found parties to claim the same on forged Documents.

15. It therefore appears to me very desirable that the opening by which individuals might acquire real free lands should be closed and they should turn their ambition to other matters for I must say I am very much inclined to doubt whether the Grantees of benefit in the least by the practice being allowed -

Omit

Now

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

16 In regard however to claims of parties to succeed to or participate in such Grants the Courts will only be prohibited from taking cognizance of such "unless the Plaint is accompanied by an order signed by the Chief or other Secretary to Government, the power to decide on such of being reserved exclusively to the Governor in Council after due investigation by such person and in such manner as he may deem fit" It will remain with the Government to decide whether it was ever intended that these Enams should be thus made transferable at the will and pleasure of Parties whether they are to be considered hereditary or not and whether the decision on the point at issue is to effect present Incumbents to whom these Enams have reverted in such various ways.

17 The Board of Revenue in their letter dated 26th May 1845 having expressed their opinion that Bhatavrittee Enams being assignment of land Revenue for charitable allowances are clearly among the descriptions of Grants specified in Regulation IV of 1831 extended by act XXXI of 1836 and that the power to decide on claims to succession to, or participation in such Grants is specially reserved to Government, have directed me on

Omit

claimants

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

claimants having recourse to the courts to move the Court to dismiss the application as relating to a question which does not under the Regulations fall within their cognizance. Itmust what have been advanced will clearly demonstrate the correctness of the views taken by the Board of Revenue on the subject and that notwithstanding the practice that has hitherto prevailed of the Courts taking cognizance of claims of parties to these Grants and of attaching the same in satisfaction of decrees, it may be discontinued as one being clearly opposed to the Regulations and detrimental to the Interest of Government, by the Court of Sudr Udalut issuing the necessary orders to the Civil Judge on the subject of this appeal which I have the honor to make in conformity with the instructions I have received from the Board of Revenue.-

In continuation of my letter dated 10th instant to make report to the Hon'ble Court of Sudr Udalut that the order of the Chatawuttee Enam No 753 of the Gidalore Village of the Cumbum Talook held under orders of the Cumbum District Moonsiff in Suit No 28 of 1846 of his file be cancelled and that the attachment on the part of the Cumbum District Moonsiff of Bhutwattee Enam No 127 in the Village of made in Suit be withdrawn.

Cuddapah District,
Coll[r]s Circuit Cutcherry
at Royachoty -
27th June 1846 -

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

To

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

No 1.

To,

W. A. D. Inglis Esqr

Civil Judge of

Cuddapah

Sir,

Rev. Depart. Para 1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of your Court under date 7th Instant having reference to a motion made by the Government Vakeel in behalf of the Sub Collector in charge to suspends the attachment, as being contrary to the Orders of Government and the Board of Revenue on of certain Bhutwartee Enam

land

10344

Acres ℔ As
3—2—3

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

land N.o 153 Assessed at 0 0
C. Pag.a 4 2 15¼ in Giddalore in
the Cumbum Talook belonging
to the Widow of C. Venkatramanadu
lately deceased, the same having been
attached in execution of a Decree in
Suit N.o 213 of 1840 on the File of
the District Moonsiff's Court of
brought against the Defendant,
since deceased, by Plaintiff Anoomo
Rungapah, and to the former Extract
from the Proceedings of your Court
dated 19th March last in Reply
thereto furnished thro the Vakeel informing me
that

10345

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

that orders had been issued to the Moonsiff
to release the attachment of the Enam in
question until further orders.

From the Proceedings under
2
acknowledgement it appears that the Enam
referred to having been sold for Rupees
15—0—0 before the above order from your
Court reached the Moonsiff who has kept
the amount in deposit representing
the circumstance to you, you have now
directed that the sale should not be confirm-
ed until the Revenue Authorities shall have
urged their reasons, if they have any for
objecting to the sale being carried into
effect, when you would give the subject your
best consideration.

I must here beg to observe that
3
in the former proceedings above quoted the
request having been complied with without
fresh reasons being required for my opposing
the attachment by the Courts of this descrip-
tion of grant specified in Regulation IV
of 1831 extended by Act XXXI of 1836, I was
induced to suppose that all doubts as
regards the power to decide on claims
to succession to, or participation in such
grants being specially reserved to
Government

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Government as well as to the local Courts being prohibited to cause transfers to be made of this class of Enams in satisfaction of decrees in other Suits were at an end. As however you now require me to assign my reasons for opposing the sale of the Enam, I have the honor to refer you to the Correspondence noted in the Margin Copies of which have already been forwarded and other Copies of letters on the subject which accompany and particularly to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 20th September 1845 shewing that Government have decided on the merits of one Case having reference to claims to Bhistwartee Enam when asked by the Board of Revenue before what authority the parties should contest their claims, and to Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 5th February 1846 as being on the point now at issue.

4. The Court having been already moved by the Revenue Authorities remonstrating against the attachment of the land under the process of the District Moonsiffs

Copies of letter from the Board of Revenue dated 22d May 1845 with Copy of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 26th April 1845.
Do. From Board of Revenue dated 26th May 1845 Do. from Do. 30th June 1845.
Extract from Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 6th October 1845 forwarding Copies of correspondence from Do. & Government
Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 5th February 1846.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Moonsiffs Court, and the lands having since been sold I must now beg to remonstrate against the Proceeding as being opposed to Section III Regulation IX of 1831 and to request that the District Moonsiff be ordered to cancel the sale restoring the amount realized thereon to the purchaser and the Enam lands released from attachment to the present proprietrix

5. I beg to state that your issuing instructions to your subordinate local Officers to Comply with any requisitions that may come from me in respect to the attachment, sales and transfers of Enams effected by them "until further Orders" seems somewhat unsatisfactory, in as much as unnecessary correspondence is entailed by my making a motion through the Government Vakeel on every case coming before me of claims to these Enams of various descriptions being taken cognizance of, or of transfers being made by the several local Courts.

6. It appears to me that it would be a preferable Course of Proceeding if you in issuing any Order in compliance with any request of mine conveyed

conveyed in a motion made it a final one, if from the description of Enam referred to as falling under Regulation IV of 1831 you see no objection so to do, and issued a Circular order to all District Moonsiffs' directing them not to take cognizance of Claims to, or cause transfers to be made in satisfaction of, Decrees in other Suits of that particular class of Enam.

7. I have therefore the honor to request you will be good enough either to issue final orders in Compliance with the subject of any motion I may make or reject the motion and that any such final order may be backed by a Circular having reference to the particular description of Enam alluded to. A Single case decided in each case of appeal on your rejecting my motion would enable us to ascertain the views of the Court of Sudr Adalut as to the description of Enams referred falling under the Regulation above quoted and consequently as to the power or otherwise of the local Courts to take cognizance of claims to such and afford us information for our future guidance in all similar Cases enabling us to arrive at a Correct understanding on the subject of the late

late orders, which I am sure you will agree with me in thinking so very desirable. I have thought it preferable to make this motion thro' the Vakeel in the present form.

Cuddapah District. Collector's Circuit Cutcherry. Madenpully 23d April 1846. } Signed J. H. Cochrane Acting Collector

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Cuddapah under date the 30th April 1846.

Read Mo: Pn No 139 of 1846 presented by Government Vakeel Soobiramanayem in behalf of the Acting Collector of Cuddapah stating that Chintala Cheroovoo Chinnapoo Reddy Plaintiff in a Suit in the District Moonsiffs Court of Nossum caused a warrant to be issued for attaching the Defendant Indrakunty Soobbrahmanyem Chutwartee Enam land No 27 consisting of Acres 3 10 Coontahs and 7/8 its assessment 2 Pagodas 6 1/4 Fanams in satisfaction of the Decree in said Suit, and that as the Chutwartee Enam fall under the provision of Regulation IV of 1831 it is not Competent to the Court either to order its attachment or sale according to the orders received recently from the Board of Revenue, and therefore he prays the Court would issue an order

order to the District Moonsiff to withdraw
the attachment of the lands alluded to—

2 Read also motion No. 174
of 1846 presented by the Government Vakeel
with a letter from the Acting Collector with
certain enclosures urging objections to the
Validity of the sale of a Bhutwartee
Enam in the Giddaloor Village No. 153
Acres 3—12—3 assessed at 6 Pags. 2. 15¼
by the Cumbum District Moonsiff at the
instance of the Plaintiff in Suit No. 213
of 1840 on his file and requesting that
the said sale may be cancelled— The
Acting Collector further states that as
will be seen by the enclosures submitted
Government have already in one case
decided that Bhutwartee Enams come
under the provisions of Regulation IV
of 1831 and therefore request that a Circular
may be issued to the District Moonsiffs
prohibiting their interference in future
with such Enams and also Complains
that the Court having as he supposes in
one instance with drawn the attachment
of a Bhutwartee Enam at his request he
should be again called on to urge objections
on

on the subject—

3 The Acting Collector appears
to labour under some misapprehension regard-
-ing the orders passed previously by this
Court and also as to the nature of the Order
of Government of the 11th August 1845— This
Court has in no case hitherto Complied with
the request finally to withdraw the attach-
-ment of a Bhutwartee Enam but has merely
ordered the sale to be suspended pending
a final decision on the subject and the late
order of Government referred to appears to
have been merely directing the resumption
of an Enam for which the late holder had
no valid grants— The Civil Judge is not
aware that the authority of Government to
resume Enams when thought proper has
ever been questioned nor does he think—
that is the point now at issue— The—
question now requiring decision and which
as involving the construction of a Regu-
-lation was declared by Government to be
only within the Competency of the Courts to
decide is whether Bhutwartee Enams are
or are not of the nature of the Charitable
grants mentioned in Section II Regulation
IV of 1831—

Objections

Fort St George 10th August 1846.

Estates as falling under Regulation IV of 1831, and this I complained of in my last letter abovementioned, as entailing on me unnecessary Correspondence thro' the Vakeel Moonsiffs still continuing to take Cognizance of claims of Parties to succeed to these Enams and to cause also their attachment to be made in satisfaction of decrees of other Suits, whereas one final order would form a precedent for all Cases having reference to the same description of Enam.

2. As long however as my requests were Complied with temporarily, I had no reason to suppose (particularly as to many motions had been made from time to time) but that you had come to the Conclusion that these Enams were to be considered as grants of land conferred as Charitable allowances &c but on the receipt of Extract from the Proceedings of the Court dated 7th April shewing that you did entertain doubts as to the Correctness of the views of the Board in regard to the nature of these Enams, I had no alternative but to request that the Court would either issue final orders on the subject of my motion or reject the motion as the

Fort St George 10th August 1846.

Course hitherto adopted by the Court was a bar to the point at issue being decided by the higher Authorities precluding me from forwarding as directed by the Board of Revenue an appeal Motion to the Sudr Udalut which I could only do if my motion was rejected.

3. The letter from the Board of Revenue of 30th June 1845 quoted by you I have the honor to observe, does not require me nor is there any other that I am aware of that does to supply the Civil Court with Copies of Pymash Accounts Sunnuds and grants (if forthcoming) of Enams to the Courts taking Cognizance of claims to succeed which, or to their effecting sales of which I objected, but called for information from me on certain points previous to submitting to Government for their disposal under Regulation IV of 1831 a Case of lapsed Bhutwartee Enam, reported on by me in Conformity with the Circular Order from Government dated 28th April 1845 - a reference to these documents was necessary to ascertain these points in deciding a case as to the disposal of the Enam but these documents can in no way tend to throw any light on the point necessary to be decided Viz. whether these Enams are Charitable grants

or

or not In the Pymash & Enamaty accounts the name of the grantee clafs of Enam descrip=tion and Extent of land and its locality are only entered There are no grants or Sunnuds for the Enams at present referred to and these documents would not be forthcoming once in a hundred Cases

4. The Copies of the Pymash Accounts in which the Enams referred to are entered are however forwarded also translations of a few Sunnuds for Bhut=wantee Enams which happen to be in my Office, and which I hope will answer every purpose as it does not appear advisable to cause a Collection of Original Sunnuds throughout the District to be made

5. I fully Concur with the Court that the question regarding decision is whether Butwantee Enams are, or are not of the nature of Charitable grants, but this question it does not appear to me to involve any construction of the Regulations which I am aware the Court of Sudr Udalut are only Com=petent to decide, as in Section II Regulation IX of 1831 grants conferred as Charitable allow=ances are distinctly specified among the

various

various clafses therein enumerated from taking cognizance of claims to which the Courts are prohibited

Cuddapah District
Collectors Circuit Cutcherry
Poonganoor 26th May 1846

Signed J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Cuddapah under date the 2d June 1846

Read letter from the Acting Collector dated 26th May 1846 replying to the obser=vations made in the proceedings of this Court of the 30th April 1846, and stating that the Pymash and Enamatee accounts, Copies of the former of which are sent throw no light on the nature of the grants for the two Enams therein referred to nor are the original Sunnuds therein referred to forthcoming but that he forwards translations on of a few Sunnuds for Bhutwantee Enams which happen to be in the Office

The Civil Judge now proceeds

2

in continuation of this Court's proceedings of the 30th April 1846 to consider the application of the Acting Collector objecting to the Jurisdiction of the Court over the two Enams therein referred to on the grounds that as Bhutwantee Enams they come under the provisions of Section II Regulation

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Regulation IV of 1831 as extended by Act XXXI of 1836. As the said applications stated the disp- thus briefly in Telooloo the Civil Judge anxious to receive a full exposition of the groun- of it shewing in what way and for what reas- sons Bhutwartee Enams were supposed to be included amongst those specified in the Enact- ment above mentioned. The only exposi- furnished however to the Court is contain- in a Copy of a letter from the Secretary to t- Board of Revenue to the Chief Secretary Government under date 14th August 1845 which it is stated that "Bhutwartee Ena being grants of Land Revenue conferred as Charitable allowances have although cases of disputed succession have usually been decided by the Local Courts) been always considered by the Board as falling within the classes specified in Section II Regul- ation IV of 1831. In support of which opinion is quoted the following definition of the term Bhutwartee from a Glossary of Revenue terms.—

Bhutwartee Enam—Enam in lan- given originally for some charitable or religious purpose and enjoyed free of rent or service chiefly of Bramins, such Enams when in the possession

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

possession of Mussulmans are termed "Pyratee"

This definition is certainly incorrect in stating Bhutwartee Enams to be of a religious nature unless the usual injunction to the grantee to pray for the prosperity of the state with which many sunnuds conclude so constitutes them— neither does the term itself imply charity in any way. It is derived from two Telugoo words signifying "maintenance or support of Bramins" and although these grants have been given to persons of all classes the majority belong to Bramins Pyratee Enams as the name— distinctly implies that they are charitable— grants have always been considered and treated as such by the Local Courts. They are small in number and extent and have been mostly granted to Mahomedans but the Civil Judge when in the Revenue Department has himself observed instances of Pyratee Enams granted to Hindoos. He also recollects in the Cutcherry an abstract Statement shewing the total amount of Enams in the District under the different classifications prepared as far back he thinks as 1817 in which Bhutwartee Enams are stated to have been granted for various purposes.

The

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

3. The two Enams to which the applications now under consideration have reference are of small extent. Acres 5-10 and Acres 9. respectively but as any decision regarding them would form a precedent for other Cases it is necessary in disposing of these applications to take also a general view of the nature of Bhutwartee Enams. The original sunnuds in the said two cases are not forthcoming and as neither the Survey nor Enamatee Accounts throw any light on the nature and origin of the Enams therein registered it would seem that the applications now made objecting to the Jurisdiction of the Court rest solely on the Glossary definition which as above shewn is not considered to be correct or of any sufficient Authority - It appears to the Judge that the only available mode of throwing light on the subject would have been the production of a considerable number of such original Sunnuds as exist including particularly some of those of grants of large extent, and ancient date many of which engraved on Copper would probably be found forthcoming while those of

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

of a more recent date on perishable materials have been lost. The Purwannahs for two small Enams in the Goorumcondah Talook sent as a specimen by the Acting Collector are insufficient and not of a nature to throw any clear light on the subject. The original Purwannahs in both cases are of a late date and merely state the lands to be granted in gift and in one Case it is merely a continuation to the party of lands held by him and his Ancestors previously

4. Bhutwartee Enams above stated have always been considered and treated by the Local Courts as of a Charitable nature and not within their Jurisdiction but Bhutwartee Enams have been for a period of nearly ten years since the passing of Act ~~XXXI~~ of 1836, as previously considered to be grants of a general nature not falling within the classes specified in Section II Regulation IV of 1831 and therefore within the Jurisdiction of the Courts.

It

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

3- The two Enams to which the applications now under consideration have reference are of small extent. Acres 5-10 and Acres 9- respectively but as any decision regarding them would form a precedent for other Cases it is necessary in disposing of these applications to take also a general view of the nature of Bhutwartee Enams- The original Sunnuds in the said two cases are not forthcoming and as neither the Survey nor Enamatee Accounts throw any light on the nature and origin of the Enams therein registered it would seem that the applications now made objecting to the Jurisdiction of the Court rest solely on the Glossary definition which as above shewn is not considered to be correct or of any sufficient Authority- It appears to the Judge that the only available mode of throwing light on the subject would have been the production of a considerable number of such original Sunnuds as exist including particularly some of those of grants of large extent and ancient date many of which engraved on Copper would probably be found forthcoming while those of

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846-

of a more recent date on perishable materials have been lost- The Purwannahs for two small Enams in the Gooxumcondah Talook exhibited as a specimen by the Acting Collector are insufficient and not of a nature to throw any clear light on the subject- The original Purwannahs in both cases are of a late date and merely state the lands to be granted in gift and in one Case it is merely a continuation to the party of lands held by him and his Ancestors previously

4- Kyratee Enams above stated have always been considered and treated by the Local Courts as of a charitable nature and not within their Jurisdiction but Bhutwartee Enams have been for a period of nearly ten years since the passing of Act XXXI of 1836, as previously considered to be grants of a general nature not falling within the classes specified in Section II Regulation IV of 1831 and therefore within the Jurisdiction of the Courts-

It

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

It is believed that these Enams amount to upwards of Six Lacs of Rupees and in fact there are few other Enams in the District other than Service Lands. There are many other different Heads of classification of rent free Lands without service, but the Amount of these not including Shotriem and other Enam Villages is comparatively trifling and it would seem that all grants for no particular purpose and without special designation under which they might be classified were entered at the time of the survey under the general Head of Bhutwartee without enquiry as to the Motives in which the grants Originated some of these Enams are of no great extent but many of them are very extensive comprising lands in many different Villages and the grantees were not Paupers but persons of Rank and influence.

