Fort St. George 24th November 1842

explain the former usages of the country and the principles on which alterations have been made and are yet in progress, with the additional subjects of Maramut Estimates and the field survey. In short, my object has been to give every information that it has been in my power to obtain regarding this newly acquired Jaghеer (in extent equal to that of Chingleput) and to comprise in one Report what, had I been under the immediate orders of the Board, would have been the correspondence of 3 years.

Should

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

I

133. Should I be honored by any suggestions, they shall be at once acted upon, and I trust that if the Government find any thing in the opinions herein expressed, or proceedings herein reported, which may require modification or amendment, I may be favoured with their directions, sufficiently early to enable me to adopt their views before the season is advanced.—

Commissioner's Office
Nundicotcoor
29th August 1842.

/signed/ W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

Statement

150. The Nizam's 3 Villages are on the South bank of the river about 10 miles East of Kurnool I have not been able to ascertain their Bariz but they are far less valuable than the Kurnool ones. Still as it is an object to keep a perfect River Boundary I would wish to bring the fact to the notice of Government particularly if the proposed Frontier Chowkies are sanctioned.

151. The chief points remaining for further reference to Govern=ment are the disposal of the Enams and the assessment. I have prepared a general plan of settlement for the former, and an esti=mate of the expenses of the latter, which I am exceedingly anxious to commence at once while

while the crops are on the ground and during a season when I can give my personal supervision. but these subjects shall be reserved for another communication.

In conclusion, I beg

152. to apologize for the length to which this Report has extended. Being the first official paper sent to the Records of the Board regarding the Revenue system of Kurnool, it is incumb=ent on me to enter into the earliest detail. Besides this it embraces the Settlements of 3 Tuslies Extra as well as Land Revenue and is intended to explain

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

explain the former usages of the country and the principles on which alterations have been made and are yet in progress, with the additional subjects of Maramut Estimates and the field survey. In short, my object has been to give every information that it has been in my power to obtain regarding this newly acquired Jagheer (in extent equal to that of Chingleput) and to comprise in one Report what, had I been under the immediate orders of the Board, would have been the correspondence of 3 years.

Should

J G B

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

I

153. Should I be honored by any suggestions, they shall be at once acted upon, and I trust that if the Government find any thing in the opinions herein expressed, or proceedings herein reported, which may require modification or amendment, I may be favoured with their directions sufficiently early to enable me to adopt their views before the season is advanced.—

Commissioner's Office
Nundidroog
29th August 1842.

/signed/ W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

Statement

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

explain the former usages of the country and the principles on which alterations have been made and are yet in progress, with the additional subjects of Maramut Estimates and the field survey. In short, my object has been to give every information that it has been in my power to obtain regarding this newly acquired Jagheer (in extent equal to that of Chingleput) and to comprise in one Report what, had I been under the immediate orders of the Board, would have been the correspondence of 3 years.

Should

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

I

153. Should I be honored by any suggestions, they shall be at once acted upon, and I trust that if the Government find any thing in the opinions herein expressed, or proceedings herein reported, which may require modification or amendment, I may be favoured with their directions, sufficiently early to enable me to adopt their views before the season is advanced.—

Commissioner's Office
Nundicotcoor
29th August 1842.

Signed / W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

Statement

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

explain the former usages of the country and the principles on which alterations have been made and are yet in progress, with the additional subjects of Maramut Estimates and the field survey— In short, my object has been to give every information that it has been in my power to obtain regarding this newly acquired Jagheer (in extent equal to that of Chingleput) and to comprise in one Report what, had I been under the immediate orders of the Board, would have been the correspondence of 3 years.—

Should

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

I

153. Should I be honored by any suggestions, they shall be at once acted upon, and I trust that if the Government find any thing in the opinions herein expressed, or proceedings herein reported, which may require modification or amendment, I may be favoured with their directions, sufficiently early to enable me to adopt their views before the season is advanced.—

Commissioner's Office
Nundicotcoor
29th August 1842.

[signed] W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

Statement—

Fort St. George

I.

(c) Cotto Demand

Deduct

volatee Puyer			Pareeboodate and Payamalee.		
8			9		
	A	P	CR	A	P
	14	4	6	"	"
	1	5	"	"	"
	2	8	"	"	"
	1	"	"	"	"
	19		26	"	"
	5		"	"	"
	7		32	"	"
	7		32	"	"

24th November 1842.

I

and Summabundy Settlement for Fusly 1251.—

Remissions on the undermentioned accounts.—

Loss of Crops		Teeruva Hummee.		A Reddy's Enam.		Shrotriemdars Remission.			Total Remissions Col.s 8 to 13.		
10.		11.		12.		13.			14.		
CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P	CR	A P		CR	A P	
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		2108	14	4
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		3883	1	3
"	" "	272	6 6	"	" "	"	" "		1260	9	2
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		300	1	"
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		252	1	9
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		1410	15	6
41	" "	9	4 "	23	9 "	75	10 6		1254	3	5
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		304	15	"
41	" "	281	10 6	23	9 "	75	10 6		10774	13	7
"	" "	"	" "	"	" "	"	" "		"	"	"
41	" "	281	10 6	23	9 "	75	10 6		10774	13	7

1541 8 — Fort St. George — 24th November 1842.

Remainder or Net "Ayen" Demand.—

Land actually sown			Land left waste			Total Col.s 15 & 16 or Col 7-14			Concealed Cultivation			Fixed Jodee		
15			16			17			18			19		
C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P
84,520	15	5	2199	5	4	86720	4	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
82947	1	2	2354	12	1	85301	13	3	63	8	"	"	"	"
98,682	3	3	1828	11	7	100,570	14	10	"	"	"	"	"	"
76.916	5	9	1495	1	9	78411	7	6	"	"	"	2006	1	"
82,805	13	7	1869	6	11	84675	4	6	"	"	"	1189	3	"
59424	7	1	3716	8	3	63140	15	4	"	"	"	"	"	"
80117	15	8	2161	13	1	82279	14	9	"	"	"	"	"	"
60466	13	"	1622	14	2	62089	11	2	"	"	"	1420	11	11
625881	10	11	17248	11	2	643130	6	1	63	8	"	4616	4	11
370	3	3	"	"	"	370	3	3	"	"	"	"	"	"
626251	14	2	17248	11	2	643500	9	4	63	8	"	4616	4	11

+ I believe the Caboolaty Bunjer in this Talook to have been much more, but the Tahsildar was very negligent with his enquiries.—

Add—

Jodee on Enam land			"Enamity"			Enamitee Feeroa Jastee			Enamitee Fussul Jastee.-			Total Col.s 18 to 23.-		
20.-			21.-			22			23			24.-		
C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P	C.Rs	A	P
5500	10	"	3638	7	6	"	"	"	313	7		9142	15	1
6472	14	"	4563	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	11,099	13	"
8485	13	6	3984	11	5	32	"	4	70	"	"	12,572	9	3
5779	6	9	3492	14	6	492	12	"	148	10	"	11,919	12	3
3232	1	6	3190	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	7661	10	7
1207	6	"	3295	6	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	4502	12	6
5549	12	2	3451	10	2	"	"	"	172	2	5	9173	8	9
4860	"	4	1803	2	+10	"	"	"	"	"	"	8083	13	1
41138	"	3	27419	13	"	524	12	4	394	10	"	74157	"	6
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
41138	"	3	27419	13	"	524	12	4	394	10	"	74157	"	6

+ The Enamity is less in this Talook, because the land is Chiefly red soil on which the Enamity is less.—

154 19.

Toll St. George

24th November 1842.

Total Columns 17 and 24.-	Deductions.- Village Saderwared	Sundial Shroffs pay	Total Col. 26 and 27.-	Remainder brought to Account of Settlement.-	Collections within the Fusly.-	Percentage of Balances.-
25.	26.	27.	28	29.	30	31.-
CR. A. P.	CR. A. P.	CR. A. P.	CR. A. P.	CR. A. P.	CR. A. P.	
95,863 3 10	1,660 12 "	" " "	1,660 12 "	94,202 7 10	80,320 2 11	15
96401 10 3	1,462 6 "	" " "	1,462 6 "	94939 4 3	77,997 5 7	18
113083 8 1	1,851 10 8	60 " "	1,911 10 8	111171 13 5	88,266 2 7	20¼
90,331 3 9	2,200 12 7	" " "	2,200 12 7	88130 7 2	78,274 15 8	11
92,336 15 7	1,575 13 6	" " "	1,575 13 6	90761 1 7	76,795 8 3	15½
67,643 11 10	1,026 3 "	" " "	1,026 3 "	66,617 8 10	60,392 " 6	9½
91,453 7 6	1,380 13 1	" " "	1,380 13 1	90072 10 5	70,847 2 10	21
70173 10 3	1,101 7 3	" " "	1,101 7 3	69072 3 "	57,995 " 8	24½
717,287 6 7	12,259 14 1	60 " "	12,319 14 1	704967 8 6	584,888 7 "	"
370 3 3	" " "	" " "	" " "	370 3 3	270 14 3	"
717,657 9 10	12,259 14 1	60 " "	12,319 14 1	705337 11 9	585,159 5 3	17

[signed] W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

Abstract

15420

Fort St. George

II.

Abstract Statement of all Sources of Revenue in Sayer Talooks. for Fusly 1251.-

24th November 1842.

No.	Talooks.	Land Revenue		Moturpha		Rented		Amauny		Total of Sayer	Abkarry (Rented)	Sundry Farms.- Diamond mine	Sundry Farms.- Taxeable Groves.	Sundry Farms.- Total of Sundry Farms.	Total Cols. 3. 4. 7. 8. and 11.-
1	2	3		4		5		6		7	8	9	10	11	12.
		Cᵒ Rs	a p	Cᵒ Rs	a p	Cᵒ Rs	a p	Cᵒ Rs	a p	Cᵒ Rs a p	Cᵒ Rs a p	Cᵒ Rs a p	Cᵒ Rs a p	Cᵒ Rs a p	Cᵒ Rs a p
1	Chagulmurry	94,202	7 10	2,567	7	1,530	" "	"	" "	1,530 " "	1300 " "	" " "	" " "	329 5 "	99949 3 10
2	Sirsel	94939	4 3	2,049	12 8	1,450	" "	"	" "	1,450 " "	1,390 " "	" " "	" " "	341 7 "	120,199 11 5
3	Nundiah	111171	13 5	2926	7 "	3,000	" "	"	" "	3,000 " "	2760 " "	" " "	" " "	271 6 "	100,489 10 2
4	Paniem	88130	7 2	2,742	13 "	3010	" "	"	" "	3,010 " "	6335 " "	" " "	165 " "	645 15 "	810 15 " 114423 81
5	Dhone	90761	1 7	5431	7 6	3900	" "	"	" "	3,900 " "	13520 " "	" " "	" " "	981 14 "	981 14 " 87,937 9 8
6	Goodoor	66617	8 10	6838	2 10	3400	" "	"	" "	3,400 " "	10,100 " "	" " "	" " "	198 26	198 26 104,226 5 5
7	Nundicotcoor	90072	10 5	4600	6 5	3,500	" "	465	21	3965 21	5390 " "	" " "	" " "	180 29	180 29 82,311 7 4
8	Autcoor	69072	3 "	3509	1 7	5050	" "	"	" "	5,050 " "	4,500 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Total	704967	8 6	30665	10 "	24860	" "	465	21	25325 21	45,295 " "	" " "	165 " "	3505 43	3670 43 809923 8 10
	Hurnool	370	3 3	9754	6 7	536	4 "	12994	2 11	13,530 6 11	13,635 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " " 37,290 " 9
	Grand Total	705337	11 9	40,420	" 7	25,396	4 "	13459	5 "	38855 9 "	58,930 " "	" " "	165 " "	3505 43	3670 43 847,213 9 7

(Signed) H. H. Bayley—
Commissioner

Statement—

St George

III.

in Kurnool

Sayer

24th November 1842.

for Fusly 1251.—

Abkarry

Amauny.			Total		Collection		Balance.		Amount Rented		Collection		Balance	
10.			11		12.		13.		14.		15		16.	
Rs	A	P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P	Rs	A P
"	"	0	1,530	" "	1,549	8 0	"	8 0	1,300	" 0	1,300	" "	"	" "
"	"	1	1,450	" "	1,395	10 0	54	6 4	1,390	" "	1,274	2 8	115	13 4
"	"	0	3,000	" "	3,000	" "	"	" "	2,760	" "	2,760	" "	"	" "
"	"	0	3,010	" "	2,799	4 11	210	11 1	6,335	" "	5,397	2 6	937	13 6
"	"	0	3,900	" "	3,900	" "	"	" "	13,520	" "	12,393	5 4	1126	10 8
"	"	4	3,400	" "	3,400	" "	"	" "	10,100	" "	10,100	" "	"	" "
65	2	1	3,965	2 1	3,634	10 9	330	7 4	5,390	" 0	4,511	" "	879	" 0
"	"	"	5,050	" 0	4,571	4 0	478	12 0	4,500	" "	3,867	14 0	632	2 0
65	2	1	25,325	2 1	24,250	5 8	1,074	12 5	45,295	" 0	41,603	8 6	3,691	7 6
94	2	11	13,530	6 11	13,221	14 5	308	8 6	13,635	" 0	12,498	12 0	1,136	4 0
59	3	0	38,855	9 0	37,472	4 1	1,383	4 11	58,930	" 0	54,102	4 6	4,827	11 6

15 St George

III.

in Kurnool

Sayer

24th November 1842.

for Fusly 1251.—

Abkarry

Amauny			Total		Collection		Balance		Amount Rented		Collection		Balance	
10.			11		12.		13.		14.		15		16.	
Cr.	a	p	Cr.	a	p	Cr.	a	p	Cr.	a	p	Cr.	a	p
"	"	"												
"	"	"							1,300	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	1,530	"	"	1,549	8	"	"	8	"			
"	"	"	1,450	"	"	1,395	10	"	54	6	4	1,390	"	"
"	"	"	3,000	"	"	3,000	"	"	"	"	"	2,760	"	"
"	"	"	3,010	"	"	2,799	4	11	210	11	1	6,335	"	"
"	"	"	3,900	"	"	3,900	"	"	"	"	"	13,520	"	"
"	"	"	3,400	"	"	3,400	"	"	"	"	"	10,100	"	"
65	2	1	3,965	2	1	3,634	10	9	330	7	4	5,390	"	"
"	"	"	5,050	"	"	4,571	4	"	478	12	"	4,500	"	"
65	2	1	25,325	2	1	24,250	5	8	1,074	12	5	45,295	"	"
94	2	11	13,530	6	11	13,221	14	5	308	8	6	13,635	"	"
59	5	"	38,855	9	"	37,472	4	1	1,383	4	11	58,930	"	"

Collection			Balance		
15			16.		
Cr.	a	p	Cr.	a	p
1,300	"	"	"	"	"
1,274	2	8	115	13	4
2,760	"	"	"	"	"
5,397	26		937	13	6
12,393	5	4	1126	10	8
10,100	"	"	"	"	"
4,511	"	"	879	"	"
3,867	14	"	632	2	"
41,603	8	6	3,691	7	6
12,498	12	"	1,136	4	"
54,102	4	6	4,827	11	6

15422 ||

Fort St. George

24th November 1842.

Sundry

Diamond Mine			Taxable Groves			Farms.			Grand Total all Sources.		
						Total					
Amount.	Collections	Balances	Amount.	Collections	Balance.	Amount.	Collections	Balance.	Amount.	Collections	Balance.
17	18	19	20	21	22.	23	24	25.	26	27.	28.
Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.	Cr.
"	"	"	329 5.	278 " "	51 5.	329 5.	278 " "	51 5.	99949 3 10	85718 12 5	14230 7 5
"	"	"	557 " "	498 11 5	58 4 7	557 " "	498 11 5	58 4 7	100386 " 11	82497 9 8	17888 7 3
"	"	"	341 7.	84 2.	257 5.	341 7.	84 2.	257 5.	120199 11 5	96168 2 7	24031 8 10
"	"	"	271 6.	135 14 2	135 7 10	271 6.	135 14 2	135 7 10	100439 10 2	83945 4 9	115744 5 5
165 " "	165 " "	" "	645 15.	566 11 8	79 3 4	810 15.	731 11 8	79 3 4	114423 8 1	98724 5 9	15699 2 4
"	"	"	981 14.	966 4 "	15 10.	981 14.	966 4 "	15 10.	87937 9 8	81189 2 6	6748 7 2
"	"	"	198 26	60 1.	138 1 6	198 26	60 1.	138 1 6	104226 5 5	82989 5.	21237 " 5
"	"	"	180 29	60 73	119 11 6	180 29	60 73	119 11 6	82311 7 4	63306 4 3	19005 3 1
165 " "	165 " "	" "	3505 43	2650 36	855 " 9	3670 43	2815 36	855 " 9	809923 8 10	679538 14 11	130384 9 11
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37290 " 9	34818 13 5	2471 3 4
165 " "	165 " "	" "	3505 43	2650 36	855 " 9	3670 43	2815 36	855 " 9	847213 9 7	714357 12 4	132855 13 3
									705337 11 9	585159 5 3	120178 6 6
									141875 13 10	129198 7 1	12677 6 9

Land Revenues
Extra Sources

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

Statement

15423

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

IV.

Statement Shewing the Number of Maramut works estimated for and Actually

			Number	Estimated Cost				Number	Actual Cost			
Totals			10	1882	11	2		10	1882	11	2	
Havaly or Nundicotcoor	Tanks		2	690	4	3		2	690	4	3	
	Wells		2	125	5	0		2	125	5	0	
Totals			4	815	9	3		4	815	9	3	
Autecoor	Wells		1	189	11	7		1	189	11	7	
Dhone	Tanks		17	4336	10	3	1	18	4422	12	11	
	Channels		3	293	9			3	293	9		
	Tanks for Cattle		1	87	10	2		1	87	10	2	
Totals			21	4717	5	2	1	22	4803	7	10	
Goodoor	Tanks		3	1064	5	3		3	1064	5	3	
	Wells		2	20	0			2	20	0		
Totals			5	1084	5	3		5	1084	5	3	

			Estimated Cost				Actual Cost			
Totals			1882	11	2		1832	11	2	1119	11	6
Havaly or Nundicotcoor	Tanks		690	4	3		562	12	3			
	Wells		125	5	0		125	5	0	105	4	6
Totals			815	9	3		688	1	3	105	4	6
Autecoor	Wells		189	11	7		189	11	7	100		
Dhone	Tanks		4336	10	3	1 86 28	4422	12	11	2949	9	1172	5	5
	Channels		293	9			293	9		293	9	74	11	6
	Tanks for Cattle		87	10	2		87	10	2	40				
Totals			4717	5	2	1 86 28	4803	7	10	3282	1	6	1247	11
Goodoor	Tanks		1064	5	3		1064	5	3	510		329	7	4
	Wells		20	0			20	0		10				
Totals			1084	5	3		1084	5	3	520		329	7	4

15424

Fort St. George 24th Kumbur 1842.-

| | | |

15424

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

No. 5

General Bookroom Namah.

for

Fusly 1252.

The following Rules are to be strictly attended to and any deviation from them will incur displeasure.

I

Since in the Kurnool Country the Talooks are small and seldom above 50 or 60 Villages in each Talook, it is very easy for every Tahsildar to make the Pellavary of each Village himself personally, and it is hereby ordered that he do so_he is not merely to confirm the arrangements made by the Village authorities but he is to take part himself in making the settlement, and see how the land is distributed to each ryot and enter each man's holding and the teerwa thereof in the Caboolatee Chitta.

II.

We must not press Ryots to agree for old Banjer that it is never usual to cultivate, and he must make such Caboolatee as to be sure that if the Season is moderately good the whole may be cultivated. If it is a good Season and heavy Balances occur (as

15424

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

No. 5

| | | |

(as they did this year) it will be known that the Tahsildar made the settlement or Dittum without proper attention.-

III

If Ryots altogether refuse to cultivate, a Razeenamah should be taken from them and the Reddies and Curnums ordered to report every Month to the Tahsildar whether the said Ryots are again cultivating or whether they are Farari; in the latter case the Village people must find out to what other place they are gone, and report if they ever return to their own Village.-

IV

All Jamabundy and Vittavar accounts must be prepared according to the forms sent from the Kooroor and the Monthly Statements required to be sent to Kurnool must be sent from the Taluks by the 10th of every month. This rule has not been attended to and as there is no excuse for this negligence it will not pass unnoticed in future.

V

In those Talooks where the Pymash has been executed the Tahsildars must

must be careful to see that the Curnums accounts correspond with the Pymash; and fields which have lately been discovered must be brought to account according to their extent in acres.-

VI

In distributing the fields for cultivation the Tahsildar must take care that the poorer Ryots are not burdened with the worst land, which is generally the object with the Reddies and Curnums, and to endeavour if a fair apportionment exists to let the same Ryots always have the same land.-

VII

While the crops are on the ground the Crotydars are to see carefully what fields are in cultivation, and whether more land is cultivated than is entered in the Dittum chitta they are also to make each Ryot shew the curnum's Receipt for his first on the back of his Pattah every month- and compare the amount of these Receipts with the accounts in the possession of the Curnum and the

(as they did this year) it will be known that the Tahsildar made the settlement or Dittum without proper attention.-

III

If Ryots altogether refuse to cultivate, a Razeenamah should be taken from them and the Reddies and Curnums ordered to report every Month to the Tahsildar whether the said Ryots are again cultivating or whether they are Parari; in the latter case the Village people must find out to what other place they are gone and report if they ever return to their own Village.-

IV

All Jamabundy and Villavar accounts must be prepared according to the forms sent from the Board and the Monthly Statements required to be sent to Kurnool must be sent from the Talook by the 10th of every month. This rule has not been attended to and as there is no excuse for this negligence it will not pass unnoticed in future.

V

In those Talooks where the Pymash has been executed the Tahsildars must

must be careful to see that the Curnums accounts correspond with the Pymash, and fields which have lately been discovered must be brought to account according to their extent in acres.-

VI

In distributing the fields for cultivation the Tahsildar must take care that the poorer Ryots are not burdened with the worst land, which is generally the object with the Reddies and Curnums, and to endeavour if a fair apportionment exists to let the same Ryots always have the same land.-

VII

While the crops are on the ground the Naiydars are to see carefully what fields are in cultivation, and whether more land is cultivated than is entered in the Dittum shitta they are also to make each Ryot shew the curnums Receipt for his Kist on the back of his Pattah every month and compare the amount of these Receipts with the accounts in the possession of the Curnums thus the

the Mattydars, carcoons &c. will be responsible for sist Bakee, and Dust Bakee.

VIII The Tahsildars being trusted by the Circar may make some small gootakam: = mee in those cases where Khooskee land exceeds 35 Rupees a Coodoo, and Turree 75 Rupees per Coodoo, but this must not be done without personal examination as to the true extent of the land, also of the neighbouring fields, nar without reporting the particulars to the Koozoor immediately after Lands held by Pyakaries should not be given at a low rate unless they come from a long distance.

IX No Remissions will be made for bad Crops on Khooskee lands, but where Turree Crops fail for want of water or from Panisoodatee, the Tahsildar after personal enquiry must report the particulars to the Koozoor, unless the Pyot complains at the time, no remission will be granted.

X The Reddies and Curnums must

must report when Pyots who have emi: = grated from other Villages, or strangers, come to their Village. also the places where their Pyacaries reside; if they do not this they will be punished. Pyots should remain in their own Villages as much as possible.

XI all Mogulye cowles are to be discontinued, but in those Villages where they were granted, the Beriz may be estimated at a little below the last or full amount of the Cowle. No new Cowles either for Villages or fields are to be granted. but if the person who held the old Cowle takes up land, he should have no abate: = ment, if the time of the Cowle has ex: = expired.

XII No tax is to be levied on scattered fruit trees, but only the usual rent on Topes and orchards.

XIII Whatever may be the goota on 2 Crop lands, only half is to be collected on the 1st Crop if a 2d Crop is raised the other ½ is to be collected and entered as "Fusfel Jastee". In

In

XIV In those cases where it is usual by Inamool, a 2d. Crop tax is to be levied from Enam lands under the head of "Enam Fusel Jastee"

XV If Khooskee land is cultivated with Bagayet and Tarree or dry Bagayet with Tarree Crops, by means of Circar whater they must pay a teerwa Jastee.-

XVI The Circar tanks, Channels and wells should first irrigate the Circar fields then Jodee Enam lands, and then Suwa Enam lands. In the case of Dry Enam lands being watered and cultivated with Bagayet and wet produce, teerwa Jastee should be entered, the teerwa being estimated with reference to the adjacent lands in the same or neighbouring Villages similarly circumstanced.-

XVII Remissions for land entered in the Caboolatee, but left uncultivated will not be allowed to those persons who cultivate Enam lands or possess Enam land, according to the respective

respective quantity of Enam, or who have improperly obtained at a lower rate, fields formerly occupied by Ryots carries.-

XVIII Jodee should only be collected for as much Enam land as may be cultivated but if it remains uncultivated more than one year and no Caboolatee is given to pay the Jody, the Circar may take it.-

XIX The Inamity is to be collected as usual till further orders may be issued- It appears that there are many balances due on this account by Enamdars, but this is not to be allowed.-

XX No goota Kummee should be made on such appanum lands as have been usually cultivated- If they are left waste they should immediately be offered to any Ryots, unless the owner gives a written agreement to pay the teerwa himself.-

XXI When Enamdars die it must be reported to the Boojoor who are their heirs-also if in Inams granted for Religious

Religious ceremonies, those ceremonies do not take place, it must be reported.-

XXII When estimates are sent in for Maramut it must be stated what amount of land has been, or can be cultivated by means of the water and you should yourself inspect the places and give your opinion. Persons accustomed to estimate and build will be appointed during the year.-

XXIII In distributing Succavy, cash must be given and not grain or cattle. a Receipt must be taken from each Ryot and the amount given should not be above 50 Rupees to any individual without informing the Roozoor.-

XXIV Moturpha Collections should be made according to a just and proper rate and may be fixed by a Punchayet of Merchants, Shopkeepers &c.a when any disputes arise. The Chellagoorla is to be collected in each Talook at 1 anna each head, but not on lambs and kids under 4 months.-

XXV As much complaint exists concerning the difference of

measures

measures and weights in different Villages, and as Bamboos and stones are used whereby no security is afforded to the Purchaser, it is to be notified that a new fixed arrangement will be made soon on this subject.-

XXVI you will take particular care that the boundary stones placed during the Pymash are not displaced. any such fault will be severely punished.-

XXVII The Tratydars, carcoons &c.a are to go to each Village every Month and Superintend the Chungavaresa accounts as per form furnished. They are to forward all their accounts to you Monthly and by you they are to be transmitted in abstract to the Roozoor with your own remarks.-

XXVIII When the Monthly Kistbundy account is furnished to the Roozoor, you must make particular remarks

as

as to those persons who are fallen into arrears, and means may be taken at that early period to prevent that loss to the Circar which takes place when the balances are only brought to particular notice at the end of the fusly, when it is too late to make proper arrangement, you are not to delay sending Remittances on the 5th of each Month even though the Collections should be small.

XXIX you are responsible for the Sheristadar Peishcar, Maty dars, Cursums &c.a placed under your orders, and if they have any fault you are to report it at the time of its occurrence to the Moozoor.

XXX That it may be known who has particular charge of each Village, you are to divide the Talook into Pheerkas so that each Maty dar may have one. you will also so divide the peons that

that each peon may be named to the inspection of particular Villages. when this arrangement is complete you will furnish a specific account to the Moozoor.

XXXI a blank form will be furnished to you in which opposite to the day of the Month, is to be entered at what Village you and your subordinates were on that day. and this form being filled up is to be sent to the Moozoor on the 5th of each Month. Persons will be sent from the Moozoor occasionally to the Villages to see if the Maty dar's account agrees with that sent up.

XXXII as arrangements are now in progress relative to the settlement

settlement of the saderwared ch-
charges, it is to be remembered
by all Tahsildars that during the
present fusly, no remission will be
allowed for saderwared until the
time of Summahbundy, when the Village
accounts must be shewn and sader-
wared allowed in proportion to the
Collections. The Tahsildars are during the
fusly to collect and carry to the ch-
Public account the List according to
the proper goota and not to allow ch
any sum to remain in the hands of
Reddies and Curnums. nor are the ch
Tahsildars themselves to apply such
sums under the name of saderwared
or such like charges.-

(Signed) R. K. Bayley
in Charge of Kurnool.

No. 6.

Instructions to Surveyors.

You will measure every descrip-
tion of land with a chain of thirty three English
feet, Twenty such chains, or 660 feet, in length
and two, or 66 feet, in breadth will give a
product of 43,560 square feet or 40 goontahs
which is an acre of land, you will observe
the shape of each field and divide it into
as many sections as convenient for measuring
it, The results of the several measure-
ments calculated as above, should be reduced
to acres and goontahs i. e. at forty goontahs
per acre carrying the fractions to accounts as
goontahs as follows.-

From East to west	— chains	—	10 1/4
North to South	— "	—	10 1/2
		Product	107 5/8

or 2 acres and 27 5/8 goontahs

The following are the par-
2 ticulars to be attended to in classifying land.-
1st. Lands having no permanent
means of irrigation such as Tanks, Pullahs,
wells &c. but depending solely upon rain
should be classed as dry land, as this may
be black, red or mixed soil you must be particularly
attentive in describing each field accordingly in your Chitta accounts.

Lands

goontahs. but a poor Ryot having a single plough cannot according to the circumstances of the village undertake a field containing more than 400 goontahs. In this case you must subdivide the field with distinct marks as follows.

/ Field on the North of goontahs Ramen gonds Japman field ——— 390.

/ Do. immediately on the North of the above on the east of Timmun gonds Ragi man field ——— 400

/ Field Nairelman field having Bheemungonds fields on the west and situated to the south Chintaman field ——— 460

Total 1250

You will also subdivide wet fields into two or more according to the advice of the reddies and Curnums if at present too extensive to be cultivated by a single plough.

4 In measuring uncultivated land you will divide it according to the old marks, should you meet with waste

waste (anadi) having no such marks you will cause them to be made you will describe uncultivated lands as follow one, two, three four or five years waste, from 6 to 10 years, 11 to 15, 16 to 20 years, and anadi Bunjer or immemorial waste.

5 The tarbuns or Palmira groves should be surveyed separately and the trees counted and specified as follows.

	Male	Female	Young	Not yet bearing	Past bearing	Total
East to ———						
West to ———						
South to ———						
& North to ———						
containing 10 goontahs						
Trees scattered						
in the fields ———						

6 The name of every field whether uncultivated or waste (anadi) should be entered in your accounts, but where there is no old name, you will give it one in concert with the reddies and curnums of the Village.

7 In the chittahs you will specify the names of

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

goontahs. but a poor Ryot having a single plough cannot according to the circumstances of the village undertake a field containing more than 400 goontahs. In this case you must subdivide the field with distinct marks as follows.

Field on the North of goontahs

Ramen gonds Japtman field ——— 390.

Do. immediately on the North of the above on the east of Sinnum gonds Rayman field ——— 400

Field Nairelman field having Sheemungonds fields on the west and situated to the south Chintaman field ——— 460

Total 1250

You will also subdivide wet fields into two or more according to the advice of the reddies and Curnums if at present too extensive to be cultivated by a single plough.

4 In measuring uncultivated land you will divide it according to the old marks, should you meet with waste

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

waste (anadi) having no such marks you will cause them to be made you will describe uncultivated lands as follow- one, two, three four or five years waste, from 6 to 10 years 11 to 15, 16 to 20 years, and anadi Bunjer or immemorial waste.

5 The tarbuns or Palmira groves should be surveyed separately and the trees counted and specified as follows.

	Male	Female	Young	Not yet bearing	Past bearing	Total
East to ———						
West to ———						
South to ———						
& North to ———						
containing 10 goontahs						
Trees scattered ———						
in the fields ———						

6 The name of every field whether uncultivated or waste (anadi) should be entered in your accounts, but where there is no old name, you will give it one in concert with the reddies and curnums of the Village.

7 In the chittahs you will specify the names of

of the fields Vca. by which every field is bounded

8 Where a field contains Tamarind, Talkar or any other trees, whether productive or unproductive, and situated either in the middle or at the sides of the field you will enter a remark in the chittahs opposite to such field. But make no deduction as Porumpooke an account of the land shaded by them.

9 The deductions to be made as in Porumpooke are as follows.

1 of the inhabited part of Villages or Pettahs } Aloyada Porumpooke or general deductions

2 Forts having ramparts on four sides.

3 Open Villages.

The tamarind trees Vca. situated in the above or Tamarind, Cocoanut, Vca. trees situated in the yards of houses must be specified in the account and the land under them measured.

E. G. Yellareddy's occupation of trees

	Number	East to west. Chains	North to south Chains
measuring 1/8 Goontahs	1	1/4	1/2
Seemareddy's Do. 3 Do.	3	1	3
Mahummed Saibo Do. 8 Do.	2	2	4
	11/6	6	3/4 7/2

1 Tank.
1 Bank of Tanks.
1 Extent on which water stands at present } . 600 Goontahs

Deduct

Extent which is cultivated as one crop land from wells when the Tank is dry.

E. G. Wanoomunt gouds occupation Goontahs

Yapman field 100

Rajeman field 70 170

Runga gouds occupation.

Rajeeman field..... 100

270

Remains. 330

1 Rivers and Nallahs. 1 Jungle currents
1 Roads. 1 Hillocks.
1 Barren lands. 1 Salt Mounds.
1 Well 1 Topes
1 Pagodah 1 Elepah trees 50
1 Tamarind Do. 100
Banian trees Vca.
to be described.

A sami Porumpoke. Deductions in individual occupations.

1 Rocks or Majees of stones not coming under cultivation. wells, Mountain, torrents, and currents intersecting fields, should be specified and deducted from every field opposite to the name of its occupant.

1 Rocks stones Vca. situated amidst the cultivable fallow lands should in like manner be deducted.

Jon

10 You will begin the measurement either from the East or North side of the Village and proceed regularly measuring cultivated circar Enam waste and Poramboke lands in order in which they lie, numbering them all consecutively.

The number will serve to distinguish fields when there are several of the same name in one Village, you will not number the wet fields separately. but number all fields whether dry or wet in continuation. But where there is a plain of extensive waste land it should be measured after the survey of the rest of the Village is finished. If the Muzrahs or Hamlets of a Village have distinct boundaries you will number their fields separately but if not you will number their fields in continuation i.e. without distinction between the fields of the Village and those of its Hamlets.

11. When you arrive in a village you will previously to beginning the survey take a Moochilikah from the reddies and Curnums of the Village.

12 As publicity is desirable in the survey, you will during the measurement procure the attendance of the ryots of the fields under measurement, curnums and ryots of the adjoining fields.

13 You will let the Curnums keep accounts of the measurements and you will compare

compare your abstracts with theirs daily

14 As you are furnished with a yard as a standard of measurement you will compare the chain by it every four days, and adjust any difference that may be found in its length.

15 You must measure daily whether circar or Enam lands as follows.

Dry. If cultivated ——— 5000 Goontahs
If Cultivable fallow —— 6,500
Unadi Bunger or immemorial waste } —— 25000

Wet. If Cultivated ——— 1500
If Cultivable fallow —— 2500

16. The monthly abstract of the measurement should be prepared in the following form.

		Dry Goontahs	acres
Cultivated	circar	9,000	or 2250.
	Enam	5000 — a	125
		95000	2,375

		Dry Goontahs	acres
uncultivated	arcar	45,500	1137½
	Enam	5000	125
		50,500	1,262½

waste

waste—Circar—7 5500 1875

Total acres dry 5512½

Wet

Cultivated Circar—4500 112½

Enam—7 50 18¾

5250 131¼

uncultivated Circar 2000 50

Enam 500 12½

2500 62½

Total wet acres—— 193¾

Grand Total acres—— 5706¾

The abstract should be submitted to this the Examiner to the Hoozoor Cutcherry and you will be paid at 2½ Rupees per mensem but your salary will be regulated according to the extent of your measurement during the month, whether it may exceed or fall short of the prescribed quantity, The Baraward accounts should exhibit the number of goontahs of each village severally.

17 You will enquire into unauthorized or new Enam lands, encroachments of Circars Cultivated fields, and report the case to the Hoozoor. You need not enquire into

into cases of land under assessment.

18 If on trial by the Examiner your measurement should be found false you will be punished. If you are found guilty of having made a false calculation through haste to get more pay, you will forfeit the pay for the entire measurement of that day.

19 You will be allowed two chain bearers, to be paid at one anna and two piee each daily, and the payments should be made daily and their receipt taken in the presence of the reddies and Curnums. You will submit the account particulars of this charge monthly to the Hoozoor through the Examiner and draw the amount with your pay.

20 You will pay the Bazar price for all articles received in the villages. If you do not, or receive batta, you will be dismissed.

21 You will deliver your rough account of measurement to the Examiner.

22 You will receive from the Hoozoor 1¾ Rupees monthly for stationary or Sadarward charges.

23 You are not to measure hills or beds of rivers, large Nullahs &c. nor enter their extent in the monthly Barawards accounts.

You

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

24

You will, after the completion of the survey of each village, submit the rough accounts and abstracts of the survey to the Hoozoor through the Examiner who superintends you.

25

As the beds of tanks are cultivated when empty, you will measure them as cultivable fallow, entering as Porumpoke only the portion of the tank where the water stands constantly; you will measure the surface of the water and enter the extent of land on estimate.

