Block 7
Rack No. 47
Shelf No. 1278
Serial No. 1905
General No 16111

1905

2892/75

Diary

19th Feby. Sent the following letter:—

(1)

To the Collector of Bellary.

To the Collr. of Bellary } Sir,

In Cont. 29th Jany. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will have the goodness to report the strength of the several Military Guards &c. which it is proposed in your letter of the 17th January last to dispense with.—

Revenue Bd. Office Fort St. George 19th February 1844 } /Signed/ C. C. Lovell
A. Sec.

19th Feby.— Sent the following letter

(2)

To The Collector of Madras.

To the Collr. of Madras } Sir,

In Cont. 1st Feby. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 27th January last objecting to the withdrawal of the Military Guard hitherto furnished for the protection of your Treasury.—

2 The Board request that you will have the goodness to state what may be the average maximum sums of Cash deposited in your Treasury under your present arrangements, and also the nature and value of the Securities mentioned in your letter to the Town Major.—

Revenue Bd. Office Fort St. George 19th February 1844 } /Signed/ C. C. Lovell
A. Sec.

At

3278. Fort St. George &c. February 1844.

Diary

19th Feby. Sent the following letter:—

(1)

To the Collr. of Bellary — Sir,

In Corr. 29th Jany. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will have the goodness to report the strength of the several Military Guards &c. which it is proposed in your letter of the 17th January last to dispense with.—

Revenue Bd. Office Fort St. George 19th February 1844 — /Signed/ C. C. Lovell A. Sec.y

19th Feby.— Sent the following letter

(2)

To The Collector of

To the Collr. of Madras — Sir,

In Corr. 1st Feby. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 27th January last objecting to the withdrawal of the Military Guard hitherto furnished for the protection of your Treasury.—

2 The Board request that you will have the goodness to state what may be the average maximum sums of Cash deposited in your Treasury under your present arrangements, and also the nature and value of the Securities mentioned in your letter to the Town Major.—

Revenue Bd. Office Fort St. George 19th February 1844 — /Signed/ C. C. Lovell A. Sec.y

At

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

At a Meeting Present.

Thursday 22nd February 1844

John Dent Esqr. Senior Member
A. Robertson Esqr. Second Member
and
Walter Elliot Esqr. Third Member

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.—

Read again Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of Government dated 12th September 1843 transmitting Copy of a letter from the Honorable Court of Directors dated 21st June 1843 No. 13.—

In Cons. 5th Octr. 1843

3

Proceedings thereon.

The orders contained in Para 3 of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation to a detailed Survey and Map of the Neilgherry Hills will receive the Board's consideration in the Department of Public Works,—Resolved accordingly that a Copy of the above Para and of Para 14 of the Honorable Court's letter to which it refers, be furnished to that Department.

Ordered

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

Order thereon.

3-4

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Proceedings be transmitted to the Secretary to the Department of Public Works.—

Read the following Extracts

From the Chief Secy. to Govt.

5

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 1st February 1844.—

Read the following letter.—

To G. D. Drury Esqr.
Chief Secy. to Government

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government that the rate of conversion in changing Star Pagodas into Rupees observed in the Collection of the Revenue from the Ryots in the Districts of Bellary and Cuddapah is erroneous. The nominal rate of Rupees 355-8-10 per 100 Star Pagodas appears to be taken instead of the proper rate of exchange which is only Rupees 350 per 100 Star Pagodas, and Consequently a large sum is apparently unfairly drawn from the Ryots and credited Monthly in the accounts of these

these Collectorates under the designation of "Batta or Gain by Exchange" It appears that the nominal rate of Rupees 355-8-10 was derived from converting the Canteray Pagodas in which Coin the revenues of the ceded Districts were originally assessed into Star Pagodas; and these again into Rupees.—

2 The total amount of gain in these Districts from this source was in the year 1841/42 Rupees 81,742-1-7 (which is about the average rate per Annum) and this large sum appears to have been derived by Govern: ment for many years by the observance of a nominal and erroneous rate of Exchange.

3 As it appears unfair that the Ryots should be made to pay more than was originally intended or is justly due by them, I think it my duty to bring the subject to the notice of Government and to suggest that as it is a matter immediately connected with Revenue the attention of the Board of Revenue should be called to it.—

Fort St. George
Acct. Genl's Office
11th January 1844

/Signed/ John Orr
Acctt Genl

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Board of Revenue for their Consideration and report with reference to the District of Cuddapah

/A true Extract
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secy

Ordered

To The President & Members of the Board of Revenue

Order thereon 5-6

/Read again in cons. 11th March/

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day

From the Chief Secy to Govt.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 6th February 1844.

7

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue—

Submitting a letter from the Superintendent of Stamps enclosing Statement shewing the amount of revenue realized from the sale of Stamped paper and Cadjans in Fasly 1252 and recommending that 1842/43 sanction may be granted to the Superintendent to take credit for 70 Rolls of the value of Rupees 32-10-0 reported deficient and for writing off to profit and loss the Value of certain damaged and unsaleable paper and Cadjans.—

(Here Enter 15th Jany 1844 No. 23)

Para 1 The Revenue from the sale of Stamped paper and Cadjans in Fasly 1252, it is observed amounted, after deducting all charges, to Rupees 4,12,360-8-6 being less than the Revenue of the preceding year in the sum of

Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

of Rupees 7916-15-4½ and exhibiting also a decrease below the revenue derived in each of the five preceding years.—

Fasly 1247	Rs. 4,16,185	6-9
1248	4,31,434	11-11
1249	4,26,368	15-½
1250	4,44,561	10-8¼
1251	4,20,277	7-11½
1252	4,12,360	8-6

2. The Most Noble the Governor in Council grants sanction to the Superintendent of Stamps to take credit for 70 Rolls of the value of Rupees 32,-10- reported deficient by Collectors, and for writing off to profit and loss the value of damaged and unsaleable Paper and Cadjans amounting to Rupees /2796-12-0/ Two thousand seven hundred and Ninety Six and annas twelve, and authorizes the Superintendent to have these latter immediately destroyed in his presence.—

3 In reporting the deficiency of Papers and Cadjans His Lordship in Council is desirous that on all occasions the causes which have led to it may be explained.—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secy.

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolved

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

Resolution thereon

(7-8)

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Superintendent of Stamps for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 9th December 1843.—

The attention of the Superintendent is directed to the instructions conveyed in Para 3.

From the Chief Secy. to Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th February 1844.—

(9)

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Submitting for orders a letter from the Collector of Bellary forwarding his correspondence with the accountant General regarding an entry in the accounts of that District under the Head of "Gain by exchange," with the Boards' sentiments on the subject.

/Here Enter /15th January 1844 No. 15/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Ryots of the Bellary District should not be subjected to any loss by

Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

of Rupees 7916-15-4½ and exhibiting also a decrease below the revenue derived in each of the five preceding years.

Fasly			
1247	Rs. 4,16,185	6	9
1248	4,31,734	11	11
1249	4,26,368	15	½
1250	4,41,561	10	8¼
1251	4,20,277	7	11½
1252	4,17,360	8	6

2. The Most Noble the Governor in Council grants sanction to the Superintendent of Stamps to take credit for 70 Rolls of the value of Rupees 32,-10- reported deficient by Collectors and for writing off to profit and loss the value of damaged and unsaleable paper and Cadjans amounting to Rupees 1,796-12-/ Two thousand seven hundred and Ninety Six and annas twelve and authorizes the Superintendent to have these latter immediately destroyed in his presence.

3 In reporting the deficiency of Papers and Cadjans His Lordship in Council is desirous that on all occasions the causes which have led to it may be explained.—

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ G. D. Drury
Chief Secy

To The President and Members of the Board of Revenue.

Resolved

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

Resolution thereon

7-8

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished to the Superintendent of Stamps for his information and guidance with reference to his letter dated 9th December 1843.—

The attention of the Superintendent is directed to the instructions conveyed in Para 3.

From the Chief Secy to Government.

9

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 10th February 1844.—

Read the following letter from the Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Submitting for orders a letter from the Collector of Bellary forwarding his correspondence with the accountant General regarding an entry in the accounts of that District under the Head of "Gain by exchange," with the Boards' sentiments on the subject.

/Here Enter 15th January 1844 No. 15/

The Most Noble the Governor in Council is of opinion that the Ryots of the Bellary District should not be subjected to any loss by

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

by exchange in the payment of their Rent and it appears to him that the course proposed in the 14th para. of the Collectors letter of the 24th November 1824 and again recommended by Mr. Mellor, may be carried out with a little exertion on the part of the ordinary Establishment, without the necessity of any additional Servants being specially entertained for the purpose. The Board of Revenue will be pleased to issue their instructions in Conformity with this resolution.—

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secretary

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Order thereon

(9-10)

Ordered that Copy of the foregoing Extract with Copy of the Board's letter therein referred to, be furnished to the Collector of Bellary Mr. Mellor will be pleased to give effect to the orders of Government from the commencement of the ensuing fusly.—

Extract

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

From the chief Secy to Govt. under date the 10th February 1844.—

(11)

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Read the following Extracts from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.—

Soliciting Sanction for an Estimate of Rs. 32-8— for making Bells for the Peloyets of the Cutchery of the Collector of North Arcot.—

[Here enter 11th January 1844 No. 16]

The Most Noble the Governor in Council Sanctions the Estimate submitted with the foregoing Proceedings amounting to Rupees (32-8—) Thirty two and Annas Eight.—

A true Extract /
/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Chief Secy.

To
The President and Members
of the
Board of Revenue

Resolution thereon

(11-12)

Resolved that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Minutes of Consultation be furnished for the information and guidance of the Acting Collector of North Arcot with reference to his letter dated 12th December 1843 No. 252.—

Extract

3287 Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 12th February 1844.

13

Read the following

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d February 1844.

Resolved that the Volume of Acts of Parliament passed in the 6th & 7th year of the reign of Her Majesty Queen Victoria, received from the Honorable the Court of Directors, be transmitted to the Revenue and Judicial Departments in order that such parts of the Laws therein contained as it may be necessary to publish for the guidance of Public Officers in this Country may be selected for promulgation in the Official Gazette, and that the Acts relating to India may be communicated to the Board of Revenue in reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 1st April 1840 No. 311.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy

No. 107

Ordered that the foregoing Extract and the Volume of the Acts of Parliament received therewith be communicated to the Court of Sadr and Fauzdaree Udalut, and to the Board of Revenue, with a request that they will respectively point out such parts of the Laws contained therein relating to their Departments as it may be necessary to publish in the Fort St. George Gazette for the information and guidance of the Public Officers.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy

18-14

Order thereon Read again on 13th June

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read

3288 Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

Read the following report

To

E. C. Lovell Esqr.

Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

15

From the Collector of Vizagapatam Dated 1

Submits the annual Report on the Revenues of the Vizagapatam District for Fusly 1252 1842/43

Sir

I have the honor to submit the annual report on the Revenues of this District for Fusly 1252 1842/43 and to forward the following accounts.—

A. Statement comparative of the increase and decrease upon all branches of Revenue for Fusslies 1251 and 1252.—

B. Statement comparative exhibiting the Attachabadies and Samabandies of the Government Estates for Fusslies 1251/52.

C. Statement shewing the details of the increase and decrease in the Attachabady Kham lands as per Statement B.—

D. Abstract comparative Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of the Government Estates for Fusslies 1251/52.—

E. Statement exhibiting the demand Collection and balance of Current Revenue

3287 Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

From the Chief Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 12th February 1844.

Read the following

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 2d February 1844.

Resolved that the Volume of Acts of Parliament passed in the 6th & 7th year of the reign of Her Majesty Queen Victoria, received from the Honorable the Court of Directors, be transmitted to the Revenue and Judicial Departments in order that such parts of the Laws therein contained as it may be necessary to publish for the guidance of Public Officers in this Country may be selected for promulgation in the Official Gazette, and that the Acts relating to India may be communicated to the Board of Revenue in reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Revenue Department dated 1st April 1840 No. 311.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy

No. 107

Ordered that the foregoing Extract and the Volume of the Acts of Parliament received therewith be communicated to the Court of Sudr and Faujdaree Udaulut, and to the Board of Revenue, with a request that they will respectively point out such parts of the Laws contained therein relating to their Departments as it may be necessary to publish in the Fort St. George Gazette for the information and guidance of the Public Officers.

(Signed) G. D. Drury
Chief Secy

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read

13-14

Order thereon
Read again in 13th June

3288 Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

Read the following Report

To

E. C. Lovell Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

15

From the Collector of Vizagapatam

Submits the annual Report on the Revenues of the Vizagapatam District for Fasly 1252 / 1842/43

Para 1

Sir

I have the honor to submit the annual report on the Revenues of this District for Fasly 1252 / 1842/43 and to forward the following accounts.—

A Statement comparative of the increase and decrease upon all branches of Revenue for Fasslies 1251 and 1252.—

B. Statement comparative exhibiting the Attachabadies and Jaumabandies of the Government Estates for Fasslies 1251/52.

C. Statement shewing the details of the increase and decrease in the Attachabady Kham Lands as per Statement B.—

D Abstract comparative Statement of Demand Collection and Balance of the Government Estates for Fasslies 1251/52.—

E Statement exhibiting the demand Collection and balance of Current Revenue

Fort St. George 22nd February 1864.

Revenue and arrears outstanding against Government Estates for Fasly 1252.

F Statement exhibiting the increase and decrease between the Permanent Berij and Collections for Fasly 1252 of the Government Estates.

G Statement exhibiting the charges Collectors for Fasly 1252.

H Statement exhibiting the balances outstanding against the Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates up to 31st January 1864.

I Samabundy and Kistbundy of the Zillah of Vizagapatam shewing the Rowmit as settled for Fasly 1253.

J Statement shewing the Increase and Decrease of the settled dues of each village in the Amanny Estates for Fasles 1252 & 53 in Guntoo.

K Accounts of one Village as per separate list.

2. It can hardly be necessary for me to state that I took no part in the Settlement of last year - indeed as I only resumed my duties

Fort St. George 22nd February 1864.

on the 20th December, this report ought to have been despatched previous to my return.

3 The Board will not therefore under these circumstances expect more from me than an explanation of the results in the Rowmit & such explanation of the increase and decrease in the different items of revenue as have been supplied to me from the Cutcherry.

4 I propose however to avail myself of this opportunity to submit a few observations in reply to the remarks of the Board of Revenue on the last Samabundy Report contained in an Extract from their Proceedings dated the 11th December 1863.

5 The season under review is represented to have been much less favorable than the preceding one. The rains were deficient and partial, neither did they fall opportunely. As is usual in such a season the District was likewise very unhealthy and there was a great mortality both among men and cattle.

6 It might have been anticipated that such a state of things would have insured a rise in the price of produce but from the plentiful harvest in the Southern Districts and from the unusually large Crop of the preceding year this was not the case. The annexed statement will shew that the prices of every description of produce were lower in the past than in any preceding year.

Fasly

3291 — Fort St. Paige — 22nd February 1844.

	Fusly 1242	Fusly 1243	Fusly 1244	Fusly 1245	Fusly 1246	Fusly 1247	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Total	Average	Fusly 1252
Paddy	84	150	65	49	112	88	127	109	106	64	954	95	6 48
Natchery	94	150	61	86	85	75	131	90	97	66	935	93	8 47
Singly Ot seed	200	252	220	147	90	181	200	128	215	157	1,869	186	14 150
Smalloo	65	170	116	63	76	55	117	92	94	83	927	92	11 45
Guntaloo	94	150	61	50	79	86	125	85	89	87	874	87	6 45
Cundooloo	143	200	164	84	80	98	135	155	159	76	1292	129	3 59
Pasaloo	164	252	213	101	117	134	182	210	226	100	1,685	168	8 70
Menoomaalloo	146	252	201	82	99	135	200	210	189	103	1,612	161	3 79
Horse Gram	95	170	124	53	73	94	117	117	131	56	1,050	105	49

Under

3292

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

1

Under the Regulation the Collectors are empowered to remit the Assessment on Lands which may be rendered waste by the encroachment of the Sea, or by the Rivers changing their course, or by the Lands being covered with Sand, Gravel or Stones, and to grant Pottahs for the Lands which may be recovered from the Sea, or which may become fit for Cultivation by the removal of the Sand, Gravel or Stones with which they may have been covered.

Under the Regulation the Collectors are empowered to remit the Assessment on Lands which may be rendered waste by the encroachment of the Sea, or by the Rivers changing their course, or by the Lands being covered with Sand, Gravel or Stones, and to grant Pottahs for the Lands which may be recovered from the Sea, or which may become fit for Cultivation by the removal of the Sand, Gravel or Stones with which they may have been covered.

Under the Regulation the Collectors are empowered to remit the Assessment on Lands which may be rendered waste by the encroachment of the Sea, or by the Rivers changing their course, or by the Lands being covered with Sand, Gravel or Stones, and to grant Pottahs for the Lands which may be recovered from the Sea, or which may become fit for Cultivation by the removal of the Sand, Gravel or Stones with which they may have been covered.

2

Under the Regulation the Collectors are empowered to remit the Assessment on Lands which may be rendered waste by the encroachment of the Sea, or by the Rivers changing their course, or by the Lands being covered with Sand, Gravel or Stones, and to grant Pottahs for the Lands which may be recovered from the Sea, or which may become fit for Cultivation by the removal of the Sand, Gravel or Stones with which they may have been covered.

Under the Regulation the Collectors are empowered to remit the Assessment on Lands which may be rendered waste by the encroachment of the Sea, or by the Rivers changing their course, or by the Lands being covered with Sand, Gravel or Stones, and to grant Pottahs for the Lands which may be recovered from the Sea, or which may become fit for Cultivation by the removal of the Sand, Gravel or Stones with which they may have been covered.

Under the Regulation the Collectors are empowered to remit the Assessment on Lands which may be rendered waste by the encroachment of the Sea, or by the Rivers changing their course, or by the Lands being covered with Sand, Gravel or Stones, and to grant Pottahs for the Lands which may be recovered from the Sea, or which may become fit for Cultivation by the removal of the Sand, Gravel or Stones with which they may have been covered.

3293

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

Item No.	Names of Talooks		Names of Hoondahs	After the Reduction of Duty 25% as per Column 4 of the Statement No. 2	Duty Fasly 1252 & Co. Column 5 & 6 No. 2	Increase	Decrease
1	Palcondah	1	Palcondah	111,680 1 .	111,356 4 4	" "	543 12 8
		2	Honjeram	1475 13 7 .	1099 5 7	" "	76 8 .
			Total	127,975 14 7	122,455 9 11	" "	5520 4 8
2	Golgondah	3	Golgondah	25746 4 1	23755 7 5	" "	1990 12 10
		4	Kamalapooody	20,829 6 2	20514 10 4	" "	314 11 5
		5	Ottacottah	19949 15 9	19950 3 .	" 3 5	" " 4
			Total	66,525 10 .	64220 5 .	" 3 5	2305 8 3
3	Suwarasasiddy	6	Suwarasiddy	22959 4 8	22367 5 4	" "	592 9 4
		7	Rajahlah	4350 6 .	4350 6 .	" "	" "
			Total	27310 4 8	26717 11 4	" "	592 9 4
			Grand Total	221,811 0 3	213593 10 8	" 3 5	8418 6 3

Deduct Increase " 3 5

Net Decrease 8418 3 .

The

The following are the details

9

of the above decrease

1st List of land abandoned by the Ryots 8970-6-11

2nd Do covered by sea sand ——— 5 ——

3rd Do made over to the curnam of Cottacottah whose Enam was erroneously included under Sorgetty in last year — 1-12-9

4th List of additional land granted to the Ryots of Kunsipatam to be built upon ——— 5-12-4

8982-18-4

Deduct Increase

1st The assessment on the Anm. Sets of Balance and Cadula Veragottam which have ceased from rent on the termination of the leases ——— 93 ——

2nd List of lands newly taken up by Ryots ——— 445-9-11

3rd List of land formerly fraudulently excluded from the account ——— 4-8-4

4th Increase of Sets which have been improperly reduced in Bole gottam of the Kunsipatam Taiki 11-10-9 58-10-0

Net decrease 8018-3-4

Fort

10 From the above statement it will be observed that the principal decrease in the lands taken up for cultivation is in the Palacondah Kunnedary. This I believe is to be entirely attributed to the low prices which any description of produce bore. The Palacondah Kunnedary is situated a considerable distance inland. It is very fertile and possesses abundant sources of irrigation which scarcely ever fail, but when prices are low there is the utmost difficulty in disposing of the produce at all. Hence the cultivation is much extended during unfavorable seasons, but when prices fall, the Reverse is the case. In consequence of the extremely low prices of produce now prevailing it will be necessary to make very considerable remissions in the present year, to prevent a large extent of land being thrown out of cultivation.

11 The following statement exhibits the different remissions granted at the time of Summabundy. Kunnedy

Fort St. George 22nd February 1816.

12 The gross levy of the land taken up for cultivation amounted to Rupees 2,13,352-10-3 of which Rupees 43,385-1-10 was remitted leaving a Net Ryotwar settlement of Rupees 1,69,967-8-5.

13 The Board of Revenue in their proceedings dated the 11th December 1813 remarked on the large amount of remissions for land left waste and for deficiency of produce and seem to expect that the Ryotwar settlement here should be conducted on the same principles as in a District which has been regularly surveyed and assessed.

14 The full assessment on all lands taken up for cultivation is entered as the Dittum or Attikabady, but the assessment in this District is imposed not on single fields but on Estimates which generally comprize several fields and different descriptions of land. The Ryots who take up a whole Estimate probably cultivate only a small portion of it and the remainder is left waste.

15 But even if the whole were cultivated

Fort St. George 18th

Name of Village

Taluk

Name of Accountant

Sandy

Wet

Dry

Total

1 Palamdale

2 Sirgudam

Total

1 Palamdale

2 Sirgudam

Total

1 Palamdale

2 Sirgudam

3 Tirumalapundy

Cottamtaka

Total

1 Palamdale

2 Sirgudam

3 Tirumalapundy

4 Cottamtaka

Total

1 Palamdale

2 Sirgudam

3 Tirumalapundy

4 Cottamtaka

5 Sunnavidoy

Total

1 Palamdale

2 Sirgudam

3 Tirumalapundy

4 Cottamtaka

5 Sunnavidoy

6 Pajakalu

Total

Collector

Assistant

Head Assistant

Division

Head Assistant

Collector

cultivated, the assessment is very unequal having been fixed without any examination of the lands, or enquiry into its productions but merely with reference to the amount formerly fixed on it when the Estate were under Zemindars or Proprietors. This is in many instances a great deal too high, so that it becomes frequently necessary to remit a portion of it with reference to the produce and the price which it is likely to fetch. For this purpose Estimates of the produce are annually taken.—

16 This remission is usually granted to the poorer classes of Ryots, because the most favorably assessed lands are almost invariably in the occupation of the richest and most influential inhabitants, but under no circumstances is more than the actual assessment demanded from any Ryots.—

17 The Board of Revenue have quoted an Extract from a letter of Mr. Freese dated the 8th September 1835 from which they infer that in the

the Palamdah Zemindary a general reduction or increase in the assessment is made under certain fluctuations in price, but they seem to have overlooked the fact, that Mr. Freese referred to a condition entered into with the renters when the whole of the Villages of the Palamdah Zemindary were rented out. If they will be so good as to refer to the following Paras of the same communication and to another letter from Mr. Freese dated 1835/36 they will find that the renting system was abandoned in Fasly [illegible] and they will also find a full explanation of the Ryotwar system, which on the suggestion of Mr. Ryall was substituted for it.—

18 Since the introduction of a Ryotwar system, the practice referred to by the Board has been altogether discontinued. but the fluctuations of price as well as the quantity of produce still materially affect the settlement, for with a reference to them the amount of remission in the over assessed lands is necessarily calculated.— Those

cultivated, the assessment is very unequal having been fixed without any examination of the land, or enquiry into its productiveness but merely with reference to the amount formerly fixed on it when the Estate were under Zemindars or Proprietors. This is in many instances a great deal too high, so that it becomes frequently necessary to remit a portion of it with reference to the produce and the price which it is likely to fetch. For this purpose Estimates of the produce are annually taken.—

16 This remission is usually granted to the poorer classes of Ryots, because the most favorably assessed lands are almost invariably in the occupation of the richest and most influential inhabitants, but under no circumstances is more than the actual assessment demanded from any Ryots.—

17 The Board of Revenue have quoted an Extract from a letter of Mr. Freese dated the 8th September 1835 from which they infer that in the

the Palamdah Zemindary a general reduction or increase in the assessment is made under certain fluctuations in price, but they seem to have overlooked the fact, that Mr. Freese referred to a condition entered into with the renters when the whole of the Villages of the Palamdah Zemindary were rented out. If they will be so good as to refer to the following Paras of the same communication and to another letter from Mr. Freese dated the 4th October 1836, they will find that the renting system was abandoned in Fasly 1245 / 1835/36 and they will also find a full explanation of the Ryotwar system, which on the suggestion of Mr. Reynell was substituted for it.—

18 Since the introduction of a Ryotwar system, the practice referred to by the Board has been altogether discontinued. But the fluctuations of price as well as the quantity of produce still materially affect the settlement, for with a reference to them the amount of remission on the new assessed lands is necessarily calculated.— Draw

Fort St. George 22nd February 1814.

19. From the above observations it will be observed that the extraordinary remissions required in consequence of either deficient produce or low prices may be almost moved as necessary reductions in the assessment for the current year, and they will I think be satisfied that remissions under this head as well as for lands left waste must necessarily be on a far more liberal scale and left much more to the discretion of the Collector in this than in a district which has been regularly surveyed and assessed.

20. The whole of these remissions ought certainly to be settled at the time of Summabundy, and the practice which formerly obtained of striking off a certain portion of irrecoverable balances has been entirely discontinued.—

21. The effect of this will be to increase the amount of balances in the Government Estates, and this is unavoidable, notwithstanding

Fort St. George 22nd February 1814.

notwithstanding all the precautions and efforts of a Collector the tendency on the part of the Curnums and Village Servants will ever be to keep up the nominal Revenue, by imposing too high an assessment on the lands in the occupation of the poor Ryots, so as to prevent a new distribution of the assessment, by which the assessment on lands in the occupation of the rich Ryots would have to be raised.—

22. I am by no means insensible to the difficulties that must always attend the introduction of an individual settlement in a District which has neither been surveyed nor assessed, and where therefore we have no correct knowledge of the Extents of the lands or of its productiveness. The necessity of annually estimating the produce is a great evil and must inevitably lead to abuses.

The system is not without its advantages however, especially if its introduction is preparatory to a regular survey and assessment.

These

Fort St. George 22nd February 1814

19. From the above observations it will be observed that the deductions required in consequence of either deficient produce or low prices may be almost viewed as necessary reductions in the assessment for the current year, and they will I think be satisfied that remissions under this head as well as for lands left waste must necessarily be on a far more liberal scale and left much more to the discretion of the Collector in this than in a district which has been regularly surveyed and assessed.

20. The whole of these remissions ought certainly to be settled at the time of Summabundy and the practice which formerly obtained of striking off a certain portion of irrecoverable balances has been entirely discontinued.

21. The effect of this will be to increase the amount of balances in the Government Estates, and this is unavoidable, for notwithstanding

Fort St. George 23rd February 1814

notwithstanding all the precautions and efforts of the Collector the tendency in the part of the Canarese and Village Servants will ever be to keep up the nominal Revenue, by imposing too high an assessment on the lands in the occupation of the poor Ryots, so as to prevent a new distribution of the assessment, by which the assessment on lands in the occupation of the richer Ryots would have to be raised.

22. I am by no means insensible to the difficulties that must always attend the introduction of an individual settlement in a District which has neither been surveyed nor assessed, and where therefore we have no correct knowledge of the Extent of the land or of its productiveness. The necessity of annually estimating the produce is a great evil and must in many by lead to abuses.

The system is not without its advantages however, especially if its introduction is

23. preparatory to a regular survey and assessment.

These

These are that it throws the most of the population into constant direct communication with the executive officers of Government and this has an immediate effect in preserving public tranquillity it also gives us an insight into the resources of the country and supplies a mass of information which may be turned to good account if a regular survey and assessment is undertaken but if it has been decided that no survey and assessment is to be introduced then I would prefer the hyanee or village settlement, that is to rent out the Village to the principal inhabitants leaving them to arrange among themselves the division and distribution of the lands as well as the portion of the assessment which each individual is to pay. The introduction of such a system by no means requires the discontinuance of the annual Circuits of the Collector. It will still be his duty to communicate as freely as hitherto with the inhabitants and to settle any differences that may arise amongst them as to the distribution of

their lands and of the portion of the rent which they are respectively to pay.

24 The system of renting out Villages to persons wholly unconnected with them is objectionable in any district, but especially in one situated as this is. Individuals may perhaps be found who will pay their rents with tolerable regularity in favorable seasons, but all past experience proves that in unfavorable seasons it is impossible to realize the revenue, and that of remissions are granted to the renters the Ryots derive no benefit from them. But in a District so intersected as this is with hills and Jungles, persons renting out extensive tracts of Country rapidly acquire a power and influence over the people which they have hitherto employed for the worst of purposes.

25. The Poligars or Nile Chiefs who were in reality little else than renters of hill tracts used constantly to employ their peasantry in plundering the adjoining Villages, and gaining confidence by impunity

impunity resulting from our ignorance of their proceedings, they have in time come to assume a position of open hostility to the Police, and even to the Troops of the Government.

26 Thirst I shall be excused for having intruded so much on the valuable time of the Board by these observations, but I am aware that a good deal of discussion has arisen as regards the Revenue System in the unsurveyed districts and especially in the Ceded, and I felt anxious that the Board should be in possession of my sentiments on the subject.—

27 The subjoined Statement exhibits a detail of the different remissions granted in the past Fusly in comparison with those of the preceding year.

No		Fusly 1251			Fusly 1252			Increase			Decrease		
1	Discretionary Remissions	1124	7	8	2405	6	3	1280	14	7	"	"	"
2	Waste Lands	1426	10	9	2672	10	5	1245	13	8	"	"	"
3	Remissions to Zemindars	238	11	8	2154	9	2	"	"	"	225	2	8
4	Of deceased Ryots	58	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	58	6	4
5	Of deserted Ryots	418	5	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	418	5	9
6	Naidaru	3553	11	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	3553	11	4
7	Wages to Curnums	90	"	"	92	8	"	2	8	"	"	"	"
8	Land occupied under the houses of Ryots	5	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	12	4
		31559	"	3	43335	1	10	14857	6	5	4281	4	8

The

28 The decrease in the demand in the past compared with the preceding Fusly amounted as shewn in Para 7 to Rupees 2,2,28-6-7 of this amount Rupees 8418-3-0 was the deficiency in the Sist of the land taken up for cultivation and Rupees 1826 1-7 the increase in the amount of deductions made at the settlement. The subjoined statement exhibits the details of this total decrease.—

No	Name of Zemindahs	Fusly 1251			Fusly 1252			Increase			Decrease		
1.	Calemdahs	107778	9	7	97039	3	2	"	"	"	10739	6	5
2	Kunjaram	10726	2	4	10443	11	2	"	"	"	282	4	2
		118504	11	11	107477	1	4	"	"	"	11027	10	7
3	Colgondah	19699	15	5	16480	4	2	"	"	"	3219	6	3
4	Tamadapoody	18359	15	4	16096	3	9	"	"	"	2263	11	7
5	Cottacottah	18617	6	9	16321	15	"	"	"	"	2285	7	9
		56675	5	6	48898	4	11	"	"	"	7776	9	7
6	Surrasiddy	11339	10	9	9674	12	10	"	"	"	1664	13	11
7	Rayalah	3741	"	10	3757	11	4	16	15	6	"	"	"
		15080	11	7	13432	11	2	16	15	6	1664	13	11
	Total	190259	13	"	170008	8	5	16	15	6	20241	3	7
								Deduct Increase			16	15	6
								Net Decrease			20224	4	7

The

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

29 The other items of fruit trees and sundry farms &c do not seem to call for any particular observations.—

30 The following is the demand Collection and balance of the year under review.—

No	Names of Talooks	Names of Mondals	Settled Demand	Collection	Balance
1	Palcondah	Palcondah	118911 5 11	95479 5 10	3432 . 1
		Honjaram	10851 10 2	10230 3 7	621 6 7
			129763 . 1	125709 9 5	4053 6 8
2	Golgondah	Golgondah	21421 1 7	19486 12 6	2935 5 1
		Samalapaady	17119 7 9	16399 8 4	719 15 5
		Ellacottah	16387 15 .	15373 12 5	1014 2 7
			54969 8 4	51200 1 3	3769 7 1
3	Surrasiddy	Surrasiddy	11977 2 10	9906 11 8	1170 7 2
		Rajalah	4775 14 4	3833 . 4	342 14 .
			16753 1 2	13739 12 .	1513 5 2
4	Bimlepatam	Dutch lands	50 . .	50 . .	. . .
			201055 9 7	190699 6 8	9336 2 11

J.B

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

31 Of the Current demand amounting to Rupees 201035-9-7, Rupees 190699-6-8 were brought to account within the Fasly, leaving a balance of Rupees 9336-2-11 at its close - since then, an additional sum of Rupees 686-11-4 has been collected, leaving a still outstanding balance of Rupees 8779-4-11. of this amount, Rupees 1530-1-11 are the balances due on Agraharams, Shotriums, and Enams, most of which it is anticipated will be recovered hereafter, very little of the remaining balance which is due by the poorer class of ryots is considered recoverable.—

32 The following statement exhibits a comparison of the amount of Collection in the past and the preceding years.—

Palcondah

No.		Jusly 1251 Increase	Jusly 1252 Decrease
1	Palconda Sub	121,957 14 1	115,279 5 10
2	Kanjarum	10,699 5 7	10,230 3 7
		135,687 2 11	125,709 9 5
3	Golgonda Sub	23,399 8 1	19,426 12 6
4	Vannolapoody	19,069 10 11	16,399 8 4
5	Cottacottah	18,551 12 10	18,272 12 5
		61,020 15 10	54,098 13 3
6	Survasiddy	12,037 1 11	9,906 4 8
7	Rajahmundry	4,060 — 10	3,833 — 4
		16,097 2 9	13,739 12 —
8	Vizagapatam & Dutch lands	50 — —	50 — —
	Total	212,855 6 6	190,699 6 8

33 The deficiency of the Collection corresponds with the decrease in the Demand and does not therefore seem to require any observations.—

34 The gross collections including arrears on the Government Estates amounted to Rupees 192,776—10—0 — If the Charges amounting to Rupees 19,060—15—7 be deducted, there still remains a net revenue of Rupees 173,715—10—5 which is Rupees 37,170—2—5 above the permanent Peiz of the different Estates. The details are exhibited in the annexed Statement.—

Names.

The

		Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
1	Palcondah	121,957 14 4	115,479 8 10	.. ..	6,478 5 6
2	Honjarum	10,699 5 7	10,230 3 7	.. ..	469 2 ..
		135,687 3 11	125,709 9 5	.. ..	9,977 10 6
3	Golgondah	23,399 8 1	19,426 12 6	.. ..	3,972 11 7
4	Vannalapoody	19,069 10 11	16,399 8 4	.. ..	2,670 2 7
5	Cottacottah	18,551 12 4	15,373 12 5	.. ..	3,178 .. 5
		61,020 15 10	51,200 1 3	.. ..	9,820 14 7
6	Sunnasiddy	12,037 1 11	9,906 4 8	.. ..	2,130 13 3
7	Rajahah	4,060 .. 11	3,833 .. 4	.. ..	227 .. 6
		16,097 2 9	13,739 12 ..	.. ..	2,357 13 9
8	Bimlapatam & Dutch lands	50	50	.. ..	.. .. ..
	Total	212,855 6 6	190,699 6 8	.. ..	22,155 15 11

The

33 The deficiency of the Collection corresponds with the decrease in the Demand and does not therefore seem to require any observations.—

34 The gross collections including arrears on the Government Estates amounted to Rupees 192,776—10—11 If the Charges amounting to Rupees 19,060—15—7 be deducted, there still remains a net revenue of Rupees 173,715—10—5 which is Rupees 37,170—2—5 above the permanent Beriz of the different Estates. The details are exhibited in the annexed Statement.—

Names.

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

No.	Names of Hondahs	Permanent Jaiz	Net Collection after deducting Charges for Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
1	Palcondah	55000 " "	103486 12 5	53486 12 5	" " "
2	Honjerand	11982 " "	86911 7	" " "	3390 4 5
		66982 " "	117078 8 "	53486 12 5	3390 4 5
3	Golgondah	10000 " "	18146 13 1	8146 13 1	" " "
4	Vanoolapoody	17000 " "	14089 1 6	" " "	2910 14 6
5	Cattucottah	13500 " "	13616 6 2	116 6 2	" " "
		40500 " "	45851 4 9	8262 3 3	2910 14 6
6	Surnasiddy	24600 " "	7320 13 2	" " "	17279 2 10
7	Rajalah	4413 8 "	3415 " 6	" " "	998 7 6
		29013 8 "	10736 13 8	" " "	18277 10 4
8	Dutch lands	30 " "	60 " "	" " "	" " "
		136545 8 "	178715 10 5	61748 15 8	24578 13 3

Deduct Decrease 24578 13 3

Net Increase 37170 2 5

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

35 The following Statement shews the demand Collection and balance on account of arrears on the Government Estates during the year under review.—

No.	Names of Talooks.	Balance outstanding for Fasly 1251	Collection in Fasly 1252	Remission	Balance
1	Palcondah	10117 8 7	1387 5 10	220 " 9	8510 2 "
2	Golgondah	4235 10 "	408 9 11	312 " "	3515 " 1
3	Surnasiddy	4873 2 6	218 3 7	2134 10 5	2457 4 6
	Total	19226 5 1	2077 3 4	2666 11 2	14282 6 7

36 Of the above balance out=standing at the close of the Fasly Rupees 112-12-2 have since been collected.—

37 No enquiry into the details of these balances was instituted during the last Summabundy, so that

Saw

Same unable to state which part is recover-
-able and what desperate.-

38 This omission shall be sup-
-plied in my next Report, when I pro-
-pose to solicit permission to strike
out of the accounts all balances that
may be ascertained to be desperate.-

39 The following statement exhi-
-bits a detail of the Village in the Ground Rents

No.		Preceding Fasly	Current Fasly		
1	Seroyetty				
2	Rentals	272	274	2	"
3	Augraharums	100	98	"	2
4	Thoddasahs	77	77	"	"
5	Dhoratanums	90	90	"	"
		19	19	"	"
		558	558	2	2

Permanent Rents

40 The demand on Zemendary Estates per-
-manently settled was the same in the fasly
with the preceding Fasly Viz.t Rupees 10,47,750-
of this Amount the sum of Rupees 8,10,139 3-
being the Peishcush on the following
Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates punc-
-tually paid within the Fasly.-

No.	Names of Talooks.	Peishcush.
1	Tyeanaganum	5,00,00 - -
2	Canneepoorum	600 - -
3	Ranvadah	3761 - -
4	Cavandah Condiaunulsah	681 - -
5	Cheediaundah	1750 - -
6	Pentah 9 Vs.	30,5 11 5
7	Suggunadapooram 9 Vs.	3,103 3 -
8	Tirsandah 8 Vs.	2036 - -
9	Coorjaum	12,6 - -
10	Surgaunnulsah	6700 - -
11	Sallood	32,954 7
12	Belgaum	10,300 - -
13	Manungley	18,300 - -
14	Capsincottah	11,010 - -
15	Condapirlah	19,800 - -
16	Godicherlah	17,00 - -
17	Anteapilly	30,66 - -
18	Coroopolee	8,100 - -
19	Burneepum	1,566 8 -
20	Moolagapaudah	11,647 - -
21	Sreerampoorum	13,000 - -
22	Nuckapilly	5,606 - -
23	Royavarum	18,194 - -
24	Shaimmahomedpoorum	19,31,3 - -
25	Coopilly	81,57 - -
26	Seerepoorum	10,518 8 -
27	Woongaraudah 9 Vs.	3080 - -
28	Plentally	7,349 5 6
29	Muntapah	7101 10 6
	Total - -	1,10,139 5 -

The

3314 Fort St. George 22d February 1815

41 The Collector sends in the following Estates though not fully discharged at the ends of the Fasly has since its close been realized in full.—

No.	Names of Talooks	Balances Outstanding at the ends of the Fasly	Amount Collected in full Subsequently	
1	Jayapooram	16000 " "	5608 " "	
2	Channoodoo	5000 " "	2004 " "	
3	Cundrah	1380 " "	1292 8 "	
4	Surpilly Sheemavaram	400 " "	400 " "	
5	Maudrigole	30141 13 "	16303 4 8	
6	Vallair	5500 " "	5500 " "	
7	Seddagoonnoolam	3800 " "	848 12 7	
8	Woorudlah	20500 " "	11503 " "	
9	Mylloospaudah	19500 " "	8900 " "	
10	Sheepoorpilly	4291 " "	2647 6 6	
11	Ossecondah	613 " "	129 8 "	
12	Edoolapaudah Bonungly	2797 8 "	2287 8 "	
13	Maunnadivadah	1786 8 "	60 8 "	
		111699 13 "	57484 7 9	

3315 Fort St. George 22d February 1815.

42 The balance still outstanding amounts to Rupees 12290-6-1 the details of which are as follows.—

No.	Names of Talooks	No.	Balance Outstanding at the ends of last Fasly	Subsequent Collection	Balance	
1	Bobilly	193	90000 " "	14377 8 1	14377 8 "	" " 1
2	Pauchiaperatah	15	3000 " "	1975 8 "	1972 8 "	3 " "
3	Cottoor	9	9067 " "	3000 " "	1418 4 6	2581 11 6
4	Velchoor Codoor	3	7267 " "	3600 " "	527 3 9	3072 12 3
5	Peddapoor	10	16577 " "	11509 15 9	8179 11 4	3330 4 6
		230	125911 " "	34162 15 10	25475 3 7	8987 12 3

1,3 The 1st and 2d items in the above statement are merely the value of Coins rejected in the last remittance and the amount will be shortly realized.—

1,4 The 3d and 4th items are the balances which have arisen on the Velchoor and Cottoor Estates under Aumany management in conformity to a Precept from the late Provincial Court.— These Estates have

have now under the orders of the Board of Revenue been advertised for sale, and a proposition for striking off these balances will be submitted when the result of the sale is communicated.-

45 The 5th item in the above statement is the balance due on the Dinnelay Estate which was brought to sale under the orders of the Board of Revenue on the 6th Sep= =tember last.-

46 The balance then amounted to Rupees 11,509-15-9 and if the amount realized by the sale viz. Rupees 5,377-1-6 be deducted there will re= =main a balance of Rupees 6,132-14-3. Grain to the value of Rupees 2,802-9-10 has been attached on account of the balance which will reduce it to Rupees 3,330-4-5.-

47 The late Proprietor only pur= =chased this Estate on the 21st October 1842, and

and he has sustained a considerable loss by it, in consideration of which Mr. Smollett promised that in the event of Rupees 2,200-0-0 being immediately paid he would re= =commend the remission of the remainder. Neither the Proprietors nor an individual who had become security for him have been able to raise the re= =quired sum. But they have pro= =mised to pay it within 4 Months, and in the event of their doing so, I beg to recommend that the re= =maining balance viz. Rupees 1,130-4-5 may be remitted.-

48 The following statement exhibits the arrears of the Peishcush due on the Zemindaries and Proprietary Estates in this District.-

Rannes

Parish of St. George 22? Settlement 1844.