5. It appears to the Judge that the "Yeomiah or Charitable allowance" specified in Section II Regulation IV of 1831. can be only construed fairly to have reference solely to small grants whether in money or land of an eleemosynary nature and

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

and indeed it is obvious that at the time that Regulation was framed those expressions could only have had reference to the small charitable allowances in Money continued by the British Government to individuals and entered in the Collectors Accounts as Yeomiahs: for as far as is known to the Civil Judge it has not been the practice of Government to confer land in Charity.

6. Regulation IX of 1831 appears sufficiently clear in itself as there can be no doubt regarding the purpose for which grants have been made by the British Government but the same enactment as extended by Act XXXI of 1836 has always appeared to the Civil Judge extremely vague and defective and the purposes other than from Caprice for which grants were made by the Native Government are not easily ascertained as it has always seemed to him to be desirable that there should be a further enactment defining more clearly the native grants intended to be excluded from the Jurisdiction of the Courts.

7. It is with much diffidence that

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

that the Civil Judge records an opinion at variance with the sentiments of the Board of Revenue but at the same time he is bound to state that he does not think any sufficient case has been made out for altering what has been the practice of the local Courts for the last ten years. he also feels that the point now mooted is one of great importance which is viewed with much interest and anxiety by the people of the District not only by the grantees and by those who have already during the last 40 years purchased Bhutwartee Enams and have a vested interest in them, but by others who have been looking forward to the acquisition of them by purchase for, whereas every where the acquisition of rent free Lands is naturally an object of great ambition to all those who by industry have acquired the means of making it and the declaring the sale and transfer Bhutwartee Enams illegal would close the almost only opening hitherto left by which Individuals might acquire rent free land. The question therefore being in every way of so important a nature the Civil Judge thinks that

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

that although the preliminary discussion of it by the local Authorities be requisite the final decision of it must and ought to rest only with the Court of Sudr Udalut, with a view to which it is that he now therefore declines to accede to the application of the Acting Collector.

Ordered that Copy of these proceedings be given to the Government Vakeel for transmission to the Acting Collector

/True Extract/

/Signed/ W. A. D. Inglis

Civil Judge

/True Copies/

J. H. Cochrane

Acting Collector

No 2.

Translation of an Arzee addressed to the Acting Collector of Cuddapah by Appooroo Weerd Sheristadar.

After usual compliments

Your instructions of the 11th Instant directing me to intimate you my opinion on the following points Viz. whether Bhutwartee and all other descriptions of Enams are applicable to Regulation XXXI of 1802 IV of 1831 Act XXXI of 1836 and XXIII of 1838 whether the Enamdars are authorized to transfer them to others by sale

sale or gift and, whether they are cognizable by the Courts of Udalut without the orders of Government reached me on the 16th and I now beg to give my sentiments on the subject.

2 In Section I Regulation XXXI of 1802 it is specified that "the ruling power of the Province now subject to the Government of Fort Saint George, has in conformity to the ancient usages of the country reserved to itself and has exercised the actual proprietary right of lands of every description, and consistently with that principle all alienations of land except by the consent and authority of the ruling Power are violations of that right."

From the foregoing enactment it is to be considered that in consequence of the power of granting Enams and resuming the same being reserved to Government the enamdars should enjoy them only during their life time, and they by their legal heirs but are not authorized to transfer them to others either by sale or gift or otherwise.

In Section I Regulation IV of 1831 the Enams are classified into 6 different Heads, Viz.ts

1. claims to hereditary or Personal grants of money or of land revenue conferred in consideration.

consideration of services rendered to the state.

2. Claims to hereditary or Personal grants of money or of land revenues conferred in lieu of resumed Offices or privileges.

3. Claims to hereditary or Personal grants of money or of land Revenues conferred in lieu of Zemindaries or Polliems forfeited or held under attachment or management by the Officers of Government.

4. Ditto Ditto conferred as yearly or charitable allowances.

5. Ditto Ditto conferred as Pensions and ruled that they should be strictly applied to the purpose for which they are intended.

In clause 1st Section II of the same Regulation it is specified that the Courts of Udalut are prohibited from taking cognizance of any claims brought against Enams of the above description and in clause 2d the power to decide on such claims is reserved exclusively to the Governor in Council. This rule is therefore applicable only to the grants made by the British Government.

In Act XXXVI of 1836 it was enacted that the provisions of Regulation IV of 1831 relating to grants of Money or land revenue made by the British Government

shall

shall be extended to all similar grants within the territories subject to the Presidency of Fort Saint George which having been made by any Native Government have been confirmed or continued by British Government - From this it is to be understood that all grants made by the Native Government should be dealt with in conformity with the rules laid down in respect to those made by the British Government as mentioned in the 2d Para -

4- Under the circumstances set forth in the foregoing three Paras Bhutwartee and Kyratee Enams being Durmajeevanum or allowances made in charity for livelihood appear to have been applicable to Regulation IV of 1831 - Independent of this Mr. Ashton having made a reference to the Board of Revenue on the subject of Bhutwartee Enam they answered that that description of Enam is applicable to Regulation IV of 1831 and also communicated to the Governer in Council on the subject -

5- The Civil Court observed that the Government in their Proceedings dated 26th April 1845 did not explicitly state the name of Butwartee Enam that Kyratee Enams can be considered as grants made for charitable purposes and that Bhutwartee Enams are grants held by Bramins as well as other sects of people -

1st It appears that Government in issuing

issuing orders on the subject of Butwartee considered it not advisable to give orders to all the Enams in general and that with an opinion that they may issue orders on those descriptions of Enams specified in Act XXXI of 1836 in the actual state of disputes arising against them being brought to their notice they have at present given their orders only to such descriptions of Enams which demanded their final decision - It ought not therefore be deemed that the intention of Government was to exclude the Bhutwartee Enam from others in consequence of the same not being specified in their Proceedings - If it were their intention to do so they would of course have mentioned the same in them -

2d In 16th Para of the order issued by Colonel Munro dated 21st May Fasly 1214 to the Mootsuddies deputed to investigate the claims of Enams he mentioned the following details under 2 different heads Viz.t -

1 Dawadayem

2 Bhurmadayem Viz.t

1 Bhutwartee or Bramins
2 Bhuttooranger
3 Bhagavatootoo
4 Dommara
5 Jogoolo
6 Jungalo
7 Satanlo
8 Veeramoostee
9 Other descriptions of Enams

all

all of which as above are given as Hyrutee or charity Vizt.

Moosulmen.

1 Fakeers

2 Aushoor Khans

3 Dhurga Enam.

The Enams granted to Bramins alone are styled Bhutwartee and those granted to Hindoos & Moosulmen are called Hyratee and Enams comprising these two classes being given for charitable purposes they are included under the head of Dhurmadayem.

From the circumstances mentioned in the foregoing 6 Paras Bhutwartee Hyratee and other descriptions of Enams being applicable to Regulation ~~XXXI~~ of 1802 IV of 1831 Acts ~~XXXI~~ of 1836 and ~~XXIII~~ of 1838 they are not considered liable for sale or gift nor are they cognizable by the Local Courts without the orders of Government.

(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector.

(True Copy)
(Signed) J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

No 3.

Memorandum given by Vencoba Row the Acting Head Sheristadar. Nagasa Row Asst Sheristadar Kistna Row Sunker Row the Tahsildars of the Districts of Muddenpully

Muddenpully and Goorumcondah.

Dasavendum Enams granted on condition of repairing Tanks &c. and Dasvustanum Enams or grants allotted in land for the support of Sevah and Vishnoo Temples &c being blended with all other descriptions of Enams exclusively of Service Enams a list after giving over best consideration has been made out giving particulars of all classes of Enams which have reference to Regulation IV of 1831 and Act XXXI of 1836.

As no part of the Regulation and enactment above quoted prohibits the sale or gift of grants aforementioned it cannot be considered that they are not liable to sale or gift nor can these sales or gifts of Enams which have been usually carried on in the District be considered valid because no provision is made in the said Regulation authorizing the sale or gift thereof. In Section 1 of the above Regulation the Government ruled that the grants specified therein should be strictly applied to the purpose for which they have been granted and should not be liable to be diverted from that purpose to the use or benefit of persons who have no claims upon the state and in clause 2 of

10372

Fort St. George 10th August 1816.

of Section II of the same Regulation they have reserved to themselves the power of investigating and deciding the claims advanced upon such Enams or grants. From these circumstances there does not appear any objection to admit the true meaning to the effect that the sale or gift as aforementioned would not bar any decision of Government given according to justice upon claims preferred for Enams of the description aforementioned.

Although the Regulation itself gives a clearer signification that Courts are prohibited from taking cognizance of any claims to such grants without the orders of Government, yet as it cannot be correctly ascertained in the 1st instance when the suit is brought before Judicial Authorities that it belongs to any particular description of Enams or grant in consequence of the particular designation of Enam used in the Districts over which the Courts have no cognizance not being specified in the Regulations the Court would not institute an enquiry into the case over which they thought they had no jurisdiction.

/ True Translation /
/ Signed J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector.

List.

10373.

Fort St. George 10th August 1816.

List of Enams referrible to Classes described in Regulation IX of 1831.

No. Designation of the Description & meaning of each Enam

1. Shrotriem that word Sanskrit implies Enam granted by — of Brahmins acquiring their livelihood.

Under that class of Enams is classed Enam granted by the Sovereign Power to Brahmins and others for the purpose of acquiring their livelihood.

2. Agraharam Enam — Same Persian word. Enam granted by Sovereign Power to Brahmins and others intended for their livelihood.

Under that class of Enams is classed Enam granted by Sovereign Power to Brahmins and others for the purpose of acquiring their livelihood.

3. Devastanam Enam from 2 words "Deva" God & "Stanam" abode or the residence of the Deity. Enam granted by Sovereign Power to the Deity or for the support of the Temple.

Do. Do. Do. Do.

Under that class of Enams are classed Enams granted by Sovereign Power to the Deity or for the support of the Temple.

Under Section II Regulation IX of 1831 respectively.

Under that class of Enams are classed Enams granted by Sovereign Power to Brahmins and others for the purpose of acquiring their livelihood as Pensions.

Do. Do.

Under that class of Enams are classed Enams granted by Sovereign Power to the Deity or for the support of the Temple as Pensions.

10374

Fort St. George 10th. August 1846.

No.	Designation of the Enams	Derivation & meaning of the word	For what purposes Instituted	Under what class of Enams specified in Section II Regulation IV of 1831 referrible
4	Jamendary Enam	From Persian word جمعدار Jamendary Ground and part Act of جمعدار to have to hold a Land lord a Land holder Shakespears Hindustani Dictionary	Enam granted to Zamindars and Polligars on the resumption of their Jamendaries & Pollums	Under that conferred in lieu of Zemindaries and Pollums forfeited or held under attachment or management by the Officers of Government Under that conferred in consideration of Services rendered to the State & also as charitable allowance
5	Jagir Villages	From Persian word جاگیر Jagir Land given by Government as a reward for services or as a fee & pension in land a liefs Shakespears Hindustani Dictionary	Enam granted by Government in Consideration of services rendered to them	
6	Surva Enam	From ஸர்வ "Survamoo" all entire whole complete universal and Enam a present or gift an alienation by Government to an Individual of the whole of the revenue payable to them from a defined portion of land. Campbells Dictionary		
7	Rayem Jody Village	From Persian word رایم Rayem adj: Standing erect perpendecular 2 firm fixed durable lasting continuing 3 attentive preserving and ரா Jodeguet Rent.	Enam granted to Brapmins for as charity for their livelihood	Under that conferred as charitable allowance
8	Anna Shotriam Villages	From ஏக anna Share & போக Shotrium derived from the word ஏக போக Shotreyvodoo I. K. Abrahmin versed in the vedas Campbells Dictionary	Do	Do Do Do Do
9	Pullacuttoo Enam	From ஊர "Pully" a small Village a hamlet and ஏற "cuttoo" to build erect or construct Campbells Dictionary	Enam granted by former Governments for outlay of money in the Establishments of new Villages by industry and labour thereby causing benefit to the Circar	Under that conferred in consideration of Services rendered to the State
10	Poolecuttoo Enam	From ஏ "Poolee" a Tiger and ஏற "Cuttoo" a tie or band Knot and ஏற "Vaucuttoo" to render silent generally by conjuration. Campbells Dictionary	Enam granted in compensation to persons who stop Tigers mouth by enchantment and who kill them by deeds of valour and daring	Do Do

Signed J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

10375

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

No. 5.

List of Enams regarding which doubts are entertained as to their falling under Regulation IV of 1831.

No.	Designation of the Enams	Derivation and meaning of the word	For what purpose bestowed.	Under what class of Enams specified in Section II Regulation IV of 1831 resolvible
1	Polemara Enam	From ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ "Polemara" a boundary or dispute	Enam granted to persons for settling boundary disputes by going thro' certain religious forms and walking over the disputed lands pointing out the limits which on their Oaths they state to be the correct boundary.	Under that conferred in consideration of services rendered to the State.
2	Cadega Enam	From ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ "Cadegawandoo" a toddy drawer any individual of that Caste whose profession is to extract the Juice of the date Palmira or Cocanut tree	Enam granted to Cadegawars in lieu of date Topes which they had planted and which the Government had a Journ charge of	Under that conferred in lieu of resumed Offices & privileges.
		Campbell's Dictionary		
3	Dundaga Enam	From ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ "Dundaga" a fine an Extra and unjust assessment.	Enam granted in commemoration to certain people who suffered from heavy and undue exaction being levied from them for the emergencies of the State	Under that conferred in consideration of services rendered to the State.
		Campbell's Dictionary		
4	Neeroovaitara Enam	From ⊖ ⊖ "Neeru" water and ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ "Vaitara"	Enam granted as an inducement for allowing irrigation from one village Tank to the lands of another Village where there is no means of irrigation thereby rendering Service to the State.	Do. Do. Do.
5	Kota Enam	From ⊖ ⊖ ⊖ "Kota" a Fort	Ditto Ditto for the construction of Forts &c.	Do. Do. Do.

/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

/A true Copy
/Signed/ J. H. Cochrane
Acting Collector

10376. Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply—

(30-31)

To The Acting Collector of Cuddapah

To the Actg Collr of Cuddapah

Sir

1— I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th June last forwarding Copy of correspondence which has passed between yourself and the Civil Judge in regard to the sequestration and sale of certain Bhutwuttee Enams in your District, and submitting for approval draft of an appeal Petition which you propose to address to the Sudr Udalut against the orders passed upon your mo-tions by the Civil Court—

Recording orders on his letter of the 27th June last submitting draft of an Appeal Petition proposed to be addressed to the Sudr Udalut against the orders passed upon his motions by the Civil Court in regard to the sequestration & sale of certain Bhutwuttee Enams in his district—

Recd 11th August 1846

2— In reply the Board direct me to inform you that your Draft, with some few modifications which you will find noted in red Ink, seems to them to be well adapted to its object— They are of opinion however that to prevent your motion from being considered as only of an hypothetical nature or as moving a general question, the immediate pur-pose for which it is made, Viz, the release of the Enam from attachment in one case, and the annulment of the sale in the other should be prominently—

J.G.B.

10377. Fort St. George 10th August 1846

prominently brought forward at its close

The Board have made an addition to this effect to the Draft leaving certain blanks to be filled up in your Office—

3 The mention [Para 4] of the opi-nions given by your Head and Deputy Sheristadar may be omitted, and those opinions need not be forwarded to the Court of Sudr Udalut It does not appear to the Board that they are calculated to throw light upon the question— The three Circulars orders issued by Colonel Munro and referred to in Para 1 of your Letter, should be forwarded to the Sudr Court with Translations—

4— It is of much importance that

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

that you should furnish the Sudr Udalut with Certified Copies of some Bhutwartee Sunnuds and translations soliciting original in preference to renewed grants, and choosing those which are of the earliest date attainable Among those sent should be if possible some of the description stated in the latter part of Para 13 of your letter, which exhibit the Conditions on which the Enams granted under them were conferred. If you should be unable to procure readily a sufficient number of Sunnuds from your own District you should apply to the Collector of Bellary.—

5.— The Board doubt whether in point of form your application to the Sudr Udalut should be made by letter, and whether it should not rather be thrown into the shape of a motion and presented thro the Govt. Vakeel. you will be pleased to ascertain the practice of the Court in this respect.—

Revenue Board Office— } (signed) T. Pycroft Sec.y
Fort St. George 10th Augt. 1846 }

Read

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Read the following letter—

To

T. Pycroft Esquire

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George—

(32)

From the Commissioner in Kurnool — — — } Sir

Offering Remarks on Paras 10 11 & 15 of Board's Proceedings dated 11th February 1846.—

With reference to the last para of my letter of the 10th of March I have now the honor to offer a few remarks on such part of the Board's Proceedings on my Jummabundy Report for Fusly 1253 as appear to call for my notice.—

2. Specimens of Puttahs will be furnished as requested with my Jummabundy Report for Fusly 1255. In respect to the rules in practice with regard to the relinquishment of land by Ryots at the proper season, no rules have yet been put in force, nor do I think it advisable, so long as the present unequal assessment exists, to introduce any that would allow the Ryots to throw up lands during or towards the end of the cultivation season. I am fearful that the practice would lead to two injurious consequences, first that the Ryots would put off the cultivation of the higher assessed lands till the season was far advanced, and then claim the permission to throw them up, and secondly that it would make the Amildars as indifferent to the Vellivaree or cultivation agreements, as they formerly were for it.

it would be a very easy matter to get a Ryot to subscribe to any thing if he was sure of being allowed to throw it up again whenever he liked. I consider that under the existing inequality of assessment the most difficult part of an Amildar's duty is to make such a Villivari as shall stand the test of the Jumabundy Settlement, without decreasing there Revenue. It can be done, but only with great care and attention on the part of the taloork Servants, and if it is done, I look upon it as more advantageous to the Circar, without the least injustice to the Ryot, who is at the same time saved from the temptation of trying to defraud the circar. It will of Course sometimes happen that the greatest care and attention may be defeated, as during the past year, by an adverse season, and that much land will be left unsown solely for want of rain, but even in such cases I am of opinion, that it would be better for the present, to grant reliefs at the time of Settlement even though it should have the effect of swelling the amount of remission in the Accounts. This is a question however on which I shall of course be guided by the instructions of the Board.