26

The land which you find at the time of the survey to have been actualy sown should be entered as Cultivated, and where a part of the field only is sown and a part unsown you will retain the same number for the whole field, but particularize below it in your chitta its account how much of it is actually sown and how much is not, carrying in like manner to the abstract the former as cultivated and the latter as fallow. The number of the field should in all such cases be exhibited opposite to the extent of land which you enter as cultivated and no number entered opposite to that in which is shewn as fallow.

27

You are to survey only the lands of the Villages allotted to you. If you measure lands allotted to another surveyor as being more easy to measure

you

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

you will not only be not paid for them but also fined.

28. When boundaries are disputed you will not delay the survey but measure the fields, entering a head in your chittah account as "Disputed lands" and entering them in such village as appear to you reasonable from the previous occupation.

29. You will survey such Villages as may fall to your share by lot, which will be drawn before the Tasildar, who will arrange in the most convenient manner the Villages in his Talook in as many lots as there are surveyors appointed to it, and let them draw lots for them in his presence.

30. You will obtain from the Tasildar for the purpose of Comparison the jamin-jadah accounts prepared by the late Nawabs Dufter; the chittah accounts of the last years settlement, and the detailed Exam accounts of such Villages as you are to survey.

31 Wherever you meet with waste fields you will inquire whether the last crop was wet or garden, and class the field accordingly as waste, under whichever of the two descriptions it may have belonged to. But if from present circumstances

circumstances it be neither capable of yielding wet or garden crops, you will enter it as dry waste.-

32. Every field should be measured at least in three different places, so as to check as far as possible inaccuracies to which the survey is liable.

33. 1st In Enams exhibited in the Jameen Jadah accounts and now enjoyed by the respective Enamdars should be surveyed and entered accordingly in your accounts. Enams renewed since the preparation of Jamin Jadah account you will enter as circar Enams, which from some cause or other were not entered in the Jamin Jadah accounts but for which either sunnuds were produced at the time of the settlement of Fusly 1209, or the grants proved by old accounts in the records of the late Nawab by whom they had been extorted from the Villagers. Also enams ascertained to have been granted for village services (though not supported by any documents) which have been continued as Enams since the resumption, should be measured and entered by you as Enams accordingly. Should you meet with land claimed as Enam under any other circumstances you will examine the whole of the Villagers as to the truth of the grant and enter as a remark in the survey Chittah account the period when the person to whom the authority by whom, and the purpose for which the Enam was so granted.-

With

2d With regard to the Enams in the Villages for which no Jamin Jadah accounts were prepared by the late Nawabs Duftar, you will be guided by the accounts of the enams prepared at the time of Jamabundy in Fusly 1250 with reference to the old accounts remaining with the curnums and will examine all the Villagers, and enter in a remark in your chittah account the period when the person, to whom the authority by whom and the purpose for which each Enam was so granted.-

3d You are at liberty to grant such enams as you may ascertain to have been lately permitted by the Villagers to be collusively enjoyed by any person not connected with the original grantee and enter it as circar land with the necessary remarks in the Chittah accounts as well as the nominal Enam fields which have remained waste of a long standing.

4th You will attend particularly to the boundaries of the Enam fields and see that they have not been fraudulently extended and mark them correctly.

Boundary stones must be set up

34. in the following manner.

1st You will set up boundary stones on the four sides of every field.

2d You will not mark the boundaries of the cultivated part only but of the entire field inclusive

15452

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

inclusive of its uncultivated portion.

3d The stone should be one yard high and proportionally thick and sunk 1½ yard in the ground.—

4th The cultivators of circar and Enam lands will provide and erect at their own expense boundary stones for their own fields—where they so neglect to do so the reddies and curnums will erect them with the assistance of the Potties and Taliaries of the Village and receive the cost from such occupants, if necessary, from the produce of the field— waste fields should be marked at the expense of the circar at — per field, to be disbursed in the first instance from a small advance which will be supplied to you, and afterwards drawn from the Hoozoor treasury on an account of the actual charges which you will submit monthly.—

5th The erection of the boundary stones of the fields in every village must invariably be completed before you remove to another village.

35th A sum of Rs. is allowed to each surveyor as an advance to admit of the emergent charges.

True copy

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley
Commissioner

15453.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Order on (1-3)

the 2 foregoing letters. Ordered to be brought forward at a future Meeting.—

Read the following letter.—

From Walter, Elliot Esqr.
Acting Secretary to the
Government of
Fort St. George

(4)
From the Secy to Govt

To The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue

Gentlemen,

I am directed by the Most Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit for your consideration the accompanying letter and Petition submitted by Mr. Audy Apauthum offering to contract for the sale of Columbo Arrack for 3 years in the Cantonment of Arcot.

Fort St. George
15th November 1842

/Signed/ Walter, Elliot
Acting Secretary to
Govt

Resolution thereon.

(4-8)

The exclusive privilege of manufacturing and selling country spirits and fermented liquors and that of selling foreign spirits have not hitherto been leased separately in North Arcot— On a recent reference on this subject, the Collector was instructed to lease them separately or conjointly in the approaching fusly as to him, might seem best— The original Petition to the Board of which a copy was received with the above letter having been sent down for the

17th Novr. 1842

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

the consideration and disposal of the Collector with reference to that order of the Board no further order is necessary on this communication.

Ordered that copy of the above Proceedings be submitted for the information of Government.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 15th November 1842.

Read Extract from the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Relative to the Management of the Extra sources of Revenue in the District of Salem for fusly 1251- A.D. 1841/42 / Here enter 31st October 1842, No. 478 /

(6)

From the Agent to Government Communicating observations and orders on the Board's Proceedings relative to the Settlement of the Extra Sources of revenue in the District of Salem for fusly 1251

Para 1.

The gross collections comprising arrears and extra receipts amounted to 3,49,346 Charges 3,445. leaving the net revenue 3,18,901 being an increase of 10,533 over Fusly 1250 itself a good year and above its predecessors by 11,137 Rupees. A.D. 1840/41

2.

The total Sayer was under summary Management with the exception of the Betel and Tobacco which were rented and in which appears an increase of 3,300 Rupees.

3.

In the revenue Derived from Cloth there was a considerable decrease

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

+ 8138 Rs

decrease occasioned by the diminished trade in this article with Pondicherry and the Western Coast caused it may be inferred by a decreased demand at these places as a small increase in the Loom tax, would testify the steadiness of the Manufactures within the District- An increase in other items caused the net decrease under the head of Sayer to be 1,555 Rupees.

4.

The Collections within the fusly were 343,350 and subsequently amounted to 1492 Rupees more leaving a small balance of 786 and that realizable immediately- The Balance of old arrears at the end of the fusly, from a remission of 5,518-4-4 and a collection of Rupees 376-1- was 66-14-8- Of this 2-8- only and 64-6-8- the Most Honorable the Governor in Council directs may for the reasons stated be written off-

o 276 Rs

+ Sixty four annas Six and Pice eight-

5-

The fluctuation under other sources appear to call for no particular remark- His Lordship in Council would not however conclude without recording his entire approval of

of Mr. Gleig's Management and his satisfaction at the favorable result arising from it exhibited in the gradual and progressive improvement of these Taxes during the last four years.

To The President & Members / A true Extract /
of the Board of Revenue / Signed / Walter, Elliot
Ag Secretary to Govt.

Order thereon,

(6-7)

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing Extract Minutes of Consultation be forwarded to the Principal Collector of Salem for his information and guidance with reference to the Board's Proceedings upon the Management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for fusly 1251 dated 31st October 1842.

Read the following Resolution
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842.

/ Boards Proceedings 31st October /

(8)

From the Ag Sec'y to Government. The Most Honorable the Governor in Council directs that the Statement received with the foregoing Proceedings be returned to the Board of Revenue, with the following orders inserted in the proper Columns.

Sanction

No. 1 & 2. Sanction granted for the payment of the arrears of pension due to a customary Verasalingum, and Mauretta Baupanah amounting to Rupees 137-1-8/ Thirty Seven, Anna one and Pice Eight.

No 3 Sanction suspended.

/ Signed / Walter, Elliot
Ag Secretary to Govt.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(8-9)

To the Collector of Rajahmundry &c

To The Collector of
Rajahmundry

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue, to return to you the Statement of arrears of pensions which accompanied your letter of the 19th October last with the remarks of the Board and orders of Government entered in the proper Columns.

2. You will be pleased to furnish at your earliest convenience the further information required regarding the case of the Yeomahs Sard Shah Allum and Syed Shah Mohammed Akbar

3. In reference to the Dasapondiah allowance of Maurella Buiah,

Sd Ltr 31st Oct 1842

15458- Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Pariah of Rupees 6 7-5 per annum left undrawn from the commencement of fusly 1249, the Board observe that it is not necessary to strike out his name from the Collector's list on his applying for payment of the arrears, his case should be specially reported agreeably to the pension Rules.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
24th November 1842

/ Signed / P. D. Bowdillon
Secretary

Read the following Resolutions

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842

(10)

/ From Bd 10th November /

From the Ag Secretary to Government. Agreeably to the recommendation of the Board of Revenue conveyed in the foregoing Proceedings the Most Honorable the Governor in Council authorizes an advance of Rupees 680 to Nariah appah Row to be recovered from the proceeds of the Nedadavole Zemindary.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Ag Secy to Govt

(10-11)

Resolution thereon, Resolved that a transcript of the foregoing order of Government be communicated to the Collector of Masulipatam for

15459- Fort St. George 24th November 1842

for his information and guidance with reference to his letter of the 28th October last No. 69.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842

/ From Bd 3d November /

(12)

From the Ag Secretary to Government. The Most Honorable the Governor in Council sees no objection to the arrangement recommended by the Board of Revenue, in para 2 of the above letter in respect to the pension granted for the support of Rahatoonissah Begum and Muckdoom Beebee, and desires that orders for giving effect to it may be issued to the proper Officers.

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Ag Secy to Govt

(12-13)

Order thereon, Ordered that a copy of the foregoing resolution of Government together with transcripts of the Board's letter therein referred to be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of North Arcot, with reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

Extract

From Coll. Masulipatam 17th Octr 1842
Do 11th in Cons 27th Octr — Do

15460. Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842.
(From Board 14th Nov.)

(14)
From the Actg Secretary to Government - The Most Honora-
ble the Governor in Council grants authority
for the defence in the first instance at
the public expence of the Suit No. 206. of
1842. instituted against the Principal
Collector of Salem, in the Zillah Court at
that station by one Morrapen, but will
determine, on a copy of the Decree
being laid before him, whether the costs
if any be adjudged against the Collector
shall be borne by that Officer, or by
the Government.

(14-15)
Resolution thereon,

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secretary to Govt

Resolved that a copy of
the foregoing order of Govern-
ment be communicated for
the information and guidance of the
Principal Collector of Salem, with refer-
ence to his letter dated 29th October ult
last.

Extract

J.G.B.

15461 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842.
(From Board 14th Nov.)

(16)
From the Actg Secretary to Government - The Most Honora-
ble the Governor in Council grants au tho-
rity for transferring the payment of the
Yeomiah of Mouzool Shaw from the
Trichinopoly Treasury to that of South
Arcot.

Fort St. George
22d November 1842

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt

Ordered that the fol-
lowing letters be sent in consequence

(No-17)
To the Collector of
Trichinopoly

To the Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter of the 30th July last and
to inform you that the Most Honorable
the Governor in Council has been pleased
under date 22d Instant to grant author-
rity for transferring the payment of
the yeomiah of Mouzool Shaw from
your Treasury to that of the Collector
of South Arcot to whom the neces-
sary instructions on the subject have
been

in Cons. 18th August
From Govt. 22d inst.
24 Nov. 1842
No. 1367

15460. Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842.
(From Board 14th Nov.)

14

From the Actg Secretary to Government - The Most Honora-
ble the Governor in Council grants authority
for the defence in the first instance at
the public espence of the Suit No. 206. of
1842. instituted against the Principal
Collector of Salem, in the Zillah Court at
that station by one Morrapan, but will
determine, on a copy of the Decree
being laid before him, whether the costs
if any be adjudged against the Collector
shall be borne by that Officer, or by
the Government.

14-15

/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Actg Secretary to Govt

Resolution thereon,

Resolved that a copy of
the foregoing order of Govern-
ment be communicated for
the information and guidance of the
Principal Collector of Salem, with refer-
ence to his letter dated 29th October ult
last.

J.G.B.

Extract

15461 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842.
(From Board 14th Nov.)

16

From the Actg Secretary to Government - The Most Honora-
ble the Governor in Council grants authority for transferring the payment of the
yeomiah of Mouzool Shaw from the
Trichinopoly Treasury to that of South
Arcot.

Fort St. George
22d November 1842 } / Signed / Walter Elliot
Actg Secy to Govt

Ordered that the fol-
lowing letters be sent in consequence

To The Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter of the 30th July last and
to inform you that the Most Honorable
the Governor in Council has been pleased
under date 22d Instant to grant author-
-ity for transferring the payment of
the yeomiah of Mouzool Shaw from
your Treasury to that of the Collector
of South Arcot to whom the neces-
-sary instructions on the subject have
been

No. 17

To The Collector of
Trichinopoly

via Cuddalore 18th August

From Govt 22d inst.
24 Nov. 1842
No. 1367

been communicated.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 24th November 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To The Collector in the
Southern Division of Arcot

(16-18)

To the Coll.r in the Sth Div.n of Arcot

Sir,

In Consn 4th Nov.r 1842

With reference to your letter of the 7th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant authority for transferring the payment of the Peermiah of Monzool Shaw from the Trichinopoly Treasury to that of your Collectorate.-

From Govt 22d in Cons 24th Nov.r 1842 - No 1367

You will be pleased to take the necessary measures for this purpose.-

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 24th November 1842 } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following Resolution
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
dated 22d November 1842.

(Proceedings 10th Octr)

(19)

From the Actg Sec.y to Govt

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Cawn charges referred to in the foregoing Proceedings

Proceedings, amounting to Rupees 76-8
Seventy six, and annas Eight.-

Fort St. George
22d November 1842 } (Signed) Walter Elliot
Actg Sec.y to Govt

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

(19-20)

To the Prin.l Coll.r of Coimbatore

To The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the Cawn charges reported in your letter of the 13th September last No 549 amounting to Rupees 76-8 -

From Govt 22d in Cons 24th Nov.r 1842 No 1373

Revenue Board Office
Fort George 24th November 1842 } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

The following Minutes having been passed by the several Members of the Board, they are in consequence recorded -

(21)

Minute of Mr Dent -

In the above communication the Board are told "that Nelemtaraw is not incapacitated by any ailment either of body or mind, but that his mental faculties are impaired by old age", and they are requested

been communicated.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 24th November 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Boudillon
Secretary

To The Collector in the
Southern Division of Arcot

(16-18)

To the Coll.r in the S.n Div.n of Arcot

Sir,

In Cons.n 4th Nov.r 1842

With reference to your letter of the 7th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that

From Govt 22d in Cons.n 24th Nov.r 1842 - No 1367

the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to grant authority for transferring the payment of the Pycomiah of Mongool Shaun from the Trichinopoly Treasury to that of your Collectorate.

You will be pleased to take the necessary measures for this purpose.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George 24th November 1842 } (Signed) J. D. Boudillon
Secretary

Read the following Resolution & Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 22d November 1842.

(19)

(Proceedings 10th Octr)

From the Asst. Secy to Govt

The Most Honorable the Governor in Council sanctions the Cawn charges referred to in the foregoing Proceedings

Proceedings, amounting to Rupees 176-8
Seventy six, and annas Eight.-

Fort St. George
22d November 1842 } (Signed) Walter Elliot
Asst. Secy to Govt

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

(19-20)

To the Prin.l Collr of Coimbatore

To The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

From Govt 22d in Cons.n 24th Nov.r 1842 No 1373.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that the Most Honorable the Governor in Council has been pleased to sanction the Law charges reported in your letter of the 13th September last No 59 amounting to Rupees 176-8 -

Revenue Board Office
Fort George 24th November 1842 } (Signed) J. D. Boudillon
Secretary,

The following Minutes having been passed by the several Members of the Board, they are inconsequence recorded.-

(21)

Minute of Mr. Dent.-

In the above communication the Board are told "that Nelemtarow is not incapacitated by any ailment either of body or mind, but that his mental faculties are impaired by old age", and they are requested

requested to report "whether in their opinion this person is, or is not, capable of performing his duty."

I have conversed at considerable length on three four different occasions with Veelaunta row, upon matters connected with Public business, and upon indifferent subjects and I could discover no symptoms of failure in his mental Faculties which would lead me to the conclusion that he was unequal to the discharge of his duties as Head Sheristadar.

30th September 1842. (Signed) John Dent.

Minute of Mr. Drury.

(22)

In a long conversation with Veelaunta row I was unable to discover any imbecility of mind which could render him incapable of performing his duties as Head Sheristadar in the district of Chinglepute.

3d October 1842. (Signed) G. D. Drury.

Minute of Mr. Maclean.

(23)

My long connection with the district of Chingleput and intimate acquaintance with all the public servants employed in it, during

during the last 20 years calls for the specific expression of my individual opinion, touching the qualifications and fitness of Veelaunta row, as well as the propriety of his being allowed to remain at the head of the Revenue Department of that District, in opposition to the repeated wishes of the Collector Mr. Freese.

Veelaunta row was a Gomastah in the District, before I joined it in 1821. and he was ultimately promoted to the situation of Deputy Sheristadar at my suggestion in 1824, and soon after obtained the situation of Head Sheristadar under Mr. Weaish, which he has retained ever since.

On my appointment as Collector in 1832, I found Veelaunta row at the head of affairs and no one could have been better disposed towards him than I was; but I soon found that a natural supineness of disposition, and inertness of mind and character rendered him any thing, but an intelligent, useful

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

useful or active Coadjutor. There were many occasions on which I had much reason to be dissatisfied with his want of zeal, and indisposition to forward the despatch of business. whether this arose from declining faculties; a feeling of incapacity, or from Lazyness, I cannot say. I had often to seek the services of others from a sheer conviction that I should not get the business transacted without much and unaccountable delay on the part of Neelacuntarow. These opinions were entertained by me at the time as will be seen by a reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

To the Bd. 22d April 1835.
Bd. Do. 23d August 1836.
Boards Proceedings 13th Feby 1834.
Bd. Proceedings 15th April 1837.

It is true that so long as he was in the service I deemed him entitled to all the advantages which it holds out to public officers of his rank and station, and I accordingly did recommend him from time to time for the usual increase of salary dependant on length of service. I was

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

was in some measure induced to do so in the hope that after a certain period of service he would gladly have retired on his pension. This allowance would be ample for all his wants, but I regret to see that he pertinaciously insists upon remaining in a situation, the duties of which I have no hesitation in pronouncing him no longer competent to perform with that degree of efficiency which is essential to the despatch of public business.

Neelcuntarows private character was always considered by me irreproachable, and it is no small credit to him to say that the manner which he conducted the business of the annual Settlements, was highly satisfactory to the Ryots of the District. But this is the only exception I can mention in his favor, and had it not been for this one feature in his public career I should have (-) viewed his incapacity in

a

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

useful or active Coadjutor. There were many occasions on which I had much reason to be dissatisfied with his want of zeal, and indisposition to forward the despatch of business. whether this arose from declining faculties; affecting of incapacity, or from Laziness, I cannot say. I had often to seek the services of others from a sheer conviction that I should not get the business transacted without much and unaccountable delay on the part of Neelacuntarow. These opinions were entertained by me at the time as will be seen by a reference to the correspondence noted in the Margin.

To the Bd. 22d April 1835.
Do. Do. 23d August 1836.
Board's Proceedings 13th Feby 1834.
Bd's Proceedings 15th April 1837.

It is true that so long as he was in the service I deemed him entitled to all the advantages which it holds out to public officers of his rank and station, and I accordingly did recommend him from time to time for the usual increase of salary dependant on length of service I was

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

was in some measure induced to do so in the hope that after a certain period of service he would gladly have retired on his pension. This allowance would be ample for all his wants, but I regret to see that he pertinaciously insists upon remaining in a situation, the duties of which I have no hesitation in pronouncing him no longer competent to perform with that degree of efficiency which is essential to the despatch of public business.

Neelacuntarows private character was always considered by me irreproachable, and it is no small credit to him to say that the manner which he conducted the business of the annual Settlements, was highly satisfactory to the Ryots of the District. But this is the only exception I can mention in his favor, and had it not been for this one feature in his public career I should have viewed his incapacity in

a

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

a less excusable light than I did, before I quitted the District, and which in justice to my successor I ought perhaps to have done.

Of his physical disabilities I have little knowledge, but if a natural supineness of disposition and want of mental energy, increased by advanced age, diaqualifies a public Servant, I am fully satisfied he is in that predicament.

In his day he has done work, though I think his promotion to the Head Sheristadarship was a mistake and hardly warranted by his moderate abilities and want of other qualifications.

I sincerely trust however, having a regard for the man, that he may be allowed to retire on a Superannuation pension, even though his case may form an exception to the general rules under which this allowance to Public Servants is granted.

18th November 1842.

(Signed) A. Maclean

Minute

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Minute of 24

Sir W. C. Montgomery Bart. Not having been a Member of the Board when Neelacanta-row attended, I cannot from personal knowledge of him, give any opinion of his capacity for the performance of his duties. Nor would a few short conversations with him, I believe, enable me to form a correct judgement. But from the Papers before me including the Minute recorded by Mr. Maclean, I am of opinion that the Collector does not receive that cordial support and assistance from Neelacanta Row, that he is entitled to expect from a Head Sheristadar, and that the interests of the District entrusted to his charge must suffer thereby.

(Signed) W. C. Montgomery

24th November 1842.

Ordered that the following address be submitted with reference to the Minutes of Consultation therein referred to, together with the foregoing Minutes.

To.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

(21-25)

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government — Reporting with reference to the minutes of Govt. of the 3d August last the sentiments of the Bd. with regards to the fitness of Neelacanta Row — Head Sheristadar of Chinglepet to perform his duties and submitting the minutes separately recorded on this subject by the Members of the Board. — 1 Govt 18th Augt 1842

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

Para 1. I have the honor by direc-tions of the Board of Revenue to acknowledge an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 3d August 1842. in which Government "request the Board to report whe-ther in their opinion" Neelacanta Row Head Sheristadar of Chinglepet District "is or is not capable of performing his duty —"

2. The Board thought that the only way by which they could form an opinion on this point was to call up the individual in question to a personal interview — Having done this separately, a majo-rity of the Board were of opinion that Neelacanta Row showed no symptoms of failure in his mental powers, or imbeci-lity of mind, to incapacitate him — for the discharge of his duties as Head Sheristadar —

3 — As there was a difference of

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

of opinion, however, the Board resolv-ed to record — their sentiments — separately — The first and second — members accordingly recorded Minutes to the purport stated in the foregoing Para — The acting 3d Member drew up one, expressing his opinion of the unfit-ness of Neelacanta Row for his situation — The acting Temporary Member who — had not an opportunity of seeing that person, yet placed on record his opinion as formed from a perusal of the papers — all these four Minutes are now herewith submitted for the information of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council —

Revenue Board Office — Fort St. George, 24th November 1842. } (Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Read the following letter

(26)

From the Collector of Nellore — to the notice of the Board that one of the relatives of the choondy Zemindar

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to bring

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Zemindar named Ankapa Naidoo son of Yaguntiah, who was in the receipt of a Monthly allowance of 20 Rupees died on the 12th July last, leaving a younger brother named Condapa Naidoo besides his Mother and an unmarried Sister, who it appears have no other means of livelihood besides the allowance aforestated - As under the provisions of Clause 6 of the Caboolyat of the Choondy Zemindar, he is bound to continue to the family of the deceased the whole, or a portion, of the allowance, as may be determined to be equitable and proper, I beg to recommend that a reduced allowance of 15 Rupees per Mensem might be conferred on Condapa Naidoo the deceased's brother for the support of the family from the date of decease of the last incumbent, and if the measure is approved the same will be communicated to

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

to the Zemindar for his guidance -

Nellore
Pt. Coll.rs Cutcherry
10th November 1842

Resolution thereon.

(Signed) T.V. Stonhouse
Pt. Coll.r

26-27

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the consideration and orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council with the Board's recommendation, under the circumstances set forth, that the Collector may receive sanction to continue to Condapa Naidoo the younger brother of the deceased Ankapah Naidoo, one of the relatives of the Choondy Zemindar, a reduced allowance of Rupees 15 per mensem, for the support of the surviving members of that individual's family stated to consist of the brother above named, his mother and an unmarried sister, and that the payment of this reduced Amount of allowance be made from the date of the demise of the late incumbent Viz.s the 12th of July last -

Read the following

Statement -

Statement

Zemindar named Ankapa Naidoo son of Yaguntiah, who was in the receipt of a monthly allowance of 20 Rupees died on the 12th July last, leaving a younger brother named Condapa Naidoo besides his Mother and an unmarried Sister, who it appears have no other means of livelihood besides the allowance aforestated - as under the provisions of clause 6 of the Cabooleyat of the Choondy Zemindar, he is bound to continue to the family of the deceased the whole, or a portion, of the allowance, as may be determined to be equitable and proper, I beg to recommend that a reduced allowance of 15 Rupees per Mensem might be conferred on Condapa Naidoo the deceased's brother for the support of the family from the date of decease of the last incumbent, and if the measure is approved the same will be communicated

to

to the Zemindar for his guidance -

Nellore
Pl. Coll.s Cutcherry
10th November 1842

(Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Pl. Coll.r

Resolution

(26-27)

Ordered that the foregoing letter be submitted for the consideration and orders of the most Honorable the Governor in Council thereon;

With the Board's recommendation, under the circumstances set forth, that the Collector may receive sanction to continue to Condapa Naidoo the younger brother of the deceased Ankapah Naidoo, one of the relatives of the Choondy Zemindar, a reduced allowance of Rupees 15 per Mensem, for the support of the surviving Members of that individual's family, stated to consist of the brother above named, his Mother and an unmarried Sister, and that the payment of this reduced Amount of allowance be made from the date of the demise of the late incumbent Viz.t the 12th of July last -

Read the following

Statement -

Statement

(28) 1544

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Statement of arrears due to Natoobe a Yeo=miahdar in the Nellore District.-

No. in the Book for fusly 1250.	Name of the Pensioner -	Amount of Pension per Annum	Date of Authority	Period for which arrears are due -	Total amount of arrears due -			Explanation of the cause of suspension of Payment.
					Rs	a	P.	
117	Natoobee	180 " "	3d January 1823	From 22d January 1840 to 30th Sept. 1842	484	8	"	This individual is an inhabitant of Madras- Her Vakeel gave a kyfeut that she states that she was formerly in the habit of sending her Sahutnamah and Vakalutnamah every two years once and to draw her Yeomiah through her Vakiel- that she intended to have done the same in this instance also- that in the mean time she having been informed that her Yeomiah will not be paid to her if it were not drawn within 6 Months after the last payment she called upon the Cauzy of the Sudr Court for a Sahutnamah but that he kept putting her off from time to time which caused some delay- that she having again been informed that unless she appeared personally and a description of her person were taken, her Yeomiah will not be paid to her, she was about leaving Madras for Nellore when she and her Daughter fell very sick- That her Daughter died about 8 months ago and that from grief on account of the death of her Daughter and her own delicate state of health she was not able to come to Nellore till now-

Nellore Pl. Coll.rs Cutcherry
16th November 1842 } Errors ---

excepted Jr (Signed) J. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Proceedings thereon,

(28.29)

The Board observe that the Principal Collector has not submitted his opinion whether the application of Natoo-bee for payment of the arrears of her Jeomiah should be complied with or not— He will be pleased to do so and also to state whether he is satisfied of the identity of the applicant—

Read the following letter—

To

J.G.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Sir, Fort St. George.

(30)

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Bellary— Para 1.

Submitting a Report regarding the operation of the system of Cowle in his District as called for in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue under date the 18th March 1841—

* See paras 6, 7, 17-19 & 20.

The Board in their Proceedings on the Summabundy Settlements of Fusslies 1248, 1249, and 1250 have called for information regarding the operation of the system of Cowle in the Bellary District— Some of this information has been already supplied by Mr Mellor in his Summabundy Reports on the Settlements of Fusly 1249 and 1250. But the particular point on which the Board have required information is "on the operation of the system of Cowle that "prevails and its bearings in respect to the "old lands on which assessment has heretofore "been paid," and for obtaining this information a form of a Statement was transmitted by the Board in their Proceedings under date the 18th March 1841, which arrived at the close of the Settlement of Fusly 1250— This Statement could not then be prepared but it has since been made up, and I have the honor to submit it in the form sent by the Board, with some additions and that the Board might be put in possession

of

Fort St George 24th November 1842.

of all the information forthcoming on a
subject which they have deemed most justly
of great importance. I have been at some pains
to prepare other Accounts for arriving, as far
as could be done, at a just conclusion upon
the result of which I shall communicate in
the course of this report. For these reasons
I have thought it would be more acceptable
to the Board, to have a separate Report on
the system of Cowle than to include it
in the Summabundy Report lately sent.
The observations of the Board refer evidently
to the Regud Bunjer Rayem Cowle or
Black Land Waste Fixed Cowle, and the
information that will be submitted will in
consequence be confined to that description
of Cowle —

Enclosure No 1.

2. The Statement prepared, with some additions, after the form sent by the Board, it will be seen contains only 10 Talooks out of the 17 of this District. In these 10 Talooks alone is there any Black Land Cowle. I have divided it into two parts. The first contains Six Talooks which are Black Land Talooks, and all the remarks that I shall have to make, will be chiefly confined to them. In the other Four Talooks there is also some Black land Cowle but of trifling Extent. The proportion of Black land Cultivation of the 10 Talooks is as follows —

1 Bellary
2 Adonie
3 Goollem
4 Panchapollem
5 Gooty
6 Raidroog

+ 1 Kumply
2 Hurpunhully
3 Hoodleghee
4 Hoominhadagoly

Gross

Fort St George 24th November 1842.

15478

	Gross Aboolee of which Regud called Bunjer Rayem Cowle	Per centage
	Fasly 1251.	
Bellary	282,319	64 5
Adoanee	179,388	57 "
Goollem	29,600	94 8
Panchapollem	3,614	31 1
Gooty	103,131	48 9
Raidroog	6,003	33 6
Total	603,055	58 8
Kumply	2,796	2 4
Hurpunhully	33,286	26 15
Hoodleghee	14,452	8 2
Hoominhadagoly	39,996	27 14
Total	90,530	16 11
Grand Total	693,585	46 12

3 The following is an Abstract of Black land, held under Permanent Cowle in Fusly 1251, taken from the Statement prepared after the Form sent by the Board.

	In the 6 Black Land Talooks		In the other 4 Talooks		Total	
	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment
Land fully reclaimed	35,996	70,809	1,159	2,107	37,155	72,916
Land partially reclaimed	24,639	44,999	1,081	2,008	25,720	47,007
Land not reclaimed at all	1,593	2,668	195	320	1,788	2,988
Total Land under Cowle in Fusly 1251	62,228	1,18,476	2,435	4,435	64,663	1,22,911

4 The whole Amount under Cowle in Fusly 1251 is shewn to be Acres 64,663 assessed at Rupees 1,22,911. The Amount fully reclaimed is about 9/10th of the whole. That only partially reclaimed, amounting to Acres 25,720, is more than should be, but 1,067 Acres has only been two years under Cowle: That which has been longer bears a proportion of 5/10th to the whole Cowle Land given

given in those preceding Years. Measures are in progress for compelling the Ryots to clear the land fully. The totally unreclaimed land is trifling, and will not be cleared. Neither is it necessary that the Ryots should be compelled to clear it. In such a quantity of Land there always will be found some which the Ryots have, from one cause or another, not been able to plough; and as they have enjoyed no profit from it, it would be harsh to force them to clear it. Some will probably be restrained as waste in their Puttahs when the land comes under the full tax, the rest will be struck out, or deducted from the Puttah. But no cause whatever, but that of the real inability of a Ryot to fulfil his engagement, will be admitted as an excuse for his not eventually fully clearing the Land only partially reclaimed, and until that is done the Ryot will be held responsible.

5 In Columns 17 and 18, it will be seen that no loss has accrued to Government in the actual payments of the Ryots who hold this Cowle Land

At

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

3 The following is an Abstract of Black land, held under Permanent Cowle in Fusly 1251, taken from the Statement prepared after the Form sent by the Board—

	In the 6 Black Land Talooks		In the other 4 Talooks		Total	
	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment
Land fully reclaimed	35,996	70,809	1,159	2,107	37,155	72,916
Land partially reclaimed	24,139	44,999	1,081	2,008	25,720	47,007
Land not reclaimed at all	1,593	2,668	195	320	1,788	2,988
Total Land under Cowle in Fusly 1251	62,228	1,18,476	2,435	4,435	64,663	1,22,911

4 The whole Amount under Cowle in Fusly 1251 is shewn to be Acres 64,663 assessed at Rupees 1,22,911. The Amount fully reclaimed is about 9/16th of the whole. That only partially reclaimed, amounting to Acres 25,720, is more than should be, but 10,667 Acres has only been two years under Cowle: That which has been longer bears a proportion of 5/16th to the whole Cowle Land

given

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

given in those preceding Years. Measures are in progress for compelling the Ryots to clear the land fully. The totally unreclaimed land is trifling, and will not be cleared. Neither is it necessary that the Ryots should be compelled to clear it. In such a quantity of Land there always will be found some which the Ryots have, from one cause or another, not been able to plough; and as they have enjoyed no profit from it, it would be harsh to force them to clear it, some will probably be restrained as Waste in their Puttahs when the land comes under the full tax, the rest will be struck out, or deducted from the Puttah. But no cause whatever, but that of the real inability of a Ryot to fulfil his engagement, will be admitted as an excuse for his not eventually fully clearing the Land only partially reclaimed, and until that is done the Ryot will be held responsible.

5 In Columns 17 and 18, it will be seen that no loss has accrued to Government in the actual payments of the Ryots who hold this Cowle Land

At

At the time of their taking up the land in Coule they paid Rupees 136063, and in Fusly 1251 the amount of their Puttahs was Rupees 176348, shewing that they have already brought an increase to the Revenue of Rupees 40285 independent of the Coule land in their possession (except only Rupees 2407 which is included as the Coule Quit rent in the above sum). It is to be observed that no Ryot is allowed to take up new land on Coule, and give up his old land so as to cause a loss to Government. This rule may in some cases be

be fraudulently transgressed, but the above Statement will shew that the fraud is not carried to any extent.

6. The next heading of the Statement exhibits the Quantity and condition of the Coule Land, which during the 3 years preceding Fusly 1251, (Viz^t Fuslies 1248, 1249 and 1250) and also during the Fusly 1251 has successively come under the full tax which is denominated Coule Teerwah, and the subjoined is an Abstract of the whole.

Fusly

At the time of their taking up the land in Cowle they paid Rupees 136063, and in Fusly 1251 the amount of their Pettahs was Rupees 176348, shewing that they have already brought an increase to the Revenue of Rupees 40285 independent of the Cowle land in their posession (except only Rupees 2407 which is included as the Cowle Dust Rent in the above sum). It is to be observed that no Ryot is allowed to take up new land on Cowle, and give up his old land so as to cause a loss to Government. This rule may in some cases be

be fraudulently transgressed, but the above Statement will shew that the fraud is not carried to any extent.

6. The next heading of the Statement exhibits the Quantity and condition of the Cowle Land, which during the 3 years preceding Fusly 1251, (Viz^t Fusles 1248, 1249 and 1250) and also during the Fusly 1251 has successively come under the full tax which is denominated Cowle Teerwah, and the subjoined is an Abstract of the whole.

Fusly

Port St. George

24th November 1842.

Particulars.	Fasly 1248 Acres	Fasly 1248 Terrim	Fasly 1249 Acres	Fasly 1249 Terrim	Fasly 1250 Acres	Fasly 1250 Terrim	Total of 3 Years Acres	Total of 3 Years Terrim	Fasly 1251 Acres	Fasly 1251 Terrim	Total of 4 Last Columns Acres	Total of 4 Last Columns Terrim	Detail of Total In the 6 Black land Talooks Acres	Detail of Total In the 6 Black land Talooks Terrim	Detail of Total In the other 4 Talooks. Acres	Detail of Total In the other 4 Talooks. Terrim
Land fully reclaimed	3,098	4,913	14,144	24,303	13,439	26,168	30,681	55,384	17,535	35,518	48,216	90,902	47,553	89,758	663	1,144
Land partially reclaimed	1,296	1,986	4,179	7,897	4,130	8,278	9,605	18,161	4,870	9,076	14,475	27,237	14,281	26,913	194	324
Land not reclaimed at all	184	282	431	858	531	1,178	1,146	2,318	466	872	1,612	3,190	1,572	3,132	40	58
Total of Cowle Teervah	4,578	7,181	18,754	33,058	18,100	35,624	41,432	75,863	22,871	45,466	64,303	121,329	63,406	119,803	897	1,526
Cultivated by the persons who held the Cowle	3,772	5,779	15,389	26,113	14,700	28,066	33,861	59,958	19,493	37,550	53,354	97,508	52,539	96,157	815	1,351
Cultivated by other Ryots	677	1,157	2,776	5,573	2,929	6,465	6,382	13,195	3,217	7,607	9,599	20,802	9,521	20,630	78	172
Uncultivated Land	129	245	589	1,372	471	1,093	1,189	2,710	161	309	1,350	3,019	1,346	3,016	4	3

The

7. The Statement exhibits, first the Cowle Teerwah of the three Fuslies preceding Fusly 1261 (as desired by the Board), and then the Teerwah of 1251, with all the particulars of each period, which the Board have called for. Of the three years preceding Fusly 1251 it will be observed that the amount of Cowle Teerwah (Columns 51 & 52) is 41432 Acres assessed at Rupees 75863. Of this 30681 Acres assessed at 55384 Rupees or about 3/4th is fully reclaimed Lands. The rest is either only partially cleared (9005 Acres – 18161 Rs) or has not been reclaimed at all (1146 Acres 2318 Rs.) The Abstract given above shews that by far the greater proportion is retained by the Ryots, who themselves held the Cowle Puttah, and in the Statement it will be more clearly seen what quantity of the unreclaimed part of the Land is still retained in the Puttahs of the Cowle Holders, and what is held by other Ryots. Of the whole Amount only partially reclaimed 7549 Acres assessed at 13933 Rupees (Col: 21 & 22) is retained by the holders of the Cowle Puttah

col 29- 30- and 1733 Acres assessed at 3460 Rupees only is

is in the Puttahs of other Ryots. Of the land not reclaimed at all 331 Acres assessed

col 23, 24 at 566 Rupees is in the Puttahs of the Cowle

col 31- 32 holders, and 96 Acres only in other Ryots Puttahs. The Amount of Cowle Teervalie of the 3 Years remaining unoccupied, the Board will be pleased to see, is very trifling. only Acres 1189 assessed at Rupees 2710. It is mostly unreclaimed Land.