Names of Landholders.	Names of Townlands.	Quantity of Land.	Annual Valuation of Land.	Annual Valuation of Buildings.	Total Annual Valuation.
Robert Orr	Ballee	1352	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1353	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1354	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1355	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1356	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1357	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1358	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1359	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1360	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1361	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1362	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1363	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1364	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1365	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1366	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1367	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1368	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1369	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1370	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1371	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1372	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1373	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1374	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1375	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1376	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1377	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1378	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1379	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1380	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1381	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1382	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1383	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1384	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1385	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1386	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1387	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1388	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1389	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1390	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1391	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1392	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1393	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1394	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1395	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1396	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1397	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1398	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1399	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1400	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1401	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1402	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1403	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1404	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1405	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1406	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1407	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1408	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1409	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1410	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1411	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1412	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1413	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1414	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1415	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1416	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1417	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1418	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1419	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1420	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1421	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1422	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1423	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1424	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1425	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1426	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1427	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1428	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1429	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1430	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1431	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1432	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1433	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1434	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1435	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1436	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1437	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1438	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1439	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1440	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1441	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1442	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1443	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1444	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1445	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1446	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1447	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1448	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1449	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1450	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1451	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1452	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1453	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1454	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1455	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1456	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1457	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1458	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1459	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1460	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1461	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1462	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1463	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1464	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1465	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1466	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1467	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1468	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1469	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1470	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1471	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1472	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1473	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1474	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1475	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1476	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1477	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1478	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1479	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1480	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1481	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1482	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1483	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1484	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1485	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1486	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1487	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1488	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1489	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1490	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1491	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1492	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1493	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1494	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1495	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1496	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1497	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1498	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1499	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1500	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1501	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1502	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1503	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1504	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1505	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1506	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1507	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1508	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1509	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1510	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1511	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1512	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1513	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1514	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1515	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1516	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1517	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1518	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1519	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1520	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1521	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1522	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1523	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1524	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1525	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1526	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1527	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1528	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1529	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1530	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1531	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1532	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1533	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1534	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1535	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1536	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1537	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1538	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1539	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1540	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1541	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1542	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1543	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1544	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1545	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1546	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1547	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1548	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1549	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1550	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1551	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1552	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1553	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1554	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1555	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1556	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1557	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1558	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1559	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1560	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1561	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1562	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1563	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1564	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1565	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1566	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1567	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1568	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1569	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1570	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1571	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1572	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1573	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1574	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1575	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1576	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1577	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1578	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1579	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1580	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1581	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1582	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1583	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1584	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1585	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1586	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1587	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1588	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1589	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1590	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1591	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1592	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1593	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1594	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1595	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1596	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1597	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1598	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1599	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1600	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1601	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1602	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1603	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1604	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1605	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1606	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1607	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1608	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1609	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1610	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1611	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1612	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1613	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1614	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1615	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1616	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1617	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1618	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1619	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1620	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1621	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1622	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1623	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1624	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1625	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1626	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1627	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1628	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1629	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1630	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1631	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1632	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1633	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1634	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1635	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1636	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1637	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1638	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1639	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1640	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1641	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1642	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1643	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1644	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1645	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1646	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1647	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1648	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1649	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1650	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1651	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1652	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1653	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1654	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1655	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1656	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1657	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1658	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1659	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1660	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1661	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1662	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1663	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1664	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1665	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1666	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1667	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1668	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1669	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1670	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1671	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1672	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1673	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1674	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1675	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1676	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1677	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1678	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1679	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1680	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1681	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1682	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1683	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1684	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1685	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1686	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1687	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1688	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1689	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1690	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1691	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1692	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1693	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1694	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1695	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1696	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1697	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1698	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1699	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1700	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1701	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1702	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1703	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1704	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1705	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1706	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1707	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1708	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1709	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1710	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1711	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1712	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1713	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1714	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1715	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1716	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1717	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1718	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1719	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1720	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1721	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1722	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1723	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1724	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1725	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1726	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1727	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1728	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1729	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1730	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1731	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1732	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1733	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1734	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1735	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1736	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1737	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1738	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1739	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1740	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1741	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1742	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1743	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1744	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1745	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1746	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1747	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1748	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1749	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1750	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1751	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1752	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1753	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1754	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1755	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1756	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1757	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1758	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1759	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1760	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1761	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1762	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1763	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1764	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1765	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1766	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1767	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1768	1238	1240	1250 1251
Patrick Orr	Ballee	1769	1238	1240

Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

Ser.	Names of	Names of	Balances at the end of last Month	Salaries for the present Month	Balances
			Pagodas	Pagodas	Pagodas
10	G. S. Narrain Row	18 Nuckapilly	10,114 5 4	10,114 5 4	1014 5 4
		19 Royanarum	3398	3398	3398
			4,412 5 4	4,412 5 4	4412 5 4
11	Heirs of G. Sunnassy	20 Cheeroorpilly	2594 14,72 96	11,21 66	1121 66
12	G. Rajanah	21 Ayeeseecondah	298 40 8	2578	2578
13	C. Rangamah	22 Ebolapahah Bommy	2787 8	2787 8	2787 8
14	G. Jugganaikah	23 Mammedevadah	1191 450	741	741
			197,203 4 5	19,206 5 7 266 22	18,754 1337

			1887 11	1350,12 4	1507 8 10 1
			19,044 7 3	35 03	9,008 11 10

Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

To the following Pensioners on the Establishment of Fort St. George, for the above Month, the Allowances due:—

1	Ayah of Late Mr. Kyd	Regulation 5306	1 - 2
2	Mrs. Mary Kyd	1242 1839/53	185 85 - 3 - 9
3	Mrs. Mary Kyd	1918	1504 8 - 10 - 1
4	Do.	1960	10014 - 2 - 3
5	Do.	1951	3500 - 4 - 4
			17,586 - 6 - 3

Sd. Expended to the Treasurer this

Amount of Allowances due to Mrs. Mary Kyd 1242 of 1839/53

Pensioner of Fort St. George Servicedar of Purchase due to the Pensioner for

Pensioner's Signature.

Witness to Signature.

Witness Signature.

Witness Signature.

Witness

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

Sources.	July 1251	July 1252	Increase	Decrease
Permanent Basiz	113237 9 2	103424 8 7	" " "	9813 1 2
1 Peyshwar. Sundry Sources	18744 7 11	16277 10 5	" " "	2466 13 6
2 Village Rents	3763 6 7	3509 9 11	" " "	253 12 8
Total	190507 11 6	168787 4 4	" " "	21720 10 2
3 Enam Cuttoobadies	15880 12 7	14975 9 9	" " "	905 2 10
4 c Augraharums	4405 1 3	4479 12 3	74 11 "	" " "
5 c Mocasahs	2670 3 2	2697 4 8	27 1 6	" " "
6 Dhorataruums	2259 11 "	1836 11 "	" " "	423 " "
Total	215723 10 6	192776 10 "	101 12 6	23048 13 "
Total Land Revenue	131830 3 7	122702 3 2 7	101 12 6	12138 2 1 2
Exctra Sources	31975 7 7	26943 2 10 7	" " "	5032 12 9
Grand Total	166206 11 7	149645 5 12 2	101 12 6	17168 13 11
		Deduct Increase	101 12 6	
		Net Decrease		17157 1 5

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

57. The district continues to enjoy uninterrupted tranquillity, and the criminal returns show a marked decrease in the amount of crimes. Gang robberies which used formerly to be of daily occurrence are now very rare— Only 8 have been ascertained to have been committed during the last year, and the persons suppose to have been concerned in them — amount to only 17—

Vizagapatam District,
Palacondah, Collector's
Circuit Cutcherry 31st
January 1844. —

/Signed/ W. R. Arbuthnot
Collector

Statement

Sources.	Fasly 1251	Fasly 1252	Increase	Decrease
Permanent Basiz	113237 9 12	9 103 4 24 6 8 7	" " "	9 832 3 1. 2
1 Peyshwar. Sundry Sources	18 67 4. 7 11	16 52 77 10 5	" " "	2 14. 66 13 6
2 Village Rents	3763 6 7	3509 9 11	" " "	253 12 8
Total	190507 11. 6	168787 4 4	" " "	21720 10 2
3 Enam Cuttubadies	15880 12 7	14975 9 9	" " "	905 2 10
4 Augrahaarums	4405 1 3	4479 12 3	74 11 "	" " "
5 Mocasahs	2670 3 2	2697 4 8	27 1 6	" " "
6 Dhorataarums	2259 11 "	1836 11 "	" " "	423 " "
Total	215723 10 6	192776 10 "	101 12 6	23048 13 "
Total Land Revenue	1348303 7 3	1227023 2 7	101 12 6	121382 1 2
Exstra Sources	319759 7 4	269482 10 7	" " "	50276 12 9
Grand Total	1668062 14 7	1496505 12 2	101 12 6	171658 13 11
		Deduct Increase		101 12 6
		Net Decrease		171557 1 5

5th. The district continues to enjoy uninterrupted tranquility, and the criminal returns show a marked decrease in the amount of crimes. Gang robberies which used formerly to be of daily occurrence are now very rare— Only 8 have been ascertained to have been committed during the last year, and the persons supposed to have been concerned in them amount to only 17.

Vizagapatam District,
Palacondah, Collector's
Circuit Cutcherry, 31st
January 1844.

(Signed) W. M. Arbuthnot
Collector

Statement

Sources.	July 1751	July 1752	Increase	Decrease
Permanent Baniz	11,23,379 12 9	10,34,246 3 7	" " "	98,333 1. 2
1 Peyttwar - Sundry Sources	1867 4 7 11	1652 7 7 10 5	" " "	214 6 13 6
2 Village Rents	3763 6 7	3509 9 11	" " "	253 12 8
Total	1,90,507 11 6	1,68,787 4 4	" " "	21,720 10 2
3 Enam Cuttubadies	15,880 12 7	14,975 9 9	" " "	905 2 10
4 c Augrahareems	4,405 1 3	4,479 12 3	74 11 "	" " "
5 c Mocasahs	2,670 3 2	2,697 4 8	27 1 6	" " "
6 Dhorataruems	2259 11 "	1836 11 "	" " "	423 " "
Total	2,15,723 10 6	1,92,776 10 "	101 12 6	23048 13 "
Total Land Revenue	13,13,303 7 3	12,27,023 2 7	101 12 6	1,21,382 1 2
Exctra Sources	3,19,759 7 4	2,69,432 10 7	" " "	50,276 12 9
Grand Total	16,33,062 14 7	14,96,508 13 2	101 12 6	1,71,658 13 11
		Deduct Increase		101 12 6
		Net Decrease		1,71,557 1 5

57. The district continues to enjoy uninterrupted tranquility, and the criminal returns show a marked decrease in the amount of crimes. Gang robberies which used formerly to be of daily occurrence are now very rare— Only 8 have been ascertained during the last year, and the persons supposed to have been concerned in them — amount to only 17.

Vizagapatam District,
Palacondah, Collector's
Circuit Cutcherry, 31st
January 1844. ———

(Signed) W. R. Arbuthnot
Collector

Statement

3324 Fort St George

22nd February 1844

1. Statement the Increase and Decrease upon all branches of Revenue for Fusly 1251/52 of the

No.	Fusly	Land Revenue					Extra Sources of Revenue			Shotrum Sodgee &c.	Total columns 7 and 8	Total Land Revenue	Sayer		
		Under annual settlement											Rented	Sumary	Total
		Village Rents not more than one year	Ryot war	Village Rents	Sumary or division of the produce	Total									
		1	3	4	5	6	7			8	9	10	11	12	13
1	Fusly 12	"	"	191777 5 1	3908 5 "	"	"	195685 10 1		25251 " 1	220936 10 2	1268686 10 2	2900 " "	82243 8 "	85143 8 "
2	Fusly 125	"	"	170864 6	3940 13 "	"	"	174805 1 6		25220 8 1	200035 9 7	1247785 9 7	3000 " "	81791 15 4	84791 15 4
	Inc	"	"	"	32 8 "	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	100 " "	"	"
	Decr	"	"	20913 " 7	"	"	"	20880 8 7		20 8 "	20901 " 7	20901 " 7	" " "	451 8 8	351 8 8

3325

Fort St George 22nd February 1841

District of Vizagapatam

Abkarry			Extra sources of Revenue				Revenue (Contd)						Total Land and Extra sources of Revenue or Colms 10 and 26
			Sundry small farms and Licenses				Sea Customs	Moturpha	Trippatty offerings	Tobacco Monopoly	Stamps	Total Extra sources of Revenue	
Rented	Annuary	Total	Rented	Annuary	Total	Sall							
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
42480	"	"	"	"	42486	"	17575 9 7	6211	"	"	8	"	285873	"	316365 11 7	1585052 59
45114 8	"	"	"	"	45114 8	"	138324 9	6095 13 7	93 10 8	"	"	"	27888 5	"	273002 7 11	1520788 1 6
2628 8	"	"	"	"	2628 8	"	"	"	85 10 8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3741 10	115 2 5	"	"	"	"	6981 3	43363 3 8	64264 4 3	

Vizagapatam 31st January 1841 E. E. J.

(Signed) W. Th. Arbuthnot
Collector

Statement

3326 — 1. St. George — 22d. February 1814.

B. Statements of the Government Estates for Fusslies 1251 and 1252.

Fusly	Talloks	Hoond	Land	Total Sist	Discretionary Remission	Uncultivated Land	Deduct amount Remitted: Remission to Seerus: tooloo being the Moiety of the Collection of their lands	Ryots deceased after settlement	Deserted Ryots
				15		16	17	18	19
1251	1 Palcondah	1 Palcon	1	116800 1 0	2769 12 11	3389 0 7	2381 11 5	u u u	104 14 9
		2 Hoy	u u	11175 13 7	239 13 8	724 13 7	u u u	u u u	u u u
				127975 14 7	3009 10 7	3513 14 2	2381 11 5	u u u	104 14 9
	2 Golgondah	3 Golgon	u u	25746 4 1	3319 13 u	1015 5 5	u u u	10 0 0	268 7 6
		2 Vanoo	u u	20829 6 2	1055 2 10	1033 3 9	u u u	30 0 0	23 9 6
		3 Cottaes	u u	19949 15 9	946 13 3	405 11 0	u u u	0 0 0	0 u u
			u u	66525 10 0	5321 13 4	5954 4 2	u u u	40 0 0	292 1 0
	3 Seevasiddy	1 Seeva	u u	22959 14 8	2539 9 11	7073 7 3	u u u	18 6 0	8 4 0
		2 Raya	u u	4350 6 0	153 5 10	851 2 u	u u u	u u u	13 2 0
			u u	27310 4 8	2692 15 9	7758 8 5	u u u	18 6 0	21 6 0
			u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
	4 Bundlepatam	Dutch	u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
		Granary	1 u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u	u u u
				221811 13 3	11024 7 8	14026 10 9	2381 11 5	58 6 0	418 5 9

by the Collector at the Samabundy times. Fasly 1951 Sundry.

/Continued/ ready Money sources

Insolvent Ryots	Amount paid to the Circums as per sanction of Government dated 29th May 1839	Remission on account of premises of Ryots	Total deduction	Remaining Net Pars	Fasly Sundry Fees	Condapalloo	Tax upon Palmira Topes, Gardens &c.	Sale of pasture lands	Sale of Water	Rent of Lands brought under cultivation in the beds of Tanks	Total Sundry Sources
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
375 15 9	" " "	" " "	907 2 5	10778 9 7	204 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	204 8 "
85 " "	" " "	" " "	449 2 3	10926 2 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	204 8 "
460 15 9	" " "	" " "	9471 2 8	11850 11 11	204 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 8 "	545 13 "
742 10 6	90 " "	" " "	6046 4 8	19699 15 5	117 6 "	425 15 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
321 10 5	" " "	5 12 4	2469 6 10	18359 15 4	62 15 "	338 " 6	31 " "	73 12 "	" " "	" " "	505 12 5
290 " 9	" " "	" " "	1342 9 "	18667 6 9	27 1 "	69 8 "	15 8 "	4 " "	" " "	" " "	91 7 8
1351 15 8	90 " "	5 12 4	9857 4 6	56667 5 6	189 13 "	833 7 6	46 8 "	77 12 "	2 8 "	" " "	1143 1 1
1380 8 9	" " "	" " "	11629 3 11	11339 10 9	" " "	45 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 12 "	46 12 "
357 12 2	" " "	" " "	699 5 2	3741 " 10	" " "	" " "	130 3 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	130 3 "
1738 4 11	" " "	" " "	12229 9 1	15080 11 7	" " "	45 " "	130 3 "	" " "	" " "	1 12 "	176 15 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
3553 10 4	90 " "	5 12 4	31559 " 3	190252 13 "	389 " 1	878 7 6	176 11 "	77 12 "	2 8 "	1 12 "	1524 8 1

Fort St. George 28 February 1845

Fusly 1254 (Continued)

Total Annamies of Columns 24 and 31	Rent		Total		Jody	Augrahaurums		Mocasahs		Dolatanums		Total Jody		Total including Jody	
	Villages	Bariz	Villages	Bariz	Enam Cuttoo-badies	Villages	Cuttoo-badies	Villages	Cuttoo-badies	Villages	Nazers	Villages	Bariz of Sodagee	Villages	Land Revenue Bariz
32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
1,07,983 1 7	9	1,392 5 0	155	1,09,375 6 7	1,25,75 11 8	55	4,333 13 1	17	1,496 9 4	19	2042 1 0	91	20,448 3 1	246	1,29,823 9 8
10,726 2 4	0	0 0 0	14	10,726 2 4	1831 2 0	1	25 0 0	1	205 0 0	0	0 0 0	2	413 12 0	16	11,139 14 4
1,18,709 3 11	9	1,392 5 0	169	1,20,101 8 11	1,27,597 8	56	4,358 13 1	18	1,701 9 4	19	2042 1 0	93	20,861 15 1	262	1,40,963 8 0
20,245 12 5	90	2,466 0 0	150	22,711 12 5	1,169 7 0	18	20 0 0	72	1,034 4 0	0	0 0 0	90	2,223 11 0	240	24,935 7 5
18,865 11 9	0	0 0 0	20	18,865 11 9	433 9 4	2	50 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	2	483 9 0	22	19,349 4 9
18,698 14 5	0	0 0 0	16	18,698 14 5	0 0 0	1	15 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	1	15 0 0	17	18,713 14 5
57,810 6 7	90	2,466 0 0	186	60,276 6 7	1,603 0 0	21	85 0 0	72	1,034 4 0	0	0 0 0	93	2,722 4 0	279	62,998 10 7
11,386 6 9	0	0 0 0	13	11,386 6 9	1,279 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	1,379 0 0	13	12,765 6 9
3,871 3 10	0	0 0 0	3	3,871 3 10	287 13 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	287 13 0	3	4,159 0 10
15,257 10 7	0	0 0 0	16	15,257 10 7	1,666 13 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	1,666 13 0	16	16,924 7 7
0 0 0	1	50 0 0	1	50 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	1	50 0 0
1,91,777 5 1	100	3,908 5 0	372	1,95,685 10 1	1,6029 4 8	77	4,443 13 1	90	2,735 13 4	19	2042 1 0	186	25251 0 1	558	2,20,936 10 2

Fort St. George

2d February 1816

As per Numeers Settlement at the Fusly

Meture-fsha	Bariz of Fusly 1251 inclu-sive of last year	Ps Rayas	Dry Land	Dry Sist	Wet Land	Wet Sist	1252 season of cultivation Garden Land	Garden Sist	Total Sist	Deductions made Discre-tionary Remission	Uncul-tivated lands
48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60
3991 4 8	133814 14 4	148	1142 26 ½	14447 8 5	7409 28 ¼	95186 11	94 19 5	1722 11	8647 13 10	111356 4 4	6852 3	5308 5
116 5 8	11256 4	14	321 15 3/16	1226 5 6	807 13 13/16	9873 1			1178 29 10/16	11099 5 7	489	172 7 5
4107 10 4	145071 2 4	162		15673 13 11		105059 1		1722 11		122455 9 11	7341 3	5480 12 5
1015 6	25980 13 5	60	503 28 10	3979 14 8	1358 11 11	19775 8 7			1862 10 1	23755 7 3	5323 8 4	1858 13 9
363 8 5	19712 13 2	20	137 14 1½	5481 15 5	98 2	15032 11 4			236 1½	20514 10 9	2868 1 1	1350 5 11
339 6 4	19053 4 9	16	76 7 12	4006 3 2	94 4 11	15943 15 10			1701 3 7	19950 3	1879 1 5	1749 2 7
1748 4 9	64746 15 4	96		13468 1 3		50752 3 9				64220 5	10070 10 10	4958 2 3
3404 1	13105 10 10	13	46 13 14	3825 2 6	127 8 4	18542 2 10			174 6 2	22367 5 4	2607 4 5	10085 4 1
14 12 10	4173 13 8	3	7 12	988 9	31 13 9	3361 13				4350 6	396 4	196 38
355 11	17279 8 6	16	54 9 14	4813 11 6	159 5 13	21903 15 10			1862 10 1	26717 11 4	3003 8 5	10281 7 9
6211	227147 10 2	274		33955 10 8		177715 4 7		1722 11		213393 10 3	30115 6 3	20720 10 5

22d February 1844

Fusly 1252

at the Jamabundy Sundry receipts

(Continued)

Money Sources

Remission to Ryots of 10 per cent being the moiety of the Collections of their lands	Amount paid to the Curnums as per sanction of Government date 29th May 1829	Total Deductions	Remaining Net Bauz	Fruit Trees	Conda pollon	Tax upon Palmeras Topes, Gardens &c.	Sale of pasture lands	Lands in the beds of Tanks brought under Cultivation	Sist levied by cultivating lands inside of Tanks	Sale of water of Nimma Cuttoo	Sist levied upon lands near deserts	Total Sundry Sources
61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73
2156 9 2	" " "	11,317 1 2	9,703 9 2	50	" " " " " "		15 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	65 2 8
" " "	" " "	661 7 5	10,137 11 2	" " " " " "			" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2156 9 2	" " "	11,978 8 7	10,771 1 4	50	" " " " " "		15 2 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	65 2 8
" " "	92 8 "	7,274 1 4	16,480 9 2	724 " 4	98 " " " "		61 11 5	" " "	" " "	7 " "	55 14 "	246 5 5
" " "	" " "	4,218 7 "	16,296 3 9	57 2 "	151 9 "	31 " "	92 8 "	" " "	78 " "	" " "	" " "	339 11 "
" " "	" " "	3628 4 "	16,321 5 "	" " "	31 8 "	15 8 "	4 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	51 " "
" " "	92 8 "	15,121 9 1	14,098 11 11	129 6 "	332 9 "	46 8 "	158 3 5	" " "	78 "	7 " "	55 14 "	637 " 5
" " "	" " "	12,692 8 6	9,874 12 10	" " "	22 " "	" " "	" " "	16 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	236 "
" " "	" " "	592 7 8	3757 14 4	" " "	" " "	130 3 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	130 3 "
" " "	" " "	13285 " 2	13,432 11 2	" " "	22 " "	130 3 "	" " "	16 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	153 9 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2156 9 2	92 8 "	43385 1 10	170008 8 5	179 6 "	554 9 "	176 11 "	173 6 1	16 "	78 "	7 " "	55 14 "	855 13 1

Fort St. George.

22d February 1844.

July 1st

Total Summary Columns 64 and 73	Villages	Rents Bariz	Villages	Total Jeroyetty Bariz	Enam Cuttoo badies	Villages	Augrahareums Shotrium	Continued Jodagy Macasahs Villages	Cuttoo badies	Doratanums Villages	Nazzers	Total Jodagy Villages	Amount	Total Land Revenue including Jodagy Villages	Bariz
74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89
97.104 5 10	7	1,358 13 "	155	98,463 2 10	12,575 11 8	55	4,333 13 1		1496 9 4	19	2042 1 "	91	20448 3 1	246	118911 5 11
10,437 14 2	"	" " "	14	10,437 14 2	183 12 "	1	25 " "	1	205 " "	"	" "	2	413 12 "	16	10851 10 2
107542 4 "	7	1358 13 "	169	108901 1 "	12759 7 8	56	4358 13 1	18	1701 9 4	19	2042 1 "	93	20861 15 1	262	129763 " 1
16726 14 7	90	2532 " "	150	19258 14 7	1148 15 "	18	20 " "	72	1034 4 "	"	" "	90	2203 3 "	240	21462 1 7
16635 14 9	"	" " "	20	16635 14 9	433 9 "	2	50 " "	"	" "	"	" "	2	483 9 "	22	17119 7 9
16372 15 "	"	" " "	16	16372 15 "	" " "	1	50 " "	"	" "	"	" "	1	15 " "	17	16387 15 "
49735 12 4	90	2532 " "	186	52267 12 4	1582 8 "	21	85 " "	72	1034 4 "	"	" "	93	2701 12 "	279	54969 8 4
9698 2 10	"	" " "	13	9698 2 10	1379 " "	"	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	"	1379 " "	13	11077 2 10
3888 1 4	"	" " "	3	3888 1 4	287 13 "	"	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	"	287 13 "	3	4175 14 4
13586 4 2	"	" " "	16	13586 4 2	1666 13 "	"	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	"	1666 13 "	16	15253 1 2
" " "	1	50 " "	1	50 " "	" " "	"	" " "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" " "	1	50 " "
170364 6 98	39402 13 "	372	174805 1 6	16008 12 8	77	4443 13 1	90	2735 13 4	19	2042 1 "	186	25230 8 1	558	200035 9 7	

Fort St. George

22d. February 1844.

(Continued)

Motur pha	Bariz of Fusly 1252 inclusive of the present Fusly	Increase Land	Increase Sist	Fusly 1252 Decrease Land	Fusly 1252 Decrease Sist
90	91	92	93	94	95
3842 12 1	1,22754 2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
112 13 -	1996 1 2	" " "	" " "	42518 4	5463 4 3
2955 9 1	1,33718 9 2	" " "	" " "	4 3648	76 8 "
1053 15 6	22516 1 1	" " "	" " "	195 13 19	1990 12 10
351 " 3	17470 8 "	" " "	" " "	5 15 9	314 11 5
371 6 2	16759 5 2	" " "	" 3 3	" " 2	" " "
1776 5 11	56745 14 3	" " "	" 3 3	" " "	2305 8 3
345 6 7	11422 9 5	" " "	" " "	6 14	592 9 4
18 8 "	4194 6 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
363 14 7	15616 15 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	592 9 4
" " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
6095 13 7	206131 7 2	" " "	" 3 3	" " "	8418 6 3

Net = 8418 3.

Increase + Villages	Increase + Bariz	Villages	Bariz	Enam cuttoo bodies	Angraharums Villages	Angraharums Shotriums	Mocapahs Villages	Mocapahs cuttoo bodies
96	97	98	99	100	101	102	103	104
2 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " 66	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " 66	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 16 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" 16 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2 16 13 6	" 66	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2 " "	" 32	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "

Fort St. George

22d. February 1841

and

dy of

Sist

Doraturums Villages	Nuzzers	Total Land Revenue Villages	Bariz	Matur: =pha	Total Increase	Jeroyetty Villages	Bariz	(Continued) Decrease Rents Villages	Bare	Matur: =pha 124	Total Decrease 125	Sist 6	8	
105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113	111			29 12 6		12 5
"	" " " "	2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	10878 11 9			148 8 7	11,060 12 4			
"	" " " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	288 4 2	2	33	3 8 8	291 12 10	1 5 12 1	1	8 "
"	" " " "	2	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	11166 15 11	"	"	152 1 3	11,352 9 2	45 8 7		4 5
"	" " " "	"	66 " "	896	74 96	"	3518 13 10	2	33	" " "	3539 5 10	54 5 10		2 2
"	" " " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22913 "	"	"	" " "	2,325 15 5	41 15 10		" "
"	" " " "	"	" " "	31 15 10	31 15 10	"	2325 15 5	"	"	12 8 2	8107 10 5			
"	" " " "	"	66 " "	40 9 4	106 9 4	"	8174 10 3	"	"			107 "		6 2
"	" " " "	"	" " "	5 2 6	5 2 6	"	1688 3 11	1	"	" " "	1,688 3 11			
"	" " " "	"	16 13 6	3 11 2	20 8 8	"	" " "	"	"			9 17 2		9 4
"	" " " "	"	16 13 6	8 13 8	25 11 2	"	1688 3 11	"	"					
"	" " " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1	"	" " "	1,688 3 11	113 "		" "
"	" " " "	2	82 13 6	49 7 "	132 4 6	"	20929 14 1	"	"					
"	" " " "	"	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	20918 4 7	"	"	" " "	" " "	9 2		9 4
								1	164 9 5	21,148 9 6		5 8 9		3 11
								2	33	115 2 5	21,016 3 "			
								2	"					

Vizagapatam 31st. January 1841 — E.

E. Fr. Sig

Statement

3.

Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

Decrease in the Athoobady Khamdowle for fusly 1252. as per Statement B.

Fusly 1251 Gardens Land	Sist	Total Land	Sist	Lands Dry Land	Sist	Deduct left uncultivated Wet Land	Sist	Garden Land	Sist	Total Land	Sist
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Ps. Chgs.											
D. 10 94 22 5	1727 1 "	9072 27 17	11680 0 1 "	82 7 7½	951 10 4	392 9 19	4874 12 1 "	3 "	4 6 "	1474 20 6½	5830 12 5
" " " " " "	1133 25 5¼	11175 13 7	" 31 8	3 12 "	4 4 12 5/8	72 12 "	" " " " " "	4 36 4 5/2	76 8 "		
" " "	1727 1 "	" " "	12795 14 7	" " "	955 6 4	" " "	4947 8 1	" " "	4 6 "	" " "	5907 4 5
" " " " " "	2057 24 "	25746 4 1	57 3 "	311 15 7	138 15 9	1683 2 7	" " "	" " "	195 18 19	1995 2 2	
" " " " " "	241 15 10¼	20829 6 2	4 " 12	110 11 "	3 3 4	369 9 "	" " "	" " "	7 4 "	480 4 "	
" " " " " "	17012 9	199491 5 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " " " " "	66525 10	" " "	4220 10 7	" " "	2052 11 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	2475 6 2		
" " " " " "	1807 6	22959 14 8	" " "	" " "	61 " 5879 4	" " "	" " "	61 " 5879 4			
" " " " " "	3999	43506 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
" " " " " "	27310 4 8	" " "	" " "	" " "	5879 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	5879 4		
" " " 1727 1	" " "	221811 13 3	" " "	1378 " 11	" " "	7587 13 "	" " "	46 "	" " "	8970 3 11		

Fort St George 22d February 1844

Deduct

Dry land banked away by sea — Land		Dry land transferred to Enam erroneously included under Jerbytee in last year — Land		Dry land occupied under the houses of Ryots — Land		Total Deduction — Land		(Continued) Total Deduction — Dry — Sist		Wet — Land		Wet — Sist		Garden — Land		Garden — Sist		Total — Land		Total — Sist	
19		20		21		22		23		24		25		26		27		28		29		30		31		32	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	82	7	7½	951	10	4	392	9	19	4874	12	1	"	3	"	4	6	"	474	20	6½	5830	12	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	31	8	3	12	"	4	4	12 6/8	72	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	36	4 5/8	76	8	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	955	6	4	"	"	"	4947	8	1	"	"	"	"	4	6	"	"	"	"	5907	4	5
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	57	3	"	311	15	7	138	15	9	1683	2	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	195	18	19	1995	22	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	15	5	12	4	4	11	116	7	4	3	3	4	3699	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	7	4	15	4860	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	5	1	29	"	"	"	"	"	5	1	29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	1	29			
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	29	"	"	5	12	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	430	3	8	"	"	"	20521	17	"	"	"	"	24821	53	
"	4	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	5	"	"	61	"	"	5879	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	6	1	4	5929	4	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	
"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	5879	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	5929	4	
"	"	5	"	"	"	"	"	1	29	"	"	5	12	4	1390	10	"	"	"	"	75871	3	"	"	"	"	46	"	"	"	"	"	89821	3	"

3336 Fort St. George

22.d February 1844.-

Dry		Wet		Remainder Gardens		Total		Increase in the Current Fusly.- Transferred from Rents to Asmanie.- Dry		Wet		Total.-	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist-	Land	Sist-	Land	Sist-	Land	Sist
33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43.	44	45.	46.-
1133 18 6½	14.391 9 2	7.369 29 19	94.855 " 5	94 19 5	1.722 11 "	8.598 7 10½	11,096 9 7	5 10.	13 12.	21 " "	794.	26 10.	93 " "
321 15 3 3/16	1.226 5 6	807 13 13¾	9873 " 1.	" " "	" " "	1.128 29 ½	11,099 5 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	15.617 11. 8	" " "	104.728 " 6	" " "	1.722 11 "	" " "	12,068 10 2	" " "	13.12.	" " "	794.	24 " "	93 " "
503 28 10	3.979 11. 8	1.358 6 11	19.771 3 3	" " "	" " "	1.862 5 1	23,751 1 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
137 3 5½	5.418 13 6	97 7 6	14.924 8 1	" " "	" " "	231. 10 11½	20,343 3 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
76 7 12	4.006 3 2	94 4 8	15.941 15 10	" " "	" " "	170 12 1	19,948 3.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	13.404 15 1	" " "	50.637 11 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	64,042 10 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
46 13 11	3.825 2 6	127 8 1	18.542 2 10	" " "	" " "	174 6 2	22367 5 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
7 12 "	988 9 "	31 13 9	3.361 13 "	" " "	" " "	3999	4,350 6.	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	4.813 11 6	" " "	21.903 15 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	26,717 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
" " "	33.836 9 6	" " "	177.269 11 9	" " "	1.722 11 "	" " "	21,282 9. 3	" " "	13.12.	" " "	794.	" " "	93 " "

3336

Fort St. George 22d February 1844.-

Dry		Wet		Remainder Gardens		Total	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	
33	34	35	36	37	38	39	
1133 18 6½	14.391 9 2	7.369 29 19	9.855 " 5	94 19 5	1.722-11 "	8.598 7 10½	
321 15 33/80	1.226 5 6	807 13 13¾	9.873 " 1.	" " "	" " "	1.128 29½	
" " "	15.617 14 8	" " "	10.728 " 6	" " "	1.722 11 "	" " "	
503 28 10	3.979 14 8	1.358 6 11	19.771 3 3	" " "	" " "	1.862 5 1	
134 3 5½	5.418 13 6	97 7 6	14.924 8 4	" " "	" " "	232. 10 11½	
76 7 12	4.006 3 2	94 4 8	15.941 15 10	" " "	" " "	170 12 4	
" " "	13.404 15 4	" " "	50.637 11 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	
46 13 14	3.825 2 6	127 8 4	18.542 2 10	" " "	" " "	174 6 2	
7 12 "	988 9 "	31 13 9	3.361 13 "	" " "	" " "	39 9 9	
" " "	4.813 11 6	" " "	21.903 15 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	
" " "	33.836 9 6	" " "	177.269 11 9	" " "	1.722 11 "	" " "	

Increase in the Current Fusly.-
Transferred from Rents to Sumaree.-

Dry		Wet		Total.-	
Sist-	Land	Sist-	Land	Sist-	Land
40	41	42	43	44	45
11.096 9 4 7	5 10	13 12	21 " "	79 4	26 10
11.099 5 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
122.068 10 2	" " "	13 12	" " "	79 4	93 " "
23.751 1 11	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
20.343 5 10	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
10.948 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
64.042 10 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
2236 5 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
4.350 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
26.717 11 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
21.282 9 3	" " "	13 12	" " "	79 4	93 " "

Fort St. George

22d February 1844.-

Arable land brought under Cultivation						Increase in the		Current Fusly ---- 1 Cnt &			Total increase	
Dry		Wet		Total		Concealed wet lands brought under Cultivation		Increase in the ready Money rents			Dry	
Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	Land	Sist	List of dry lands	Do of Wet lands.	Total	Land	Sist
47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59
3 20	42 3 3	13 28 2½	251 12 6	22 26 2½	293 15 9						9 8	55 15 3
	42 3 3		251 12 6		293 15 9							55 15 3
42 10 12	54 8 6	10 10	105 1 8	1 5 6	159 10 2							
3 2		3 2										
	54 8 6		107 1 8		161 10 2							
96 11 9		358 11 2		455 9 11								
								5	4 5 4			
								895	3 1 4	1 1 10 9	10 12	63 1 11
								4 5 4	895	3 1 4	1 1 10 9	63 1 11
								4 5 4	895	3 1 4	1 1 10 9	11 9 12

Fort St. George

22d February 1844.-

Settlement of Fasly 1252.-

Wet. Land	Wet. Sist.	Total Land	Total Sist.	Dry Land	Dry Sist.	Garden Land	Garden Sist.	Total Land	Total Sist.
60	61	62	63.	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71
39 28 2½	331 " 6	49 6 2½	386 15 9	1142 26 6½	14447 8 5	7409 28½	95186 " 11	94 19 5	1722 11 "	8647 13 13	111356 4 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	321 15 3⅓	1226 5 6	807 13 13	9873 " 1	" " "	" " "	1123 29 7½	11099 5 7
" " "	331 " 6	" " "	386 15 9	" " "	15673 13 11	" " "	105059 1 "	" " "	1722 11 "	" " "	122455 9 11
" 5 "	4 5 4	" 5 "	4 5 4	503 28 10	3979 14 8	1359 11 11	19775 8 7	" " "	" " "	1362 10 1	23755 7 3
" 10 10	108 3 "	1 5 6	171 4 11	137 14 1¼	5481 15 5	98 2 "	15032 11 4	" " "	" " "	236 " 1½	20574 10 9
" " 3	2 " "	" " 3	2 " "	76 7 12	4006 3 2	94 4 11	15943 15 10	" " "	" " "	170 12 7	19950 3 "
" " "	114 8 4	" " "	177 10 3	" " "	13468 1 3	" " "	50752 3 9	" " "	" " "	" " "	64220 5 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	461 3 14	38252 6	127 8 4	18542 2 10	" " "	" " "	174 6 2	22367 5 4
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	712 "	7889 "	31 13 9	3361 13 "	" " "	" " "	39 9 9	43506 "
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	549 14	48131 1 6	159 5 13	21903 15 10	" " "	" " "	213 15 11	26717 11 4
" " "	445 8 10	" " "	564 10 "	" " "	33955 10 8	" " "	17771 5 4	" " "	1722 11 "	" " "	213393 10 3

Vizagapatam 31st January 1844 E. E. Jr.

Signed/ W. U. Arbuthnot Collector

Abstract-

Fort St. George

22d February 1844.-

D. Abstract Statement Comparative of Government Estates for Fusles 1251 and 1252.-

No.	Fusles.	Demand.- Current	Arrears	Total	Collection.- Current	Arrears	Total.	Remission on account of Arrears	Balance.- Current	Arrears	Total.-
		1	2	3.	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	Fusly 1251	220936 10	2 14,334 14	3 235321 8 5	212855 6 6	2868 4	215723 10 6	3 7 1 8 10	8,081 3 8	11145 1 5	19,226 5 7
2	Fusly 1252	200135 9 7	19,226 5 7	219261 14 8	190,699 6 8	2077 3 4	192776 10	2,666 11 2	9,336 2 11	14,482 6 7	23818 9 6
	Increase	4,841 6 10									
	Decrease	20,801 7	16,059 9 9	22135 15 10		791 8	22947 6	2,295 2 4	1,254 15 3	3,337 5 2	4,592 4 5

Vizagapatam 31st January 1844 E.E. Jr.