Para 11

3. In the 5th Para of my report I have not explained myself so clearly as might have been done, but it was not easy to do so without speaking of myself and entering into a detail with which I did not think it necessary to trouble the Board and they have very naturally expressed their surprise that my Cutcherry could not find time to scrutinize 15000 puttahs. The Puttahs themselves were all carefully examined in the Huzzoor Cutcherry, my allusion was not to them only but to the persons holding them. One particular Taloork I referred to was in a very bad state, and the Amildar had not even made an attempt to examine into the numerous Complaints of the Ryots, not only on various subjects, he had put Puttah lands but on various subjects, he had put off every investigation till the time of settlement, a practice which was also followed to a great extent in all the taloorks. Most of these complaints ought to have been settled in the taloork cutcherry or by the Carcoons, and for the purpose of shewing what was expected from the taloork establishment, I personally at the time we were giving the Puttahs, and with the assistance of my Huzzoor Establishment, investigated the different grievances, which caused so much delay and so many interruptions that I was six weeks getting through that one taloork, which this year did not take me half as long notwithstanding the unfavorable season, and that the settlement was 18 per cent higher than

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

than it was in fasly 1253 I could not of course give up so much time to every talook in the same year nor will it ever again be necessary as I was happy to find this year that the majority of the Amildars had taken a great deal of pains.-

Para 15

4. With regard to the question of remissions the Board have referred me to their Circular Instructions on the subject and I have accordingly acted up to those Rules as far as I possibly could without entailing certain injury on the resources of the Ryots. But I would beg the Board to consider that those Rules were intended to apply to Districts whose Revenue and resources were well ascertained and which were supposed to be in a prosperous condition. They cannot be extended with unbending severity to Kurnool, for the condition of the people requires a little indulgence. I as well as my Predecessors, have more than once adverted to the impoverished Condition of the agricultural classes, but I am always averse to mention the subject last it should be thought I was trying to make out a case, nothing however can be further from my intention for the fact is too palpable to admit of any doubt.-

5. In Conclusion I have to express my regret at the occurrence of the clerical errors pointed out in Para 20 of the Board's Proceedings and shall endeavour to prevent their recurrence.-

Kurnool 25th June 1846

Signed J. L. Scott
Commissioner

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Read again also report from the Commissioner of Kurnool dated 21st and entered in Consultation 30th October 1845.-

(32-33)

Proceedings thereon.

Reporting on the settlement of the Land Revenue in the District of Kurnool for Fasly 1254.-

1. The season although at its commencement propitious is represented as having turned out otherwise so much so as to produce a result on the whole rather below than above an average one. The Country suffered partially from a Mortality among the cattle, though by no means to the same extent as in Fasly 1252. With regard to the step adopted by the Agent of making all persons in whose names Enams were held without Sunnuds, and Cultivating the same, likewise agree for a certain extent of Iyen pending the question of Enams generally the Board have under the circumstances, nothing to object to the parties concerned having the option of leaving them in the hands of the Ryots as before and the more so as the Agent has taken care to exclude from the rule, all service as well as Enams held under Sunnud.-

2. The settlement of the year was Rupees 62,883.2 or Rupees 5,167.2 above that of the previous Fasly. This increase is chiefly attributable to a larger extent of Iyen cultivation as well as of Enam land brought to public account than

than in the preceding Fusly. Under this head the Agent has instanced various Enams of questionable property unsupported by sunnud which the Board think he did right under the circumstances to resume.

Para 4 3. The total amount Carried to the Jye Jumma is Rupees 4008 in 374 Villages in connection with which there is a decrease of Rs. 68-4-8 dependent on the settlement of a Boundary dispute between the Jaghiredar of Bungapilly and the late Nabob of Kurnool. It has now been settled to the satisfaction of all concerned, and the Board see no reason to question the propriety of the decision.

Para 5 4. There was a decrease of 2712 Rupees under the head of Discretionary Remissions in 98 Villages and spreading over a great number of individual holdings the enquiries regarding which are stated to have been both personal and minute on the part of the agent.

Para 6. 5. The Remissions amount to 12680 Rs. of which Rs. 5138 were manool. This is less than the same in the preceding year owing to the decease of a Jaghiredar, by which the half of two Villages has reverted to Government, and to a change in the mode of adjusting the amount of certain Jaghire and Rent payable to Circar servants and families connected with the late Nabob in certain Villages held as Jaghire by which they have this year been excluded from the head of Remissions altogether

In Argm. 9228
*In Dº 5138.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

6. A Remission of Rupees 296 was granted to 30 Individuals in 18 Villages on account of high assessment, and a particular Village Rupees 79 was allowed as service Enam, to the Reddies for their good conduct in the management of its affairs. This had been previously claimed as an ancient Enam, and has been allowed by Mr. Scott with the understanding that it is liable to revision if required on the final settlement of the Kurnool. The proportion on the Sukhtah Beriz of the Village being under 3 per Cent and being a temporary measure, liable to revision, does not call for particular comment.

7. The Remission granted on account of failure of second Crop amounted to Rupees 1637, and was not given without a personal inspection of the Crops either by the Collector or Amildar or some one deputed from the Cutcherry of the former.

Para 9. 8. The decrease under the head of Casualty Amounting to Rs. 5759 is partly owing to the decreased Demand below that of the preceding year, the decreased extent of Enam cultivation in the year under review.

The

10382. | Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Para 10.

9. The demand including Syen Sodee and Enamity as per Margin was Rupees 626852-10-0 of which a sum of Rupees 61000-0-0 was realized within the 30th September 1845. The particulars of the Balance on that date was as follows.

Syen	54936	6	1.
Sodee	13234	0	3.
Enamity	3469	3	8.
	626852	10	0

Syen ——— 8924-1-1.
Sodee ——— 1376-7-2.
Enamity ——— 1193-12-2.
Rupees ——— 11493-7-8.

Of this Rupees 1100- is to be deducted on account of Enam Lands for which sunnuds have been registered after due investigation - Since the Month of September of the remainder Viz.t 10392. there have been collected Rupees 2881-4-6 upto 30th June 1846.

Para 11. 12.

10. The Agent has reported that the only Suspense Balances are those of Fusles 1250 and 51 the whole of which he recommends may be written off - The Agent explains that they cannot now be collected without much difficulty being due from persons in reduced circumstances, or others who have deserted the Country or are now dead.

Fusly 1250-309-11-6.
1251- 22-4-0
532-2-6

In

10383. | Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

10th March in Cons.n 16th April 1846 No 10.
28th June in Cons.n 10th Aug.t 1846. No 25.

11. In two letters recently received by the Board the Agent offers a few remarks on such part of the Board's Proceedings on his report for Fusly 1253 as appeared to call for notice from him.

12. With the first of these letters the Agent has forwarded a Copy of the instructions issued by him at the close of Fusly 1253 regarding the cultivation of Enam Lands. The Enam Lands in Tirunelveli are very differently situated from those in Bellary and Cuddapah where they are for the most part in the hands of the Enamdars themselves. With the exception of those held under Sunnuds for Service, the Enams of Tirunelveli may be considered in a general point of view as having passed from the original grantees and being in the hands of mere claimants and Village authorities, are therefore more amenable to any new Regulations that may hereafter be made Government than those in the former Districts.

13. The instructions referred

to

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

to are held by the Agent, and understood throughout the District to be merely a temporary expedient pending the introduction of the Saram assessment, and as on a perusal of them there is nothing that appears objectionable or binding beyond the exigencies of the present moment, they do not at this moment call for particular remarks.

10. In Para 2 of the last of these letters the Agent has entered into an explanation of his views on the subject of the Ryots taking up only highly assessed lands leaving waste those heavily assessed, for which engagements had been entered into, and claiming remission for Bunjer. No fixed Rules regarding the relinquishment of land have yet been put in force in this recently acquired District, and in the opinion of the Agent it is better to abstain from introducing any during the existing inequality of the assessments.

The

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

15. The Board do not however concur with Mr. Scott in thinking it inexpedient to allow the Ryots to throw up lands at the close of the cultivating season. Mr. Scott apprehends under the reverse of the system in force a reduced cultivation of the higher assessed lands on the part of the Ryots and indifference on the part of the Amildars to induce the Ryots to cultivate to the fullest extent in their power. But those evils are not found to exist in other Districts in which all lands in the ordinary occupancy of the Ryots whether to new upon engagements or otherwise are allowed if not ploughed to be thrown up and struck out of the accounts at the time of making the settlement in cases where the Ryots is able to shew good and sufficient reason why he was unable to cultivate to the full extent of his original agreements at the opening of the season, and especially in the case of Bunjey lands, the irrigation of which has failed.

16. The principal object of the Summundy or settlement is to ascertain the exact extents of cultivation of wet lands as the means and opportunity enjoyed by each Ryot, and to investigate and decide upon

10390

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Read Petitions Nos 1038 and 1082 of 1846

37

Proceedings thereon—

Referred to the Acting Collector of Chingleput with reference to the Board's letter to Trichinopoly dated 8th December 1845 a copy of which is herewith forwarded for his information the claims of both Petitioners from in whose family the management of the Pagoda and Village was taken and the minority of the Son of the last Incumbent will be matters for the consideration of the community interested in the Institution in determining to whom its management may be entrusted—

No 1038 From T. Soobaroyen Moodeliar.

1082 From Moorgaya Son of Soobaroyathundan

Read again letter from the Acting Collector of North Arcot dated 27th Ultimo and entered in Consultation 3rd Instant

Ordered that the above mentioned letter be submitted with the following address together with the communication therein referred to—

To The Chief Secretary to Government,

Sir,

With reference to the Extract Minutes of Consultation 30th June last on the subject of the sale of the Cavelgunnagoor Estate in the District of North Arcot, I am directed by the Board

J

38

To the Chief Secy to Govt

Forwarding with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 30th June last correspondence with the Collr of North Arcot regarding the proposition of the sale of Cavelgunnagoor in Inam stating that the Board of Revenue wish the retention

35

14399

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Estimate of Charges for the Road to be constructed on account of Adalatchavadi Estate in the District of South Arcot during the year 1846-47 amounting to Pagodas 1,134 as per Margin

Estimate for Repairs of Road from Adalatchavadi to Tindivanam

Earth Work	3	42	126
Stone Work	1	42	42
Culverts	1	42	42
Contingencies	1	42	42
			252

Deduct 1/2 for Repairs 126

Remains 126

Add 1/2 for Extra Work 126

Total 252

Estimate for Repairs of Road from Tindivanam to Adalatchavadi

Earth Work	3	42	126
Stone Work	1	42	42
Culverts	1	42	42
Contingencies	1	42	42
			252

Deduct 1/2 for Repairs 126

Remains 126

Add 1/2 for Extra Work 126

Total 252

Abstract

Adalatchavadi to Tindivanam	252		
Tindivanam to Adalatchavadi	252		
Contingencies	5	10	80
	509	12	160

Signed A. Scott
Commissioner
Ordered the Estimate do be forwarded

Received 4th August 1846

34-36

Abstract of foregoing Estimate.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

of that Estate from attachment and recommending that the Public Demands on account of Interest & Commission be suffered to lie over for the present.

From Coll.r 18th in Cons.n 23d July 1846
To Collector — 23d July —
From Collector 27th July in Cons.n 3 days
To Collector 3d August —

of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying correspondence which has passed between this Office and the Acting Collector regarding the suspension of the sale of that Zemindary.

2 From this it will be seen that on the day preceding that fixed for the sale the Acting Collector received an Extract from the Proceedings of the Civil Court of Chittoor informing him that the Creditors of the with one exception, had agreed to settle their claims amicably, and had filed Razeenamahs in Court, and requesting that the Estate might be released from attachment except certain named Villages which were still to remain under sequestration on account of the Creditor who had not joined in the arrangement. The Acting Collector declined to accede to this application, and the sale accordingly proceeded at the time appointed, but whilst it was in progress a second communication was received from the Civil Court intimating that all the Plaintiffs in the several suits

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

against the Zemindar had withdrawn their claims upon him, and did not now desire the sale of the Estate, and stating that under these circumstances the Court had recalled the process formerly issued for that purpose, and did not require the sale of the property or of any portion of it. On receipt of this Extract from the Courts' Proceedings the Acting Collector at once postponed the sale until the 1st Instant, and applied to this office for instructions. The Board directed him to suspend the sale until further orders.

3 About the same time that the Board were advised by the Acting Collector of his having postponed the sale of the Estate, they were addressed by Mr. A. Seth

21 & 24th July 1846
In Cons.n 3d July and 3d Aug.t

same authorized Agent of the Zemindar who represented that the Creditors had entered into arrangements with the proprietor whereby it was hoped that his affairs would be retrieved and solicited that as the Civil Court at whose instance the property had been originally sequestrated had recalled its process, the Sircar attachment on account of arrears of public revenue might also be withdrawn, as it was of great importance

that

that the Zemindar should remain upon the management of his Estate at the earliest date that he might be enabled to make the necessary settlements with his Subtenants for the cultivation of the present Fasly

The Board then called upon the Acting Collector for a Statement of the actual balances of revenue under all heads at that time due by the Cavelmuggee Proprietor and from his reply 27th Ultimo, it will be perceived that the public liabilities of the Zemindar calculated up to that date were as follows

In Cons. 3 Augt

Principal	Arrears	10,737.	14.	3
	Current	21368.	5.	9
Interest		68540	14.	11
Commission		11,748.	3.	3
		111895.	6.	2

The Acting Collector at the same time stated that from Rs 10,000 to Rs 15,000 might be expected to be realized in the then current Month of July

6. In their letter to Government 8th June last, Para 6 the Board stated that the public arrears outstanding against the property the consideration were not of the nature of

Magnitude

magnitude as to necessitate a sale. The intention at that time entertained by the private Creditors to bring the Estate to auction seems to have been abandoned. In consideration therefore of these circumstances of the disadvantages attendant on the Summary management of large Estates, and of the indulgence which has often been shewn to proprietors in other Districts, the Board have directed the Acting Collector to release the Zemindary from attachment on condition of the small balance of Principal of the public demand arrear and current which may be outstanding at the time of the release being paid in equal instalments with the two next Current Kists leaving the charges of Interest and Commission for disposal hereafter, with the distinct understanding however that the demand on account of these charges has been suspended only and not relinquished

Vide Sel Cons 11th augt 1846

Amount as reported by Collector	21000	
Collected in July Rupees 10 to 15000 say	12,000	
Remaining balance due probably	9000	

5. The Interest and Commission due upon the Cavelmuggee Zemindary are, as already stated Rupees 68,540-14-11 and

and Rupees 11748-3-3 respectively. The former has been accumulating since the 16th December 1841 both upon the instalments of former arrears payable at and subsequent to that date, and on the balances of the current lists of Fusly 1249 and the following Fusslies.

The Commission is chargeable on the Net Collections of the Zemindary whilst under attachment for arrears from the 18th May 1842 to the end of July 1845.

6. The Cavetnuggur zemindary was attached and taken under the management of the Officers of Government 16th May 1842 for a balance of public revenue amounting to Rupees 163619. It remained under sequestration until 1st August 1845 when the attachment was withdrawn and the Zemindar allowed to reenter upon the charge of his Estate the amount of the public demand (principal) due upon it, being then only Rupees 10237-11-3. In his communications to this office at the time when the release of the property was under consideration the acting Collector did not bring the charges either of interest or of commission to the notice of the Board but shortly after the attachment

15th Octr 1845 In com. 5 Feby 1846.

had been removed he represented that these items did not appear to have been charged against the Estate in the accounts and requested instructions in regard to their enforcement. It was the intention of the Board to address the Government upon the subject but shortly afterwards the differences between the Zemindar and his Creditors seemed likely to render the sale of the Estate unavoidable, and as it appeared probable that the property would pass from the Zemindar into other hands there seemed to be no reason why the claims of Government on account of these charges should not be enforced to the full extent, and they were therefore included among the Zemindar's public liabilities in the sale advertisement submitted to Government on the 9th June last.

7. The Creditors at whose instance the attachment and sale of the Zemindary was originally ordered have now executed Razeenamahs, and entered into terms with the Proprietor for the gradual discharge of their claims from the surplus proceeds of his Estate - and although the issue of such an arrangement must

10397

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

must always be in some degree uncertain both the parties concerned. Save on the present occasion the strongest interest in the success of the measure as from recent events they must have reason to apprehend that further differences among themselves or neglect to satisfy the public dues would only result in the sale of the Zemindary, and in the loss of all who have claims upon the property. Under existing circumstances the Board would not advise the immediate relinquishment of the charge for interest and Commission noticed in the last Para. but adverting to the desire which the Government have at various times evinced that this ancient Estate should if possible be preserved to its proprietor, they would be to recommend that this portion of the public demand be suffered to lie over for the present and that its ultimate enforcement or remission be made contingent upon the punctuality with which the Zemindar discharges his public dues for the future and upon the issue of the arrangements he has just concluded with his private Cred

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
10th August 1846.

/ Signed / T. Pycroft
Secretary.

Read

10434

Fort St. George 10th August 1846—

Read the following letter

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(62)
From the Pr. Coll. of Madura—21.

Shewing reason to support the Board's doubts of the propriety of confirming a moiety of water procured by Varadappah Naickens sole expence to Valayada Poolaven as recommended by him on a believed erroneous representation of the Sub Coll. and suggesting that no provision be given to Valayada Poolaven

Sir.

In reply to the Board's Proceedings of the 28th May received on the 7th June in the matter of my Endorsement of the 9th May on Petition No. 1681 of 1845, in favor of Valayada Poolaven, against Varadappah Naicken, I have the honor to concur entirely in their view of the injustice of apportioning any part of the water, procured at Varadappah Naickens sole expence by erection of a dam in Devadanapatty, to Valayda Poolaven—

The endorsement of the 9th May, was given, contrary to my own judgment, and to my sense of probabilities on the Sub Collectors positive assertion of the sufficiency of water for the whole 250 Goolies of Cowle land, supported by Vernacular evidence, that I had no primâ facia reason to doubt; and by his assertion of the great fertility of the land and its being entirely

cultivated

Sub. to Pr. Coll. 9th 25th Apl. 1845 both with Enclosures.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

cultivated; and when these assertions of ample supply of water and payment of full Runjah tax, were complied with that of being fully cultivated I think I was justified in conceiving he meant me to believe it was fully cultivated with Runjah.