It will be unnecessary to go over the same ground regarding the Cowle Teervalie of Fusly 1251, which is entered in the Abstract – and the state of which will be found very similar to that of the other 3 preceding Years – suffice it to point out that of the whole Land only partially reclaimed, Acres 4347 assessed at

col 55- 56 8133 Rupees is the quantity retained by the holders of the Cowle Puttahs, leaving

col 63- 64 only 497 Acres assessed at 884 Rupees in the Puttahs of other Ryots; and 26 Acres not occupied at all. There are only 161 Acres

col 73 of the Cowle Teervalie of this year wholly

col 83 unoccupied.

9 The whole Amount of Cowle Teervalie of the 4 Years is 64303 Acres assessed at

at Rupees 12,329, and of this only Acres 1350, assessed at 3019 Rupees, remains unoccupied. And that so little should have been relin=quished is rather to be wondered at, whereas it will be shewn there has been such a much greater Revenue drawn from these Talooks within the few last years.—

10. I have already (in Para 5) stated that the Revenue has suffered no loss from the occupation of the Lands still under Cowle, and it will be gratifying to the Board to see the effect of the Cowle on the Revenue, after it has come under the full tax. This information is afforded in the subjoined Abstract.—

	In Fuslies 1248 1249 & 1250.		In Fusly 1251		Total	
	Acres	Assess=ment	Acres	Assess=ment	Acres	Assess=ment
Expired Cowle Land taken up by the holders of the Cowle in addition to their Cultivation	38457	68493	20289	38966	58746	107459
Do Do in exchange of other Lands	1786	4660	2421	6191	4207	10851
Total Cowle Teervalie occupied	40243	73153	22710	45157	62953	118310

11 The amount of Old Land relin=quished by the Ryots in exchange for the Cowle

Cowle Teervalie land is believed to be some what less than that of the new land taken up.—

12 The foregoing account of the operation of the system of Cowle of late years will I doubt not be satisfactory to the Board. The only point which is likely to meet with objection is the state of the lands fully cleared.— But due attention is and will be given to this until the land is entirely reclaimed.— This ever since the subject of Cowle attracted the attention of Mr Robertson has always been made a particular point of investigation.— But nevertheless there always has been a portion of the Land left not fully cleared— and a great deal more would be in this state but for the care that has been taken hitherto, to com=pel the Ryots to fulfil their engage=ments. The following Extract from Mr Robertson's Summabandy Report of Fusly 1236 will shew that from an early period this important point has been carefully attended to.— It may be proper says (Mr Robertson) here to observe that

at Rupees 12,329, and of this only Acres 1350, assessed at 3019 Rupees, remains unoccupied. And that so little should have been relin=quished is rather to be wondered at, whereas it will be shewn there has been such a much greater Revenue drawn from these Talooks within the few last years.

10. I have already (in Para 5) stated that the Revenue has suffered no loss from the occupation of the Lands still under Cowle, and it will be gratifying to the Board to see the effect of the Cowle on the Revenue, after it has come under the full tax. This information is afforded in the subjoined Abstract.—

	In Fuslies 1248, 1249 & 1250.		In Fusly 1251		Total	
	Acres	Assess=ment	Acres	Assess=ment	Acres	Assess=ment
Expired Cowle Land taken up by the holders of the Cowle in addition to their Cultivation	38457	68493	20289	38966	58746	107459
Do Do in exchange of other Lands	1786	4660	2421	6191	4207	10851
Total Cowle Teervalie occupied	40243	73153	22710	45157	62953	118310

11. The amount of Old Land relin=quished by the Ryots in exchange for the Cowle

Cowle Teervalie land is believed to be some what less than that of the new land taken up.—

12. The foregoing account of the operation of the system of Cowle of late years will I doubt not be satisfactory to the Board. The only point which is likely to meet with objection is the state of the lands fully cleared.— But due attention is and will be given to this until the land is entirely reclaimed.— This ever since the subject of Cowle attracted the attention of Mr Robertson has always been made a particular point of investigation.— But nevertheless there always has been a portion of the Land left not fully cleared— and a great deal more would be in this state but for the care that has been taken hitherto, to com=pel the Ryots to fulfil their engage=ments. The following Extract from Mr Robertson's Jummabandy Report of Fusly 1236 will shew that from an early period this important point has been carefully attended to.— It may be proper says (Mr Robertson) here to observe that

that in a few cases in the Goollem Talook where it appeared the land had either been imperfectly cleared or not at all, the people who originally took up the Cowle have been compelled to retain such fields until they shall have fulfilled the conditions on which the Cowle was granted Viz.t making the land over in a clear state and free of Katt:. This is a point on which too much stress cannot be laid in order to secure fair dealing towards the Circar and the greatest pains are therefore taken at every Summabundy to impress the Ryots with its importance; the result of the Carcoons inspection of each mans field is always detailed and those who are found to have released their labours are warned of the consequences and any disputes among themselves, which not unfrequently are a main cause of the interruption (for in most cases it is a joint concern) are amicably settled.-

13 Under the administration of the late Principal Collector Mr Robertson and the reversion of the District to the Ryotwar system

15486 Fort St George 24th November 1842

system little was done in giving Cowle. Mr Robertson's attention was particularly directed to this important subject and to his active and wise measures, whereby the breaking up of waste Black lands on Cowle was freely encouraged, as fully reported by that able Officer in his reports on the Settlements of 1234 and subsequent years) may be attributed the present prosperity of the Black land Talooks. which had it not been for the liberal measures adopted by him would ere this have sunk into a very deteriorated state while contending against a series of extremely unfavorable years. such as were experienced from Fusly 1237 to Fusly 1242. But now on the contrary these Talooks (Raidroog excepted) are the most thriving in the whole District.-

I shall shew the Revenue 14 at different periods of five years (the term that Cowle is allowed) since Fusly 1234 when operations in Cowle were first undertaken to any great extent and which also was a good year. I will confine myself to the six Black land Talooks as the Board will have seen that the Cowles of the other 14 Talooks are very significant.-

Fusly

Fort St George 24th November 1842

Net Revenue of the Talooks

	Fusly 1239	Fusly 1244	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251
Pellary	123,855	146,581	168,275	178,261	178,654
Adonie	139,144	170,461	185,140	185,755	189,536
Gooty	74,844	141,662	162,216	181,055	191,001
Gandicottah	119,773	131,729	125,994	133,493	135,943
Gooty	83,267	114,831	136,328	139,771	145,103
Rayadroog	62,534	97,502	105,165	111,115	121,402
Total	7,70,339	8,83,118	9,26,450	9,61,639	6,35,839

15486

Fort St George 24th November 1842

In the first period of 5 years namely from Fusly 1234 to 1239 the result shews a great falling off. But this is entirely attributable to the fact of 1239 Fusly being a very disastrous season while Fusly 1234 was a very good year. Subsequent to Fusly 1239 notwithstanding Fuslies 1240 and 1241 were very bad years and 1242 a most disastrous one, it will be seen that a progressive and large increase was manifested at each period Viz^t in Fusly 1244 and 1249 and has since increased, during the two last years of 1250 and 1251 when the Revenue netted 9,61,639 Rupees nearly 2 Lacs and about Rs 2,40,000 per Cent above the favorable year of Fusly 1234 after a lapse of 16 years progressive increase. This fact of itself speaks Volumes as to the material effect of the operation of Cowle on the prosperity of the country. Without the assistance of Cowle it may not, (when we take into consideration the

continued

Revenue of the 6 Talooks

Fusly 1234 - - - 7,70,339

" - - - 1251 - - - 9,61,639

Increase 1,91,300

Fort St George 24th November 1842

Net Revenue of the Talooks

	Fusly 1239	Fusly 1244	Fusly 1249	Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251
Pellary	123,855	146,581	168,275	178,261	178,654
Adamie	139,144	170,461	185,140	189,755	189,536
Gurtlum	74,874	141,662	162,216	181,055	191,001
Tumbakpollem	119,773	131,729	125,994	133,493	135,943
Gooty	83,267	114,831	136,328	139,771	145,103
Rottaney	97,502	62,534	105,165	111,115	121,402
Total	638,395	767,798	883,118	926,450	961,639

Fort St George

15486

Fort St George 24th November 1842

In the first percod of 5 years namely from Fusly 1234 to 1239 the result shews a great falling off. But this is entirely attributable to the fact of 1239 Fusly being a very disastrous season while Fusly 1234 was a very good year. Subsequent to Fusly 1239 notwithstanding Fuslies 1240 and 1241 were very bad years and 1242 a most disastrous one, it will be seen that a progressive and large increase was manifested at each period Viz: in Fusly 1244 and 1249 and has since increased, during the two last years of 1250 and 1251. when the Revenue netted 9,61,639 Rupees nearly 2 Lacs and about Rs 2 a 14 a 0 per Cent above the favorable year of Fusly 1234 after a lapse of 16 years progressive increase. This fact of itself speaks Volumes as to the material effect of the operation of Cowle on the prosperity of the country. Without the assistance of Cowle it may not, (when we take into consideration the

Revenue of the 6 Talooks

Fusly 1234 - - - 7,70,339

" - - - 1251 - - - 9,61,639

Increase 1,91,300

continued

Fort St George 24th November 1842

continued bad and in some years the grievously disastrous Seasons between Fuslies 1236 and 1243) be asserting too much to say that these Talooks would have fallen as much below the Settlement of Fusly 1234 as they have risen above it.-

15 Fusly 1235 is considered the best and fairest year on record for all comparisons and I shall therefore lay before the Board an account — See Enclosure No 2 I have made up giving Talookwar the the number of Villages in these 6 Talooks the Revenue of which has increased and decreased since Fusly 1235 shewing the rate of Increase and Decrease on each kind of cultivation from 300 per Cent and upwards to 25 per Cent and below that; and as the amount of Cowles given in these Villages is also stated it will shew the effect that cowle has had on the cultivation. The following is an Abstract of the whole.

It

Fort St George 24th November 1842

It shews an Increase of Net Revenue of 2,23,668 Rupees or 42-2-. per Cent in 585 Villages in which 2,32,080 Acres assessed at 4,23,334 Rupees have been given in Cowle at different periods since Fusly 1234; and a Decrease of Revenue of 50,509 Rupees or 15-1 per Cent in 358 Villages. In these latter Villages 45,639 Acres assessed at 83,857 Rupees have been granted in Cowle. But it will be observed from the account that even the decrease in these 358 Villages is not one half attributable to the Black land cultivation which is only 22,225 Rupees below Fusly 1235 while the increase is almost exclusively in the Black land. The net Increase in the six Talooks is 173159 Rupees or 20 per Cent above Fusly 1235 the result of the operation of the Cowle system.-

Rates

Fort St George

Total Revenues

24th November 1842

Detail of Columns 5 and 6.

Items	Rates of Increase or Decrease in fasly 1251 as compared with Fasly 1235	Number of Villages.	Revenue of Fasly 1235	Revenue of Fasly 1251	Comparison between Cols 3 & 4 Increase	Decrease	Per Centage	Dry Lands Regd or Black Lands	Dry Lands Mixed and Red Soil	Dry Lands Total	Irrigated By Tanks	Irrigated By Nullahs	Irrigated By Wells	Irrigated Total	Quantity of Land-field on Hayem Cowle from Fasly 1234 to 1251. Acres	Gross Assessment
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Villages whose Assessment of Fasly 1251 is higher than in Fasly 1235.	Increase above 300 per Cent	13	1805	9591	7786	"	431 5	7181	377	7558	183	"	45	228	7638	9928
	Do Do 200 Do	8	4059	14038	9979	"	245 14	9430	549	9979	"	"	"	"	8798	16331
	Do Do 100 Do	69	58216	140470	82254	"	141 5	77540	1971	79511	1891	366	486	2743	76568	140676
	Do Do 75 Do	28	28887	53693	24806	"	85 14	23287	1177	24464	"	161	181	342	25556	51193
	Do Do 50 Do	75	59552	94813	35261	"	59 3	26250	2818	29068	2795	2342	1056	6193	32454	56349
	Do Do 25 Do	116	94995	128931	33936	"	35 12	23540	4767	28307	3113	1156	1360	5629	37303	70002
	Do less than 25 Do	276	283542	313188	29646	"	10 7	15019	6444	21463	4335	2237	1611	8183	43763	78855
	Total Increase	585	531056	754724	223668	"	42 2	182247	18103	200350	12317	6262	4739	23318	232080	423334
Villages whose Assessment of Fasly 1251 is lower than in Fasly 1235.	Decrease above 75 per Cent	3	132	19	"	113	85 10	"	80	80	"	11	22	33	"	"
	Do Do 50 Do	14	8000	3565	"	4435	55 7	"	683	3939	"	65	431	496	2013	4051
	Do Do 25 Do	77	61181	40364	"	20817	34 "	3256	6683	9939	738	852	1845	3435	9151	17553
	Do less than 25 Do	264	266349	241205	"	25144	9 8	9461	7921	17382	1226	2762	2230	6218	34475	62253
	Total Decrease	358	335662	285153	"	50509	15 1	9508	9418	18926	1964	3690	4528	10182	45639	83857
Villages whose Bariz has not changed.		6	951	951	"	"	" "	22225	18102	40327	"	"	"	"	14	12
Grand Total		949	867669	1040828	173159	"	20 "	160022	1	160023	10353	2572	211	13136	277733	507203

The

16. The effect of the operation of the Cowle system is affording the means of supplying the place of much land which from bad seasons and other causes has been thrown out of cultivation may be further exemplified. In Fusly 1235 there were in the 6 Black Land Cowle Talooks 330084 Acres assessed at 572670 Rupees under cultivation. It is believed that about 83000 acres assessed at 195000 Rs of this has been from various causes thrown out of cultivation and to supply this loss there has been a succession of land from year to year reclaimed from the waste amounting in all to (Cowle Teerwalie land) 261172 acres assessed at 464496 Rupees (of which about 213000 acres assessed at 364000 Rupees is still under cultivation) The Net per Centage of the decrease of Assessment in the old land has been about 3¼ per Cent while the Net increase from the new Cowle Teerwalie Land may be stated at about 6¼ per Cent.

17 That the higher rated Lands of the old Tegoobadi have been relinquished is true. but this may arise from a

15486 Fort St George 24th November 1842

variety of causes independent of the liberty given to the Ryot to exchange his old land when become unproductive for cowle Teerwalie Lands. The land in some parts is well known to be too highly rated and the rates have been occasionally reduced and if in addition to the payment of high rates the Ryot has had to contend against disastrous seasons and disastrous prices it is no wonder that the higher rated land which from becoming poor should be relinquished by the owner, or from deaths, (which from Cholera have been very numerous) desertions, and poverty should be abandoned and find no cultivators willing to take it up again especially when there was abundance of Government land just cleared ready to be occupied.

18 But notwithstanding the quantity of the higher assessed old Tegoobadi Lands which have fallen out of cultivation since Fusly 1235 on a comparison between the average rate of the old and new Lands taken together now in occupation with the average rate of Fusly 1235 there is no cause to be dissatisfied. For while we see an increase of 130071 acres assessed at 169157 Rs above Fusly 1235 the difference in

	Acres	Assessment	average per Acre	
		Rs	Rs	a
Cultivation of Fusly 1235	330084	572670	1	12
1251	460155	741827	1	10
Difference - - -	130071	169157	-	.

16. The effect of the operation of the Cowle system is affording the means of supplying the place of much land which from bad seasons and other causes has been thrown out of cultivation may be further exemplified. In Fusly 1235 there were in the 6 Black Land Cowle Talooks 330084 Acres assessed at 572670 Rupees under cultivation. It is believed that about 83000 Acres assessed at 195000 Rs of this has been from various causes thrown out of cultivation and to supply this loss there has been a succession of land from year to year reclaimed from the waste amounting in all to (Cowle Teerwalie land) 261172 acres assessed at 646496 Rupees (of which about 213000 Acres assessed at 364000 Rupees is still under cultivation) The per Centage of the decrease of assessment in the old land has been about 3½ per Cent while the per increase from the new Cowle Teerwalie Land may be stated at about 6½ per Cent.

17 That the higher rated Lands of the old Tegoobadi have been relinquished is true. but this may arise from a

15486 Fort St George 24th November 1842

variety of causes independent of the liberty given to the Ryot to exchange his old land when become unproductive for cowle Teerwalie Lands. The land in some parts is well known to be too highly rated and the rates have been occasionally reduced and if in addition to the payment of high rates the Ryot has had to contend against disastrous seasons and disastrous prices it is no wonder that the higher rated lands which from becoming poor should be relinquished by the owner, or from deaths, (which from Cholera have been very numerous) desertions, and poverty should be abandoned and find no cultivators willing to take it up again especially when there was abundance of Government land just cleared ready to be occupied.

18 But notwithstanding the quantity of the higher assessed old Tegoobadi Lands which have fallen out of cultivation since Fusly 1235 on a comparison between the average rate of the old and new Lands taken together now in occupation with the average rate of Fusly 1235 there is no cause to be dissatisfied. For while we seen an increase of 130071 acres assessed at 169157 Rs above Fusly 1235 the difference in

	Acres	Assessment	average per Acre	
		Rs	Rs	a
Cultivation of Fusly 1235	330084	572670	1	12
1251	460155	741827	1	10
Difference . . .	130071	169157	-	-

the average rate per acre of the Land then and now cultivated is only 2 annas in the Rupee per Acre.-

19 The operation of the sys=-tem of the Kayem Regud Cowle in this District I conceive to have been productive of the greatest advantages to the Govern=-ment and to the Ryot. Had it not been for the liberal granting of Cowle and-the discreet watchfulness which has ever attended its operation, the Revenue would now be in these Talooks perhaps 3 or 4-Lacs less; and the state of the country-would have been deplorable after the-said years it has to contend against.-

20 But the late Principal Collector Mr Robertson, the several-Boards of Revenue, and the Governments, have so repeatedly insisted on the advan=-tages of the Cowle system, and regretted when there appeared any relaxation in-the operations, that it is quite superfluous for me to say more in proof of the-doctrines of so many able men, which I think the report I have the honor to submit will

will most satisfactorily substantiate, and I shall only take the liberty of trans-cribing here the remarks of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council in the Minutes of Consultation dated 29th December 1832-on the Pro-ceedings of the Board of Revenue on the Settlement of Fusly 1240. "The great-"est encouragement ought to be given to the "extension of Cowles as established upon "fixed principles as in Bellary for it "is understood that generally speaking "land of this kind at the expiration of "the Cowle, when relinquished by those who "undertook to cultivate it, is eagerly sought "by the poorer class of Ryot. Its extreme "fertility yields them an ample return "and the possession of it frequently raises "them from a condition of great poverty "to one of comparative substance while-"the first holders of the Cowle enabled "from their large capital to break up new "lands, and by successively abandoning it-"to needy cultivators, serve to elevate their "circumstances generally to a better standard "and to increase the resources of the whole-country

Fort St George 24th November 1842

'country. It is hoped that no causes will
'operate to obstruct this desirable end, which
'is the main object for which the issue of
"Cowles has been so warmly encouraged"

21 I have not entered into any detailed particulars regarding the nature of Nutt Lands, and other useful information regarding the Cowle, as Mr Robertson in his Jummabundy Report for 1234 Fusly, and Mr Mellor in his Report on the Settlement of Fusly 1249, entered fully into these particulars. I shall only just add what are the rules in force regarding Kayem Regud Cowle to which this report alone has reference.-

1st Cowle is granted for 5 years.

2nd Cowle is granted to Ryots only who keep up their usual cultivation.

3d The Land taken on Cowle should be cleared within 2 years.

4th Ryots failing to make over the lands perfectly cleared after the expiration of the Cowle are held strictly responsible for the full Assessment until it is reclaimed.-

5th It is optionary with the Ryot who has fully reclaimed the Cowle lands to keep it or give it up.-

6th Ryots requiring Tuccavi for breaking up waste Land on cowle are allowed

Fort St George 24th November 1842

allowed 70 Rupees per Plough of 40 acres which sum is recovered in two years.-

22 There is, it will be seen, a rule that allows the Ryot to throw up the land given him in Cowle where it becomes Teerwalie or liable to the full Assessment.- This is not likely to be the case when the state of the Market is such as to afford a small profit to the Ryot. But where the prices of Grain are so low as at present, it will be difficult to get the quantity of Land Cowle taken up when the cowle expired. This difficulty has already been experienced especially in the Gooty Talook and I have been obliged to remind the Tahsildars of the rule which allows perfect liberty to the Ryot either to retain or throw up his Cowle expired Land provided he delivers it cleared of Nutt. For I found in some instances that the Tahsildars were endeavouring to compel the holders of the Cowle to retain the land when it became liable to the full

	Market price of Cotton pr Candy		Jonna per Garce	
Fusly 1235	39	6	142	3
" 1239	38	1	90	15
" 1244	56	11	151	1
" 1249	41	13	132	-
Average of 15 years between Fusly 1235 & 1249	46	7	117	7
Fusly 1250	32	9	92	-
" 1251	32	9	69	40

Acres Assessment 64,663 - 122,911.

'country. It is hoped that no causes will
'operate to obstruct this desirable end, which
'is the main object for which the issue of
"Cowles has been so warmly encouraged."

21 I have not entered into any detailed particulars regarding the nature of Nutt Lands, and other useful information regarding the Cowle, as Mr Robertson in his Jummabundy Report for 1234 Fusly, and Mr Mellor in his Report on the settlement of Fusly 1249, entered fully into these particulars. I shall only just add what are the rules in force regarding Kayem Regud Cowle to which this report alone has reference.

1st Cowle is granted for 5 years.

2nd Cowle is granted to Ryots only who keep up their usual cultivation.

3d The Land taken on Cowle should be cleared within 2 years.

4th Ryots failing to make over the lands perfectly cleared after the expiration of the Cowle are held strictly responsible for the full Assessment until it is reclaimed.

5th It is optionary with the Ryot who has fully reclaimed the Cowle land to keep it or give it up.

6th Ryots requiring Tuccavi for breaking up waste Land on cowle are allowed

154 86 Fort St George 24th November 1842

allowed 70 Rupees per Plough of 40 acres which sum is recovered in two years.

22 There is, it will be seen, a rule that allows the Ryot to throw up the land given him in Cowle where it becomes Teerwalie or liable to the full assessment. This is not likely to be the case when the state of the Market is such as to afford a small profit to the Ryot. But where the prices of Grain are so low as at present it will be difficult to get the quantity of Land Cowle taken up when the cowle expires.

	Market price of Cotton per Candy		Jonna per Garce	
Fusly 1235	39	6	142	3
" 1239	38	1	90	15
" 1244	56	11	151	1
" 1249	41	13	132	-
Average of 15 years or between Fusly 1235 & 1249	46	7	117	7
Fusly 1250	32	9	92	-
" 1251	32	9	69	4

Acres Assessment
64,663 – 12291.

This difficulty has already been experienced especially in the Goollen Talook and I have been obliged to remind the Tahsildars of the rule which allows perfect liberty to the Ryot either to retain or throw up his Cowle expired Land provided he delivers it cleared of Nutt. For I found in some instances that the Tahsildars were endeavouring to compel the holders of the Cowle to retain the land when it became liable to the full

tax. The enormous increase in the payment of the Ryots (especially in the Goodlem Talook) owing to the quantity of Cowle Peerwalie land they have taken up is already being felt, and the ruinous prices of Grain at present will act powerfully in preventing the Ryots from taking up more land and it is possible that much of the land still under Cowle, when it reverts to the full tax will be abandoned or only taken in exchange for other lands. But the Circar must not be covetous. It would be extreme folly and quite contrary to the rule of Rotwary to endeavour to force the Ryots to cultivate more land than they can pay for, it will end in the ruin of the Ryot and the consequent injury to the Revenue. The only mode that must be adopted to secure the best lands being kept in cultivation is to allow the Ryots freely (but fairly also towards the Circar) to take in exchange for any bad land they may have good Cowle Peerwalie land. This with a moderate degree of care on the part of the Circar servants will be attended with the best results. It will be the only way to keep up the present very high Revenue while the prices of Grain continue so low. and if the present Revenue cannot be paid with this assistance the Ryot must be allowed to contract his farm. —

It

23

It ought to be a matter of sincere congratulation that there is such a quantity of land, reclaimed from the Waste, now always ready for any Ryot — rich or Poor to take up, when it is for his interest to do so. —

Bellary District, —
Collector's Office on circuit,
Gadacull, 21st October 1842.

(Signed) C. Pelly
Sub Collector —
in charge.

Statement.

For Fort St. George

Black Lands cultivated

...ermanent Cowle at the —

| | Total land held under | | |

For Fort St. George

Black Lands Cultivated

...ermanent Cowle at the —

	Total land held under			
	Lands fully reclaimed.		Lands partially reclaimed.	
	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.
	7	8	9	10
...88.	13387	20894	9052.	12512
...48	2857	4939	2974.	5086
...48	11014.	28958.	5106.	12277
...48	4957	8494.	1999.	4416.
...41.	2900.	5747	3518.	6104
...43.	881.	1777	1990.	4604
...56	35996	70809	24639.	44999
...9	39	69	56.	89
...	210.	291.	295.	403
...	70.	96.	32	51.
...	840.	1651.	698	1465.
...1.	1559	2107	1081.	2008.
...17	37155.	72916	25720	47007

24th November 1842.

...m Permanent Cowle in the District of Bellary —

Commencement of Fusly 1251.

Cowle. —								
Lands not reclaimed at all. —		Total.			Average amount of collection on each plough.		Ryots Puttahs net Demand on all the land cultivated by the ryots who hold Cowle in Fusly 1251. —	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Collection.			At the time of their applying for Cowle.	Fusly 1251. —
11	12	13	14	15	16		17	18
1099	1596	23538	35002	870	1	8 u	32338	38730
80	171	5911	10196	224	1	8 u	16263	19070
216	577	16336	41812	605	1	8 u	53877	79685
150	240	7106	13150	263	1	8 u	7215	8313
11	29	6429	11880	236	1	8 u	14353	16432
37	55	2908	6436	119	1	10	7644	9144
1593	2668	62228	118476	2317	1	8 u	131690	171374
4	7	99	165	4	1	4 u	342	400
66	72	571	766	21	1	8 u	892	1045
16	17	118	164	4	1	7 u	205	211
109	224	1647	3340	61	1	7 u	2931	3318
195	320	2435	4435	90	1	8 u	4373	4974
1788	2988	64863	122911	2407	1	8 u	136063	176348

For Ft. St. George

Black Lands cultivated

Permanent Cowle at the —

	Total land held under			
	Lands fully reclaimed.		Lands partially reclaimed.	
	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.
	7	8	9	10
38.	13387.	20894.	9052.	12512.
28	2857	4939	2974.	5086
28	11014.	28958.	5106.	12277
28	4957	8494.	1999.	4416.
1.	2900.	5747.	3518.	6104
13.	881.	1777	1990.	4604
56	35996	70809	24639.	44999.
9	39	69	56.	89
.	210.	291.	295.	402
	70.	96.	32	51.
1.	840.	1651.	698	1465.
1.	1559	2107	1081.	2008.
17.	37155.	72916	25720	47007

24th November 1842.

on Permanent Cowle in the District of Bellary —

Commencement of Fusly 1251.

Cowle. — Lands not reclaimed at all. —		Total.			Average amount of collection on each plough.	Ryots Puttahs net Demands on all the land cultivated by the ryots who hold Cowle in Fusly 1251. —	
						At the time of their applying for Cowle.	Fusly 1251. —
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Collection.			
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1099.	1596.	23538.	35002.	870.	1. 8 4	32338.	38730.
80.	171.	5911.	10196.	224.	1. 8 4	16263.	19070.
216.	577.	16336.	41812.	605.	1. 8 4	53877.	79685.
150.	240.	7106.	13150.	263.	1 8 4	7215.	8313.
11.	29.	6429.	11880.	236.	1 8 4	14353.	16432.
37.	55.	2908.	6436.	119.	1 10.	7644.	9144.
1593.	2668.	62228.	118476.	2317.	1 8 4	131690.	171374.
4.	7.	99.	185.	4.	1 4 4	342.	400.
66.	72.	571.	766.	21.	1 8 4	892.	1045.
16.	17.	118.	164.	4.	1 7 4	205.	211.
109.	224.	1647.	3340.	61.	1 7 4	2934.	3318.
195.	320.	2435.	4435.	90.	1 8 4	4373.	4974.
1788.	2988.	64663.	122911.	2407.	1 8 4	136063.	176318.

Fort St. George

prepared with reference to the form and instructions of the Board of Revenue under date the 18th March 1841.—

24th November 1842.

Cowle Peervah or Land whose Cowle expired in the three Fuslies preceding 1251 Fusly viz^t 1248. 1249. and 1250.—

Land retained by the original Holders of the Cowle in Fusly 1251.								Land held by other Ryots in Fusly 1251.—						Total Cowle.	
Land fully reclaimed.		Land partially reclaimed.		Land not reclaimed at all.		Total.		Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.		Not at all reclaimed.		Total.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
5886	7732	1287	1911	60	76	7233	9719	1376	2029	408	727	21	29	1805	2785	9038	12504
6285	10111	1060	2078	65	160	7410	12349	720	1165	230	457	7	19	957	1641	8367	13990
10993	22224	4576	9033	139	229	15708	31486	2110	5552	671	1363	39	85	2820	7000	18528	38486
1460	3108	162	319	20	29	1642	3456	309	732	320	789	2	3	631	1524	2273	4980
567	924	258	271	16	23	841	1218	13	19	54	32	"	"	67	51	908	1269
509	826	166	253	13	33	688	1112	"	"	15	13	9	9	24	22	712	1134
25700	44925	7509	13865	313	550	33522	59340	4528	9497	1698	3381	78	145	6304	13023	39826	72363
69	121	"	"	"	"	69	121	"	"	23	47	"	"	23	47	92	188
20	51	8	8	"	"	28	59	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	28	59
170	293	32	60	18	16	220	369	25	54	12	32	18	39	55	125	275	494
22	49	"	"	"	"	22	49	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	22	49
281	534	40	68	18	16	339	618	25	54	35	79	18	39	78	172	417	790
25981	65459	7549	13933	331	566	33861	59958	4553	9551	1733	3460	96	184	6382	13195	10243	73153

15490

Fort St. George

24th November 1842.

Cowle Peishcush or lands whose Cowle expired in the three Fusleis preceding 1251 Fusly Vizt. 1248. 1249 and 1250 (Contd.)

Cowle Peishcush of the three Fusleis and Occupied in Fusly 1251.

Fully reclaimed. | Partially reclaimed and lands which are not reclaimed at all. | Total of lands partly reclaimed and not reclaimed at all. | Total un-occupied. | Total Cowle Peishcush of Fusly 1248 1249. 1250 Occupied and unoccupied Columns 35 36. and 49. 50.

Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total of lands partly reclaimed and not reclaimed at all.		Of Ryots dead or gone.		Total.		Total un-occupied.		Total Cowle Peishcush of Fusly 1248 1249. 1250 Occupied and unoccupied Columns 35 36. and 49. 50.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Of Ryots non present. Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.
37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52
7	6	94.	164.	134.	268.	121.	163.	157.	269	278.	432	285.	438.	9323.	12,942
140.	368.	216.	583	167	435	305.	812	78.	206.	383	1018	523	1386	8890.	15376.
〃	〃	13.	21.	261.	637	198	643	76.	215	274	658	274	658	18302	39144.
〃	〃	〃	〃	103.	225	96	216	7.	9	103	225	103	225	2376.	5205.
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	908.	1269
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	712	1134.
147.	374.	323.	768	715	1565	720.	1634	318.	699	1038	2333.	1185.	2707.	41011.	75070.
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	92	188
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	28	59
〃	〃	〃	〃	4.	3.	〃	〃	4.	3	4.	3	4.	3	279	497.
〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	〃	22	49
〃	〃	〃	〃	4.	3.	〃	〃	4.	3	4.	3	4.	3	421.	793.
147.	374.	323.	768.	719	1568.	720.	1634.	322.	702	1042	2336.	1189.	2710.	41432	75863.

154-91

For Ae St. George

24th November 1842.

Cowle Teerwah or lands the Cowle of which

Land reclaimed by the original Holders of the Cowle.

Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total		Land
Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.
53	54	55.	56	57	58	59	60	61
3,966.	6,010.	1,585	2,522	123.	189	5674.	8,721	615.
2,002	3,445	269.	481.	39	91.	2,310.	4,017	83.
5234.	13352	1581.	3674.	168	381.	6983	17,407	1452
2,091.	3,372	299.	629	18	36.	2,408.	4,037	424
1,036	1,800.	375.	484.	10.	12	1421.	2,296	129
75.	157.	119	166	27	16	221.	839.	"
14,404.	28,136.	4,228	7,956.	385.	725	19,017.	36,817.	2713.
171.	231.	"	"	"	"	171.	231.	"
16.	14.	21.	33.	"	"	37.	47.	"
77	116	30.	70.	"	"	107.	186.	"
93.	195	68	74	"	"	161.	269.	"
357.	556	119	177	"	"	476	733.	"
14761.	28692.	4347.	8133.	385.	725.	19493.	37550.	2713.

escheated in Fasly 1251—

held by other Ryots.

reclaimed	Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total.		Total Cowle Teerwah lands occupied in 1251 Fasly—	
Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.
62	63	64	65.	66	67	68	69	70.
1184	277	461	2.	4.	894.	1649.	6568	10370.
219.	20.	39.	"	"	103	258.	2413.	4275.
4184.	72	147	5	14.	1529	4345.	8512	21752
810.	92	169	"	"	516	979	2924	5016.
308.	36	68.	"	"	175	3076	1596.	2672
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	221.	339
6705	497	884.	7.	18	3217.	7607	22234.	44424.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	171.	231.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37.	47.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	107.	186.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	161.	269.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	476	733.
6705.	497.	884.	7.	18.	3217.	7607.	22710.	45157.

154-91

Fort St. George

24th November 1842.

Cowle Peishcush or land the Cowle of which

Land reclaimed by the original Holders of the Cowle.

Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total.		Land
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Fully
53	54	55.	56	57	58	59	60	61
3,966.	6,010.	1585	2,522	123.	189	5674	8,721	615.
2,002	3,445	269	481.	39	91.	2,310.	4,017	83.
5,234.	13,352	1581.	3674.	168	381.	6983	17,407.	1,452
2,091.	3,372	299.	629	18	36.	2,408.	4,037.	424
1,036	1,807.	375.	484.	10.	12	1421.	2,296	129
75.	157.	119	166	27	16	221.	839.	"
14,404.	28,136.	4228	7,956.	385.	725	19,017.	36,817.	2,713.
171.	231.	"	"	"	"	171.	231.	"
16.	14.	21.	33.	"	"	37.	47.	"
77	116	30.	70.	"	"	107.	186.	"
93.	195	68	74	"	"	161.	269.	"
357.	556	119	177	"	"	476.	733.	"
14,761.	28,692.	4,347.	8,133.	385.	725.	19,493.	37,550.	2,713.

escheated in Fusly 1251.

Held by other Ryots.

Fully reclaimed		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total.		Total Cowle Peishcush vacated or escheated in 1251 Fusly.	
Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Acres.	Assessment.
62	63	64	65.	66	67	68	69	70.	
1184	277	461	2.	4.	894	1649.	6568	10370.	
219.	20.	39.	"	"	103	258.	2413.	4275.	
4184.	72	147	5	14.	1529	4345.	8512	21752	
810.	92	169	"	"	516	979.	2924	5016.	
308.	36	68.	"	"	175	3076.	1596.	2672	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	221.	339	
6705	497	884.	7.	18	3217	7607	22234.	44424	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	171.	231.	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	37.	47.	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	107.	186.	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	161.	269.	
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	476	733.	
6705.	497.	884.	7.	18.	3217.	7607.	22710.	45157.	

15492

Fort St. George

24th November 1842.