Signed A. C. Arbuthnot Esq.

Statement A

Fort St. George

22d February 1844.

Statement of Current Demand & Arrears Outstanding against the Revenue and Arrears

Fasly 1243	Fasly 1249	Fasly 1250	Fasly 1251	Total.	Total Current & Arrears.
9	10	11	12	13.	14.
407 3 5	340 10	2,213 9 6	4,835 11 4	9,666 8 8	128,577 14 7
" " "	5 9 2	4 14	440 8 9	450 15 11	11,302 10 1
407 3 5	346 3 2	2,218 7 6	5,276 4 1	10,117 8 7	139,880 8 8
167	59 5 11 3	55 2 14 9	1,535 15 4	2,351 15 4	24,314 " 11
26 4	11 6 11 6	33 5 11	279 9 10	699 2 11	17,818 10 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	5 "	162 1 7	6,847 9	1,072 6 9
193 10	71 2 6 9	64 1 4 3	197 10 9	425 5 10	39,205 2 4
83 7 12 9	66 5 13 8	85 2 6 4	72 3 4 10	43 6 0 5 11	15,437 8 9
12 2 11	12 5 6 9	7 5 4 4	99 "	51 2 12 7	4,638 10 11
95 9 15 8	79 1 4 5	92 7 10 8	32 7 4 10	48 7 3 2 6	20,126 3 8
" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	50 "
156 0 13 1	23 4 9 14 4	37 8 7 6 10	29 8 1 3 8	192 2 6 5 1	219,261 14 8

Fort St. George

Source of Current Demand & Arrears

Fasly 1246	Fasly 1247
7	8
76 5 5	40 4 8 3
" " "	" " "
76 5 5	40 4 8 3
" " "	" " "
1 17 4 7 10	" " "
41 6 5 3	" " 9
1 59 0 13 1	" " 9
1 25 9 7 7	28 5 4 1
6 3 9 13 10	4 3 15 9
5 29 9 5 5	32 9 3 10
" " "	" " "
3 1,65 5 7 11	73 3 12 10

3341 Fort St George

22d February 1844.-

Government Estates for Fusly 1252 in the District of Vizagapatam.-

Current.-	Fusly 1243	Fusly 1244	Fusly 1245	Fusly 1246	Collection Arrears.- Fusly 1247.-	Fusly 1248	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Total	Total Current and Arrears.-
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
115479 5 10	1 " 3	" 10 8	" " "	61 11 2	28 4 3	8 7 5	19 9 9	36 5 11	1,077 10 2	1233 11 7	116,713 1 5
10230 3 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	153 10 3	153 10 3	10,383 13 10	
125,709 9 5	1 " 3	" 10 8	" " "	61 11 2	28 4 3	8 7 5	19 9 9	36 5 11	1,231 4 5	1,387 5 10	127,096 15 3
19,426 12 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1 " "	6 2 5	5 2 6	128 4 11	140 9 10	19,567 6 4
18,399 8 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9 1 "	" " "	" " "	3 15 "	14 13 6	4 10 "	73 12 8	106 4 2	16,505 12 6
15,373 12 5	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2 7 4 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	134 7 11	161 11 11	15,535 8 4
57,200 1 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	36 5 "	4 15 "	20 15 11	9 12 6	33 6 9 6	408 9 11	57,608 11 2
9,906 11 8	2 2 6	1 1 "	" " "	7 5 2	1 2 3 "	8 4 "	8 4 "	3 9 1 10	13 0 13 3	19 7 9	10,106 3 5
3,833 " 4	" " "	" " "	" " "	10 3 "	2 14 "	13 8 "	9 7 "	12 " "	3 3 11 4 0	8 1 11 10	3,914 12 2
13,739 12 "	2 2 6	1 1 "	" " "	17 8 2	5 6 "	21 12 "	17 11 "	5 7 1 10	16 4 9 1	23 1 3 7	14,020 15 7
50 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	50 " "	
190,699 6 8	3 2 9	1 1 8	" " "	115 8 4	33 10 3	35 2 5	58 4 8	9 7 4 3	17 3 2 7 "	2077 3 4	192,776 10 "

3342.

Fort St. George

22nd February

Remission

Fusly 1243. 27	Fusly 1244. 28	Fusly 1245. 29	Fusly 1246. 30	Fusly 1247 31	Fusly 1248. 32	Fusly 1249 33	Total 34
" " " "	" "	4 4 3	5 8 1 8	7 9 8	4 0 2 8	10 9	14 6 220 - 9
" " " "	" "	4 4 3	5 8 1 8	7 9 8	4 0 2 8	10 9	14 6 220 - 9
" " " "	" "	" " " "	" " " "	" "	6 0 8 -	2 4 7 8 -	3 0 8 - "
" " " "	" "	" " " "	" " " "	" "	2 -	2 -	4 - "
" " " "	" "	" " " "	" " " "	" "	6 2 8 -	2 4 9 8 -	3 1 2 - "
2 8 8	7 4	1 7 6 - 3	1 4 4 6	7 8 7 4	2 4 3 4 4	5 6 3 10 8	5 0 5 12 8 1 8 7 4 15 1
6 8 6	" "	" "	2 9 9 6	4 0 10 -	10 3 4 4	7 4 4 -	2 5 9 11 4
2 9 4	15 10	1 7 6 - 3	1 4 4 6	10 8 - 10	2 8 3 14 4	6 7 6 15 -	5 8 0 7 8 2 1 3 4 10 5
2 9 4	15 10	1 7 6 - 3	1 8 8 9	16 6 2 6	2 9 1 8 -	7 7 9 9 8	9 3 9 14 2 2 6 6 11 2

Vizagapatam

Current 35	Fusly 1243 36	Total 45	Total Current and Arrears 46
3432 " 1	116 10 1	8212 12 4	11044 12 5
621 6 7	" " "	297 5 8	918 12 3
4053 6 8	116 10 1	8510 2 4	12503 8 8
2635 3 7	" " "	2403 5 6	4438 10 7
719 15 5	9 4 11	558 14 9	1308 14 2
1014 2 7	101 " 2	572 11 10	1536 14 5
3769 7 1	110 5 1	5515 " 1	7284 7 2
1170 7 2	143 8 4	2785 15 1	3456 6 3
342 14 "	" 8 "	171 5 5	544 3 5
1513 5 2	144 " 4	2457 4 6	3970 9 8
" " "	" "	" " "	" "
9336 2 11	370 15 6	4082 6 7	23819 9 6

31st January

Statement

3343-

Fort St. George

F Statement shewing the increase and decrease or Charges for Fusly Collections in

Divisions Numbers	Names of Talooks	Numbers	Names of Hoondahs	Permanently Settled	Current	Arrears
	1		2	3	4	5
Northern	1 Palcondah	1	Palcondah	55,000 " "	115,479 5 10	1233 11 7
		2	Konjaram	11,982 " "	10,230 3 7	153 10 3
			Total	66,982 " "	125,709 7 5	1387 5 10
	2 Golgondah	1	Golgondah	10,000 " "	19,426 12 6	140 9 10
		2	Vamoolapoody	14,000 " "	16,399 8 4	106 4 2
		3	Cottacollah	13,500 " "	15,373 12 5	161 11 11
			Total	40500 " "	57,200 1 3	408 9 11
Southern	3 Survasiddy	1	Survasiddy	24,600 " "	9906 11 8	199 7 9
		2	Rajalah	4413 8 "	3833 " 4	81 11 10
			Total	29,013 8 "	13,739 12 "	2813 7
			Total Southern	69,513 8 "	64,913 13 3	689 13 6
	4 Bimlipatam	1	Ouctli Land	50 " "	50 " 7	" " "
			Grand Total	136,545 8 "	190,099 6 8	2077 3 4

Vizagapatam, 31st January 1824 E. E. J. [Signed]

22nd February 1844.

between the Permanent Beriz and the Collections and 1252 of the Government Estates.

Fusly 1252 Total	Charges Collection Repairs &c	Net Revenue	Comparison Between Columns 3 and 6 Increase	Decrease	Between Columns 3 and 8 Increase	Decrease
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
116713 1 5	8226 5 "	108486 12 5	61713 1 5	" " "	53486 12 5	" " "
10383 13 10	1792 2 3	8591 11 7	" " "	1598 2 2	" " "	3390 4 5
127096 15 3	10018 7 3	117078 8 "	61713 1 5	1598 2 2	53486 12 5	3390 4 5
19567 6 4	1421 9 3	18145 13 1	9567 6 4	" " "	8145 13 1	" " "
16505 12 6	2416 11 "	14089 1 6	" " "	494 3 6	" " "	2910 14 6
15535 8 4	1919 2 2	13616 6 2	2035 8 4	" " "	1166 2 "	" " "
51608 11 2	5757 6 5	45857 4 9	11602 14 8	494 3 6	8262 3 3	2910 14 6
10106 3 5	2785 6 3	7320 13 2	" " "	14493 12 7	" " "	17279 2 10
3914 12 2	499 11 8	3415 " 6	" " "	498 11 10	" " "	998 7 6
14020 15 7	3285 1 11	10735 13 8	" " "	14992 8 5	" " "	18277 10 4
65629 10 9	9042 8 4	56587 2 5	11602 14 8	15486 11 11	8262 3 3	21188 8 10
50 " "	" " "	50 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
192776 10 "	19060 15 7	173715 10 5	73316 " 1	17084 14 1	01748 15 8	24578 13 3
			Deduct Decrease	17084 14 1	" " "	24578 13 3
			Net Increase	56231 2 "		37170 2 5

W. H. Arbuthnot
Collector

Statement

3344

Fort St. George

G Statement Exhibiting the Charges

22nd February 1844

Collections for Fasly 1252 –

		Land Revenue				Sea Customs	Abkary	Stamps	Motarpha	Total
		Annany	Permanently Settled	Total	Salt	Sayer				
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Wages	Hoozoor	" " "	20,859 9 8	20,859 9 8	160 "	1,680 " "	180 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	22,899 9 8
	District	1696 5 4 2	" " "	1696 5 4 2	3830 4 10	6980 5 1	1586 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	144 " "	29,500 6 1
	Total	1696 5 4 2	20,859 9 8	37824 13 10	4010 4 10	8660 5 1	1760 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	144 " "	52,399 15 9
Establishments	Hoozoor	" " "	825 13 6	825 13 6	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	825 13 6
	District	468 " "	" " "	468 " "	151 8 "	426 " "	24 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1069 8 "
	Total	468 " "	825 13 6	1293 13 6	151 8 "	426 " "	24 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	1895 5 6
Extra Charges	Hoozoor	335 2 6	" " "	335 2 6	12 3 1	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	347 5 7
	District	869 3 4	" " "	869 3 4	1687 1 7	" " "	163 8 "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	2719 12 4
	Purchase of Salt	" " "	" " "	" " "	9474 10 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	9474 10 3
	Repairs	423 5 7	" " "	423 5 7	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	423 5 7
	Grand Total	19060 15 7	21685 7 2	40746 6 9	15335 11 9	9086 5 1	1948 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	144 " "	67,260 7 7

Vizagapatam,
31st January 1844

E. E. Jr

(Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Collector

Statement

3345

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

H Statement exhibiting the Balances outstanding against the Zemindaris and Proprietary estates up to 31st January 1844

13 Maloopakah	1250	4,000	"	"	"	"	4,000	"
	1248	14,000	"	"	"	"	14,000	"
		22900	"	"	8900	"	14000	"
14 Condakirlah	1249	1,000	"	"	1,000	"	"	"
	1248	13,265	6	11	1,394	7	11,871	1 6
		14265	6	11	2,394	7	11,871	1 6
15 Cottoor	1252	2,000	"	"	418	4	2,581	11 6
	1250	2963	8	"	"	"	2963	8 "
	1249	8057	11	1	"	"	8,057	11 1
	1248	8,913	6	4	"	"	8,913	6 4
		22928	9	5	418	4	22,510	4 11
16 Velchoor Codoor	1252	3,600	"	"	527	3	3,072	12 3
	1250	3059	8	"	"	"	2059	8 "
	1249	7,026	15	"	"	"	7,026	15 "
	1248	7205	"	9	"	"	7205	" 9
		20891	7	9	527	3	20,364	4 "
17 Dimiday	1252	11509	15	9	8179	11	3,320	4 5
	1257	3,500	"	"	"	"	3,500	" "
		15009	15	9	8179	11	6,820	4 5

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.-

18	Godicherlah	1248	12,597	11	6	1,200	"	11,597 11 6
19	Ankapilly	1248	20,058	9	5	1,500	"	18,558 9 5
20	Coroopoloo	1248	5830	"	"	480	"	5,350 " "
21	Burnicum	1248	1136	4	"	120	"	1,016 4 "
22	Moonagapakah	1248	17,707	"	"	1,500	"	16,207 " "
23	Sreerampooram	1248	13,730	"	"	1,200	"	12530 " "
24	Nuckapilly	1248	1,014	5	4	"	"	1,014 5 4
25	Royavarum	1248	3,398	"	"	"	"	3398 " "
26	Cheepoorpilly	1252	2,647	6	6	2,647	6	6 " "
		1248	2,594	"	"	1,472	9	6 1,121 6 6
			5,241	6	6	4,120	"	1,121 6 6
27	Appicondah	1252	129	8	"	129	8	" " "
		1248	298	"	"	40	8	257 8 "
			427	8	"	170	"	257 8 "
28	Yeedoolapakah Bamun-ghy	1252	2,287	8	"	2,287	8	" " "
		1248	2,787	8	"	"	"	2,787 8 "
			5,075	"	"	2,287	8	2,787 " "
29	Maunnedevadah	1252	60	8	"	60	8	" " "
		1248	1,191	"	"	450	"	741 " "
			1251	8	"	510	8	741 " "

Particulars of Balance.—

1252	91,947	7	7	82,959	11	4	8,987	12 3
1251	3,500	"	"	"	"	"	3,500	" "
1250	26,433	2	11	7,388	11	8	19,044	7 3
1249	16,078	10	1	1,000	"	"	15,078	10 1
1248	145,830	6	3	10,817	9	11	135,012	12 4
1242	266	2	2	"	"	"	266	2 2
1241	1,875	4	"	"	"	"	1875	4 "
1240	1,337	"	"	"	"	"	1,337	" "
1238	1,887	11	"	"	"	"	1,887	11 "
Total	289,155	12	"	102,166	"	11	186,989	11 1

Vizagapatam
31st January 1844.

E. E. J. (Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Collector
Gumsahundy

3347-

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

I Jummabundy and Kisthundy of the Zillah of Vizagapatam shewing the Revenue as settled for Fasly 1253--

Number	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	No. of Villages	Names of the Zemindaries and Estates	Jummabundy for Fasly 1253	1st Kist on the 15th October 1843	2nd Kist on the 15th November 1843	3rd Kist on the 15th December 1843	4th Kist on the 15th January 1844	5th Kist on the 15th February 1844	6th Kist on the 15th March 1844	7th Kist on the 15th April 1844	8th Kist on the 15th May 1844	9th Kist on the 15th June 1844	Remarks
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
1	Meerjah Rajah Sar:-Rain Guz:-Zapattarauze Maharauze	1162	Vizeanagrum	500,000	25,000	30,000	50,000	60,000	100,000	60,000	55,000	70,000	50,000	
		1	Causepoorum	600	30	36	60	72	120	72	66	84	60	
				500,600	25,030	30,036	50,060	60,072	100,120	60,072	55,066	70,084	50,060	
2	Rajah Swata Challapully Ringarow	193	Bobilly	90,000	4,500	5,400	9000	10,800	18,000	10,800	9900	12,600	9,000	
		2	Rauradah	3761	362 12		519 8		675	700 8	804 4	699		
		1	Coradah Condiavulsah	681	66 8		93 12		121 8	126 12	145 12	126 12		
		1	Chedicandah	1750	87 8	105	175	210	350	210	192 8	245	175	
		4	Pentah &ca	3045 11 5	152 4 7	182 11 4	304 9 2	365 7 9	609 2 3	365 7 9	335 5	426 6 5	304 9 2	
		2	Juggunadapoorum &ca	3108 3	155 6 7	186 7 10	310 13 1	372 15 9	621 10 2	372 15 9	341 14 5	435 2 4	310 13 1	
		3	Arvandah &ca	2036	101 12 10	122 2 7	203 9 7	244 5 2	407 3 2	244 5 2	223 15 4	285 7	203 9 7	
				104381 14 5	5426 4	5996 6 4	10607 3 10	11992 12 8	20784 7 7	12820 8	11943 6 2	14817 5 4	9993 15 10	
3	Rajah Vek:-kamalavoo	31	Jayapoorum	16,000	800	960	1,600	1920	3,200	1920	1766	2,240	1600	
4	L. Soorianarainraugapt minor.	63	Coorpaun	12464	623 2	747 13 5	1,246 6 5	1495 10 10	2492 12 10	1495 10 10	1371 8	1744 15 5	1246 6 5	
5	M. Nilkenta-doo &c.	20	Sungumvulsah	6700	335	402	670	804	1340	804	737	938	670	
6	L. Narsiahaun Bagavaulu	15	Chanoodoo	5,000	250	300	500	600	1,000	600	550	700	500	
7	T. Mullapa-razu	15	Panchepentah	3000	150	180	300	360	600	360	330	420	300	
8	Andra Ra:-mundara Pratapparoo	33	Andrah	1380	69	83	138	166	276	166	151	193	138	
		13	Surpillybamarum	400	20	24	40	48	80	48	44	56	40	
				1780	89	107	178	214	356	214	195	249	178	

Fort St. George.

22nd. February 1844.

Number	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	No. of Villages	Names of the Zemindaries and Estates	Jumma = bundy for Fusly 1253	1st. Kist on the 15th October 1843	2nd. Kist on the 15th November 1843	3rd. Kist on the 15th December 1843	4th. Kist on the 15th January 1844	5th. Kist on the 15th Febuary 1844	6th. Kist on the 15th March 1844	7th. Kist on the 15th April 1844	8th. Kist on the 15th May 1844	9th. Kist on the 15th June 1844	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
9	Rajah Narrain Ramachendra Rauze	149	Salloor	32,954 4 7	10,47 11 5	19,77 4 1	3,295 6 10	3,954 8 3	6590 13 9	3954 8 3	3,624 15 7	4,013 9 7	3,295 0 10	
10	M. Crostabooputty	101	Mandigole	30,141 13 "	1504 1 5	1808 8 2	3014 2 11	3,617 " 3	6028 5 10	3,617 " 3	3,315 9 7	4,219 13 8	3,014 2 11	
11	D. Tautrauze	14	Belgaum	10,500 " "	525 " "	630 " "	1050 " "	1,260 " "	2,100 " "	1266 " "	1,155 " "	1,470 " "	1050 " "	
12	B. Inggana-daranze	68	Marunghy	18,500 " "	925 " "	1,110 " "	1850 " "	2,220 " "	3,700 " "	2,200 " "	2,035 " "	2590 " "	1850 " "	
13	M. Venkiutnarain row	4	Wallair	5,500 " "	500 " "	" "	450 " "	" " "	1000 " "	1,000 " "	1,500 " "	750 " "	" " "	
		1	Peddagoomloor	3,800 " "	434 " "	" "	651 " "	" " "	651 " "	760 " "	760 " "	544 " "	" " "	
				9300 " "	934 " "	" "	1401 " "	" " "	1051 " "	1,760 " "	2,200 " "	1,294 " "	" " "	
14	D. Atchiah	10	Woorattah	20500 " "	1500 " "	" "	2500 " "	" " "	3500 " "	4000 " "	5000 " "	4000 " "	" " "	
15	M. Venkutrow	8	Caspincottah	14010 " "	1010 " "	" "	2000 " "	" " "	2500 " "	3000 " "	3000 " "	2500 " "	" " "	
		13	Melpaukah	19,500 " "	" " "	1500 " "	" " "	2000 " "	2000 " "	4000 " "	5000 " "	4000 " "	" " "	
				33510 " "	1010 " "	1500 " "	2000 " "	2000 " "	5500 " "	7000 " "	8000 " "	6500 " "	" " "	
16	Rajah Sangah Padmanaba-rauze	14	Condakirlah	9800 " "	2000 " "	" "	3000 " "	" " "	4000 " "	5000 " "	4000 " "	1800 " "	" " "	
		3	Velchoor Codaar	7267 " "	701 " "	" "	1003 " "	" " "	1303 8 "	1354 " "	1554 8 "	1251 " "	" " "	
		9	Cotoor	9067 " "	870 " "	" "	1251 " "	" " "	1620 8 "	1689 " "	1939 8 "	1685 " "	" " "	
				36134 " "	3577 " "	" "	5254 " "	" " "	6930 " "	8043 " "	7494 " "	4836 " "	" " "	
17	B. Venkatanarsin-garow	10	Dimlay	16577 " "	846 " "	" "	1346 " "	" " "	2846 " "	3846 " "	4346 " "	3347 " "	" " "	

Fort St. George

22nd February 1844

Number	Names of the Zemindars and Proprietors	No. of Villages	Names of the Zemindaries and Estates	Jummabundy for Fusly 1253	1st Kist on the 15th October 1843	2nd Kist on the 15th November 1843	3rd Kist on the 15th December 1843	4th Kist on the 15th January 1844	5th Kist on the 15th February 1844	6th Kist on the 15th March 1844	7th Kist on the 15th April 1844	8th Kist on the 15th May 1844	9th Kist on the 15th June 1844	Remarks
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
18	V. Veeriah and S. Gudesarrain-ah	13	Godickerlah	17,400	1,500		2,000		3,000	3,500	4,000	3,400		
		14	Anikapilly	30,766	2,500		3,500		5,000	6,500	7,500	5,766		
		8	Corospilloo	8,100	925		1,388		1,388	1,619	1,619	1,161		
		1	Burnekun	1566 8	150 4		216 8		282	292	335	290 12		
		10	Moonagapaukah	24,647	2,500		2,000		4,500	5,000	5,000	4,647		
		9	Sreerampoorum	18,000	1,000		1,000		2,000	2,000	4,000	3,000	3,000	
				60,479 8	4,575 4	1,000	10,104 8		2,000	17,170	20,911	23,454	18,264 12	
19	Goday Gooriah and Nabrainrow	7	Nuckapilly	5,606	641		961		961	1121	1121	801		
		13	Rayavarum	18,194	2,000		3,000		3,000	4,000	4,000	2,194		
		28	Sdroo Mahomedpooram	19,343	2,417 14		3,626 13	4,835 12		4835 12	3,620 13			
		4	Coopilly	8,457	1057 2		1585 11	2,114 4		2114 4	1585 11			
				51,600	6,116		9,173 8	6950	3961	12071	10,333 8	2995		
20	Enoogunty Sattaramiah	13	Saripoorum	10,618 8	1,327 5		1,990 15 6	2654 10		2654 10	1990 15 6			
21	G. Narasiah	1	Cheepoorpilly	4,291	413 12		590 12	770 4	770 4	799 6	917 8	799 6		
22	G. Ranjennah	1	Appicondah	613	59		84 8	110	110	114 2	131 4	114 6		
23	V. Banjennah	2	Edoolapakah Bonunghy	2787 8	268 12		385	500 4	500 4	519 4	596 4	518		
24	G. Jugganaïkoo-loo	1	Maunedenbadah	1786 8	173 8		246	320	320	332 8	382 8	432		
25	Rajah Enoogunty Ram-maroo	3	Woongaradah	3080	385		577 8	770	770	770	577 8			
		6	Kistally	1349	98 10		1377 15	1837 4	1837 4	1837 4	1378 4 6			
		9	Muntanah	7101	887 12		1331 10	1775 8	1775 8	1775 8	1331 4 6			
			Total	17531	2191 6		3287 1	770	3612 12	770	4190 4	2709 9		

Vizagapatam 31st January 1844 E.E. Fox

(Signed) W. H. Arbuthnot
Collector.

Ordered

3350. Fort St. George 21st February 1844.

Order thereon. — Ordered to be sent again in circulation. —

15-16

Read the following letter.

To C. C. Lovell Esqre.

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

17

From the Collr of Rajahmundry

Requesting the appointment of Krishnarow the District Moonsiff of Combaconum to the situation of Head Seristadar of his District. —

Sir,

Para 1. The Board having resolved under date 4th January 1844 to await my nomination of a person to fill the office of Head Seristadar, I have the honor to recommend Krishnarow the present District Moonsiff at Combaconum. I wrote to him on the 19th Ultimo proposing the appointment for his acceptance, and I have now received his answer intimating that Mr. Blackburne has nominated him with two others as fit persons to hold the Head Seristadarship in the Madura District, and requesting to be allowed to await the issue of this application, before giving me a definite answer, although he represents himself to be "extremely anxious not to lose a moment in availing himself of the offer."

Mr.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3351 Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

2 Mr. Blackburne having forwarded to the Board the various testimonials held by Krishnarow, I will only observe that I consider him the fittest man I know for the vacant office. While Acting Judge at Combaconum for 20 Months, I was struck with the zeal and ability with which he discharged his duty, and I was perfectly satisfied with his conduct I recommended him for the office of Principal Sudr ameen and the Sudr Court were pleased to second the nomination, but there were others whose claims were considered by Government to be stronger than his. His experience in the Revenue line in the Southern Districts is in my opinion a very important qualification for the vacant office, and he has the advantage of being quite unconnected with this District. If therefore the Board have resolved not to send him to Madura, I beg that he may be appointed Head Seristahdar here. —

Sir H. C. Montgomery Bart.

3 while in charge of this District reported

to

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

to the Board, the insufficiency of the Heads of the Seristah Department under date 18th December 1843 - I see no reason to dissent from his opinion - The Acting Head Seristahdar is incompetent to perform the arduous duties of the Office; and the Acting Naib is inferior to him - I have therefore resolved to sent the latter as Amuldar to the Amlapooram Talook, and to call up the present Amuldar Degavilly Simmarauze in his stead - Degavilly Simmarauze has filled several Offices in the Hoozoor and the Zillah Court with great credit to himself, he has a good knowledge of English, has been Ameen and Amildar for some years, and is a good man of business - With him and Kristnarow as my advisers, I hope that many of the difficulties of my present situation will be entirely removed. —

4 Under existing circumstances, it is of great consequence that no time should be lost in providing an efficient Head Seristahdar and

therefore

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

therefore I beg to be favored with an early reply. —

Rajahmundry District,
Rajahmundry 14th Feby. 1844 } Signed T. Prendergast
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in Consequence. —

To The Principal Collector of
Madura

17-18
18

To the Pr. Collr. of Madura

Sir,

With reference to my letter dated 5th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Collector of Rajahmundry has recommended Kristnarow, the District Moonsiff at Combaconum to be Head Seristahdar of his District, this person is one of those whose were named in your letter of the 30 Ultimo as fit persons to fill the vacant situation of Head Seristadar of your District, and before accepting Mr. Prendergast's offer is desirous of waiting until it shall have been decided whether he will be selected for the Head Seristadarship of your District. If therefore your choice is not likely

In Coml. 5 Feby. 1844

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

likely to fall on him in preference to the other Candidates for the Vacant Office, the Board propose to sanction Mr. Pendergasts selection of him to be Head Sheristadar of Rajahmundry.-

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George
22nd February 1844

/Signed C. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

Read the following letters

To C C Lovell Esqre
Acting Secy to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(19)

From the Collr of Masulipatam

Sir,

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board, with reference to their Proceedings of the 22d May last, that the Cuttoobady Enam land therein alluded to, has been put up to sale by public auction and purchased by one Goorooza da Gopaulroydoo for a sum of 132 Rupees. This amount is small compared with that for which it was given as a security but notwithstanding every endeavour to obtain competition, but few bidders would come forward owing to the peculiar position and

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

and nature of the soil of the Enam land in question which is not fertile, I therefore request that the sale may be confirmed and authority granted to put the purchaser in possession of the land.-

Masulipatam Zillah.-
Collrs Civil Cutcherry
at Ellore 5th January 1844

/Signed R. J. Porter
Collector

Resolution thereon

(19-20)

The Board confirm the sale of the Cuttoobady Enam land reported in the foregoing letter and authorize the Collector to put the purchaser in possession of it.

To C C Lovell Esqre
Acting Secy to the Board of Revenue

(21)

From the Collr of Masulipatam

Sir,

Para 1

Fort St. George

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I have the honor to state that D. Veeataroydoo Son of the late Renter of Chintalapoody Purganah, having failed to pay the arrear of the rent concluded with his late father or to produce security for that purpose for which sufficient time has been allowed him, it

To the Bd 17 May 1843
From Do 19 Dec 1843

3356.

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

It has become necessary to place the Purgunah under the entire management of Government - I beg therefore to enclose a list of Establishment proposed by me to take charge of the same for which sanction is requested.—

Fuslies	Arrears of Pishcush C R^s a P
1252	3,480. " "
1251	3859- 6 - "
1250	1639- 12 - 11
1248	4,331- 4 - "
1247	5177- 7 - "
1246	1769- 8 - 6
	20,257- 6 - 5
1253 Balance of Kists up to 15th January 1844	2509- 6 - "
	27,766- 12 - 5

	Arrears of the rent amount C R^s a P
1252	10,454 - 8 - 6
1251	5720 - 2 - "
1250	4111 - 2 - "
1249	2596 - 4 - 6
	25,882 - 1 - "
1253 Balance of Kists up to 15th January 1844	3984 - 1 - 5
	29,866 - 2 - 5

2 The Marginal Statement will shew the total amount of arrears of Pishcush and the amount of rent due up to the 15th January 1844 against the Estate.

Masulipatam Zillah
Collr Circt Cutcherry
at Comarabundah
23 January 1844

/Signed R. J. Porter
Collr

Statement

3357.

Statement shewing Estate attached for arrears of Revenue

Names of the Zemindaries or Estates	Name of the Zemindar or Proprietor	Nature of Talukah mentioned in the Sunnud of Zemindary or Estate	Date of attachment	Remarks
1	2	3	14	15
Chintalapoody Purgunah	Sooramunny Veeraiah	Zemindary	30	To Commence on the 1st of February 1844

Masulipatam
at Comarabun

Ordered

3358 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply

To The Collector of Masulipatam

(21-22)

To the Coll.r of Masulipatam

Sir

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 23rd. January 1844. and under the circumstances therein explained to authorize you to take the Chintalapoody Purgunah under Circar Management. The Board also sanction the establishment which you have proposed at the Monthly rate of Rupees 96.—

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22nd. February 1844.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
A.J. Sec.y

Read

3359 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

From the Coll.r of Masulipatam. Read letter &c transmitting a Statement shewing the &c Extra tent allowances drawn by himself and subordinates for Fasly 1252.

(23)

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply and a copy of the same be transmitted to the Civil Auditor.

To The Collector of Masulipatam

(23-24)

Sir

To the Coll.r of Masulipatam

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the 9th. Instant No. 14 Subs. of your letter, transmitting a Statement of Extra Tent allowances for Fasly 1252 and to convey Sanction for the amount drawn by yourself and assistance respectively for the number of days noted in the margin.

In Cons. 22d. Feb.y 1844

	No. of days
Mr. R. T. Porter Coll.r	67
Mr. C. E. Ward Ass.t Coll.r	17
Mr. A. Hathaway Ass.t Sup.t	132
Mr. I. Fraser Ass.t Coll.r	62

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22nd. February 1844.

/Signed/ C. C. Lovell
A.J. Sec.y

Read the following Statement

(25)

statement

3360.

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

Statement shewing the quantity of white Salt in Store and ready to be exported to Calcutta in the Current y. Ear 1844 at the undermentioned Ports in the Zillah of Nellore on the 15th February 1844

No.	Names of Ports	North Latitude	Salt per Estimate remitted to be exported to Calcutta for the year 1843	Quantity already stored and ready for exportation	Quantity manufactured and remaining under Ctt's Remarks
				Quantity remaining to be exported	Remarks
1		2	3	5	6
		0	1	Bll	Bll
1	Tummalapenta	14 -	56	3120	4	4	This report will not correspond with the one for the previous month as part of the quantity stated to be in store has been exported
2	Chakatully	14 -	44	239164½	65412	4	
3	Varincy	14 -	37	7560	1560	"	
4	Kurtinicotam	14 -	10	130155½	64000	"	
			380000	211012½	168982½	132412	365745½	365745½	the difference between the two last columns being the quantity exported

Nellore Collr's Cutchery
15th February 1844

E. C. Lowell
Collr

Examined / J. T. Stokoe
Dy Collr

Ordered

3361.

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

Order thereon

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette

(25-26)

Read the following letter

To

E. C. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(27)

From A. Mellor Esqre
Collector of Bellary

Sir

Agreeably to the instructions of the Board contained in your letter of the 14th December last, I have the honor to acquaint you for their information that having communicated with the Rajah of Anagoondy on the two points mooted in the 1st and 2d Paras, I received a reply from him, declining to acquiesce in the Board's modification of the arrangement proposed in the 6th Para of my letter dated 16th November 1843, also stating that he is unwilling to cede all Claims on Nimbapoor (being a Village long in the possession of the Family in perpetuity for a given sum to be calculated as pointed out by the Board.

Under these circumstances

2

I beg to suggest the arrangement originally submitted by me, Viz., that of paying over to the Rajah the actual net proceeds of the Village from year to year, together with the amount in deposit.

It would be more convenient

8

4

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

†Vide Para 6 of the Collector's letter dated 16th November 1843

if the Board will obtain for me early sanction as the Settlement† of the Kumply Talook in the Summahlundy of which the Village will be comprized is about to commence.—

Bellary Collectors — Office 7th February 1844 } Signed A. Mellor Collector

Ordered that the foregoing letter and the previous communication on the subject be submitted with the following address

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

27-28

To the Chief Secy to Government

Para 1 In reference to an extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th September 1843 I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Bellary of the 16th November last containing full explanation as to the terms on which the Village of Klistanapoor is held and as to its present position

Submitting with reference to the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 25th Septr 1843 a letter from the Collector of Bellary explaining the terms on which the Enam Village of Klistanapoor is held and concurring with him that there would appear to be no obstacle to the continuance of it on the present footing also stating that the Rajah of

In Cons 14th Dec 1843

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

(Angoondy will consent to the resumption of the Enam Village of Nimlapoor only on condition of his receiving the actual net proceeds of the Village from year to year together with the amount now in deposit in the Bellary district.

position. It will be observed that this Enam is "of the nature of permanent Grant" to Tolapah Auchary and his heirs personally and has not been given on endowment for the support of any Pagoda or other Religious institution. It further appears that the Village is uninhabited and the Cultivation within it Carried on by Payeerries or Ryots residing in the adjacent Sircar Villages, while the present holder Appalah Charree is settled at Comalapoor in the Bellary District. Under these circumstances the Board agree with the Collector that there would appear to be no obstacle to the Continuance of the Enam on its present footing

2 In Para 3 of his letter above referred to, Mr. Mellor further states that he has obtained the consent of the Rajah of Anagoondy in writing to the resumption by Government of the Village of Nimlapoor on the conditions stated

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

In Cons 3d July 1843

stated in Para 6 of the Collectors letter of 3d June 1843 Viz. that the Rajah should receive the actual net proceeds of the Village from year to year, together with the amount now in deposit in the Bellary Treasury - In place of paying over the actual proceeds which must fluctuate annually it had occurred to the Board that it would be preferable to pay to the Rajah yearly a certain determinate sum fixed in reference to the average net outturn of the Enam for the last ten fuslies, and that it would be still more desirable if the Rajah would be induced to cede all pretensions to Nundiapoor in perpetuity on receipt of a given sum equal to ten years purchase of the net revenue of the Village - From Mr. Mellers last letter dated 7th instant it will however be perceived that the Rajah declines to acquiesce in either of the measures as above suggested and will consent to the resumption of the Enam by Government only on the terms originally proposed by the Collector in his letter of 3d June last and which have been already specified. —

Letter to Coll. of Bellary 14th Feby 1843

In Cons 22 Feby 1844

Para 6

Revenue Bd. Office
Fort St. George
22d February 1844.

/ Signed / C. C. Lowell
Actg Secy

Read

Fort St. George. 22d February, 1844.

Read the following letters.

To, C. C. Lowell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

29

From the Pl. Coll. of Cuddapah

Sir,

Para 1

Forwarding Copy of a letter from Parapatam Ramiah the late Native Assistant to Collector, and also copy of the list of his services in the Coimbatore District, and recommending for the Increase of his salary to 500 Rupees a month -

I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Board and eventual submission to Government the enclosed copy of a letter from Parapatam Ramiah, the late Native Assistant Collector of this District, applying for an increase of Salary -

2- Statement of Services on which the claim is preferred are as follows -

As Jahoildar in the Coimbatore District for 10 years and 4 months of which 1/4th is equal to Head Sheristadars service - - -

Fort St. George, 22nd February, 1844.

Records of the Board, and it is not therefore necessary to add more on that point, but proceed to point out that the distinction conferred on this Officer in consequence of his merits, will have placed him in an inferior position to the Head Sheristadars with regard to Emoluments, if his salary is not increased.

Under the rules the pay of the Head Sheristadar of 18 years standing is raised to 528 Rupees. It is now at the request of Ramiah that having served partly as Head Sheristadar, partly in the superior situation of Assistant Collector for upwards of 18 years, he may be allowed the highest rate given to the Native Assistant Collectors Viz. 500 Rupees a month, which I beg to recommend may be granted from the period of his completing 18 years as Head Sheristadar and Native Assistant.

Cuddapah District,
Princ. Coll. Office,
Vaimpully, 23rd Jany 1844.

/Signed/ W. Ashton
Principal Collector

To

Fort St. George, 22nd February, 1844.

No. 1.

To,

W. Ashton Esqr.
Principal Collector of
Cuddapah.

Sir,

I humbly beg leave to bring to your notice of my past services and consequent claims to an increase of my allowances, with a firm confidence that they will meet with your gracious reception and eventually with that of the Board of Revenue and Government.

During my servitude as Sabadillar and Head Sheristadar in the District of Coimbatore, I conducted the duties thereof with such integrity and assiduity to the entire satisfaction of my superiors, that the Principal Collector of Coimbatore had the pleasure to bring the same to the notice of the Board of Revenue in his several Summary Reports. A reference to them, I am sure, will undoubtedly confirm me in my statement.

The Honorable Government did not overlook my services, but had made me one of the Uncovenanted Assistant to the Collector, to which the graduated scale of remuneration is specified in a letter addressed to me by the Chief Secretary to Government under date the 15th November

3368. Fort St. George, 22nd February, 1844.

November 1830 as follows. Rupees 282½ Rupees per mensem for the 1st five years, 350 Rupees per mensem for the next ten years, and 500 Rupees thereafter.

Notwithstanding the Rule is as above, yet the Right Honorable the Governor in Council had the pleasure to take my past Services as Head Sheristadar into account, and fixed my salary at the same rate of 350 Rupees, which I continue to draw up to the present time, though I have actually been 7 years in the situation of an Assistant Collector.

I now beg to submit some reasons under which I believe that I am entitled to receive an augmented salary, should the Board and Government take this memorial into their favorable consideration.

	Years	Mo.	Ds.
My Service as Sahsildar for 11 years is to be reckoned equal to the Head Sheristadar for	2	7	"
Head Sheristadar from 1st February 1825 to 5th November 1832	5	9	5
Uncovenanted Assistant to Collector from 18th November 1830 to 18th November 1843	9	4	5
	7	"	"
	18	4	5

As

3369. Fort St. George, 22nd February, 1844.

A Head Sheristadar of so long standing in the service is under the Rules now in force entitled to receive a salary of 180 Pagodas only for 15 years. But I do not see any reason why I am who held more responsible and powerful situation than that of the Head Sheristadar, not entitled to receive an addition to my allowances after the completion of 15 years 4 months and 5 days as above detailed, whilst it is clear that I would have entitled to receive the said increase had I completed my service of 15 years as Head Sheristadar instead of my present appointment as Assistant Collector.

Under the above reasons I earnestly solicit that you will be pleased to take the above reasons into your serious consideration and bring the same to the notice of the Board of Revenue and Government for their favorable consideration that they may be pleased to raise my allowances even to Rupees 500, the highest rate to be drawn by an uncovenanted Assistant Collector, if the Rules which admit a Head Sheristadar of 15 years service to draw a salary of 525 Rupees per mensem, are not applicable to the Rank I now hold.

For which act of indulgence, I shall bound and shall ever pray for your honors long life and prosperity, for ever and ever.

Cuddapah, 8th November 1843.

(Signed) S. [illegible]
Late Native Assistant Collector

Memorandum.