3 On the 4th Instant I went to the Village of Devadanapatty, but saw no object in personal examination of the dam now devoid of water, and had depositions taken from Varadappah Naiken, two Ryots named by him as witnesses, the Curnum and Nattamgar of the Village, and the Zillahdar of the Subdivision, of which it forms part, which clearly shew the rapacity of the dam sufficient only for irrigation of the 130 Goollies, retained in petitioners possession in addition to 2/17ths of the water granted to earlier cultivators:- that of the 120 Goollies for which Valayuda Poolaven had made himself responsible, at full Runjah tax, he had himself cultivated only 13 5/8 and that other 36 13a/14 was cultivated by 18 other Ryots, all with rape seed- no portion with paddy; and that Petitioner cultivates 8 Goollies part in paddy and part in

Shamay-

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Shamay the remaining 61 5/16 being left waste but which will be paid for in full, some balance of tax had been paid, the preceding day, by Valayuda Poolaven, who had immediately departed to a village in Thiruvannygalum, tho it was notorious I was expected, on the following, to institute the enquiry; and that the 120 Goollies in question are not yet in a fit state for Runjah cultivation, but require lowering and levelling before water can be drawn to them; and it was further ascertained that of 11 Witnesses whose united deposition before the Zillahdar the Sub Collector rests his belief of sufficiency of water for irrigation of the whole 250 Goollies, one was an acting Nattamgar and the other ten cultivators in the interest and dependantion, Valayuda Poolaven, and it is to be observed that the report of the Zillahdar to the Tahsildar only says "there will be sufficiency of water if the dam be enlarged."

4 The Sub Collector has a high opinion of Valayuda Poolaven, whilst my own has been for many years much the Contrary; There has very long existed

party

Fort St. George 10th August 1846—

party spirit and enmity between him and Varadappah Naiken; and during last year the Head of Police sent the latter to the Criminal Court charged by the former, with burning down his house: it passed the Criminal Court, but was dismissed by the Sessions Judge on the 25th July 1845 in case No. 216 of that year, for want of proof; and with an observation of the Court on the bad character of the Prosecutor.—

5. To assist the Board's final judgement of the case, I have the honor to submit the Sub Collector's letter to me, on the subject of the 9th April No. 153 with proposed Endorsement; and of the 25th Idem. No. 182. and beg to refer them to paras 22 and 26 of his address to, and already before, the Board of the 3d September 1845 No. 406:- and would suggest that 5/11ths of the water be assured to Varadappah Naicken on payment of Cowle / full Punjah / tax on 130 Goolies of land; and that there be remitted to Valayadu Pooliyen all payments surplus to the actual cultivation of the Current Fusly. at the Punjah rates; for it can hardly be conceived he would make himself responsible for the whole at

Fort St. George 10th August 1846—

at Punjah rates, except in reliance on the Sub Collector's award of half the water which would well reimburse him for the temporary sacrifice till the land was in a fit state for him to take advantage of it:- But the Board may also consider of the tax levied may not be retained, looking upon it as a fit fine for the duplicity with which he has practised upon the Sub Collector.

Madura, Ullanagrom 7th July 1846— / signed / J. Blackburne Princ. Collector

To

The Principal Collector of Madura

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 30th Ultimo with Petition dated 13th December last from Vurdapah Naik to meet whose case I beg to submit an endorsement and at the same time to state a few words respecting that individual's lands particularly that portion situated in Denadhanapetty of Tengore called Combekanah.—

2. The spot contains 250 Goontahs

and

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

and was held in cowl tenure by the Petitioner Vurdapah Naick who being totally unable to cultivate the land himself underlet it: but by a breach of faith on his part the under renters became disgusted and abandoned him. The land was left fallow and on Vurdapah Naik being called upon for payment he resigned all claim to the lands in Petitions dated the 20th of July 1842 (No 387) and on the 19th November 1842 a separate Resignation with Petition (943) on which subject he also appeared and gave a deposition on the 27th of January 1843. He even again presented a Ruginamah on the 3rd of July of the same year.—

3 On the meantime however he addressed either the Board of Revenue or yourself and obtained permission to take up only half the land, viz 130 out of the 250 on the plea of the remainder not being fit for cultivation. Other parties then came forward and took up the land which had been declared not capable of cultivation and have this year cultivated the whole of it.—

Sheg.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846—

4. They therefore respectfully to state that the assertion of Vurdapah Naick of land having been given to another with out his resignation is particularly false and that he has no claim whatever to any portion of the lands under Combey — anah beyond the 130 Goontahs he was allowed to retain as mentioned in the last para. The remainder on 120 Goontahs being in other Lands.—

5 The Documents connected with the case are herewith forwarded and Petition returned.—

Dindigul Sub Collr's Cutcherry 9th April 1846 — (signed) W. Elliot Sub Collector

Proposed endorsement on the Petition of Vurdapah Naick to the Board of Revenue dated 13th of December 1845—

The Petitioners Statement of land belonging to him having been given to others is perfectly untrue, he having to avoid the tax, formally resigned the same, in Petitions dated 20th of July and 19th November 1842 and 5th July 1843.— besides giving a deposition on the

27th

10441 Fort St. George 10th August 1846—

27th of January of the same year. Petitioner has therefore no right to any of the 120 Goontahs formally resigned by him.

I signed W. Elliot
Sub Collector

To

The Principal Collector of
Madura

Sir

1 I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Instant and to forward the former record in the matter of the Demadhanapettah Assemblee lands.

2 Whatever may be the personal merits or individual character of the litigating parties the same should not certainly be allowed in any way to influence decision in the present case or of a similar description.—

3. The abstract of the case is simply this - Vardapah Reick to whom the lands were given on Cowle failed to act up to his agreement declaring his inability to pay for any portion, and the impossibility of calculating more than

10398 Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Read also the following letters.

To S. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(39.)

From the Sheriff Collr of North Arcot.

Dated 3d Instant.

Submitting for the Board's Orders Copy of a letter from Mr. V. Seth Sam, as Cavalcaroo to the Zemindar's Vakeel, requesting certain Jewelry valued by him at 5000 Rupees may be received as security in lieu of the immediate payment of the balance due by the Zemindar; under the Circumstances set forth.

Sir

With reference to your letter dated 3d Instant, directing the release of the Cavalcaroo Zemindary from attachment on payment of the balance of principal peishcush due thereon, I have the honor to submit for the Board's Orders a letter from Mr. V. Seth Sam as the Zemindar's Vakeel, requesting that certain Jewelry valued by him at Rupees 5000 may be received as security in lieu of the immediate payment of the above balance.

I would observe that there

2. can be no use in the Zemindar's Jewels being deposited with me as the Estate affords ample security for the small sum of Peishcush in question. It will be for the Board's consideration whether they would so far consult the Zemindar's wishes and interests as to consent to the immediate release of the Estate, allowing the balance to remain for the present unadjusted, subject to the usual rate of interest. I understand from Mr. Seth Sam that

much

much difficulty would be experienced by the Zemindar under present circumstances in raising the amount.

North Arcot
Coll.rs Circuit Cutchery
Palmanair, 5th August 1840.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Collector.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Collector of North Arcot.
Palmanair.

Sir

With reference to the Order of the Board of Revenue to release the Zemindar of Cavaterunggur from attachment on the payment of the Balance of the Current Demand of Government, I beg to offer you as security Jewelry to the value of nearly 50000 Rupees to be so held by you on account of Government, till the actual amount of balance is ascertained and paid into the Treasury - and in order to save the Zemindar from serious losses consequent on its continuing under attachment any longer, I beg you will kindly direct the necessary Orders to be issued forthwith to release the said estate, to enable the Zemindar to collect the necessary funds for redeeming the Jewels that I now offer as security. I beg also

also to observe that all my endeavours to raise money on the said Jewels in this district proved perfectly useless as unfortunately there is no one so rich here as could come down with such an Amount Taking these matters into consideration as well as the heavy loss already sustained by the Zemindar since the attachment, which as I told you this morning is computed by him as above 40000 Rupees, I beg you will not defer carrying the Order of the Board into effect by releasing the Estate forthwith - But should you consider it not strictly consistent with the wordings of the Boards Office or the discharge of your own duty to receive Jewelry as pledge in lieu of immediate Cash payment, I must request you submitting the matter to the favourable consideration of the Board without any loss of time that the needful further instructions may be speedily obtained.

In the meantime hoping to be favored with an early and favorable answer.

Palmanair
5th August 1840

/Signed/ V. Seth. Sam
Agents attorney.

Ordered

39-40

Fort St. George 10th August 1840

Order thereon.
(Vide letter to North arcot 11th Augt)

Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.

To

The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Vcca. Vpa. Vpa.
Fort St. George

41

From A. Seth Sam Esqr. &c. &c. &c.
agent to the Zemr. of Cavelleruggur

Requesting that the Coll. of North arcot may be directed to accept and receive certain Jewels as security for the public debts against the Cavelruggur Zemindaree and to release the Estate from attachment.

Gentlemen,

Adverting to your Secretary's letter of the 3d Instant informing me of the instructions issued to the Collector of North arcot for releasing the Cavelruggur Zemindaree from attachment in the event of the Principal of the public debt due upon the Estate, current and in arrear, being discharged in full. I have the honor to state that I have sent the letter in question to my Brother Mr. V. Seth Sam, now at Chittoor, with advice to shew the same to the Collector, and after making satisfactory arrangements with him regarding the Public debt, to effect immediate removal of the attachment. My constituent the Zemindar having been out of possession of the Estate for a long time, is short of ready Cash at present consequently my said Brother, as I now hear from him, offered to the Collector, under clause 2d Section IV Regulation XXVIII of 1802 the Zemindars Personal Property, consisting of Gold and Silver Jewels to the value of 50000 Rupees and

Fort St. George 10th August 1840

and upwards as security, that is, more than what is payable at present under the recent order of your Board, but that the Collector has refused to accept them saying that he is not at liberty to do so in the present state of things and that he would make a reference to your Board on the subject.

The Zemindar having been, as I have above stated, out of possession of the Estate for a long time, is not able at present to pay in ready Cash the amount of the Public demand, but there being all and every possibility of making a large Collection from the Estate within a very short time after its released from attachment, I hope your Board will see no objection to allow the Collector to grant a certain time for the payment of the demand in question and release the Zemindaree forthwith, especially as the amount of the present demand will, I am confident, when compared with the aggregate amount of the annual Peishcush of the Estate, appear to your Board to be too insignificant to justify rentention of the Estate under attachment on that account; much less when the personal property

property of the Zemindar is offered as security for what is now ordered to be paid. Any delay, however short it may be, is, and will certainly be, productive of great and irreparable loss to the Zemindar; consequently, I request, on his behalf, that the Collector of North Arcot may be forthwith directed to accept and receive the Jewels offered to him as security for the public debt, and release the Zemindaree from attachment; in which case, my constituent, the Zemindar, will I am quite sure, be capable of redeeming them by paying the amount in cash within a very short time.

Madras
8th August 1816

/Signed/ A. Seth Sam
Agent to the Zemindar
of Cavetteenugger

To
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(42)

From A. Seth Sam Esqre
Agent to the Zemindar
of Cavettee-Nuggerum

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 4th Instant and my reply thereto, I have now the honor to inclose for the inspection of the Board, the

the Mocktiarnamah, or Power of attorney, granted in my favor by the Zemindar of Cavettee Nuggerum, which I request you will be pleased to return to me when no longer required.

Madras
10th August 1816

/Signed/ A. Seth Sam

Translation No. 1.

Power of attorney executed by Sriman Maha Mandaleswara Katari Salwa Makaraj Aonaday Rajaha Maharaj Rajay Bommaraj Bahadur Deva Maharajah Zemindar of Carveti Nagaram in the Zillah of Chittoor in favor of Andrew Seth Sam Esqre inhabitant of Madras.

I do appoint you as my agent to transact all the public affairs of my Zemindary after it may be released from Zuft under which it now continues and to deduct from the revenue of my Zemindary the circar kists, Saderwared, my allowances and your Commission and to distribute the remaining profits in equitable proportions amongst the creditors who have already served me with processes or orders under the decrees of the Courts and under the terms of Razeenamahs and also amongst the Mortgagees (if any) holding bonds executed by me under dates

under dates antecedent to this, mortgaging my landed property, provided that you and myself as well as the said credetors who are partees to the said processes consider the liquidation thereof just and necessary- I and you have this day entered into (written) agreement regarding the course to be pursued in the transaction of affairs under your agency- I do likewise hereby invest any one of your brothers or one of your confedential nearest relations or any other person whom you may appoint as stated in Para 32 of the said agreement, with full powers to issue Takeeds vice to the Ryots in the Sibbundy and to other persons in the revenue and police matters connected with the administration of affairs of the Zemindary as described in Para 25 of the agreement- to address arzees vice to the Collector, Revenue Board, Government vice regarding the letters which the Collector may write to me and on any other subject to employ pleaders on my behalf in the Courts furnishing them with Vakalutnamahs to conduct the suits which may be instituted by me or which others may institute against me and to defend in the Courts- to conduct all other affairs specified in the said agreement and to transact the functions of the agent- all that may be written or said

in the transaction of the said affairs either by you or by the persons whom you may appoint to perform the functions of agency in your absence shall be recognized by me, by my heirs, executors, and administrators as valid as if we had done them personally- I execute this power of attorney with my consent-

10th July 1846- /Signed/ Bommaraz-

Witnesses-

/Signed/ Royasem Cholumguer Ramiah

Echambety Balagoornada Pillay,

Sampraty-

(41-43)

Order on the 2 foregoing letters- Ordered to be sent again in Circulation-

(Vide letter to a Sett. dated 11th Augt.)

Read the following letters-

To T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

(44)

From the Acting Coll. of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 13th Ultimo requiring me to report on a Petition from Rajah D. Venkatapah Naid Zemindar of Calastry to be permitted to discharge the arrears of Peishcush for the past fusly by instalments in the Current year without any additional charge for interest-

* No. 770 of 1846 and accompaniments herewith returned-

The

2. The arrear amounts to Rupees 16927-8-0 only, and the interest thereon would amount to a sum which, with reference to the income enjoyed by the Rajah, would appear inconsiderable. There must have been some falling off in his revenue in such a season as that of the past fusly but the Zemindary is understood to be held on very favorable terms. The revenues must have benefitted considerably by the remission of the Sayer duties and under recent orders of Government the Zemindar will be relieved of the expense of maintaining the Police Establishment of his Estate. I see no particular objection to the Collection of the above small balance being postponed as requested by the Rajah, but there seems to be no reason why he should be relieved of the payment of the usual charge of interest for the accommodation.

Section VIII Regn XXVIII of 1802.

North Arcot
Coll.rs Circuit Cutcherry
Palamnair 7th July 1846

/Signed/ E. b. Lovell
Acting Collector

Resolution thereon.

(44-45)

Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 770 of 1846.

"The Board of Revenue will not object to the Petitioners discharging his

his arrear of Peishcush for the past Fusly by instalments payable with his current kists, but they see no reason why he should not be charged with interest upon the arrear at the usual rate."

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(46)

From the Ag Coll.r of North Arcot

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Sub Secretary's letter dated 13th Instant accompanied with petition No. 763 of 1846 which I beg to observe would appear to refer to transactions stated to have occurred not in this but the South Arcot District. The petition is therefore returned herewith.

North Arcot
Collr's Circuit Cutcherry
Palmanair 25th July 1846

/Signed/ E. b. Lovell
Acting Collector

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letters.

(46-47)

To
J. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(48)

From the Collr of Salem

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 27th April last requesting me to

to furnish the Board with further information on Petition No. 913 of 1845, as to the circumstances under which the Petitioners produce was first distrained and sold without the knowledge of the Board, and the subsequent sale and transfer (with the Boards sanction) of a piece of land belonging to Petitioner without adverting to the previous sale of the grain. I have the honor to forward copy of a letter from the Sub Collector affording the required information.

Salem Zillah Collrs Cutcherry 9th July 1846

Signed / W. E. Lockhart
Collector

No 1.

To W. E. Lockhart Esqre
Collector of Salem.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 25th Ultimo, forwarding Copy of a letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue and to afford the following explanation with reference to the endorsement of my predecessor on Petition No. 913.-

1st The Petitioners produce was attached and sold under the provisions of Regulation XXVIII of

of 1802 in the usual course of distraint, the provisions of the Regulation not requiring any reference to the Board of Revenue.

2d Notwithstanding the sale of the produce a balance of 15-11-3 still remained due for 1253, and for this a portion of the land was sold - In the previous year a remission of 15-11-5 was made to the Petitioner; in the present there did not appear to be any grounds for indulgence to a constant defaulter, and the land was recommended for sale.

3d At the time of sale a balance had accrued for fusly 1254 both on the portion sold and the rest of the land - The land was cultivated by the defaulter in 1254, altho' this portion was under attachment, and he was thus responsible for the assessment of 1254 and as the sum realized was more than sufficient to discharge the previous arrear the defaulter received credit for it in the adjustment of the balance of 1254.-

Salem Sub Collrs Office 2d July 1846

Signed / T. N. Maltby
Sub Collector

Resolved

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Resolution thereon.-

(48-49)

Resolved that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 913 of 1845.

"From the report of the Collector to whom reference has been made upon the subject, it appears that the land and grain referred to in this Petition have been sold in satisfaction of arrears of public revenue. The Board see no reason to interfere."

To

T. Pycroft Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(50)

From the Collr of Salem

Sir,

With reference to the Board's Proceedings under date the 6th April 1846 conveying Extract from the Minutes of Consultation and their Proceedings dated 5th May last relative to the compromise with Chellamba Gounden in the Matter of Suit No. 71 of 1845, to induce him to relinquish the land therein mentioned, by offering him other ground, and if necessary further compensation for giving it up, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that Chellamba Gounden was offered a spot of Podoogal land, to be selected by himself together with any expense that may be incurred for sunking a Well therein, in lieu of the land in dispute, and which he would

dated 22 April 1846

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

be at liberty to convert into pasture land. But Chellamba Gounden is not inclined to accept the offer nor to withdraw his Plaint from the file of the Subordinate Court of Salem, consequently unless he be put in possession of the land in dispute to induce him to withdraw his plaint, the Suit which is pending enquiry for the last 11 Months should be defended.

The Court has already been moved three different times, and an extension of 8 Months obtained to file the answer, as the last will escpire on the 6th Proxime. I request that the instructions of the Board on the subject may be obtained before the expiration of that time.

Salem Zillah Cpoor Collrs Cutcherry
18th July 1846

[Signed] W. E. Lockhart
Collector.

In Corr. 12th May and 10th Augt 1846

Read again the letters from the Collector of Salem of the 17th April and 18th July 1846 on the subject of the Suit No. 71 of 1845 instituted against him in the Subordinate Court of Salem by Chellapah Gounden.

(50-51)

Proceedings thereon:-

Instructing the Collr of Salem to put in a modified answer to the Suit No. 71 of 1845 instituted against him in the Subordinate Court of Salem by Chellapah Gounden.