Cowle Peruvali or land the Cowle of which

Cowle Peruvali Lands no

Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed and Lands which				
		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total of L of Ryo
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.
71	72	73	74	75	76	77
40.	64.	26	59.	43.	66.	65.
21.	54.	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	25.	52	25.
"	"	"	"	6.	11	"
61.	121.	26.	59.	74.	129	90.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
61.	121.	26	59.	74.	129.	90.

escheated in Fusly 1251 (Continued)

Occupied in Fusly 1251.

are not reclaimed at all.

partially reclaimed and not reclaimed at all.

now present.		of Ryots died or gone.		Total.		Total unoccupied.		Total Cowle Peruvali of 1251 occupied and unoccupied Columns 69. 70 and 83-84.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.
78	79	80	81	82		83	84	85	86.
108.	4.	17.	69	125.		109.	192	6,677	10,562
"	"	"	"	"		21.	54.	2,434	4,329.
52	"	"	25	52		25.	52	8,537.	21,804.
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	2,924.	5,016.
"	6	11.	6.	11.		6	11	1,602	2683.
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	221.	339.
160.	10.	28	100.	188.		161.	309.	22,395.	44,733.
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	171.	231.
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	37.	47.
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	107.	186.
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	161.	269
"	"	"	"	"		"	"	476	733.
160.	10.	28	100.	188.		161.	309.	22,871.	45,466

1542

1543

For Ty

Total Land Revenue of Estates

Land retained

4th November 1842

1249-1250 and 1251 entered in Colr.

by Ryots of Fusly 1251.

Land retained by the original Holders of the Lands.

Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment
87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94
9852	13742	2872	4433	183	265	12907	18440
8287	13556	1329	2359	104	251	9720	16366
16227	35576	6157	12707	307	610	22691	48893
3551	6480	461	948	38	65	4050	7493
1603	2724	633	755	26	35	2262	3514
584	983	285	419	40	49	909	1451
40104	73061	11737	21821	698	1275	52539	96157
240	372	"	"	"	"	240	372
36	65	29	41	"	"	65	106
247	409	62	130	18	16	327	555
115	244	68	74	"	"	183	318
638	1090	159	245	18	16	815	1351
40742	74151	11896	22066	716	1291	53354	97508

Land held by other Ryots.

Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total.	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment
95	96	97	98	99	100	101	102
1991	3213	685	1188	23	33	2699	4434
803	1384	250	496	7	19	1060	1899
3562	9736	743	1510	44	99	4349	11345
733	1542	412	958	2	3	1147	2503
152	327	90	100	"	"	242	427
"	"	15	13	9	9	24	22
7241	16202	2195	4265	85	163	9521	20630
"	"	23	47	"	"	23	47
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
25	54	12	32	18	39	55	225
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
25	54	35	79	18	39	78	172
7266	16256	2230	4344	103	202	9599	20802

15494. | Fort St. George | 24th November 1842.

Total Cowle Teerwali lands occupied in 1251 Fusly. | Total Cowle Teerwali of Fusslies 1248 1249-1250 and 1251 entered in Column (Contd).

Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.		Not reclaimed at all.		Total occupied.		Cowle Teerwali Fully reclaimed.		Partially reclaimed.
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.
103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111	112	113
11843.	16955	3557.	5621.	206.	293.	15606.	22874.	47	73.	120.
9090.	14940.	1899.	3055.	111.	270.	10780.	18265.	161.	422	216.
19739	45312	6900.	14217.	337.	709	27040.	60238.	"	"	13.
4284.	8022	873.	1906.	40.	68.	5197.	9996.	"	"	"
1755.	3051.	723	855	26	35	2504.	3941.	"	"	"
584.	983.	300.	432	49	58	933.	1473.	"	"	"
47345	89263.	13932	26086.	783	1438.	62060.	116787.	208.	495	349
240.	372	23	47	"	"	263.	419	"	"	"
36.	65	29	41.	"	"	65	106.	"	"	"
272	463	74.	182	36	55	382	680.	"	"	"
115	244.	68	74	"	"	183.	318.	"	"	"
663	1144.	194	324	36.	55.	893.	1523.	"	"	"
48008.	90407.	14126	26410.	819.	1493.	62953.	118310.	208	495.	349.

Lands not occupied in 1251 Fusly.—

reclaimed.	Not reclaimed at all.		Total of land partially reclaimed and not reclaimed at all.						Total uncupied.	
			Of ryots not present.		Of ryots dead or gone.		Total.			
Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment.
114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124.
223.	227.	334.	186.	271.	161.	286.	347.	557.	394.	630.
583.	167.	435.	305.	812	78.	206.	383.	1018.	544.	1240.
21.	286.	689.	223.	495.	76.	215.	299.	710.	299.	710.
"	103.	225.	96.	216.	7.	9.	103.	225.	103.	225.
"	6.	11.	"	"	6.	11.	6.	11.	6.	11.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
827.	789.	1694.	810.	1794.	328.	727.	1138.	2521.	1346.	3016.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4.	3.	"	"	4.	3.	4.	3.	4.	3.
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	4.	3	"	"	4.	3.	4.	3	4.	3.
827.	793.	1697	810.	1794.	332	730.	1142	2524.	1350.	3019.

15495

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Total Cowle Teerwah of Fusli

Total Cowle Teerwah lands of 4 years occupied and unoccupied

Fully reclaimed:		Partially reclaimed		Not reclaimed at all.		Total	
Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment	Acres.	Assessment.	Acres.	Assessment
125	126	127	128	129	130	131	132
11890	17028	3677	5844	433	632	16000	23504
9251	15362	1795	3638	278	705	11324	19705
19789	45312	6913	14238	637	1398	27339	60948
4284	8022	873	1906	143	293	5301	10221
1755	3051	723	855	32	46	2510	3952
584	983	300	432	49	58	933	1473
47553	89758	14281	26913	1572	3132	63406	119803
240	372	23	47	"	"	263	419
36	65	29	41	"	"	65	106
272	463	74	162	40	58	386	683
115	244	68	74	"	"	183	318
663	1144	194	324	40	58	897	1526
48216	90902	14475	27237	1612	3190	64303	121329

Bellary District Collectors Office on Circuit Gadacul

1249. 1250. and 1251 entered in Col

Teerwah Cowle land reclaimed by the Ryots who held the Cowle.-		Cowle Teerwah lands given up by the Holders of the Cowle.-					
		Land taken by other Ryots.-		Unoccupied Land.-		Total	
Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment.	Acres	Assessment	Acres	Assessment.
133.-	134	135	136	137	138	139	140.-
12907	13440	2699	4434	394	630	3093	5064
9720	16366	1060	1899	544	1440	1604	3339
22691	48393	4349	11345	299	710	4648	12055
4050	7493	1147	2303	103	225	1250	2728
2262	3514	242	427	6	11	248	438
909	1451	24	22	"	"	24	22
52539	96157	9521	20630	1346	3016	10867	23646
240	372	23	47	"	"	23	47
65	106	"	"	"	"	"	"
327	535	55	125	4	3	59	128
183	318	"	"	"	"	"	"
815	1351	78	172	4	3	82	175
53354	97508	9599	20802	1350	3019	10949	23821

21st October 1842 E E 7r signed / C. Pelly
Sub Collr in Charge - Comparative

George

Pages of

fusly 1251
so above
Revenue
Increase
rigated.

24th November 1842.

which the Revenue has risen and the Number of the

is higher than in fusly 1235.

300 per Cent.

or Col: 3 & 4.

Quantity of Regud Land held on Halin Cowle from fusly 1234 to 1251.

By Wells.	Total	Total Increase	Per Centage	Acres.	Gross Assessment.
10	11	12	13.	14	15.
By Nullahs					
9	"	1156	3.43	12	1,673	1,202
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	183	794	367	9	761	1,330
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	1,923	327	6	1,798	3,042
"	"	3,913	569	4	3,406	4,334
45	45					
45	228	7,786	431	5	7,638	9,928

Georgi

Pages of

fusly 1251
vs. above
Revenue
Increase
rigated.

24th November 1842.-

which the Revenue has risen and the Number of the

is higher than in fusly 1235.-

300 per Cent.-

it Cols. 3 & 4.-

By Nullahs	By Wells.	Total	Total Increase	Per Centage	Quantity of Regud Land held on Hain Cowle from fusly 1234 to 1251.- Acres.	Gross Assessment.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15.
4	"	"	1156	343 12	1673	1202
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
4	183		794	367 9	761	1330
"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"		1923	327 6	1798	3042
45	45		3913	569 4	3406	4334
45	228		7786	431 5	7638	9928

15497

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Villages where the Revenue has fallen off Since Fusly 1235, and the rate of Increase and Decrease and the Villages whose Berij of fusly 1251 is higher than in fusly 1235- - -1Cent4/- above 200- per Cent.-

Number of Mozahs	Land Revenue including Sodee of Fusly 1235-	Land Revenue including Sodee of Fusly 1251.-	Dry lands. Regud or Black Land	Mixed & Red Land	Total	By Tanks	in Colr 17 & 18.- Irrigated.- By Nullahs	By Wells	Total.	Total Increase	Per Centage	Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251.- Acres	Gross Assessment.-
16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26.	27	28	29.-
3	609	2014	1304	101	1405	"	"	"	"	1405	230 11	2241	2444
4	3313	11589	7854	422	8276	"	"	"	"	8276	249 14	6163	13446
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	137	435	272	26	298	"	"	"	"	298	217 10	394	441
8	4059	14038	9430	549	9979	"	"	"	"	9979	245 14	8798	16331

Fort St. George

24th November 1842.

Quantity of Cowle held in those Villages from fusly 1234 to fusly 1251 in the Six Black land Cowle Talooks

Villages whose Berij of Above 100 Revenue. Net Increase in fusly 1251 is higher than in fusly 1235 - - - /Cont.d/. - per Cent. -

Number of Mezahs. -	Revenue of Fusly 1235.	Revenue of Fusly 1251.	Dry land Regud	Dry land Mixed & Red Soil. -	Dry land Total	By Tanks	on Col. 31 & 32. Irrigated. - By Nullahs	By Wells	Total	Total Increase	Per Centage	Quantity of Cowle land from Fusly 1234 to 1251. - Acres.	Gross Assessment
30	31	32	33.	34.	35.	36.	37	38	39	40	41	42	43. -
12	6717	15,625	8634	174	8808	"	4	100	100	8908	132 10	10542	14032
11	9055	20,766	11159	358	11517	130	17	27	194	11711	129 5	12832	18130
24	28738	68,733	38894	775	39669	156	"	170	326	39995	139 4	34396	76551
3	4390	12,195	7770	35	7805	"	"	"	"	7805	177 13	9132	15251
10	7546	19,331	10179	535	10714	1071	"	"	1071	11785	156 9	9041	15741
9	1770	3,820	904	94	998	514	349	189	1052	2050	115 12	625	971
69	58216	140470	77540	1971	79511	1891	366	486	2743	82254	141 5	76568	140676

15499

Fort St. George

in the Bellary Division of the Ceded District.-

24th November 1842.-

Number of Mozahs.	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Villages whose Rate of Assessment has increased above 75 per Cent.- Revenue.- Net Increase	Dry land			
			Regud	Mixed and Red Soil	Total	By Tanks
44	45	46	47	48	49	50
9	6,576	12,536	5,283	566	5,849	"
4	6,146	11,499	5,271	60	5,331	"
8	9,485	17,429	7,576	330	7,906	"
1	4,072	7,257	3,185	"	3,185	"
4	2,034	3,958	1,662	221	1,883	"
2	574	1,014	310	"	310	"
28	28,887	53,693	23,287	1,177	24,464	"

1251 is higher than in fusly 1235.- -[Cont.]-

Cent.-

in Cols 45 & 46.- Irrigated.- By Nullahs	By Wells	Total	Total Increase	Per Centage		Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251.- Acres.	Gross Assessment
51	52	53	54	55		56	57.-
"	111	111	5,960	90	1	6,119	9,508
"	22	22	3,353	87	1	5,918	13,945
"	38	38	7,944	83	12	7,708	17,389
"	"	"	3,185	78	3	3,144	7,058
"	"	41	1,924	96	5	2,227	2,596
31	10						
130	"	130	440	76	11	440	697
161	181	342	24,806	85	14	25,356	51,193

15500.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.-

Villages whose Berij of 1251 above 50 per Cent Revenue Net Increase on Dry Land.

Number of Mazahs 58	Revenue of Fusly 1235 59	Revenue of Fusly 1251.- 60	Regud 61	Mixed & Red Soil. 62	Total 63	By Tanks 64
16	11,138	17,639	5,779	609	6,388	"
7	5823	9,326	3,276	197	3,473	"
13	17,562	28,402	10,528	110	10,638	163
6	2,069	3,327	182	616	798	350
18	14,529	22,804	4,558	843	5,401	1,625
15	8,431	13,315	1,927	443	2,370	657
75	59,552	94,813	26,250	2,818	29,068	2,795

is higher than in Fusly 1235 ..... [Cont^d]-

Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251.-

Col^s 59 & 60.-

Irrigated.- By Nullahs 65	By Wells 66	Total 67	Total Increase 68	Per Centage 69	Acres 70	Gross Assessment 71
26	87	113	6,501	58 9	8834	12,250
"	30	30	3,503	60 3	2,760	4,118
"	39	202	10,840	61 12	11,258	26,544
10	100	460	1,258	60 1	617	913
1026	223	2874	8,275	56 15	6,090	9,036
1280	577	2514	4884	37 15	2895	3488
2342	1056	6193	35,261	59 3-	32,454	56,349

15501

Tot[al] S[ta]t[e]ment

24th November 1842.-

Villages whose Berij of 1251 is higher than in fusly 1235- - - -/Cont[d]/.-
Above 50 p[e]r Cent-

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Net Increase Revenue			
			Dry land Regud	Mixed & Red Soil.	Total	By Tanks
72	73	74	75	76	77	78
26	19,624	26,931	5,734	701	6,435	380
20	14,317	19,850	4,571	823	5,394	"
19	23,826	32,285	7,789	577	8,366	"
14	5,825	7,917	302	1,349	1,651	323
21	21,265	28,398	4,111	978	5,089	1,487
16	10,138	13,550	1,033	339	1,372	923
116	94,995	1,28,931	23,540	4,767	28,307	3,113

Quantity of Cowle Land from fusly 1234 to 1251.-

Cols 73 & 74.-

Irrigated By Nullahs	Irrigated By Wells	Total	Total Increase	Per Centage	Per Acre	Gross Assessment-
79	80	81	82	83.	84	85-
296	196	872	7,307	37 11	11,971	18,060
3	136	139	5,533	38 10	4,366	9,289
"	93	93	8,459	35 8	12,599	27,696
52	66	441	2,092	35 14	516	986
471	86	2,044	7,133	33 8	5,746	11,031
334	783	2,040	3,412	33 11	2105	2940
1,156	1,360	5,629	33,936	35 12	37,303	70,002

15501

Tot. I St. Corps

24th. November 1842.-

Villages whose Berij of 1251 above 56 p. Revenue Net Increase

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Dry land Regud	Dry land Mixed & Red Soil.	Dry land Total	By Tanks
72	73	74	75	76	77	78
26	19,624	26,931	5,734	701	6,435	380
20	14,317	19,850	4,571	823	5,394	"
19	23,826	32,285	7,789	577	8,366	"
14	5,825	7,917	302	1,349	1,651	323
21	21,265	28,398	4,111	978	5,089	1,487
16	10,138	13,550	1,033	339	1,372	923
116	94,995	1,28,931	23,540	4,767	28,307	3,113

is higher than in fusly 1235- - - -/Cont.d/.-
Cent.-

Quantity of Cowle Land from fusly 1234 to 1251.-

Col. 73 & 74.-
Irrigated.-

By Nullahs	By Wells	Total	Total Increase	Per Centage	Per Acre	Gross Assessment.
79	80	81	82	83.	84	85.
296	196	872	7,307	37 11	11,971	18,060
3	136	139	5,533	38 10	4,366	9,289
"	93	93	8,459	35 8	12,599	27,696
52	66	441	2,092	35 14	516	986
471	86	2,044	7,133	33 8	5,746	11,031
334	783	2,040	3,412	33 11	2105	2940
1,156	1,360	5,629	33,936	35 12	37,303	70,002

15502

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Villages whose Bariz of 1251 is higher than in Fusly 1235 (Contd.)
Increase less than 25 per Cent

Revenue on Columns 87 & 88

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Dry land Regud	Dry land Mixed & Red Soil	Dry land Total	By Tanks	Irrigated By Nullahs	Irrigated By wells	Irrigated Total	Total Increase	Per Centage		Acres	Gross Assessment	Quantity of Coule Land from Fusly 1234 to 1254
86	87	88	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97		98	99	
44	66,368	72,914	5,328	477	5,805	81	509	92	741	6346	9	12	14799	22324	
72	55,564	60,568	3,048	1,396	4,439	52	172	341	565	5004	9	-	7309	12440	
16	27,836	30,353	2,258	214	2,472	27	-	18	45	2517	9	1	6848	19354	
61	48,673	54,013	2,241	2,657	4,898	21	71	159	442	5340	10	15	5029	7074	
42	40,421	45,189	1,742	1,310	3,052	751	419	546	1710	4708	11	12	5004	9066	
41	44,680	50,151	407	390	797	3,21	1006	455	4074	5471	12	4	4054	7397	
276	283,542	313,188	15,019	6,444	21,463	433	2237	1611	8183	29040	10	7	43763	78855	

15503 | | Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Villages whose Rent-roll of 1251 is higher than in Fusly 1235. / Contd /

Total Villages whose Revenue has risen since Fusly 1235.

Revenue on Columns 101 & 102.

Net Increase

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Dry Land				Irrigated				Per Centage		Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251.	
			Regd	Mixed & Red soil	Total	By Tanks	By Nullahs	By Wells	Total	Total Increase			Acres	Gross Assessment
100	101	102	103	104	105	106	107	108	109	110	111		112	113
113	111347	149130	32977	2869	35846	460	891	586	1937	37783	33	13	56179	79820
114	90905	122009	27320	2834	30154	202	192	556	950	31104	34	4	33245	57922
85	110970	189801	75510	2428	77938	529	—	358	887	78825	71	1	79733	182330
85	85029	84709	13680	4657	18337	885	133	325	1343	19080	30	4	18438	31852
97	86381	122189	24176	3887	28062	4934	1947	865	7746	35808	42	6	30566	54112
91	66418	86886	8585	1428	10013	5307	3099	2049	10455	20468	30	13	13919	20268
585	531056	754724	182247	18703	200350	12317	6262	4739	23318	223668	42	2	232080	423334

15504 | Fort St. George | 24th November 1842.

Villages whose Land Revenue is lower than 75 Per Cent

Decrease marked in Columns 115 & 116.

Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Net Decrease	Dry Land			Irrigated			Total Decrease	Per Centage	Acres	Gross Assessment
				Regud	Mixed & Red Soil	Total	By Tanks	By Nullahs	By wells	Total				
114	115	116		117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127
1	50	1			49	49					49	98		
1	12	1			11	11					11	91	11	
1	70	17			20	20		11	22	33	53	75	11	
3	132	19			80	80		11	22	33	113	85	10	

24ᵗʰ November 1842.

Villages whose Land Revenue

Decrease more than 75 Per Cent

Jusly 1251 is lower than in Jusly 1235 /Contᵈ/

Net Decrease in Columns 115 & 116.

Quantity of Cowle Land from Jusly 1234 to 1251.

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Jusly 1235	Revenue of Jusly 1251	Dry Land				Irrigated			Total Decrease	Per Centage	Acres	Gross Assessment
			Regud	Mixed & Red Soil	Total	By Tanks	By Nullahs	By wells	Total				
114	115	116	117	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127
1	50	1	-	49	49	"	"	"	"	49	98	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
1	12	1	"	11	11	"	"	"	"	11	91	11	"
1	70	17	"	20	20	"	11	22	33	53	75	11	"
"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	132	19	"	80	80	"	11	22	33	113	85	10	"

Villages whose Fasly 1251 is lower than in Fasly 1235 (Contd)

Decrease more than 50 per Cent

Number of Mozahs 128	Revenue of Fasly 1235 129	Revenue of Fasly 1251 130	Net Revenue Decrease on Columns 129 & 130. Dry Land: Regud 131	Dry Land: Mixed & Red soil 132	Dry Land: Total 133	Dry Land: By Tanks 134	Irrigated: By Nullahs 135	Irrigated: By wells 136	Irrigated: Total 137	Total Decrease 138	Per Centage 139	Quantity of Cowle Land from Fasly 1234 to 1251. Acres 140	Gross Assessment 141
2	417	182	103	132	235	-	-	-	-	235	56 13	93	149
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1	493	205	-	246	246	-	-	42	42	288	58 8	-	-
1	265	132	-	133	133	-	-	-	-	133	50 3	-	-
10	6825	3046	3153	172	3325	-	65	389	454	3779	55 6	1920	3902
14	8000	3565	3256	683	3939	-	65	431	496	4435	55 7	2013	4051

Fort St George

24th November 1842

Villages whose Bariz of 1251 Fusly is lower than in Fusly 1235 /Contd/

Decrease more than 25 per Cent

Revenue

Net Decrease or Columns 143 & 144.

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Dry Land: Regud	Dry Land: Mixed & Red soil	Dry Land: Total	Dry Land: By Tanks	Irrigated: By Nullahs	Irrigated: By wells	Irrigated: Total	Total Decrease	Per Centage	Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251: Acres	Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251: Gross Assessment
142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155
12	5742	3570	1316	856	2172	-	-	-	-	2172	37 12	1479	2174
12	12853	8801	2142	1407	3549	-	-	503	503	4052	31 8	2383	4678
4	4517	2757	1700	-	1760	-	-	-	-	1760	38 15	1552	3693
30	19013	13022	1343	4593	5936	91	190	374	655	6591	33 10	1020	1286
5	5499	3707	835	240	1075	617	70	-	717	1792	32 11	481	1248
14	12957	8507	2065	825	2890	-	592	968	1560	4450	34 6	2236	4474
77	61181	40364	9461	7921	17382	738	852	1845	3435	20817	34 -	9151	17553

Fort St. George

24th November 1842

Villages whose Basis of Jusly is lower than in Jusly 1235 (Contd)

Decrease more than 25 per Cent

Revenue Columns 143 & 144.

Net Decrease on Dry Land

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Jusly 1235	Revenue of Jusly 1251	Dry Land: Regud	Dry Land: Mixed & Red soil	Dry Land: Total	Dry Land: By Tanks	Irrigated: By Nullahs	Irrigated: By wells	Irrigated: Total	Total Decrease	Per Centage	Quantity of Cowle Land from Jusly 1234 to 1251: Acres	Quantity of Cowle Land from Jusly 1234 to 1251: Gross Assessment
142	143	144	145	146	147	148	149	150	151	152	153	154	155
12	5742	3570	1316	856	2172	-	-	-	-	2172	37 12	1479	2174
12	12853	8801	2142	1407	3549	-	-	503	503	4052	31 8	2383	4678
4	4517	2757	1700	-	1700	-	-	-	-	1760	38 15	1552	3693
30	19013	13022	1343	4593	5936	91	190	374	655	6591	33 10	1020	1286
5	5499	3707	835	240	1075	67	70	-	717	1792	32 11	481	1248
14	12957	8507	2065	825	2890	4	592	968	1560	4450	34 6	2236	4474
77	61181	40364	9461	7921	17382	738	852	1845	3435	20817	34 -	9151	17553

15507

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Villages whose Variety of Decrease less than 25 per Cent

1251 Fusly is lower than in Fusly 1235 (Contd.)

Number of Mozahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Net Decrease on Columns 157 & 158 Dry Land: Regd	Dry Land: Mixed & Red soil	Dry Land: Total	Dry Land: By Tanks	Irrigated: Dry Nullahs	Irrigated: By Wells	Irrigated: Total	Total Decrease	Per Centage	Acres	Gross Assessment
156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	168	169
38	46,454	42,681	1860	1180	3040	20	557	126	733	3773	8 5	10646	18512
74	78,788	71184	329	2708	6059	258	437	850	1545	7004	9 10	8600	14137
9	10839	10283	547	9	556	"	"	"	"	556	5 2	2633	6716
74	56567	50944	424	4442	4866	305	112	340	757	5623	9 15	4092	6343
19	31781	29373	1408	269	1677	279	442	10	731	2408	11 10	2865	5679
50	41920	36740	1978	750	2728	364	1182	904	2452	5180	12 6	5639	10866
264	266349	241205	9508	9418	18926	1226	2762	2230	6218	25144	9 8	34475	62253

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Total Villages whose Revenue is lower than in Fusly 1235.

Number of Mojahs	Revenue of Fusly 1235	Revenue of Fusly 1251	Net Decrease on Total Revenue				Columns 171 & 172 Irrigated			Total Decrease	Per Centage	Quantity of Cowle Land from Fusly 1234 to 1251	
			Dry Land			By Tanks	By Nullahs	By wells	Total			Acres	Gross Assessment
			Regud	Mixed & Red Land	Total								
170	171	172	173	174	175	176	177	178	179	180	181	182	183
53	52663	46434	3279	2217	5496	20						12218	20835
86	91641	79985	5433	4175	9608	258	587	126	733	6229	11 13	10983	18815
13	15356	13040	2307	9	2316	-	437	1353	2048	11656	12 12	4185	10409
106	76685	64172	1707	9292	11059	396	-	-	-	2316	15 1	5112	7629
26	37615	33229	2243	662	2905	926	302	756	1454	12513	15 5	3346	6927
74	61702	48293	7196	1747	8943	364	523	32	1481	4386	11 10	9795	19242
							1841	2261	4466	13409	21 12		
358	335662	285153	22225	18102	40327	1964	3690	4528	10182	50509	15 1	45639	83857

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Villages whose Bariz has not changed since July 1235

Number of Mozahs	Revenue July 1235	Revenue July 1251	Quantity of Cowle Land Acres	Quantity of Cowle Land Gross Assessment	Number of Mozahs
184	185	186	187	188	189
2	257	257	14	12	108
2	233	233	"	"	202
"	"	"	"	"	98
"	"	"	"	"	191
1	286	286	"	"	124
1	175	175	"	"	166
6	951	951	14	12	949

Grand Total

Revenue July 1235	Revenue July 1251	Net Increase	Per Centage	Quantity of Cowle Land Acres	Quantity of Cowle Land Gross Assessment
190	191	192	193	194	195
164267	195821	31554	19	3 68411	100667
182779	202227	19448	10	10 44228	76737
126332	202841	76509	60	8 83918	192739
141714	148881	7167	5	1 23550	39511
124282	155704	31422	25	5 33912	58039
128295	135354	7059	5	8 23714	39510
867069	1040828	173759	20	" 277733	507203

Bellary District Collector's Office on Circuit, Jadacall 21st October 1842. E.E. Fl.Cn /Signed/ C. Elly
Sub Coll.r in charge.

Ordered

15510 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Order thereon

(30-30A)

Ordered to be brought forward at a future meeting

Read again letter from the Collector of Chingleput dated 13th August and entered in Consultation 1st September last.-

Ordered that the following address be submitted in consequence.-

(31)

To the Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Submitting a letter from the Collector of Chingleput reporting the demise of Anuntaroy Moodelly Shotriemdar of Vellapaukum and supporting the recommendation of the Collector that the Shotriem Village should be registered in the name of Abinroya Moodelly as the second life.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to request that you will submit for the orders of the most Honorable the Governor in Council the accompanying letter from the Collector of Chingleput, reporting the demise of Anuntaroya Moodely Shotriemdar of Vellapaukum, which Village was bestowed on the deceased as a Shotriem for three lives.-

Aug. 13th 1842 Rec. Cons. 1st Sept. 1842

2. Anuntaroya Moodely has left no issue; but he had two sisters of whom Abinroya Moodely

15511 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Moodely the grandson of the eldest, and Mauriam- =mal Daughter of the =younger, whose Petition accompanies are the repre- =sentatives, and both ad- =vance claims to the Village. It seems unnecessary to go into any examination of the alleged titles of the two parties in right of- =heirship, the Village having been decided to =ing Abinroya Moodely in a testament which has been proved in the Supreme Court at Madras. On this ground the Board support the recommendation of the Collector that the Shotriem Village should be registered in

the usual receipts. In the event of the Merasdars completing the Cultivation of their land thro' Poyakaries Puttahs for those lands will be granted in their names only.-

Fifth. Should the Merasdars fail to cultivate their lands or cause them to be cultivated by means of &c their Poyakaries Merasy Cowles will be granted to those persons who are desirous to Cultivate them according to the conditions of the Cowlenamah, &c and whether they cultivate the whole or only so much as the means of &c irrigation (that is tanks wells or Channels) would allow they cannot be removed from the same nor will the lands be given to others.-

Sixth. Puttamaneagars and &c Curnums should also settle from time to time all disputes that may arise regarding the enjoyment of &c water or lands which may be likely to impede the progress of Cultiva=tion or report the circumstance to the Zilladar and Tahsildar who &c will

will lose no time in adjusting the &c dispute and adopting means for the entire Cultivation of the lands; any &c party dissatisfied with the decission of the Tasildar must be forwarded to the Hoozoor.-

Seventh. If the Poyakaries in=troduced by the Circar be molested by the Merasdars or prevented Culti=vating the waste lands thrown up &c by them, it is further notified that they will subject themselves to a heavy fine according to Regulations, and will be also confined in the Zillah Jail-Parties thus acting &c should be immediately forwarded to the Hoozoor.-

Eighth. Further that any &c person having first ploughed up any land in order to prevent others from cultivating it, and subsequently leaving a portion waste will be called upon to pay the full Teerwa assessed on them- The Village Curnum shall enter all such lands in the Cultivation accounts and &c

charge

charge the Teerwa thereon.-

It is further directed that every Tahsildar will cause the instructions contained in the foregoing clauses to be fully notified to the Ryots of every Village, and to see that they are carried out to the fullest extent, and for which he shall be held accountable. But should the Reishcar, Zilladar, Puttamaneagar, or Curnum be negligent in the execution of these orders, the Tahsildar shall immediately forward such persons to the Hoozoor.-

/Signed/ C. R. Cotton
Collector.-

B.

Translation of a Yakeed No. 60 issued by C. R. Cotton Esqr. Collector of Chingleput to Iyavyengar Tahsildar of the Teroopporr Talook dated 1st. November 1830.-

Your Urzee No. 124 bearing date the 28th. Ultimo has been received, in it you report that in consequence of the hinderance of Streenevasa Iyengar & and other Meerasdars of Coyar in your Talook the Poyakarry Ryots to whom a Cowle was granted for certain anadi waste

waste lands in that Village were unable to cultivate, and a complaint was also forwarded on the same subject to the Hoozoor- this is therefore to direct you to see that no further obstacles are imposed to the Cultivation of the lands by the Poyakaries. Streenevasa Iyengar and other parties before alluded to have also lodged a complaint before me on the subject and they were told personally not to interfere with the land that had been given to others on Cowle and that should they require any other land they must submit an application and obtain a Cowle for it. Should they notwithstanding this order again interfere with the lands given to the said Poyakaries, you will send them to the Hoozoor- you will also prepare and submit for my signature a Cowle to be given to the Poyakaries.-

/Signed/ C. R. Cotton
Collector.

Translation.

C.

Translation of a Yakeed No. 191 issued by C. R. Cotton Esqre Collector of Chingleput to Iyaviengar Yasildar of the Teroosporoor Talook dated 11th November 1830.-

With reference to the Yakeed directing you to forward strees nevaja Iyengar and others who were reported in your Urzee to have made objections to certain Poyakarry Ryots cultivating certain land under Cowle granted to them in the Village of Cayar in your Talook it is to acquaint you that the parties in question Sashadry Iyengar and Vengo Iyengar appeared before me and admitted the truth of their objection and they were fined each 5 Rupees The parties who obtained the Cowle were also informed that in the event of their neglecting their shaigal land and Cultivating only the waste land the full Teerwa payable on both the lands would be collected from them. you will therefore see that no obstacle is thrown

thrown against their Cultivating the lands.

/Signed/ C. R. Cotton
Collector

D.

Translation of a Cowle granted by Mr. John Ravenshaw Principal Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot to Venkanna Pant inhabitant of Coomaravady in the Carangooly Purganah subject to the Honorable Company's Jaghire Dated 19th June 1806 Corresponding with the 7th Aswey of the Fusly 1215 year Krothanah.-

Agreeable to the proposal submitted by you which states thus inconsequence of the small Village of Punganandal in the Mailamah Division of the Vandavash Talook in the Arcot Soobah being uninhabited and the Cultivation in it very limited you would Cultivate the entire tract provided the merasy right of the soil be also conferred on you paying Teerwa for the shaigal lands of Panjey at

C.

Translation of a Yakeed No. 191 issued by C. R. Cotton Esqre. Collector of Chingleput to Iyaviengar Tasildar of the Teroosporoor Talook dated 11th. November 1830.-

With reference to the Yakeed directing you to forward stree= =nevasa Iyengar and others who were reported in your Urzee to have made objections to certain Poyakarry Ryots cultivating certain land under Cowle granted to them in the Village of Cayar in your Talook it is to ac= =quaint you that the parties in question Sashadry Iyengar and Vengo Iyengar appeared before me and admitted the truth of their objection and they were fined each 5 Rupees The parties who obtained the Cowle were also informed that in the event of their neglecting their Shaigal land and Cultivating only the waste land the full Teerwa payable on both the lands would be collected from them.- You will therefore see that no obstacle is

thrown

thrown against their cultivating the lands.-

/Signed/ C. R. Cotton
Collector

D.

Translation of a Cowle granted by Mr. John Ravenshaw Principal Collector of the Southern Division of Arcot to Venkanna Punt inhabitant of Coomara= =vady in the Carangooly Purganah &c Subject to the Honorable Company's &c Jaghire Dated 19th. June 1806, Corresponding with Fusly 1215 =ponding with the 7th. Aswey of the year Krothanah.-

Agreeable to the pro= =posal submitted by you which &c states that inconsequence of the small Village of Punganundal in the Maila= =mah Division of the Vundavash &c Talook in the Arcot Soobah being uninhabited and the Cultivation in it very limited you would Cul= =tivate the entire tract provided the merasy right of the soil be also conferred on you paying Teerwa for the Shaigal lands of Punjey at

15528 to 30 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

at 22 — 40 Fs. Cawney Punjey at Pags 2 — 22 — 40 Fs. Cawney and Totacal at Pags 2 — 22 — 40 Fs. Cawney and for all waste land the usual rates specified in the Cowle = nama, on due consideration of the depressed state of Cultivation in the that Village to which it was reduced by the enormous assessments that had been previously collected as will as to the necessity of your re = sorting to it from the neighbouring District in which you reside and to your promoting the Cultivation I grant you this Cowle on the terms herein stipulated, Viz. for Punjey Shaigal land 5/8 Pagoda Fs. Cawney Punjey Shaigal Pags 3 15/16 Fs. Cawney Sheigal Totacal Pags 3 15/16 Fs. Cawney. Deduct remission 1/8 Pagoda Fs. Punjey Pags 1 7/16 Fs. Punjey and 1 7/16 Fs. Totacal - Net Teerma payable Fs. Cawney 1/2 Pagoda Fs. Punjey 2/2 Pagodas Fs. Punjey and 2/2 Pagodas Fs. Totacal - The Cowle shall commence from 1210 F. The lands Cultivated in Fasslies 1212 - 1213 and 1214 are considered Shaigal

15531 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Shaigal, and of these years the Cultivation of 1213 F. being the highest the above rates shall be payable on all land cultivated in that year namely 10 Cawneys Punjey - But for all waste lands exclusive of Parumbokke the undermentioned progressive rates varying with the number of years during which the land had been waste will be leveable -

Teerma of the Shaigal land

	First	second	Third	Fourth year
Wastes from 3 and under 5 years	1/2	3/4	full	"
Do above 5 years and under 10 years	1/4	1/2	3/4	full
Do above 10 years and over grown with Jungle	free	1/4	1/2	3/4 and full for the 5th year.

You will therefore according to your

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

your engagement cultivate the whole of the lands without a single Cawney being left waste - It is not necessary that you should conform to this for the Fusly 1216, but you will be strictly required to do so from the ensuing Fusly 1217, and in failure thereof any portion of the land which may be thrown waste will be given over to other Cultivators - you will bear this in mind and Cultivate the land and discharge the Teerva according to Cowle - you shall further enjoy the Merasy right of the Village for ever and you may proceed with the Cultivation of the lands in dependance on the terms of this Cowle.

(Signed) John Ravenshaw
Principal Collector.

S.G.B.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Proceedings thereon - With reference to the Collectors remarks on a passage from a Cs dispatch of the Court of Directors regarding Cowles in Merasy Villages, the Board observe that as that order was not the enactment Cs of a new law, but only a declaration of what ought to be the practice, with reference to existing law and custom, it might fairly Cs include transactions occurring prior, as well as subsequently to its receipt - But at all events they are persuaded that it would be vain to move the Court of Sudder Udaulut to admit a special appeal based on grounds opposed to the tenor of it -

2. It could not be expected that the Court would pay more regard to Mr Cottons Istiarnamah Cs and Takeeds than to the Cowle given in the very case out of which the suit arose, as they stand on precisely the same ground. Mr Places Cowls make against the Collectors argument rather than in favor of it, for it is observed that in every case they

32-33

Judl. 28th Jan 1843 Para 55

B.C. 3rd 24 1842

your engagement cultivate the whole of the lands without a single Cawney being left waste. It is not necessary that you should conform to this for the Fusly 1216, but you will be strictly required to do so from the ensuing Fusly 1217, and in failure thereof any portion of the land which may be thrown waste will be given over to other Cultivators. You will bear this in mind and Cultivate the land and discharge the Teerva according to Cowle. You shall on further enjoy the Merasy right of the Village for ever and you may proceed with the Cultivation of the lands in dependance on the terms of this Cowle.

(Signed) John Ravenshaw
Principal Collector.

J.G.B.