3370- Fort St: George, 22nd February, 1844-

Memorandum shewing the period of service of Pariah Setty Pannia the late Head Sheristadar of Coimbatore-

	Period. Years	Months	Days	Amount of Monthly Pay	
As Tahsildar of Collegal Talook from 1st October 1808 to 31st August 1820	4	11	"	52. 8. -	Up to 12th July 1810-
				105. - -	from 13th July to 31st December 1819.
As Dy of Cheyoor Talook from 1st September 1820 to 30th June 1821	"	10	"	100. - -	from January 1820-
As Dy of Gopalchetty pollium from 1st July 1821 to the 12th of the same month	"	"	12	85 - -	
As Dy of Suttimunga-lum Talook from 13th July 1821 to 15th February 1822.	"	7	"	120 - -	
As Dy of Suttimungalum and Cheyoor Talook from 16th February 1822 to 12th July 1822.	"	4	27	205 - -	120 Rupees for Suttimungalum 85 Rupees for Cheyoor-
As Dy of Suttimungalum and Denayechenoottah Talooks from 13th July 1822 to December 1823.	1	5	18	205 - -	120 Rupees for Suttimungalum 85 Rupees for Denaichenottah-
As Dy of Suttimungalum Denayechenoottah and Cheyoor Talooks from 1st January 1824 to January 1826	2	1	"	290 - -	120 Rupees for Suttimungalum 85 Rupees for Denaichenottah- 85 Rupees for Cheyoor-
As Head Sheristadar from 1st February 1826 to 31st January 1831.	5	"	"	280 - -	
As Dy from 1st February 1831 to 15th November 1832	1	9	5	350 - -	
	5	9	5		
Total	17	1	5		

N. B.

3371- Fort St: George, 22nd February, 1844-

N. B. Besides the above, the said Pannia held the appointment of Head Tahsildship of Perindarey and Pulladuum Talooks from 13th July 1824 to January 1825, for which duty he was paid at 30 Rupees a month from the salaries of the Tahsildars of the said two Talooks at 15 Rupees each-

On the 20th January 1830 Mr: J: Sullivan the late Principal Collector granted a Testimonial to the said individual- In which is expressed among other handsome encomiums in his favor, that, Pannia during his employment in different Capacities particularized in this list, used his utmost endeavours particularly for the improvement of the District to the benefit of both the State and the Ryots, that he performed the several duties entrusted to him with Zeal, integrity, and impartiality to the high satisfaction of his Superior Mr: Sullivan, that his conduct in every respect was praise-worthy: and in short that he rendered his able assistance to Mr: Sullivan in Public matters. Copy of this Document was forwarded to the Board of Revenue by the late Principal Collector Mr: James Thomas in a letter dated 11th January 1832-

(Signed) J. C: Wroughton
Principal Collector

Ordered

3370- Fort St. George, 22nd February 1824.

Memorandum shewing the period of service of Periasawmy Pillay the late Head Sheristadar of Coimbatore-

	Period. Years	Months	Days	Amount of Monthly Pay	
As Tahsildar of Collegal Taluk from 1st October 1815 to 31st August 1820.	4	11	"	52. 8. "	Up to 12th July 1816-
As Do of Cheyoor Taluk from 1st September 1820 to 30th June 1821.	"	10	"	105. " "	from 13th July to 31st December 1819.
As Do of Gopalchetty pollium from 1st July 1821 to the 12th of the same months	"	"	12	100. " "	from January 1820-
As Do of Suttimunga Lum Taluk from 13th July 1821 to 15th February 1822.	"	7	"	85 " "	
As Do of Suttimungalum and Cheyoor Taluk from 16th February 1822 to 12th July 1822.	"	4	27	120 " "	
As Do of Suttimungalum and Danayachenettah Taluks from 13th July 1822 to December 1823.	1	5	18	205 " "	120 Rupees for Suttimungalum 85 Rupees for Cheyoor-
As Do of Suttimungalum Danayachenettah and Cheyoor Taluks from 1st February 1824 to January 1825	2	1	"	290 " "	120 Rupees for Suttimungalum 85 Rupees for Danayachettah 85 Rupees for Cheyoor-
As Head Sheristadar from 1st February 1826 to 31st January 1831.	5	"	"	280 " "	
As Do from 1st February 1831 to 15th November 1831	1	9	5	350 " "	
	6	9	5		
Total	17	1	5		

S. B.

3371- Fort St. George, 22nd February 1824-

N. B. Besides the above, the said Ramiah held the appointment of Head Tahsildarship of Periandavy and Palladum Taluks from 13th July 1824 to January 1825, for which duty he was paid at 30 Rupees a month from the salaries of the Tahsildars of the said two Taluks at 15 Rupees each-

On the 20th January 1830 Mr. J. Sullivan the late Principal Collector granted a Testimonial to the said individual- In which is expressed among other handsome encomiums in his favor, that, Ramiah during his employment in different Capacities particularized in this list, used his utmost endeavours particularly for the improvement of the District to the benefit of both the State and the Ryots, that he performed the several duties entrusted to him with Zeal, integrity and impartiality to the high satisfaction of his Superior Mr. Sullivan, that his conduct in every respect was praise-worthy and in short that he rendered his able assistance to Mr. Sullivan in Public matters. Copy of this Document was forwarded to the Board of Revenue by the late Principal Collector Mr. James Thomas pr a letter dated 11th January 1832-

(Signed) J. C. Wroughton
Principal Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George, 22nd February 1844.

29-30

Order thereon.

Read again in 4th March

Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

To C. C. Lovell Esqr
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

31

From the Pr. Coll.r of Cuddapah. — Sir,

Para.

Forwarding a Petition from one Timmareddy an Inhabitant of Soonnapooralapilly and reporting on the subject thereon complained of.

I have the honor to forward the enclosed Petition from one Timmareddy an Inhabitant of Soonnapooralapilly in this District, bearing an endorsement by Government and by the Board, and though only directed to dispose of it as passed, it appears to me desirable that the Board should have further information than can be afforded by that mode of report, to enable them to pass a final decision.

2. The complaint is, that for an alleged revenue balance against him, his property was attached to the value of 500 Rupees, and on the balance being afterwards shown to be unduly charged against him, the sum of 30 Rupees was returned to him, and the remainder 470 Rupees the value of the property sold, has not been restored.

3. In 1840 the balance of 6. — Pagodas

Fort St. George, 22nd February, 1844.

Pagodas 14 : 2 : 5½ appearing against the Petitioner, orders were given to attach his property. He then stated that he had paid, of the above balance, the sum of 6. Pagodas 11 : 3 : 46½ and produced a receipt of the Village Officers for the same to the Tasildar.

4. The Village Officers denied the receipt to have been given by them, and in December 1840, Mr. Lavie made an inquiry, decided against the Petitioner, and repeated the order for the attachment of the property, if the demand was not paid.

5. A Petition was then received with the Board's Endorsement No. 328 of 1841 from the son of Timmareddy respecting a balance which he did not deny to be fair demand, and on a reference to the Tahsildar, it appeared that it formed part of the alleged balance of Timmareddy. Mr. Ballett then sent for some receipts given to other Ryots, to compare the writing with that produced by the Petitioner, but no satisfactory conclusion being obtained, an order was sent in July of the same year to examine the Village receipt book, the report of Collections made to the Talook Cutcherry, and to take the evidence of certain persons acquainted with the hand writing of the Curnum.

On

3374 Fort St. George, 22nd. February 1844.

6. On this the Tahsildar reported that the Village Officers admitted the truth of the receipt, and the money was collected from them. The reddy was fined, but the Curnams having been dismissed for another offence, were not punished. An order was also given to take off the attachment and the property was actually restored to the Petitioner. No sale having taken place as alleged in his Petition.

7. I cannot discover any trace of Complaint at the time, that so large an amount of property had been attached, the list received is, at the usual valuation, for articles to the amount of about 30 Rupees. The Petitioner admits in a deposition now given before the Tahsildar that he received all that was entered in the list forwarded at the time, and that he has no means of proving that any other property was attached.

8. It is extremely improbable that such an arbitrary act as the attachment of property to the value of 500 Rupees for a demand of only 30 Rupees would have been submitted to by the Petitioner, if true, and I have no hesitation in expressing my opinion that the charge is unfounded.

9. The Petition No. 330 of 1841 from the same person bearing the Board's endorsement dated the 22nd. February of the same year, is entered in a Register in this Office, but it does not appear that the petition was ever presented, or that any order was passed on it.

Cuddapah District,
Principal Coll^r. Office, - - -
Vaimpelly, 9th. February 1844.

/ Signed / W. Ashton
Principal Collector.

Order thereon.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition No. 1837 of 1843.

From the report of the Collector of Cuddapah, 9th. February 1844, it appears that the statement made by the Petitioner is altogether unfounded. His Petition is therefore rejected.

Read

(31-32)

3375 Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844

Read the following letters.

To,
C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(33)

From the Collector of Chingleput.

Sir,

Many complaints arising and great inconvenience being experienced from the incorrectness and inequality of the Measures in use in this District I have the honor to request you will obtain for me through the Board of Revenue an Order for the manufacture either in the arsenal of Fort St. George or at the Gun Carriage Manufactory of 15 Steel Stamps to be lodged in the Cutcherries of the several Tahsildars and Revenue Peishcars; so that any individual bringing their Measures to be verified may have them after examination stamped as correct.

2 It is not proposed to interfere in any way with measures in use, or to Compel people to bring their measures to be stamped, but to aid the fair dealer by stamping, free of cost all such measures as they may bring for that purpose and are found to be correct. The impression on the stamp is immaterial and might be

be like the mark of the East India Company or any thing else.-

Chingleput District
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Pulleecarney 31st Jan.y 1844.

Signed T. A. Freese
Collector

(35) Order thereon.
(Read 4th inst. 4th March)

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.-

To
R. C. Lovell Esq.re
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(35)

From the Collector of Chingleput, Reporting the death of the Shotrumdar of Meenjoor and arienvail and submitting the application of Dawerutamanie Moodily and others to the registry thereof.

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor to report that Appah Moodelly the last registered Shotrumdar of Meenjoor and arienvail having died unmarried on the 25th October last an application has been submitted to me by his mother Meenatchy soondara Ammal on behalf of her younger son Daivasekamane Moodely a dumb lad aged 12 years requesting that the Shotrum may be registered in his name and left in her hands as his guardian during his minority.-

2. Two of the surviving grand sons of the original grantee thro' the female line and whose names are shewn in the Margin have also preferred their claims to be registered as coparceners in the shotrum urging

urging that notwithstanding the Shotrum was registered in the sole name of their eldest brother Soma Soondara Moodely and on his demise in that of his eldest* son they had hitherto enjoyed a share in the profits and lived as an undivided family.-

*Appah Moodely

3. This Shotrum was originally conferred under a Government Purwanah on Appoo Moodely and his heirs for the support of himself and family and for the annual expences of two cs Pagodas in consideration of his service in the department of the Master Attendant at Madras.-

+ 21st May 1806

4. On his demise the Estate was re=gistered with the sanction of the Board in the name of his son Yacambera Moodelly but this last person having died without heirs on the 4th June 1819 the Widow of the original grantee addressed an Urzee to Mr. Cook. then Collector, intimating that of the three* sons of her daughter she had selected the eldest as her sole heir and requested that the Shotrum should be registered in his name and managed for him by his Father until he came to maturity. The request was complied with by the then Collector, but no reference appears to have been made at the time to the Board.-

° From Board 14th Septr. 1815

* Soma soondara Moodelly
Streenevasa Moodely
Lashachella Moodelly

5. On the 9th May 1836 Mr. Maclean brought the subject to the notice of the Board and suggested that the proceeding should be cancelled as he considered that under

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

under the terms of the Purmanah the grandsons by the daughter could not claim to inherit and that the Shotrium ought to revert to Government at any rate, on the demise of the Widow of the original Grantee who was at the time still in existence. The Board however did not consider it expedient to question the right of Lomasoon: dera Moodely after the lapse of 17 years, and inconsequence on his demise the estate was registered with the sanction of the Board in the name of his eldest son Appah Moodely now deceased.

† From Board 19th May 1836

* 30th August 1841

6 Should the Board consider that Somar: soondar Moodely succeeded to the Shotrium by right there can be no doubt that his brothers have a claim to a provision from the Shotrium, but if that inheri: dual was permitted to succeed only under the selection of the Widow of the original grantee though no regular adoption took place and which appears to have been the fact as if the right to inherit descended through the female lines, then the Mother of Lomasoondara Moodelly who was alive had the prior claim, then the brothers have no title to be registered as Coparceners.

7 The cause of these Claimants not coming forward at the period of the Shotrium being registered in the name of their nephew the late Shotrumdar, probably arises from the Estate having for a long period past been in the enjoyment of a Sowcar to whom it is very deeply mortgaged, so that the family enjoyed no benefit ex: cept from the management of certain lands which had been set apart for the support of the Pagodas. Under these circumstances I request the orders of the Board.

8 A Genealogical Table of the family is annexed for the convenience of reference.

Chingleput District
Collrs. Cutcherry
Pullicarney 3rd Feby 1844

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector.

Genealogical

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

Genealogical Tree.

A
Appa Moo: dely original Grantee His wife Nagatummah

son Cucumbra Moodely
B

Daughter Amroatum: mall.

Lashachella Moodely
G

Streenevas: sa Moodely
F

Somasoondara Moodelly
C

Dairasacka: many: Moodely
E

Appah Moodely
D

/ Signed / A. Freese
Collector

A Original Grantee
B 2nd Shotrumdar
C 3rd Do
D 4th do late incumbent
E The Dumbled in whose favor the widow of the 3rd Shotrumdar claims the right
E & G The claimants to be registered as copartners in the Shotrium.

Ordered

3380 Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

Order thereon.. Ordered to be brought forwarded a future day..

35-36

Read the following letter &

To

C. C. Lovell Esqre

Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

37

From the Pr. Coll.r of Salem

Sir,

I have the honor to sub-

submitting an appeal Petition from Venkata Row late Head Jewabnevis of the Cutcherry and reporting upon the cause that induced his being removed from Office forwarding also certain Proceedings &c. connected with the Case.-

-mit an appeal Petition from Venkata Row late Head Jewabnevis of my Cutcherry being the same which the Board in their letter of the 18th December 1843 among other Petitions directed me to return to the Petitioner with reference to Section 6 Regulation VII of 1828..

2 In forwarding the present appeal I beg to refer you to my letter of the 4th Ultimo No. 3 in which I fully brought to the notice of the Board the causes that induced me to remove the said Individual from Office and it

3381 Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

it only remains for me now to forward a List of complaints and other Documents which were unread by him, or otherwise undisposed of and found in his Duftur, the whole or the most part of which contained matters that required the orders of the Collector copies of my Diary as also my decision together with the original Proceedings held in the case of Chinna Vurda Gounden in which the acts of the Petitioner are brought to question are herewith forwarded for the Board's perusal.

3. Among the numerous acts of negligence on the part of the Petitioner while holding the office of Head Jewabnevis, he has mislaid certain Arzees received from the Talooks and which being of importance I was induced to obtain copies thereof. A Takeed to the Trichengode Tahsildar as also an Endorsement to the Salem Tahsildar in his own hand writing were forwarded without attaining thereto the signature

of

of the Principal Collector - These were sent for and are now forwarded in the accompanying Bundle for the Board's inspection.

4. The Board will observe that the appellant instead of confining himself to his alleged grievances, has entered into matters calculated to give me offence I therefore trust the Board will, after taking the whole case into consideration not consider his removal from office unjustifiable on my part, as I was actuated solely by a strict desire for the good of the public service, and to place a more efficient hand in a Department of so much responsibility as that of the Savabrenis

Salem Zillah,
Razepoot,
Pt. Collector's Cutcherry
1st. February 1844

/ Signed / W. E. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

To

No. 1.

To The Board of Revenue.
at Fort St. George

The humble Petition of Veneata Rao the Head Savabrenis in the Principal Collector's Office in the Zillah of Salem.

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That your Petitioner with the most profound respect and submission begs leave to bring his circumstance to the Notice of the Board trusting at the same time that his humble solicitation will meet with that favorable consideration which the unexampled hardship of his situation demands.

That when Mr. Lockhart was appointed Collector of the Zillah of Salem your Petitioner received the appointment of Savabrenis on a salary of Rupees 28. in the Fusly of 29. and afterwards by degrees, was promoted to the grade of Head Savabrenis on a Salary of Rupees 70. in which capacity your Petitioner remained up to the Fusly of 52. which was at the time when Mr. Greig who

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

who had been appointed Collector had proceeded on leave during the above period your Petitioner performed his duty with zeal and fedility under the superintendance of 9 Gentlemen, all of whom granted him Characters expressive of their approbation at the Zeal and honest and straight forward way in which he conducted the arduous duties of his situation. That on the appointment lately of Mr. Lockhart as Acting Collector of the above Zillah your Petitioner performed his duty under him with the greatest deligance for 2 Months, when the said officer with a view of giving his situation to a dependant and confidential servant of his, named Shaskagherry Row an individual who held an appointment in the Zillah of Madura and discharged from it in consequence of certain crimes which had been charged against him, suspended your Petitioner from his appointment without adducing any charges publicly against him, for malversation of office and then appointed the said Saskagherry Row in your Petitioners room and as

afterwards

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

afterwards transferred him to a lower grade granting him at the same time two Sakeed and a decree to that effect.

That your Petitioner here begs leave most humbly and respectfully to bring to the notice of the Board a short and concise accounts of the Acts of injustice and irregularity practised by the above Gentleman, against your Petitioner which he earnestly entreats will meet with the kind consideration of the Board and induce it to reverse the orders passed by Mr. Lockhart on his case.

Your humble Petitioner on the April 1843, requested the above Gentlemen, to grant him leave of absence for 40 days for the purpose of enabling him, to perform the marriage Ceremony of his son Mr. Lockhart said he had no objection to grant your Petitioner the required leave, providing your Petitioner allowed Mr. Lockharts dependent Shaskagherry Row to act in his room who he however stated should perform

Your

Fort St George 22d February 1844

your Petitioner's duty gratuitously as no Takeed was issued requiring your Petitioner to pay him any amount from his Salary as your Petitioner was totally ignorant of the disappointment felt by Mr Lockhart at his inability hitherto to procure his Dependant a situation as your Petitioner did not even imagine, or suspect that his office was coveted by Shaikagherry Row and as your Petitioner confided implicitly on the expressions made use of by Mr Lockhart your Petitioner therefore without any misgivings proceeded to his Village where he remained for some Days. In the mean while another Favoriteniff named Vadanjee Row who was on the receipt of a salary of Rupees 70 was directed to perform the duty both of your Petitioners and his own, which he did accordingly for 25 Days and then the Acting Collector has granted a Takeed to Saskagherry Row in

which

Fort St George 22d February 1844

which he directed him to perform your Petitioners duty, but the latter with a view of being nominated in your Petitioner's room, brought forward certain accusations against your Petitioner, to the Notice of the Collector who (while he was on leave performing his sons marriage Ceremony) arrived at Trepettoor on Circuit, where without enquiring into the charges on your Petitioners presence issued a Takeed dated 8th May in which he suspended your Petitioner from his Situation, the contents of the said Takeed is as follows "upon a suit between the "present Mootahdar and Vurdagow: "dah attached to the Tengara cotta "Tolook regarding a Piece of land a "complaint was preferred before Mr Oswell "the Acting Assistant of the said "Zillah who passed a Decree upon the "said plaint Vurdagowdah being "dissatisfied with it filed an appeal "to the Collector - In the mean while "you without explaining the case to the

Collector

"Collector prepared a Yakeed in which
"you reversed the decision passed in the
"original Court, and fraudulently obtained
"the Collectors signature to be affixed to
"it. This circumstance was brought before
"his notice, he therefore has dismissed you
"from your situation."

With reference to the Yakeed, your Petitioner begs most humbly to represent that upon the suit between the Mootakdars your Petitioner prepared a Yakeed according to the Collectors order which your Petitioner shewed to the Head and Naib Sheristadars both of whom approved of it, your Petitioner again read the Yadast to the Collector, after which he got his signature affixed to it. In explanation of this case your Petitioner submitted an Urzee to the Collector on the 10th May last, but received no order whatever thereupon.

After the expiration of your Petitioners leave of absence he on the 14th May last went to Krishnagherry where the Collector then

then was and requested him in an Urzee to allow your Petitioner to discharge his duty as heretofore, and that he would at the same time grant him reply to his former Urzee to this application your Petitioner received no order whatever from the Collector subsequently, another Sarabnevis so named Sadanjee Row applied for leave of absence his request was complied with, but no one was appointed to act in his stead as was done in your Petitioners case, which too plainly evidenced that it was my situation that was sought after by Sashagherry Row. In the mean while the Collector did not remain inactive for depositions both from Sadanjee Row and Seetagherriah were taken by him touching your Petitioners case but although he exerted his utmost endeavour to find me guilty of some acts of Criminality, yet nothing of that description could be proved so as to cause the suspension of your Petitioner from his situation.

Consequently

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

Consequently your Petitioner presented an Arzee on the 1st June in which he requested an order about his case but to this request the Collector Designed no reply or order whatever subsequently your Petitioner called upon the Collector on the 6th June last at his camp at Dheneaneecottah when a Shaskaghery Row in conjunction with Streenevasiengar and Christnah a Goomastah thro' feelings of enimity against your Petitioner prepared themselves a Savalputtee and then deposited from your Petitioner when this transaction took place the Collector was present, but said nothing about the way, the above 3 individuals were acting towards your Petitioner.

That besides this your Petitioner begs respectfully to state that at the time when Mr. Gleig left Hosoor on leave of absence, there were certain Arzees left in arrears for orders to be passed on them, which were directed by his

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

successor to be read to Mr. Brett in charge of the Sub Collectorship at the time when your Petitioner was removed from Hoosoor to Salem certain Arzees which had been deposited in your Petitioner's Duftar were mislaid- this he reported to Mr. Brett who directed him to send for such copies of the Arzees which may be necessarily required from the Talooks - In accordance with the order a Tukeed was sent to the Tahsildar of the Dhurumpoory Talook on this head when on the 7th June last the Collector asked your Petitioner, "What was the reason "of my not having read to him the "Arzees which had been found again" to which your Petitioner replied as stated above.

The Collector after obtaining Statements from your Petitioner proceeded to Dhurumpoor for the Settlement of the Saindy, or Toddy Tjarah at which place he directed your Petitioner to proceed to Hoosoor

which

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

Consequently your Petitioner presented an Arzee on the 1st June in which he requested an order about his case but to this request the Collector designed no reply or order whatever subsequently your Petitioner called upon the Collector on the 6th June last at his camp at Dheranaicottah when a Shaukaherry Raw in conjunction with Sreenevasiengar and Kristinah a Goomastah thro' feelings of enimity against your Petitioner prepared themselves a Savalputtee and then obtained depositions from your Petitioner when this transaction took place the Collector was present, but said nothing about the way, the above 3 individuals were acting towards your Petitioner.

That besides this your Petitioner begs respectfully to state that at the time when Mr. Gleig left Hoosoor on leave of absence, there were certain Arzees left in arrears for orders to be passed on them, which were directed by his

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

successor to be read to Mr. Brett in charge of the Sub Collectorship at the time when your Petitioner was removed from Hoosoor to Salem certain Arzees which had been deposited in your Petitioners Duftar were mislaid- this he reported to Mr. Brett who directs him to send for such copies of the Arzees which may be necessarily required from the Talooks- In accordance with the order a Tukeed was sent to the Tahsildar of the Dhurumpoory Talook on this head when on the 7th June last the Collector asked your Petitioner, "What was the reason "of my not having read to him the "Arzees which had been found again" to which your Petitioner replied as stated above.

The Collector after obtaining statements from your Petitioner proceeded to Dhurumpoor for the Settlement of the Saindy, or Toddy Tjarah at which place he directed your Petitioner to proceed to Hoosoor

which

Fort St George 22d February 1844

which he stated the decree that was
to passed about his case would be
communicated to your Petitioner.

As your Petitioner did not
receive any order up to the 17th June he
was again obliged to present an arzee
at the above date on the subject to
which the Collector issued no order.

Subsequently the Collector
forwarded to your Petitioner a Takeed
dated 11th August in which it is stated
as follows. "Upon a dispute between
"Moottadar Vurdagowdah attached to
"the Tenghirry Cottah. I took your
"did not fully explain to me, the
"circumstance which had previously
"taken place this caused me to issue
"an order reversing the decree that
"had been passed by Mr Oswell
"In addition to this you had
"concealed 2 Duftors containing
Arzees received at the Huzzoor during
the time of Mr Gleig this however
was brought before to my notice, on these
grounds

Fort St George 22d February 1844

"grounds you are discharged from the
"situation of Head Moonshee in consequence
"of my having no confidence in you" The Collector
after this appointed your Petitioner as a
Goomastah in the Hoozoor on a salary of 45 Rupees. It is also mentioned in the above Takeed
that as the Head Seristadar had stated that
he was always a diligent servant consequently if I continued so I would be promoted hereafter to a higher rank. This
Takeed reached me on the 12th August.

That it is specified in
the Takeed issued to your Petitioner by the
Collector dated 8th May, that your Petitioner
had not explained to him properly anything about the late Moottadars case, and
that your Petitioner procured Mr Lockharts
signature to be affixed to it, without informing him any thing touching the matter.

In the 2d Takeed granted
to your Petitioner by the Collector on the
11th May it is therein stated that "your
"Petitioner had not fully explained to
"him the whole of the circumstance connected with the case of the Moottadar

of

"of Ruinundoor, and that your Petitioner
"had concealed certain arzees to which
"effect your Petitioner had given in a
"Statement.—

That regarding this case,
another Takeed dated 16th August was
issued by the Collector to the Head and Sub
Seristadars the contents of which are almost
similar to the 2d Takeed issued to your
Petitioner by the Collector, Viz.t that your
"Petitioner had not informed him of
"the arzees which were in arrears in his
"Duftur"— In reply to it, the Head Serista=
=dar recommended your Petitioner
strongly to the kind consideration
of the Collector, who therefore appointed
him to his present situation of Goomasta.
In elucidation of the above transactions
your Petitioner begs to enclose herein
the 2 Takeeds issued to him by the Collector,
and another to the Head Seristadar, and
most humbly entreat that the Board
will be graciously pleased to investigate
the matter, when the partiality exercised
by

by the Collector towards your Petitioner
will be evident, and that, no offences against
the State had occasioned his downfall, but
simply favoritism on which on other occa=
=sions has proved the ruin of many zealous
and faithful servants who had full scope been
given to their abilities would have improved
the condition of the Country in a greater
degree than it is at present.—

That Besides the Takeeds
above referred to, the Collector furnished
your Petitioner with a decree dated 8th August
last, consisting of 15 Paras; but which was
not given in to your Petitioner until the
21st August 1843— This difference of dates, as
was purposely done by the Collector on the
supposition that the Board might pro=
=bably ask him the reason of the above
matter, after the expiration of the
limited period of 3 Months when it
was well known to every person in the
Office that your Petitioner was sus=
=pended from his situation on the 8th
May last.—

Your

Your Petitioner here begs re=
=spectfully to state that, if he had been found
guilty of any offence whatever as had been re=
represented in the Takeeds, and Decrees, your
Petitioner is of opinion there would then be
no reason whatever to appoint him to a
situation on a Salary of 45 Rupees, and
to grant him a decree after he had appoint=
=ed to it. This evidently shews, the Collector
was conscious that he had not acted justly
towards him, and which induced him to
give him another appointment, altho on
a lower Salary. In this assertion, your
Petitioner is borne out by the fact that
no allusion whatever is made in the decree
relative to the appointment of your Petitioner
to another situation.

That your Petitioner does not
deem it irrelevant in this place, to bring
before the notice of the Board the injustice
done to him by the Collector in one of his
decree the observations upon which, is as
follows.

That, in the 2d Para of the
Decree

Decree it is specified that "Vurdagowdah the
late Mootadar made his appearance before
the Collector on the 9th January, and preferred
his appeal on the very same day." How to
convince the Board that this assertion is
not the fact, your Petitioner begs to state that,
during the time of Mr. Gleig's residence at
this place, as Collector of Salem the Mootadar
forwarded his appeal by Tuppal to the ad=
=dress of the said Gentleman, which was put aside
without being read to the said Gentleman
after the arrival of Mr. Lockhart, the present
Collector, the Appeal Arzee was read to
him, altho' it was not presented to him by
the Mootadar in person, while such was
the case, the Collector has asserted that,
the appeal arzee was presented to him
by the Moottadar in person before his
arrival in the Salem District. That by
the Collector making such an assertion
so diametrically opposite to the plain
facts of the case, the Board will be con=
=vinced that the unfounded accusation
brought against your Petitioner by the
Collector, are of the same description.

In

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

In the 3d. Para, it is stated that, your Petitioner had not fully explained to him the circumstances connected with the case of the Moottadar and in the 2d. Takeed issued to your Petitioner by the Collector on the 8th. May about his suspension from Office it is mentioned that your Petitioner had on no occasion whatever informed him of the case above referred to—

To the above specification of Offences charged against your Petitioner, he begs most respectfully to submit for the consideration of the Board, whether such trifling offences (admitting that your Petitioner was guilty of them) and so contradictory to one another have formed sufficient grounds for the degradation of such an old servant as your Petitioner. Your Petitioner has in some of the above paras, exonerated himself from all blame, and he trusts in the succeeding once he will clear himself from all guilt, and substantiate his assertion that he has been made the victim of a base and

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

and vile conspiracy—

That your Petitioner now proceeds to the principal charge brought against him, which he prays will be perused attentively by the Board—

It is mentioned in the 4th. 5th. 6th. 7th. and 8th. Paras of the said decree that the Collector, after perusing the proceeding connected with a complaint preferred by Vurdagowdah before the Head Assistant, who had passed a decision thereon, was obliged to reverse the said decision and to send a Yadasht directing that, the decree should not be carried into effect—

With reference to this proceeding the Head Assistant Collector forwarded in reply, a Yadasht dated the 25th. February in which he represented "that the decree passed by him was right, and according to equity and justice but that, if there were any Regulation pointing out a contrary course of proceeding, he begged the same may be shown to him— This suggestion, Mr. Lockhart states your Petitioner did not communicate at the time, when a complaint was preferred by

Fort St George 22d February 1844.

by the Vakeel in behalf of the Moottadar, nor did your Petitioner explain to him any thing of the Statement obtained from the Shanbogue on the contrary, the above transac=tions were brought to his notice, by another Javabnewese named Vadojea Row.

With reference to this allu=sion your Petitioner begs to submit the whole of the circumstance of the case, as it occurred, which he solemnly declares to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. The proceedings of the enquiry which had been held by the Head Assistant in the case of the Moottadar, was explained by your Petitioner to the Collector, and the State=ment of the Moottadar's case was taken down as follows. "Why did the Moottadar refuse to receive the Puttah which was proposed to him for 51 Fusly. In reply, the Moottadar stated that he was ready to accept the Puttah providing he was allowed to enjoy a piece of Land of 900 and odd Rupees. The Statement obtained from the Shanbogue, did not differ in the slight=est particular from that of the Moottadars, and

Fort St George 22d February 1844.

and in both Statements it appeared that the late Moottadar had not obtained a Puttah for the Fusly of 51. The Head Assistant not having furnished the parties with decrees according to the 5th Regulation promulgated in the year 1842 and having afterwards issued an endorsed order to the present Moottadar directing him to make over the land which had been enjoyed by the late Moottadar to the Ryots of the De=strict. Thereupon the Collector after an en=quiry into the said 3 points ordered your Petitioner to write out, and transmit a Yadasht to the Tahsildar, and a Yadasht to the Head Assistant informing the latter that the decree passed by him on the Mootta=dar's case, was not a proper one, and that the same should be prevented from being carried into effect, when this transaction took place. Vadanjee Row the Deputy Moonshee was present.

In accordance with the order, your Petitioner prepared a Yadasht to the address of the Head Assistant, and Vadanjee Row a Takeed to the Tahsildar. This Takeed and the Yadasht were shown to the Head, and

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

by the Vakeel in behalf of the Moottadar, nor did your Petitioner explain to him any thing of the Statement obtained from the Shaubogue on the contrary, the above transac=tions were brought to his notice, by another Javabnewese named Vadajee Row.—

With reference to this allu=sion your Petitioner begs to submit the whole of the circumstance of the case, as it occurred, which he solemnly declares to be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. The proceedings of the enquiry which had been held by the Head Assistant in the case of the Moottadar, was explained by your Petitioner to the Collector, and the State=ment of the Moottadar's case was taken down as follows. "Why did the Moottadar refuse to receive the Puttah which was proposed to him for 57 Fusly. In reply, the Moottadar stated that he was ready to accept the Puttah providing he was allowed to enjoy a piece of land of 900 and odd Rupees. The Statement obtained from the Shaubogue, did not differ in the slight=est particular from that of the Moottadars, and

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

and in both Statements it appeared that the late Moottadar had not obtained a Puttah for the Fusly of 51. The Head Assistant not having furnished the parties with decrees according to the 5th. Regulation promulgated in the year 1842 and having afterwards issued an endorsed order to the present Moottadar directing him to make over the land which had been enjoyed by the late Moottadar to the Ryots of the De=strict. Thereupon. The Collector after an enquiry into the said 3 points ordered your Petitioner to write out, and transmit a Takeed to the Tahsildar, and a Yadasht to the Head Assistant informing the latter that, the decree passed by him on the Mootta=dar's case, was not a proper one, and that, the same should be prevented from being carried into effect, when this transaction took place Vadajee Row the Deputy Moonshee was present.—

In accordance with the order, your Petitioner prepared a Yadasht to the address of the Head Assistant, and Vadajee Row a Takeed to the Tahsildar. This Takeed, and the Yadasht were shown to the Head,

and

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

and Nail Seristadars both of whom approved of their contents, and afterwards he made an endorsed order upon an Arzee presented by the late Moottadar after the Pakeed, Yadasht, and the endorsement had been prepared & your Petitioner explained their contents to the Collector who affixed to them his Signature, and after this had been done, the Pakeed and Yadasht were forwarded to the Head Assistant, and Tahsildar by Tuppal, and the endorsement given to the complainants Vakeel.

When the Yadasht was received by the Head Assistant, he in reply transmitted a Yadasht with a view of getting his decree confirmed, observing at the same time that, a Puttah had been granted to the Moottadar for the fusly of 51 & he also requested that the regulation specifying that decrees should be granted in such cases may be made known to him.

The above Yadasht was received at the Collectors Office on the 25th February, which, your Petitioner explained to Mr. Lockhart. Your Petitioner also

pointed

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

pointed out to him the 5th Regulation promulgated in the year 1842 where, it is stated in English that, Decrees should be granted on the subject. upon this the Collector seeing this he directed a Yadasht to be sent to the Head Assistant in which, he was referred to the Regulation above alluded to, this Yadasht Your Petitioner showed to the Head, and Nail Serestadars, and he afterwards obtained the Collectors Signature to it, and transmitted it to the Assistant.

Subsequently the Vakeel of the present Moottadar applied to the Collector, and requested that the decree passed by the Head Assistant Collector may be carried into effect, upon which, the Collector informed the Vakeel that, two Yadasht had been sent to the Assistant on the subject, which he must abide by. Shortly after this, an order was issued to the Tahseeldar of Tenghary Cottah directing him not to carry into effect the decree passed by the Head Assistant

regarding

regarding the land therein mentioned — to which, the Tahsildar replied by an arzee to the Collector, reporting that previous to the receipt of his order, the decree had been carried into effect, and a Puttah granted for the Fusly of 51 and request= =ing that, orders may be issued for his future guidance — This Arzee was explain= =ed to the Collector by Vadauyee Row —

In the Yadasht trans= =mitted by the Head Assistant the same assertion was also made by him relative to the decree having been carried into previous to the receipt of Mr Lockhart's order —

Afterwards, your Petitioner informed the Collector that the proceed= =ings of this case, should be personally investigated, and that a decree should then be passed thereon, upon which, the Collector issued an order directing the parties to attend at his Cutcherry for the purpose of holding a personal en= =quiry into the case according to this injunction, the Moottadars made their appearance

appearance at the Collectors Office, when the documents which had been recorded both in the Head Assistant and Collectors Offices, were examined, at which time, it was dis= =covered from the proceedings, and the Moochelka that the land assessed at a yearly taxation of Rupees 642 should be allowed to be continued to the present Moottadar for the Fusly of 51 and, as the Collector had observed some difference in the docu= =ments, your Petetioner in consequence requested him, to allow the Head Sheristadar to examine the proceedings with which request, the Collector complied, after which your Petitioner proceeded on leave on the 6th April as intimated by him at the commence= =ment of the matter Whilst this case had been brought 4 times to the notice of the Collector who is perfectly well acquainted with the facts of it, your Petitioner suddenly received a Pakeed suspending him from his situation — In the Pakeed it is specified that, your Petitioner had fraudulently ob= =tained the Collectors signature to the Yadasht without informing him any thing regarding the case, which circumstance is well

well known both to the Head and Nair
Serristadar Were the Board to engquire
into this transaction, your Petitioner has
no doubt that the injustice practised by
the Collector towards your Petition[illegible]
be made clearly evident to it.

It is mention[illegible]
decree granted to your Petitio[illegible]C=
=lector that the Complaint[illegible]
the Vakeel of the Mottadar[illegible]
=larity practised by your Petitioner in
his case was explained to him by Vadaujee
Row.

In reply to this assertion,
your Petitioner most respectfully soli=
=cits to represent that of your Petitioner
had been found guilty of not having properly
explained to the Collector the whole of the
circumstance connected with the
Mottadar's case, he ought to have sus=
=pended your Petitioner on the very day
after the enquiry had been instituted
into it, but instead of doing so the Col=
=lector granted to your Petitioner a Pakeed
ordering his suspension when absent
from

from this place on leave.

This injustice your Petitioner
trusts the Board will bring to the notice of
Government, since the Collector has dis=
=missed your Petitioner merely with a view
of conferring his situation upon Shashagherry
Row, than from any other cause, It is well
known to every person in Office that Shasha=
=gherry by insinuating groundless suspi=
=sions against your Petitioner to the Collector,
induced the latter to pursue the measures above=
=mentioned against your Petitioner.

Regarding the allusion made
by the Collector respecting Vadaujee Row
having communicated the Mottadar's case
to him.

Your Petitioner begs to repre=
=sent that, Vadaujee Row has stated in his
deposition that, he was present when your
Petitioner was explaining to the Collector
the proceedings received from the Head
Asistants Office, and that, your Petitioner
prepared a Yadasht according to the orders
of the Collector, while such was the case the
latter has stated in his decree that
Vadaujee Row had informed him of this case.

Therefore

Fort St. George 22d. February 1864

Therefore your Petitioner trusts the Board will be good enough to enquire into the proceedings for the purpose of ascertaining the truth of this fact—

The Head, and Asst Siristadars submitted a Petition in which they stated that they had seen the Yadasht sent from this Office to the Head Assistant and that they had approved of it, but the Collector supposing their Petitions to be too favorable towards your Petitioner, made some exertion whatever about it in the decree consequently your Petitioner hopes the Board will be kindly pleased to send for the Petitioner and institute an enquiry into it.—

In the 9th para of the Decree, it is said that your Petitioner being an old servant, and well aware of the transaction which had taken place on the Fusly of 48–49– and 50, and of the Puttah granted by the Head Assistant on this Head– yet had not informed Mr Lockhart any thing about it– With reference to the above remarks your Petitioner begs to point out

Fort St. George 22nd. February 1864.

out for the information of the Board that, altho' your Petitioner may have been an old servant and had been conducting the Public business in the Judicial Department up to the Fusly of 51 yet he was about that time appointed to conduct the moalkey business, consequently there appeared to be no reason whatever for your Petitioner to be acquainted with the transaction which had taken place in the Fusly of 48 and 49 lately however the Collector directed your Petitioner to look after the papers, and documents connected with the Puttah granted by the Head Assistant in the Fusly of 51 and it was at this period alone, and after receiving the Collector's instructions that your Petitioner became acquainted with the above transaction Mr. Lockhart without taking all these circumstances into his consideration, has stated that no confidence could be reposed in your Petitioner.—

Inadvertence to this point, your Petitioner begs to say that he has conducted Public business for the last 24 years to the entire satisfaction of 9 Gentlemen, and 2 months after the appointment

Fort St George 22d February 1854

Therefore your Petitioner trusts the Board will be good enough to enquire into the proceedings for the purpose of ascertaining the truth of this fact—

The Head and Nath Serristadars submitted a Petition in which they stated that they had seen the yadasht sent from this Office to the Head Assistant and that they had approved of it, but the Collector supposing their Petitions to be too favorable towards your Petitioner, made no enquiry whatever about it in the decree consequently your Petitioner hopes the Board will be kindly pleased to send for the Petitioner and institute an enquiry into it.—

In the 9th para of the Decree, it is said that your Petitioner being an old servant, and well aware of the transaction which had taken place on the Fusly of 48—49—and 51, and of the Puttah granted by the Head Assistant on this Head—yet had not informed Mr Lockhart any thing about it. With reference to the above remarks your Petitioner begs to point out

Fort St George 22nd February 1854

out for the information of the Board that, altho' your Petitioner may have been an old servant and had been conducting the Public business in the Judicial Department up to the Fusly of 51 yet he was about that time appointed to conduct the moolkey business, consequently there appeared to be no reason whatever for your Petitioner to be acquainted with the transaction which had taken place in the Fusly of 48 and 49 lately however the Collector directed your Petitioner, to look after the papers, and documents connected with the Puttah granted by the Head Assistant in the Fusly of 51 and it was at this period alone, and after receiving the Collector's instructions that your Petitioner became acquainted with the above transaction Mr Lockhart without taking all these circumstances into his consideration, has stated that no confidence could be reposed in your Petitioner.—

Inadvertence to this point, your Petitioner begs to say that, he has conducted Public business for the last 24 years to the entire satisfaction of 9 Gentlemen, and 2 months after the appointment

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

appointment of Mr. Lockhart to this Zillah; your Petitioner was discarded from his confidence, inconvenience of the arrival of the said Sashagherry Row at this place, This matter, your Petitioner begs leave most possibly to submit for the humane consideration of the Board.—

In the 10th Para of the Decree it is mentioned that, "your Petitioner caused mine to reverse the decree passed by the Head Assistant Collector on this case, which he afterwards confirmed when the real circumstance of this case was brought to his Knowledge such being the case your Petitioner begs to observe that, if the Board would but condescend, to examine the Puttah, and other documents granted to the Muttadars in the 51st Fusly for the land above mentioned. your Petitioner has no doubt it will easily discover whether any deception had been practised by your Petitioner, and the other Official Servants of the

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

the Department as charged against them by the Collector. In the 13th Para of the decision passed by the Collector, it is therein stated that, your Petitioner had falsely asserted in his deposition certain points as which were not true. In justification of his conduct your Petitioner respectfully observes that, he had never stated any thing but the truth regarding the transactions alluded to in the Collector's decision.— Were the Board to order an enquiry also into this charge your Petitioner is perfectly well assured, it would come to the conclusion. That your Petitioner's Statement does not contain a tittle of falsehood in it.—

In the 14th and 15th Parahs of the decision, it is specified that your Petitioner did not apprise the Collector of the urgees requiring orders being in arrears from which omission, the Ryots had sustained losses, also that in the depositions obtained from the Dufterbund Vencatasawmy he has testified that "he had given to Shasha-gherry Row the acting Javabnewese the Dufter

which

which was said by him to have been mislaid.
moreover it is stated in the decree that your
Petitioner had confessed in his deposition that
he had spoken an untruth.—

With reference to these assertions your
Petitioner submissively states that, the Dufterbund
had also represented that the Dufter containing
certain Khurda papers which was said by
him to have been given to Shashagherry Row,
was left in the Record Office at Salem.