In the first of the letters above recorded the Collector proposed to restate Chellapa Gounden in the land of which he had been dispossessed with a view to compromising the matter hereafter, but as it appeared

to

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

to the Board that such a measure would be more likely to impede than to facilitate the Settlement of the question, they did not sanction its adoption but instructed the Collector to endeavour to make an adjustment with that party at once offering him land as nearly equal in quality to that of which he had been deprived as possible and to report to this Office if any further compensation were necessary. In his last letter on the subject the Collector states that Chelapah Gounda refuses to withdraw his action altho' he has been offered a spot of Podoogal land to be selected by himself, with any expense that may be incurred in sinking a well in it and permission still to retain his former ground in the bed of the tank as pasture land.

2. All attempts to bring the Plaintiff to reasonable terms having thus failed there appears to the Board to be no alternative but to defend the Suit and for this purpose they request that the Collector will put in the modified answer of which a draft was submitted in his letter of

In Corr. 6th March — 17th February last. He will however be pleased to add a Para. asserting the right of Government both

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

both for the protection of their own interests and those of their ryots to prevent injury being caused to village tanks and explaining the measures which he has tried though without success to induce the Plaintiff to accept of an equitable compensation for the loss of his land and to stay the further progress of the Suit and he will be careful that these measures are fully proved in evidence.

From Para 2 of Mr Lockhart's letter of the 18th Ultimo, it will be seen that the Court has already been moved three times and an extension of 8 Months obtained to file an answer the last of which expired on the 5th of the present month. The time therefore does not admit of a further reference to Government respecting the defence of the suit but the Board resolve to submit these proceedings to the Most Noble the Governor in Council and trust that the steps which they have taken will meet his approval.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government; and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Salem for his information & guidance.

Read the following letters:-

To F. Pycroft Esqre
Secy to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

51

From the Collr of Tanjore

As the simplest way of [illegible] placing

† This Petition to Board's No. 8416 of 1816 is herewith returned.

placing the Board in possession of the cause of the dismissal from his Office of Soobarow† late Moozoomdar of Parallum I have the honor to submit my original Proceedings held in the matter.

With these in Tamil in packet No. 2 are my own rough notes of questions which on perusal of Soobarow's letters addressed to the Tahsildar of Parallum I purposed to put to the parties concerned, but some of which during the actual examination appeared unnecessary. Packet 1st contains Translations of the letters received by the Tahsildar and produced by him, with my decision and translation of the subsequent explanation of the Sheristadar Seenevasa Row.

I beg both packets may be returned

Tanjore, Kodycurry 8th July 1816 } Signed / H. b. Montgomery Collector

Read again Petitions presented to the Board by Sooba Row in explanation and defence of his conduct.

(Here enter No. 8416 and 976 of 1816)

(51-53)

Proceedings thereon.

Confirming the decision passed by the Coll.r of Tanjore directing the removal of Soobarow from the office of Moozoomdar

From the several papers now before the Board it appears that the Petitioner Soobarow was removed from his situation by the Collector for having levied contributions from the Tahsildar of Parallum and

Moozoomdar of Parallum in that district and desiring the Coll.r to convey to Sheristadar the expression of the Bd.s displeasure of his conduct

and other Public Servants, for the alleged purpose of defraying the expenses attendant upon the performance of the ceremony of the Yagum which is stated to have been conducted in the year 1803 no explanation is afforded of the cause of the delay in investigating the charges now brought to light and which led to the dismissal of the Moozoomdar early in the present year.

The evidence against Sooba Row consists of the testimony of Kistna Row Tahsildar of Parallum Talook supported by the production of two letters addressed to that officer by the defendant in the first of which after adverting to the receipt of contributions for the ceremony in question from others, a repetition of a former request contained in a previous communication is made for a similar payment towards the charity from himself and the writer concludes with an injunction that the letter should be destroyed. In the second letter addressed by and to the same parties the receipt of a remittance by the Tahsildar is acknowledged and among other matters adverted

adverted to in the epistle Sooba Row up-
braids the Tahsildar for not attending
to his "private business" "with certain
curnams in his Taluk, which espression
the Collector observes in his decision on
the case" Can mean nothing else than
the non-payment of levies on them
for his (Sooba Rows) benefit."

3 In the deposition given
by Kistna Row he acknowledges the
receipt of the letters produced and states
that a sum of money (30 or 35 Rupees)
was in consequence of the demand
made upon him forwarded to the
Moozoomdar Sooba Row, under the
impression that a refusal would expose
him to the displeasure of the division
Sheristadar Streenevasa Row, and in
explanation of the allusion to private
business in the above letter he states that
Sooba Row was in the habit of receiving
annually sums of money from certain
Curnams in the Taluk.

4 In the defence set up
by the accused the letters in question
are not denied as having been writ-
ten by him for the purpose of obtaining
contributions

contributions for the yagam but he affirms
that he acted under the orders of the division
Sheristadar and concludes by attributing
the evidence borne by the Tahsildar to
personal enmity to himself.

5 From what has been thus
stated the fact of the Moozoomdar having
held a correspondence with the Public
Servants in view to obtain pecuniary
contributions from them having been
clearly established against him the de-
cision of the Collector removing him
from his situation is approved and
confirmed by the Board.

6 The conduct of Streene
vasa row the Division Sheristadar
throughout this transaction appears
to be open to the suspicion of his
having been cognizant of the de-
mands made by Soobarow to
whom he appears to have demean-
ed himself in a manner calculated
to induce the impression generally
that the Moozoomdar was acting
in obedience to his orders, and
altho' the papers now furnished

fuel

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

fail to substantiate the suspicion entertained the Board cannot but concur in the opinion excpressed by the Collector that the defence offered by the Sheristadar does not remove the impression of the money having been demanded by his order and they therefore request that he will convey to Streenevasa Row the expression of their displeasure at the part there seems but too strong grounds to believe him to have taken in this discreditable proceeding

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No 846 of 976 of 1846.

"The Board of Revenue are satisfied from the perusal of the proceedings held in the case that Sooba row was fully convicted of conducting a correspondence with the Public Servants in view to obtain pecuniary contributions from them and confirm the decision of the Collector directing his removal from Office."

To

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

To T. Tycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

54

From the Collr of Tanjore – Bringing to notice objections to the re-introduction into Tranquebar of the Betel and Tobacco duties –

By the proceedings of the Board under date the 28th May I am directed to give effect to the orders of the Government of India to maintain the Betel and Tobacco Taxes levied by the Danish Government at the time of the transfer of Tranquebar to the English Government I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board some objections to the re-introduction into Tranquebar of these taxes-

The first is that under the orders of Government under date the 9th January last and communicated to me by the Board in their Proceedings of the 26th they were abolished on the 1st of February last and the the maintaining them might have been carried out the re-imposition after the inhabitants have felt the reliefs of their discontinuance would make the act very invidious and cause much discontent. Secondly a House tax has

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

has been imposed on the inhabitants and tho it does not yield an equivalent to the Revenue previously derived by the Betel and Tobacco monopolies it has been looked upon as a substitution for them and was introduced simultaneously with their discontinuance.

Thirdly- As stated by the Commissioners in the 7th para of their report on Tranquebar these duties "imposed a heavy burden on the "growers and Consumers added to the harassing "method of Collection by which the revenue is "realized." and are "considered obnoxious by the inhabitants. The duty on Betel the growths of the neighbouring Talooks in Tanjore was under the system pursued by the Danish Government at no less a rate than Fifty four per Cent on a Tariff valuation regulated every fortnight, and while therefore so exorbitant a duty is imposed heavily upon the Inhabitants of Tranquebar the growers in the Tanjore District likewise suffered from it-

The Tobacco monopoly as existing under the Danish Government converted the Government into Retailers. shopmen as the article was retailed in the smallest quantities, and unless the prohibition against the culture of Tobacco within

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

within the Territory (a prohibition which without the Territory would be inconsistent with the Revenue system of the Tanjore District to which Tranquebar has been united) were strictly enforced much of the object of re-introduction of the Monopoly would be defeated while the position of the Government as retail dealers would be unsatisfactory-

Fourthly- Tranquebar has now become the Revenue Head Quarters of the District and consequently a station for Troops. The Collectorate Treasury is there and a considerable number of the Inhabitants of the Tanjore District are frequenting there on business with the Revenue officers- The re-introduction of these imposts will therefore affect not the transferred inhabitants of Tranquebar alone, but the Revenue servants of the English Government and the Sepoys nearly stationed there as well as the servants and inhabitants of other parts of Tanjore whose duty or business may call them periodically to the Head Quarters of the Collector-

Fifthly- The precautions against smuggling must be proportionate to the inducement to smuggle and powers of

Searching

Fort St. George 10th August 1816.

searching the increased number of persons entering the Territory must be given to inferior agents whose abuse of this power will aggravate the annoyance which under any Circumstances must be caused the annoyance itself, being no longer Confined to the Inhabitants of Tranquebar but extending to every Talook in the Tanjore District.

Sixthly. Tranquebar has since the transfer to the British become a place of Considerable trade seaward, and every obstruction to free access to its Port must interfere with Commerce.

Seventhly. The average revenue under the Danish rule derived from the two items of Betel and Tobacco was given by the Commissioners at 679 and the the proportion that sum bears to the past entire revenue of the Territory is Considerable it is insignificant in Comparison with the very general ill effect that its realization would have on the people of the Tanjore District, of which Tranquebar has so lately been declared a part, and it is hardly worth Comparing with the general revenues of the entire District. But were the average above given maintained which the Collections of the Months from

Fort St. George 10th August 1816.

from the assumption of the Territory to the period of abolition of the duties prove to be improbable, the realization of the revenue and the prevention of smuggling having been greatly facilitated during Danish rule by our Frontier Custom Establishment now no longer in existence) the proportion it would bear to the revenue of Tranquebar Territory alone is likely to be diminished as the experience of the last Eight Months gives good reason to expect that this will exceed the estimates formed for future years as it already has in all branches that for the current year.

I trust I shall be excused for refraining till further instructions carrying out the orders of the Supreme Government, and that with the Concurrence of the Board the abolition of these taxes will be Confirmed and sanctioned on the grounds of their objectionable qualities, the oppression and annoyance for which they give room their obstruction to Commerce, the altered state of the Territory, the insignificance of the amount realizable by them when contrasted with the Revenues of the Tanjore District in general, and the diminished proportion

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

proportion this is likely to bear to the increasing revenue of the Tranquebar Territory in particular.-

Tanjore – Rodycurray – 10th July 1846 } Signed H. C. Montgomery Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 18th May 1846 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Tanjore 10th July 1846, bringing to notice the objections which appear to exist to the reintroduction into the Town of Tranquebar of the Betel and Tobacco duties, and stating that he has refrained from carrying out that measure pending the receipt of further instructions upon the subject.-

2 The several reasons urged by the Collector against the reimposition of these taxes are given at length in his letter, and

54-55

To the Chief Secy to Govt

Submitting with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation 18th May last a letter from the Collr of Tanjore bringing to notice the objections which appear to exist to the reintroduction into the Town of Tranquebar of the Betel & Tobacco duties & stating that the Board hope that on further consideration of the subject it may be thought desirable to abstain from the re introduction into Tranquebar of either of those Taxes.-

Sel Cons 23rd Augt 1846.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

and as recapitulated by him in the concluding Para. have reference principally to the objectionable qualities of these imposts. the oppression and annoyance for which they give room, their obstruction to commerce, the altered state of the Tranquebar Territory since its cession, the insignificance of the amount realizable from them when contrasted with the revenue of the Tanjore District in general, and the diminished proportion which it is likely to bear to the increasing Revenue of the Tranquebar Territory in particular." These reasons appear to the Board to be of much weight, and they have therefore thought it incumbent upon them to forward the Collector's letter for the consideration of the Most Noble the Governor in Council and for further reference should it be deemed advisable to the Government of India. In addition to what has been remarked by Sir H. Montgomery and as a further reason for their having submitted his letter the Board would also observe that as regards one at least of the Taxes referred to. The Tobacco duty it is not apparent under what authority the realization of

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

of this branch of Revenue could be enforced - In
the letter from the Madras to the Supreme Gover
Encls: From Govt. ment the 11th March last the Betel and Tobacc
11th in Cons 28th May duties are both classed as Town duties - The
1846. Betel duty is indeed a Town duty - but the
Tobacco Tax is a Monopoly resembling in its
principal features the Tobacco Monopoly on the
Extr: from Govt. western Coast. In Para 6 of the report of the
9th in Cons. Commissioners 28th November 1845; they state
26th Jany 1846. "the revenue from Tobacco is derived by making the
article a Government Monopoly: the Government
joining contracts for the supply required and
selling it retail at rates varying according
to the demands. the cultivation of Tobacco
within the settlement (with occasional exceptio
is prohibited. The Tobacco Monopoly at
present prevailing in the provinces of Malaba
Canara and Coimbatore and the prohibition
of the Cultivation of that article except on
account of Government are, as regards those
three Districts, legalized by Special Enact
ments Regulations VII VIII 1811 but the
Board are aware of no act or Regulation
under which the extension of the system to
any other part of the Madras Residency
is authorized, or by which the free cultivation

or

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

or sale of Tobacco could be prevented. Under
these circumstances it is of course necessary
that before the Tobacco tax be re-introduced
this point should receive attention -

3. The objection adverted to in the
last part of the preceding Para does not
apply to the Betel duty - but the reasons
advanced by the Collector refer alike to this im
post as to the Tobacco Tax - and the Board
cannot but express their hope that on
further consideration of the subject it may
be thought desirable to abstain from the
re-introduction into Tranquebar of either
of those taxes -

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th August 1846 -

Signed T. Pycroft
Secretary -

From the Coll. of Tanjore -

55

Read - Abstract Statement of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822 during the 1st half of the year 1846.

ORIGINAL DESTROYED

56-57

Ordered to lie over until the receipt of the Returns from other Districts whom an abstract of the whole will be prepared and submitted for the orders of Government -

Order thereon.

Read the following letter.

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George -

58

From the Coll. of Trichinopoly - Sir

With reference to the Extract from

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

1

from the Board's Proceedings dated 6th Instant I have the honor to forward herewith copy and translation of the Copper Shasanum therein required.

Trichinopoly Collectors Office 15th July 1846

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

A

Translate of a Copper Shaisanum executed by Venecatachella Reddyar Zemindar of Terriore Shevapracasa Sawmyar priest of the Mutt at Trivanamalay on the 1st of Shottaray year Roodero Rauree Shalevahanah Sakabadum 1665 corresponding to A. D. 1744.

Having granted to you in gift the Village called Raurapoodeum putty situated on the North of Copeley apoorum East of Virechetty pollium South of Coppempputty and on the west of Shekumputty, do hereby authorize you to hold and enjoy the Nunjey Poonjey lands. Tope Garden &c thereof you are further to receive from all the Villages in the Terriore Zemindary Grain at half a measure for each Plough for the purpose of performing annual Coreapooshay and also rice &c from all the Villages as originally regulated by my Ancestors - you are to

enjoy

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

enjoy the whole of the Village of Raurapoodeum putty so long as the Sun and Moon shall exist.

Thus do I willingly execute this deed of Gift to Shevapracasa Sawmyar.

/Signed/ Venecatachella Reddyar

/True Translate/

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector.

(58-59)

Proceedings thereon - The Collector has omitted to state whether the proceeds of the Shotriems are still applied to the support of the Muttam as requested in the Board's Proceedings of the 6th Ultimo. He will be so good as to supply the omission. He will also be so good as to explain the grounds on which he has stated that the Shotriem appears to have been granted for the support of a Charity House. The copy of the Copper Sashanum which he has forwarded only states that the Village has been conferred upon Siva Pracasa Swamy Priest of a Muttum but does not specify that the grant was made in endowment of that institution.

Read the following Statement

Estimate -

101/31 (60)

Fort St. George

Estimate of Repairs of Devastanums and Dindigul for the Quarter ending 31st

10th August 1846.

Shuttrums in the Districts of Madura and

March 1846.

Devastanums Shuttrums & Charitable Institutions	Particulars of Works	Amount of Estimate	Surplus funds in deposition the Principal Collectors Treasury up to 31st March	Remarks
		Rs a p	Rs a p	
1 Theroopara-goondum Pagoda	Drains on both sides the Street fronting the Pagoda	29 5	2779 11 5	1842. are highly desirable particularly the second, which is always requisite for the public but more particularly so for the crowds assembling annually at season of greatest Drought for religious ceremonies; The estimate was made by the revenue Maistry & gladly accepted by the Manager of the Pagoda.
2 Thoroom-Coor Pagoda	Sinking & facing a well with brick in Chunam at 2 Metres and runners huts near Anai Malai rock, and road 1/4 6 Miles North of Madura	119 10	4888 15 7	
3 Cullooputty Shuttrum	Verandahs on the South & West sides of the Shuttrum	121 1 4	516 11	
4 Sholavandam Shuttrum	Verandahs to one the west compartments of the Shuttrum & Shifting tiles	112 9	3495	Speak for themselves. The Shuttrums are ex-cellent and most useful; but those improvements
5 Thathumputty Shuttrum	Plastering inner walls of part of the Shuttrum & Shifting tiles of the Cookroom	174 8	4223 1	are highly desirable & funds Sufficient.

10432

Fort St. George

Devastanums Chuttrums and Charitable Institutions	Particulars of Works.	Amount of Estimate.	Surplus funds in deposit in the Principal Collectors Treasuries up to 31st March	Remarks
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
6. Meenatchee Pagoda.	Two Canoes 28 feet long & broad 2½ high; platform 9 steps for annual festival in the Theppa-colum of Vaya Muntapum Pagoda appertaining to that of Meenatchee Ammal	242 10 .		
	Hire of four Carts for carrying the above Canoes from Paumben to Madura 93 Miles	10 . .		
7 Peramalay Devastanum	Constructing a Bridge of 3 Arches in the limits of Combagoody in Theruvoppathoor Talook on Road No. 13	3104 10 11		
	2 Tunnels in the limits of Calapoor in Do. on Do.	72 12 6		
	3 Pavements in Do. Do.	404 1 .		
	Raising Road in Do.	114 3 5		
		591 . 11		
		3695 11 10	1067 6 9	Rs. a. p. 1 6 This Estimate is entered at the request of the Trustee of the Meenatchee Pagoda in double view to avoid risk of life and to avoid an annually recurring expence for an unsafe Boat to do the same as was made by the Civil Engineer for the Jungar survey over the Amravothe between Dindigul and Coimbatoor, and it is feared the prices of the Canoes are underestimated, in which case it is proposed to expend the additional sum in intimating the same subsequently to the Board.
8. Maroonga-poory Pagoda.	Repairing Cullooputty Meenally Colum tank bank	24 12 8		
	A sluice for the above	43 6 .		
		68 2 8		
9 Veeraapoor Pagoda	Repairing Kullaupillay Cummoy tanks	43 7 3		
	Total	4616 10 3		

Madura 31st March 1846.