Proceedings thereon. (32-33) July 28th 1843 Para 55

With reference to the Collectors remarks on a passage from a Despatch of the Court of Directors regarding Cowles in Merasy Villages, the Board observe that as that order was not the enactment of a new law, but only a declaration of what ought to be the practice, with reference to existing law and custom, it ought fairly include transactions occurring prior, as well as subsequently to its receipt. But at all events they are persuaded that it would be vain to move the Court of Sudder Udaulut to admit a special appeal based on grounds opposed to the tenor of it.

2. It could not be expected that the Court would pay more regard to Mr. Cotton's Istiarnamah and Takeeds than to the Cowle given in the very case out of which the suit arose, as they stand on precisely the same ground. Mr. Place's Cowls make against the Collectors argument rather than in favor of it, for it is observed that in every case they

they are given with the consent of the Meerasidars, except where these have absconded, or where none are known to exist, whatever the value of Mr.a Ravenshaw's Cowle it cannot avail any thing to show the Custom of Chingleput Collectorate as it was granted by the Principal Collector of South Arcot for a Village of that District, and for one too that had been totally deserted-

3- The Board concur in the opinion which Mr.a Freese finally expresses, though not for the same reasons, that it is inexpedient to apply to higher Court for leave to file a special appeal, and they request that he will transmit a Statement of the amount of costs for which under the two decrees the 1st.a Defendant is liable in order that application may be made to Government to authorize their disbursement-

Read the following letter-

To

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St.e George.

Sir,

34

From the Collector of South Arcot

With reference to the Board's Endorsement of 5th.a May and 13th.a July last on Petitions 475 and 1006 of 1842- I have the honor to state that I can make nothing out of the complaints in consequence of the absence of the Petitioner Maremoottoo Pillay, and to request that, if he be waiting at the Board's office, he be directed to proceed here to explain and substantiate his charges-

Cuddalore Collector's Cutcherry 9th.a November 1842-

/Signed/ C.H. Hallett

Collector-

It

15536 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Resolution thereon.

(34-35)

It does not appear that Petitioner is now attending about the Board's Office; if he should present himself hereafter, he will be directed to proceed to the Collector's Cutcherry.

Read the following letter.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(36)

From the Actg. Pl. Collr. of Tanjore ---

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 29th August calling for the Statement of Salt wastage for Fusly 1251, and to another one dated 10th Instant requesting its transmission without further delay. I have the honor to inform you that the statement in question has been forwarded to you as usual with my Extra Sources Accounts for Fusly 1251 in October last.

Tanjore ---
Vullum 16th November 1842.

(Signed) J. F. Bishop
Actg. Pl. Collector.

Order thereon.

(36-37)

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter

To

15537 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

(38)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir.

Para 1 In Mr. Smollett's letter of the 12th November 1840 the order of Government dated the 7th July 1840, directing that fees and Quit Rent are not to be levied on grants of land situated within Military cantonments, is declared to be applicable only to lands held under Government Grants" and it is directed that "the Survey Assessment payable on lands occupied by parties not held under Government grants, must still, therefore, continue to be levied.

2. An application having been lately made to me to prepare the settlements &c. requisite for obtaining a deed of grant for certain land which now pays Assessment the question arises whether by obtaining a grant, the demand on account of Assessment is to be waved - and I solicit the Board's instructions on this point. the land in question constitutes the premises for a dwelling house.

3. I take this opportunity of mentioning to the Board that the existing orders

15538 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

orders, by which lands held under Government grants are exempt from, and lands not so held are liable to, taxation, have given rise to complaints; and perhaps it is worth consideration whether the Land Revenue ought not to be demanded on Compounds, on which the demand for Quit Rent has been remitted, as well as on those which were never liable to it. The assessment on the greater part of the compounds is very light being Poonjah- but others are liable to Nunjah assessment- the houses however to which the latter description of land is attached are those which let for a high rent-

Trichinopoly
Collector's Cutcherry
9th November 1842.

(Signed) A P Onslow.
Collector.

(38.39)

Order thereon — Ordered to be brought forwarded at a future Meeting)--
(Read again 15th December)

To

15539 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue.
Fort St. George.

From the Collr of Trichinopoly (40)

Sir,

In conformity with the instructions contained in the 6th Para of the Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 18th January 1842. which accompanied the Extract from the Board's proceedings dated 7th February last, I have the honor to transmit herewith a Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to 2 Yeomiahdars in this district who neglected making their appearance in the Hoozoor Cutcherry and drawing their allowance from 12th January to 11th October 1842. and to request that under the explanations afforded in the above Statement, the necessary sanction may be obtained for the payment of the arrears.

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office,
12th November 1842

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collr

Statement.

15540

Fort St. George

Statement shewing the amount of arrears due to the undermentioned Yeomiadars in the Trichinopoly District.

No. of Certificate	Names of the Pensioners	Amount of Pension Rs. per annum.	Date of authority	Period for which arrears are due	Total amount of arrears due	Explanation of the cause of suspension of payment.	Remarks of the Board of Revenue	Orders of Government
		Rs. a. p.			Rs. a. p.			
No Number is inserted in the Certificate.	1 Sevoobaye 2 Saloo Boyee	35 6 8	Board of Revenue 7th June 1824.	For 9 Months, viz. from 12th January to 11th October 1842	26 9 "	These persons used to receive their Yeomiahs quarterly. In excuse of their having been absent from this District for 9 Months, they state they went to Tanjore to visit some of their relations where they accidentally took sick and where thereby prevented from making their appearance and receiving their Stipends in due time and they aver that they will not do so hereafter. The Collector recommends therefore that sanction may be given for payment of the arrears in question.	The Board recommend that sanction may be granted for the payment of the arrears of Yeomiahs due to Sevoobaye and Saloo Boye amounting to Rs. 26-9-- under the explanation given by the Collector To Collector 12th Dec. 1842 and with reference to the accompanying correspondence. From Do. 23d Dec. 14 Jan. 1843.	

Rev. Bd. Office
Fort St. George,
16th January 1843.

By Order

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Trichinopoly
12th November 1842.

(Signed)
A. P. Onslow
Coll.

Order thereon
Read again in
12th Dec.

40-41

Ordered to be sent again in circulation.

Read.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Read the following letter.

(42)

From The Actg Collr of Tinnevelly

Reporting on the result of Mauritius Sugar Canes cultivated in his District from the plants received from Agricultural Society in 1841.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 13th Ultimo, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that 400 Mauritius Sugar canes were received from the Madras Agri-cultural Society's Garden in April 1841. of which 308 arrived alive, these were reduced into 2,156 Cut-tings and planted in Nunjah land measuring 6 St. measures (or about 1/16 of an Acre) in the Village of Malagarum in the Seneausy Talook. Of these, 63 canes alone survived of which only 15 were made into Jaggery as an experiment; and produced 4 Pollums, while the same number of country canes yelded 4 1/2 Pollums; the juice of the former is said to be more than the latter, and the Jaggery clearer looking, but not so sweet as the country cane. The Ryot who cultivated the Mauritius Sugar Cane last year has ventured to make another experiment on the same canes. He had 12,320 Cuttings from 616 canes planted

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

planted them on the same description of land measur-ing 8 Seed Marcals and 4/8 Measures, the growth of which, as yet, is reported to be favorable.

2. The above 400 Canes are, as far as I can learn the only foreign or good stock which have been tried in the District. Nothing very satis-factory has as yet resulted, but I think further time and experiment is required before deciding that Tinnevelly is altogether unsuited for Sugar Cane. it has not hitherto been much cultivated, and consequently little is known here of the process of making Sugar. I shall endeavour to continue and encourage the cultivation of what remains, and if any result worthy of notice should ensue, I shall duly report the same hereafter.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas
Acting Collr

Tinnevelly
16th November 1842

Ordered to lie on the table.

Order thereon.

Read the following letters.

(42-43)

(44)

From The Collr of Coimbatore.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir

With reference to your letter of the 20th Ultimo requesting to be informed of the probable amount of Surplus which will

remain

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Read the following letter.

(42)

From The Jr. Collr. of Tinnevelly

Reporting on the result of Mauritius Sugar Canes cultivated in his District from the plants received from Agricultural Society in 1841.

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir.

Para 1. In reply to your letter of the 13th Ultimo, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that 400 Mauritius Sugar canes were received from the Madras Agri-cultural Society's Garden in April 1841. of which 308 arrived alive, these were reduced into 2,156 Cuttings and planted in Nunjah land measuring 6 Sd. measures (or about 1/16 of an Acre) in the Village of Malagarum in the Teneausy Talook. Of these, 63 canes alone survived of which only 15 were made into Jaggery as an experiment; and produced 4 Pollums, while the same number of country canes yielded 4 1/2 Pollums; the juice of the former is said to be more than the latter, and the Jaggery clearer looking but not so sweet as the country cane. The Ryot who cultivated the Mauritius Sugar Cane last year has ventured to make another experiment on the same canes. He had 12,320 Cuttings from 616 canes

planted

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

planted them on the same description of land measuring 8 Seed Marcals and 17/8 Measures, the growth of which, as yet, is reported to be favorable.

2. The above 400 Canes are, as far as I can learn the only foreign or good stock which have been tried in the District. Nothing very satisfactory has as yet resulted, but I think further time and experiment is required before deciding that Tinnevelly is altogether unsuited for Sugar Cane. it has not hitherto been much cultivated, and consequently little is known here of the process of making Sugar. I shall endeavour to continue and encourage the cultivation of what remains, and if any result worthy of notice should ensue, I shall duly report the same hereafter.

(Signed) E. B. Thomas.
Acting Collr.

Tinnevelly
16th November 1842.

Ordered to lie on the table.

Order thereon.

Read the following letters.

(42-43)

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(44)

From The Jr. Collr. of Coimbatore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 20th Ultimo requesting to be informed of the probable amount of Surplus which will

remain

remain on hand from the Sale of the rice and straw composing the crops raised by Mr. Morris at Errode after deducting the charges of cultivation. I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Tahsildar of Errode has communicated the Sale of the rice and straw the net proceeds of which amount to Rupees 99-7-1. I have requested Dr. Wight to furnish me with a Memorandum of charges in detail incurred for the cultivation of Rice by Mr. Morris which on receipt will be forwarded to the Board.

Coimbatore
Pl. Coll.rs Office,
8th November 1842.

/ Signed / S. C. Stroughton
Principal Collector

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(45)

From The Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore

Sir,

With reference to the concluding part of my letter of the 8th instant reporting the sale of the Rice and Straw composing the Crop raised by Mr. Morris at Errode, I have the honor to forward

forward copy of a communication this day received from the Superintendent of the Government Cotton Farm exhibiting the amount of charges incurred by Mr. Morris for the cultivation of Rice.

Coimbatore,
Pl. Coll.r Office,
12th November 1842.

/ Signed / S. C. Stroughton
Pl. Coll.r

No. 1

To

The Principal Collector of
Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 31st Ultimo, I have the honor to state that the records of this Office do not enable me to state precisely the amount of charges incurred for the cultivation of rice by Mr. Morris owing to his having incorporated them with his monthly Cotton Farm accounts. I have so far as I am able, separated them and in the subjoined Memorandum communicated the result.

From this it appears his expenditure, exclusive of ploughing charges

remain on hand from the Sale of the rice and straw composing the crops raised by Mr. Morris at Errode after deducting the charges of cultivation, I have the honor to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that the Tahsildar of Errode has communicated the Sale of the rice and straw the net proceeds of which amount to Rupees 99-7-1. I have requested Dr. Wight to furnish me with a Memorandum of charges in detail incurred for the cultivation of Rice by Mr. Morris which on receipt will be forwarded to the Board.

Coimbatore Pl. Coll.rs Office, 8th November 1842. } /Signed/ J. C. Stroughton. Principal Collector.

To

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George.

(45)

From The Pl. Coll.r of Coimbatore

Sir,

With reference to the concluding part of my letter of the 8th instant reporting the sale of the Rice and Straw composing the Crop raised by Mr. Morris at Errode, I have the honor to forward

forward Copy of a communication this day received from the Superintendent of the Government Cotton Farm exhibiting the amount of charges incurred by Mr. Morris for the cultivation of Rice.

Coimbatore, Pl. Coll.r Office, 12th November 1842. } /Signed/ J. C. Stroughton Pl. Coll.r

No. 1

To

The Principal Collector of Coimbatore

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 31st Ultimo, I have the honor to state that the records of this Office do not enable me to state precisely the amount of charges incurred for the cultivation of rice by Mr. Morris owing to his having incorporated them with his monthly Cotton Farm accounts. I have so far as I am able separated them and in the subjoined Memorandum communicated the result.

From this it appears his expenditure, exclusive of ploughing charges

15545 — Fort St. George 24th November 1841.

charges which was done by the Establishment Cattle, amounted to Rupees 45-15-6.

(Signed) Robt. Wight. Surgeon
Supt. Cotton Farms.

Memorandum.

To amount paid for 112 Maunds of Green Cotton Seed for manuring Rice fields at 2 Annas.	14	"	"
To D: D: for 112 Mercals of Paddy	7	"	"
To D: D: for 103 Cooly women for sowing Paddy	4	"	"
To D: D: Cooly women temporarily employed in picking weeds and transplanting rice	19	7	10
To D: D: D: in picking weeds	"	11	8
To D: D: for rice Plants	"	12	"
Total Company's Rupees	45	15	6

(Signed) Robt. Wight. Surgeon
Supt. of Cotton Farms.

(44-46)

Order on the two foregoing letters. Ordered to be brought forward at a future day.

Read again in 5th Dec.

G.B.

Read.

15546 — Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Read the following letters.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(47)

From the Collr of Canara — Requesting sanction to defend the Suit No. 41 of 1842 at the public expense. — Brought through the Standing Committee.

Sir

I have the honor to forward for the consideration and approval of the Board the draft of an answer I propose to file to the Suit No. 41 of 1842, brought by one Venkatesh Bhut against myself and two others.

2 For the better information of the Board, I beg to enclose translation of an order passed by Mr H. Stokes, the Sub-collector in the case in question, detailing all the circumstances connected with the origin of the Suit. The order does not interfere in any way with the alleged right of the Plaintiff, who is therein clearly directed to have recourse to the Civil Courts for decision on that point, and the arrangements made for collecting the assessment on the ground in question, were only temporary, pending such decision, under the provisions of Regulation V of 1822. The land is answerable for the assessment fixed upon it, and whoever obtains possession of it, must be held responsible for the

the regular discharge of the Government's demand thereon. Under these circumstances, whatever title the Plaintiff may conceive he possesses to the ground in dispute, as he is not warranted by the existing Regulations in suing the Revenue officers on account of the decision passed in the case.-

3 Take this opportunity of suggesting the advisableness of some measure being adopted to put a stop to Civil suits of this nature being brought against Revenue officers as if permitted to continue in this District, where disputes respecting the occupancy of lands are interminable, a great part of the time of the Collector and his Establishment, will soon be most unprofitable taken up in resisting to them. It might perhaps be expedient, that the Civil Courts should be directed to ascertain, before admitting any suits against Revenue officers, whether the cause of action originated in any acts of theirs contrary to put Regulation, or whether their proceedings complained against, were in conformity to the Revenue Regulations, which provide a special course of redress for parties dissatisfied with

with their decisions.-

4 With regard to the present suit, I have the honor to request, that the Board will obtain for me the requisite sanction of Government for conducting the defence of it at the public expense.-

Princl. Collrs. Cutcherry
Mangalore, -
7th November 1842 -

Signed H. W. Blair
Princl. Collector.

No. 1.

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in the suit No. 41 of 1842 on the file of the Zillah Court of Canara.-

The Plaintiff states that one Bayamma the ancestress of the 2d Defendant, formerly endowed the Pagoda of Venkatramana Deo with the land called Keshwa-bonda, together with the garden ground situated below the Sunkada-kattoo ground, - that Mr. H. Stokes the Sub Collector having ordered the said garden to be made over to the possession of the 1st Defendant, Ramachendra Prubhoo, and a registry to be formed in his name for the same, and consequently deprived him (Plaintiff) of his proprietary right thereto, he now brings this action against the Principal Collector praying that the ground may be restored to him.-

The

The 3d Defendant makes the following answer to this suit.-

The Hofsagamy Vurg formed in the name of the 1st Defendant, Ramachendra Prubhoo, comprises a ground taken from the waste or Coolmusht, one part of which is a little raised above the other.- Of this the upper part, was claimed by the 2d Defendant, and the lower part, by the Plaintiff, as below: going to their respective vurgs.- In the course of enquiry into the case, both parties entirely failed to adduce any satisfactory proof before the Tahsildar, in support of their respective claims and as the 1st defendant appeared to have incurred expenses in bringing both the spots into cultivation, and was actually in the possession of the same, Mr. Huddleston stokes, the abovementioned Officer, thought it expedient temporarily to continue the ground in the occupancy of the Cultivator, 1st Defendant, until the rightful owner should establish his proprietary right to the ground in the Courts of Adalut.- This order was passed consistently with the provisions of the Revenue Regulations, and had the Plaintiff been dissatisfied with it, the proper course was for him

him to have preferred an appeal against the decision, as the present action against the Revenue Officers is unwarranted by the Regulations, the 3d Defendant trusts, that the Court will see fit to direct the Plaintiff to withdraw the suit as regrets the 3d Defendant himself.-

(Signed) H. W. Blair
Principal Collector.

No 2.

Translation of a Plaint No 41 of 1842 on the File of the Zillah Court of Canara dated 28th January 1842.-

Plaintiff. Venkatesh Bhutt adopted son of Bab. Bhutt and Archuck of the Venkatramana Pagoda at the Cusbah of the Honore Talook.-	Versus	1 Dft. Rama Chendra Prubhoo of Honore 2 Ramachendra Muller son of Kristna Muller inhabitant of Rayal in the Mavinevune Magany.- 3 Mr. Blair Principal Collector of Canara.-

I claim from the three defendants as follows.-

The ancestress of the 2d dft called Rayanna on the 10th Chait, Palguna Month of the former Veccaree year, endowed the Venkatramana Pagoda with a land called "Veshwa honda" and a garden standing below the Sunkada

Sunkada Kittoo garden, both situated in the Royal Village, Mavinncoorue Magany of the Honore Talook, registered in No. 3 and assessed with Fs. 12-3-9 as per in order that the expences attending the Ruth-vothsoha feast might be annually defrayed out of the returns of the endowment, and the property was entered under a registry of my father Bab Bhutts name according to a deed of Grant executed by him on that occasion - It has since been enjoyed, the Sircar assessment thereon is paid, and the ceremonies in the Pagoda regularly performed by us. The trees in the said garden having been cut down the spot became waste, and afterwards, when the Zillah Court was established at Honore the Gibbet was erected at that place, in consequence of which the people were afraid to go there, and the spot consequently could not be improved - The Gibbet having been since destroyed my father in the year beya rented the said spot of garden to Krishna Pulloo of complaa on Nadukhaya terms or equal share of the produce - The 2d Deft, however with the view of appropriating the spot on the ground that it stood near his other estate, there leased

leased it to the 1st deft on Nadigay terms, on the 5 Shood Cartica Month in the Veya year - On the strength of this Lease, the 1st deft prevented the aforesaid Krishna Pulloo from improveing the ground and himself commenced planting it - My father Bab Bhutt lodged a Complaint before the Tahsildar Veneatramania, when the 1st Deft was told not to make any improvement on the ground in question until the final disposal of the complaint, in spite of which order he (1st Deft) planted the ground with Cocoanut plants - when the Complaint was taken up by Appa-laya (the Tahsildar) for examination, another from Babdi Govinda was also enquired into - During this enquiry the 1st and 2d defts and the Magany Shanbogues were examined, and reference having been made to the Chitta and other Sircar records, a report was submitted, with an opinion that the ground in question belonged to the Peshiva - hondah land forming the verg of the Pagodah, whereupon an order was received from the Sub Collector Mr Stokes on the 4th August 1832 - deciding

Fort St. George 24th November 1842 -

deciding that Govinda having no claim, could not reasonably complain in the case that the 2d dft who gave to the 1st dft this ground with another Coolmusht spot on Mudigay tenny, had no right to do so with regard to the Coolmusht spot, without first obtaining the permission of the Sircar on the subject, and that the right alluded to in the complaint of my father, should be determined by the civil court, and the ground in question left in the possession of the 1st Deft - The foregoing decision also directed that the assessment fixed for him in the Royal Village should be struck off, and that that ground and the Coolmusht of Honore, should be formed a vurg (under the Honore Village) and a Banj fixed, and submitted during the Thuzoor Summahbundy, when a finial order would be passed - The ground is still in possession of the 1st Deft in conformity with the said order - Although one of the two spots forms part of Sircar Coolmusht, there was no reason for that Officer to include the other spot, which belonged to the Pagoda vurg, under Sircar

Coolmusht

Fort St. George 24th November 1842 -

Coolmusht - The 2d dft has acknowledged that the disputed ground formed part of the Pagoda vurg - The report of the Tahsildar further clearly shews that fact - The order of the sub Collector is therefore contrary to the facts in evidence - In the absence of that Officer, the 3d dft is answerable for him - I therefore pray that all the dfts should be sent for and examined, and caused to make over the garden yielding 10 Rupees per annum situated within the undermentioned boundaries, the consideration of the improvements made in it by the 1st Dft being determined and caused to be by me paid to him -

The garden in dispute is bounded on the east by the new wall raised by the 1st Dft near the paved road to the old Fort - On the west by the wall of the garden enjoyed by Alr. Nagapa, on the south by the wall of Santaya Bhundaree, and on the north by the Koligay Hill -

(Signed) Benentteshia Bhutt.

(True Translation)

(Signed) H. W. Blair

Princ. Collector

Translation

15555 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

No 3

Translation of an Order issued by the Acting Sub Collector Hudleston Stokes Esqr. to the Tahsildar of Honore, conveying a decision on a dispute between Babdee Govinda Ramachendra Mudlia, and Venkatesh Bhutt dated 4th August 1842 on 1832.-

Your report of the 12th June together with the record of your enquiry into the Police Petition of Babdee Govinda of Honore No. 95 of 1828 has been perused.-

The petition has reference to the garden ground situated in the Schefalika village of the Honore Mogany and on which one Hurry Prubhoo Ramachendra has began to raise a plantation. To this ground claim is also preferred by another Ramchendra Mudlia of the Royal Village as well as by Venkatesh Bhutt of the (Venkatramana) Pagoda. The record of the case has been fully read and the ground in dispute personally inspected.-

1st The Petition (Babdee Govinda) states that as the ground in question forms part of the Coolmushk (waste)

15556 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.-

waste in the Honore Village, and he had made a durkhast for it on a former occasion, he is therefore entitled to its occupancy. It appears from his own statement, that his durkhast has not been accepted, nor a Moolputta or Sanad obtained from the Sircar for its cultivation. He has not, besides been yet in possession of the ground in question. There is therefore no just cause for this individual to prefer any claim to the ground in question.-

The 2d Ramachendra Mudlia says that the ground in dispute consists of two upper and lower spots, that the upper spot forms part of the Coolmushk (deserted) estate called Pauw cumpty Bussya in the Royal Village, that the other lower spot may perhaps belong to the Curg of the said Venkatesh Bhutt, that he has leased out the whole to Ramachendra Prubhoo on Nadigay terms, and that he claims the upper spot for himself on the ground of its having been cultivated by his renting it for cultivation on Nadigay. He has

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

No 3

Translation of an Order issued by the Acting Sub Collector Hindleston Stokes Esqr. to the Tahsildar of Honore, conveying a decision on a dispute between Babdee Govinda Ramachendra Mudlia, and Venkatesh Bhutt dated 4th August 1842 or 1832.

Your report of the 12th June together with the record of your enquiry into the Police Petition of Babdee Govinda of Honore No 95 of 1828 has been perused.

The petition has reference to the garden ground situated in the Schepallea village of the Honore Mogany and on which one Hurry Prubhoo Ramachendra has begun to raise a plantation. To this ground claim is also preferred by another Ramchendra Mudlea of the Royal Village as well as by Venkatesh Bhutt of the (Venkatramana) Pagoda. The record of the case has been fully read and the ground in dispute personally inspected.

The Petition (Babdee Govinda) states that as the ground in question forms part of the Coolmusht (waste)

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

waste in the Honore billage, and he had made a durkhast for it on a former occasion, he is therefore entitled to its occupancy. It appears from his own statement, that his durkhast has not been accepted, nor a Moolpatta or Tukeed obtained from the Sircar for its cultivation. He has not, besides been yet in possession of the ground in question. There is therefore no just cause for this individual to prefer any claim to the ground in question.

The 2d Ramachendra Mudlea says that the ground in dispute consists of two upper and lower spots, that the upper spot forms part of the Coolmusht (deserted) estate called Ram Gunty Bupsha in the Royal billage, that the other lower spot may perhaps belong to the burg of the said Venkatesh Bhutt, that he has leased out the whole to Ramachendra Prubhoo on Kadigay terms, and that he claims the upper spot for himself on the ground of its having been cultivated by his renting it for cultivation on Kadigay. He has

has not made any durkhast, nor obtained
permission for the cultivation, and he
therefore could not have rented it to any
one on any terms. It is now four years
since the dispute took place, but it has
lain over unsettled without any inquiry
being held into the matter during that
period. No care was taken to assess
the ground for so long a time, except
in Fusly 1241 in which an assessment
of 6 3/4 annas appears to have been
fixed in the name of Ramachendra
Mullea for the upper spot alone,
and this measure was adopted before
the dispute was settled on a report made
on the subject. You have moreover omit-
-ted to bring the dispute regarding the
spots to the knowledge of the officer
who made the Hazoor Settlement. On
a consideration of all these circumstances,
it is reasonable to think, that the
Mogany Shanbogue as well as the
Talook servants have been unduly
favorable towards the said Ramachen-
-dra Mullea.

The 3d Verdict is

Bhut

Bhut claims the ground as belonging
to his murg. There is no satisfactory proof
adduced by him to warrant an admission
of his right. Therefore if he has any
title to the ground in question let him
establish it in the Courts of Adalut.

Upon a consideration of
all the foregoing circumstances, the
following order is passed. The ground
in dispute should for the present re-
-main in possession of Ramachendra
Prubhoo, who has incurred expences
on that account, the Settlement
made on the ground for 1241 the
last Fusly shall be collected from
him. The assessment of the ground
in question should be struck off
from the account of the Royal
Village, during the next year. and
both spots entered on that of the
Honore Village as having been
brought under cultivation out of
the Coolumukh (waste) in the latter
village and accordingly registered
in the name of Ramachendra Prubhoo.
A Kutty (recommendation) containing
all

all these arrangements should be prepared and submitted during the Huzoor Summahbundy, which being renewed, final orders will be passed on the subject.-

(Signed) H. Stokes
Asst. Sub Collector.

(True translation)
(Signed) H. Mc. Blair
Princl. Collector.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

(48)

From the Princl. Collr. of Canara.

Sir

Para 1. I have the honor to submit for the consideration of the Board translation of a Plaint in Suit No. 421 of 1841. brought by one Dadu Jarroo against myself and two others, together with a draft of answer, which I propose to make to the above suit.-

2 The circumstances under which this suit has been brought against me as Principal Collector, are nearly the same as those connected with the

the suit No. 41 of 1842, submitted for the consideration of the Board in my letter of the 7th instant. In the present case the Plaintiff has no sufficient ground for including me in his action, whatever claim he may have against the other defendants touching the land in dispute.-

Should the draft of

3

the proposed answer be approved of I request that the necessary sanction of Government may be obtained for filing the same and defending the suit at the Government expense.-

Princl. Coll's. Cutcherry
Mangalore.
8th November 1842.

(Signed) H. Mc. Blair
Pl. Collector.
Translation

15561 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

No. 1.

Translation of a Plaint in Suit No. 1219 of 1841 on the File of the Zillah Court of Canara dated 4th November 1841.

Plaintiff Jarroo nephew of Dadu of Attavar in the Mangalore Talook — 1 Wm. Blair the Pltff's collector of Canara.
2 Mornoo Bayee wife of Bustoo Prudwallay of Attavar.
3 Tyempa son of the Pltff. by his first wife.

I claim from the Defendants as follows.

My uncle Dadu purchased from the Proprietor Doogunna Jaroo the hereditary Vurg No. 103 assessed at 1 fanam 4 and annas 10 situated in the said Village which was enjoyed by him and myself after him. The 2d defendants' ancestress Notoo Bayee held some ground of mine on Chalgueny year 1837 at the rate of half a Rupee per annum. After her death the 2d Defendant increased the amount of rent to 1½ Rupees and held the ground for that same as a Challenant

15562 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Challenant In the year 1829 I leased out some ground to my second wife Bydee on Moolgueny tenure including the spot rented to the 2nd defendant In 1830 the 2nd defendant passed her Chalgueny deed in favor of the said Bydee and paid the rent until Nandana year. The 3d defendant Tyempa son of my first wife out of spite for my having given the ground on Moolgueny to my second wife Bydee joined the 2nd defendant and threw difficulties in the way of collecting the rent; and the 2nd defendant gave in her complaint to the Magistrate stating that she held a vurg for that ground and other circumstances when nothing was inquired into; there was no reason why a vurg should be formed in another's name for the ground in question which belonged to my vurg without my consent such being the case, the ground in dispute was confirmed to the 2nd defendant by the L. C. Defendants Department in 1243 merely on the ground that there was a vurg for it in the name of her Father in law I then brought an action in Suit No. 360 of 1835 for the recovery of the ground against the 2nd defendant. In answer to the suit, she then urged among other reasons that the ground in

15561 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

No. 1.

Translation of a Plaint in Suit No. 429 of 1841 on the File of the Zillah Court of Canara dated 4th November 1841.

Plaintiff Jarroo, nephew of Dadw of Attawar in the Mangalore Taluk —

1 Mr. Blair the Principal Collector of Canara.

2. Morroo Bajee wife of Bustee Pudwallay of Attawar.

3. Tyempa son of the Plff. by his first wife.

I claim from the Defendants as follows.

My uncle Dadw purchased from the Proprietor Doogunna Jaroo the Cheroly vurg No. 103 assessed at Fanams 4 and Annas 10 situated in the said Village which was enjoyed by him and myself after him. The 2d defendants ancestress Sotee Bayee held some ground of me on Chalgueny year 1837 at the rate of half a Rupee per annum. After her death the 2d Defendant increased the amount of rent to Rupees 1½ and held the ground for that same as a Challenant

15562 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Challenant In the year 1829 I leased out some ground to my second wife Bydee on Moolgueny tenure including the spot rented to the 2nd defendant In 1830 the 2nd defendant passed her Chalgueny deed in favor of the said Bydee and paid the rent until Nandana year The 3d defendant Tyempa son of my first wife out of spite for my having given the ground on Moolgueny to my second wife Bydee joined the 2nd defendant and threw difficulties in the way of collecting the rent; and the 2nd defendant gave in her complaint to the Magistrate stating that she held a vurg for that ground and other circumstances where nothing was inquired into; there was no reason why a vurg should be formed in another's name for the ground in question which belonged to my vurg without my consent such being the case, the ground in dispute was confirmed to the 2nd defendant by the L. & Defendants Department in 1243 merely on the ground that there was a vurg for it in the name of her Father in law I then brought an action in Suit No. 360 of 1835 for the recovery of the ground against the 2nd defendant. In answer to the suit, she then urged among other reasons that the ground in

1553 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

in question was registered as her vurg in the bole
lectors accounts. As I had not then sued the
collector also and my suit was defective in
other respects I filed a motion desiring to
withdraw the suit which was done accordingly
the 2nd defendant after the withdrawal of the
suit has since appropriated some ground
and trees and added to the former ground
in dispute, and has declined paying the usual
rent for the same. The 3rd defendant is the
person who instigated the 2nd defendant
to occasion the present suit. The Decree which
I have obtained under date the 16th April 1806
shews that the ground in question belongs
to my vurg and I pray the settlement of my
estate in the Attawar village as including
the disputed ground and the vurg in the
name of the 2nd defendants father in law,
was only for the Moturpha tax on a shop
on the ground which was included under
the Bazar of the Town. Such being the case
there was no reason why a vurg should be
formed for that ground in the name of the
2nd defendant or of her father in law, much
less to add the ground to and collect the assess-
ment on it under the Bazar. The 1st defendant
had

1554 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

had therefore no authority to make a Moturpha
vurg include the ground which belonged to
my estate nor to levy a double assessment while
I paid the Circar due for my estate in which
it was included. Under these circumstances
I have suffered by the acts of the three defendants
and have therefore brought this action against
them jointly. I pray that the defendants may
all be sent for and examined and ordered
to restore to me the ground with 3 Cocoanut,
2 Jack 1 Tamarind 1 & Imte 2 Rungurca and
1 Nogay trees standing thereon which is a portion
of my remaining estate assessed with 1-10 cm
after purchase and addition by the Circar
of the rest bearing assessment of Pagodas 3-4-
to the Cantonment. I also pray that the 2nd
defendant may be ousted from the ground
caused to remove her shop and to pay to me
the sum of Rupees 13½ being the rent due by
her for 9 years since I pay a year and
Rupees 15 as damages to which I have been
subjected by her acts. I further pray that
the difficulty and objection made to my
enjoying the ground in dispute on plea
of there being a vurg for it in the name of

the

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

the 2nd defendants father in law may also be removed.—

The boundaries of the ground which I claim in this suit and which is in the possession of the 2nd defendant are as follows.—

The stone wall, and the wall in front of Tenant Appay's house on the East.—

High road on the South—

The wall of the house and the stone wall up to the high road on the west—

The newly raised stone wall behind the house on the North.

/Signed/ Jaros.

/True translation/

/Signed/ H. M. Blair
Pl. Collector

No. 2

Draft of an Answer proposed to be given in to Suit No. 421 of 1841 in the File of the Zillah Court of Canara.—

The Plaintiff brings this Suit to recover the ground on which a shop belonging to Monnu Bayee stands together with the arrears of rent due by her and damages. She appears to have included the Principal Collector in the action in

Consequence

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

consequence of not receiving the assistance he wished through the Revenue and Police Departments in recovering the arrears of rent and the produce of the trees standing on the ground in question.—

The 1st Defendant gives the following answer to the above Suit.—

In 1834 the second defendant complained against the Plaintiff for having interfered with her property by tying up Cadjans to the Cocoanut trees which she had been enjoying. The inquiry into the Complaint having shewn that the trees were actually in possession of the 2nd defendant (the Complainant) the Plaintiff was then ordered not to interfere with her in the enjoyment of the trees in question.—

In 1835 one Bydee who had obtained from the Plaintiff on Mulgueny tenure a certain extent of ground including the spot in question, claimed from the 2nd Defendant arrears of rent and applied to the Revenue authorities for assistance in recovering the same. An investigation into this Complaint the said Bydee failed to produce satisfactory proof with respect to the validity of the Query Chits upon which the arrears of rent

were

1556 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

were demanded; and a decision in the case was consequently given that no orders could be issued in favor of her / Byder's / claim.

These are the only orders which were passed regarding the claim set forth in the present suit.

The assessment paid by the 2d defendant to the Circar in on account of the tax upon the shop in which she carries on her trade; and it appears from the revenue accounts that her rung is entered as one paying the Bazartergay / tax /. The Plaintiff under these circumstances ought to confine his action against the actual occupant for the recovery of the ground in question, and of the arrears of rent due on it as there is no sufficient ground for including the Principal Collector among the Defendants in the suit. The 1st defendant therefore trusts that the Court will see fit to order the Plaintiff to withdraw his suit as respects the Principal Collector the 1st defendant

/ Signed / H. M. Blair
Dy Collector

Ordered

JGB

1556 Fort St. George 24th November 1842

Ordered that the following address be submitted together with the foregoing letters.

(47-49)

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

To the Actg Chief Secy to Government

Submitting two letters from the Principal Collector of Canara having reference to two suits brought against that Officer in the Zillah Court together with the draft of an answer proposed to be filed and recommending that the Principal Collector may be empowered to conduct the defence of both actions at the expense of Government.

Sir

Para 1 Under the directions of the Board of Revenue I have the honor to forward for the orders of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council two letters from the Principal Collector of Canara with their Enclosures — having reference to two

From / in Cons 24th November 1842
3 Enclosures.
From, 8th in Cons 24th " November "
2 Enclosures.

Suits brought against that Officer in the Zillah Court which he solicits authority to defend at the public charge.

2 In the first case (No 41 of 1842) the Principal Collector is made a defendant on the ground that a former Acting Sub Collector of the District refused to award to Plaintiff the land sued for; but it will be seen from that gentleman's orders, of which a Copy accompanies as well as from the Plaintiff itself, that the Acting Sub Collector did not deprive Plaintiff, but only, on his preferring a claim to him for the land referred him to the

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.—

the Civil Courts. Whatever the rights of Plaintiff as regards the thing sued for, the Board are of opinion that he has no ground of action against the Principal Collector as the draft of answer prepared by Mr. Blair seems to them not to put the defence on exactly the proper ground, they have made another draft which is herewith submitted for approval.—

3 In the 2d case (No. 12 of 1841) the Principal Collector is made a defendant on account of official acts alleged to have been performed under the orders of a predecessor several years ago. The Board concur with him that he is improperly made a party and consider the ground of defence set up in his draft of answer to be good. They recommend that he may be empowered to file this answer and to conduct the defence of both actions at the expence of Government.—

4 With regard to Mr. Blair's remarks in para 3 of his letter the Board observe that the state of things there described is an evil, but they think a necessary evil, and one

they

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.—

they believe which contains its own check. They are not prepared to propose any remedy, nor do they think that the one suggested by Mr. Blair would be found practicable or effectual.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th November 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.—

A

Draft of answer to be filed by 3d Defendant in O. S. No. 1 of 1842 in the Zillah Court of Mangalore.—

Plaintiff states that a certain piece of ground was bestowed by an ancestor of 2d Defendant on the Pagoda of which he (Plaintiff) is Priest, that the land was allowed to become waste, and that after it had no claim for many years 2d Defendant gave 1st Defendant a lease for it, that his (Plaintiff's) adoptive Father preferred a complaint on the subject to the Revenue Authorities and that the late Acting Sub Collector Mr. Stokes passed an order restoring the complainant to the Civil Courts for redress and directing that pending a regular decision the land should remain in possession of 1st Defendant.—

Thirdly

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

the Civil Courts. Whatever the rights of Plaintiff as regards the thing sued for, the Board are of opinion that he has no ground of action against the Principal Collector as the draft of answer prepared by Mr. Blair seems to them not to put the defence on exactly the proper ground, they have made another draft which is herewith submitted for approval.