When this circumstance came to the
knowledge of the Collector he issued an order
to the Record Keeper on the 14th May in which
he directed him to send down to the Cutcherry
the Dufter above referred to, this was accord-
-ly dispatched and received at the Office a
short time after.—

Petitioner with reference to this extra-
ordinary transaction, is obliged most unequi-
-vocally to declare that, he did not mislay
the Dufter as stated by the Dufterbund,
but on the contrary, it is the deliberate
conviction of your Petitioner, that
Shashagherry Row in conjunction

with

with other persons conceals the said Arzees
which at the period in question were
useless and not all bearing upon the
condition of the Ryots.—

If it was true that the Dufterbund
had brought the loss of the Dufter to the no-
-tice of the Collector, he should have produced
it before the order had been sent to the Record
Keeper about it, on your Petitioner obtaining
leave of absence, he made over his Dufter to
Vadagiee Row who would have informed
the Collector if he had found any Arzees
missing in it.—

Shashagherry Row was appointed
acting Jawabnewise 25 days after your
Petitioner's departure from Ootacoor, had
the Arzees been missing from your Petition-
-er's Dufter, he surely would not have re-
-mained quiet, without reporting it to
the Collector altho' the case was so plain,
yet the Collector has stated that, he had
found the Dufter on the 7th day after
your Petitioner examination had
taken place and to that effect had

obtained

Fort St. George 22d. February 1846.

obtained depositions from your Petitioner.

Now for the explanation of the above point, and your Petitioner most humbly entreats the Board will minutely examine the same and left the matter thoroughly for the purpose of exonerating from blame an old Servant of Government who had served it faithfully for 25 years. In the depositions in question, your Petitioner never stated that he did not find the Dufter, on the contrary, your Petitioner said that in consequence of certain Arzees having been communicated it to the Acting Sub Collector from whom obtained orders to send for from the Talooks the Copies of such Arzees which may be necessarily required and in accordance with the order. Your Petitioner transmitted instructions to the Talooks for such Arzees as were necessarily required and that, this circumstance is well known to the Servants of this Cutcherry.

Whilst your Petitioner thus stated in his deposition the Collector in a manner quite contrary has inserted most groundless charges in

Fort St. George 22d. February 1846.

in his decision. Besides this, the Collector has mentioned in the Takeed granted to your Petitioner on the 11th August that your Petitioner had confessed his having concealed the two Dufters. But the decree and the Takeed issued by the Collector to the Seristadar on the 15th August he has therein represented that your Petitioner had confessed the charge of his not having informed the Collector of the Arzees which had been mislaid.

Certain persons, this bad feelings against your Petitioner, concealed certain Arzees and afterwards persuaded the Dufterbund under the promise that he would be quickly promoted &c. to give evidence against your Petitioner subsequently, depositions were obtained from him during the period of your Petitioner's absence on the 21st July whilst your Petitioner gave in his deposition on the 7th June last.

The Collector without declaring in the Decree &c. the truth of the transaction which had taken place, has inserted charges utterly groundless and without any foundation.

This your Petitioner hopes the Board will

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

will bring to the notice of the Government.—

When Mr. Lockhart was Sub Collector in the Zillah of Madura Shashagherry Row was employed under him as a Javabnevese, at which time, certain complaints were brought against him, and proved on evidence which led to his dismissal from his appointment.—

Subsequently, the said Gentleman was removed as Sub Collector to the Zillah of Coimbatore whither Shashagherry Row accompanied him and was soon entertained in a situation from which he was soon discharged on account of certain complaints having been lodged against him which affected his character deeply.—

Your Petitioner believes that the character borne by the aforesaid Shashagherry Row has ere this, been brought to the notice of the Board from the report transmitted by the Zillah of Madura who was fully acquainted with his intriguing conduct, and of the deep injury he has inflicted on several very deserving Native Officers of the Government.—

Another Javabnevese called Sreenevasiengar who

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

who had been employed before as a Sherkar, was appointed a Javabnevese, and when he was placed under the orders of the Head Assistant, there were several complaints as brought against him, the particulars of which, your Petitioner begs to bring to the notice of the Board that. The Javabnevese attached to the Tengherry Cottah Talook, sent a letter to the said Sreenevasiengar requesting the assistance of the latter may be afforded in the case of the Sumbanees who had committed a Robbery which if tendered, would prove of great advantage to him, this letter was afterwards discovered in Sreenevasiengar's house. Subsequently, Mr. Gley having come to the knowledge of this transaction, instituted an enquiry into the case, when he discovered that no confidence could be reposed in Sreenevasiengar's integrity shortly after, the said Sreenevasiengar thro' malice against your Petitioner for having caused an enquiry to be made into his case by the said Gentleman insinuated something against your Petitioner to Shashagherry Row Vea. which induced these individuals to act against your Petitioner in the manner he

Fort St. George 22nd February 1816.

he has described in many of the preced-
-ing Paragraphs.—

Another Goomastah named
Khistniah who was directed to perform duty in
the Magistrate Department having arrested cer-
tain Cunnums who had perpetrated a robbery;
this circumstance came to the knowledge of Mr.
Lyley who ordered him on the instant to be trans-
-ferred to the Seristaah Cutcherry.—

These two persons in conjunction with
Shashagherry Row, came to the resolution of ruin-
-ing your Petitioner's prospects in life, and with
this view, prepared a puttee in which they
inserted a number of questions that should be
put to your Petitioner accordingly those questions
were put to him and they were replied to by your
Petitioner to the best of his judgment, altho
the Collector instituted no enquiry into the
case. Should any doubts arise in the minds
of the Members of your Honorable Board
regarding the truth of the above circumstance,
your Petitioner then respectfully solicits
that a reference may be made to the
Head Sheristadar who your Petitioner
is sure will confirm the Statement
related

Fort St. George 22nd February 1816.

related by your Petitioner. In the mean
time, the Collector became displeased with
another Javabnewse named Vadanjee Row
on account of his not having given his
depositions in the way the Collector wished
it, for which great Offence he struck of 20
Rupees out of his Salary on the 11th August and
added it to your Petitioner's Salary he afterwards
appointed him as Superintendant of the Thengary
Sayer Department on the 26th June which he did
not like to hold when, shortly after, the Diary
Book which he always kept in his Duftar
having by some means or other been con-
-cealed by some one the Collector thereupon
imposed a fine upon him of 10 Rupees on
the 27th June last, stating at the same time
that owing to the Diary Book being con-
-cealed, the accounts could not be prepared
for the above trivial offence the Collector
has inflicted 3 Kinds of punishment
upon the said Vadanjee Row within
the short period of a month.—

This severity depressed the
mind of Vadajee Row to a great
degree, and supposing it be too
severe

severe, and unmerited, he in consequence repaired to Madras for the purpose of appealing to the Board — as he has probably ere this arrived at Madras, and prepared his appeal, your Petitioner trusts, that what Vadajee Row has mentioned in his Petition will induce the Board to believe that the assertions adduced by your Petitioner in these Pages of the Collector's partiality in favor of his favorite Shashagherry Row are really and substantially correct, and can be clearly proved by a Public investigation or address.—

When the Documents connected with the suit of the Muttadar, were referred to the Head Serishtadar Shashagherry Row caused a Sukeed to be issued suspending your Petitioner from his situation, which took place without the knowledge of the Serishtadar.—

If your Petitioner had been found guilty in any way whatever, the Serishtadar who is answerable for the affairs of the Zillah, would very soon have brought your Petitioner's

delinqueneies

delinqueneies to the notice of the Collector; but as he observed nothing in my conduct which could induce him to follow such a course, he therefore said nothing about it. To substantiate the above, your Petitioner begs to remark that, the Collector instituted no enquiry into your Petitioner's Case so soon as he was discharged from his situation. This induced your Petitioner to result to him a Petition on the 11th May when, he obtained depositions from Vadanjee Row from whose evidence he found your Petitioner, not guilty of the offence charged against him, on these grounds he gave me no decision on the subject.—

Subsequently, your Petitioner presented to him another Petition on the 1st June when, the Collector again took down depositions from your Petitioner on the 6th and 7th of the same Month, after which, he refused against your Petitioner certain accusation regarding the Arzees which were mislaid.—

You Petitioner again submitted another Petition on the 19th July, whereupon, the Collector took down depositions from the —

Sufterbund

Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

Dufterbund on the 21st. of the same Month, and on the 10th. August transferred your Petitioner to a lower situation. The Collector then granted a Decision on the 21st. August, in which he insert-ed the charges against your Petitioner but your Petitioner assures your Honorable Board that were these charges enquired into a Court of law, he doubts not the Judges would declare them to be frivolous, and vexatious and devoid of truth in all its bearings.—

Ever since the dismissal of your Petitioner from his situation, which is 4 Months ago, he has been waiting here, and the Collector has irregularly allowed Shashagherry Row to receive the amount of his salary. this transaction the Collector, has not inserted in the report transmitted to the Board according to the 9th. Regulation promulgated in the year 1822. Besides this your Petitioner begs to represent that, in his case the Collector has acted in direct opposition to the instructions received from the Court of Directors on the 8th. June, who have expressly declared that, no old Servants of the different Departments of the State, should be dismissed without his case being

first

Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

first submitted for the consideration of the Board and eventually that of the Government.

Notwithstanding the Collector is well aware that your Petitioner has been unjustly put out of his situation for the purpose of serving his dependant Shashagherry Row, yet he sent a message to your Petitioner by the Naib Seristadar, Shashagherry Row and other Persons that, were your Petitioner to resign his situation he would try and get a Pension for him otherwise he would be under the necessity of appointing your Petitioner a Salook Seristadar, or Superintendant of Sayer, or any other situation your Petitioner might wish to choose, and that your Petitioner's sons would be entertained as Joomadahs in the Saloks, but your Petitioner did not consent to the proposals above discribed, therefore, the Collector dismissed him from his situation without any fault being charged against him, to prove the annoyance your Petitioner has experienced, he is prepared to bring forward many witnesses whom he doubts not will substantiate all the facts above advanced by him.—

Your

3424. Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

Your Petitioner now begs to enclose herein the following documents bearing upon his unfortunate cause Viz.t.—

1. Original Sakeed granted to your Petitioner on the 8th. May about his suspension.—
1. Copy of a Petition presented by your Petitioner on the 10th. May containing refutation of all charges brought against him.—
1. Do. of an Arzee presented by your Petitioner on the 14th. May.—
1. Do. Do. dated 1st. June.—
1. Do. Do. Do. 7th. July.—
1. Original Sakeed granted to your Petitioner by the Collector on the 11th. August.—
1. Copy of a Sakeed issued by the Collector to the Head Maib Seristadar on the 15th. August.—
1. Decree granted to your Petitioner on the 21st. Do.—

8.

To the above Documents, your Petitioner begs leave most earnestly to entreat the Boards attention as it will therein discover on what frivolous grounds the Collector proceeded against your Petitioner merely for the purpose of serving the interests of a needy adventurer who

3425. Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

who is acting in the same tyrannical manner as he had done at Madura and Coimbatore. Had your Petitioner been deprived of his situation on a graver charge, and had that situation been granted to the next in rank to him, then there would be no grounds for your Petitioner to complain against Mr. Lockhart's decision, but when no such grave charge was adduced against your Petitioner, and when his situation was conferred upon an individual who was never in the Salem District. your Petitioner therefore cannot, but come to the conclusion that it was to serve that individual's interests which induced the Collector to reduce him to a lower situation. In doing so, the Collector gained another object he know perfectly well that in your Petitioner's lower rank he could either grant him leave of absence or otherwise as he thought proper and thereby had it in his power of preventing your Petitioner from proceeding to Madras, and there, personally laying your

your Petitioner's complaints before the Board - to obviate this inconvenience to your Petitioner he has transmitted this Petition to your Honorable Board, by Sappal which he is well aware, is in contravention of the 6th Section of the 7th Regulation published in 1827 which de-clares that, all appeals should be sent to the Board thro the Channiel of the Collector; but as certain appeals which had been sent to the Collector, were not sent by him immediately to the Board, and as Petitioner is afraid his appeal will meet with a simi-lar fault, he has therefore taken the liberty of sending his Petition directly to the Board for which he craves its pardon on the grounds above stated by him.-

In conclusion, your Petitioner most earnestly prays the Board will protect him in his situation, and permit him to conduct the duties of his situation as heretofore he is an old Servant of 25 years standing, and his hair has grown grey in the service of his employers for the above period he had served

served faithfully some of the most talented Servants of the Honorable Company and now in the old age. this turned adrift to make room for a man who has been a scourge to his fellow creatures. however he trusts implicitly on the known Justice and impartiality of the Members now composing the Board who is British Officers and Gentlemen will he has no doubt strict justice rendered to one who had for 25 years eaten the Salt of the Honorable Company and was discharged for an Offence incommensurate to the gravamen at the charge, and your Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Copy
8th October 1813.

In

your Petitioner's complaints before the Board - to obviate this inconvenience to your Petitioner he has transmitted this Petition to your Honorable Board, by Sappal which he is well aware, is in contravention of the 6th Section of the 7th Regulation published in 1827 which de-clares that, all appeals should be sent to the Board thro the Channiel of the Collector; but as certain appeals which had been sent to the Collector, were not sent by him immediately to the Board, and as Petitioner is afraid his appeal will meet with a similar fault, he has therefore taken the liberty of sending his Petition directly to the Board for which he craves its pardon on the grounds above stated by him.—

In conclusion, your Petitioner most earnestly prays the Board will protect him in his situation, and permit him to conduct the duties of his situation as heretofore he is an old Servant of 25 years standing, and his hair has grown grey in the service of his employers for the above period he had served

served faithfully some of the most talented Servants of the Honorable Company and now in the old age. thus turned adrift to make room for a man who has been a scourge to his fellow creatures. however he trusts implicitly on the known Justice and impartiality of the Members now composing the Board who as British Officers and Gentlemen will he has no doubt strict justice rendered to one who had for 25 years eaten the Salt of the Honorable Company and was discharged for an offence incommensurate to the grandsmen at the charge, and your Petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Poonamallee
8th October 1843.

In

3428. Fort St. George 22nd Febuary 1816.

In obedience to the directions of the Board of Revenue, I have this day presented this Appeal Petition to the Acting Principal Collector for transmission to your Board being received by me on the 9th Instant which was endorsed by your Board on the 30th November last your Board being returned the said Petition to the Acting Principal Collector, he highly displeased against me and reduced my pay 20 Rupees which was allowed to me from the payment of 2nd Javab-neuse in which 10 Rupees was added to the pay of the 2nd Javabneuse and 10 Rupees to Mullary Row Marennutt Goomastah.—

As he has done so injustice in my part, I humbly beg your Board will be pleased to protect me by passing a legal decision in my favor.—

For which act of Charity I as in duty bound.—

Shall Ever pray—

Salem, 19th Jany. 1816.

/Signed/

3429. Fort St. George 22d. February 1816.—

List of unread Complaints Vea discovered in the Duftors belonging to Venkata Row late Head Jewabneviss in the Principal Collectors Cutcherry of Salem.—

No. of Vouchers.	
3	Senkerry Drog Taluk.—
	1 Complaint of Nacha Counden Inhabitant of Nuany paroo Achaputty.—
	1 Complaint of Chinna Counden Vea of Mylaripatty.—
1	1 Complaint of Puttaby Setty of Comarapolliem.
3.	3 Complaint of Sengoda Counden of Mookachypolliem in the Taluk of Trichengode.—
	Namcull Taluk.—
4	1 Complaint of Soobroyen of Comarapolliem
	1 Complaint of Combalamalay Odian of Caurcoody.—
	1 Complaint of Vadamala Counden Vea of Vadamalaypatty.—
	1 Urzee of Goorooswamy Naiken of Munglum.—
4	Paramaty Taluk.—
6	5. Complaint of Sashagerry Achary of Vengara Agrahar—
	1 Urzee of Kistnachiarry of Vellore.—
6.	

3430 Fort St. George 26th. February 1814.

9 Ahtoor Talook.

1 Complaint of Seerunga Counden of Mujoor Mahay.
1 Dº. of Coolla Counden Vca. of Keerputtay.
1 Dº. of Soobbamall of Thaganoor
1 Dº. of Abdool Cauder of Ahtoor.
1 Dº. of Moneyen of Coracully.
1 Dº. of Odiar of Ahtoor.
1 Dº. of Venkatachellapillay of Ahtoor.
1 Dº. of Osun Coomarun Soty of Cauttoocottay.
1 Dº. of Coollamall of Cauttoocottay.

9

42. Tirpatoor Talook.

1 Complaint of Poovandy of Pomcolum.
1 Urzee of Baulamoottoo Counden of Cadri-
-munglum, together with a Body Ranje-
-namah.
1 Complaint of Paula Counden of Dº.
1 Dº. of Ranjee Row of Andeapanoor.
1 Dº. of Mungamall of Vaniembady.
1 Dº. of Samoode Counden of Adytor.
1 Dº. of Anacan & Ismall Saib of Alum-
bawym.
1 Urzee of Hussain Saib of Tripatoor
1 Complaint of Ismall Saib of Ande-
-apanoor.
1 Urzee of Sreneevasien of Vaniembady.
1 Dº. of Soobbamall of Chinnarumputty.

3431 Fort St. George 22d. February 1814

1 Urzee of Puttah Kussain Saib of Govin-
-dapoorum.
1 Dº. of Davalalah Mootahdar of
-Amboorpett.
1 Dº. of Cawderabad Bawbasaib of Am-
-boorpett.
1 Complaint of Shick Booraudeen Vca. of
Vaniembady.
1 Dº. of Mohomed Osman of Tripatoor.
1 Urzee of Lutchmanaicken Curradar of
-Amballoor.
1 Complaint of Andy Counden of Nunnary.
1 Deposition of Permall Chetty of
Vaniembady.
1 Complaint of Coopachee Amall of Mood-
-dumpatty.
1 Dº. of Moottasetty of Tirpatoor.
1 Dº. of Veeratareddy of Reddy yoor.
1 Dº. of Kistnapasetty of Vengalapoorum.
1 Urzee of Gous Mohomed Khan of Cherro-
-vookindanapully.
1 Complaint of Thimma Counden, Coop-
-paty Cooppa Counden Vca. of Arava-
-tarumpully.
1 Dº. of Coopposetty of Amboorpett.
1 Dº. of Neela Cuntier of Pottoo Agarum.
1 Complaint of Curry Seva Counden Vca. of
Munnaredapully.

1 Complaint of Hoosain Saib of Vanienbady.
1 Complaint of Senga Counden of Elaspooram.
1 Do. of Mottasetty of Tripatoor.
1 Arzee of Veera Ragavapillay Mottadar of Trereyalum.
1 Arzee of Oddundy Counden of Vanchander
1 Complaint of Sundapasetty of Madapully
1 Do. of Ragava Counden Vea. of Paria Agram
1 Arzee of Appajee Syen Curnum of Muny Mana Coopspum.
1 Complaint of Condapa Counden Vea. Village Moonsiff of Vala Coopspum.
1 Arzee of Veera Ragavapillay of Teriyalum.
1 Arzee of Kistnien Sayer Pygust of Vanienbady.
1 Complaint of Bishasvara Dheerchitur of Tripatoor.
1 Arzee of Veera Ragavapillay Mottadar of Teriyalum.
1 Arzee of Peroma Counden Vea. Curnam of Nanjinuttoor.

42.

Salem Talook.

17 1 Complaint of Ancontien Vea. of Abiramvum Agrahārum.

1 Address of the Sheristadar of the Salem Talook.
2 Arzee of the Tappaul Writer of Salem.
1 Arzee of Runga Row Assistant Roisem of Salem.
2 Arzees of Seroomala Naicken Manieam of Oddaputty.
1 Arzee of Coopspa Row Additional Post Office Writer.
1 Mahazernamah of the Inhabitants of Erramasamoodrum.
1 Complaint of Coopspapillay of Chinnamasamoodrum.
1 Complaint of Coola Andy of Modoo Toray.
1 Complaint of Sashien Vea. of Yatapoorum.
1 Complaint of Rungadum Setty of Salem.
1 Complaint of Appanaralagum of Yatapoor.
1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Appamasamoodrum.
1 Complaint of Tondroy Odian of Ayadiaputtum.
1 Complaint of Konaty Naicken of Salem.

17

3434. Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

8 ——— Cossoor Talook.

1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Timma-
samoodrum

1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Sauta-
poorum.

1 Complaint of the Dr. of Anoomanaput-
lay Agrahar.

1 Dr. of the Dr. of Naulicondu Agrahar
Arzee No. 54 of the Jailer of Cossoor.

1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Moot-
tien Agrahar.

1 Dr. of the Dr. of Parandahully.

1 Dr. of the Dr. of Hoorahully.

8

6 ——— Tromaloor Talook.

1 Complaint of Sambully Vga. Totier of
Mauranungtum.

1 Dr. of Goriehee Gounden of Dandy
Cawrumputty.

1 Dr. of Coochy reddy of Nellore.

1 Dr. of Venkatasien Mennen of Mau-
-ramungtum.

1 Dr. of Veeraraganiengar of Vanavachy

1 Dr. of Bogapundarum of Chinna-
-reddy puttay.

6

3435. Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

11 ——— Raizepoor Talook.

1 Complaint of Bawnapoodian of Poodoo-
-poliem.

1 Dr. of Cawly Gounden Monsiff of the
Village of Sendooranienmanputty

1 Dr. of Veera Ragava Gounden Izardar of
Cudrimungtum.

1 Dr. of Veerabudrum Talook Peon.

4

1 ——— Complaint of Saly Varum Boddapun
in the Talook of Denkenicottah.

2 Darumpoory Talook.

1 Complaint of Nagien Carkon of Palcode.

2

3 ——— Kistnagerry Talook.

1 Arzee of Soobba Naicken Rusta
Peon.

1 Complaint of Lutchmeenaraniem
Renter of Amuntvandy.

1 Complaint of Khandoobee Vga. in the
Mootah of Soondy.

3

6 ——— Sundry Complaints.

1 Complaint of Samiah Hoozoor Sawyer
Pygust.

1 Arzee of Ragavendra Row Moonshee
in the Zillah of Coimbatore.

3434. Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

8 ——— Oossoor Talook.

1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Simma-
samoodrum

1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Sauta-
-poorum.

1 Complaint of the Dr. of Anoomanaput-
-tay Agrahar.

1 Dr. of the Dr. of Naulicondu Agrahar
Arzee No. 54 of the Jailer of Oossoor.

1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Moot-
-tien Agrahar.

1 Dr. of the Dr. of Parandahully.

1 Dr. of the Dr. of Horahully.

8

6 ——— Tromalaor Talook.

1 Complaint of Sambully Vea. Inhabitants of
Mauramunglum.

1 Dr. of Goriahee Gounden of Daudy
Gawrumputty.

1 Dr. of Goochy reddy of Nellore.

1 Dr. of Vencatasien Mennen of Mau-
-ramunglum.

1 Dr. of Veeraragaviengar of Vanavachy

1 Dr. of Bogapundarum of Chinna-
-reddy puttay.

6

3435. Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

4 ——— Raizepoor Talook.

1 Complaint of Bawnapoodian of Podoo-
-poliem.

1 Dr. of Cawly Gounden Monsiff of the
Village of Sendooraniemanputty

1 Dr. of Veera Ragava Gounden Izardar of
Cudrimunglum.

1 Dr. of Veerabudrum Talook Peon.

4

1 ——— Complaint of Saly Varum Boddapun
in the Talook of Denkencottah.

Darumpoory Talook.

2

1 Complaint of Nagien Carkoon of Palcode.

2

3 ——— Kistnagerry Talook.

1 Arzee of Soobba Naicken Ruota
Peon.

1 Complaint of Lutchmeenaraniem
Renter of Amuntraudy.

1 Complaint of Khandoobee Vea. in the
Mootah of Soondy.

3

2 ——— Sundry Complaints.

1 Complaint of Samiah Hoozoor Sawyer
Pygust.

1 Arzee of Ragavendra Row Moonshee
in the Zillah of Coimbatore.

3436 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Anzie of Mr. R. Martin Head Ac:
countant.
1 Complaint of Daloyet Chinnatumbee
1 Anzee of Veneata Rao Acting Tahsildar
of Semmulgoodoo in the Zillah of
Cuddapah.
1 Copy of a Complaint written by Neri:
=nooeam of yanayapary to the Head
Assistant Magistrate of Trichinopoly
1 Anzee of Appoorow Head Sheristadar
of Cuddapah.
1 Anzee of Periaswamy Moodelly late
Manahmut Writer.

8

1 Diary No. 41. and Calendar No. 76
received with an yadast from the
Acting Joint Magistrate under date
6th. October 1842 regarding the Investi:
=gation held by him into a Complaint
preferred by Sawutrea Anall of Nand:
=call in which the following unread
Documents were found.
4 A bundle with an yadast received
from the Acting Sub Collector
whereon it is written "Answered" but
does not appear to have been signed
or attested by the Principal Collector

3437 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Yadast from the Acting Joint Magistrate
dated 6th. October 1842.
1 Do. from the Do. dated 10th. January 1843.
1 Do. from the Acting Sub Collector dated
15th. August 1843.
1 Do. from the Do. dated January 1843.

4.

7 A Bundle containing unread Yadasts &c.
1 Yadast from the Acting Joint Magistrate
under date 12th. November 1842.
1 Do. from Do. under date 22d. September 1842
1 Do. from the Head Assistant Collector
under date 22d. Do.
1 Do. from the Acting Sub Collector
under date 23d. April 1842.
1 Do. from the Do. under date 27th. Sep:
=tember 1842.
2 Sakeed received from the Treasurry
requiring Signature under date 14th.
February and 19th. March 1842.

7

2 A Bundle containing unread and un:
=examined original Vouchers in
appeal case.
1 Diary No. 167 received with an Yadast
from the Joint Magistrate under date
6th. October 1842 in an appeal case
preferred by Mootien Setty of Shevoypett

3438 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Bundle received with an ryadast under date 2d. August 1842 in a case preferred by Jaden Setty of Palcode.

2

1 Bundle containing Arzee answered but uninitiated by the Principal Collector
1 Arzee from the Sayer Department at Darumpoory under date 28th. November 1842 No. 26.
1 D:. from the Sirpatoor Talook under date 26th. December 1842 No. 251.
1 D:. from the D:. under date 29th. November 1842 No. 217.
1 D:. from the Kistnagerry Talook under date 18th. October 1842 No. 178.
1 D:. from the D:. under date 29th. December 1842 No. 272.
1 D:. from the Salem Talook under date 23d. October 1842 No. 146.
1 D:. from the Darampoory Talook under date 1st. November 1842 No. 114.
1 D:. from the Denkencottah Talook under date 14th. August 1842 No. 48.
1 D:. from the Athoor Talook under date 28th. July 1842 No. 27.
1 D:. from the Tapsaul Writer at Salem.

3439 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Urzee from the Stamp Darogah under date 26th. August 1842 No. 2
1 D:. from the D:. under date 16th. August 1842 No. 4.
1 D:. from Samiah Pygust.
1 Urzee from the Moonsiff of the Kistnagerry talook.
1 D:. from the Stamp Darogah under date 4th. October 1842 No. 9.
1 D:. from the Denkencottah talook under date 24th. December 1842 No. 203.

16

5 Bundle containing unread Arzees
1 Arzee from the Tirpatoor Talook under date 22d. August 1842 No. 79.
1 D:. from the Darampoory Talook under date 15th. May 1842 No. 220.
1 D:. from the Record keeper under date 8th. December 1842 No. 70.
1 D:. from the Senkerry Drog talook under date 28th. August 1842 No. 28.
1 D:. from the Kistnagerry Talook; under date 16th. December 1842 No. 250.

5

5 English letters &c. not delivered by Peneata Row into the English Department.

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

3 ——— A Bundle containing accounts not de-
= livered to the Dufters.
1 List of Services of an Assistant Reisem
1 Statement of the Amount of postage
received in talooks.
1 Accountant of the Stamp De-
= partment.

3.

1 ——— Complaint received from Oodia Govin-
= da of Raizepoor "written thereon as the
necessary orders having been given ver-
= bally by the Principal Collector" with-
= out being attested by him to that effect
5 ——— An adjusted yadast together with
vouchers in bundles attached.
1 Bundle received with an Yadast from
the Acting Head Assistant Collector
under date 30th. November 1842.
1 Bundle D. D. D. under date 13th.
December 1842.
1 Bundle of Vouchers with an Yadast
from the Office of the Magistrate to
that of Head Assistant under date
22d. February 1842.
2 Yadast received from the Joint Ma-
= gistrate on an appeal case and
a copy of Diary.

5

Fort St. George. 22d. February 1844.

1 ——— Bundle of Original Documents regarding
the case of having the lands of Amendoor
Chinna Vurdah Gounden made / po-
= ronebokc.
1 ——— Bundle regarding the Complaint pre-
= ferred by the Mootadars that the said
Amendoor Chinna Vurda Gounden
refused receiving putta for 125l.
1 ——— Bundle regarding the matter of having
the said Individuals placed in a
Civil Jail on account of his having
fallen in arrears of Circar dues for-
= feasly 125l.
1 ——— Complaint preferred by Odiar at Attoor
which was referred to the Dufter on
the 1st. April 1843, but kept in the
private Dufter until 12th. June 1843 when
it was discovered and delivered to the Dufter.
15 ——— Complaints &c. read before Mr. Gleig
and kept in the private Dufter, with-
= out the necessary orders thereon being
issued.
1 Complaint of Veera Ragava pillay
Moottadar of Tenalenor.
1 Arzee of the Inhabitants of Carumpa-
= Guttu in the Talook of Namcull.
1 Complaint of Alaga Moodrien of Anna-
= ravatipolieur in the Dr. of Dr.

Fort St. George 22d. February 1816.

1 Arzee of Rungavarjee Reddy of Senda Mungalum in the Talook of Namcull.

1 Arzee of Tondavarey Moodily of Paupune Reddy in the Talook of Wonraloor.

1 Do. of Carriemmall of Nadooputtoo in the Talook of Hustnagerry having the Curnumship of the Village.

1 Complaint of Chinna Counda Puttadar of the Sherveroy Hills in the Talook of Salem.

1 Do. of Timmaroyen of Nadooputtoo in the Talook of Hustnagerry.

1 Do. of Venceta Reddy of Comarandapully in the Talook of Oosoor.

2 Arzees together a Mahazernamah from Eroosuppah Counden of Peddanaicken polliem in the Talook of Salem.

1 Arzee of Appajee Setty Renter of Beetle and Tobacco in the Hustnagerry Talook.

1 Arzee from Vencataramiah late Tahsildar of Tengara Cotta, regarding the daily batta of Convicts.

2 Appeal Complaints and Copy of a bond received from Soobien of Addamoncotta in the Talook of Darumpoory.

15

Fort St. George 22d. February 1816.

6 And Justed Complaints &c. discovered in the private dufter, which, however appear to have been read before Mr. Lockhart, by whom they were attested to that effect.

1 Arzee of Gooroomoortee Naicken Tappaul Pyguot on the road between Salem and Attoor.

1 Arzee of Peria Counden &c. of Aekara putty in the Talook of Raizepoor.

1 Arzee of Runga Amal of Salem.

1 Arzee of Abdool Nubby alias Dandameyah Salem Talook Poor.

1 Complaint of Syed Oosain late Duffadar of the Darumpoory Talook.

1 Do. of Runga Amal wife of Anoomunt Naicken Koozoor Dalayit.

6.

2 Documents not forwarded to the Acting Head Assistant Collector regarding the address presented by the Defendant Donneepah Setty in O. S. No. 49 of 1812 in the Zillah Court of Salem, that the Village in possession of the Defendant may not be ordered to be given to the Defendant.

1 Bundle containing English papers on certain miscellaneous matters.

3444 Fort St. George 22d. February 1842.

391 ——— Complaints Vea. discovered in a Duftur unread during the year 1842.

124 ——— Kistnagerry Taluk.

1 Complaint of Puchapoojarry of Shuliapully
1 Dº. of Vencataraw Setty of Cawaryputnum
1 Dº. of Luteha Anall of Dº.
1 Dº. of Vencataspen of Maurandapully.
1 Arzee of Colecaur Counden Curradan of Davanahully Vea.
1 Complaint of Ram Row of Kistnagerry
1 Arzee of Kisten of Dº.
1 Arzee of Lutchmen of Dº.
1 Arzee of Moonegawdoo of Chinnapully
1 Arzee of Verreretty of Mullapawdy.
1 Arzee of Andiappa of Makarazgody.
1 Complaint of Buddranall of Kistnagerry.
1 Dº. of Soobroyah padayachee of Pottagownahully.
1 Complaint of Lutchmana Moodely of Pennaswera Matam.
1 Arzee of Canamachary of Cawveryputnum.
1 Complaint of Abdool Cawder of Kistnagerry.
1 Complaint of Meerah Saib of Cawveryputnum.

3445 Fort St. George 22d. February 1842.

1 Complaint of Panjen Soty of Cawveryputnum
1 Arzee of Soorupjeen of Marodary.
1 Arzee of Vurra amall Wife of Makaravmut Writer.
1 Complaint of Vencataramien of Cawveryputnum.
1 Complaint of Moonegawn of Dº.
1 Arzee of Meerah Saib of Dº.
1 Complaint of Veereseretty of Muttoor.
1 Complaint of Timmaroyen of Theelyhully
1 Arzee of Soobah Sastry of Cawveryputnum.
1 Arzee of Vencut Naraniem of Royacottah.
1 Arzee of Emom Saib of Pullyputty.
1 Arzee of Sam Row of Kistnagerry.
1 Complaint of Vatta Royen Soty of Pyoor
1 Arzee of Arnachellum of Cawveryputnum.
1 Arzee of Naraniem of Dº.
1 Arzee of Achy Naicken Vea. of Pennaswera Mutum with 2 Soty Ranzeenamahs enclosed.
1 Complaint of Soobien of Awatwady.
1 Arzee of Naga Anall of Nagasanoodrum
1 Arzee of Vencut Row Mootah Contracter of Heelacum.
1 Complaint of Elluppa Naicken of Arasumputty.—

3446 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Complaint of Padavattee Gounden of Cawvery puttee.
1 Arzee of Chinna Gounden of Cottlepuddy
1 Complaint of Emam Khan of Royacottah
1 Arzee of Madarsaib of Kistnagerry.
1 Complaint of Rahamut bawdo Bubee wife of Sallalkhan late Zemindar of Chockady Mootah
1 Arzee of Timmaroyen of Kistnagerry
1 Arzee of Annuntien of Kistnagerry
1 Complaint of Lutchmee Naranien of Annuntrady.
1 Arzee of Venkatasoobien of Agraim.
1 Complaint of Mottoosawmien of Penna Swera Mutlum.
1 Complaint of Naranien of Mahariaz gady.
1 Complaint of Venkatanaicken of Yagairy
1 Complaint of Dº of Dº
1 Arzee of Annaujee gawn of Kistnagerry
1 Complaint of Soobanaicken of Dº
1 Arzee of Coopien of Cauvery puttee.
Dº of Venkatasoobien of Agraim.
1 Complaint of Soobbaputtun of Kistnagerry.
1 Dº of Venkatasoobien of Rachapully
1 Arzee of Venkataraniem of Kistnagerry.

3447 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Complaint of Kunja Gounden of Oddapully
1 Arzee of Rungapa Setty of Kistnagerry.
1 Complaint of Venkata Ram Setty of Cawvery puttee.
1 Complaint of Soobbaputtun of Kistnagerry
1 Dº of Pennall Setty of Dº
1 Dº of Chinna Gounden of Sippenhully
1 Dº of Butta Sumen of Tirpaty.
1 Dº of Carrottah Gounden of Cadoorpully
1 Dº of Vellapala Gounden of Dº
1 Dº of Biajee Gounden of Maharazgadoo.
1 Dº of Cottapanaidoo of Seekaly Mutum
1 Dº of Chinna Lutchma Anall of Maharaizzada.
1 Dº of Salalos of Ghendoor
1 Dº Arzee of Narana Gounden of Polapoorum
1 Arzee of Annien of Davoolatabad
1 Arzee of Nenyapen Sunjelpully.
1 Arzee of Venkatasawmy Vea of Pottoo Naickenpully.
1 Arzee of Awdiesspa Setty of Davoolatabad.
1 Complaint of Madura of Cawevery puttee
1 Arzee of Narasimma Naicken of Pottoo Naickenpully.

3448. Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Arzee of Ramien of Davoolatabad.
1 Arzee of Tippee Setty of Kistnagerry.
1 Arzee of Asooddallee Khan of Royacottah
1 Complaint of Cawnadoo of Cuchapetty
1 Arzee of Chendrapoojary of Cottandoo-
=cherapetty.
1 Complaint of Appaujee Syen of Kistna-
=gerry.
1 Arzee of Culvee Naicken of Davoolata-
=bad.
1 Arzee of Sooruppen of Do.
1 Arzee of Condien Vea of Igoorda Cotta-
wala.
1 Arzee of Arjoonere of Chapanapully
1 Complaint of Chinna Lutchna Anall
of Anagoody.
1 Complaint of Vencatapen Do. of Chenna
Timmanaicken pully.
1 Arzee of Theertageeree Setty of polcim
1 Complaint of Vencatesen of Rigondee.
1 Arzee of Awdiappa Setty of Penna-
=wera Mutum.
1 Complaint of Vencataramien of Ja-
=gadavee.
1 Arzee of Venkeu of Davoolatabad.
1 Complaint of Ramasoundem of Kistna-
gerry
1 Arzee of Cawnadoo of Punnatumpully.

3449. Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

No. 2.

1 Arzee of Venadetty of Hullapaudy.
1 Complaint of Boodaga Setty of Cauvery pata.
1 Arzee of Sashema Rauzo of Kistnagerry.
1 Arzee of Ramasamiah of Lingadapully.
1 Complaint of Budrawall of Kistnagerry.
1 Arzee of Thimmi Naicken of Candy Coppere.
1 Arzee of Narasinga Rao of Davoolatabad.
1 Complaint of Syed Beram of Arasemputty.
1 Arzee of Naranien of Bawaroo.
1 } Complaint of Turpaty Counden of Naga-
} samoodrum.
1 Arzee of Chendra poojary of Cuttandoochepully.
1 Complaint of Dawdee Setty Mandaveepully.
1 Arzee of Mauneing of Calapully.
1 Arzee of Cramsaib of Cavery puttee.
1 Arzee of Narading Rao of Davoolatabad.
1 Complaint of Soobba Cutten of Davoolatabad.
1 } Arzee of Veneataram Naidoo of Cavery
} puttee
1 } Arzee of Mooneappen of Cavery =
} puttee.
1 } Complaint of Kistnaparauz of Arasem-
} putty.
1 Arzee of Chennapen of Pycor.
1 Arzee of Ramien of Baroor.

Arzee

Fort St George 22d February 1814.

1 Arzee of Sungeeree Counden of Mullapandy.
1 Arzee of Sooruppen of Davoolatabad.
1 Complaint of Aulee Beebee of Settabinapully.
1 Complaint of Vengaee of Anadavapully.
1 Arzee of Cottoor Sungeeree Counden.
1 Complaint of Cawly of Caveryputtee.

124

38

Oossoor Talook.

1 Arzee of Thimmien of Coroolcerpully.
1 Do of Sashaucharry Do of Coderpully.
1 Do of Sooruppen of Baglore.
1 Complaint of Nineyeppen of Peddalutson
} napully.
1 Do of Bilvappah Naicken of Vadavana
} pully.
1 Do of Veneatay Ramien of Boddeepully.
1 Complaint of Obadoo of Badadapully.
1 Do of Sooda Counden Vea of Ponnapully.
1 Do of Sreenavas Chacravurtee of Punnoo
} pully Agrahar.
1 Complaint of Chelmien of Achatapully.
1 Do of Chinnasawmy Naicken of Pullore.
1 Arzee of Pungien of Oossoor.
1 Complaint of Thippen Vea of Banglore.
1 Arzee of Goorooree Gaudoo of Achatapully.

Arzee

Fort St George 22d February 1814.