10th August 1846.

No. 7. Road No. 13 is of great importance to the Salt trade, leaving the waste near the most northerly part traverses the Sheyaguinga Zemindary for 50 Miles; continues 37 Miles in two of the Co.s Talooks, to Dindigul & runs for 36 Miles further, three other talooks till it reaches the Coimbatoor Collectorate near Eroor, between Trichinopoly & Coimbatoor. Under date the 16th December 1844 the Civil Engineer made an Estimate of Rupees 10162-8-1 for 53 Miles between the 43 & 97 Miles of the above space being partly in Sheyaguinga & partly in the Co.s talooks. On the 30th November I recommended the the Board in their Department of Public Works the execution of two Works to amount of Rs. 394-14-4 in that portion forming the Post route from Ottamsutty to Dindigul, in talook of Meyloor. The Agent of the Benares endowment has sent me Rs. 285-13- to execute the Work required in the Benares Villages of Mangoody. A liberal Merchant has given me Money to build two important bridges with Calengulas, in the Village of Peraalagoody, at the Estimate of Rs. 675-13-2, which are particularly indigent. And the hereditary Trustee of the Peramalay Devastanum, and the Sheyaguinga Zemindar, who claims a right of stations, and the Sheyaguinga Zemindar have both given me a written superseding interference in them have both given me a written acquiescence in erection of the works here noted, to amount of Rs. 3695-11-10, being in the limits of Villages, the endowments of these Devastanums. A Collector has little to call him on this route a distant border. The Civil Engineer is less likely to visit it. I cannot foresee so favorable a time to undertake the works as now. Should the Board sanction it, I will take the opportunity to repeat my former recommendation of the 30th November, on behalf of the two works to amount of Rupees 894-14-4 in Meyloor talook.

No. 8 & 9 Repair of these tanks is requisite to Security of the Pagoda revenues.

490 2 10
2253 11 6

E E / Signed J. Blackburne
Pr. Collector
Reference.

10433.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

(60-61)

Proceedings thereon

Referring to the Circular from this Office in the Department of Public Works dated 27th July last on mode in which the Surplus Devastanum Funds are to be appropriated, the Board are precluded from authorizing the disbursement recommended in the foregoing Estimate for Pagodas Nos. 1-2-6-7-8-9 but they Sanction the execution of the repairs proposed for the Shuttrums Nos. 3-4 and 5 amounting to Rupees 107-15-1.

Read

J.G.R.

10442

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

than half the said land from its position and rather than pay for any portion, more than once resigned the whole. He appears however to have got half back on the plea that the remainder was not fit for cultivation. Vurdapah naik never resided in any of the Villages appertaining to Dewadhanapettah whilst Veloyda Poola-vew died, and seeing the land abandoned he took it up on full tarm and I believe the whole of the portion stated to suit the occasion, to be unfit, has this years been fully cultivated

Respecting the division of the water, I beg to refer you to your own instructions of the 25th of September 1837 and 1st October 1840 granting the allowance of 5 days water for the whole 250 Goontahs and to mine of the 27th May 1845 for the fixing a moiety there of for Vardapah Naik 120 Goontahs and the remainder for the 130 held by Veloyda Poolayen I considered the case so exceedingly plain as to make it a waste of time to be more explicit, the grounds of the

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

the present complaint so narrow, as not to admit of a doubt I acknowledge there has been for 10 years much party spirit and highly improper proceedings respecting the said lands, but whilst Veloyda Poolaven has had nothing whatever to do with the said intrigues Veradapah Naik has been a principal actor throughout, as shewn in the Paragraphs 22 to 26 of my address No. 406 to the Board of Revenue dated 3d September last and duly forwarded through your Office as well as in the records to which the said communication refers.

5th. In submitting the endorsement alluded to in your letter under reply I drew up as concise and effective an order as I considered least likely to admit a misapprehension and most likely to settle a case the further agitation of which would probably as before, cause those fertile lands again to lie fallow and be the certain injury if not the eventual ruin of both parties. For Veradapah Naik can shew no possible right to more and Veloyda Poolaven will scarcely

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

be content with less. Should the subject of the supply of water be the only remaining entry requisite in the endorsement adverted to there can be no possible objection to its admission.

Palany Sub Collector's Cutcherry 25th April 1846.

(Signed) W. Elliot
Sub Collector

62-63.
Proceedings thereon.

From this it appears that the water obtained by the dam constructed at the sole expense of the Petitioner Veradappa Naicken, is not more than sufficient for the irrigation of the 130 Goollies of Land which he was permitted to retain under the orders of the Board dated 6th November 1843 after the usual deduction of 2/7th to other Ryots, the Board of Revenue therefore approve of the suggestion of the Principal Collector that 5/7th of the Supply of water be assured to the Petitioner on payment of Punjah Peerwah on the 130 Goollies in his possession, and on that all payments surplus to the actual cultivation of the past year at the Punjah rates be remitted to Veloyda Poolaven.

Ordered that the following endorsement be passed on the above Petition.

The Petitioner is referred to

10445 Fort St. George 10th August 1846

to the Principal Collector of Madura to whom instructions have been sent on the subject of his case.

Read the following letter.

To,

T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(64)
From the Pl. Collr of Coimbatore. } Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th Instant requesting to be informed whether the set of Standard Weights and Measures alluded to in a Circular letter from the Board dated the 15th August 1846 has been supplied to this Office, and in reply to state for the information of the Board that the these Weights &c. were furnished to this Office by the Ordnance Officer at Trichinopoly in the Month of March 1846.

Coimbatore, 30th July 1846. } Signed/ T. L. Wroughton
Pro. Collector

(64-65) Order thereon,

Ordered to lie on the table

Read the following letter

To, T. Pycroft Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(66)
From the Collr of Canara } Sir,

With reference to the 2nd para of

10446 Fort St. George 10th August 1846

of my letter of the 24th Ultimo, I have the honor to submit for the Board's approval, tenders for the Abkarry Farms of the five remaining Talooks amounting to Company's Rupees 26330-0-0

	Fasly 1255	Fasly 1256	Increase	Decrease
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Buntwall	3827 0 0	4,375 0 0	548 0 0	0 0 0
Candapoor	5560 0 0	6,700 0 0	1140 0 0	0 0 0
Soopah	7,304 0 0	8,310 0 0	1006 0 0	0 0 0
Sondah	4440 0 0	5,740 0 0	300 0 0	0 0 0
Bilghi	1100 0 0	1,205 0 0	105 0 0	0 0 0
Total	23231 0 0	26330 0 0	3099 0 0	0 0 0

The total amount of the Abkarry rent for Fasly 1256 is Company's Rupees 67410-12-0 being an increase above that of Fasly 1255/1846/47 of Company's Rupees 2413-8-0

1256 67410-12-0
1255 64997-4-0
Increase 2413-8-0

Signed/ T. L. Blane
Collector

Collector's Cutcherry
Mangalore, --
25th July 1846.

(66-67)

Resolution thereon.

The Board sanction the acceptance of the offers for the Abkarry rents of the five remaining Talooks of Canara for Fasly 1256 amounting to Rupees 26330 &c. as specified in the foregoing letter and authorize the

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

the Renters being put in possession of their Farms on their complying with the terms laid down in the Boards Proceedings dated 6th July last.

Read the following letters.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(68)
From the Collr of Malabar

Sir,

The parties referred to in my letters dated 17th March and 1st June last having represented that they are put to great inconvenience from not having drawn the Malikanah allowance thereon adverted to, I shall be obliged by your affording me early intimation of the Board's orders on the subject.

Calicut
11th July 1846

(signed) H. V. Conolly
Collector

(68-69) Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded - The Sanction of Government having been communicated to the Collector on the 23d July last.

To,
T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(70)
From the Collr of Malabar acknowledging the

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

the Board's circular dated the 15th June & offering certain proposals for the substitution of the Money payment made to the Quilandy Mosque and for the disposal of the surplus Pagoda Funds now in deposit.

the receipt of your Circular under date the 15th Ultimo enclosing orders from Government on the "general question of the discontinuance of all interference on the part of the Officers of Government with the affairs of Native Religious Institutions."

The withdrawal of all interference on the part of the Officers of Government with the Hindoo religious Institutions in this District having been effected in conformity with the orders of Government under date the 20th November 1841, the only parts of the present orders applicable to Malabar are those alluded to in paras 6 and 7 of your Circular now under acknowledgment &c They are the substitution of a grant of land for the money payments made to the Quilandy Mosque, and the best mode for the disposal of the surplus Pagoda funds now in deposit.

With regard to the first point, I have arranged with the Coya of the Quilandy Mosque to make over to that establishment such number of the Government escheated farms in Ernaud and elsewhere as will produce a net Revenue of 1800 Rupees per annum

annum. The farms which are now rented by public auction, at periods varying from one to 5 years will be carefully surveyed by a responsible person in the presence and such value fixed on them as is fair to all parties. The Boya as the legal representative of the Mosque will then take charge of and enjoy them as Survamanium lands.

Para 7

4. The only funds in deposit on account of Pagodas in Malabar are as I explained in my letter of the 14th September 1841 those belonging to the Naranganedacotta Pagoda, amounting in value to Rupees 3579. The sum is too small to be employed to any good purpose in the promotion of education the primary object for which Surplus Pagoda funds are as a general rule to be devoted. I think it would be well to spend it in some public work and I know none that would be more useful and desirable than the improvement of the much frequented public road which leads from Calicut past the Pagoda in question to join the high Ponany Road 1500. Rupees of the amount I would expend in the erection of small choultries with wells at convenient intervals the remainder in

in making a form roadway where as at the case in many parts, the passage of even foot passengers is much hindered by the depth of sand.

Calicut 30th July 1846

Order thereon. (70-71)

Signed H. V. Conolly Collector.

Ordered to lie on the table.

Read letter from Collector of Malabar 3rd October 1846 reporting on the Settlement and Collection of the Land Revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1255 (A.D. 1845-46)

Recd. 16th October 1846.

(72)

Proceedings thereon.

There was an increase in the demand over the settlement of the preceding Fusly amounting to Rupees 722-3-5. caused by the re-assessment of certain uncultivated lands in certain Villages and the imposition of the assessment on certain Bantiqs in Rayapooram.

Relative to the Settlement and Collection of the Land Revenue of the Madras Collectorate for Fusly 1255 A.D. 1845-46

Demand	
Fusly 1253	62990-9-9
" 1254	63712-13-2
Rupees	722-3-5

Collections	
Fusly 1253	51260-12-2
" 1254	51966-"
Increase	705-3-10

2. The increase in the amount of collections of the current Land Revenue amounts to Rupees 705-3-10 which is ascribed to the great exertions made to bring all available sources of Revenue to Public account.

3. The Current Demand on Houses and Garden Monies occupied as well

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

well as Gardens and Paddy fields in a pro-
-ductive state was Rupees 53639-8-11 of which
Rupees 49750-15-6 were collected within the
Fasly leaving a Balance outstanding of
Rupees 3888-9-5. To the foregoing amount
of collections is to be added the amount
of collections on account of former balances
amounting to Rupees 4695-9-1, thus raising
the total amount of collections in Fasly
1254, current and arrears to Rupees 54426-8-7
adverting to the amount of collections on account
"of arrears" mentioned above the Board trust
the collections of current Revenue have
in no instance been postponed to enable parties
to make good what was due from them on
account of former Balances.

Para 6. 4. The Current Demand on Houses
unoccupied, and on Gardens and Paddy fields
in an unproductive state was Rupees 2479-7-7
of which only Rupees 286-1-6 were collected
within the Fasly. The collections under the
foregoing heads of arrears was Rupees 564-
12-11. The whole of this amount was realized
by Distraint in all cases in which any
available property was found on the
Premises.

The

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

5. The Demand on account of
Ground Rent was Rupees 7383-12-8 of
which the collections amount to Rupees 6718-
15-— and Rupees 2664-13-8 remained out-
-standing at the close of the Fasly. The
recoveries of arrears under this head amount
to Rupees 1347-9-5 and the aggregate of both
collections current and arrears is Rs. 6066-8-5.

Para 11. 6. Of the whole Demand of the
current Revenue viz. Rupees 63712-13-2 there on
collections amounted to Rupees 54966 leaving
an outstanding balance of Rupees 8746-13-2
but adding the recoveries of arrears Rupees 667-3-5
the total collections amounted to Rs. 61583-3-5

Para 12. 7. The amount of the Balances
of Current Revenue is Rupees 8746-13-2 of
which Rupees 2193-6-4 is not recoverable on
account of Houses
immediately being due on account of
unoccupied and Paddy fields uncultivated

Para 13. 8. The excess of collections of ar-
-rears in Fasly 1264 amounts to Rupees
1889-13-8. The excess of collections of Fasly
1254. current and arrears exceeds the total
collections of Fasly 1253 by Rupees 1895-
1-6 and the average of the two preceding
years by Rupees 5295-13-5. The

Sources

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Sources from whence the Land Revenue of Faslies 1253 and 1254 were demanded are shewn underneath:—

	Fasly 1253			Fasly 1254		
Shrotriems	229	11	10	239	4	2
Quit Rent on Houses and Gardens occupied	39,252	10	9	42,792	14	5
Do. Do. unoccupied	584	1	7	850	14	5
Gardens and Paddy Fields cultivated	10,692	2	0	11,633	10	2
Do. Do. uncultivated	"	"	"	"	"	"
Waste Lands	"	"	"	"	"	"
Ground Rent	5929	7	11	6066	8	5
	56688	2	1	61583	3	7
Fasly 1253	56688	2	1			
Increase	4895	1	6			

9 The residue of the total Demand of current Revenue and arrears at the close of the Fasly 1254 was Rupees 29,584—2—1 of this Sum Rupees 8183—13—3 was recommended to be written off by the Collector in a letter dated 21st August 1846 and has since formed the subject

Sent Govt 18th Feby 1847

Fort St. George 11th August 1846.

Subject of a correspondence noted in the margin—In their last Proceedings the Board have discussed the subject of these Balances at considerable length and as regards the details of them have called for a variety of information connected therewith—Not having received the requisite reply they have requested the Collector to expedite his answer, on receipt of which the Board trust they will be in a position to report fully for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council.

To Govt 22d September 1845
From Do 26th November Con 11th Dec.
To Collector 11th December—
From Coll 25th April Con 2d July 1846
Board's Proceedings 2d July—

Leaving outstanding arrears amounting to Rupees 24,000—0—0—10 it will be seen that the Net Collections of Fasly 1254 exceeded those of Fasly 1253 in the sum of Rupees 4287—11—3.

Para 19 10. The subjoined Comparative Statement embraces the financial results of the year under all the Heads of Revenue, Land and Extra Sources included, exhibits a Net Increase of Rupees 4722—10—7—

Items

10456 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Para 20. 11. At the close of his Report Mr. Hudleston explains the difficulty he has experienced in collecting the necessary particulars for the preparation of the annual account shewing the whole Ryacul Lands within the Collectorate called for by the Board, and expresses a hope that he will be able to supply it along with his Report for Fasly 1255. The season of Fasly 1253 that already expired, and the Board will consequently await the receipt of the report referred to.

12 Throughout the report under review the Board observe that the recovery of arrears or collections on account of former years is inconveniently, as well as unnecessarily blended with the details

10455 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.
Collectorate of Chingleput
Account and Current
Statement of Demand Outstanding and Collections for Fasly 1253

Sicca Rupees

	Demand	Outstanding	Collections
Land Revenue	516 83 2 1	61583 3 1/2	43915 1 6
Peishcush	66564 12 11	66583 3 6	49819 5
Salers of Salt	196493 4 6	196583 3 6	45543 11 8
Dy. of Monopoly Paper &c	14,113 14. .	54,33	8,621 5 6
Dy. of Land	443 8 .		
Sundry Small Items &c	11,604 6 10	11,654 4 9	146 13 11
Customs Canal	33,943 1 .	32084 10 3	1688 6 9
Customs Duty &c		476 4 4	476 4 4
Excise Revenue	14,533 8 4	14592 4 2	2463 4 5
Total	4,11,839 4 11	4,09,562 4 6	53,091 15 8

Deduct Decrease	1369 5 1	11369 5 1
Net Increase	4,522 10 5	41,722 10 5

Stores

Fasly 1253 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

10457

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

details of current Revenue. The object of this would seem to be a desire to give prominence to the amount of actual collections within the year, but the Board deem the practice objectionable, and they request that hereafter in discussing the subjects of "Current Revenue" and "arrears" the Collec will be pleased to keep them distinct and treat them as separate Topics.

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Collector of Madras for his information and guidance.

Read.

10458

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

Read again letter from the Collector of Madras dated 8th May and entered in Consultation 25th June last.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Madras

(73)

To the Coll.r of Madras.

Calling for further information regarding the claim to compensation referred to Lutchme Ummaul and Neelatachee Ummaul on certain premises on the North Beach taken possession of by Government in the year 1805

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 8th May reporting upon the claim upon Government preferred by Lutchme Ummaul and Neelatachee Ummaul.