3 In the 2d case (No. 12 of 1841) the Principal Collector is made a defendant on account of official acts alleged to have been performed under the orders of a predecessor several years ago. The Board concur with him that he is improperly made a party and consider the ground of defence set up in his draft of answer to be good. They recommend that he may be empowered to file this answer and to conduct the defence of both actions at the expence of Government.

November 7th 4 With regard to Mr. Blair's remarks in Para 3 of his first letter the Board observe that the state of things there described is an evil, but they think a necessary evil, and one they

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

they believe which contains its own check. They are not prepared to propose any remedy, nor do they think that the one suggested by Mr. Blair would be sound practicable or effectual.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th November 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

A

Draft of answer to be filed by 3d Defendant in C. S. No. 1 of 1842 in the Zillah Court of Mangalore.

Plaintiff states that a certain piece of ground was bestowed by an ancestor of 3d Defendant on the Pagoda of which he (Plaintiff) is Priest; that the land was allowed to become waste, and that after it had so lain for many years 3d Defendant gave 1st Defendant a lease for it; that his (Plaintiff's) adoptive Father preferred a complaint on the subject to the Revenue Authorities and that the late Acting Sub Collector Mr. Stokes passed an order reserving the complainant's right to the Civil Courts for redress, and directing that pending a regular decision the land should remain in the possession of 1st Defendant.

Mr. Stokes

15571

Fort St George 24th November 1842.

2. Much of these allegations is true the facts were as follows. A Teesyganny being formed in the name of 1st Defendant comprised a ground taken from the Kidnuskie or waster, one portion of which was claimed by Plaintiff and the other by 2d Defendant. Both failed to adduce proof of their claims, the Acting Sub Collector therefore by whom the case was decided ordered that the land in dispute should remain in possession of 1st Defendant who had been at some expense to bring it into cultivation, leaving the litigating parties to bring their claims to issue in a Court of law.

3 The Court will observe that the Acting Sub Collector in place of whom 3d Defendant is made an Defendant performed no act whatever in the case, even by Plaintiffs own showing, for he left the land in possession of the individual already in possession, referring Plaintiff to the Courts of law, the proper tribunals for deciding on his claim.

4 The 3d Defendant having been thus made a party to this suit without any good reason, prays the Court to nonsuit the Plaintiff as regards him, and recover for him his costs.

(Signed) J. D. Braddell
Secretary.

Read the following Statement

Statement

15572

50

Fort St George 24th November 1842.

Statement Shewing the Quantity of Salt in Store and ready for exportation at the Beach of Ennore from 14th to 20th November 1842.

	Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in 1842	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported for the year 1842.	Quantity actually in store at the Depot ready for Exportation	Remarks.
	Bs Mds	Bs Mds	Bs Mds	Bs Mds	
Madras	300000	207074	92926	92926	No application was received during this week.

N.B.

In addition to Bs Mds 92926 ready for exportation under the orders of the Board of Revenue dated 20th January 1842 there is an excess of Bs Mds 11166 1/2 in Store at the Ennore Beach, about the disposal of which the Collector will give orders hereafter.

Madras
21st November 1842

(Signed) C. E. Trevelyan
Collector

Ordered

Fort St. George 24th November 1852.

Order thereon (50-51)

Ordered to be recorded.

From the Principal Collector of Coombatore (52)

Read Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of September last.

(52-53)

Order thereon.

Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks therein.

(54)

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Estimates of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1252.

(55)

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah

Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1251.

(56)

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah

Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1251.

(57)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly

Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1252.

(58)

From the Collector of Malabar

Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1250.

Order on the 8 abovementioned Statements.

(54-59)

Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in

Fort St. George 24th November 1852

in the proper Column of the Statements and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

(60)

From the Collector of Chingleput. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252 in the Revenue and Magisterial Department.

(61)

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252.

(62)

From the Principal Collector of Salem. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252.

(63)

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252.

(64)

From the Principal Collector of Coombatore. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252 in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments.

(65)

From the Collector of Malabar. Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1251.

Order on the 8 abovementioned Statements.

(60-66)

Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Resolved

Order thereon. (50-51) Ordered to be recorded.

From the Principal Collector of Coombatore. (52) Read Register of Petitions bearing the Board's Endorsements presented to him in the Month of September last. (52-53)

Order thereon. Ordered to be lodged in the Office.

The Board proceed to take into consideration the Statements noted below and to record their remarks therein.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. (54) Estimates of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1252.

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah. (55) Statement of charges incurred under Estimate for Fusly 1251.

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah. (56) Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate in the Magisterial Department for Fusly 1251.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly. (57) Estimate of Extra charges proposed to be incurred for Fusly 1252.

From the Collector of Malabar. (58) Statement of Charges incurred without Estimate for Fusly 1250.

Order on the 8 abovementioned Statements. (54-59) Ordered that the necessary remarks of the Board be inserted in

in the proper Column of the Statements and submitted for the orders of Government.

Read the undermentioned Statements.

From the Collector of Chingleput. (60) Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252 in the Revenue and Magisterial Department.

From the Collector of Rajahmundry. (61) Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252.

From the Principal Collector of Salem. (62) Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252.

From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. (63) Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252.

From the Principal Collector of Coombatore. (64) Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1252 in the Revenue and Magisterial Departments.

From the Collector of Malabar. (65) Statement of Contingent charges not exceeding 20 Rupees each incurred in the 1st Quarter of Fusly 1251.

Order on the 8 abovementioned Statements. (60-66) Resolved that the necessary orders be passed.

Resolved.

15575 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Resolved that the follow-
ing letter be despatched.

To

The Acting Chief Secretary to
Government.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board
of Revenue to submit for the information
of Government, the accompanying
Statement, shewing the number &
description of charges preferred
under Regulation IX of 1822, the
description of persons charged and
the manner in which the charges
have been disposed of by conviction
acquittal or reference to the Courts,
together with a Statement of the
number of appeals presented to and
disposed of by the Board under
Regulation VII of 1828 during the
first half year of 1842.

2 The total number of
persons charged with offences under
this Regulation in the above period
was 174 of whom 163 were convicted and
11 acquitted. Of the number of per-
sons charged with offences, 17 were Native
Servants

(67)

To the Acting Chief Secy to Govt submitting a Statement of the number and description of charges preferred under Regulation IX of 1822 the description of persons charged and the manner in which the charges have been disposed of together with a Statement of the number of appeals presented to and disposed of by the Board under Regulation VII of 1828 during the first half year of 1842.

15575 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

servants of the Collectors public Establishments, 156
Villages Officers and 1 not on the public Establishment
of the 1st Class, 13 were convicted and 4 acquitted.
The number of convictions in the 2d Class was
19 and the number of acquittals 7. The one in
the 3d Class was convicted.

3 The District in which the
greatest number of charges occurred is Coimbatore
but all the persons charged were Village Officers.

4 In Ganjam, Vizagapatam, Ma-
sulipatam, Tanjore, Tinnevelly and Mala-
bar, no trials took place under this Regulation
during the period under review, and there
was only one case in each of the Districts
of Nellore, Bellary, South Arcot and Canara.

5. It will be observed that the number
of charges during the 1st Half years of 1842 is less
than in the preceding Six Months.

6 The number of appeals remain-
ing under consideration on the 31st December 1841 was
2, which have been subsequently disposed of, the
sentences appealed from having been confirmed.

7. The number of appeals presented
during the 1st Half year of 1842 was 4 of which 3 were
disposed of, 2 of the sentences appealed from having
been confirmed and one reversed. The other appeal
it will be observed from the Statement remained
undisposed of at the close of the half year, for want
of some information which the Principal Collector
of Coimbatore had been called upon to furnish.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th November 1842.

Signed J. D. Boardillon
Secretary

Abstract

15577

Fort St. George

A

Abstract Statement shewing the number of persons charged, convicted, acquitted and forwarded to the Criminal Judge for trial under the provisions of Regulation IX of 1822 in the Districts under the Madras Presidency during the 1st Half year of 1842 distinguishing the Classes of Persons and Classes of their offences as defined by Sections of that Enactment.

24th November 1842.

	Offences comprized in Class 1st Clause 2d Section II				Offences comprized in Class 2d Clause 2d Section II				Offences comprized in Class 3d Clause 2d Section II				Total Offences comprized in Classes 1st 2d 3d 4th and 5th Clause 2d Section II			
	Charged	Convicted	Acquitted	Remanded to Criminal Judge	Charged	Convicted	Acquitted	Remanded to Criminal Judge	Charged	Convicted	Acquitted	Remanded to Criminal Judge	Charged	Convicted	Acquitted	Remanded to Criminal Judge
Persons of the description comprehended by Class 1st Clause 3d Section II.	2	1	1	"	1	"	1	"	8	6	2	"	17	13	4	"
Persons of the description comprehended by Class 2d Clause 3d Section II	2	2	"	"	5	5	"	"	20	18	2	"	156	149	7	"
Persons of the description comprehended by Class 3d Clause 3d Section II	1	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	4	4	"	"	1	1	"	"
Persons included in the above three Classes	5	4	1	"	6	5	1	"	28	24	4	"	174	163	11	"

Signed J. D. Burdillon
Secretary

Abstract

15579 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

To

The Acting Collector in the Zillah of Vizagapatam

(68)

To the Asst Collr in the Zillah of Vizagapatam

Sir

Referring to the Board's endorsement on Petition No 393 of 1841

† No 1573 of 1842.

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward to you a further Petition from the same parties. In this address the Petitioners distinctly state that they have not received other land in lieu of that from which they were dispossessed. The Board request therefore that you will ascertain and report whether the land alluded to in Mr Arbuthnot's letters, and in the deposition which accompanied the latter of them as having been assigned to the Petitioners, is actually in their possession; whether it was really given up to them by the proprietor of the Estate; and whether they have contumaciously abstained from occupying it.

2d Sel. Rec. Coun. 7th October 1841. — 3d Do. Rec. 23d December — "

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
24th November 1842.

/ Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To

15578

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Abstract Statement shewing the number of Persons charged in the Collectors under the Provisions of Regulation VII of 1822 and the number of Acres of Land assessed during the 1st Fasly year 1252.

Number of Persons charged in the Collectors under the Provisions of Regulation VII of 1822	Number of Acres of Land assessed during the 1st Fasly year 1252.	Remarks
Number of Persons charged in the Collectors under the Provisions of Regulation VII of 1822	Number of Acres of Land assessed during the 1st Fasly year 1252.	Remarks

This Abstract is made out from the Returns received from the Collectors of Land Revenue in the Districts mentioned in the Margin.

/ Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Abstract of Returns received from the Collectors on the 1st December 1841.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

15580 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

69

To the Collr. of Chingleput.

To

The Collector of

Chingleput.

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward the accompanying Petition No 1526 of 1842 from certain Ryots of Damul in your District for such notice as the circumstance therein stated may seem to you to merit.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 24th November 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

70

To the Principal Collr. of Canara.

To

The Principal Collector of

Canara

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to transmit for your consideration, a Petition No. 1525 of 1842 received from certain Ryots of Mangalore Bekul, Barkoor, Candapoor, and Buntoal in your District of which you will take such notice as it may seem to require.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 24th November 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

To

15581 Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

To

The Collector in the Zillah of

Canjam.

71

To the Collr in the Zillah of Canjam —

Communicating instructions on the subject of improving the efficiency of the Village Establishments in the Government Talooks and certain Jemindaries in his District.

From Collr 9th in Cons: 26th September 1836.
To Do — 26th — Do — "
From Do 31st Octr in Cons: 17th Novr Sel. Rec. Bp. Rec. 13th March 1837
From Collr 27th March in Cons 18th April — "

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to call your attention to the correspondence noted in the margin between this Office and your predecessor on the subject of improving the efficiency of the Village Establishments in the Government Talooks of Canjam.

2 The general features of Mr Stephenson's plan for this important object, as developed in his two letters, have the Board's full concurrence, and agreeably to his offer in the former of them, I am now directed to request that you will prepare Statements shewing the extent of land of the various descriptions and whether waste or cultivated and the assessment of it which it will be necessary to grant in order to carry the scheme into effect.

Letter 9th 1836
March 27th 1837

Para 21.

3 Adverting to the scale of allowances for Curnums formerly suggested by the Board, I am directed to observe that in

Proceedings Board 13th 1837. Para 4 & 5.

Fort St. George 24th November 1842.

Letter Sept. 9th 1836 Para 15.

in consideration of what is stated by Mr. Stephenson as to the increased number of Cannums required in the large Villages it appears that the amount allowed in such by that scale is insufficient, and the Board would suggest to you some modification of it in that particular.

Return dated 31st May 1840 to a Resolt from the Court of Circuit dated 30 March.

4 The Board find also that you have expressed an opinion to the Court of Foujdary Adawlut that the allowances proposed for the watchers is "scarcely adequate". They ascertain small, but it appears that they are more than the average of those already existing in the District, and if they are still enlarged, it would seem necessary that the old allowances also should be increased. The Board request that you will give the subject consideration and submit State- ments as specified above in Para 2 agreeably to such scale as may finally seem to you best.

Letter Sept. 1836. Para 17.

5 Adverting to Para 6 of the letter of March 27th 1837, the Board request that if you concur with Mr. Stevenson on the point there noticed you will prepare similar Statements for Villages needing grants

Fort St. George 24th November 1842

in Cons. 25th May 1837.

grants in the Zemindaries of Gumsur and Pondah, I am likewise directed to point your attention to another com- munication from the late Collector dated May 8th 1837 and to request that you will state your opinion whether it is desirable that Village Service Mauniems should be granted in Purlah Kimedy, and if so whether you approve the arrangements proposed by Mr. Inglis or would suggest any modifications. It is observed that Jeidad estate which was at that time under the Court of Wards, and which was included in the same plan, has since been given up to the management of the proprietor.

Order of Govt. Jan. 29 1839 No. 124 in Cons. 8th

6 The Board are anxious that the arrangements ultimately deemed necessary for effecting the object in view should be put in operation with as little further delay as possible. And I am directed to request that you will give the subject your early attention, and submit the informa- tion and Statements indicated above as speedily as practicable.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 24th November 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon Secretary

Received

Received the undermentioned Miscellaneous letters and Statements.

72 From the Head Assistant Accountant General } Indent on the Clothing Board for Emerald Green Cloth for the use of his Office in the Revenue Department.

72-73 Order thereon. Ordered that the Indent be passed and returned.

74 From the Collector in the Northern Division } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

75 From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

76 From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance of Bengamapully Jaghire for the Month of October last.

77 From the Collector of Chingleput. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and Salt for the Month of October last.

78

From

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of October last.

79

From the Collector of Chingleput. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of grain and Salt for the Month of October last.

80

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Return of Persons tried for offences under Regulation IX of 1822 for October last.

81

From the Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah } Return of Persons convicted and in confinement under Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of October last.

82

From the Principal Collector of Canara } Requesting to furnish him with 52 Copies of the printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance Statements.

83

From the Principal Collector of Salem } Applying for the usual supply of printed forms of Demand Collection and Balance and printed forms of Statements of Extra Revenue.

84

83-85 Order on the two abovementioned letters. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

From the Collector of Masulipatam. Reporting that Return

86

15586 Fort St. George 22d November 1842.

Return of cases under Regulation IX of 1822 and V of 1831 are not forwarded for October last.

(87) From the Collector of Malabar. Applying for three thousand Salt Rowandoaks.

(87-88) Order thereon.

Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

(89) From the Acting Collector of Tinnevelly. Indent for arms to be exchanged and repaired, for sanction

Order thereon.

(89-90) Ordered that the Indent be passed and returned to the Military Board.

" Stuart

" J. B. Dung

" A. Maclean

" H. Montgomery

Compd. J. G. Boyle

15587 Fort St. George — November 1842.

Diary

(1) From the Acting Secy. to Govt.

25th Nov. Received the following letters

Extract from the Minutes of Consultations under date the 22d November 1842.

Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue. Submitting a letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly recommending the appointment of his Deputy Sheristadar Chellapah Moodelly to the vacant office of Head Sheristadar in that District stating that the Board would have had no hesitation in according to it without reference to Government had not Government expressed an opinion highly unfavorable to this Individuals return to office even as Deputy Sheristadar so long as Mr. Arbuthnot remained in charge of the District, but as that Officer himself now solicits the advancement of Chellapah Moodelly the Board see no bar to the appointment taking place. also stating that the 2d Member has recorded a Minute dissenting from the opinion of the majority of the Board.

Before passing orders on the subject of the foregoing letter the Most Honorable the Governor in Council desires

Were entered 8th November 1842 No. 285

desires to be furnished with the dissentient Minute recorded by the 2d. Member of the Board of Revenue referred to in the concluding paragraph &c.

/ A true Extract /
/ Signed / Walter Elliot
Actg. Secy to Govt.

1-2
To the Acting Chief Secy to Govt —

Sent the following letter in reply

To The Acting Chief Secretary to Government

Sir

Adverting to an Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date 22d. Instant, I have the honor under directions from the Board of Revenue to transmit for the information of the Most Honorable the Governor in Council the Minute recorded by the 2d. Member on the subject therein referred to.

Revenue Board Office
Fort St. George
25th November, 1842.

/ Signed / J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

At

Monday 28th Nov. 1842

At a Meeting Present
John Dent Esqre. Senior Member,
G. D. Drury Esqre. Second Member,
Alexander Maclean Esqre. Actg. Third Member,
and
Sir H. C. Montgomery Bt. Actg. Temp. Member.

Minutes of the last Meeting read and approved.

Read the following Extracts.

3
From the Acting Secy to Govt —

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation in the Judicial Department dated 1st. November, 1842

Read the following letter

To Walter Elliot Esqre.
Acting Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to Mr. acting Secretary Boyd's letter No. 3098 dated the 30th of November 1839, I am directed by the Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit six copies of a revised collection of the Interpretations of the Regulations in force under this Presidency.

/ Signed / J. P. Willoughby
Secretary to Govt.

Bombay Castle
10th October 1842

Ordered

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Ordered that 2 Copies of the work received with the foregoing letter be furnished to the Court of Sudr and Foujdaree Udalut and the like number to the Board of Revenue, reserving the remainder for reference in the records of Government.

Ordered also that the following letter be dispatched.

(Signed) Walter Elliot
Acting Secy. to Govt.

To J. P. Willoughby Esqre
Secretary to the Government
of Bombay.

(Here enter 1st November 1842 No. 845)

3-4

Order thereon.

Ordered that the two Copies of the work received with the foregoing Resolution of Government be lodged in the Boards' records.

5.

From the Actg Secy to Govt

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation dated 25th November, 1842.

Read the following letter

To R. Clerke Esqre
Acting Chief Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George

Sir,

Sepl. Depart. Salt

With reference to the 2nd para of the

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

the letter from this Department No. 454 dated the 26th Ultimo drawing attention to the observations of the Salt agent at Chittagong respecting the delivery from several Vessels of a large excess of Salt above the quantity shipped at Escapully I am directed to transmit for the information of the Most Noble the Governor in Council, the accompanying Copy of a letter No. 1101 from the Board of Customs Salt and Opium dated the 28th Ultimo and of its Enclosures from the Superintendent of the Sultrea Salt Golahs bringing to notice the deficiency ordinarily prevailing in Salt Cargoes in European Vessels from Cuncore and excess found in Native Sloops from that Port.

Fort William, the 2nd Nov. 1842. —

(Signed) W. H. Bayley
Sec. to the Govt.
of Bengal.

The Board of Revenue will be pleased to take immediate steps for ascertaining the cause of the irregularities in the shipment of Salt referred to in the above communication and

and particularly to communicate to the Collector of Madras the suspicion that attaches to the Weights in use at Ennore.

/Signed/ Walter Elliot
Acting Secy to Govt.

A

From Board of Customs Salt and Opium

To

The Honble W. W. Bird
Deputy-Governor of Bengal
Yca Yca Yca

Dated 28th October 1842.

Salt.

Honble Sir

We have the honor to submit herewith a letter in Original No. 229 dated 5th Instant from the Superintendent Sultkea Golahs with Enclosure, and to request that the deficiency ordinarily prevailing in Salt Cargoes in European Vessels from Ennore may, should your Honor see fit, be brought to the notice of the proper authorities at Madras.-

2 The remarks made by Mr. Bowring as to the expediency of employing at Ennore for Salt deliveries parties connected with the manufacture of the article are, we conceive, worthy the attention

attention of the local authorities.-

3 We would also observe upon the facts noted by Mr. Bowring as respects excess being found in Native Sloops from that port, and deficiency occurring in European Vessels- It is of course of advantage to the Manufacturers to sell a surplus to parties willing to take it for the purpose of smuggling, but to do this they are compelled to trench upon the quantity justly due to the fair dealer.-

4 We trust that the precautions now taken in the Establishment of the Sealers' Office at Diamond Harbour, will be completely successful in checking the inducement to taking surplus in the Salt Sloops by proving to the parties the extreme difficulty they must necessarily encounter in disposing of it.-

5 We solicit the return of the Superintendent's letter when no longer required.-

We have the honor to be Yca
/Signed/ W. Blunt
" H. T. Lane
" J. W. Grant

Board of Customs, Salt and Opium, the 28th Octr 1842.

To

To

H Torrens Esqre

Secretary to the Board of Customs

Salt and Opium

Fort William

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for any orders the Board may think necessary, a copy of a letter from Captain Davison of the Barque Agnes to my address.

After a careful examination of the account and an enquiry into the causes of the deficiency in the cargo of the Agnes, I cannot discover that it arose from the neglect of any person employed at the Golahs, and as the Vessel was new and received no damage it appears probable that all the cargo received on Board at Ennore was safely landed. It is worthy of remark that while the Ships from Covelong have given a surplus those from Ennore have shewn a considerable deficiency, while the native Sloops from both places, generally, land salt in excess of the quantity manifested. several verbal complaints or rather excuses for bad outturn of Cargo, have at times been made to me by Commanders of Vessels. The most

most general one is that the salt is weighed on shore, that a quantity is lost in crossing the surf and that Boats sometimes do not go to the Ships they are intended for, but to some other Vessel. I cannot of course vouch for the truth of these statements, but the Board will perhaps excuse me for bringing the subject to their notice as the Captains of Ships cannot remain at Ennore incurring the expense of demurrage to prefer a complaint to the proper authority there. The short outturn of cargo in British Vessels and the surplus in the native Sloops cannot be the effect of accident, and some of the causes of complaint might perhaps be removed were the Salt to be weighed on board the Vessel.

I may remark that the Commanders of Vessels ascribe the good outturn of Cargoes from Covelong to the fact of the boats and Weights being

being sent from Madras the men thus having no connection with the parties in charge of the Salt,

Sulkea Salt, Golah office, 5th October 1842

I have the honor to be &c.
/Signed/ T.S. Bowring
Superintendent

T. S. Bowring Esqre
Superintendent Salt Golahs.
Sir,
Sulkea.

Having loaded a Cargo of 16,390 Maunds of Salt at Ennore on board the Barque "Agnes" under my command and only discharged 14,826 Maunds, leaving a very large deficiency of 1,564 Maunds which I really cannot account for, as myself and 2d Officer was on shore the whole of the time at Ennore superintending the weighing, which appeared to me

me at the time very correct. This gives me a strong suspicion that there must be some fault in the weights themselves as I had no means of detecting them and it appears by your Books that the Ennore Vessels are always deficient more or less.

My object in writing the foregoing is for the purpose of enabling you to write to the above Collectorate, stating said particulars and perhaps they may be able to account

15598 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

account for such a large quantity being short discharged, as the loss is very serious to the owner of the Ship.

Calcutta 22nd Sept. 1842

I remain Sir &c.

/Signed/ R. F. Davison

/True Copy/

/Signed/ F. F. Bowring

Superintendt.

/True Copies/

/Signed/ W. H. Bayley

Secy. to the Govt. of Bengal.

Ordered

15599 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.

(5-6)

To the Collector of Madras.

From the Board of Salt & Opium at Calcutta to the Right Hon. the Deputy Governor Oct. 28th 1842

From the Supt. of Sultpa to the 15 Agts. of C.S. No 8 Acts 5

From Capt. Davison of the Barque Agra to the Supt. of Sultpa Sept. 13.

To The Collector of Madras

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your very particular attention the correspondence noted in the Margin having reference to alleged false weighing and shipment of Salt at Ennore. It is feared that some systematic fraud must be practised at that place, to produce the totally different results of Cargoes in European and Native Vessels. The Board desire that you will take immediate steps to examine closely into the system prevailing at Ennore with the view to the detection and punishment of such malversations and their prevention for the future, and report the result to the Board.

2 You will observe the very different Statement that is made regarding Covelong, and the cause that is assigned for the different state of things at that Port. You will be pleased to report as to the weights

and

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

account for such a large quantity being short, dis-charged, as the loss is very serious to the owner of the Ship.-

Calcutta
22d Sept. 1842

I remain Sir &c.

/ Signed / R. F. Davison

/ True Copy /

/ Signed / T. L. Bowring

Superintendent.

/ True Copies /

/ Signed / W. H. Bayley

Secy. to the Govt. of Bengal.

Ordered

S.G.B.

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in consequence.-

5-6

To the Collector of Madras.-

From the Asst. Salt & Opium Agt. Calcutta to the Bd. & the Dy. Governor Oct. 28th 1842
From the Supt. at Sulkea to the 15th & C.S. 96 Sect. 5
From Capt. Davison of the Barque Agnes to the Supt. of Sulkea Sept. 23d.

To The Collector of Madras

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward for your very particular attention the correspondence noted in the Margin having reference to alleged false weighing and shipment of Salt at Ennore. It is feared that some systematic fraud must be practised at that place, to produce the totally different results of Cargoes in European and Native Vessels. The Board desire that you will take immediate steps to examine closely into the system prevailing at Ennore with the view to the detection and punishment of such malversations and their prevention for the future, and report the result to the Board.

2 You will observe the very different Statement that is made regarding Covelong, and the cause that is assigned for the different state of things at that Port— You will be pleased to report as to the weights

and

and weighers and methods of weighing at the two places and how the process at Cunore may be made more honest and correct.

Revr. Board Office, Fort St. George, 28th November 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(7) From the Collr. of Ganjam

Sir,

I have the honor to forward the annual Teloogoo Accounts of the Settlement of Land Revenue and Motuspha of the Havely Talooks of this District for Fusly 1250 which did not accompany my Report dated the 5th Instant.

Ganjam District Collectors Cutchery, Ganjam 17th Novr. 1842.

/Signed/ R. A. Bannerman
Collector

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(7-8) To the Collr. of Ganjam

To
The Collector of
Ganjam

Sir,

Adverting to my letters of the

17th September and 17th Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to inform you that your letter of the 17th Instant bears no Endorsement whatever and to acquaint you that a similar instance of disregard to their order will compel them to return the document on which it occurs. The Board regret to be obliged to notice such want of attention in the Head of the English Department of your office.

Revr. Board Office, Fort St. George, 28th November 1842.

/Signed/ J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read the following letters

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(9) From the Collr. of Ganjam

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated the 22d August last calling for information connected with the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in this District.

In reply I beg to state for the information of the Board of Revenue that

that the culture of this species of cane has not been introduced in the Ganjam District, with the exception of an experiment on a very limited scale which has been attempted by a Mr. Fletcher who has established a sugar Manufactory at Aska, the result of which I understand has not been very successful, either as regards the growth of the Cane or its productiveness in gour.

There are several varieties of Cane peculiar, I believe to this District from which the Superior qualities of Sugar for which Ganjam is famous are produced, and with which the Natives appear to be perfectly satisfied. It yet remains to be proved whether in this climate the Mauritius Cane would surpass in value the species now common in this District.

Ganjam District,
Coll.rs Cutcherry —
Ganjam 18 November 1842

(Signed) R. A. Bannerman
Collector

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

10

From the Coll.r of Nellore —

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the

receipt of your letter of the 13th Ultimo and to state that no Mauritius Sugar Canes were ever sent to this District. Some however were obtained by myself and others but they do not seem to have thrived any where. The District is not well adapted for Sugar Cultivation and even the Country Cane is grown to a very limitted extent.

Nellore,
Collr.s Cutcherry,
2nd November 1842

(Signed) J. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

From the Coll.r of Chingleput —

Sir,

11

With reference to your letter under date the 13th Ultimo, calling for information relative to the introduction into this District of the Mauritius Sugar Cane, and the Manufacture of Sugar, I have the honor to state that small nurseries of these Canes have been established in the Talooks of Conjeveram Tarangoody, Cattamalaon Maneemungalam and Poldapollium but that in one place only, namely at Kolacherry in the Maneemungalum Talook, has the manufacture

manufacture of Sugar been attempted, and that too only on a small scale, as an experiment by Sarvapah Naidoo a native Christian, aided by a person whom I procured for the purpose from the District of Salem. The sugar of which he sent me a muster was very similar in sweetness and granulation to the Sugar manufactured in the Salem District, though of a darker colour and was valued at Madras at 3 Rupees a Maund.-

2 The manufacture of Sugar and even the cultivation of the common Cane has never been carried on to any extent in this District, there being only two Villages in which the cultivation was usual, but the natives are fully aware of the great superiority of the Mauritius Cane from its greater size, and the increased quantity of Saccharine matter contained in it but

but the cost of fuel and the increased value of labor from its vicinity to Madras, will I imagine always be a bar to the cultivation of cane for the manufacture of sugar in this District.

3 Subjoined is a statement of the extent and progress of the nurseries established in this District, and by means of which I hope to be able to extend the cultivation of the superior sort of cane.

I have

Talook	Villages	Extent of Land	Teerwa 1st Crop	Teerwa 2d Crop	Teerwa Total	Average Teerwa per Cawny
		Ct. a.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Conjeveram	Salabogum	" 1/2	" 4 7	" 2 4	" 6 11	" " "
	Vagamungalum	" 1/8	" 1 9	" " 11	" 2 8	" " "
		" 5/8	" 6 4	" 3 3	" 9 7	15 1 6
Carangooly	Vuppany	" 1 1/4	" 8 9	" 4 4	" 13 1	" " "
	Punnicupub	" 3 3/8	1 1 1	" 8 6	1 9 7	" " "
		" 4 5/8	1 9 10	" 12 10	2 6 8	8 6 "
Cotramalore	Enam Tolaku Cotramalore	" 1 1/2	" 10 6	" 5 3	" 15 9	10 8 "
Peddapollium	Chunnumbadoo	" 1 1/2	6 12 6	3 5 4	10 1 10	21 9 2
Nanimangalum	Seroombakum	" 1	2 10	" 1 5	" 3 15	" " "
	Todoocaud	" 1 1/2	" 10 6	" 5 3	" 15 9	" " "
	Kullacherry	" 10	8 12	" 4 6	" 13 2	" " "
		" 15 1/2	12 " 6	6 " 3	18 " 9	18 9 3
Total		1 13 3/4	21 7 8	10 10 11	32 2 7	17 4 10

Chingleput District Collectors Cutcherry. Pullicarnay. 22d Novr. 1812.

/Signed/ A Freese
Collector

To

12

From the Collector of Salem.

Regarding the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in the Salem District.

To
The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 22d August last, calling for information on the subject of the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in this District, I have the honor to state that the quantity of Canes received from the Agri. Horticultural Society as well as the mode of distribution is as shown in the Margin.

I also forward a Statement shewing the quantity of land now occupied by the Cane and the amount produce which has as yet been made therefrom.

Of the Canes received from Madras a great portion were unfit for seed having died

Received in February 1839
5 Bandy loads or 688 Canes

Distribution	Bandy loads
Raizepoor	2
Osoor	2
Denkencottah	1
	5

Received in February 1841
5 Bandy loads or 1000 Canes.

Distribution

Raizepoor	1
Namcul	1
Melur	1
Osoor	1
Denkencottah	1
	5

Taluk	Villages	Extent of Land	Teerwa 1st Crop	Teerwa 2d Crop	Teerwa Total	Average Teerwa per Cawny
		Ct.	a. Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Conjeveram	Salabogum	"	1/2 " 4 7	" 2 4	" 6 11	" " "
	Vagamungalum	"	1/8 " 1 9	" " 11	" 2 8	" " "
		"	5/8 " 6 4	" 3 3	" 9 7	15. 1 6
Carangooly	Vuppany	"	1 1/4 " 8 9	" 4 4	" 13 1	" " "
	Purnicupub	"	3 3/8 1 11	" 8 6	1 9 7	" " "
		"	4 5/8 1 9 10	" 12 10	2 6 8	8 6 "
Cotramalore	Enam Tolahm					
	Cotramalore	"	1 1/2 " 10 6	" 5 3	" 15 9	10 8 "
Peddapollium	Chinnumbadoo	"	7 1/2 6 12 6	3 5 4	10 1 10	21 9 2
Nanimunga-lum	Peroumbakum	"	4 2 10	" 1 5	" 3 15	" " "
	Todoocand	"	1 1/2 " 10 6	" 5 3	" 15 9	" " "
	Kulacherry	"	10 8 12	" 4 6	" 13 2	" " "
		"	15 1/2 12 6 6	3	18 9	18 9 3
Total		1	13 3/4 21 7 8	10 10 11	32 2 7	17 4 10

Chinglepat District Collectors Cutcherry. Pullecarnay 22d Novr. 1842.

/Signed/ A Freese
Collector
/to

(12)

From the Collector of Salem.

Regarding the cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in the Salem District.

To The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 22d August last calling for information on the subject of the Cultivation of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in this District, I have the honor to state that the quantity of Canes received from the Agri. Horticultural Society as well as the mode of distribution is as shown in the Margin.

I also forward a statement shewing the quantity of land now occupied by the Cane and the amount produce which has as yet been made therefrom.

Of the Canes received from Madras a great portion were unfit for seed having dried

Received in February 1839
5 Bandy loads or 600 Canes

Distribution	Bandy loads
Raizepoor	2
Osoor	2
Denkencottah	1
	5

Received in February 1841
5 Bandy loads or 1000 Canes.

Distribution

Raizepoor	1
Nameul	1
Aktoor	1
Osoor	1
Denkencottah	1
	5

Received in May 1842

1 Bandy load of 200 Canes

Distribution.

Tripatore	1
Raizepore	16,110
Oosoor	13,100
Perhaveettah	1,290
Vellore	1,300
Nameul	300
Tripatore	3
	32103

dried up on the ways of the latter supply received in May last only three plants have been produced but we have now sufficient Canes in the District to keep up a supply for a greater demand than at present exists up to June 1252 the number of plants was as per Margin 32103 which in the present year will, I have no doubt be much increased, as one person alone in the Rozor Talook has just put down 19000 and I expect that in Raizepore the Cultivation will increase.—

Respecting the results of the produce compared with that of the common country Cane, I have not as yet had much opportunity of judging, as I have hitherto endeavoured to induce the people to extend the cultivation

cultivation by using the Cane as seed instead of manufacturing the juice from the small quantity of Jaggery which has been made the result is favorable. the Jaggery is of a better Colour and from the sample which I saw at Raizepore I should say also superior in flavor. Another item in favor of the Mauritius Cane is the greater quantity of juice it contains 250 County Canes are required to produce a Maund of Jaggery and the same quantity is obtained from 150 Mauritius Canes.

The people seem to be well aware of the superiority of the Mauritius Cane, the only fault I have heard found with it, is the thinness of its skin, rendering it more liable to the attacks of field rats however that will be no bar to its extended Cultivation when it becomes better known the small quantity of Jaggery hitherto manufactured has borne the same price as that made from the County Cane.

Salem Gillah Poor,
Dt. Collrs Cutcherry
21st November 1842

Signed J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector

Abstract

15610 ||

Fort St. Georg
No 1

28th November 1842.

Abstract Statement shewing the cultivation and produce of the Mauritius Sugar Cane in the Zillah of Salem.—

Taluks	Garden Land			Garden Teerwah			Cultivation Nunjah Land			Cultivation Nunjah Teerwah			Total Land			Total Teerwah			Mauritius	Amount proceeds of Jaggery made from the Cane.		
1	2	3			4		5			6			7			8			9	10		
		Acres	ps	as	Rs	a	p	Acres	ps	as	Rs	a	p	Acres	ps	as	Rs	a	p		Rs	a	p
1242.	Sholoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Nameul	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Raizepoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Tripatoor	"	1	6	"	5	3	"	4	10	"	15	9	"	6	"	1	5	"	"	"	"	"
	Doukenecottah	"	"	"	"	"	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Ejoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	13	"	3	6	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	"	2	9	"	8	9	"	6	7	1	5	2	"	9	"	1	13	11	"	"	"	"
1240.	Sholoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Nameul	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	10	11	2	1	7	40	23	15	4
	Raizepoor	"	10	11	"	2	1	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Tripatoor	"	7	8	"	1	13	1	"	1	8	"	5	3	"	9	"	2	2	4	"	"	"
	Doukenecottah	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Ejoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Total	"	"	14	"	2	1	"	2	11	"	7	1	"	2	11	"	7	1	"	"	"	"
1241.	Sholoor	"	14	1	"	4	1	3	"	7	3	1	7	7	"	8	1	1	10	2	"	"	"
	Nameul	"	"	4	"	"	"	4	"	11	6	2	3	11	"	30	7	6	5	2	40	23	15	4
	Raizepoor	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	2	"	3	5	"	1	2	"	3	5	"	"	"	"
	Tripatoor	4	27	4	3	7	7		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Doukenecottah	4	"	"	"	"	"		"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
	Ejoor	4	1	8	"	1	10		"	3	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	3	1	8	4
	Total	4	"	9	"	1	9		"	2	"	"	"	"	"	1	8	"	1	6	"	"	"	"
		"	29	5	3	10	11		"	23	8	5	"	2	1	12	13	10	11	"	3	1	8	4

Ejoor 21st November 1842.