1 Arzee of Ramsetty of Oossoor.
1 Arzee of Boodapien of Thavasapully.
1 Complaint of Ramasawmy of Chenoor.
} samoodrum.
1 Arzee of Nunpoodiah of Thiravadoorg.
1 Complaint of Seddadoo of Condacapully.
1 Arzee of Naganall of Soodavady.
1 Complaint of Thodapah Counden of
} Thiravadoorg.
1 Complaint of Narasuppah of Cawry
} mungalum.
1 Do of Sreeneevasah of Thodoor.
1 Do of Sreeneevasacharry of Pattacottah.
1 Do of Kistnapen of Voddanapully.
1 Do of Neengondien of Oossoor.
1 Do of Soobbamall of Oossoor.
1 Do of Vurdapah of Anechoney.
1 Do of Vurdeah of Do.
1 Arzee of Samiah of Pullore.
1 Complaint of Thiroomalagawdoo of
} Doodacara.
1 Do of Veneatapuly of Vadadapully.
1 Do of Veneatarayah charry of Baglore.
1 Do of Engawarauz of Aadaivalum.
1 Do of Kistna chetty of Voddenpully.

Arzee

3452 Fort St George 22d February 1844.

1 Arzee of Lutchmeenaraniah of Ayaroor
1 Complaint of Mawadoo of Mawdalapilly.

38

21 Athoor Talook.

1 Arzee of Kistnaingar of Athoor.
1 Arzee of Curroopapilly of Do.
1 } Arzee of the Inhabitants of Pedoor Vcu
} Villages
1 } Arzee of Annamalay Reddy Do of Cawtoo
} cottah.
1 Arzee of Arnachellapillay of Chittary.
1 Complaint of Comarane of Athoor.
1 Complaint of Iddeen Poyay of Penary.
1 Do of Maurie reddy Do of Kistnapooram.
1 Arzee of Kistnaingar of Rawsadpoor.
1 Do of Coobiah of Aloongaloor.
1 Do of Poolawara Modelly of Sendarputty.
1 Do of the Inhabitants of Sendarputty.
1 Complaint of Chellum Coty of Do.
1 Do of Pulhamootto Counden of Thiro-
vachoor.
1 Arzee of Sevalingapundarum of Vellaroor.
1 Do of Chellen Vcu of Sendarputty.
1 Do of Coobramall of Thagavoor.
1 Do of Do of Do.
1 Complaint of Moneyen of Athoor.
1 Arzee of Pechaneingar of Covattoor.

Arzee

3453 Fort St George 22d February 1844.

1 Arzee of Mootto Naicken of Athoor.

21

36 Salem Talook.

1 Arzee of Soobroy Chetty of Salem.
1 Arzee of Narasuppen of Yerremasamoodrum.
1 Arzee of Sam Rao of Salem.
1 } Arzee of Sheik Cureem Saib of Cauvery-
} patty.
1 } Complaint of Mulla Setty of Chennee-
} samoodrum.
1 Arzee of Vencachen Vcu of Appawasamoodrum.
1 Arzee of Rayapatty Jen of Do.
1 Complaint of Senkeren of Abhinavanum.
1 Arzee of Coobbu Deechen Vcu of Do.
1 Do of Annavien of Do.
1 Do of Sashien of Cottamaleady.
1 } Arzee of Anunta Ramien of Abhinava-
} agrahar.
1 } Do of Teeroomala Naicken of Errawa-
} samoodrum.
1 Do of Vellapa Counden of Covata Combo.
1 Do of Arnachellum Vcu of Collumputty.
1 } Complaint of Veneatachella Setty of
} Velapandy.
1 Arzee of Veneataram Setty of Abhinavum
1 Arzee of Annavien of Do.
1 Complaint of Vurda Counden —

of

Fort St George 22d February 1844.

of Chennasamoodrum.

1 Complaint of Annapein Lutchmasamien.

1 Arzee of Ringa Row of Salem.

1 Arzee of Chenna Kistnima of Yatapoor.

1 Arzee of Chellapen of Salem.

1 Arzee of Govinden Vea of Vellakputty.

1 Arzee of Ammamoottoo a person all Pleim.

1 Complaint of Narasimmien of Terromala Samoodrum.

1 Do of Mullasetty of Chennamasamoo drum.

1 Arzee of Coopien of Yatapoor, together with a Sooy Rauzeenamah enclosed.

1 Do of Moottoo of Yatapoor.

1 Do of Vencanien of Salem.

1 Do of Ram Setty of Chinna Naicken Spoleim.

1 Do of Sashien of Chinnasamoodrum.

1 Do of Nachee Counden Vea of Moottoputty.

1 Do of Maurachu Naicken of Narasinja Spooreim.

1 Do of Moottoo Setty of Ayodeia Spatam.

1 Complaint in the Persian character.

36

Arzee

Fort St George 22d Febuary 1844.

28 Donkencottah Taloob.

1 Arzee of Nanyacharry of Onacavahully.

1 Arzee of Soobbabutten of Beeramungalum.

1 Arzee of Venkatien Vea of Godadoory.

1 Arzee of Narasimmien of Denkencottah.

1 Complaint of Pengapah Counden of Do.

1 Arzee of Narasimachary of Gollapully.

1 Complaint of Mullien of Calamungalum.

1 Arzee of Gopaulacharry of Chernavull.

1 Complaint of Shipparoody of Singarapoliem.

1 Arzee of Rayavacharry of Tyracoondahully.

1 Arzee of Sungeevee Onall of Denkencottah.

1 Arzee of Nunjoondiah of Calamungalum.

1 Complaint of Vadarvasimmahchary of Thogara agrahar.

1 Complaint of Shippareddy of Do.

1 Arzee of Goolam Russeemully of Denecon-cottah.

1 Arzee of Mullien of Calamungalum.

1 Arzee of Appa Counden Vea of poleim.

1 Complaint of Seddapen of Setty pully.

1 Complaint of Sooreppien of Thogara agrahar.

1 Arzee of Condappen Vea of Denkenesttah.

1 Arzee of Sooba Row of Muddoo-gooradahully.

Arzee

3456 Fort St George 22d February 1844-

1 Arzee of Gureechetty of Denkenecottah.
1 Arzee of Sheik Hussain of Do
1 Complaint of Theroonengada Amall of Do
1 Do of Nungoondeppen of Calamungalum.
1 Do of Goroovadoo of Soruddapully.
1 Do of Siellaoveen of Poovamoodrum.
1 Do of Lackamall of Sauragahully.

28

5 Paraourtty Talook.

1 Arzee of Sashagery Acharry of Veneeray.
1 Arzee of Ramasawmy Counden of Poodoor.
1 Arzee of Anuntaramien of Tenoomalai Ramasamoodrum.
1 Arzee of Rackiah Counden of Poonja Goondleum.
1 Complaint of Nullava Counden of Nulliah Counden polleim.

5

8 Trichengode Talook.

1 Arzee of Venatachella Setty of Madoora Mootteen poleim.
1 Arzee of Booddawacharry Veu of Mawmoodee Cawsoopacody.
1 Complaint of Thayoomanpullay of Trichengode:—

Complaint

3457 Fort St George 22d February 1844-

1 Complaint of Mootteechetty of Allalapooram
1 Arzee of Singoda Counden of Cottapoleim.
1 Copies of a letter, & of an Arzee, received from Suttabee Rameen of Trichengode.
1 Arzee of Pullatalum of Pully putty.
1 Complaint together with an endorsement & plan received from Narraneah chetty of Moottanapoleim.

8

15 Senkerry Droog Talook.

1 Arzee of Ram Rao of Senkerry Droog.
1 Do of Sasheengar of Cadeeavoolt.
1 Do of Pullavala Counden of Modamun galum.
1 Do of Aboob Cawder of Senkerry Droog.
1 Arzee of Siddapen of Davoor.
1 Complaint of Coppa Counden of Adiearputty.
1 Arzee of Valawyoodacharry of Padavade.
1 Arzee of Rayavacharry of Pellanaud.
1 Arzee of Nungien Veu of Singonapooram.
1 Arzee of Vala Counden of Modamungalum.
1 Arzee of Sella Cottay Tappaul Revenue of Soobroya Mattoo.
1 Arzee of Cheria Counden & of Modamungalum

Arzee

Fort St George 22d February 1844.

1 } Arzee of Anthoany Saunden of Pullye-
} poliem.
1 Complaint of Ramasamy of D°.
1 Arzee of Gopaul Kistnen of Gadenkery.

15

4 Wombaloor Taluk.

1 } Arzee of Chenna Reuth of Lalà carnet.
} poonen
1 } Arzee of Chettama Naicken of Putch-
} mauputty.
1 } Rauzeenamah presented by Veneatarauw.
} Setty Maungadur of Dawpoor.
1 } D° presented by Gungereddy Cavadar
} of Macharry Dea.

4

17 Sundry papers.

1 Arzee of Mootteen of Goorooswamy poliem.
1 } Arzee of Mulla Odean of Cavoorcody-
} poliem
1 } Arzee of Sooba Rao Layer Ameenah of Vaneem.
} Tady.
1 } Arzee of Veneata ramien, Rongoor
} Mashalgee.
1 } D° Ramapa Naicken, Cumgoondee Samar,
} Situnem
1 D° of Naranaseng, Rongoor Dalayet-
Mortgage

Fort St George 22d Febr ary 1844.

1 Mortage Bond executed by Amboor Syed
Mahomed to Vaneimbady Meerah saib.
1 } Arzee of Ramasawmy acting Marahmut
} Goomastah of Ahtoor.
1 Arzee of Venkeia Doonadewar.
1 Arzee of Codeanjee Goorookull of Chittoor.
1 } Arzee of Narasummien of Putnagerry.
} residing at Denkencottah.
1 Arzee of Hyder saib of Antaimputty.
1 Arzee of Hyder saib of Bawhully.
1 } Statement of Rymaun Khan Moondiff
} of Caveery puttee.
1 } Arzee of Mooneappah Naicken late
} Hamildar of Royacottah.
1 } letter written by Sooba Rao Taheildar.
} of Denkencottah to Veneata Rao.
1 } letter written by Arapala Coopein Chowky
} Naick to Taheildar Sam Rao.

17

95 Documents in a bundle.

2 Rayeepoor Taluk.

1 Arzee of Balayee of Cuttanachemputty
1 } D° of Moottoo Saunden of Chendrosakera.
} Spoosun.

2

35 Darumpoory Taluk. Arzee

3460 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Arzee of Coppa Unall of Tella Moody
1 Complaint of Peremen of Yarroopilly.
1 Do. of Perachee Counden &ca. of Coomapully.
1 Do. of Syed Osmen Cauzee of Darumpoory
1 Arzee of Abdoolla Saib of Do.
1½ Complaint of Syabo Darumpoory talook Peon.
1½ Arzee of Venkataramien Currardar of Colagattoor.
1 Arzee of Osman Aegah of Palacode.
1½ Balance Sheet of Moneyadoo, in the Moottah of Poottoocara.
1 Complaint of Annee Chetty of Palacode
1 Arzee of Syed Hoosain of Darumpoory
1 Complaint of Do. Do.
1 Arzee of Coolour Moodely of Ayanum.
1½ Complaint of Donnayappa Setty of Baderpully.
1½ Complaint of Anuntacharry of Conul Naickenputty.
1 Arzee of Chickenna Setty of Darumpoory
1 Complaint of Chenneyaudoo of Comalacondahully
1 Arzee of Coandeppen &ca. of Kaurthumputty
1 Complaint of Do. of Do.
1 Arzee of Rainien &ca. of Palacode.

Complaint

3461 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

1 Complaint of Venkatien of Coonyahully.
1 Complaint of Narayanappen of Paurparaputty
1 Complaint of the Inhabitants of Annasawgarum &a.
1 Arzee of Venkatacharry of Tagiehully.
1 Arzee of Nimpien of Cadra Naickenputty.
1 Arzee of Annamalay reddy of Cadagatoor.
1 Arzee of Do. of Do.
1 Arzee of Syed Osmen of Darumpoory.
1½ Complaint of Ally Moottoosetty of Mawndahully.
1½ Complaint of Soobroyah pillay of Darumpoory.
1 Arzee of Ragavarauz of Do.
1 Do. of Venkatachella Setty of Do.
1 Complaint of Ramdoo of Doodagoorkee
1 Do. of Venkatamah of Mullapoorum.

35

10 Tengaracottah Taluk.

1 Arzee of Nachemmall of Pollempully.
1 Do. of Mohodeen Khan Sayer Cobkar of Kurroor
1 Do. of Soobiengar of Cummeewelloor.
1 Arzee of Rama Chendren &c. of Alapoorum
1½ Do. of Venkatapen tapaul runner of Comboor
1 Do. together with Copies of 2 Documents

presented

Fort St George 22d February 1844.

2 } presented by Seaga Razien Sawyer Ameer
} mah of Hurroor.
3 } Complaints of Vyapoory Counden of Yuchem
} bady.
1 Arzee of Vadamappen of Colacumputty.
1 } Arzee of Soobroyen and Seertageery of
} Cadavany.
1 Complaint of Mottapa Moodelly of Hurroor.
1 Dc of Keistnapa Naicken Duffadar.
1 Arzee of Seertageery pillay of Ganapaty putty.
1 } Dc of Villala toty Meerasseedar of Sen
} gamputty.
1 Dc of Dawaroy Counden of Sirovany putty

16

37 Tirpatoor Taluk.

1 } Arzee of Mahomed Jaffer Jemindar
} of Vaniembady.
1 } Complaint of Royagoroo Nullandacharry of
} Colandaputty.
2 Arzee of Timmaraz Vcu of Nachar Coopum
and a Board's Endorsement.
1 Complaint of Lutchmanall of Vaniembady.
1 Arzee of Hussain Saib Vcu of Dc.
1 Arzee of Soobacharry of Dc.
1 Complaint of Boodum Saib of Poongolum.

Complaint

Fort St George 22d February 1844.

1 } Complaint of Yachendanie of Vanieem
} bady.
1 } Complaint of Lutchmoo Amalb of Vanieem
} bady.
1 Complaint of Mooneyen of Peria Agaram
1 Dc of Shaik Hydah of Amboorpett.
1 Dc of Paupandetty of Dc.
1 Arzee of Hussain Saib of Dc.
1 Complaint of Veneatiem Panayandaputty.
1 Dc of Curreea Counden of Munnedaputty
1 } Arzee of Abdool Cawder Jemindar of
} Poottagaram.
1 Arzee of Coopee Setty of Vaniembady.
1 } Complaint of Naranna Setty Vcu of
} Setty puttaca.
1 Dc of Condadoo of Murry Mauna Coopum
1 Arzee of Veneatarayen of Paurandahully.
1 Complaint of Samien Vcu of Corattee.
1 Dc of Coopien of Damalary.
1 Dc of Soobacharry of Vaniembady.
1 Arzee of Coopien of Vaniembady
1 } Complaint of Sreenevasseen of Vanieem
} bady.
1 } Complaint of Ragavasastry of Vanieem
} bady.
1 Complaint of Ejsmall Saib

of

3464. Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

of Rymanputty.
1 Complaint Paupadoo of Marry Mana Coppum
1 Complaint of Nulla Counden of Samoodr.
3 dy Coppums.
1 Arzee of Nungoonda Deeshidur of Coratee
1 Arzee of Coobla Moopen of Cawkrungarad
2 Arzees of Veera Ragavasastry of Samiem.
3 dy.
1 Arzee of Samiem of Coratee.
2 Arzees of of Nunja Counden, together with
3 an arzee from Cangoondy polleegar.
37
3 Namcull Talook.
2 Complaints of Singapermall Jengar Vm of
3 Valaiputty.
1 Arzee of Naranengar of Namcull.
3
2 Sundry Documents.
1 Arzee from Venkatapen Tappaul Runner of Combr.
1 English Voucher.
2
95 4 Documents found in a Dufter of which some appear not to have been read, and some read, but not to have been adjusted.
1 Arzee of Ramoomurta Row Woomadavar.
1 Arzee of Venkatapen tappaul runner of Combr.
1 Arzee of Venkatachella Naicken Vm of Raiziepoor.
1 Arzee of Naranapier Sawyer Pygnet of Athoor.
4
Estab
Salem Zillah — — — — } (Signed) W. E. Lockhart
Raiziepoor, 1st February 1844 } Ag. Pl. Collr.

3465. Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

No. 3

Diary Proceedings of the Acting Principal Collector dated the 10th May 1843.

On the Petition of Chenna Verdagounden Inhabitant of Annandoor Village Vers. Kistna Chetty Rungasamy Chetty Vencatachellum Chetty and Arnachella Chetty the Mootahdars against the decision of the Acting Head Assistant Collector Mr. Oswell for his not receiving Puttahs from them for their lands for Fusly 1252.

The acting Principal Collector is sorry to discover that in consequence of the whole circumstance of the case not having been represented to him in the first instance, that he has been misled into passing an order contrary to justice and the true merits of the case.—

It appears with reference to the proceedings of Mr. Brett and Mr. Oswell on the subject that the matter in dispute was fully enquired into and settled in Fuslies 1248 and 49, and by the Vouchers it would appear, that both parties acted up to their agreements for the above two Fuslies and for Fusly 1251 Chenna Verdagounden obtained Puttahs for Rupees 646 from the Mootahdars giving his Moocheleas in the presence of Mr. Brett their Head Assistant Collector. It appears

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

appears that the dispute was again revived in Fusly 1251 when the Head Assistant Collector decided that if Chenna Verdagounden refused to received Puttahs from the Mootahdars and grant the usual Moocheleas, that the land should be granted to others for cultivation according to the wish of the Mootahdars which decision was confirmed by Mr. Gleig the Principal Collector accordingly when in Fusly 1252. Mr. Aswell ordered Chenna Verdagounden to take Puttahs for the land bearing teerva Rupees 648 as in former Fusly. he refused claiming lands of 900 Rupees teerva Mr. Aswell after carefully examining all the former circumstances connected with the case passed a decision that Chenna Verdagoun should be deprived of the land (dated 2nd December 1842) a few days after the acting Principal Collector joined the District on the appeal of Chenna Verda Gounden the Jewah Nevis Venkata Row without acquainting the Acting Principal Collector with the nature of the case when such documentary proofs were on record. but fraudulently and with intention to deceive, misrepresented the true merits of it and obtained his signature to three papers viz a Yadast to the Acting Head Assistant Collector cancelling

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

cancelling his decision above adverted to a Takeed to the Tahsildar ordering him to cause the Mootahdars to deliver up the lands to Chenna Verdagounden and an Endorsement on his Petition stating that the Acting Head Assistant Collector's decision had been set aside and that the lands were ordered to be put in his possession.

The true circumstances of the case were concealed from the Acting Principal Collector till the Mootahdars on the 28th February appealed against his order of the 16th February when the true merits of the case was brought to his notice by the other Jewah Nevis Vathagee Row.

The Acting Principal Collector has since on the 22nd April taken a detailed deposition from Chenna Verdagounden in the presence of Venkatachellum Chetty the Mootahdar and Mahdava royen his Vakeel and by the Vouchers it appears that Mr. Aswells decision was quite correct and the endorsement given by him to the Mootahdar on the 23rd December 1842 to deprive Chenna Verdagoun. of his land and the takeed to carry the same into effect are hereby confirmed and the Acting Principal Collector also

hereby

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

hereby recalls and cancels his takeed of the 16th February also his Endorsement on Chenna Verdagoundens' Petition, and directs that Takeeds be issued to the Tengracotta Tahsildar to recall them accordingly and to act upon Mr. Oswell's decision.

In coming to this conclu-sion the Acting Principal Collector is sorry to have to record his having been evidently fraudulently and intentionally deceived by the Jewab Nevis Vencata Row in to giving the order which he now rescinds. He is now suspended for his conduct on the occasion and the Acting Principal Collector will defer passing any further order on his case till he takes his defence.

(Signed) W. Dr. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

No. 4.

Decree.

Prosecutor — 8th August 1843
Circar — Defendant
Vers: Vencata Row
Jewab Nevis
Rungasawmy Chetty &c. Mootah-dars of Anandoor of Tengracotta Talook preferred Complaints before Mr. Oswell Acting

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

Acting Head Assistant Collector that the Ryots Chenna Vurda Gounden and others having refused to take a Puttah from them for the Putcut land giving the regular Moochelka for Fusly 1252 and requesting that he should give orders to separate the Putcut lands of Chenna Vurda Gounden &c. that they might give them to others. The Head Assistant Collector on this summoned the said Chenna Vurda Gounden &c. as also the Curnum as being conversant with the particulars and after proper examination finding that the said Chenna Vurda Gounden was unreasonably disputing with the Mootahdar of Anandoor without taking a Puttah from him he ordered the Mootahdar to separate the Puttah land to Chenna Vurda Gounden and others and give them to any he wished to which effect an order was sent to the Tengaracottah Tahsildar.

Afterwards Chenna Vurda Gounden appeared before me on the 9th January 1843 appealing against the irregular order of the Acting Head Assistant Collector and stating that the Mootahdar had insti-tuted proceedings in the Civil Court regard-ing their difference with reference to the land

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

land and balance of Assessment for 5 or 6 years. A Memorandum of all the documents taken by the acting Head Assistant Collector was sent for on the 9th February 1843 and received a few days after only a few days after I had taken charge of the District by the Dubash Venkata Row without reporting to me the previous circumstance of the case as set forth in the Memorandum of the acting Head Assistant Collector as also withholding the deposition given by the Curnum Relative to the Putcut land of the said Chenna Vurda Gounden prepared an Official Memorandum to the Head Assistant Collectors Office that the Decree passed by him in favor of the Mostahdar was incorrect to which he obtained my signature on the 16th February 1843. He also at the same time wrote a Takeed to the Tengaracottah Tahsildar directing him not to execute the order passed to him by the acting Head Assistant Collector on the 23rd December 1842 until a Decree be passed by the Civil Court and prepared an Endorsement on complaint of Chenna Vurda Gounden that the

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

the decision of the acting Head Assistant Collector was irregularly given in favor of the Mostahdar and that a Takeed was sent to the Tahsildar of Tengaracottah not to execute it till the Courts Decree was passed. this he gave to Chenna Vurda Gounden obtaining my signature. The acting Head Assistant Collector afterwards sent a Yadast to my Office on the 22nd February 1843 setting forth that his decision was correct in the case of Chenna Vurda Gounden that lately the Principal Collector referred the dispute between them relative to the Putcut lands to the Tahsildar of Tengaracottah who on enquiry took a Kararnamah from him agreeing to cultivate so much land and granted a Puttah to Chenna Vurda Gounden and others for Fusly 1251 and accordingly decided that he should receive a Puttah for the ensuing Fusly 1252 as for the preceding and that the said Urzee and documents which were sent by the Tahsildar are in my Office dated 25th February in the hand writing of the defendant. The Vakeel of the Mostahdar appeared before me affirming what was stated in the above Yadast of the 22nd and that the Courts would not oppose the

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

the order passed by the Collector and he also produced an Extract of the Court's Diary requesting me to confirm the decree of the acting Head Assistant Collector. If the Defendant Vencata Row had explained to me the contents of the Deposition of the Carnum taken before the Acting Head Assistant Collector I would not reverse the Decree of the Acting Head Assistant Collector. The Defendant Vencata Row without giving me a correct explanation regarding the case only told me that it was a case pending in the Civil Court that the only answer we could give, would be to refer the Mootahdar to the Court. One of the Jewabnevis's Vadajee Row then came and explained to me all the particulars connected with the case as stated in the Urzee, sent by the Tahsildar of Tengaracottah and of the Yadast of the acting Head Assistant Collector as well as the Extract of the Court's Diary produced by the Mootahdar's Vakeel. I then determined to examine the case myself on the Petition presented by the Vakeel of Mootahdar and send directions for all the parties to appear.

In the Memorandum dated 15th February in the Defendant's own hand writing

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

writing sent to the Acting Head Assistant Collector it is stated that a dispute between the Mootahdar of Anandoor and Chenna Vurda Gounden for Putcut lands having existed for these 3 years and pending in the Civil Court the suit being an old one it does not appear right to separate the land in the present examination and accordingly reverse the Decision of the Acting Head Assistant Collector dated 23rd December and that all the documents were returned to him. As the Defendant is a person of experience in the Office and must have heard of the dispute between the Mootahdar and Chenna Vurda Gounden from being in the situation of Jewab Nevis and performing the duties he with a wilful intention to deceive leading me to believe that the case had been thus disposed of as pending on a trial in Court returned the Document to the Acting Head Assistant Collector reversing his order. The Defendant having thus taken advantage of the short period of my arrival to deceive me from my ignorance of the records I could place

Hereafter

hereafter no confidence in him and suspended him from the performance of the duties until I could ascertain the truth of the matter from personal investigation.-

On the 22nd April 1843 the Mootahdars Vakeel and Chenna Vurda Gounden having appeared before me and given deposition it appeared clear that he had been unjustifiably disputing with the Mootahdar without receiving a Puttah for Fusly 1252 as in 51, also as the Head Assistant Collectors decision of 23rd December to separate the Putcut lands of Chenna Vurda Gounden and others was quite right, I confirmed the decree of the Acting Head Assistant Collector and corrected the 3 papers mentioned in Endorsement given by me to which my signature under misrepresentation had been deceitfully obtained on the 16th February 1843 and sent an order to this effect to the Tahsildar of Tengaracottah on the 23rd May 1843.-

It appears by a Deposition taken from Irrilagerry Iyen on the 15th May that the Endorsement to which my signature was deceitfully obtained was given by the Defendant himself to Chenna Vurda Gounden. The Deposition of Vadajee Row given on the 15th May 1843 clearly confirm that

that the Defendant had concealed the truth from me and had given me no proper explanation of the case. In the Deposition given by Defendant he states that he had verbally reported all the circumstances to me and that he had not examined all the Documents in the Woozoor Cutcherry and that he said it was an old pending cause by the letter received from the Court calling for some Documents that no order had been received regarding the Putcut lands from the Court and that he mentioned in the memorandum of 16th February 1843 the circumstances on the inspection of the Urzee presented by Chenna Vurda Gounden he returned the Documents to the Acting Head Assistant Collector as he had no reason to detain them. That it came to his Knowledge that Chenna Vurda Gounden and others had obtained Puttas from the Mootahdar after reading the Moochilka presented by the Mootahdars Vakeel but that he did not tell the Mootahdar to go to the Court. His Deposition is contradictory and at variance with facts but does not amount to a confession.-

The Acting Jewabnevis on examining the Woozoor records discovered some Urzees and complaints were in arrears and unread which had been received from Ryots and others and on enquiring of the Dufter Bund Vencatasawmy he delivered a Dufter bundle containing 385 Urzees and complaints and on examining the Defendant he made an explanation

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

explanation to the following effect.-

That it was true there were arrears of Urzees and Complaints not read when Mr. Gleig gave over charge of the District that he was directed to read them to his Successor Mr. Brett in charge of the District that the said Dufter being mislaid he was unable to do it He also confesses that he did not inform me after my arrival of the arrears as he then could not find the Dufter.-

The Dufter bund on examination regarding this deposed that the Dufter was never mislaid that he used to bring and lay it before the Defendant for his inspection and could not account how the Defendant Vencata Row could say he did not.-

The Defendant holds a well paid 70 Rupees situation and responsibility as Head Jewab Nevis for the purpose of explaining and reading all correspondence, Urzees and Complaints that may be received, but it is unreasonable concealing them without reporting them to the Collector.- The parties whose Urzees he has kept back unread must have suffered much inconvenience. I can therefore place no further confidence in him and replace him in the performance of his duties as Head Jewab Nevis or to do business with him. As I have every reason to assert he has deceived me and told falsehood in the former case as in this- I. the Acting Principal Collector have therefore determined to remove him as unfit for the situation he now holds.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

I did not give out this decision till Mr. Gleig extended his leave as I wished- if he returned that he should pass orders on it. Vencata Row was employed afterwards under the Sheristadar though I had determined to dismiss him.-

/Signed/ W. C. Lockart.

Fort Pt. George 22d February 1844.-

Order thereon.- Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-
Vide Pro. 27th Inst.

37-38

To. C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.-

From the Acting Pt. Coll. of Salem,

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings submitted to the Board on the 4th ultimo, on the subject of the suspended Tahseldar Vencatasiah. I have the honor to forward the Original Urzee presented to me this day by the Curnums, Monegars and other respectable Inhabitants and Merchants of Ratzipoor, representing, that there Signatures were surreptitiously obtained to a Mazernamah got up by the said Vencatasiah to serve his own ends, and which I am informed has been forwarded by him to the Board. This paper is sent merely to convince the Board of the intrigue that has been practiced, and the unjustifiable conduct of a suspended public Officer at a time when his case has been Submitted for the decision of higher authority.-

39

Salem Zillah, Akloor,
Prin. Coll. Cutcherry,
10th February 1844.-

(Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Ag. Prin. Coll.

Order thereon.- Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-

39-40

Read.

Read the following letters.-

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

41

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot.-

Sir,

I have the honor to report.- that one Mahomed Ismail a Mushroofy Yeomiahdar referred to in the list forwarded to the Board on the 2nd March 1838, died on 26th September last, and in Submitting the claim preferred by his Son Mahomed Hussain for the renewal of the Yeomiah of Rupees 31-7-1 per annum in his name as next heir to the deceased incumbent, I have to state that on referring to the records of the Cutcherry it appears by a Certificate granted to the deceased by Mr. Collector Ravenshaw as well as by an attested copy of an Enoyetnamah issued by His Highness the late Nabob Wallajah alluded to in the former document that the Yeomiah in question was originally granted for the purchase of Ghee for the use of lights furnished daily at a Durgah in the Town of Chellumbrum. The claimant Mahomed Hussain continues providing the lights since the demise of

+ No. 3. Pension No. 42.

+ 22d. October 1807.

+ 17. Runjan Hizry 1992

his father, and a similar religious grant was always renewed, and continued for the support of the charity, I beg to recommend the Board will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of Government to renew the Yeomiah in his name from 27th September 1843.-

South Arcot,
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Cuddalore, 23d. Jan.y 1844.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Re. Solution thereon.- The Board request that Mr. Davis will have the goodness to furnish them with a Copy of the Certificate and translation of the Enoyetnamah abovementioned.-

41-42

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Sec.y to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

43

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot.-

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement of Smuggled Indigo for the confiscation of which I request you will be pleased to obtain the Board's Sanction.-

On the 25th December 1843 at about 2 O'Clock in the Morning, while one Seena Reddy alias Streenevasareddy of Coonychem-puttoor, a French Village, was conveying the above article on four Coolies, without being accompanied by a Rowanah or any permit whatever, by way of Cochoo-pollem, an English Village with the view of entering a french Village adjacent thereto, the Sayer Amenah Pattiengar, Mooshruff Harry row, Nevesindah Ram row Pygust Nursinga row and Peon Lutchmana Naik of Madurapank Kunn Chowky apprehended the Smugglers.-

From the detailed enquiry made I am satisfied it was the intention of the owner of the article in conveying it at that hour, to evade circar duty as is too frequently the practice on the frontier. I therefore recommend that the Indigo be confiscated.-

The delay in making this report I beg to State has been occasioned by an oversight.-

South Arcot Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Cuddalore, 16th February 1844.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector.

Statement

3478 Fort St. G.

3480 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

No. 1.-

Statement of Sayer articles recommended for confiscations in the Chowkey of Madarapauk Rum in the Southern Division of Arcot.-

Quantity and description of Goods.-	Estimated Value.	Share recommended to be granted to the Informer	Share recommended to be granted to the officer making the Seizure	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Southern Division of Arcot- Madarapauk Rum- 488 Cakes of Indigo weighing 6½ Maunds Frontier duty at 10 per Cent	1368 " "	61 6 9		Seized at 2 P. M. on the 25th December 1843 by the Sayer ameenah Tahtingar, Moothruffs Hurry Row, Neveshindah Row, Pygust Nursinga Row, and Peon Lutchmanaverick from Seena Reddy alias Streenevasa reddy of Coony chemputtoo while he was clandestinely conveying the article in 4 baskets to by a foot path leading to Pondicherry. One mile distant North of the Chowkey where the parties were watching.-

South Arcot — Coll.rs Cutcherry, Cuddalore, 10th. February 1844.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector.

Resolution thereon- The Board of Revenue sanction the confiscation of the Indigo referred to in the foregoing letter. The Collector will be pleased to inform the Board of the mode in which he would recommend the moiety of the proceeds (under Section XX Regulation I. 1812) to be distributed.-

43-44

Read

3481 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Read the following letter.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George

45

From J. F. Bishop Esqre. Acting Collector of Tanjore.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a Statement shewing the amount of Salary actually paid to Potails in this District for Fusly 1252 amounting to Rupees 2735-3-7, and to request that you will be pleased to procure the Sanction of Government for the Same.-

Tanjore, - - - - -
Vellum, - - - - -
6th. February 1844.-

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Acting Collector

Statement -

3482 Fort St. George 22d February 1844.-

Statement Shewing the amount of Salary paid for Potails employed from 12th July 1842 to 11th July 1843 on account of Fusly 1252, in the Province of Tanjore.-

Scale or Pr. Centage of Salary formed on the demand of each Village.-	No. of Villages	No. of Potails	Aggregate Beriz of their Villages	Pr. Centage of Salary to each Class-	Amount of Salary at the Pr. Centage in Col: 4 on the Beriz of Col: 3.	Deduct Amount of Salary not paid for broken Fusly to Potails whose situations are vacant-	Remainder amount debited in the Journal-	Particulars. Amount of Salary actually paid-	Particulars. Amount of Salary not paid for various reasons but refunded.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Chs Fs. Ch.			Rs a. ch.	Rs a ch	Rs a ch	Rs a ch	Rs a ch	Rs a ch	Rs a ch
3 " "	513	530	4,14,52 9 11	3 " "	1,24,3 10 "	80 14 8	1,10,2 11 4	1,15,4 8 9	8 2 7
2 - 8 "	559	594	1,31,6,54 4 11	2 12 9½	3,68,6 11 8	193 4 1	3,49,3 7 7	3,45,6 " 7	37 7 "
2 6 "	468	515	1,80,122 " "	2 9 7¼	4,08,2 10 5	244 4 2	4,43,8 6 3	4,40,3 8 3	34 14 "
2 4 "	401	451	2,17,95 7 13 "	2 6 4¾	5,23,1 "	287 11 9	4,94,3 5 3	4,91,7 11 5	25 9 10
2 3 "	329	394	2,31,697 13 5	2 4 9¾	5,32,9 " 2	352 15 5	4,97,0 " 9	4,95,8 14 5	17 2 4
2 2 "	259	316	2,22,119 2 8	2 3 2½	4,88,6 7 6	280 1 2	4,60,6 4	4,59,7 14 10	8 7 6
2 1 "	212	294	2,13,844 3 11	2 1 7¼	4,49,0 " 1	318 12 11	4,17,1 3 2	4,14,2 9 1	28 10 1
2 " "	521	589	2,31,853 13 5	2 " "	4,63,7 3 4	328 8 10	4,30,8 10 6	4,27,6 11 3	31 15 3
1 - 9 - "	137	208	1,80,780 13 8	1 14 4¾	3,43,4 11 8	182 15 9	3,25,4 11 11	3,24,4 13 5	6 14 6
1 - 8 "	338	413	2,22,447 12 1	1 12 9½	4,00,3 15 5	264 8 9	3,73,9 6 8	3,68,3 1 2	56 5 6
1 - 7 "	170	303	2,94,944 1 10	1 11 2½	5,01,3 15 8	255 1 6	4,75,8 14 2	4,71,8 13 1	40 1 1
1 6 "	263	360	2,95,483 2 2	1 9 7¼	4,72,7 6 3	323 7 11	4,40,3 14 4	4,40,0 1 4	3 13 "
1 5 "	98	191	2,45,050 13 6	1 8 "	3,07,5 14 1	291 9 5	3,38,4 4 8	3,34,5 9 6	38 11 2
1 - 4 "	146	200	1,78,107 11 1	1 6 4¾	2,49,8 9 8	230 10 8	2,26,2 15 "	2,24,4 4 7	18 10 5
1 - 13 6	58	60	42,301 9 9	1 5 7¼	5,71 " 11	60 5 4	5,10 11 7	5,10 11 7	" " "
1 - 3 "	71	108	1,30,637 10 7	1 4 9½	1,77,6 5 8	89 " 2	1,68,7 5 6	1,67,0 12 5	1,6 9 1
1 - 2 16	30	30	30,024 11 1	1 4 "	3,82 13 6	19 " 3	3,63 13 3	3,50 5 7	13 7 8
1 - 2 "	42	71	1,09,769 15 10	1 3 2½	1,20,9 2 4	9 14 8	1,19,9 3 8	1,18,9 10 "	9 9 8
1 - 1 16	17	17	22,009 9 "	1 2 4¾	2,53 2 "	10 1 "	2,43 1 "	2,43 1 "	" " "
1 - 1 "	30	66	1,00,814 3 11	1 1 7¼	1,10,8 15 2	65 13 3	10,43 1 11	10,43 1 11	" " "
1 - " 16	24	24	4,187 1 10 8	1 " 9½	4,39 9 11	33 5 2	4,06 4 9	3,96 2 7	10 2 2
1 " "	30	80	1,28,684 11 1	1 " "	1,28,6 13 "	64 15 9	1,22,1 13 3	1,22,0 1 10	1 11 5
" 9 16	2	2	49,521 0 9	" 15 2½	47 " 9	24 4 3	22 12 6	22 12 6	" " "
" 9 - "	12	40	6,90,479 4	" 14 4¾	6,21,6 6	55 2 2	56,6 4 4	55,8 15 10	7 4 6
" 8 - 16	2	2	6,475 2 1	" 13 7	55 " 5	17 7 11	3,78 6	3,78 6	" " "
" 8 "	24	98	2,62,740 4 8	" 12 9¾	2,10,2 1 7	154 11 5	1,94,7 6 2	1,94,7 6 2	" " "
Totals	4,756	5,950	38,34,446 " 4	" " "	67,389 12 8	4,239 " 4	63,150 12 4	62,735 3 7	415 8 9

Tanjore, - - - - -
Vellum, - - - - -
6th " February 1844.

E. E. per / Signed / J. F. Bishop
Acting Collector.

Ordered

3483 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following address.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

45-46

To the Chief Sect.y to Gov.t

Accounts of Potails allowances in Tanjore to be Submitted for the Sanction of Gov.t through the Board of Revenue.

Sir,

With reference to the instructions contained in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th October 1841 No. 1909, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore, Submitting a Statement of the Salaries paid to Potails in his District for Fusly 1252. Amounting to Rupees 62735-3-7: for which the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted.

6th in Cons. 22d Febry 1844.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d. February 1844.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg. Secretary

Read.

3483 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

47

Sir

From A. P. Onslow Esqre. Collector of Trichinopoly

Submitting a copy of a petition presented by Sabapathy Pillay and requesting sanction for paying him the sum of Rs. 71-10-5 which appears to be actually due to him.

Para 1 I have the honor to send accompanying a Copy of a Petition presented by Sabapathy Pillay, who was dismissed from the Situation of Tahsildar by my predecessor Mr. Blair on the 16th October 1834 for neglect of duty &c. praying for payment of an arrear of Salary.—

2. Upon referring to the accounts it seems that the Petitioner did not receive his pay from the 1st of September to the 15th October inclusive and as no cause is assigned for the omission in any of the documents connected with his dismissal I have the honor to request that sanction may be granted for paying him the sum which appears to be actually due to him, Viz.t, Rs. 71—10—5; as shewn in the annexed Memorandum.—

Trichinopoly Coll.r Cutcherry, 6th February 1844.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Memorandum.

Pay at Rupees 70 per mensem from 1st September to 15th October 1834 inclusive Rupees ... 103—13—10

Deduct.

Fine ... 10—0—0

Stoppage on account of over charge for Saderward ... 22—3—5

32—3—5

Balance due Rs. 71—10—5

This Amount was deposited and carried to the Shewgee

3483

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be Submitted with the following address.

45-46

To the Chief Secretary to Govt.

To the Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the instructions contained in the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 19th October 1841 No. 1309, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Acting Collector of Tanjore, Submitting a Statement of the Salaries paid to Patails in his District for Fusly 1252. Amounting to Rupees 62735-3-7; for which the Board recommend that Sanction may be granted.

Accounts of Patails allowances in Tanjore to be Submitted for the Sanction of Govt. through the Board of Revenue.

6th in Cons. 22d Febry. 1844.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 22d February 1844.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Actg. Secretary
Read.

3483 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Read the following letter.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

47

Sir

From A. P. Onslow Esqre. Collector of Trichinopoly

Submitting a Copy of a petition presented by Sabapathy Pillay and requesting Sanction for paying him the sum of Rs. 71-10-5 which appears to be actually due to him.

Para 1 I have the honor to send accompanying a Copy of a Petition presented by Sooba-bathy Pillay, who was dismissed from the situation of Tahsildar by my predecessor Mr. Blair on the 16th October 1834 for neglect of duty &c. praying for payment of an arrear of Salary.—

2 Upon referring to the accounts it seems that the Petitioner did not receive his pay from the 1st of September to the 15th October inclusive and as no cause is assigned for the omission in any of the documents connected with his dismissal I have the honor to request that Sanction may be granted for paying him the sum which appears to be actually due to him, Viz. Rs. 71-10-5; as shewn in the annexed Memorandum.—

Trichinopoly Collr. Cutcherry, 6th February 1844.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector

Memorandum

Pay at Rupees 70 per mensem from 1st September to 15th October 1834 inclusive Rupees ... 103-13-10

Deduct.