In reply I am directed to inform you that it appears to the Board to be of importance that before addressing Government upon the subject, further enquiry should be made with a view to ascertain satisfactorily whether the house and Ground on account of which the Petitioners claim compensation, and to which the title deed specified in Para 11 of your letter refer, are identical with the premises taken possession of by Government in the year 1805 in virtue of the arrangement concluded between

10459 Fort St. George 10th August 1826

between the Honble Company's Solicitor and T. Coondapah Moodely. The plan sent to this Office in Mr. Collector Ogilvie's letter of 22d May 1805 was forwarded to Government and does not appear to have been returned. The Board have applied to Government for that plan but neither that nor any others likely to throw light upon the Petitioners claim seem to be now forthcoming either in the Government Office or in the records of the Engineer Department. The Board however request that you will be so good as to cause further search to be made in your Office for "the plan of the different "spots of Ground taken possession of in 1805" alluded to in Para 10 of your letter and for any others connected with the alteration made upon the North Beach in 1805 which may be likely to assist in the disposal of the subject, and that you will also examine the title deeds produced by the Petitioners and ascertain whether the site and boundaries of the Ground to which those documents relate are so clearly specified in them as to admit of being verified at present. As the case now stands

10460 Fort St. George 10th August 1826

stands, there seems to be little reason to doubt that a certain House and Ground supposed to belong to T. Coondapah Moodely were taken possession of by Government in 1805; but it is not conclusively shewn that this property is the same as that to which the title deeds brought forward by the Petitioners have reference.—

Revd Bd. Office
Fort St. George
10th August 1826

(Signed) T. Pycroft
Secretary

Read the following letter

T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(74)

From the Coll. of Madras. Reporting that Mr. J. H. Keeffie has been appointed to act in the capacity of Assistant to the Superintendent of Cochrane's Canal and Rs. 2-8 per Mensem & submitting a Statement of certain Articles which

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of an Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 30th April last, and to report that some time before the death of the late Mr. R. W. Meppen, and, in advertence to the orders of Government on his address, Mr. J. H. Keeffie waited upon me and requested that he might be employed as Superintendent of Cochrane's

Canal

which were landed at a short distance from the Toll Office in each of the last 13 years.—

To B.C. 18th March /40 P. 7.
To D.C. 23d June /41 P. 3.—

canal, in the event of the situation becoming vacant, when I informed him that, from his advanced age, it did not appear to me that I could give him the appointment, even if I were to pass over the claims of Mr. Messpen's Assistant, who acted for him from time to time, and whose services were formerly reported upon to the Board on more occasions than one—

2 Mr. Messpen having died on the 9th April, Mr. Heefke sent me, on the day following, his application for the situation of the party referred to in my previous communication with him and I accordingly appointed him, on the 16th of the same Month, to act in the Capacity of Assistant, on Rupees 24—8—, the Salary drawn by the Acting Superintendent being Rupees 70 per Mensem—

3. Paras 2 and 3 of the letter to the Board dated 18th March 1840, No. 34 afford explanation relative to the duties required to be performed by the Superintendent and his Assistant respectively, whereby it will be seen that their constant attendance at the Toll Office is almost indispensible—

4. Firewood, in large quantities, is landed at the Basin, and removed to a piece of Ground on the North Esplanade which

which has been allotted for the purpose at the distance of a few yards from the Toll Office—

5. Brushwood, in half bundles, is landed, and exposed for sale, along the Southern bank of the Canal, at the distance of 30 to 80 Yards from the Toll Office and, within the same distance, Grain also is landed, but which is not deposited there, it being removed, immediately on payment of the Government dues into Black Town and other parts of Madras—

6. On the Northern bank of the Canal, Firewood is landed at the distance of 20 to 100 Yards from the Toll Office, and is piled at the different Depots in the neighbourhood and within 100 to 260 Yards further North, Shells are landed, and removed to the Kilns belonging to private individuals—

7. The quantity of each of the articles thus landed along the Southern and Northern lines of the Canal in each of the last three years, is exhibited in the accompanying Statement—

Enclosure A

Madras 2nd July 1846

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

Statement

10463

Fort St George

Statement shewing the Quantity of certain Articles landed every year at some distance from the Toll Office for 3 years, or from 1843 to 1845.—

10th August 1846.

Years	From 30 to 80 yards on the South side of the Toll Office		From 30 to 80 yards on the South side of the Toll Office		From 20 to 100 yards on the North side of the Toll Office		From 100 to 260 yards on the North side of the Toll Office	
	No of Boats	Quantity of Faggots	No of Boats	Quantity of Grains	No of Boats	Quantity of Firewood	No of Boats	Quantity of Shells
From 1st Jan.y to 31st December 1843	1357	160655 Bundles	357	686¼ Garces	769	9247238 Billets	855	39145 Parahs
From 1st January to 31st December 1844	476	151940½ D.o	413	895 D.o	729	9006566 D.o	1289	74738¼ D.o
From 1st January to 31st December 1845	1279	160426¼ D.o	498	1031¼ D.o	657	8240989 D.o	1343	68619½ D.o
Total	3812	473021¾ Bundles	1298	2612¼ Garces	2155	26494793 Billets	3487	182532¾ Parahs

Madras
2nd July 1846

(Signed) J. A. Hudleston
Collector

From—

10464 Fort St. George 10th. August 1846

Resolution thereon - From what is stated in the
(74-75)
foregoing letter the Board see no
reason to doubt that the duty of
collecting the Tolls on Cochrane's
Canal may continue, as formerly
to be discharged with efficiency by
two Officers, the Superintendent and
his Assistant, the former on the
pay of 70 Rupees per Mensem.
If the person now Acting as
Superintendent be qualified for the si-
-tuation he may be confirmed in it,
and his appointment conferred on
Mr. Heyfke.-

Read the following
letter.-

To

10465 Fort St. George 16th August 1846

To T. Pycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(76)

From the Collr. of Madras and Regr. of Govt. Grants -

Explanatory Statement from the Collection of Madras and Regr. of Govt. Grants - Application Original assignment dated 18th April 1845 - Translation of a Bill of Sale from certain Pondars to Mr. John Sullivan dated 28th March 1828. Plan Letter from the Collr. of Coimbatore 1st Decr. 1845 Copy of Do. to Do. 15th Do. Letter from Do. 11th June 46 Copy of Do. 20th Do. Letter from Do. 13th July -

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, the papers noted in the Margin relative to a lease applied for by Brigadier J. W. Cleveland, Commanding Trichinopoly, for certain Ground, Cs. 2-23-789. at Potacamund on the Neilgherries in the Collectorate of Coimbatore.-

Madras ---
21st July 1846 } Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Collector and Regr.
of Govt. Grants.-

Description.

10466.

10th August 1846

Government of Fort St. George.—

No.	Name of the proprietor	Situation of the land granted on Grancy.	Remarks of the Collector of Madras.—	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Decision of Government.—
1.	Brigadier J. W. Cleve = land, Com = manding the Trichinopoly	Within the Cantonment of Ootatoor on the Island of Seringham	It is recommended that sanction may be obtained for the lease applied for in this case being issued at the rates of assessment referred to in Col.— 9.—		

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston

Collector and Regr. of Govt. Grants—

To

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

No. 2

To The Principal Collector

Sir,

Coimbatore

I have the honor to request you will procure a Company's Grant for the House and Ground held by me as herein described.—

/Signed/ J. W. Cleveland. Bde

Comm.g Pricinopoly

26th November 1845—3

Situation of the Grounds	Cawnies	Grounds	Feet	Nature of the soil	How laid out and what building on it	North by	South by	East by	West by	Remarks
Lay under the declivity of Coll. Cann Poulton's House and Grounds	—	—	—	none.—						
Enclosed by J. Sullivan Esqre a boat ten years ago.—	—	—	—	Soil						
Transferred by W. Harrington Esqre attorney to Mr. Sullivan	—	—	—	Gravel and Black Soil	Small Garden in front a Cottage, godown to the extention of ground attached to.	Nullah	Public Road	Public Road	Stone House Property	
	Two			Twenty three						
	Twenty three			Seven hundred and eighty nine						

I do hereby Certify that the Ground above described is within the limits of the Cantonment, and that there is no objection to its being given to the Applicant as public grounds.—

/Signed/ P. Thomson

Joint Magistrate

Neilgherries.—

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

No. 3.

I do hereby by Virtue of the power in me vested by John Sullivan Esqre transfer to Colonel Cleveland, Brigadier Commanding Pricinopoly, his Heirs, Executors, and Assigns, the property at Ootacamund, known by the name of Stonehouse Cottage, and the land pertaining to it to the extent of two Cawnies Twenty three Grounds, and Seven hundred and Eighty nine Square feet, as per accompanying Plan, for the sum of Three thousand Rupees, to be paid by six Monthly instalments of Rupees 500 Five hundred each, the first payable in next Month.—

Ootacamund 15th April 1845

/Signed/ Wm. Harrington

Constituted Attorny of

John Sullivan Esqre

Witnesses— S. James.—

Lewis Annam.—

No. 4

Bill of Sale executed in favor of John Sullivan Esqre Principal Collector of Coimbatore by three persons namely, Kairavan alias John, younger brother of Pauraijoo Cuttarun and Oppichee late of Ootacamund in Todanaad on the Neilgherry Hills in the Talook of Danaikencottah under date the 25th March 1828

Corresponding

corresponding with the 14th Pongony of the year Sarwajeet.—

The Spot of Ground on which your Stonehouse Bungalow together with the Garden attached to it is situated on the Hill known by the name of Narrarwar at Ootacamund, is bounded on the East by a spot near the horse stables where we had once been in the habit of enclosing our Cattle, on the West by the Nullah denominated Karoowakee which lay behind the said Bungalow /Stonhouse/ On the South by Kunnanoo Pollem which encloses your small Bungalow, and on the North by the Nullah called Pondalamuttoor running towards the Mund or Village of Cootary— The above land contained within these Boundaries, having been held by us for a long series of generations, we do hereby voluntarily sell it to you with the concurrence of our brothers &c.a for a sum of One hundred Rupees and declare that all our title to the said land shall cease from this date and that you are entitled to have a peaceful enjoyment thereof. Thus we have executed this bill of sale with our perfect free will

Witnesses

/Signed/ in Persian/

Neesurdeen Duffadar | Do | Karavan or John

/Marked/ Gondakeella Gottookar | /Do/ | Kalaven

of the Todaur | Copa Ikee

/Signed in Canarese/ The name is not intelligible

/Signed/ Moorooga /pia Moodely

Huzzoor Gomasta

A true translation

/Signed/ Wm Harrington

Attorney for J. Sullivan Esq.re

No 5.— Plan

No 6.—

To J. A. Hudleston Esq.re

Collector and Regr. of Government Grants.—

Sir,

I have the honor to forward an Application dated 26th Ultimo, from Brigadier J. W. Cleveland soliciting a Government Grant for his Property at Ootacamund—

A Plan of the Ground, and the original Assignment of the Property are also transmitted herewith.—

Coimbatore— 1st December 1845

/Signed/ J. C. Houghton

Asst. Collr.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

No. 7.

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore.—

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date 1st instant and to request that you will be good enough to ascertain, if practicable, from whom Mr. Sullivan obtained possession of the Ground now sold to Brigadier Cleveland, and whether he did not then get some bill of Sale or other title deed, and further whether the entry in the Brigadiers present application that the Ground was "enclosed by J. Sullivan Esqre about ten years ago" is correct.—

Madras
15th Decr. 1845

/Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Coll.r and Regr. of
Govt. Grants

No. 8

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector and Regr. of
Government Grants.
Madras.

Sir,

1. I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of communication from Brigadier Cleveland bearing date the 25th December 1845 affording the

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

the information called for in your letter of the 15th of that Month.—

2. I have not the means of ascertaining whether the entry in the Brigadier's application that the Ground was "enclosed by John Sullivan Esqre ten years ago" is correct or otherwise.—

Coimbatore
June 11th 1846

/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Princ.l Collector

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 19th Instant, with its Enclosure the only information I can obtain relating to extract of letter from Collector Pea.s of Government Grants, is that I have seen a Bill of Sale now in possession of W. Harrington Esqr. dated 25th March 1828 executed by certain Poodars, in favor of John Sullivan Esquire for a tract of land on which 'Stonehouse' and 'Stonehouse Cottage' are built, the dimensions of the same are not laid down in the Bill of Sale, but the Ground in question appears to have been made over by these Poodars to John Sullivan Esquire in perpetuity

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

perpetuity, for the consideration of One hundred Rupees.—

Trichinopoly
25th Decr 1846 } /Signed/ J. H. Cleveland
Brigr Commg Trichinopoly

"A true Copy"
/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Prin.l Coll.r

No. 9.

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 11th instant—

2. As it appears from Brigadier Cleveland's communication to your address that Mr. W. Harrington is now in possession of a bill of sale in favor of Mr. John Sullivan executed by certain Polygars on the 28th March 1828. I request that in the event of its being found that the Deed has exclusive reference to the premises assigned by Mr. Harrington on the 15th April 1845 you will be good enough to obtain the same, in order that pursuant to the usual practice, it may be attached to any lease which Government may—

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

may authorize being issued to Brigadier Cleveland—

Madras ---
20th June 1846 } /Signed/ J. A. Hudleston
Coll.r and Reg.r of
Government Grants—

No. 10

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector and Regr of
Government Grants.—

Sir,

Adverting to your letter of the 20th Ultimo, I have the honor to forward Copy of communication from Brigadier Cleveland dated the 9th instant together with Copy of the Bill of Sale therein referred.—

Cotamungalum
July 13th 1846— } /Signed/ J. C. Wroughton
Prin.l Coll.r.—

To J. C. Wroughton Esqre
Principal Collector of
Coimbatore—

Sir,

In reply to your communication of the 25th Ultimo, I have the honor to state, that the Original Bill of Sale, has been mislaid, but Mr. Harrington has been kind enough to furnish me with a translation of the same, which

10475. Fort St. George 10th August 1846

which I trust may suffice to enable the Regr. of Government Grants to comply with my application.—

If at any future period, I should be enabled to procure the Original Bill of Sale, I will not fail to forward it to you.—

Trichinopoly 9th July 1846.— /Signed/ J. W. Cleveland Comdg. Trichinopoly

"A true Copy"
/Signed/ J. C. Wroughton.—
Print. Coll.r

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address.—

76-77

To The Chief Secy to Government

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter and its enclosures from the Collector of Madras and Register of Govt. Grants having reference to an application from Brigadier J. W. Cleveland for a lease of certain land measuring Cawds 2-23-789 situated at Ootacamund on the Neilgherry Hills.—

2. It will be seen that the land was originally the property of certain Poadars who sold it in 1828 to Mr. John Sullivan, whose attorney Mr. Harrington, has transferred the property to the present applicant.

3. Under these circumstances the Board recommend that sanction be granted for the issue of the lease applied for, at the rates of assessments proposed in Col. 9 of the Statement No. 1 which accompanies Mr. Hudleston's letter above referred to.—

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George 10th August 1846. /Signed/ J. Bycroft, Secretary

Read.

21st July and 6 Cos 10th Augt 1846 2,222

J. G. D.

10476. Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Read the following letter

To J. Bycroft Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

Sir,

78

Capt. A. T. Bruce Esqre Superintendt of Stamps. Para 1.

Forwarding Copy of Correspondence with the Collector of Madras relative to 654 Rolls of Stampt Papers to the Value of C. Rupees 9,689-8- Some wholly and others partially destroyed by white Ants and under the explanation offered soliciting sanction for writing off the amount to Profit and Loss.

I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to lay before the President and Members of the Board of Revenue the accompanying Copy of correspondence that has passed between this Office and the Collector of Madras from the Collector dated 21st June 1846. with Statement and three declarations marked A.B.C.&D. relative to 654 Rolls &c of Stampt Papers to the Value of C. Rupees 9,689-8. To the Collector dated 23d July 1846. From Do dated 18th — — — To the Collector dated 18th — — — From Do dated 17th — — — Some of which are wholly and others partially destroyed by white Ants.

DESTROYED

The Board will observe from

2. Mr. Hudleston's letter of the 24th Ultimo that he considers the destruction of the Papers to be accidental and that no blame is attributable to any person in his Department; but it is obvious that due inspection and care could not have been exercised by those immediately entrusted with their custody as the ravages of these insects might have been prevented and it will be necessary in future that the Subordinate Officers should

should be held responsible to the Collectors for the careful preservation of this species of public property I would venture on this condition to request that the Board will be good enough to obtain the sanction of Government for my writing off the amount to profit and loss.

3. It will also be observed that of the ten Rolls of high Value from Rupees 150 and upwards as per margin none of them is stated to have been entirely destroyed. Five bore the Treasury Stamps only and the remaining four bore neither the Stamps of this Office nor that of the Treasury and at this distant period the people in my Establishment are unable to say whether the four Rolls without either of the Stamps are the same that were issued from this Office in consequence of the Paper having been supplied by different Contractors on each occasion and not having therefore been alike.

1	Roll of	150 Rupees.
1	Do. of	250 "
1	Do. of	350 "
2	Do. of	600 "
2	Do. of	750 "
1	Do. of	1,500 "
2	Do. of	2,000 "

4 In conclusion as a check against fraud I would suggest that the Collector of Madras be requested to keep a Register of the Stamp't papers of high value signed by him prior to immediate sale and that the Register should form a part of the Periodical returns he is called on to make to this Office

Fort St. George
General Stamp Office
20th July 1846.

Signed A. J. Bruce
Superintendent of Stamps

To

J. C. Morris Esqre
Superintendent of Stamps
Fort St. George.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to transmit
Enclosure A herewith a Statement of Stampt papers some of which were wholly and some partially destroyed by white ants amounting to Rupees 989-3-0

2. On the 19th February last I examined the Stock and found it to correspond with the entries in the Statement forwarded in my letter of date the 20th of the Month following.

3. There is an Almeira in the Treasury which contained the whole of the Stampt papers and Cadjans all valuable papers (10 in number) from Rupees 150 and upwards amounting to Rupees 8250 as per margin and corresponding with my Statement of the 20th March were secured in a Pigeon hole which has a small door to it under lock and key and the key has always been kept by the Sheristadars. This Pigeon hole was not opened subsequently to my last examination of the Stock. The rest of the papers and Cadjans being exclusively in charge of the Vender Parthasarady Chetty he opened.

1 of	150 Rs.	150.
1	250	250
1	350	350
2	500	1000
2	750	1500
1	1000	1000.
2	2,000	4,000

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

pined it frequently to take out such as were required for sale.-

4. On opening the almeira on the 22d Ultimo the Vender saw some white ants in several of the Pigeon holes below the one in which the ten valuable papers were locked up & although they were not seen there some days previously, yet 644 other papers to the amount of Rupees 1439- 8- - were found to be destroyed. He brought this immediately to the notice of the Acting Sheristadar D. Venkatasoobiah and having taken from him the key of the Pigeon hole that was locked up he in company with D. Venkatasoobiah proceeded to the Treasury and discovered that the whole of the papers lodged in the said Pigeon hole were also destroyed. On these facts being reported to me, I inspected in person the Almeira and the papers to which my attention was called and from the enquiries made by me immediately and afterwards it is evident that the destruction of the papers was entirely accidental and was by no means to be attributed to want of care or to inattention on the part of the Vender.-

5. I have reason to believe that the white ants in the first instance got into the

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

the Pigeon hole that was locked up and that they afterwards passed into the other part of the almeira.-

6. The almeira was kept in so secure a place that it could not have been suspected that the Cadjans and papers would have sustained injury from any cause whatever but I must now take greater precaution to ensure their safety.-

7. The state in which the papers were found to be on the 22d Ultimo is explained in the present Statement and I enclose the declaration of the acting Sheristadar the Vender of Stamps and the Cash Keeper respectively which it will be observed are confirmatory of my view of the case.-

Encls. A B C & D.