C. C. Signed J. D. Gleig
Pt. Collector

Order

9-13

Order on the foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

14

From the Collector of Masulipatam

Sir

With reference

29th August 1842
7th Oct.r "

to your letters noted in the Margin I have the honor to forward the Statement of the wastage of Salt in this District for Fasly 1251 according to

to the form received with your Predecessor's letter under date the 21st November 1836.

Masulipatam
Coll.rs Cutcherry
23d November 1842.

I have &c.
Signed R. J. Porter
Collector.

Statement

9-13

Order on the foregoing letters. Ordered to lie on the Table.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

14

From the Collector of Masulipatam

Sir

With reference

29th August 1842 & Enclosure

to your letters noted in the Margin I have the honor to forward the Statement of the wastage of Salt in this District for fasly 1251 according to

to the form received with your Predecessor's letter under date the 21st November 1830.

Masulipatam Collr's Cutcherry 25th November 1842.

Signed R. J. Porter
Collector.

Statement

15613

Statement shew[ing] 251

Names of the Cotaurs and Depôts	Fus[ly] page[s].	
1 Embracing Edward	Fus[ly]	ntly high sly under to Fus[ly] Pass to the other books certain for ing a person nd dated t and after the in the Year Produce s in Fus[ly] Surplus ne kept shew the Smuggle eal in the Fus[ly] the water the beds " to do in to prom of the 2 Embracing does not Column salt that " in size and Produce the Inside Surplus calculation, Smuggling ing the Salt it by a Smug by the the year

ia over

Read

15614

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Read the following letters

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

16

From the Collector of Guntoor

Sir,

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that under the leaves of absence notified in page 889 of the Official Gazette of the 11th Instant I have this day delivered over charge of this Office to A. Hathaway Esqre Acting Head Assistant Collector together with the balance of Cash remaining in the Treasury as per enclosed receipt given by him

Guntoor,
Collector's Cutcherry,
24th November 1842

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) H. Stokes,
Collector

Received

15015 || Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Received from H. Stokes Esqre Collector of Guntoor in the undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 57,144-8-1- being the balance of Cash up to this Date according to the Accounts of the Treasury-

Species	Coins	No. of Coins	Rate of conversion per 100 pieces of Coins	Amount	Total
			C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P	C Rs. a P
Silver	New Silver Rupees	11,605	100 " "	11,605 " "	
	Do. half Do.	8,881	50 " "	4,440 8 "	
	Do. Quarter Do.	2,482	25 " "	620 8 "	
	Double Annas	6,799	12 8 "	849 14 "	
	Single Do.	1,907	6 4 "	119 3 "	
	Company's Rupees of 1840	12,544	100 " "	12,544 " "	
	Do. of 1835	20,382	100 " "	20,382 " "	
	Do. half Do.	2,354	50 " "	1,177 " "	
	Do. Quarter Do.	8,614	25 " "	2,153 8 "	
	Bombay Rupees	1,099	100 " "	1,099 " "	
	Do. half Do.	121	50 " "	60 8 "	
	Do. Quarter Do.	26	25 " "	6 8 "	
	Turkabad Rupees	6	100 " "	6 " "	
	Do. half Do.	1	50 " "	" 8 "	
	Quarter Star Pagoda pieces	1	87 8 "	" 14 "	
	Company's old Rupees	4	100 " "	4 " "	
	Do. half Do.	2	50 " "	1 " "	
	Hydrabad Rupees	129	81 14 1	105 10 "	
	Narrainpetten Do.	40	84 3 5	33 11 "	
	Matekaska Do.	21	86 7 4	18 2 6	
	Pauchoor Sicca Do.	2	84 14 4	1 11 2	
	Gudwal Do.	1	80 3 4	" 12 10	
	Tanams	26	7 125 23/100	2 " 4	55,231 14 10
Copper	Half anna pieces	370	3 2 "	11 9 "	
	Quarter Do.	30,889	1 9 "	482 10 3	
	20 Cash pieces	73,755½	1 149 23/100	1418 6 "	1,912 9 3
	Total Company's Rupees fifty Seven thousand one hundred and forty four, Annas eight and pice One				57,144 8 1

Guntoor Collector's Cutcherry,
24th November 1842

/ Signed / A. Hathaway,
Ag Head Assistant Collector
in Charge

15018 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

17

From the Head Assistt Collr in charge of Guntoor

Sir,

Fort St. George

I have the honor to state for the information of the Board that I have this day received charge of this Office, from H. Stokes Esqre Collector, together with the balance of cash in the Treasury amounting to a Company's Rupees 57,144-8-1- fifty Seven thousand one hundred and forty four, Annas eight and one piece one.

Guntoor,
Collector's Atcherry,
24th November 1842

/ Signed / A. Mathaway
Acting Head Asstt Collector
in Charge

Order on the two foregoing letters- Ordered to be submitted for the information of the most Honorable the Governor in Council.

16-18

Read the following Statement

Statement

19

15017

Statement Showing the Quantity of White Salt exported from Calcutta during the year 1841/42, at the undermentioned Ports in the Gillah of Nellore, up to 15th November 1842.

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

No.	Names of Ports	Quantity of Salt exported to Calcutta in the year 1841/42	Quantity already shipped	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity of Salt manufactured and remaining already stored and ready for Exportation	Remarks
	North Latitude					
1	Ekapully	14 44	5	6	8	9
			Bm	Bm	"	
2	Gogodapully	14 39	39763	237	"	
			15104	24896	"	
3	Varagy	14 37	16543	3457	"	
			20000	20000	"	
		150000	121410	28590	"	

Nellore Principal Collectors Cutchery
23rd November 1842

Order thereon

Gazette.

19-20

E. E.
Signed / S. T. Monkhouse
Principal Collector

Ordered to be sent for publication in the Fort St. George Gazette

Statement

15618 | Fort St. George

28th November 1842

(21) Statement of Salt Exportation to Calcutta Division of Arcot up to 19th November 1842. from the Port of Markanum in the Southern

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842.	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready for Exportation	Remarks
Bengal Maunds 20,0,000	Bengal Maunds "	Bengal Maunds 2,0,000	Bengal Maunds 2,0,0,00	

Cuddalore
Collector's Cutcherry
21st November 1842,

/ Signed / C. Hughes, Hallett
Collector

Markanum is about 20 Miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance of Vessels in making the place a temporary Flag staff will be erected on the beach and the Union Jack kept flying from sun rise to Sun set.

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore, & Superscribed P. Calcutta Salt Export and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of Vessels at Markanum may be stated. It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collector's Cutcherry or to the Tahsildar at Markanum.

/ Signed / C. Hughes, Hallett
Collector

(21-22) Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded. Read

15618 || Fort St. George 28th November 1842

(21) Statement of Salt Exportation to Calcutta from the Port of Markanum in the Southern Division of Arcot up to 19th November 1842.

Quantity of Salt permitted to be exported to Calcutta in the year 1842	Quantity already exported	Quantity remaining to be exported	Quantity actually in store and ready for Exportation	Remarks
Bengal Maunds 20,0,000	Bengal Maunds "	Bengal Maunds 2,0,000	Bengal Maunds 2,0,0,00	

Cuddalore
Collector's Cutcherry
21st November 1842.

/ Signed / C. Hughes, Hallett
Collector

Markanum is about 20 Miles North of Pondicherry and for the guidance of Vessels in making the place a temporary Flag Staff will be erected on the beach and the Union Jack kept flying from sun rise to Sun set.

Applications for Salt to be addressed to the Collector at Cuddalore, & Superscribed "Calcutta Salt Export and it is requested that the probable date of the arrival of Vessels at Markanum may be stated. It will be optional for parties taking Salt to pay for the same at the Collector's Cutcherry or to the Sahsildar at Markanum.

/ Signed / C. Hughes, Hallett
Collector

(21-22) Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded. Read

Read the following Letter

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

23

From the Sub Collector in Charge of Bellary }

I have the honor to return the revised copy of the Law relating to India forwarded with your letter of the 13th ultimo for making the necessary alterations in the 2nd Edition of the same work furnished to my Office on the 6th September 1841 which alterations have accordingly been made.

Bellary District
Collectors Office on Circuit
Toomacherlah 16th Novr. 1842 } Signed / C. Petty
Sub Collector in Charge

Order thereon

Ordered to be recorded

Read the following Letter

23-24

To

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St. George

Sir,

25

From the Collector of Bellary }

I have the honor to report for the information of the Board of Revenue, that I entered the limits of the Bellary District on the 21st Instant and assumed charge this day.

2. I have the honor to enclose Duplicate of receipt given to the Sub Collector Mr. Petty for the Balance of Cash remaining in the Treasury amounting to Company's Rupees 2,06,047-11-3.

Bellary
Collectors Office
23d November 1842 } / Signed / A. Heller
Collector

Received

15021

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Received from C. Pelly Esqr. Sub Collector in charge in the undermentioned Coins the sum of Company's Rupees 2,06047-11-3- being the balance of Cash up to 22d. November 1842. according to the Accounts of the Treasury.

Species	Coins	Number of Coins	Rate of Conversion per % pieces of Coins	Amount			Total		
			CRs.	a	p	CRs	a	p	CRs	a p
Gold	Gold Rupees	134	1,500	"	"	2,010	"	"		
	1/3 of Gold Pieces	49	500	"	"	245	"	"		
	Naide Half Pagoda	1	195	12	1	1	15	1		
									2,256	15 1
Silver	Company's Rupees 1835	1,55,720	100	"	"	1,55,720	"	"		
	Do. — 1/4 — Do.	6,000	25	"	"	1,500	"	"		
	Madras new Rupees	33,518	100	"	"	33,518	"	"		
	Do. — half — Do.	14,000	50	"	"	7,000	"	"		
	Do. — 1/4 — Do.	2,000	25	"	"	500	"	"		
	Do. Double annas	40,000	12	8	"	5,000	"	"		
	Do. Single annas	482	6	4	"	30	2	"		
	Madras Old Rupees	82¼	100	"	"	82	4	"		
	Half Pagoda Pieces	7	175	"	"	12	4	"		
	Quarter — Do.	72	87	8	"	63	"	"		
	Madras Fanams	90	7	12	5	7	"	"		
									2,03,432	10 "
Copper	One Pice Coins	8,110	"	8	4	42	3	10		
	Madras 1/4 anna Coins of 1835	11,755	1	9	"	183	10	9		
	Bombay 1/2 Do.	132	3	2	"	4	2	"		
	Twenty Cash Pieces	6605¼	1	15	1	128	1	7		
									358	2 2
			Total Company's Rupees						2,06,047	11 3

Bellary Collector's Office
23d. November 1842

E.E. Pr. / Signed / A. Meller
Collector

Order thereon

Ordered to be submitted for the information of Government -

Read

25-26

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Read the following letters

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue

(27)

From the Asst Print. Collr of Cuddapah

Sir, Fort St. George

In answer to your letter of the 12th ultimo I have the honor to forward translations of the Hookoommanah and Cowlenamah now in force in this District as therein required.

Cuddapah
Print. Collr. Office
11th October 1842.

Signed W. U. Arbuthnot
Acting Print. Collector

Sagoovaly Hookoommanah for fusly 1251.

To Dada Row
Tahsildar of Sirnoor

The period of Cultivation for fusly 1251. has approached and by the blessing of God the rain has fallen in every part of the District and there is a hope of a favorable season. The Dry and Garden lands have already

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

already been in some places cultivated you the Carnams and the Village authorities should consider that this present is a very responsible duty and perform it with much care.

1st It is understood that in some places certain ryots were compelled during the villiavary to agree to cultivate that same extent of land as in last year or to take the lands given up by others, or to bring under Cultivation from Ayacut Bunjer with a view to effect an increase of the Cultivation, but this practice should no longer prevail. The ryots are at liberty either to retain or give up their Putta lands but in the latter case they must surrender the good and bad in equal proportions. The same rule should be enforced in the case of gifts or sales of the lands to others, but the giver and receiver should personally appear before the Tahsildar and execute documents to that effect.

2d It was also reported during the Summabundy that lands unfit for Cultivation or washed away by inundations or those dependent on ruined tanks for which remission

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

remissions are always allowed were entered in the names of Ryots. Such additions or insertion do not benefit the Government and if you find on enquiry such to be the case you are to exclude such lands from the villiavary account.——

3rd. All the lands agreed for by the ryots should be brought under cultivation with the exception of those wet lands which may be prevented from being Cultivated for want of water in the Tanks, with this exception, if they leave any land waste, they will not be entitled to any remission for the same.—

4 It also happens that one individual holds more than one Patta in the same village, this practice is very irregular and it should on no account be allowed. all the separate Pattas of the kind must be made into one during the villiavary.——

5 It was also reported that the Ryots who had let their lands for Cultivation to others and gone to some other village on their own purpose were considered to have emigrated and their lands transfered to others during the villiavary. but this system is objectionable. It is therefore hereby ordered that if there is no probability of the absentee ryots returning and

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

and of their lands being Cultivated a Notification should be issued for their appearance according to the former orders and if they nevertheless fail to attend within the prescribed time then their lands may be transferred to others who may agree to undertake them.——

6. It appeared that the Cram lands under tanks were Cultivated to a greater extent then that of the Circar fields. you are therefore to consider that the responsibility of this rests with you and take care that the cultivation of Circar lands may not fall short with reference to the true quantity of water received by tanks which you can ascertain from the village authorities sending at the same time the true account of the water received by the respective Tanks to the Roozoor.——

7 It has been known that in some places certain lands were transferred to other ryots at the time of villiavary without the consent of the then holders. It is therefore directed that the particulars of the lands of the ryots who agree to Cultivate the same portion as in last year should be entered in the Villiavary Statement and the marks

marks or signatures of the Ryots obtained to it. If any of the ryots should take or give up any portion they should execute Razeenamas under their signatures or marks attested by other witnesses.—

8 The following are the rules to be observed by Ryots and others in regard to a Seervajasty or additional tax on the lands.—

The watercaurses, the introduction of the water of which will subject the lands to an additional assessment are as follows.—

1 Old tanks channels and wells.

1 Tanks and channels Constructed at the Cost of ryots.—

1 Garisa Bhavooloo Constructed on dry soils by ryots at a Cost of 2 or 3 Rupees.—

1 Doroovoo Bhavooloo or wells constructed on the banks of Rivers.

1 New wells dug on the Cirear wet and Garden soils.—

1 Wells which were decayed on dry lands at the time of Survey but Subsequently repaired.—

1 New wells dug on Porumpoke or Land which was not assessed at the Survey.—

1 Hill stream on which lands do not depend for Cultivation.

Channels

1 Channels and Tanks Constructed in the Enam lands into which the water runs through the Cirear fields.—

1 Wells situated in the Enam land and on which Cirear lands are dependent for irrigation

10/1 stly In the event of the Cirear or Enam dry land being converted into Garden wet or Poonasa and the Garden or Poonasa lands into wet by the water of any of the above watercaurses an additional tax will be levied thereon but if Garden or Poonasa land is Converted into wet by the water of any of the above wells the tax in question will not be imposed.—

2 dly Complaints have been made that Seervajastee was levied at a higher rate then what was formerly levied and that the lands newly converted were assessed at a higher rate than the quality of those lands would bear 1 stly It is therefore now ordered that from Fasly 1263 the lands brought under wet Cultivation shall not be taxed less than 3 Pagodas per acre. If the land is of a superior quality it shall be assessed with reference to the adjoining wet fields and the quality of that land. But in no case shall the assessment

Fort St. George 28th November 1862

assessment exceed the 1st rate of the village. If the 1st rate of the lands in any village is below 3 Pagodas it should be assessed at the same 1st rate. If there are any garden lands of small extent such as ½ or 1 Acre cultivated as vegetable gardens and thereby assessed at a low rate the same rate should not be continued but it should be altered and assessed as the prices of lands deserve. ——

2dly In villages where the rate of assessment of the Garden land is above that of the wet and where there is no wet but garden lands & if such Garden lands are converted into wet from the Tank water it should be assessed with reference to its quality and the rate of the wet lands of the adjoining villages provided it be not less than 3 Pagodas. ——

3dly If any lands converted into wet previous to Fusly 1243 and assessed below 3 Pagodas left waste for some subsequent years and have since been again brought under cultivation the same as former rate will be Continued should the holders thereof produce the Coolwary Chitta and Putta in the accounts which will exhibit the Particulars thereof at the due time. but if they so fail

Fort St. George 28th November 1862

fail to do so the former rate will not be left unaltered. ——

9 The Garden and wet lands circar and Enam cultivated under the Duscar and Random wells of the owners or others with their respective Crops will be liable to the imposition of additional tax called Neersarge in case the water of Tanks, Nullahs or Hill streams is applied to them but it shall not exceed 1 Pagoda per Acre. ——

10 The rules for removing Doubts as to the levying of Peervajatee is fully explained to you by the foregoing details with respect to other matters you are to act invariably agreeably to the rules now in existence and the purports of the orders issued annually. ——

you are to furnish with a Copy of this order to each of the Carkoons and village authorities under you and to take care that they attend to the instructions therein specified. ——

(Signed) W. Ashton
Principal Collector,
Translation

15630

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Translation of bowlnamah

Waste

Land black & Waste

		1st year	2d year	3d year	4th year	5th year	6 year
The system of giving for Cowl to a large Plough of 12 cs Bullocks.—	1 Years waste	1	1	1	1	1	1
	2 D. D. D.	3/4	1	1	1	1	1
	3 D. D. D.	1/2	3/4	1	1	1	1
	4 D. D. D.	1/4	1/2	3/4	1	1	1
	5 D. D. D.	1/4	1/4	1/2	3/4	1	1

For the waste land above five years the Ryat shall give 5 Cantaray fanams to a large Plough per annum until 5 years and on the 6th the full assessment thereof should be collected from him. The former rules regarding the Cowls are various in different villages which are hereby rescinded and this rule is to be observed hereafter as in full force.—

Land waste & some Land waste

Some apply 8 & some others only 4 Bullocks to a Plough instead of 6— Nevertheless all of them are to be considered as a plough for which 6 Bullocks are applied.—	1 Years waste	7/8th	1	1	1	1	1	
	2 D. D.	3/4	1	1	1	1	1	
	3 D. D.	1/4	1/2	3/4	1	1	1	

The produce of the waste land above 4 years, the ryats shall enjoy for 3 years at cuntaroy fanams 5 per annum for a large Plough, and on the 4th the full assessment should be Collected.—

15631 Fort St. George 28th November 1812

Red Land

For the red waste land which was successively cultivated for 10 or 15 years by a Raught of 2 Bullocks.	4 years waste	1/2	3/4	1	1	1	1
	Anadi Bunjer or Immemorial waste above 5 years	1/4	1/2	1	1	1	1
"	"	1/2	1	1	"	"	"

The mode of giving Cowl for the black land which is overrun with the long rooted grass called nuttoo from 1/4 to 1/2 of its extent.—

1 For example. If a piece of land is assessed at 16 Pagodas and one quarter part of it is over-run with long rooted grass 4 Pagodas should be remitted for that portion of the remaining 12 Pagodas, the assessment of the remaining 3/4 land 2 Pagodas should be further remitted in remuneration for removing the grass and the remaining 10 Pagodas should be collected for 4 years and on the 5th the total assessment is liable to be collected from the ryats.—

If a land is assessed at 16 Pagodas and half portion of it is overrun with the said grass, 8 Pagodas should be remitted for the same and of the remaining 8 Pagodas payable to the Circar 4 Pagodas should be further remitted to induce the Ryat to remove the grass and the remaining 4 Pagodas collected from the Ryats for 4 years and on the 5th year the full assessment 16 Pagodas is to be collected from them.—

Particulars of the Cowl for the land which has been overrun with long rooted grass from 1/2 to 1/16 of its full extent.—

1 stly If a ground is assessed at 16 Pagodas, and 9/16 of it is overrun with grass, 9 Pagodas are to be remitted for the same and of the remaining 7 Pagodas of the remaining 7/16 portion of the land 3 3/4 Pagodas are to be further remitted to the Ryats to induce him to remove the grass and the remaining 3 1/2 Pagodas are to be collected for 4 years and on the 5th the total assessment is to be collected from the Ryats.—

2 dly If a piece of land is assessed at 16 Pagodas and 11/16 parts of it is so overgrown

overgrown with the grass 11 Pagodas are to be remitted for the same and of the remaining 5 Pags of the remaining 5/16 viz 2½ Pagodas are again to be remitted to induce the ryots to remove the grass and the remaining 2½ Pagodas are to be Collected for 4 years and on the 5th the land will be liable to the full assessment of 16 Pagodas. ——

Land which are overrun with long rooted grass to above ¾ of its extent. ——

If a piece of land is assessed at 16 Pagodas and three fourths of which has been spread with the above grass called Nutt 12 Pagodas are to be remitted for the same and the remaining 4 viz Pagodas are to be Collected in full But if there would be no other waste land except that run with long rooted grass in that village and if the same is proposed to till with a large plough the rule mentioned in the first part of this para is not to be enforced and a Cawl as fixed for other waste lands is to be given in for this land also at 5 Coneroy fanams for a Plough per annum till 6 years and on the 6th year the total assessment is to be Collected and the land in which the grass in question would grow above 13/16 of its extent will also be liable to a similar Cowl in the Villages in which there would be no other sorts of waste land. In the villages where there is other description of waste Cawls are not to be granted to the nutt land or land overrun with long rooted grass and precautions are to be taken to bring the

J. C. B.

the ordinary waste lands under Cultivation in the first instance.

Cowl for Garden Land.	The waste land under a Nullah or Well in good order.	For 2 years waste ———	½ - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
		From 3 to 5 years waste ———	½ ½ 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

The full assessment should be paid for the land left waste for 2 or 3 years for want of water in the water courses owing to the failure of rain and the lands left waste for certain period even the supply of water was insufficient are to let for cowl as follows-

For 2 years waste ——— ½ 1 1 1 1 1

Above 3 years ——— ½ ½ 1 1 1 1

The land converted into wet from that of Dry is to be taxed with reference to the adjoining fields of the same class and is to be at ½ - ½ - ¾ - 1 - 1 - 1 -

Particulars

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Particulars of the Cowl to be given for the lands converted into Garden from Dry in the Sarom Beriz Account.

1. If a piece of land, under decayed well entered as dry in the Survey and assessed accordingly in the Sarom Beriz account has been brought under Garden Cultivation by subsequently repairing the above well, the same is to be assessed with reference to the adjoining fields of the same Class and 1/4 of the land granted as Dusvundom. The remainder 3/4 of land is the property of the Government and the Dusvundom is to be contained for ever.

2. If a new well is constructed on Dry and the land under it brought under Garden Cultivation the original dry assessment is only to be collected thereon, but it shall not be liable for any increased demand.

3. If there should be a well either decayed or filled up with mud, the assessment of the land under it may be exempted for one or if necessary for 2 years as a remuneration for its being repaired, and land

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

under it cultivated.

4 This Cowl is only to be continued to such Ryots as cultivate land in excess of the preceding Years assessment and not to those who cultivated below the amount of the last year.

20th April Fusly 1215 answering to 12th day of Vysak month Atchaya Year.

No. 3.

Cowl to be granted for the lands washed away and choked up with sand in order to bring them under Cultivation. Fusly 1214.

1st If a piece of land situated among the cultivating fields has been covered with sand and the same is to be removed beyond its surrounding fields, Cowl for such lands is to be given with reference to the labour required and the distance to which the sand is to be removed.

2d If the sand accumulated in any field is to be removed only to the adjoining waste land, a River or a Nullah Cowl for the same is to be given only with reference to the labour the Ryot required in removing the sand in question. Cowls are to be given under the above circumstances as hereunder specified.

1st If a land has been covered with

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

with 2 or 4 inches of sand above the subsoil and the same is to be removed to a short distance. Cowl is to be given with reference to the labour for 1 year by remitting either 3/4 1/2 or 1/4 of the assessment.

2d

If the land has been covered with 1 or 1½ span of sand above the level of the cultivating ground, Cowl is likewise to be given with reference to the labor and distance to which the same is to be removed by remitting for one year either in full 1/2 or 1/4 of the assessment.

3d

If a land has been covered with 2 or 3 spans of sand above the level of the cultivating ground, Cowl is to be given with reference to the distance to which the sand is to be removed by remitting either 1/4 or its full assessment for 1 or if necessary for 2 years. Cowl is to be given at discretion with reference to the labour attendant thereon.

4th

If a land has been covered with sand to the extent of a mans knee's depth above the cultivating land

Cowl

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Cowl is to be granted with reference to labour of digging and the distance to which the same is to be removed by remitting its assessment in full for 1. 2. or 3 years as the circumstances of each case may require.

On the above Principles Cowl is to be given for 3 years and after the expiration of 3 years from the Year on which it will be first cultivated, but no Cowl is to be given above 3 years.

5

At the time of giving Cowl in the above manner the labor of a man is to be ascertained either

15637 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

either by yourself or Carkoons under you and then the same is to be granted.

Cowl is to be given in the above manner and the waste land brought under cultivation.

25th April 1842

P.S. After mature consideration of the labour you to be encountered, should it appear in any case that the remission of assessment should be extended for 1 year more, the same may be granted and precautions should be taken to bring the waste land under cultivation by every exertion.

/True Copies/
/Signed/ W. W. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal Collector.

27-28
Order thereon. Ordered to be sent again in Circulation.
To

15638- Fort St George 28th November 1842.

To
J. D. Bourdillon Esqr.
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

29

From W. W. Arbuthnot Esqr. Acting Principal Collector of Cuddapah ------- } Sir.

No 101 of 1842.

With reference to the enclosed Petition bearing an Endorsement of the Board of Revenue requiring a report upon the matters therein stated, I have the honor to refer the Board to Para 7 of my letter dated 26th July last and to the Extract from their Proceedings under date the 11th August 1842. and to state that the necessary orders have been accordingly issued to the Rany of the Soonganoor Samustanum on the subject.

Cuddapah District,
Principal Collector's Office,
Gunnulmudgo 22d November 1842. } /Signed/ W. W. Arbuthnot
Acting Principal Collector.

Order thereon.--

29-30

Ordered that the following Endorsement be passed on Petition 101 of 1842.

"The Board find that in the interval between the preparation of this Petition and its transmission for report to the Acting Principal Collector, the Petitioner had

Fort St George 28th November 1842.

had been provided under their orders with a seperate allowance of 40 Rupees a Month, no further order therefore is required"—

Read the undermentioned Statements—

31

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. } Statement shewing the comparison of the Collections between Fusleis 1250/57 with Enclosure No 1 & 2.

32

From the Collector in the Northern Division of Arcot. } Statement shewing the comparison of the Charges between Fusleis 1250/57. with an Enclosure No 1.

Order on the two abovementioned Statements. 31-33 Ordered to be recorded.—

Read the following letter.

To. The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St George.

34

From the Principal Collector of Salem - - - - - - } Sir. I have the honor to forward copy of an Answer and rejoinder entered in Court by me in a case in which Mr Fischer is prosecutor, for the purpose of receiving the orders of Government as to the meaning and intent of

Fort St George 28th November 1842.

of the letter written to Government by the Revenue Board dated the 8th November 1824. as on that alone does the Moodeliar rest his claim. I have in my rejoinder stated what I considered to be the real intention of the document in question, but as my opinion in the case in question will go for nothing. I beg to solicit the orders of Government on the point above specified, in order that I may lay them before the Court—

Salem Zillah. Office Principal Collr's Cutchery 22d November 1842. - - - } (Signed) J. D. Gleig Principal Collector.

No 1.

Answer filed by the 1st Defendant in Original Suit No 203 of 1841.

This is a Suit brought by the Salem Moodeliar against the Principal Collector and others for the recovery of Rupees 731. 4—" being as he states, the loss of Inaigo produce and the profits that would have been derived therefrom for two years in Consequence of the 3d Defendant being prevented cultivating ground given him by the Moodeliar or a Warum putta.—

In reply to this, the Principal Collector

15641. Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Collector has to state, that the 3rd Defendant having been prevented cultivating the land in question is quite true, because he had no right to its occupancy, and the Salem Mootahdar could give him, none as it does not belong to his Mootah. This ground belongs to the town of Salem, being part of that occupied by the Salem Fort - and in Fusly 1211 when the Ayacut accounts were made up prior to giving out the lands on Mootahdarry tenure, this ground was deducted therefrom as well as some other grounds of a similar nature.—

The late Principal Collector Mr. Orr having received the sanction of Government as per Minutes of Consultation dated 8th April 1826, to expend Rupees 1400 for the purpose of levelling the walls of the Fort and filling up the ditch thereof in order to remove a nuisance and improve the Salubrity of Salem, did in the year abovementioned, level the walls and fill up the ditch at an expense of Rupees 1415. when this was accomplished and the ground made fit for the purpose, this ground was appropriated for building, as the site of Salem is insufficient for its population and a Takeed was issued on the

15642. Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

the 19th October 1837 to the Tahsildar that he should not prevent the people building on the same. - such being the case, the Principal Collector trusts the Court will coincide in opinion, that as the land in question belongs to Government, being part of one of their Forts and that it has been appropriated and set apart for Government purpose, the Mootahdar of Salem has nothing whatever to do with it, and further solicits, that a nonsuit may be granted in his favor and costs awarded.—

He also denies that the Mootahdar has had a loss of Rupees 731-4—"- or any loss at all from being prevented appropriating to himself property not his own and begs to state that the 2d Defendant will make no separate reply, having acted Subordinate to the Principal Collector.-

Salem, 20th October 1841. } Signed J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector.

A. 2.

Rejoinder filed by the 1st Defendant in O.S. 203 of 1841.

In remarking on the reply furnished

furnished by the Mootahdar of Salem, the Principal Collector rejoins that he is not bound by any admission of the 3d Defendant who is a person set up by the Mootahdar and has as little right to the land in question as the Mootahdar himself, for where no right existed in the grant or none could possibly be conveyed to the grantee.—

The Chief and only foundation on which the Mootahdar rests, appears to be the letter written by the Board of Revenue to Government dated the 8th November 1824. on which point the Principal Collector states, that it does not bear on the question at issue. The former Collector Mr D. Cackburn considering that the Government were entitled to all Poramboke lands not included in the accounts on which the permanent assessment was fixed proceeded to make collections on these lands in addition to the permanently assessed amount. such a proceeding was contrary to the intentions of Government and they made known that the Mootahdars had a right to make such a profit as they could from such Poramboke lands and

and particularly specifying as most probably applicable to some of Mr Cackburn's measures the heds of tanks and the land in old Forts as what belonged of right to the Mootahdar to cultivate without increased taxation.—

The Principal Collector, although he defends this suit, is prepared to allow the Mootahdar of Salem all the intended benefit of these orders of Government. The Porumpoke lands adverted to by Government are evidently those which are not appropriated to any special purpose and available for cultivation, for it will not be presumed that the Government, particularly that of 1824, would by a general and sweeping order make over to any Mootahdar all lands within the boundary of his Mootah coming under the denomination of Porumpoke without knowing or caring what individual interests were therein comprised. were it so and were the orders of Government capable of bearing the interpretation which they must assume to enable the Mootahdar of Salem to carry out his intention no Village site would be free. no ryots backyard but would

would be taxed even the streets of the Village and the roads of the District might be ploughed within a Mootah for they are all Porumpoke-

This being the interpretation of the orders of Government referred to by the Mootahdar which the Principal Collector considers himself justified in laying before the Court as far as the general term Porumpoke is concerned. He next proceeds to state that the old Forts specified in the Government instructions are not those whose formation is of most ancient date - but that they allude to Forts which are now dilapidated and deserted, the cultivation of which would not interfere with any one's right - In such situation I am prepared to allow the Mootahdar the full benefit he claims - but in allowing the cultivation of these and of Porumpoke as abovementioned I reserve for the Government the power of resumption where they deem fit for where lands were at first deducted from the amount on which the heriz was fixed on account of their being Forts it would be too much to expect from the liberality of the

the Government that they should consent to repurchase if required for the exigencies of the service what they had never given away --

The above being the Principal Collectors views of the orders of the Government, he denies that the land in question is Porumpoke land - within the meaning thereof - and also that the Fort of Salem is an old Fort as therein referred to - the ground now in dispute was deducted from the accounts as part and portion of the Salem Fort, which is, and always has been an inhabited Fort - this part of the Nuttum ground of Salem and as such has been made over by the late Principal Collector to the inhabitants for building sites -

With reference to the statement of the Mootahdar that some of the Fort lands have been converted into Poonjah and totical paying him teerwah the Principal Collector notifies that they are liable to resumption when necessity requires -

The circumstance of Mr. Orr having collected on these lands during the time the Mootah

15647. Fort St George 28th November 1842.

Mootah was under Zuft and he was acting for the Mootahdar does not interfere with the Government rights nor can the present Principal Collector be bound to regulate his conduct thereby - 'tis more than probable these collections were never brought to that Gentleman's notice if there were no dispute - His takeed giving over the ground in dispute to the people for building is conclusive of his opinion of the claim not set up by the Mootahdar -

As little does the circumstance of Mr. Walton allowing the Court to be misled from want of information in passing decision on his case militate against the Government rights Mr. Walton should have applied to Government for the ground -

The Mootahdar is in error in stating that the Walls of the Fort were levelled by Mr. Orr in order to give the people employment during the famine - Such was not the case - the Walls were not thrown down until long after the famine had ceased to exist and the late Principal Collector first requested that the Prisoners should be so employed who never felt the effects of the famine even whilst it existed -

With

15648. Fort St George 28th November 1842.

With respect to the Suit entered by the Mootahdar against the Cauzy of Salem and his son, the Court will decide according to its discretion. the Mootahdar has no cause of claim either against the Cauzy or his son for any ground belonging to the Salem Fort and given over for building sites -

In conclusion the Principal Collector states that the ground in question having been appropriated for the use of the town of Salem before Mootahs were formed and having at the time of formation been excluded from the Accounts on which the averages were made for fixing the permanent Settlement, the Mootahdar of Salem has no power over these lands to turn them from their original appropriation and therefore the Principal Collector requests, as before, the Mootahdar may be nonsuited with costs; The Mootahdar is now paying yearly rent above the permanent amount for ground so excluded at the time of Settlement which he now holds from Government although situated within his Mootah -

Salem Zillah - - - }
Paiz[illegible] Collr's Cutchery, }
1st February 1842. - - - -

Signed J. D. Gleig Pt Collector.
Agreed

15647. Fort St George 28th November 1842.

Mootah was under Guft and he was acting for the Mootahdar does not interfere with the Government rights nor can the present Principal Collector be bound to regulate his conduct thereby - 'tis more than probable these collections were never brought to that Gentleman's notice if there were no dispute - He is taked giving over the ground in dispute to the people for building is conclusive of his opinion of the claim not set up by the Mootahdar -

As little does the circumstance of Mr. Walton allowing the Court to be misled from want of information in passing decision on his case militate against the Government rights Mr. Walton should have applied to Government for the ground -

The Mootahdar is in error in stating that the Walls of the Fort were levelled by Mr. Orr in order to give the people employment during the famine - Such was not the case - the walls were not thrown down until long after the famine had ceased to exist and the late Principal Collector first requested that the Prisoners should be so employed who never felt the effects of the famine even whilst it existed -

With

15648- Fort St George 28th November 1842.

With respect to the Suit entered by the Mootahdar against the Cauzy of Salem and his son, the Court will decide according to its discretion. the Mootahdar has no cause of claim either against the Cauzy or his son for any ground belonging to the Salem Fort and given over for building sites -

In conclusion the Principal Collector states that the ground in question having been appropriated for the use of the town of Salem before Mootahs were formed and having at the time of formation been excluded from the Accounts on which the averages were made for fixing the permanent Settlement, the Mootahdar of Salem has no power over these lands to turn them from their original appropriation and therefore the Principal Collector requests, as before, the Mootahdar may be nonsuited with costs; The Mootahdar is now paying yearly rent above the permanent amount for ground so excluded at the time of Settlement which he now holds from Government although situated within his Mootah -

Salem Zillah - - - }
Paiz[illegible] Collr's Cutchery, }
1st February 1842. - - - -

Signed J. D. Gleig Collector.
Agreed

Fort St George 28th November 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

(34-35)

To the Actg Pl Collr of Salem.

Informing him that the Board feel themselves precluded from applying to Government for orders regarding the meaning & intention of a letter written by them to Government on which the Moottahar of Salem in O.S. No. 203 of 1841 in the Salem Zillah Court founds his claim to certain Poonjpoke lands and directing him to inform the Court correctly as to the real merits of the case by means of evidence supporting the allegations in his pleadings.

Rd from Collr 25th November 1842.