Fine ... 10-0-0

Stoppage on account of over charge for Saderward ... 22-3-5

32-3-5

Balance due Rs. 71-10-5

This Amount was deposited and carried to the Shewoyee

3485 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

Shevagee Summah in the accounts for the Month of August 1836.—

No. 1.

To. The Presence of A. P. Onslow Esqre.
Collector of Trichinopoly.

Most humbly Sheweth,

I Sabapathy Pillay late Tahsildar of Moosery Talook in the Zillah of Trichinopoly at present residing at Madura, most submissively beg leave to bring these few lines to your honor's kind notice and serious consideration trusting fervently that they will meet with your honor's favorable approbation to comply with my solicitous represent=ation.—

In the Honorable British Government none of its servants had ever any province the unlucky of losing both his service and salary without any charge being brought against him, but in my part both these injustices have been used, whilst I was innocent of any crime. However I have had often addressed the late Collector of the alleged Zillah on the subject but obtained no justice up to this time.—

Being given to understand that your

3486 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

your honor is an equitable, yet charitable Gentle=man, I have presumed to lay my grievances before your honor's valuable seat of Judgement of the 2 cases in question, I shall in future bring the circumstances touching my unjust dismissal from service to your honor's but at present allow me most Respected Sir to represent circumstances touching the Salary due to me Viz.t, when I was Tahsildar to the Moosery Talook in 1834, the then Collector ordered the Salaries of the Tahsildars of the 4 Talooks in that Zillah to be stopped for the Month of August of the said year for the purpose of Collecting the Assessment soon, but the wages for the Months of September following were paid to us all and in the 1st. of October I was ordered to be discontinued from my Office and afterwards the Salaries stopped were paid to all the Tahsildars but me as I was then absent at Shevagungah on account of my livelihood I was not also paid for the half a Month in said October. Thus the sum of 150 Rupees due to me was recommended from the Talook Treasury and is deposited in that of the Collector's Office which sum I pray may be

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

be ordered to be paid to me as my demand will of course appear to your goodness to be nothing but just and if your honor think it competent to refer the case to the Honorable Board of Revenue I entreat your honor to do so to render me justice and at the same time I humbly beg that an Endorsement may also be made on this my humble address in order that I may be happy as to learn your instruction to me on the subject.—

For which act of Justice and humanity your Petitioner shall as in duty bound Ever pray.—

Madura ..... 28th December 1843.— } (Signed) Sabapathy Pillay

48 Order thereon (Read again in Board's 11th March)— Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.—

Proceedings: Para 1.

49 Relative to the affairs of the Ramnad Zemindary in Madura and the degree of control to be exercised by the Principal Collector over its management.—

The first matter noticed in the foregoing letter is the general management of the Zemindary.—The Principal Collector requests to be furnished with the Courts instructions how far he should interfere therein. It appear to the Court that a ample scope is allowed the Principal Collector by the Regulation which he has quoted (V of 1804) for the exercise

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

exercise of such control as may be necessary or desirable in order to promote the interests of the Estate committed to his charge. The Manager receives his sunnud of appointment from the Collector and must be considered as much amenable to the Collector in the first instance as any Tahsildar. The Court must necessarily look to the Principal Collector as responsible for the proper management of the property; and the extent of his interference will be regulated therefore by various considerations which experience will easily suggest.—

Reg. V of 1804.

Para 3/4.

2. As regards the police establishment which is next noticed the Court observe that since the date of the Principal Collector's letter the orders of the Government of India have been received sanctioning the Establishment as proposed by Mr. Morehead the Cost of which is borne as has been hitherto the custom in similar cases by Government.—The Court could not recommend that

that the sanction of Government should be granted for the additional charge now proposed by Mr Blackburne, of Rupees 324—4—0 on the account, unless it should be shewn to be absolutely necessary, and as the Establishment which has been already authorized is nearly the same as that formerly entertained when the Estate was under management of the Principal Collector previously to 1829, the Court trust it will be found sufficient for the preservation of the peace—

3. Mr Blackburne next notices the sums apportioned for the support of the Minor and those of the family Entitled to maintenance and wishes to increase the amount in order to provide economically and justly for all who seem to have a fair claim upon the Estate, but the Court are of opinion that under existing circumstances the policy or humanity of adding to the number of such dependents who would thus be led to look hereafter solely to the proprietor for their

Para 5.

their means of subsistence may be considered questionable and are therefore unwilling to make any alteration in the distribution List already authorized—

4. Mr Blackburne is already in possession of the Courts objections to the appointment of the Ranee Purvatha Vurdenna Nachiar to be the guardian of the Minor, but further discussion of this subject is unnecessary by the proposed marriage of the Minor with Mootoo Vizia Ragoonatha Thaven who will thus become her natural guardian—

5. In conclusion Mr Blackburne offers some remarks regarding the general Superintendence of the great Rameswarum Pagoda and Ramnad Endowments devolving on the present Manager, the existing arrangement is obviously not without objection, no such report as noticed in the concluding paragraph of Mr Blackburne's letter, was received from the Commissioner regarding

3491 Fort St. George 22d February 1844.

regarding any provision for the Superintendence of those Endowments and the Court will be happy to give their consideration to any more suitable plan for the management of them, which the Principal Collector may be able to suggest.

Read

3492 Fort St George 22d February 1844

Read the following Letters

To E. C. Lovell Esqr
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George

50

From J Blackburne Esqre
Pl. Collector of Madura

Sir

Requesting instructions how to recover money overdrawn by an unduly nominated Tahsildar of Madeedum and submitting his objections to charge in shape of an appeal.

In reversing Mr Commissioner Drury's decision against Annaje, Rao Tahsildar of Madeedum, the Board directed that half pay of the situation should be given him during the period he was out of employ, and the other half to those who did duty for him.

2 He was dismissed by Mr Drury on the 14th January 1843, on which date Sooba Rao was appointed to act for him, and on the 17th March he was confirmed as Tahsildar. On the 4th May he obtained leave of absence on sick Certificate, and made over charge to Ananta Rao, who again gave up his charge to the Mowzemdar of the Taluk on the 29th Idem, The Mowzemdar delivered it over to Jaffer Hussain Tahsildar of Maroongapoory on the 9th June (who held it till resumption of his duty by Annaje Rao on the 17th October). Sooba Rao's resumption not having been acceded to by Mr Elliot whilst in charge, and having been [illegible]

3 By the accompanying Memo. it

it will appear that Soba Ro. held the situation of Tahsildar of Madaeeleum in part stating and in part confirmed, for 3 months and 8 days from 15th January to 3d May both inclusive, for which he was entitled only to Rupees 22,000 but as he actually overdrew to the amount of Rupees 428 — I requested the Collector of Trichinopaly within whose limits he resides to recover the balance. He declines refunding it, and claims restoration of the situation with full pay till reinstatement as per appeal in english, which I have the honor to forward but of which I have not thought it necessary to retain a Copy and I request the Board's instructions if I am to beg the Trichinopaly Collector to be so good as to recover the amount by distraint if he renews his refusal to refund on repetition of their orders.

4 In respect to the great services he claims credit for in his appeal I learn by an united address from the Tahsildar and Moorpendar of Madaeeleum, in answer to a series of questions I put to them on this subject, and supported by depositions, that during his Administration he was never out of the town of Madura except one day to visit the Madaeeleum tank bank distant a mile and a half, and one day to inspect wither'd crops at Thundalai distant

14th November 1843

distant 6 Miles, with exception of going to visit the Commissioner at Thirooparankoondrum a Cutcherry distant 12, and at Meylore, a Cutcherry of Ain Talook, 18 Miles distant from Madura, for 8 days. The Police and other peons were generally drawn away from their usual employments to swell his train when parading in a palanquin accompanied by a horn blower.

He took up his residence at the great public Chetrum of Dhunsingh Pee, on the banks of the Vyghey where the Talook servants were in attendance upon him till past mid day, and he was not in the habit of coming to the public Cutcherry till about 3 p. m. after being dismissed from the Residence of the Commissioner, and he would then often remain till past midnight, but there were many days he did not visit the Cutcherry at all.

On his absence on leave on the 14th May there were 14 orders unattended to, and 134 Complaints undisposed of that had accumulated under his Administration, and the Kists were in arrears to the amount of Rupees 62,015.

5 The above I believe to be a fair Statement of the current business done by him

and

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

and a fair specimen of the haughty imperious way in which it was done. But the Commissioner had a pleasant & very difficult duty to perform. Viz. to detect suspected frauds or ignorances and tho' there is no record here of any success in such a search there is hardly room to doubt it. When I reflect on the Governments intimation of loss of confidence in me and on this ground that the Labourer is worthy of his hire I leave the Board to consider the propriety of recommending him a specific remuneration if they think he deserves it.

6 In event of the Board not being disposed to enforce the refund from him I have the honor to request sanction to pay that amount from the Government money in remuneration of those who performed the duty of Tahsildar.

Madura
30th January 1844

Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

Memorandum

Pay due to Annajee Rao from 14th January to 16th October 1843 being 9 months and 3 days at 60 Rupees a month, being half the established pay of the office: ——— 546—.—

Moiety

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

Moiety of pay at same rate due to Sooba Rao from 14th January to 3rd May being 3 months and 20 Days ——— 220—.—

Moiety to Anantha Rao from 4th to 28th May being 25 Days ——— 50—.—

Moiety to Sooba Rao Morgan[illegible] from 29th May to 8th June being 11 Days — 20—.—

Moiety to Jaffer Hussain from 9th June to 16th October being 4 months and 8 Days ——— 256—.—

Dr. 546—.—

Due to Sooba Rao ——— 220—.—

Drawn by him ——— 428—.—

Surplus overdrawn to be refunded by Sooba Rao ——— 208—.—

Madura
30th January 1844

Signed J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

No 1

To
The Honorable the President and Members of the
Board of Revenue &c. &c. &c.
Fort St. George

The Respectful Petition of Appeal of Sooba Row Tahsildar of Madaroolum Talook in the District of Madura

Humbly Sheweth

That with every mark of respect

I

3497 Fort St George 22d February 1844

I assume the liberty to lay before your honorable Board the following circumstances connected with the matter of Mr. Blackburne the Principal Collector of Madura disallowing my resuming the office of Tahsildar of the said Talook to which I stand formally appointed and confirmed. 2d. of his not only withholding payment of arrears of my salary, but of insisting upon me to refund a sum of 208 Rupees being one moiety of the salary I have already received at the same time, I beg leave to add my humble explanations in detail, to shew that, that Gentleman's order on the above matter is inconsistent with the equity of Justice; followed with particulars to shew the Activity I have endeavored towards the execution of public functions to the best advantage of the Circar, and with humble prayers, to issue instructions to dispense with demanding me of the amount, and to permit me to resume above said Office with reimbursement of the arrears of salary that is justly due to me.

1st

An extract from the Diary of the Civil Engineer of Dates the 30th and 31st December 1842, that was forwarded to Hoozoor contained a Report, stating that during his examination

3498 Fort St George 22d February 1844

examination of the earth work that was executed of the Mullah of Tengarry and Sainoor Villages attached to Maudaccolum Talook a fraud appeared to have used at the Talook Cutcherry to the amount of Rupees 351-8-1 in the former, and Rupees 160-6-6 in the latter, in all Rupees 711-11-7 by overcharging to the Circar, that it therefore essential to make due enquiry. Immediately on receipt of the same, Mr. Drury Commissioner of that District were pleased to suspend the Tahsildar named Annajee Row from the service, and referred the matter for the enquiry of the Head Assistant Collector Mr. Penn, before whom the fraud of that individual was satisfactorily proved by oral, and documental evidences, and that Gentleman has awarded a punishment against him by fine in Conformity to Section 5 Regulation 9 of 1822, which was ultimately confirmed by the Commissioner who has discontinued the said Annajee Row from Office in March last, and reported the circumstance to the Board.

2d. As that Gentleman is well aware

aware with the experience and General Conduct of mine, as well as have already seen all the testimonials in my profession; nominated me on the 14th January last, Acting Tahsildar of Madaccolum Talook, and subsequently confirmed the appointment on the 17th March following, wherein I continued to transact public business both of Revenue and Police affairs with greatest attention, and in strict conformity to all Rules and Regulations of Government as well as used my endeavours to the interest of the Circar and Ryots in General till 3d May.

3d While thus I have received a letter from Trichinopoly informing me of the indisposition of my old mother, and I was at that period taken ill by fever, I have applied to Mr Elliott then Sub Collector in charge of that Collectorate and afterwards to Mr Morehead Acting Collector for 2 months leave upto 30th June, and having obtained the same, left Madura on the 3d May and arrived at Trichinopoly where I have received Medical aid from Mr Assistant Surgeon Macdonald, who has furnished me

me a Sick Certificate, and after having received returned to Madura on the 30th June, and forwarded another on the same date to Mr Elliott reporting my arrival and requested for permission to resume my Office.

4th Mr Blackburne the Principal Collector were pleased to transmit me in reply a Cannard on the 5th August, stating that the confirmation of my appointment to the Tahsildary had received not the sanction of the Board of Revenue, and there appeared therefore no ground for my being permitted to resume it, and that he considers the probability of receiving instructions from the Board, to recover from me the amount of Salary I have received and in that case therefore, it would become necessary to demand that amount of me.

5 I have on the 13th August last forwarded a petition to your Honorable Board which was returned to me with an endorsement No 1139 under date the 28th October, referring me to the Principal Collector of Madura, who were pleased to enter on his opinion on the back of it on the 10th December, stating that Mr Commissioner

Drury's

Drury's nomination of the Petitioner to the Tahsildary of Madaeolum is necessarily invalidated by the Board's cancel of his distribution of Annaje Row and his consequent restoration by them to office; Lobo Row must therefore refund one moiety of the full allowance drawn by him without competent authority during the time he had charge of the office—

6 Subsequent to my arrival at Trichinopoly Mr. Blackburne were pleased to transmit me a duplicate under date the 8th November last, wherein it is mentioned, that my appointment as Acting Tahsildar of Madaeolum by Mr. Drury was on the 14th ult. and ultimately confirmed on the 17th March of his own authority, which has not received the approval of the Board of Revenue, that Annajee Row who has been dismissed by the Commissioner was under the direction of the Board reinstated on the 17th October to his former office, that after my return on the 1st July to Madura from the leave of sick

sick absence, (which I obtained on the 4th May from Mr. Elliott Sub Collector) I was not allowed by the same Gentleman to resume my office, consequently that I should refund the sum of 208 Rupees after deducting from 428 Rupees a sum of 220 Rupees being one moiety of my salary up to 3d May, which amount I was desired to pay to Mr. Onslow, Collector of Trichinopoly who has accordingly directed one of his Tahsildars to demand it of me—

7 It appears that Mr. Blackburne was pleased to reappoint on the 17th October the said Annajee Row to Maudaeolum Tahsildary with remuneration of 660 Rupees, being the fine that was collected from him, and a further sum of Rupees 646 being one half of my salary for the intermediate period of his dismissal ie from 14th January to 16th October, and that one Jaffer Hussain has also been paid 100, and Rupees (from the residue of my salary) in addition to his full pay allotted to Maravongapory Taluk

8th Being myself aggravated

inconsequence

Drury's nomination of the Petitioner to the Tahsildary of Madaeelum is necessarily invalidated by the Board's cancel of his distribution of Annajee Row, and his consequent restoration by them to Office, Lobo Row must therefore refund one moiety of the full allowance drawn by him without competent authority during the time he had charge of the office—

6 Subsequent to my arrival at Trichinopoly Mr. Blackburne were pleased to transmit me a summut under date the 8th November last wherein it is mentioned, that my appointment as Acting Tahsildar of Madaeelum by Mr. Drury was on the 14th January, and ultimately confirmed on the 17th March of his own authority, which has not received the approval of the Board of Revenue, that Annajee Row who has been dismissed by the Commissioner was under the direction of the Board reinstated on the 17th October to his former Office, that after my return on the 1st July to Madura from the leave of sick

sick absence (which I obtained on the 4th May from Mr. Elliott Sub Collector) I was not allowed by the same Gentleman to resume my office, consequently that I should refund the sum of 208 Rupees after deducting from 428 Rupees a sum of 220 Rupees being one moiety of my salary up to 3d May, which amount I was desired to pay to Mr. Onslow, Collector of Trichinopoly who has accordingly directed one of his Tahsildars to demand it of me—

7 It appears that Mr. Blackburne was pleased to reappoint on the 17th October the said Annajee Row to Maudaeelum Tahsildary with remuneration of 660 Rupees, being the fine that was collected from him, and a further sum of Rupees 846— being one half of my salary for the intermediate period of his dismissal ie from 14th January to 16th October, and that one Jaffer Hussain has also been paid 100, and that one Jaffer Hussain has also been paid 100, and Rupees (from the residue of my salary) in addition to his full pay allotted to Maroongapory Taluk

8th Being myself aggravated

in endangering

inconsequence of those orders enumerated in paragraphs 4, 5, 6, and 7 and dissatisfied with it, take the humble liberty to make my appeal in conformity to provision of Section 6 Regulation 7 of 1828 containing my several reasons as follows—

9 Mr. Drury 2nd Member of the Board of Revenue stands appointed a Commissioner under Clause 2 Section 15 Regulation 9 of 1822— and has been vested by Government with full power of Collector and Magistrate to arrange up matters at discription— were pleased to dismiss the said Annajee Row according to Regulation for a misdemeanor noted in the 1st Paragraph of this petition and gave me that appointment— Clause 3 Section 15 of the same Regulation prescribes that all decisions made by a Commissioner is final on this principle all the arrangements made by that Gentleman should be considered on the same light as one sanctioned by the Board of Revenue, and not liable to be disturbed by any authority under any pretext whatever, it therefore appears inconsistent with regular course of law for Mr Blackburne, to annul the decision of the Commissioner & to reappoint the

the said Annajee Row as if under the order from the Board of Revenue under a pretext that the confirmation by the Commissioner of my Appointment which has not received the Sanction of Board as inadmissible, and to insist upon me to refund a sum of 208 Rupees which I have already been paid. all these are opposing the above quoted Regulations.—

10. I have received the salary that is fixed for the Office of Sheristdar as per Monthly Abstract and discharged the whole functions, but neither have I drawn any further sum than what is fixed in the Abstract, nor transacted only part of the duty, and moreover I was not in the first instance appointed to that Office to perform the duties thereof merely for half pay, nor have I been guilty of any act, so as to refund the salary I have received, while to the contrary I have actually been in the habit of transacting public business with integrity, both day and night, it appears strange and out of all rule, and law to refund without just reason 208 Rupees from the pay I have received.

11. The sum said alluded to in the 6th Para of this Petition appears to mean that as if

if I am not entitled to receive salary from 1st May, because I was not permitted by Mr. Elliot then officiating Collector to resume my Office of Sahsildary on my return from leave of absence; on which I beg leave to enter a few explanations.—

1st When at the time I returned to Madura from leave, and written to Mr. Elliott requesting for permission to retake charge of my Office; Mr. Blackburne was daily expected to return to the duty of Principal Collector, consequently most part of Arzees that were received from Taloks inclusive of mine were left to be heard and disposed of by that Gentleman, and Mr. Elliott attended only to the affairs of Summabundy, which was the cause of Mr. Elliotts nonissing order for my resuming charge of the Sahsildary.—

2nd The cause of my not having taken charge of my Office and of my waiting till now unemployed solely proceeds from the contents of 4th Para of Mr. Blackburne's Summond to me of 5th August, but not attributable to any negligence on my part, while to the purport of the Summond alluded to

to in Para 6 of this petition contains merely an expression on a light as if the failure of my retaking charge of the duty attributable to my own negligence, instead of mentioning that it originated in consequence of his non-allowance of my doing so, consequently the Summond alluded to carries no weight.—

3rd The Summond dated in May granting me permission to proceed on leave to Trichinopoly issued by Mr. Elliott then Officiating Collector styled me Sahsildar in like manner, the Summond for extension issued in June by Mr. Morehead Acting Collector gives me the same title— in the event therefore, of there existing no ground to pay my salary for the intermediate period of my leave, what advantage there remains for applying for leave? from the tenor of both of the above said Gentlemans granting me the solicited leave of absence without any kind of objection, it would I humbly trust appear just to your honors exalted judgment, that I retain a full title to receive salary from 1st May.—

4th My salary as Sahsildar of Madaeolum Taloork

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

Taluk for 10 months from 11th January to October inclusive, is drawn, inserting my name in the Abstract, of which, I was actually paid only for 4 months up to April, and the residue laid in deposit. In the event therefore of my not being entitled to the same, where was the necessity to enter my name in the monthly Abstract as Tahsildar even subsequent to the arrival of Mr. Blackburne? consequently it appears very clear, that I hold just title to receive full pay from May to the present period 5th and last. While I stand thus regularly appointed and confirmed by competent Authority, (the Commissioner) to the Office of Tasildary, the Principal Collector was pleased to disallow my resuming it without obtaining previous sanction for doing so from superior authority. In consequence therefore of this wording of his Summud alluded to in 4th Para does not contain decisive reason for discontinuing me, but on a separate light, as if there appeared no ground for my holding it. it is hard case for me to comprehend the explicit meaning thereof; consequently I am obliged to wait for final decision of the superior authority without seeking

+ on the 5th Augt.

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

seeking for another birth, and therefore I retain just claims to receive salary up to 17th October, the date of Annajeerow's reappointment.

12. It is totally incomprehensible from the tenor of the Summud forwarded to me by the Principal Collector alluded to me in the 5th and 6th Paras of this Petition, what instructions that Gentleman has received from the Board of Revenue regarding my salary, but however I conceive it in my humble opinion, that I shall be entitled to receive pay up to the period of Annajeerow's reappointment, and not to refund a sum of 208 Rupees from the amount of salary I have already received Viz. 428 Rupees.

13. It pleased Mr. Blackburne on the very day he has resumed charge of Madura District, to give out a Circular Order to all the Tahsildars of every Taluk (which is the first one since his return) stating, that as if I have neglected to inspect the public roads, and that I was therefore liable to be suspended from office, on which point I beg leave to inform that the testimonials in my possession is best proof to satisfy that I am perfectly skilled in the performance of Maramut works. I have however during the

Fort St. George 22nd Febuary 1844.

the period I held that office, kept the roads in a perfect state of repair and which are witnessed by those Gentlemen who officiated as Collectors during that time. While the public roads in all other Talooks were out of repairs, it pleased the Principal Collector to leave it unnoticed, and only thought it proper to ijsue a Circular Order accusing me of a negligence, nevertheless I actually kept the road in a state of repair.—

14. In the Summad alluded to in Para 4 Mr. Blackburne was pleased to mention, that instruction shall eventually be received from the Board, to recover from me the salary I have already received during the period I transacted public busines, in pursuance of which a Summad was after a lapse of two Months forwarded to me by that Gentleman desiring me to refund one moiety of pay. They in this instance beave to bring to your favorable notice, that any orders which the Board have under contemplation to ijsue eventually, shall not be brought to public; but in the present case, how, and in what manner it has afforded opportunity to Mr. Blackburne to predict the matter to induce him to ijsue this Summad

Fort St. George 22nd Febuary 1844.

Summad in the affirmative? on considering all the above circumstance, it would clearly appear to your just judgment, that that Gentleman has availed on to forward the Summad alluded to in Paras 13 & 14 (which is far from the equity of Justice) with a view to reestablish the said Annejeerow in that Office, who has been formerly appointed by himself.—

15. I have represented an humble Petition to your Honorable Board on the 15th August. Complaining of the injustice done to me by the Principal Collector, but were instead of conferring on me the solicited justice, pleased to refer the same to the disposal of the same authority, what justice can I expect from it?—

16. I take the humble liberty to particularize hereunder the greatest inattention on the part of the said Annejeerow in forwarding public functions with best economy; during the period he held the Tahsildary, as well as the severe loss thereby occurred to Circar, together with all the best exertions I have endowed and the best advantage that was resulted during the period I transacted that duty. on adverting them

Fort St. George 22nd Febuary 1846

them it would satisfactorily shew, that my Appointment by the Commissioner was valid and regular, and that of Annajeerow by Mr. Blackburne was far from it.—

17. The Annajeerow alluded to has since his Appointment to the Tahsildary which is past 4 or 5 Fusles totally failed to adhere to the mode formerly in practice Viz; when a report has been received of the lands in all Villages within his range of Tasildary to have brought to cultivation, to obtain in the first instance, a register of actual cultivation from each Villager, and then either proceed personally, or depute public Officers of his Cutcherry to take a minute survey of those lands; inconsequence of this oversight on the part of that Officer, it has afforded opportunity to the ryots to trespass the Circar by preparing false accounts, leaving out a large portion of land that has been cultivated; as well as a fraud also been used in the Classification of their lands, which are, to enter in the accounts, those cultivated lands of superior Class to that of inferior. Had he been in the habit of receiving the above

Fort St. George 22nd Febuary 1846

mentioned Statement of actual Cultivation of lands, and then inspected them either himself or by proxy; those accounts must have however been deposited among the Talook records which documents are to the contrary not at all now in existence, this is a best proof to shew that that Officer has entirely failed to do so:- Since I received the Appointment of that Tasildary, I have after the whole extent of lands of each Village was reported to have been brought to Cultivation, received in pursuance of former practice from the Nattamcars and Carnums correct Statements of cultivations, and afterwards personally and thro' deputations surveyed each field according to their number, guided by Plymash account of Fusly 1252. in the event of meeting with any suspicion, caused such field to be measured out; by those means, I have detected several frauds which has been used in the preceding Fusles by the Ryots Viz. and in those items an increase to the amount of Rupees 3000 has been resulted to Circar.—

18. In the case at any time of an occurrence of drought to wet lands inconsequence

of

of the scarcity of water, it was an invariable duty of the Tahsildar to proceed personally and inspect those dried fields, if he finds the dried stalks still standing there; to allow a remission equal to that has been dried; in the case of Munnapoody or partly grown produce, he was to cause them to be sickled and thrashed out in his presence, and having delivered such produce to the respective owners, and collect proportionate Teerwah thereon according to the commutation rate; but in breach of all those practises, the said Annajeerow has since 4 or 5 Fusles written off without either proceeding and inspecting himself, all those produce which are reported to have been burnt in the account as being dried, while to the contrary the ryots have surreptitiously Cut and Carried the produce away - in like manner that Officer has caused to be entered under the same head all those produce that are devasted by Cattle as well as those of Munnapoody, and gave full remission of those teerwahs to Ryots; this manner of this oversight has afforded wide opportunity to ryots to trespass

Circar

Circar Revenue of those lands which yielded full produce. In a similar mode he has forwarded a Statement in January last of drought as if occurred to whole produce of Sandalay 9 Villages, in Fasly 1252 and written an Arzee to Hoozzoor recommending remission to be made of its teerwah, but subsequent to my appointment to that Tasildary, I proceeded in conformity to Hoozzoor Order, to those Villages, and found on personal inspection part of those fields which was reported by my predecessor to have been wholly burnt were full crown, and the produce thereof already sickled and taken away; and in proof thereof several grains and ears of Corns, were found lying scattered on those fields &c which I have pointed out to the ryots and Curnums of each Village and having taken Moochilkas from them to that effect, included their produce to account, and caused the ryots pay regular Teerwah thereon to Circar. Those accounts together with my report thereon to Hoozoor, will if called upon, and referred to, shall satisfactorily prove all the fraud of said Annajeerow, and of my having detected the same as well as the advantage resulted from my exertions.

I have

Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

19. I have at first when freshes came in river Vygay in January taken head, and caused all Tanks and Nullahs filled with water, so as to admit fullest Cultivation for 2nd. or Hoody Crop; and brought the greatest portion of kunjah land to culture, and thereby an increase of Revenue resulted.-

20. During the period said Annajeerow held the office of Tasildary, a heavy decrease in the cultivation was found up to 31st. Decem-ber of Fusly 1252. from the xtent actually brought under cultivation up to the same period of preced-ing Fusly 1251. Viz. 999 Cawnies of Wet land paying annual Revenue of Rupees 4,243—15—8, and 1,774 Cawnies of dry land paying a Revenue of Rupees 2,975—10—6. in all Cawnies 2,773 paying Rupees 7,219—10—2. to Circar; had that individual still been retained in the same Office, a further decrease of Cultivation shall also be occurred in consequence of his negligence; but to the contrary I was appointed to succeed him on the 14th. Janu-ary, and xpercised every my endeavours in detecting several frauds, as well as in having caused greatest portions of lands cultivated for Hoody Crop, and an increase thereby

Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

thereby of about 10,000 Rupees was also resulted.

21. In consequence of prevalence pre-vious to 20 Years of a fever in this District, most part of Ryots, were either dead absconded, or reduced to poverty, the Kunjah Peerwah was therefore of 10 Villages ordered to be temporarily stayed from collecting, but now inconsequence of instruction recently received from the Board of Revenue to realize the same adding with the current Revenue of Fusly 1252, I was directed to do so, I have accordingly collected 2000 Rupees of that arrears of Old Account, and remitted the same to Huzzoor Treasury.

Most of the publick Servants of Madura Collectorate Viz. Peroomalrow Deputy Serishtadar, Annudarow Head Goomastah, Seve-nevasayengar Head Sevabneviss, Annajeerow the said Tasildar and other Servants and their Relations professing landed properties in those Villages, who has therefore either instigated or otherwise encouraged those petty ryots, who have hitherto paid punctually the Current Revenue, to withhold payment there-of unless the said Arrears of Old Account is still stayed and puttahs given only for the Current demand, which was the chief

Cause

cause for the non collection of that Arrears, — should it therefore please your Honorable Board to call for, and refer to the Accounts it would clearly prove, that the public Servants above — alluded to, are possessing lands and that the molestation in the realization of old Arrears — proceeded from their being combined against it.

22d. During the period the said Annajeerow held the Office of Head of Police of Madacolum Talook, one Maliandy alias Malien has stolen Bandy Bullocks of 10 Rupees value, belonged to Permaul Naiken of Alenganoor Village, and after having received 5 Rupees from the owner under pretence of tracing fee, restored the Cattle; which Circumstance the said Permaul Naiken has complained to the said — Police Officer stating at the same time — that that individual is a Cavelgar of the said — Village, has been once punished for having stolen Sheep, and has brought the matter to full proof, but the Police Officer has in partiality to the prisioner disposed the Case within his province of — Authority, after having altered with his own hand, the Value of the said Cattle that was entered in the Account to be 5 Rupees in lieu of 10, as well

well as entered the tracing fee to be 2 Rs. in the sentence he awarded instead of 5 Rs. while the case was liable according to Regulation fit to be forwarded for trial before the Criminal Judge, this fraudulent conduct of the said Police Officer was subsequently brought to the notice of the — Assistant Magistrate who has called the Police — Officer before him, and on enquiry — — that Officer has owned the — crime, and gave deposition to that effect, on which, the Assistant Magistrate were pleased to issue a Sakeed No. 2 on the 3d. March Announcing that Annajeerow has already stand punished by fine and dismissal, for defrauding the Circar in the Maramut affair and therefore no further punishment for this Crime is awarded. In this instance, the said Annajeerow was passed over punishment, because he has already once received punishment on account of fraud; in the event of his being acquitted for the Crime, he is however liable to be punished for the 2d. which is, for having in partiality to prisoner disposed a matter within the provence of his own Authority that is liable to be forwarded to the Criminal Court, and for having altered the public

public records with his own hand; consequently — it would appear to your honors just judgment, that, there exists no legal reason to reemploy the said Individual to the situation of Tahsildar.

2d. For best proof to substantiate — the Crime of Annajeerow for having overcharged in the Circar account, and defrauded a sum of Rupees 711—11—7. from the Maramut work executed in Tengarray and Tainoor Villages alluded to in 1st Para of this Petition, I beg leave to enter following instances Viz.t

1st An ordinary Estimate was prepared — by Captain Faber Civil Engineer on the 10th January 1839 after measuring it out, accompanied by the said Annajeerow for digging out the Clay which has filled the Nullah of Tengarry; and for cleaning the same for a sum of Rs. 699. 9. 10, and directed the work to be conducted under the immediate instruction of the Tahsildar, this circumstance was recorded in the diary of that Gentleman; but the Tahsildar has instead of commencing with that work accordingly, deferred till 1841, and then framed another ordinary estimate in lieu of the former in 1842. for 1700 Rs. and drawn

drawn the Amount from the Hoozoor Treasury What induced the said Annajeerow to prepare another estimate for an increased Sum of 1000 Rs. in lieu of a former one, which was with his own concurrence founded by the Civil Engineer for a less sum is not known but rests with — your Honorable Board to judge on, when I humbly trust will clearly shew that, that Officer has done it merely for his own advantage. —

3d. The said Annajeerow has previous to his visiting the place, but according to his own discretion written a Zabitah or Memorandum in Marattah with his own hand, at Madura, wherein he has fixed the Amount to which estimates for earth work are to be prepared Viz.t Tengarry 1700 Rs. Tainoore 600 Rs. and so on to other villages, and entrusted the same to the Maramut Goomastah and Mastry attached to the Talook, with instructions to frame out detailed estimates particularizing each area so as the total Amount of them to equalize that are entered in his Memorandum; those individuals have in conformance of the direction given to them, prepared estimates enumerating

on the 1st Dec.r 1843.

several

public records with his own hand; consequently — it would appear to your honors just judgment, that, there exists no legal reason to reemploy the said Individual to the situation of Tahsildar.

23. For best proof to substantiate — the Crime of Annajeerow for having overcharged in the Circar Account, and defrauded a Sum of Rupees 711—11—7. from the Maramut work executed in Tengarray and Tair alluded to in 1st Par.

leave to ent

1st

drawn the Amount from the Hoozoor Treasury What induced the said Annajeerow to prepare another estimate for an increased Sum of 1000 Ps. in lieu of a former one, which [illegible] En: own concurrence founded [illegible] and 31st. December for a less sum [illegible] ciab Zabitah Memorandum [illegible] ulted to Hoozzoor under cover of [illegible] ee on the 20th. February.

The Nullah of Tengarry not at all required to be dug, because the water freely irrigated thro' it to all the Nunjah Lands depending on it, which are fully cultivated leaving no Tursee and the Ryots continued to live happy and paid — Circar revenue without falling in Arrears, while thus there was no necessity to dig two Yards in width in addition to the former, merely for the production of ordinary beriz; had the said Annajeerow bonâfide caused an additional width of 2 yards to be dug above that estimated by Captain Faber after having travelled and measured out from the Mouth to end of the Nullah it shall be found to be inexistence, and seen by Captain Horsely during his subsequent Survey of the work, but to the contrary, it is inserted in that Gentlemans'

diary

Fort S.t George 22.d February 18[illegible].

diary that, no additional width has been dug, but in one side thereof, a small spot was spared, and the earth thrown over the bank under these reasons, the Survey account forwarded by Annajeerow, stating that he has caused 2. yards width to be newly dug is in all shape improbable and incredible.

4. Annajeerow has argued that Captain Horsely has measured out the extent of the bank where the earths are thrown was not right; on which I have deputed 5 persons Viz.t Maramut Goomastah, the Mastry, 2 Tillidars and 2 Village Curnums to proceed, and minutely inspect the works, who have accordingly caused the mouth of the Nullah closely shut, and after having drained the water off, measured out the bed, and forwarded a report to the talook Cutcherry on the 17.th February, accompanied by a sketch, and an account particular of them particularizing, that a slight work has been found to have executed, at a place where the bank is steper with further explanations stating that the height of steps and

Fort S.t George 22.d February 18[illegible].

and slope parts of the bank should be calculated on an average of measurement, but to the contrary both steps and slope parts were calculated all of same height, and the cubic yards was thereupon multiplied as if the work has been actually executed in every part thereof, the Cubic Yards was doubled and the rate of Amount was proportionately augmented, that the account is therefore fraudulent. I have reported the same circumstance by an Arzee N.o 118 to Hoozoor on the 20.th February Accompanied by several documents. In every place the bank of all Nullahs cannot be steep; but rain may have washed and cause slopes at several parts, the calculation of the height therefore should be made on an average measurement; but to the Contrary the Annajeerow has it appears from the Account which he has forwarded to Hoozoor calculated all of same level.

In the payment made for work

5.th men a great fraud has been known to have used

I have

Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

I have therefore called for the Katchout Account for the Memorandum of the extent of work executed, and the hire thereof; written by Nattama: caur and Curnums on a Cadjan leaf and delivered to each workman / of 2. individuals named Sandytaren and Moneandy, and compared them with the Account particulars of Charges prepared by Annajeerow; a discrepance was found of Rupees 16—13—11 to have over written than the Katchout Account is another fraud. I have forwarded both those Katchouts along with my Arzee to Hoozzoor on the 20th. February. I would have called on all other workmen and caused their respective Katchouts compared with account particular, and ascertained the total amount of difference, but the Annajeerow has employed emissaries to have all of them to abscond from the place.

6 and last, Para 1st. and 3rd. of Maramut rule that has been published in 1832 by the Board of Revenue, prescribe that all Tasildars of Talook are to see every work performed within their respective Talooks under their personal inspection, and that he alone held responsible to Government for all Cash paid to workmen &c. and not others, upon that principle the said Annajeerow is answerable for the difference of

Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

of overcharge that was found on a subsequent survey made of the work by the Civil Engineer.

The said Annajeerow who has

24. trespassed the emolument of Government as enu: merated in 7 Paras from 17 to 23 of this Petition, has not only been passed over his actual crime, and reinstated to the Tasildary in my stead, but was paid up for, during the period he has remain: ed under dismissal, with remuneration of fine collected from him, while to the contrary I. who has been in the habit of discharging functions with loyalty and correctness and to the best advantage of Circar was disallowed from resuming the Office and the arrears of pay withheld, but enforced to refund 208 Rupees from the salary I have al: ready received; which I am humbly hopeful will not meet with your Honorable Boards approbation as an equity of Justice.

I am further hopeful, that your

25. Honorable Board shall be of openion, that the punishment by dismissal inflicted to the said Annajeerow (after a minute enquiry made by the Civil Engineer, the Assistant Collector and the Commissioner for having defrauded the Circar)

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Circar/ is founded on satisfactory proof, is final and irrevocable and in every respect conforms to Clause 5, Section 15, Regulation 9 of 1822.

26. I take the humble liberty to forward for the information of your Honorable Board the following Copies of 6 Sunnuds Viz.t

A Sunnud dated 11th. January appointing me Acting Tasildar

B Do. ——— Do. 17th. March confirming the same appointmt.

C and D Do. Granting me leave of Absence.

E Sunnud dated 5th. August from Mr. Blackburne Principal Collector, non-allowing my resuming Charge of the Office after my return from leave of Absence.

F ——Do.—— Dated 8th. November from Dr. desiring me to refund 208 Rupees of my Salary—

27. I have moreover taken the humble liberty to forward herewith Copies of testimonials &c. granted to me by the late Governor the Right Honorable Sir S. R. Lushington Bart, and several other Gentlemen, who have witnessed my talent, and active discharge of public functions in Coimbatore, and other Districts, from 1817, to the best advantage of Circar, which induced them to recommend me

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

me for the situations of Deputy Serishtadar of Hoozzoor Cutcherry, and Assistant Civil Engineer, the perusal of which, will, I humbly trust, satisfy your Honorable Board of my unsullied good Conduct.

I therefore most respectfully pray your Honorable Board be pleased to forward instructions to Mr. Blackburne the Principal Collector of Madura, to reinstate me to the office of Madacolum Tasildary, with remuneration of my arrears of Salary from May to the present period and to dispense with demanding of me to refund the Amount of 208 Rupees the Amount which I have already received.

For which act of justice I shall as in duty bound ever pray.—

Trichinopoly—— 31st. December 1843

(Signed) [illegible]

(Signed) J. Blackburne Prin.l Collector—

Extract of a Letter from the Acting Chief Secretary to Government to the Acting Principal Collector of Coimbatore under date the 31st. August 1832. Para 1.

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to acquaint you that the manner in which the Bungalows at Coonoor, Annoor, and Matepaliem have been constructed

Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

constructed under the direction of Soobarow, Maramut Superintendent, is highly satisfactory to Government, and the Saving which has been effected in the estimates is a substantial proof of the economy and care with which they have been superintended.

/ True Extract /

/ Signed / Ja.s Thomas,

Actg Princl. Collector

The Right Honorable the Governor has much pleasure in bearing testimony to the Zeal and activity generally displayed by the bearer Soobarow, Maramut Native Superintendant, but particularly in the construction of Bungalows for the accommodation of Travellers at Annoor, Matepolliem and Coonnoor on the Neilgherry Hills, for the last of which especially he deserves great credit.

24th. August 1832.
Sanderry Droog.

/ Signed / E. Smith

Actg Private Secretary

Soobarow,

I have received your letter enclosing Copy of a Character granted to you by the Governor's Private Secretary, and Saying you was going to make application for the Naib Seristadar at Tanjore, I am sorry you are going to leave this District when your services at Maramut

Fort St. George 22d. February 1814.

Maramut has been so useful to me, and if you could have remained I might have had it in my power to provide for you in a better situation than you at present hold.—

Kolagherry, 29th. August 1832.

/ Signed / Ja.s Thomas

Principal Collector.

Extract from a letter from the Acting Civil Engineer Captain G Underwood of the Southern Division under date the 18th. August 1827.

In quitting the Coimbatore District, I beg to return you my best thanks for the services of your Native Superintendant Maramut Soobarow, and feel much gratification in expressing the high opinion I entertain of the Zeal and ability with which he has performed the arduous duties confided to him and beg to beg to bring his diligent conduct to your notice.

/ True Extract /

/ Signed / John Sullivan

Principal Collector.

Kolagherry 18th. February 1838.