8. I beg leave therefore to return herewith the papers described in the Statement in question as having been but partially destroyed and request that you will be good enough under the circumstances to procure for me the necessary sanction to deduct from my accounts the value of the whole Rupees 9,689- 8- -

Madras.-
24th June 1846.

[Signed] J. A. Huddleston
Collector

Statement.

10481-

A

Fort St. George

Statement of Stampt Papers which have been wholly destroyed Stamps in consequence of their having been only partially so

Size	Description.	Both the Stamps perceptible		Only the Treasury Stamp perceptible		Entirely destroyed				Remarks.
		Rolls	Value	Rolls	Value	Rolls	Value	Rolls	Value	
		1	2		3	4		5		
			Rs A		Rs A	Rs A		Rs A		
4 Annas	Stampt Papers	107	26 12	"	"	27	6 12	134	33 8	
8	Do Do	12	6 "	"	"	36	18 "	48	24 "	
1 Rupee	Do	8	8 "	"	"	20	20 "	28	28 "	Of the 644 Rolls here referred to
2 Rupees	Do	11	22 "	1	2 "	257	514 "	269	538 "	477 having been wholly destroyed
4	Do Do	26	104 "	"	"	128	512 "	154	616 "	the remaining 167 are returned
8	Do Do	"	"	"	"	3	24 "	3	24 "	herewith:-
16	Do Do	"	"	"	"	3	48 "	5	80 "	
32	Do Do	"	"	"	"	3	96 "	3	96 "	
150	Do Do	"	"	"	"	1	150 "	1	150 "	Of these Ten Rolls which were secured
250	Do Do	"	"	"	"	1	250 "	1	250 "	in one of the Pigeon holes of an almira
350	Do Do	"	"	"	"	1	350 "	1	350 "	only one is entirely destroyed and the
500	Do Do	"	"	"	"	2	1,000 "	2	1,000 "	others are partially destroyed Five of
750	Do Do	"	"	"	"	2	1,500 "	2	1,500 "	them have the treasury Stamps only
1,000	Do Do	"	"	"	"	1	1,000 "	1	1,000 "	and both stamps on the remaining
2,000	Do Do	"	"	"	"	2	4,000 "	2	4,000 "	four have been destroyed - The whole
		166	198 12	1	2 "	487	9,488 12	654	9,689 8	of the nine Rolls which are returned

herewith are in consequence entered in C & L.

N B. Total No of Rolls referred to above 654
wholly destroyed 478
Returned herewith 176

Madras
24th June 1846

10th August 1846.

and others which are returned to the Superintendent of destroyed by white Ants.-

Signed J. A. Huddleston
Collector.

Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

B

I, D. Venkatasobyah acting Sheristadar do hereby declare that M Parthasarady Chetty Stamp Vender has reported to me on the 22d May last that on opening the Almeira to take out some Stamp Papers which were kept and secured in it in the Treasury room he found the Pigeon holes in the Almeira was full of white ants; that he then and there told the Cash keeper and the Head Shroff the former always sits by the side and the latter in front of the said Almeira and that he in their presence took out paper from One of the Pigeon holes which was full of white ants. He in consequence came to me and asked me to give him the key of one of the Pigeon holes of the said Almeira in which papers of highest

1	Roll of 150	Rupees	150	"	"
1	Do.	250	"	250	"
1	Do.	350	"	350	"
2	Do.	500	"	1,000	"
2	Do.	750	"	1,500	"
1	Do.	1,000	"	1,000	"
2	Do.	2,000	"	4000	"
10	Rolls		Rupees	8,250	"

value as the margin were kept and secured the Key of which being kept in my desk I sent it immediately in Charge of a Peon attached to my Department and he followed him and on opening the said Pigeon hole in the presence of the above mentioned Officers I found

Fort St. George 10th August 1846

found that it was full of white ants. Of the ten Rolls in the margin one Roll is not in existence and must therefore have been entirely destroyed and the others were partially destroyed that is five of them having Treasury Stamps only and the remainder four have none of the Stamps. all other papers in the other Pigeon hole were taken out afterwards in the presence of myself Cash keeper and Head Shroff, they were also damaged and destroyed. I immediately ordered to take out all the Stamp Papers &c. cleared the Almeira. I thereupon ordered to clean all papers which was covered with White ants and then secured them in a Desk in the presence of the said Cash keeper and Head Shroff and sealed it with Circar seal

I and Stamp Vender commenced examination of the Papers on the following day and after great labour and difficulty we have been able to ascertain the loss of the Papers. A Statement of which is herewith submitted for your information.

I fully believe and most solemnly declare that the loss of the Papers in question is purely accidental.

I further declare to the best of my knowledge and belief that the Pigeon hole

hole in which the Ten Papers of higher Value were kept and locked was not opened after examination was made by the Collector on the 20th February 1846 nor do I recollect that the Stamp Vender having afterwards asked me for the key of the said Pigeon hole or having given to him

Madras
5th June 1846.

(Signed) D. Venkatasoobyah.
Acting Sheristadar.

Translation of a Deposition given before J. A Huddleston Esqre Collector of Madras by Parthasarady Chetty Stamp Vender dated 5th June 1846.

In the Woozoor Treasury room Stampt papers & Cadjans are secured in the Pigeon holes of an Almeira and locked up When they are required for sale I open the Almeira and take some of them and then lock up the Almeira the key of which is always in my possession I examine the Papers and Cadjans from time to time and report the result Stampt Papers and Cadjans which remained in the Almeira up to 19th February 1846 were examined by the Collector and the result was reported to the Superintendent of Stamps on the 20th March last up to that date and even subsequently they were found in a proper

proper state The Sudr Court having adjourned from the 27th April there was not much sale of Papers I did not open the Almeira for 10 or 15 days previous to the 22d Ultimo. On the 22d May One Roll of 1 Rupee paper was required I then opened the said Almeira to take it out when I found some of the Pigeon holes full of white ants and the Stampt Papers destroyed by them. It was 3 O'Clock P. M. I immediately on seeing the Papers in that state I reported the same to Venkatasoobyah Acting Sheristadar. and asked him for the Key of one of the Pigeon holes in which Papers of high Value were kept and locked up he accordingly sent the key in charge of his Peon and followed me to the Treasury room I opened the said Pigeon hole which I found was full of white ants and the papers secured therein were destroyed by them.

The following Stampt Papers were kept in the said Pigeon hole.-

1	Roll of	150	Rupees	150.
1	Do. of	250	Do.	250
1	Do. of	350	Do.	350
2	Do. of	500	Do.	1000
2	Do. of	750	Do.	1500
2	Do. of	1000	Do.	2000
1	Do. of	1600	Do.	1600
2	Do. of	2000	Do.	4000
			Total Rupees	8250.-

Total Rupees 10

Fort St. George 10th August 1846-

I then took out the Stampt Papers and Cadjans which were kept in the other Pigeon hole in the presence of the Acting Sheristadar Cash keeper and Head Shroff Those papers also were destroyed. after the white ants were taken out the Stampt papers were put into a Desk in the Treasury and it was afterwards locked up and sealed with the Company's seal by the Acting Sheristadar in presence of the Cash keeper and Head Shroff-

/Signed/ M. Parthasarady Chitty
Stamp Vender-

D

Translation of a Deposition given before J. A. Hudleston Esqre Collector of Madras, by Casavapilly Cash keeper-

1st Parthasarady Chetty Stamp Agent secures Stampt papers and Stamp Cadjans in an Almeira which is locked up in the Cash room where I am seated-

2d Whenever he requires Stampt Papers and Cadjans for sale he opens the Almeira and takes them from it and locks it up again-

3d On the 22d May last when he opened the Almeira, some of the Pigeon holes were found fully covered with white ants and the Stampt papers therein destroyed by them- it was then about 3 O Clock

Fort St. George 10th August 1846-

in the afternoon. I have seen with my own eyes the Pigeon holes fully covered with white ants and the Stampt papers destroyed by them-

4th When I was there the Stamp Agent reported the same to the Sheristadar who immediately got into the room and saw the whole We opened the Pigeon hole in the Almeira in which Stamp papers of high value were secured and examined them- I have seen with my own eyes that it was fully covered with white ants and that the Stampt papers therein were destroyed by them. Viz. some three Quarter some half and some one quarter-

5th As to the Stampt papers which remained in the other Pigeon holes in the Almeira some of them were destroyed by white ants little & some more-

6th Afterwards the Sheristadar got the remnants separated from the white ants and secured them in a Desk in the Cash room under the Circar Seal-

This is what I know-

/Signed/ A. Casavapillay
Cash keeper-

6th June 1846-

To J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Collector of Madras-

Sir, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to inform me in

10488- Fort St. George 10th August 1846-

in regard to the practice adopted by you in attaching your signature to the Stampt Papers forwarded to you for sale whether the whole of the Papers are endorsed by you on receipt or as each Paper comes to be sold and on what particular part of the paper your signature is affixed.

Fort St. George -
General Stamp Office
11th July 1846 -

/ Signed / A. J. Bruce
Superintendent of Stamps-

To
A. J. Bruce Esqre
Superintendent of Stamps-
Fort St. George-

Sir

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 11th Instant and to state that in pursuance of the long established practice the Collector signs a few of those papers which are more generally in demand in order that the Vender may have it in his power to deliver them immediately on his being applied to for the same. The signature is affixed on that part of the second side where the entry of the purchasers name &c. is made a paper of 4 annas value bearing such Signature &c. is sent herewith for your inspection and I request that you will be good enough to return it when no longer required.

Madras -
13th July 1846 -

/ Signed / J. A. Huddleston
Collector.

To

10489- Fort St. George 10th August 1846-

To
J. A. Huddleston Esqre
Collector of Madras-

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 13th Instant and request that you will be good enough to inform me if you can certify to how many of the Stampt Papers forwarded with your letter of the 24th Ultimo your signature or that of your Predecessor is affixed on the second side where the entry of the purchasers name &c. is made-

The 4 Annas Paper bearing your Signature sent for inspection is herewith returned.

Fort St. George -
General Stamp Office
11th July 1846 -

/ Signed / A. J. Bruce.
Superintendent of Stamps-

To
A. J. Bruce Esqre
Superintendent of Stamps.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter under date the 11th Instant and to state that it not being customary to Keep a Register of the Stampt papers signed by the Collector under the Circumstances brought to your notice in my communication of date the 13th, I am unable to certify to what number of those forwarded to your Office on

10490 — Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

on the 24th Ultimo my signature or that of my Deputy
Redeeming has been affixed on the second side where
the purchaser's name &c is made.

Madras 17th July 1846. } (Signed) J. A. Huddleston.
Collector.

Ordered that the foregoing letter
be submitted with the following address.

(78-79)

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

To The Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to submit for the orders of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Superintendent of Stamps forwarding Copy of the correspondence which has passed between his Office and the Collector of Madras relative to 654 rolls of Stamped Papers some of which are wholly and others partially destroyed by white ants and requesting that the value Rupees 9689-8 may be written off in the Public Accounts. The Board beg to recommend that this request be complied with.

It will be seen that the papers in question were examined by the Collector on the 19th February last & found to be in good order & that they must have been destroyed between that date & 22nd May the day on which the damage occasioned by the white Ants was discovered. The destruction of the papers was probably under all the circumstances an event which the Collector had no reason to anticipate but the Board will instruct him to be careful that these papers are inspected more frequently in future & they will require him to keep the Register suggested by the Superintendent of Stamps in Para 4 of his letter.

Revenue Board Office — Fort St. George 10th August 1846. } (Signed) J. Tytler Secretary
Read

21st July in & Cont. 10th August 1846

10491 — Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Read the following letter.

To The Secretary Board of Revenue.

(80)

Messrs Parry & Co. affording information connected with the supply of firewood for the use of their Sugar Manufactory at Cuddalore in relation to an application from the Porto Novo Iron Company for the lease of certain Jungles in South Arcot.

Sir,

We have the honor to acknowledge your letter of the 11th Instant with the Enclosure requesting us to afford the Board certain information connected with the supply of firewood for the use of our Sugar Manufactory at Cuddalore in relation to an application from the Porto Novo Iron Company for the lease from year to year of certain Jungles in South Arcot we with pleasure give this information.

In the years 1843 and 1844 our supplies of firewood were principally drawn from the Jungles of Bowangherry Trindy and part of Chellumbrum in the first year we drew and in this year we continue to draw these Jungles from Conjeveram, Chingleput, Manapilly Choultry and all the Jungles along the Sea board between Cuddalore and Madras conveying them by means of Boats to Cuddalore.

1843 — { 1st Sort 7,600 / 2nd do 3,200 } Billets and no Pegods

1844 — { 1st Sort 7,800 / 2nd Do 3,200 } Billets per one Pegody but they

1845 — { 1st Sort 7,800 / 2nd Do 3,200 } Billets per one Pegody but they

state that the 1st Sort of 1845 is the same as the 2nd Sort of 1844 & that whilst the fuel is nominally cheaper the price per load has increased in the proportion of 7,800 to 3,200 or as 7 to 3. We do not pay a higher price for fuel per 1,000 Billets than when the supplies were drawn from the Jungles leased to the Porto Novo Company, but the expense of working up for that Company has been reduced about 30 per Cent. Our Superintendent ascribes this reduction to the wood being larger than that which was used in 1843 and 1844 probably to a great extent owing to increased experience in the use of the fuel. The Statement of the Manager & Director of the Porto Novo Company that the postponement of the Jungles applied for by the Company will not affect the Interests of the Manufacturers established at Cuddalore, seems consistent with the fact. We do not however object to the postponement of these extensive leases if it be inconsistent with the Interests of the Public, in the event of the continuance of Iron Cultivation in those quarters, when of the cheapness and superior quality of the Charcoal prepared from Jungle fuel.

Madras 24th June 1846. } (Signed) Parry & Co.

(80-81)

Ordered to be sent again in Cultivation
Read

10492 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

Read the undermentioned Statements

(82) From the Acting Coll.r of North Arcot. Statement of Contingent Charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred for the quarter ending 30th June last.

(83) From the Acting Sub Treasurer. Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Month of June last.

(84) From the Acting Secretary to the Govt. Savings Bank. Statement of Contingent Charges incurred for the Month of June last.

(82-85) Order on the 3 abovementioned Statements. Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

(86) From the Coll.r of Ganjam. Statement shewing the wholesale prices of the principal sorts of Grain up to 20th July 1846.

(87) From the Coll.r of Bellary. Statement shewing the rates of prices of Grain up to 20th July 1846.

(88) From the Coll.r of Tanjore. Statement shewing the average bazar wholesale prices of Grain up to 20th July 1846.

(89) From the Acting Coll.r of Tinnevelly. Statement of the prices of the principal kinds of Grain up to 20th July 1846.

(90) From the Principal Coll.r of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt up to 20th June 1846.

Statement

10495 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

To The Collector of South Arcot.

(91) From the Principal Coll.r of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt up to 30th June 1846.

(92) From the Principal Coll.r of Coimbatore. Statement shewing the bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt up to 10th July 1846.

(86-93) Order on the 7 abovementioned Statements. Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Lists.

(94) From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

(95) From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered up to 30th June last.

(94-96) Order on the 2 abovementioned Lists. Ordered to be entered in the Office.

Resolved that the following letter be dispatched

To The Chief Secretary to

10492 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

(97)
From the Act.

Read the undermentioned Statements

494 Fort St. George 10th August 1846

B. of P. & Govt. No. 204 } of 1846 in the Margin referred to, I have on the subject
From Actg Collr of Timmelly
13th inst. to 30th July 1846
13th Proceedings of Govt. 30th July.
of the Pawly Zemindary. The Board have also
had the honor of submitting the Acting Collector's
report on this question to Government.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th August 1846. } (Signed) J. Sycroft
Secretary

Resolved that the following
letters be dispatched.

To the Collr of Guntoor

(98)
To the Collr of Guntoor

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to remind you that the Statement of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last, which ought to have reached this Office on or before the 20th ultimo, has not yet been received, and to request you will transmit the same with as little delay as possible.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th August 1846.

J. Sycroft
Secretary

494 Fort St. George 10th August 1846.

To the Collector of South Arcot.

(99)
To the Collr of South Arcot.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying petition No. 215 of 1846 from Murugalunu Pillay complaining of the endorsement passed on his Petitions 1004 and 1036 of 1845 in which he gave information of certain frauds which he alleged to have occurred in your District.

The two first subjects referred to in the Petition Viz. the Collamalay rents and the Woodiampolliem allowances do not require further notice, but the Board request that you will furnish detailed explanations in regard to the exceptions taken by the Petitioner to the decisions which you have given on the other cases of asserted fraud represented in his Petition.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
10th August 1846. } (Signed) J. Sycroft
Secretary

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

(100)
From the Collr of Masulipatam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of June last.

(101)
From the Collr of Masulipatam. Statement shewing the Month of average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt

1107 Ord. 6 of 1st & George 10th August 1846.

Months of June last.

102 From the Collector of Nellore. Acknowledging the receipt of 500 Salt Rewanahs.

103 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Return of persons suspended and dismissed under Regulation VII of 1831 during the Months of June last.

104 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Return of persons suspended and dismissed for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

105 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

106 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

107 From the Collr. of Rajahmundry. Stating that no revenue defaulters were confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

Returned

1108 Ord. 1st & George 10th August 1846.

108 From the Collector of Tanjore. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1831 for the Months of June last.

109 From the Collector of Tanjore. Return of revenue defaulters confined in Jail for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

110 From the Collector of Tanjore. Return of fines imposed and stoppages made from the pay of public servants for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

111 From the Collector of Tanjore. Return of alterations that have occurred in the list of fines and stoppages for the Quarter ending 30th June last.

112 From the Collector of Tanjore. Stating that no were confined in Jail during the Months of

1798 Port St. George 10th August 1798

From the Collector of Sayer Abbey &c. to the Commissioners for inquiring into the Duties, Fees, Offices and Revenues of this Province.

113

Sayer Office, 10th August 1798.

Gentlemen,

In obedience to your Circular Letter of the 20th ultimo, I have the honor to transmit you herewith a Return of the Fees received at this Office during the Quarter ending 30th June last.

I am, Gentlemen,

Your most obedient humble Servant,

J. H. Brown

To the Commissioners &c. &c. &c.

Port St. George