Soobarow,

If you are still desirous to be made an Assistant Civil Engineer in Travancore on a Salary of 150 Rs. a month under Lieutenant Horsely of the Madras Engineers, send me all your Characters and

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

and testimonials immediately; and I will endeavour to procure the situation for you without loss of time.—

I am your friend,
Edward Faber.

Caroor, 15th. June 1836.

It appears probable that I may not again return to the Coimbatore District; connected with the Maramut of the 1st. Division and it is proper therefore that I should state my opinion of the Head Native Servants in that branch of the Service with which I have been long concerned.—

I first became acquainted with Soobarow, the Superintendent of Maramut in July 1829. when Civil Engineer of the Southern Division he was with me until the end of September in that Year.

He accompanied me in a professional tour thro' the Coimbatore District in May and June 1831, and fulfilled a similar duty in the same months of the year 1832.—

He was near me for some time at Negapatam in October 1832.

Being appointed Acting Civil Engineer in the 1st. Division in the present year I again

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844—

again found Soobarow, at his old post and he has been with me whilst professionally engaged in this District.

It results that from these various meetings I have had the opportunities of forming a tolerable correct opinion of the Character and attainments of Soobarow and I do not hesitate to place him far above any individual of either his own, or any other class, with whom I happen to have been thrown in contact.—

He is alike active in mind and body he has an accurate knowledge of plan drawing, of estimate making, is not by any means ignorant of the principles of levelling, and is very familiar with all the Regulations and details of Maramut operations, in general; it is therefore in his power to be of much use and assistance to any officer in the Civil Engineer's Department & I am able to acknowledge the Communication of very much local and general information from him.

I think him deserving of higher employment and remuneration than he now receives and I shall be most happy in hearing that he has obtained them which

to

Fort St. George 22d. February 1838.

to aid either by this testimonial or in any other manner would give me great pleasure.

/ Signed / C.C. Faber Captain
A.C. Civil Engineer 1st Division

No. 93. G. D. Drury Esqre.
Principal Collector of the District Coimbatore.

To, Soobarow Hoozzoor Maramut Superintendent.

The Bridge over the Noyalane River lying within the limits of Coorchy in the Talook of Coimbatore which was sanctioned by Government you have commenced and after enduring great pains you completed its construction with best economy, strong and in an elegant manner, which was subsequently inspected by Civil Engineers and highly approved the Construction; I was therefore highly pleased with it, as you have completed the work of that Bridge after undertaking immense pains, it is prudent to denominate it Soobarow's Bridge. You will therefore cause to be engraved in Tamool on a best blackstone "Soobarows' Bridge 1838" and another

Fort St. George 22d. February 1838.

another in English language and affixe them on each side of the Bridge.

Coimbatore, 17th March 1838

/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Principal Collector.

Soobarow.

The Superintendant of Maramut in the Coimbatore District has received many testimonials of good conduct from the Officers of Civil Engineer's Department, I have been acquainted with him since 1831 and during the various periods in the Course of five Years, that he has accompanied me in my inspecting tour in the District. I have derived much advantage from his services he is long experienced in every thing at all connected with the Buildings Roads, navigation, his superior intelligence and extreme activity have rendered him a most efficient public servant and I have little doubt that his abilities would qualify him for any appointment to which his merits and long services may be thought to give him claim.

Nateputtum 6th April 1836.

/ Signed / Samuel Best Lieut.nd
A.C. Civil Engineer 2d Division

Extract

Fort St. George 22d. February 1838.

to aid either by this testimonial or in any other manner would give me great pleasure.

/ Signed / C.C. Faber Captain
A.C. Civil Engineer 1st. Division

No. 93. G. D. Drury Esqre.
Principal Collector of the
District Coimbatore.

To, Soobarow, Hoozzoor Maramut Superintendent.

The Bridge over the Noyalane River lying within the limits of Coorchy in the Talook of Coimbatore which was sanctioned by Government you have commenced and after enduring great pains you completed its construction with best economy, strong and in an elegant manner, which was subsequently inspected by Civil Engineers and highly approved the Construction; I was therefore highly pleased with it, as you have completed the work of that Bridge after undertaking immense pains, it is prudent to denominate it Soobarow's Bridge. You will therefore cause to be engraved in Tamool on a best blackstone "Soobarows' Bridge 1838" and another

Fort St. George 22d. February 1838.

another in English language and affixe them on each side of the Bridge.

Coimbatore,
17th. March 1838

/ Signed / G. D. Drury
Principal Collector.

Soobarow.

The Superentendant of Maramut in the Coimbatore District has received many testimonials of good conduct from the Officers of Civil Engineer's Department, I have been acquainted with him since 1831 and during the various periods in the Course of five Years, that he has accompanied me in my inspecting tour in the District. I have derived much advantage from his services he is long experienced in every thing at all connected with the Buildings Roads, navigation, his superior intelligence and extreme activity have rendered him a most efficient public servant and I have little doubt that his abilities would qualify him for any appointment to which his merits and long services may be thought to give him claim.

Kateyattam
6th. April 1836.

/ Signed / Samuel Best Lieut.
A.C. Civil Engineer 2d. Division

Extract

3535. Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Extract of a letter addressed to the Inspector General of Civil Estimates by the Principal Collector of Coimbatore under date the 10th. September 1830.—

Para. 2. I did not consider it necessary to trouble the Board of Revenue by entering into any details of the nature of the duties of the Superintendent of his Character & qualifications under an impression that the above particulars would all have been more fully laid before them by my predecessor on the occasion of his employing the individual in question he has been constantly and actively engaged in his duties for upwards of seven Years, during which time he has rendered essential service to the Government and obtained the good opinion not only of my predecessors, but of every Civil Engineer Officer who has been on duty in this District and thro' Gentlemen he is desirous would bear testimony as to his qualifications.—

[Signed] J. Thomas,
A/g. Principal Collector.

50-51

Order thereon,
Read again in Cons: 7th March
Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

50

18

3536 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

52

From the Pr. Collr. of Madura ---

Sir

In the execution of repairs of Road from Madura to Allaghery Ravil under estimate sanctioned on the 7th October last an extent of Cawnies 1-8 as has been taken into the same line of Road from the portion of Manium lands of certain Village Officer of Thallacolum in Madacolum Taluk and I have the honor to request you will procure me sanction to grant the same extent from Jungle Waste of 20 years in lieu thereof as per accompanying Memorandum since the abandoned road, is utterly useless for purposes of cultivation but the road is greatly shortened and improved by its abandonment.—

Madura ---
2d. February 1844

[Signed] J. Blackburne
Princl. Collector.

Memo:

3537 Fort St. George 22d February 1814.

Memo: Plantains land to be granted to the Pattamagar Cunnum &c. from the 20 Years Kuyiah Cowle Lands in Cheri of Kaul from Fasly 1214 to Plagu Cavil in the limits of Pallamcottah Taluk.

Mr. Lavie

Mr. Lavie Land to be granted for a Plantain Cowle as prayed for.

Name. Extent Assessment

For Pattamagar Plantains	17	7½			
" Cunnum "	19	1			
" Holly Pladayans "	1	13½			
Total	38	6¼			

Madura - - - } (Signed) J. Blackburne
2d February 1814 } Asst. Collector

3538 Fort St. George 22d February 1814

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought for-
(Read again in Cons. 11th March) -ward at a future day.

52-53

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

54

Sir,

From the 2d Coll. of Madura - - } On the 3d May 1812 a com-
-plaint was laid against the Cunnum of Cottayam and Viruppherry Colay in the Cullianenthal Mootah of Tyemputty of various counts. the enquiry was closed on the 9th December and the Sub Collector passed his decision on the 21st October 1813 and awarded amongst other things, that Rupees 44-1-2- unduly levied from certain Ryots in Fasly 1251 should be given back. On the 4th November 1813 the matter was brought before me in appeal finding some deficiency in the enquiry I directed further to be made on the 25th which was done and all the proceedings returned to me on the 27th December and under Yesterday's date I confirmed the Sub Collector's decision in every part and I have now the honor to request sanction for repayment of the excess collections of

3539 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

of Rupees 44-1-2 carried to account in the Settlement of Fasly 1251.-

Madura - - -
10th. February 1844. } (Signed) J. Blackburne
Princl. Collector

Resolution thereon. The Board of Revenue beg to recommend that the Principal Collector of Madura may receive the sanction of Government for the repayment of the excess collections Rupees 44-1-2. under the circumstances explained in the above letters

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly - - - } With reference to the Board's letter of the 4th. December, I have the honor to forward Copy of the explanation from the Sub Collector required by the Board.

Tinnevelly - - -
Panagoody - - - - } (Signed) E. B. Thomas
5th. February 1844. Collector

To E. B. Thomas Esqre.
Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I have the honor with reference to a letter from the Acting Secretary to

to the Board of Revenue dated the 4th. Ultimo requiring explanation as to the necessity of my protracted residence at Tencausey and Courtallum during Fasly 1252, to request you will be so obliging as to submit the following remarks for the Consideration of the Board of Revenue. The number of days I passed at Tencausey was 2 and at Courtallum 87 making together 89 and not 98 days as mentioned in the Acting Secretary's letter. The 2 days passed at Tencausey were passed there in going from Ambasamoodrum to Sunkerninar Coil and Strevelly to or the high road between these Talooks passing through Tencausey near which place my Tents were pitched, and in them I transacted business.

With reference to the period I passed at Courtallum I would beg to observe, that from the situation of my 4 Talooks there is no point so central as Courtallum, and that my residence there is consequently a public convenience, that it is necessary that at the time of Summabundy the Sub Collectors and Collectors Cutcherries should be together, and that hitherto the Sub Collector of Tinnevelly has drawn

3540 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

Extra Tent allowance wherever he may have resided, because he has always to provide accommodation for his Cutcherry and records, as much at Courtallum or wherever he may be as at his Head Quarters. I trust therefore that the Board of Revenue will under the above explanation feel at liberty to pass my Extra Tent allowance for the 39 days passed at Tencanssy and Courtallum during July 1252.

Vatracoopoo Streevelly pooter Taluk } (signed) J. Whittingham
Sub Collr's Office 10th January 1844. } Sub Collector

Resolution thereon. On reference to the Statement of Extra Tent allowance furnished by the Collector of Tinnevelly for himself and subordinates under date 11th July 1843, the Board remark that the Sub Collector is stated to have passed 86 days at Tencaussey and 7 at Courtallum in all 93 days as per subjoined Memorandum.

Particulars taken from the Statement.

Tencaussey	
Do.	27
Do.	31
Do.	19
Do.	7
Do.	2
	86
Courtallum	7
	93

Mr. Whittingham will be pleased to explain the difference between this statement and that given in his letter of 10th January last viz. that "the number of days he passed at Tencaussey was 24 at Courtallum 7 making together 89." In the event of the latter being the correct Statement the four additional days being the difference between 93 and 89 will require to be accounted for.

To

3541 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

To C. E. Lewell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

58.

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly. } Para. 1

I beg to forward for the consideration and orders of the Board the enclosed Copy of a letter from Mr. Coey an Agent and Cotton Merchant at Tuticorin. Messrs. Whitehouse and other European Cotton planters in the Jaffna District (Ceylon) have I believe no screw, and are desirous of taking advantage of Mr. Coey's the nearest to them, and reshipping their Cotton, when screwed, to England. the Cotton while on shore at Tuticorin for screwing only would be much in the same footing as Bonded goods in England I believe such as Corn, Flour, Raw Sugar, &c. liable to no additional duty unless sold in the Country. If the Cotton as now proposed be bonâ fide reshipped again (under a written Bond if the Board deem necessary) I see no reason to impose any extra import duty on it beyond what it is first liable to at Jaffna. but I would beg the Board's opinion before replying to Mr. Coey. the employment of Mr. Coey's screws is of Course an advantage to the District, as it tends to employ the Boats and labouring

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

labouring Classes at Tutacorin and its vicinity both at the screens and in the shipment of the goods.-

2 No similar case or application being on Record for a guide, causes me to trouble the Board with this reference.-

Tinnevelly - - -
Panagoody - - - } (Signed) E. B. Thomas
9th February 1844 - } Collector

No. 1

E. B. Thomas Esqre
Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

I beg leave to request the favor of you to inform me, in case of Cotton being imported here from the Jaffna District Ceylon, whether it will be liable to an import duty. My object in writing to ascertain this point is, because some of the English Planters who are growing Cotton there, are inclined to bring it to this Port for the purpose of screwing and transhipping to England.-

The favor of an early reply will oblige.-

(Signed) J. R. Lodg
(True Copy).

Tutacorin - -
31st January 1844 } (Signed) E. B. Thomas
Collector.

Order thereon. Ordered to be brought forward
Read again on the
29th April - at a future day.-

Read

58-59

Fort St. George 22d. February 1844

Read the following letters.

To E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

60.

From the Jg. Princ.
Coll. of Canara }

Sir,

With reference to the observation contained in the 2d. Para of your letter of the 18th Ultimo, regarding the extra tent allowance drawn by the Head Assistant Mr. Reade for 6 days he resided in the Darwar Zillah, I have the honor to forward Copy of a letter from him containing the explanations required by the Board.-

Princl. Collr. Cutcherry,
Mangalore - -
10th February 1844 - } (Signed) E. P. Thompson
Jg. Princl. Collr.

No. 1

To E. P. Thompson Esqre
Acting Principal Collector
of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the Receipt of Mr. Blair's letter of the 29th Ultimo accompanied by one from the Board of Revenue, conveying the sanction of the Board for the Amount of Extra Tent allowance drawn by the Revenue Officers of Canara during July 1752 as detailed therein.-

In

2 In the 2d. Para. of the Board's letter, it is observed that Shaw drawn extra Sent allowance for 5 days, while residing without the limits of the Canara District, which the Board decline to pass unless explanation is given as to the object of my visiting Darwar.

3 After Shad concluded the Jumabundy at Hullial, and had settled other matters of importance, I determined to visit Darwar being only 19 miles distant from it and as the Sheristadar, and the accountant Department, had full occupation for several days before I could move my Cutcherry to the next place where it was my intention to make the settlement, I resolved to pay the Collector a visit, both to get hints on general matters connected with the Revenue System in that District as well as to make some arrangements for finally disposing of a boundary dispute which had been long pending. In both these objects however I failed Mr. Shaw being absent and sick, but as I was accompanied by a portion of my Cutcherry, the current work was not neglected. —

Hallery

4 Hallery is on the Frontier. I halted there because it was a more convenient spot than any on the Canara side.

5 My Tents were at Hullial, with the exception of a small one, which I carried with me, I therefore trust under this explanation, the Board will not withhold their sanction to the Charge, at the same time I do not wish it to be supposed that my visit to Darwar was not as much in accordance with my own inclination as it may have been, (in my behalf) in accordance with my duty. —

(Signed) C. W. Reade
1st. Asst. Coll.
1st. Asst. Collr. Cutcherry
Surcy. 6th. February 1844

Resolution thereon. The Board are of opinion that sufficient reasons have not been shown as connected with the Public service, for Mr. Reade's quitting his District and visiting Darwar, and cannot pass Extra Tent allowance to him for the 5 days he was in that Zillah. —

60-61

To E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

62

Sir,

From the Asst. Collr. of Canara

I have the honor to forward for

3545 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

for the information of the Board.

†dated 9th. Febry 1844. Copy of a letter from Mr. Blair &c. reporting his having quitted the limits of this District on the 9th instant.

Prin.l Coll.r Cuttchenry
Mangalore
12th February 1844.

(Signed) E. P. Thompson
Actg. Prin.l Coll.r

No. 1.

To E. P. Thompson Esqre.
Acting Prin.l Collector
of Canara.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I purpose quitting the limits of the District this day and proceeding to Bombay under the leave of absence granted me, under date the 15th December 1843, and 23d January 1844.

Sedashagur
9th February 1844.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Prin.l Coll.r

Order thereon. Ordered to be recorded.

Read.

62-63

3546 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

Read the following letter.

To
C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir,

64

From the Collector of Malabar
No. 74 to 76

With reference to the annexed Extract from the retrenchment from my accounts for Fusly 1251 A.D. 1841/42 I beg leave to inform the Board that under date the 10th March 1844 the Jimo=rin or first Rajah of Calicut represented that certain of the Princesses of his family had com=plained to him of the inadequacy of the annual stipends allowed them, to meet their increased expenses that he had in consequence with the concurrence of the Junior Rajahs of his family agreed to increase the Malikana of the said Princesses by relin=quishing a portion of the annual allowance of himself and the the other Junior Rajahs and that he solicited my sanction to the following arrangement from the 1st Danoo 1016. 13th December 1840.

Rank & Designation of Rajahs &c.	annual stipend as formerly sanctioned	annual stipend as proposed for the future	Increase	Decrease
The Jamorin or first Rajah	75,163 4 "	74,163 4 "	" " "	1,500 " "
" Manalpaad or third ditto	10,000 " "	8,000 " "	" " "	2,000 " "
" Edathralpaad or fourth ditto	6,000 " "	5,500 " "	" " "	500 " "
" Nedeyrippa alias Crady Tirumul=paad or fifth Ditto	5,500 " "	5,000 " "	" " "	500 " "
" Poodia Kovilagom Tamboorauty	6,000 " "	7,500 " "	1,500 " "	" " "
" Padiyarra Ditto	6,000 " "	7,500 " "	1,500 " "	" " "
" Kerakee Ditto	6,000 " "	7,500 " "	1,500 " "	" " "
Total Rupees	115,163 4 "	115,163 4 "	4,500 " "	4,500 " "

3547 Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

A somewhat similar alteration in the Malekana paid to the Rajahs and Chiefs of this Province appears to have taken place about 14 years ago, and the arrangement sanctioned by Government and as the present proposal involved no increase of expenditure and seemed unobjectionable, the application of the Zamorin Rajah which was accompanied by a writing from the other Junior Rajahs expressive of their concurrence to the proposed reductions in their annual stipends was duly complied with. The Civil Auditor however is of opinion that the arrangement requires the special sanction of Government, and I therefore do myself the honor to request that the Board will be good enough to adopt the necessary measures to enable the Civil Auditor to pass the aforementioned alterations in my accounts from the 13th. December 1840.

Calicut 29th. January 1844. } /Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Extract

3548 Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

Extract from the Retrenchment from the Accounts of the Collector of Malabar for Fusly 1251.

74 Paid to Poodia Kovilagom Tambo: Koraty as per account Particular for 1250.

No. 37 ——— 6750 " "

Admitted per Ditto 6000 " "

Difference 750 " "

75 Paid to Padingar Kovilagom Tamboraty as per Ditto 6750 " "

Admitted per Ditto } 6000 " "

Difference 750 " "

76 Paid to Karaka Kovilagom Tambo. Koraty as per Ditto 6750

Admitted per Ditto } 6000 " "

Difference 750 " "

/A true Extract/
/Signed/ H. V. Conolly
Collector

Ordered

3557 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

the Board will leave it to the Judgment of the Collector whether it be necessary to exclude them from all future employment, or whether they may not, consistently with the interests of Justice be hereafter restored to office at such time and under such circumstances as may appear most advisable.

Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

3557.

Order thereon.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Proceedings be forwarded for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar.

Read the following letters.

(66-67)

To C. L. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(68)

From J. A. Hudleston Esqre
Coll.r of Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter under yesterday's date I have the honor to return herewith the original Survey Map of Ootacamund which accompanied your letter of the 23d November last.

Madras
the 1st Feb.

[Signed] J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

* For a Palankeen equal to 4 Cooly loads at 60 Cash
" a Horse or Ox equal to 4 Do. at 60 C. each
" a Sheep equal to 1 Do. 60 C.

the Table of the rates of toll the items enumerated by him with the exception of "a box or Trunk" which is already entered in it.-

2. Palankeens &c. have always paid the regulated amount of toll under the provision contained in the Table that "Sundries of all kinds" shall be liable to it.-

Madras 14th February 1844. [Signed] J. A. Hudleston
Collector.

No. 1.

To, J. A. Hudleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

Frequent disputes having arisen relative to the payment of Toll on Palankeens, baggage Cattle &c. of parties passing to and fro on Cochrane's Canal because these articles are not particularized in the Tariff and as it has always been charged and after a great deal of prevarication paid I beg to request that as the Tariff is at present at the Cutcherry for the purpose of being re-copied these items be also included in it hitherto the charges have been as follows:-

For a Palankeen & Coolies loads at 60 cash each
" a box or trunk 1 Do. Do.
" a Horse or ox 4 Do. Do.
" a Sheep 1 Do. Do.

Cochrane's Canal 16th February 1844. [Signed] R. W. Mesppen
Supt. of Cochrane's Canal

Resolution thereon, Such articles as above

70-71 mentioned being liable to Canal tolls the Board authorize Mr. Hudleston to insert them in the table of tolls.-

To, E. Lo. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

77

From J. A. Hudleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras
20th Feby 1844.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue copy of a letter from Mr. H. L. Daniell informing me of his intended departure by the Steamer Hindostan which has just returned from Calcutta, and to report that he has this day ceased to do duty as Acting Deputy Collector of Madras

3555 Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

Madras.

Madras 20th. February 1844. } Signed J. A. Budleston Collector

No. 1.

To J. A. Budleston Esqre.
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I am prepared to proceed to England by the steamer Hindostan, which has just returned from Calcutta and to add that I have been unable to avail myself of the leave granted to me on the 13th. instant.-

Madras 20th. February 1844. } Signed M. D. Daniell Acting Dept. Collr.

Order thereon- Ordered to be recorded

To

3556 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

74

(74)

From the Collector of Madras ----- Submitting Copies of two Summonses from the Supreme Court which have been served at the instance of a Toddy Shopkeeper by name Royapetta Moneswamy Graniny &c. claiming the circumstances which led to the discontinuance of his sale and requesting permission to communicate with the Law Officers of Government on the subject of the Summonses in question.-

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit herewith for submission to the Board of Revenue, Copies of two Summonses from the Supreme Court, which have been this day served on myself and Moorton Moodelly the Abkary Superintendent, and to report that Royapetta Moneswamy Graniny the party therein named, held lately a Toddy Shop of the 3rd. Class for which he paid 17 fanams per diem. It was discovered in August last that a Shop established originally in the House adjoining his, and removed subsequently a short distance from it, paid, for a considerable period, 28 fanams per diem, as being of the 2nd. Class, and situated in one of the principal Streets at Chepauk.

2- Moneswamy Graniny was, therefore, informed repeatedly that he must either pay the same amount of Revenue or relinquish his shop, as I found that, on a former similar occasion, another Shopkeeper, to whom the like notice was given, in consequence of a Settlement

3555 Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

Madras.

Madras 20th February 1844 } [Signed] J. A. Huddleston

No. 1. Collector

To J. A. Huddleston Esqre
Collector of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to report that I am prepared to proceed to England by the steamer Hindostan, which has just returned from Calcutta and to add that I have been unable to avail myself of the leave granted to me on the 13th instant.-

Madras 20th February 1844 } [Signed] M. P. Daniell
Acting Dept. Collr.

Order thereon- Ordered to be recorded

To

3556 Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

To
E. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

74

(74)

From the Collector of Madras ----- Sir,

Submitting Copies of two Summonses from the Supreme Court which have been served at the instance of a Toddy Shop-keeper by name Royapetta Moneswamy Graming &c. - explaining the circumstances which led to the discontinuance of his suit and requesting permission to Communicate with the Law Officers of Government on the subject of the Summonses in question.-

I have the honor to transmit herewith, for submission to the Board of Revenue, Copies of two Summonses from the Supreme Court which have been this day served on myself and Moorton Moodelly the Abkary Superintendent, and to report that Royapetta Moneswamy Graming the party therein named, held lately a Toddy Shop of the 3rd Class for which he paid 17 fanams per diem. It was discovered in August last that a Shop established originally in the House adjoining his, and removed subsequently a short distance from it, paid, for a considerable period, 28 fanams per diem, as being of the 2nd Class, and situated in one of the principal streets at Chepauk.

2- Moneswamy Graming was, therefore, informed repeatedly that he must either pay the same amount of Revenue or relinquish his shop, as I found that, on a former similar occasion, another Shop-keeper, to whom the like notice was given, in consequence of a Settlement

Settlement having been previously made for the payment of only 17 instead of 28 fanams, paid immediately afterwards the higher rate of tax, but Mooneswamy Graming not having complied with the orders communicated to him from time to time, it became requisite to take the necessary steps for the discontinuance of his sale. Intimated to him, by an endorsement on his petition under date the 13th Instant, that the sale was did continued not only for the reasons explained above, but for his having obtained a Police license No. 305 for establishing a Toddy Shop on Shaik Mahomed Abdool Khader Jamadar's Ground in Lunker Khana Street at Chepauk" whereas he had "actually opened one on the road called the 'Triplicane 5th Division road leading to Teroovutteswara Pettah", for which he "did not get the prescribed Police licence".

5- Under the circumstances of the case, I solicit the Board's permission to communicate on the subject of the Summonses in question with the Law Officers of Government:-

Madras 21st February 1844.

/Signed/ J. A. Huddleston
Collector
Jr.

No. 1
No. 502 of 1844.

In the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

By Virtue of a Precept to me directed by the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras dated the twentieth day of February One Thousand Eight Hundred and Forty four. You are hereby commanded jointly and severally to Summon Josiah Andrew Huddleston Esqre now or late of Madras if he may be found in Madras, or in any of the Factories or Territories subject to or dependent upon the Government thereof, that he be and appear before this Court on or before Saturday the Twenty Fourth day of February instant at Madras aforesaid, to answer to Royapettay Mooneswamy Graming in a Suit to recover Two thousand Rupees in Trespass.-

Given under my hand and Seal of my Office this Twenty first day of February Anno Domini 1844.-

Messrs. J. H. Branson
Pltf's attorneys
To William Boyd and
Seetaram Bailiffs.

/Signed/ John Bruce Norton
Sheriff (Seal)

No. 2
No. 502 of 1844.

In the Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras.

By Virtue of a Precept to me directed by the Supreme-

3557 Fort St. George 22d February 1844

3559 Fort St. George 22d February 1844

Supreme Court of Judicature at Madras dated the twentieth day of February - One thousand Eight hundred and forty four

You are hereby commanded jointly and severally to Summon Mr Thos Moodelly an Hindoo Inhabitant of Madras if he may be found in Madras to be and appear before this Court on or before Saturday the twenty fourth day of February instant at Madras aforesaid to answer to Royapettah Mooneswamy Gramany in a suit to recover Two thousand Rupees in Trespass -

Given under my hand and Seal of my Office this Twenty first day of February Anno Domini 1844 -

Mr L. H. Branson
Plt attorney -

To William Boyd and
Sectaram Bailiffs.
Under Sheriff

/Signed/ John Bruce Norton
Sheriff (Seal)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted with the following address -

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George

Sir

I am directed by the Board of

74-75

To the Chief Sect. to Govt. } Para 1.

3560. Fort St. George 22d February 1844

of Revenue to request you will lay before the Most Noble the Governor in Council the accompanying letter this day received from the Collector of Madras reporting that he had been summoned to appear before the Supreme Court at the suit of one Mooneswamy Gramany to answer for certain acts done in his Official capacity -

Dated 21st inst 22d Feb 1844

It appears to the Board

2-

that the act for which the Collector is summoned to appear before the Court being one done strictly in his Official Capacity he is not amenable to the Supreme Court, but they would recommend as suggested by Mr Hudleston that he should be placed in communication with the Law Officers of Government -

Rev. Board Office
Fort St. George
22nd February 1844 -

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Act Secretary -

The

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks thereon.-

(76) From the Asst. Coll.r in the Nr. Div.n Acct.- Statement of Extra charges incurred under Estimate for July 1252.-

(77) From Div.n of Dr. Statement of Extra charges incurred without Estimate for July 1252.-

(78) From Dv.n of Dr. Statement of charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for July 1252.-

(76-79) Order on the three abv.e Statements - Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in the proper Column of the above mentioned Statements and Submitted for the sanction of Government.-

Read the undermentioned Lists.-

(80) From the Coll.r of Guntoor List of letters addressed to the Board and remaining unanswered to the 31st December last.-

(81) From Dv.n of Dr.- List of letters received from the Board and remaining unanswered to the 31st December last.-

Ordered

Order on the two abov.e Lists.- Ordered to be lodged in the Office.-

(80-82) Resolved that the following letters be despatched.-

To. The Collector of Guntoor.

Sir,

To the Coll.r of Guntoor Nr. 1920 of 1843. I am directed to refer to you the Petition noted in the margin with the expression of the Board's opinion that if the Petitioners are the Meerasy Pot makers of the Village and perform the duties of that Office they should be exempted from the payment of the general Moturpha Tax. a privilege to which they are entitled in common with the other Ballotedars by the usage of the country.-

Revenue Board Office. Fort St. George 22nd Feb.y 1844.- /Signed/ E. C. Lovell Asst Sec.y

(83) To. The Collector in the Zillah of Guntoor.

Sir,

To the Coll.r in the Zillah of Guntoor. The same to Nagapatam Salem Coimbatore and Malabar. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will have the goodness to forward your Statement of Persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1832 for the half year ending 31st Dec.r 1843. at an early date.-

Revenue Board Office Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.- /Signed/ E. C. Lovell. Asst Sec.y

To.

3563 Fort St. George 22d. February 1844.

85

To the Pl. Coll.r of Cuddapah.
No. 47 of 1844

To

The Principal Collector of
Cuddapah.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you the accompanying Petition from Nanjy Reddy and with reference to the discrepancy between the evidence adduced in the Moonsiff's Court and the report made to you by the Tahsildar of Cumalapoor in regard to the respective claims of the Petitioner and Sasha Reddy to the Dusawundum Enam in dispute to request that you will institute a personal enquiry into the merits of the case and report the result to the Board.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22d. February 1844.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Actg. Secy.

To

J.G.

3564 Fort St. George 22nd. February 1844.

86

To the Ag. Coll.r in the N.rn Div.n of Arcot.
No. 81 of 1844.

To

The Acting Collector in the
Northern Division of
Arcot.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you the accompanying Petition, and to request that you will be pleased to report briefly whether there is any truth in the Petitioner's allegations against the Tahsildar of the Vellore Talook and Cummum of Brummadasem.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
22nd February 1844.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

87

To the Ag. Coll.r of Salem.
No. 197 of 1843.

To the Acting Principal Collector of
Salem.

Sir.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to refer the accompanying Petition to you for enquiry into the circumstances stated by the Petitioner particularly with reference to the alleged alteration of the terms of the Taboo Chitt, on which he undertook to reclaim the waste land. The Board likewise desire to know whether

3565

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

whether a Scerva was fixed on the land at the Survey and whether the terms on which it was given to the Petitioner differed from that standard.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd February, 1844.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary

88

To the Principal Collector of Madura.

To the Pl. Collr. of Madura.
No. 1795 of 1843.

Sir

The Petition noted in the margin having been presented by a Curnum of the Sevagunga Zemindary removed under your orders, the Petitioner has received an Endorsement referring him to you with reference to the views explained in my letter of the 25th January 1844.—

Endorsement.

Petitioner is referred to the Principal Collector of Madura who has received instructions on the subject.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd February, 1844.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

So.

3566

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844.

89

To the Pl. Collr. of Madura.

To the Principal Collector of Madura.

Sir

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 1801 of 1843 from Palany Lon of Pannibum Salty Meerasidar of Samboo of the Shevagungah Zemindary in your Zillah having been received by Sapsal, I am directed by to the Board of Revenue to transmit it to you, and to request that you will be pleased to submit for their information the whole of the Proceedings connected with the case in question, together with any observations you may have to offer on the subject.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd February, 1844.

/Signed/ E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Circular to all Collectors Except Sea Customs.

90

Circular.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit to you

SE-SU

you the 18th part of the Skeleton Glossary mentioned in a Circular letter from this Office dated 19th December last.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
22nd February 1844.

(Signed) E. C. Lovell
Acting Secretary.

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.—

(91) From the Principal Collector of Madura. Return of persons charged with Offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for the Month of January last.—

(92) From the Principal Collector of Madura. Return of persons suspended and dismissed in conformity to Section VIII. Regulation III of 1831 for the Month of January last.—

(93) From the Principal Collector of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of January last.—

(94) From the Principal Collector of Madura. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices

prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of January last.—

(95) From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of January last.—

(96) From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of January last.—

(97) From the Principal Collector of Nellore. Salt account Current for the Quarter ending 31st December last.

(98) From the Principal Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of the Chittagong Indent for 1843/44 up to 8th Febuary 1844.—

(99) From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of Goods recommended to be released on payment of Extra duty for the Month of January last.—

Ordered

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

the order passed by the Collector and he also produced an Extract of the Court's Diary requesting me to confirm the decree of the acting Head Assistant Collector. If the Defendant Vencata Row had explained to me the contents of the Deposition of the Carnum taken before the Acting Head Assistant Collector I would not reverse the Decree of the Acting Head Assistant Collector. The Defendant Vencata Row without giving me a correct explanation regarding the case only told me that it was a case pending in the Civil Court that the only answer we could give, would be to refer the Mootahdar to the Court. One of the Jewabnevis's Vadajee Row then came and explained to me all the particulars connected with the case as stated in the Urzee, sent by the Tahsildar of Tengaracottah and of the Yadast of the acting Head Assistant Collector as well as the Extract of the Court's Diary produced by the Mootahdar's Vakeel. I then determined to examine the case myself on the Petition presented by the Vakeel of Mootahdar and send directions for all the parties to appear.

In the Memorandum dated 15th February in the Defendant's own hand writing

Fort St. George 22nd February 1844

writing sent to the Acting Head Assistant Collector it is stated that a dispute between the Mootahdar of Anandoor and Chenna Vurda Gounden for Putcut lands having existed for these 3 years and pending in the Civil Court the suit being an old one it does not appear right to separate the land in the present examination and accordingly reverse the Decision of the Acting Head Assistant Collector dated 23rd December and that all the documents were returned to him. As the Defendant is a person of experience in the Office and must have heard of the dispute between the Mootahdar and Chenna Vurda Gounden from being in the situation of Jewab Nevis and performing the duties he with a wilful intention to deceive leading me to believe that the case had been thus disposed of as pending on a trial in Court returned the Document to the Acting Head Assistant Collector reversing his order. The Defendant having thus taken advantage of the short period of my arrival to deceive me from my ignorance of the records I could place

Hereafter

hereafter no confidence in him and suspended him from the performance of the duties until I could ascertain the truth of the matter from personal investigation.-

On the 22nd April 1843 the Mootahdars Vakeel and Chenna Vurda Gounden having appeared before me and given deposition it appeared clear that he had been unjustifiably disputing with the Mootahdar without receiving a Puttah for Fusly 1252 as in 51, also as the Head Assistant Collectors decision of 23rd December to separate the Putcut lands of Chenna Vurda Gounden and others was quite right, I confirmed the decree of the Acting Head Assistant Collector and corrected the 3 papers mentioned in Endorsement given by me to which my signature under misrepresentation had been deceitfully obtained on the 16th February 1843 and sent an order to this effect to the Tahsildar of Tengaracottah on the 23rd May 1843.-

It appears by a Deposition taken from Irrilagerry Iyen on the 15th May that the Endorsement to which my signature was deceitfully obtained was given by the Defendant himself to Chenna Vurda Gounden. The Deposition of Vadajee Row given on the 15th May 1843 clearly confirm that

that the Defendant had concealed the truth from me and had given me no proper explanation of the case. In the Deposition given by Defendant he states that he had verbally reported all the circumstances to me and that he had not examined all the Documents in the Woozoor Cutcherry and that he said it was an old pending cause by the letter received from the Court calling for some Documents that no order had been received regarding the Putcut lands from the Court and that he mentioned in the memorandum of 16th February 1843 the circumstances on the inspection of the Urzee presented by Chenna Vurda Gounden he returned the Documents to the Acting Head Assistant Collector as he had no reason to detain them. That it came to his Knowledge that Chenna Vurda Gounden and others had obtained Puttas from the Mootahdar after reading the Moochilka presented by the Mootahdars Vakeel but that he did not tell the Mootahdar to go to the Court. His Deposition is contradictory and at variance with facts but does not amount to a confession.-

The Acting Jewabnevis on examining the Woozoor records discovered some Urzees and complaints were in arrears and unread which had been received from Ryots and others and on enquiring of the Dufter Bund Vencatasawmy he delivered a Dufter bundle containing 385 Urzees and complaints and on examining the Defendant he made an explanation

Fort St. George 22d February 1844

explanation to the following effect.-

That it was true there were arrears of Urzees and Complaints not read when Mr. Gleig gave over charge of the District that he was directed to read them to his Successor Mr. Brett in charge of the District that the said Dufter being mislaid he was unable to do it He also confesses that he did not inform me after my arrival of the arrears as he then could not find the Dufter.-

The Dufter bund on examination regarding this deposed that the Dufter was never mislaid that he used to bring and lay it before the Defendant for his inspection and could not account how the Defendant Vencata Row could say he did not.-

The Defendant holds a well paid 70 Rupees situation and responsibility as Head Jewab Nevis for the purpose of explaining and reading all correspondence, Urzees and Complaints that may be received, but it is unreasonable concealing them without reporting them to the Collector.- The parties whose Urzees he has kept back unread must have suffered much inconvenience. I can therefore place no further confidence in him and replace him in the performance of his duties as Head Jewab Nevis or to do business with him. As I have every reason to assert he has deceived me and told falsehood in the former case as in this- I. the Acting Principal Collector have therefore determined to remove him as unfit for the situation he now holds.

/True Copy/
/Signed/ W. C. Lockhart
Acting Principal Collector

I did not give out this decision till Mr. Gleig extended his leave as I wished- if he returned that he should pass orders on it. Vencata Row was employed afterwards under the Sheristadar though I had determined to dismiss him.-

/Signed/ W. C. Lockart.

Fort Pt. George 22d February 1844.-

Order thereon.- Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-
Vide Pro. 27th Inst.

37-38

To. C. C. Lovell Esqre.
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.-

From the Acting Pt. Coll. of Salem,

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings submitted to the Board on the 4th ultimo, on the subject of the suspended Tahseldar Vencatasiah. I have the honor to forward the Original Urzee presented to me this day by the Curnums, Monegars and other respectable Inhabitants and Merchants of Ratzipoor, representing, that there Signatures were surreptitiously obtained to a Mazernamah got up by the said Vencatasiah to serve his own ends, and which I am informed has been forwarded by him to the Board. This paper is sent merely to convince the Board of the intrigue that has been practiced, and the unjustifiable conduct of a suspended public Officer at a time when his case has been Submitted for the decision of higher authority.-

39

Salem Zillah, Akloor,
Prin. Coll. Cutcherry,
10th February 1844.-

(Signed) W. C. Lockhart
Ag. Prin. Coll.

Order thereon.- Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.-

39-40

Read.

Read the following letters.-

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

41

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot.-

Sir,

I have the honor to report.- that one Mahomed Ismail a Mushroofy Yeomiahdar referred to in the list forwarded to the Board on the 2nd March 1838, died on 26th September last, and in Submitting the claim preferred by his Son Mahomed Hussain for the renewal of the Yeomiah of Rupees 31-7-1 per annum in his name as next heir to the deceased incumbent, I have to state that on referring to the records of the Cutcherry it appears by a Certificate granted to the deceased by Mr. Collector Ravenshaw as well as by an attested copy of an Enoyetnamah issued by His Highness the late Nabob Wallajah alluded to in the former document that the Yeomiah in question was originally granted for the purchase of Ghee for the use of lights furnished daily at a Durgah in the Town of Chellumbrum. The claimant Mahomed Hussain continues providing the lights since the demise of

+ No. 3. Pension No. 42.

+ 22d. October 1807.

+ 17. Runjan Hizry 1992

his father, and a similar religious grant was always renewed, and continued for the support of the charity, I beg to recommend the Board will be pleased to obtain the Sanction of Government to renew the Yeomiah in his name from 27th September 1843.-

South Arcot,
Coll.rs Cutcherry
Cuddalore, 23d. Jan.y 1844.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector

Re. Solution thereon.- The Board request that Mr. Davis will have the goodness to furnish them with a Copy of the Certificate and translation of the Enoyetnamah abovementioned.-

41-42

To C. C. Lovell Esqre
Acting Sec.y to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

43

From the Coll.r in the S.th D.n of Arcot.-

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a Statement of Smuggled Indigo for the confiscation of which I request you will be pleased to obtain the Board's Sanction.-

On the 25th December 1843 at about 2 O'Clock in the Morning, while one Seena Reddy alias Streenevasareddy of Coonychem-puttoor, a French Village, was conveying the above article on four Coolies, without being accompanied by a Rowanah or any permit whatever, by way of Cochoo-pollem, an English Village with the view of entering a french Village adjacent thereto, the Sayer Amenah Pattiengar, Mooshruff Harry row, Nevesindah Ram row Pygust Nursinga row and Peon Lutchmana Naik of Madurapank Kunn Chowky apprehended the Smugglers.-

From the detailed enquiry made I am satisfied it was the intention of the owner of the article in conveying it at that hour, to evade circar duty as is too frequently the practice on the frontier. I therefore recommend that the Indigo be confiscated.-

The delay in making this report I beg to State has been occasioned by an oversight.-

South Arcot Coll.rs Cutcherry,
Cuddalore, 16th February 1844.

(Signed) W. D. Davis
Collector.

Statement

3569 York St. George 22nd February 1866.

Order thereon. Ordered that the Goods enumerated in the abovementioned Statements be authorized to be released on payment of Extra duty.—

99-100

" Bruce

" Dickinson

" Walter Elliot