To The Acting Principal Collector of Salem.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 22d Instant enclosing copies of your answer and Rejoinder in O.S. 203 of 1841 in the Salem Zillah Court, and requesting the Board to obtain the orders of Government as to the meaning and intent of a certain letter written by the Board to Government, on which the Plaintiff chiefly founds his claim.—

2. In reply I am directed to inform you that the Board feel themselves precluded from making the application to Government which you suggest.— In all civil actions it is the province of the Court trying the case to determine in the first instance the intent and meaning of exhibits filed before it, and it could not without a breach of its duty, receive the interpretation of Government, as of any authority. But in the present instance, there is a further special objection; for

Fort St George 28th November 1842.

for it would be in the highest degree indecorous for the Government, to intrude its opinion on the Court, in a case to which itself is a party.

3. It will be your part by means of evidence supporting the allegations in your pleadings, to inform the Court correctly as to the real merits of the case, and the true meaning and bearing of the orders of Government. The case involves a general question of much importance, and the Board trust to you to take care that all the evidence at your command is brought to bear on it.—

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St George, 28th November 1842.

Signed J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Read the following letter.

(36)

From J. D. Gleig Esqre
Pl Collector of Salem.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St George.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to the notice of the Board that the Acting Joint Magistrate of the District on the 14th April 1842, imposed on two Individuals named Ramachendra Naick and Adicara chetty, a fine of 25 Rupees each

Fort St George 28th November 1842.

each in case of assault, and the same was recovered and carried to account in my Treasury on the 14th May 1842. The parties having subsequently appealed against the decision to the Court of Circuit for the Southern Division, they in their Proceedings dated the 24th August 1842, directed the fines imposed on the parties to be remitted to them. I have therefore to request the Board's sanction for repaying the amount, Viz. Rupees 50. " " —

Salem Gillah.
Coosoor Collr's Cutcherry,
25th November 1842.

} (Signed) J. D. Gleig
Principal Collector.

Order thereon.

36-37

Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommendation that sanction may be granted for the disbursement of the amount of the fines.—

15th Decr 24th Oct 1842.

38.

Proceedings thereon. Para 1. Read again letter from the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjore exhibiting the result of the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in that District for Fusly 1257 A.D. 1841-42.

Relative to the management of the Extra Sources of Revenue in the District of Tanjore for Fusly 1257 A.D. 1841-42. Salt

Para 1. The quantity of salt sold in 1257 was 3831-19-4 less by 42-143-3 than in Fusly 1250 A.D. 1840-41 causing a decrease in the Money results of 4349-4-6. The consumption within the District which was 4/5th of the

Fort St George 28th November 1842.

the total sales has remained steady, being only a fraction of a garce less than that of 1250.—

2. The main decrease is in the Inland consumption and amounts in the past Fusly to 56-290; the sales in 1250 being 629-75 and in 1257-572 185. Diminished demand from Salem, Trichinopoly and Coimbatore, has occasioned this decrease. The illicit manufacture of Earth Salt in the Inland Districts and the illicit exportation to them of Salt manufactured within the limits of the Poodoocottah State are the causes assigned for this decreased demand. Earth Salt of a superior quality is stated to be made in this latter country and sold at nearly one third less than the Monopoly price of Marine Salt, its comparative cheapness offering great inducement to smugglers to introduce it into the bordering Districts. The route from the Southern Parts of Tanjore to the abovementioned Districts lies through the Forest and territory and the Merchants frequenting them are stated to be in the habit of mixing earth Salt with what is purchased from the Government Depots.—

The

15653. Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

3. The following Statement shews the Inland Sales of the Tanjore District during the last 14 years.—

	Gs.	Drs.	Rs.
Fusly 1238 / A.D. 1828-29	1230	79	8½
" 1239 / " 1829-30.	1094	236	4
" 1240 / " 1830-31.	717	330	"
" 1241 / " 1831-32.	680	35	"
" 1242 / " 1832-33.	592	350	"
" 1243 / " 1833-34	734	43	"
" 1244 / " 1834-35	698	290	"
" 1245 / " 1835-36	829	280	"
" 1246 / " 1836-37	658	257	"
" 1247 / " 1837-38.	958	376.	"
" 1248 / " 1838-39.	834	325	"
" 1249 / " 1839-40	980	270	"
" 1250 / " 1840-41	629	75	"
" 1251 / " 1841-42.	572	185	"

15654 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

4. The falling off in late years has been partly made up by the increased Inland Sales of the adjoining Zillah of Madura, but from the circumstances stated by the acting Principal Collector and from having lately had instances before them of the seizure and confiscation under their orders of Salt the manufacture of the Tondiman's Country in transit through Coimbatore, the Board are inclined to the belief that this illicit traffic is carried on to some extent.

	Coimbatore		Salem		Trichinopoly		Total	
	Gs.	Drs.	Gs.	Drs.	Gs.	Drs.	Gs.	Drs.
Fusly 1247	108	311 "	110	" "	"	" "	109	21 "
" 1248	226	302 "	"	" "	70	" "	226	372 "
" 1249	327	185 "	2	155 "	"	40 "	329	380 "
" 1250	346	85 "	2	90 "	21	250 "	370	25 "
" 1251	378	250 "	"	320 "	11	350 "	391	120 "

5. By the existing relations between the British Government and the Tondiman, there is nothing to prevent the manufacture of Salt for consumption within his limits, nor does there appear to the Board as yet to be reason to entertain any project for its discontinuance. They perceive however the necessity for the exercise of increased vigilance on the part of

The

15653. Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

3. The following Statement shews the Inland Sales of the Tanjore District during the last 14 years.—

	Gs.	Ds.	Fn.
Fusly 1238 / A.D. 1828-29	1230	79	8½
" 1239 / " 1829-30.	1094	236	"
" 1240 / " 1830-31.	717	330	"
" 1241 / " 1831-32.	680	35	"
" 1242 / " 1832-33.	592	350	"
" 1243 / " 1833-34	784	43	"
" 1244 / " 1834-35	698	290	"
" 1245 / " 1835-36	829	280	"
" 1246 / " 1836-37	658	257	"
" 1247 / " 1837-38.	958	376.	"
" 1248 / " 1838-39.	834	325	"
" 1249 / " 1839-40	980	270	"
" 1250 / " 1840-41	629	75	"
" 1251 / " 1841-42.	572	185	"

S.G.B

The

15654 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

4 The falling off in late years has been partly made up by the increased Inland Sales of the adjoining Zillah of Madura, but from the circumstances stated by the acting Principal Collector and from having lately had instances before them of the seizure and confiscation under their orders of Salt the manufacture of the Tondiman's Country in transit through Coimbatore, the Board are inclined to the belief that this illicit traffic is carried on to some extent.

	Coimbatore		Salem		Trichinopoly		Total	
	G	Rs Fn	G	Rs Fn	G	Rs Fn	G	Rs Fn
Fusly 1247	108	311 "	110	" "	"	" "	109	21 "
" 1248	226	302 "	"	" "	70	" "	226	372 "
" 1249	327	185 "	2	155 "	"	40 "	329	380 "
" 1250	346	85 "	2	90 "	21	250 "	370	25 "
" 1251	378	250 "	"	320 "	11	350 "	391	120 "

5. By the existing relations between the British Government and the Tondiman, there is nothing to prevent the manufacture of Salt for consumption within his limits, nor does there appear to the Board as yet to be reason to entertain any project for its discontinuance. They perceive however the necessity for the exercise of increased vigilance on the part of

of the authorities of the Districts of Tri=chinopoly, Madura and Coimbatore to prevent the importation of this Salt inland as ex=plained by the Acting Principal Collector of Tan=jore to be now practised, and the Board resolve to call the attention of these Officers to this sub=ject and to direct the acting Principal Collector of Tanjore to place himself in communication with them with the view of suggesting — such measures as may facilitate the detection of Salt adulterated as described or differing in quantity from the entry in the Rowannahs with which it is accompanied.

6. It is satisfactory to observe that the sales to the Danish settle=ment of Tranquebar have considera=bly increased during the last year and that these now approximate the amount which the population may be calculat=ed annually to require and no longer give grounds for the inference that the supply for — this territory is obtained by illicit manufacture or indirectly from the neighbouring French Settlement

	Gr.	M.	Pn
Fusly 1248	—	—	—
" 1249	1	10	—
" 1250	23	205	—
" 1251	39	360	—

Settlement of Karical.

7. The charges for this branch of Revenue during the year under review — amounted to 54,612 Rupees less by 1101-2-9 than the same item for 1250, and the Net — Revenue is 3,36,261-10-2 or 1588-4- less than that of the previous year.

Sayer.

8. The total Sayer Demand under the Heads — — named in the Margin exhibited a Net in=crease of 4,408-6-8-

Betel and Tobacco Rented	118,200	— —
Aumany including Tiruva=tarapoondy Tobacco	173,363	10-9
Frontier	36,174	3-3
Fusly 1251	3,27,737	14 —
" 1250	3,23,329	7-4
Increase	4,408	6-8

The Betel and Tobacco duties were rented at an advance on the rents of 1250 of 15,000- The Net Collections under Aumany fell short of the previous year by 13,141- — 4- The Chief item of decrease being Cloth, the duties on which are less by 8,961-2-5 than in the preceding year. The unprecedented low price of grain has it is stated obliged those deriving their Income from land to — limit their expenditure to the smallest — possible-

Decrease	14,567	4-6
Increase	1,426	4-2
Net Decrease	13,141	— 4

possible amount - The depressed state of the grain Market in a Country where the agricultural portion of the population is so great as in Tanjore has no doubt had considerable effect on the consumption of the Superior description of cloths, but it is observed that there is no corresponding decrease as might have been expected in the Tax on looms and that a comparison between the

	Duty on cloth
1249	121,700-14-11
1251	111,567-14-5
Decrease	10,133-"-6

Year 1251 and 1249 of the amount of duty collected on cloths is still more unfavorable which leads the Board to believe that other causes besides that assigned must have operated in reducing the Collections as reported, and they request further explanation on this point.

9. The Vaidaraumien Tobacco duties retained under Aumany have not produced a Revenue equal to that of 1250. The decrease being 2718-9-4 consequent on decreased export to the Eastward where the produce of this particular Village is mostly consumed. It is observed, however, that the Collections under this head in 1250 were unusually large and that the amount collected in 1251 exceeds that of 1249 by 1094-4-10

1249	13,246-8-10
1251	14,340-13-8
	1094-4-10

The

The frontier duties are in excess
10 of 1250 by 2,549-7-" - The chief items of increase being oil exported 2,392-14-" and Betel Nuts and Sundries imported 2,735-9-2.

11. The charges amount to 35,568-15-8 being 5,233-9-10 in excess of the previous year - being 3,847-13-10 of which is increase of drawback and being deducted from the gross Collections, leave the Net Sayer Revenue of the year 2,92,631-12-7 less by 3416-6 than that of the previous Fusly.

12. The Increase in the item of drawback requires further explanation. In the remarks appended to Statement 8 which accompanied the report on the Settlement of the land Revenue for Fusly 1251, it is said to be caused by increased exportation of Piece goods in last year - Referring to the corresponding Statement for 1250 the decrease in drawback is there stated to be caused by decreased exportation - and the exportation of cloths in that year was apparently limited.

13. The Sea Customs produced a higher Revenue than has hitherto been realized in this Province, exceeding by 18,491-5-4 the average of the Collections of

the

possible amount - The depressed state of the grain Market in a Country where the agricultural portion of the population is so great as in Tanjore has no doubt had considerable effect on the consumption of the superior description of cloths, but it is observed that there is no corresponding decrease as might have been expected in the Saxon looms and that a comparison between the

	Duty on cloth
1249	1,21,700-14-11
1251	1,11,567-14-5
Decrease	10,133-"-6

year 1251 and 1249 of the amount of duty collected on cloth is still more unfavorable which leads the Board to believe that other causes besides that assigned must have operated in reducing the Collections as reported, and they request further explanation on this point.

9. The Vaidaraumien Tobacco duties retained under Aumany have not produced a Revenue equal to that of 1250. The decrease being 2718-9-4 consequent on decreased export to the Eastward where the produce of this particular Village is mostly consumed. It is observed, however, that the collections under this head in 1250 were unusually large and that the amount collected in 1251 exceeds that of 1249 by 1,094-4-10

1249	13,246-8-10	
1251	14,340-13-8	
	1,094-4-10	

The

The frontier duties are in excess of 1250 by 2,549-7-" - The Chief items of increase being oil exported 2,392-14-" and Betel Nuts and Sundries imported 2,735-9-2.

10

11. The charges amount to 35,568-15-8 being 5,233-9-10 in excess of the previous year - 3,847-13-10 of which is increase of drawback and being deducted from the gross Collections, leave the Net Sayer Revenue of the year 2,92,631-12-7 - less by 3,416-6- than that of the previous Fusly.

12. The Increase in the item of drawback requires further explanation. In the remarks appended to Statement 8 which accompanied the report on the Settlement of the land Revenue for Fusly 1251, it is said to be caused by increased exportation of Piece goods in last year - Referring to the corresponding Statement for 1250 the decrease in drawback is there stated to be caused by decreased exportation - and the exportation of cloths in that year was apparently limited.

13. The Sea Customs produced a higher Revenue than has hitherto been realized in this Province, exceeding by 18,491-5-4 the average of the Collections of

the

the last 20 years and of the year 1250 by Rupees 3,639–7–4. This result is obtained from a gross increase of 114.39–7–3 of which 2,607–3–4 is increased duty on Paddy and Rice exported 361.2–6 on raw and boiled Betel Nuts imported, and 934–7–10 on Soap exported, and a gross decrease of 7,849–15–11 caused chiefly by diminished export of Chank, Sandal wood, and Brass Utensils, and Piece goods both imported and exported. do

192–12–2 The charges in this Department were slightly in excess of the year preceding caused by increased Commission consequent on increased revenue but carried to the do credit of Government, and increased do drawback amounting together to 219–15–4. The actual charges of collection were short of the year 1250 by Rupees 27–3–2

The Net Sea Custom Revenue was do

Fusly 1251	93,524–8–9	93,524–8–9 exceeding by
Average Net collections of the last 20 years ..	64,601–3–1	3,127–12–3 that of Fusly 1250
Increase	28,923–5–8	and by 28,923–5–8 the average Net Collections of the last 20 years. The increasing trade of the District thus shewn is very satisfactory.–

Increased

Increased bidding raised the

Akkarry– 14– Akkarry Revenue to 6,770 or 570 Rupees in excess of the amount realized in 1250. The charges under this head Viz.t for Commission are nominal, the Net collections for the year are 6,116–2–10.

Both Items constituting

Moturpha– 15– Moturpha exhibit an increase in 1251 over 1250. the loom Tax by employment of more looms do yielded 18,598–11–1 exceeding by 65–11–3 the Revenue of the year previous and the house tax 21,565–1–11 exceeding by increased number of houses the Revenue thus derived in 1250 by Rupees do 256–2–7. The net Revenue of these two Items do combined is 39,362–2–10 Rupees 842–12–6 in excess of 1250 – among the items of charges do Rs. as 484–5–6 are stated to be the refunds of do Moturpha Revenue. It is desirable that the causes of such refunds should be explicitly do stated. the absence of all remarks in explanation of the Statements accompanying the report of the Acting Principal Collector has attracted the observation of the Board and should be do rectified in future reports. On reference to do Statement 8 accompanying the Jummabundy report for the past Fusly, the decrease of 576–14– in the charge under this head in the present on comparison with the past year is stated to be caused by the amount payable to certain religious institutions in lieu of loom tax resumed not being drawn this year.–

Fusly 1250	1061–3–6
do 1251	484–5–6
Decrease	576–14–

The

Sundry Small Farms - 16. The Demand under the Head of Sundry small Farms was 26,085-2-1- the collections including arrears 25643-14-3- there being no charges under this head this is also the Net Revenue and is less than that of the previous year by 490-11-1- The chief item of decrease is Chank Rent which is less by Rupees 600 than in 1250.-

Stamps 17. The Decrease of 4752-9-5 in the Stamp sales has been caused principally by decreased sales of Sayer Rowannahs in consequence of diminished consumption of cloths amounting to Rupees 1234-12- and by decreased penalties and sale of Judicial papers from diminished litigation amounting to 2,433-8-" The Net Revenue derived from Stamps was 47,573-14-6- being 5,521-11- less than that of 1250.-

18. The total Extra Revenue demand of the year from all sources amounted to 10,05,266-3-9 shewing a Net decrease below the same for the previous Fusly of 559-5-9- The Collections within the Fusly including arrears 24,227-"-9 and Extra Collections 1,192-8-3 amounted to 10,05,141-15-6 leaving a balance on 12th July of 25,543-13-3 The Charges for the reasons assigned on reviewing each separate item were 4,432-12-1 in excess of 1250 being 10,4,027-13-7 or $10\frac{10}{16}$ per cent on the gross collections- and the net Revenue after their deduction was 9,01,114-1-11 less by 6,672-10-10 than the Net Extra Revenue of 1250.-

1250	10,05,825-9-6	
1251	10,05,266-3-9	
Decrease	559-5-9	

19. The balances up to the end of the Fusly have been since made good, with the exception of the trifling sum of 200 Rupees due by the Fish renter of Combaconum. The Board observe in the very punctual realization of these revenues, the creditable attention paid to this part of their duty by Mr. Bishop and the authorities of this District.-

20. The chank Renter for Fusly 1250 having failed in his engagements was committed to the Zillah Jail as a defaulter to the amount of 273-13-11 on the 12th August 1841- November 12th 1842. Considering the length of time of his imprisonment, 15 Months to this date the evident small probability of his discharging the demand against him, for it is observed that 26-2-1 was recovered by the sale of his property and the proportion of the balance 273-13-11 to the rent for the year 2700- the Board are of opinion that he should be at once released and accordingly direct the acting Principal Collector to take the necessary measures for this object.-

21. The Board notice with satisfaction the acting Principal Collector's recorded approbation of the services of the Head Assistant Mr. Cook under whose immediate charge were placed the Salt, Sea Custom and Frontier Department- The result of this Gentleman's management bears evidence of the attention bestowed.-

Ordered that a Copy of the foregoing Extract from the Board's Proceedings together with the annexed Comparative Statement of the Collections Charges and Net Revenue be submitted to Government and a transcript of the same be forwarded to the Acting Principal Collector for his information and guidance.-

Tanjore.

15663

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Tanjore for Fusly 1251.

		Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Increase			Decrease		
		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Salt	Demand	3,95,546	14	1	3,91,197	9	7	"	"	"	4,349	4	6
	Balance on the 12th July	13,701	2	"	14,029	14	2	328	12	2	"	"	"
	Current Collection	3,81,845	12	1	3,77,167	11	5	"	"	"	4,678	"	8
	Extra Dr.	5	14	"	5	6	6	"	"	"	"	7	6
	Arrears	14,715	"	7	13,701	2	"	1,986	1	5	"	"	"
	Total	3,93,556	10	8	3,90,874	3	11	"	"	"	2,692	6	9
	Charges	55,716	12	6	54,612	9	9	"	"	"	1,104	2	9
	Net Revenue	3,37,849	14	2	3,36,261	10	2	"	"	"	1,588	4	"
Sayer	Demand	32,3329	7	4	32,7737	14	"	4,408	6	8	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	6,606	11	7	7,126	9	4	519	13	9	"	"	"
	Current Collection	3,16,722	11	9	32,061	4	8	3,888	8	11	"	"	"
	Extra Dr.	2,259	10	11	982	12	"	"	"	"	1,276	14	11
	Arrears	7,401	1	9	6,606	11	7	"	"	"	794	6	2
	Total	32,6383	8	5	32,8200	12	3	1,817	3	10	"	"	"
	Charges	30,335	5	10	35,568	15	8	5,233	9	10	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	2,96,048	2	7	2,92,631	12	7	"	"	"	3,416	6	"
Sea Customs	Demand	98,046	14	"	1,01,586	5	4	3,639	7	4	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	2,669	9	4	2,675	6	5	5	13	1	"	"	"
	Current Collection	95,377	48		99,010	14	11	3,633	10	3	"	"	"
	Extra Dr.	311	"	10	179	4	1	"	"	"	131	12	9
	Arrears	2,850	14	5	2,669	9	4	"	"	"	181	5	1
	Total	98,539	3	11	1,01,859	12	4	3,320	8	5	"	"	"
	Charges	8,142	7	5	8,335	3	7	192	12	2	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	99,396	12	6	93,524	8	9	3,127	12	3	"	"	"
Ab Karry	Demand	66,200	"	"	66,770	"	"	570	"	"	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	5	"	6	5	"	6	"	"	"
	Current Collection	66,200	"	"	66,764	15	6	564	15	6	"	"	"
	Extra Dr.	20	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	19	11	"
	Arrears	32	6	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	32	6	6
	Total	66,252	6	6	66,765	4	6	512	14	"	"	"	"
	Charges	510	"	2	649	1	8	139	1	6	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	65,742	6	4	66,116	2	10	373	12	6	"	"	"

15663

Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

Tanjore for Fusly 1251.

		Fusly 1250			Fusly 1251			Increase			Decrease		
		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Salt	Demand	3,95,546	14	1	3,91,197	9	7	"	"	"	4,349	4	6
	Balance on the 12th July	13,701	2	"	14,029	14	2	328	12	2	"	"	"
	Current Collection	3,81,845	12	1	3,77,167	11	5	"	"	"	4,678	"	8
	Extra Dr.	5	14	"	5	6	6	"	"	"	"	7	6
	Arrears	11,715	"	7	13,701	2	"	1,986	1	5	"	"	"
	Total	3,93,556	10	8	3,90,874	3	11	"	"	"	2,692	6	9
	Charges	55,716	12	6	54,612	9	9	"	"	"	1,104	2	9
	Net Revenue	3,37,849	14	2	3,36,261	10	2	"	"	"	1,588	4	"
Sayer	Demand	32,3,329	7	4	32,7,737	14	"	4,408	6	8	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	6,606	11	7	7,126	9	4	519	13	9	"	"	"
	Current Collection	3,16,722	11	9	3,20,611	4	8	3,888	8	11	"	"	"
	Extra Dr.	2,259	10	11	982	12	"	"	"	"	1,276	14	11
	Arrears	7,401	1	9	6,606	11	7	"	"	"	794	6	2
	Total	3,26,383	8	5	3,28,200	12	3	1,817	3	10	"	"	"
	Charges	30,335	5	10	35,568	15	8	5,233	9	10	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	2,96,048	2	7	2,92,631	12	7	"	"	"	3,416	6	"
Sea Customs	Demand	98,046	14	"	1,01,686	5	4	3,639	7	4	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	2,669	9	4	2,675	6	5	5	13	1	"	"	"
	Current Collection	95,377	4	8	99,010	14	11	3,633	10	3	"	"	"
	Extra Dr.	311	"	10	179	4	1	"	"	"	131	12	9
	Arrears	2,850	14	5	2,669	9	4	"	"	"	181	5	1
	Total	98,539	3	11	1,01,859	12	4	3,320	8	5	"	"	"
	Charges	8,142	7	5	8,335	3	7	192	12	2	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	90,396	12	6	93,524	8	9	3,127	12	3	"	"	"
Ab Karry	Demand	66,200	"	"	66,770	"	"	570	"	"	"	"	"
	Balance on the 12th July	"	"	"	5	"	6	5	"	6	"	"	"
	Current Collection	66,200	"	"	66,764	15	6	564	15	6	"	"	"
	Extra Dr.	20	"	"	"	5	"	"	"	"	19	11	"
	Arrears	32	6	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	32	6	6
	Total	66,252	6	6	66,765	4	6	512	14	"	"	"	"
	Charges	510	"	2	649	1	8	139	1	6	"	"	"
	Net Revenue	65,742	6	4	66,116	2	10	373	12	6	"	"	"

15664 ||

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

		Fusly 1250	Fusly 1251	Increase	Decrease
		Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p	Rs. a p
Sundry Small farms and Licenses	Demand	26,482 5 9	26,085 2 1	" " "	397 3 8
	Balance on the 12th July	429 15 10	598 10 "	168 10 2	" " "
	Current Collection	26,052 5 11	25,486 8 1	" " "	565 13 10
	Extra Dº	" " "	1 4 2	1 4 2	" " "
	Arrears	82 3 5	156 2 "	73 14 7	" " "
	Total	26,134 9 4	25,643 14 3	" " "	490 11 1
	Charges	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Net Revenue	26,134 9 4	25,643 14 3	" " "	490 11 1
Stamps	Demand	56,378 1 2	51,625 7 9	" " "	4,752 9 5
	Balance on the 12th July	1,083 6 "	1,099 2 "	15 12 "	" " "
	Current Collection	55,294 11 2	50,526 5 9	" " "	4,768 5 5
	Extra Dº	" " "	" " "	" " "	" " "
	Arrears	1,361 10 "	1,083 6 "	" " "	278 4 "
	Total	56,656 5 2	51,609 11 9	" " "	5,046 9 5
Moturpha	Charges	3,560 11 8	4,035 13 3	475 1 7	" " "
	Net Revenue	53,095 9 6	47,573 14 6	" " "	5,521 11 "
	Demand	39,841 15 2	40,163 13 "	321 13 10	" " "
	Balance on the 12th July	10 1 10	9 2 10	" " "	" 15 "
	Current Collection	39,831 13 4	40,154 10 2	322 12 10	" " "
	Extra Dº	7 15 9	23 8 6	15 8 9	" " "
	Arrears	9 5 2	10 1 10	" 12 8	" " "
	Total	39,849 2 3	40,188 4 6	339 2 3	" " "
	Charges	1,329 11 11	826 1 8	" " "	503 10 3
	Net Revenue	38,519 6 4	39,362 2 10	842 12 6	" " "
Total	Demand	1,005,825 9 6	1,005,266 3 9	" " "	559 5 9
	Balance on the 12th July	24,500 14 7	25,543 13 3	1,042 14 8	" " "
	Current Collection	981,324 10 11	979,722 6 6	" " "	1,602 4 5
	Extra Dº	2,604 9 6	1,192 8 3	" " "	1,412 1 3
	Arrears	23,452 9 10	24,227 " 9	774 6 11	" " "
	Total	1,007,381 14 3	1,005,141 15 6	" " "	2,239 14 9
	Charges	99,595 1 6	104,027 13 7	4,432 12 1	" " "
	Net Revenue	907,786 12 9	901,114 1 11	" " "	6,672 10 10

15665

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Read the following letter

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(39)

From the Collector of Trichinopoly — Submitting further report upon the subject of a Petition from the Inhabitants of Manakal in Lalgoody Talook.

Para 1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings of the 7th Instant relative to my letter of the 24th Ultimo upon the subject of a Petition from the inhabitants of Manakal in Lalgoody praying that their lands may be measured.

2. The Petition is drawn up in a confused manner. the fact which it is intended to bring to notice is that the asessment which the Petitioners are called upon to pay does not bear a due proportion to their respective holdings. In the individual Pottahs the extent of the land, the rate of asessment per Cawney, and the amount due by each cultivator are distinctly specified according to the details entered in the accompanying Statement. If the asessment were apportioned on the shares, each cultivator would have to pay the same for each share that he might be entitled to. but, it will be seen from the Statement, appatorien No. 1 who has 35 shares pays an asessment of Chuckrams 46 — 9 — 2 while Mootasamy No. 2. pays Chackrams 33 — 7 — 9 — for 15 3/4 shares and Iyathorien No. 3 Chackrams 50 per 24 3/4 shares. It is evident therefore that the asessment is not apportioned on the Shares but on the extent.

15666

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

extent of the holdings.

3. At what time precisely the lands were measured is not, I am sorry to say, to be ascertained from any accounts either at the Hoozoor or in the Village. but the measurements entered in the Statement are those, which have always prevailed under the present Government. The case therefore is to be treated exactly in the same way as the cases alluded to in the Board's Proceedings under reply.

4. The inquiry alluded to in the Petition was a mere reference for information and it is necessary either that I should be enabled to dispose of the case by rejecting the prayer of the Petitioners on the grounds of their having invariably paid the asessment on the portions of land which they now allege to be improperly described as to extent or that I should have the Board's permission to assent to the re-measurement. and I solicit the Board to instruct me which course to pursue.

5. I beg the Board will not consider me to be the advocate of indiscriminate re-measurement of lands upon insufficient grounds. I am perfectly aware that such a course would be highly inexpedient and I have always endeavoured to proceed in such matters with caution. but while I readily admit the embarrassment which might result from very frequent applications for re-measurement, I beg to observe that, when the Meerasidars are once awakened to a belief that there is any material dificiency in their holdings as compared with the asessment fixed on them, it is extremely difficult to satisfy them or to bring them to terms at the Jummabundy, so that to send off examination for too great a length of time is to involve the risk of many difficulties, including the annany management of the lands.

6. The request for a re-measurement of the lands in question has it will be observed, been agitated since 1839.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble Servant
(Signed) A. P. Anslow
Collr

Trichinopoly
Collr Office
17th November 1842.

Statement

15667 | Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

No. 1 Statement shewing the Extent of land, the rate of assessment per Cawney and the

			1 Crop	1	6	4 8	1 8 10½	2 8 9
				2	4	4 8	" " "	6 " 9
8	Vydelingien	6-14-"	2 Crops	"	65	"	4 " "	2 6 "
			1 Crop	9	55	8	1 8 10½	17 2 "
				10	20	8	" " "	19 8 "
9	Arnachellapillay	14-13-"	2 Crops	1	85	12	4 " "	7 4 5
			1 Crop	4	78	12	1 8 10½	8 5 5
			Tope	"	5	"	2 2 1	" 1 1
				6	69	8	" " "	16 " 11
10	Paupoo reddy	3-13-"	2 Crops	"	40	"	4 " "	1 6 "
			1 Crop	1	2	"	1 8 10½	1 8 15
			Tope	"	30	"	2 2 1	" 6 10
				1	72	"	" " "	4 1 9
11	Punjoovien	1-12-"	2 Crops	"	42	8	4 " "	1 6 15

Trichinopoly - - - }
17th November 1842 }

E. E. Sec. / Signed / A. P. Onslow
Collr

Ordered

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

(39-40)

To the Collector of Trichinopoly.

Stating that the the Board see no reason to disturb the present system of collecting the assessment on Puttah Poonjah in Manakal in Laulgoody Talook which has been in use for 40 years and that if the apportionment of the assessment of it was originally based on the proprietors shares in the Village and this proportion has in course of time become disarranged it is likely that the Village community would of themselves unite to replace matters in a right position if the subject were properly suggested to them.

Proceed 7 July 28th 1842 P. C.

In Cons. 28th November 1842.

Ordered that the following letter be sent in reply.

To The Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 17th Instant, furnishing information called for on the subject of the Petitions from some Meerasdars of Manakaul Village.

2. It would appear from this communication that the Petitioner's own representation of their case, and which your former letter would have left the Board to suppose to be the true one, is not so. That they do not as they themselves state pay the assessment on their Puttah Poonjah in the proportion of their shares respectively in the Village, but according to the supposed extent of their land of that description as determined by some old measurement, in the same way in short as on the Nunjah land.

3, If this is the case, the instructions already supplied to you are applicable, and no others seem necessary. The Board believe indeed that there is less occasion for interference in the case of Puttah

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Puttah Poonjah than of lands in general. This sort of land which exists only in the wet Villages, is commonly extremely productive and is very lowly assessed with reference to it's fertility. The extent is but limited in comparison with the whole lands of the Village, and erroneous measurement, if it exist, cannot bear more than an exceedingly small proportion to the owner's total holding. The present system of collecting the assessment of this land in Manakaul has been in use for forty years, and the Board see no reason to disturb it.

Rates in Manakaul	Rs	a	p
Two Crop Garden	5	0	0
One Crop Do.	2	5	9
Tope	2	4	0

If

4. If as seems likely the apportionment of this land is and the payment of the assessment of it was originally based on the proprietor's share in the Village, and this proportion has in course of time, become disarranged it is likely that the Village community would of themselves unite to replace matters on a right position, if the subject were properly suggested to them.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
28th November 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary

Read

Read the following Letter.

To The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

Sir,

(41)

From the Coll.r of Trichinopoly. } Para. 1. With reference to the Contract from the Board's proceedings dated the 27th ultimo I have the honor to transmit a Statement of the lands situated in the Village of Nachecorchey in Conaud exhibiting their extent, classification, and Assessment, together with a copy of the decree passed by the Assistant Judge.

2. It will be observed that each field is by the Decree ordered to be divided, but the division has not in fact been equal as regards the fertility of the lands.

Trichinopoly
Collector's Office
17th November 1842.

(Signed) A. P. Onslow
Collector.

Statement

1672 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

No. 1.

Statement of the lands situated in the Village of Nachecoorchey in the Conaud Talook exhibiting their Extent classification & assessment.

Andychey cutlay				1	37	15	" " "	9 2 14	
		Kalur	Do	"	24	1	2 8 2	" 6 15	
				1	6	2	" " "	9 9 13	
Mala Covil cutlay		Manel	Do	"	39	3	6 9 2	2 7 1	
Toppady	Do	Do	Do	1	18	13	6 4 7	7 6 9	
Aroombarepal	Do	Do	Do	1	18	2	6 9 2	12 3 6	
Marrady	Do	Do	Do	1	69	15	6 9 2	11 7 7	
Cullingadoo	Do	Kalur	Do	"	30	4	2 8 10	" 8 9	
Coosamechey	Do	Do	Do	"	11	8	2 8 10	" 3 6	
Pilliar	Do	Manel	Do	"	5	8	6 9 2	" 3 12	
Manthy	Do	Do	Do	"	5	8	6 4 7	" 3 9	
				"	12	1	6 2 6½	" 7 9	
				"	17	9	" " "	1 1 2	
Poonjah Thedul	Do	Do	Do	"	51	8	" 8 13¼	" 4 12	
Thany thedul	Do	Kalur	Do	"	18	3	" 1 1½	" " 3	
Teroomalunjey	Do	Manel	Do	"	66	3	6 2 6½	4 1 3	
				"	59	11	3 2 10	1 9 10	
				1	25	14	" " "	6 " 13	
Total Cutlays		29	Do	30	7	1	" " "	224 1 13	or Rs 261-7-9
Bagayet		"	"	2	41	13	" " "	1 4 4	
Poonjah		"	"	3	67	6	" " "	1 8 7	
Tope		"	"	1	76	14	" " "	1 1 6	
				7	86	1	" " "	4 4 1	or Rs 5-2-4
Total Including Nunjah & Poonjah				38	60	2	" " "	228 5 14	or Rs 266-10-1

Trichinopoly
17th November 1842

E. E. F. [illegible] / signed / J. F. Onslow
Collector.

No. 2.

(S. S.)

Henry Dominic Phillips Esqre. Asstt. Judge Present.
Pauper O. S. No. 133 of 1839. before the Auxiliary Court.

Pauper Plaintiff

Caroothapillay Younger Son of Moottoopillay residing partly in Nasecroochy and partly in Suthapetta Worriore Conaud Talook.

Vers

Defendants

1 Aurmoogum Pillay elder Son of Moottoopillay residing in Suthapetta Coreyoor Conaud Talook

2 Veerapillay residing in Abeshagapooram.

Vakeel.

Soobbier

Vakeel

None.

This Action instituted on the 13th November of 1839, is for the recovery of a share of family property estimated at Rupees 541 — 8 — 9 —

It appears from the Plaint that Plaintiff is the undivided Younger brother of 1st Defendants. That after their father's death 10 years ago, they jointly managed the family affairs. That Plaintiff demanded his share of the property in Margaly of Veelumbee / 1838 / and was refused it. That as Plaintiff was preparing to bring the matter to judicial issue the 1st Defendant in January 1839

Applied

applied to the Tasildar of Conaud Talook to register 4 chays of land being part of the joint property in the name of the 2nd Defendant alleging its sale to the latter. That Plaintiff objected to the registry to the Tahsildar in the first instance and then to the Collector and was eventually referred to a Civil Suit. That the sale of the said land to 2nd Defendant with a view to injure Plaintiff is invalid, and that under these circumstances the suit is brought.

The 1st Defendant answers admitting the alleged relationship, but denying that Plaintiff ever jointly managed the patrimonial Estate. He states that for the payment of certain expenses for which Plaintiff and he are jointly liable it became necessary to sell some of the brazen utensils in his possession. That the terraced house mentioned in the Plaint was under similar circumstances mortgaged to one Kalapermalpillay in July 1839 for 24 Pagodas. That Thavasy Naick did mortgage to him on the 22d Aswanee Sundara the Cocoanut tree tope mentioned in the Plaint as did also N. Iyavien one

Canny

15673 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

No. 2.

(S. S.)

Henry Dominic Phillips Esqre. Asst. Judge Present
Pauper O. S. No. 133 of 1839. before the Auxiliary Court.
Pauper Plaintiff

Vers

Caroothapillay Younger son
of Moottoopillay residing
partly in Nasecroochy and
partly in Suthapetta Worriore
Conaud Talook.

Defendants.
1 Aurmoogum Pillay
elder son of Moottoopillay
residing in Suthapetta
Coryoor Conaud Talook
2 Veerapillay residing
in Abeshagapooram
Vakeel.

Vakeel

Soobbier.

None.

This action instituted on the 13th November of 1839, is for the recovery of a share of family property estimated at Rupees 514-8-9.

It appears from the Plaint that Plaintiff is the undevided Younger brother of 1st Defendants. That after their father's death 10 years ago, they jointly managed the family affairs. That Plaintiff demanded his share of the property in Margaly of Veelumbee (1838) and was refused it. That as Plaintiff was preparing to bring the matter to judicial issue the 1st Defendant in January 1839 applied

15674 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

applied to the Tasildar of Conaud Talook to register 4 chays of land being part of the joint property in the name of the 2nd Defendant alleging its sale to the latter. That Plaintiff objected to the registry to the Tahsildar in the first instance and then to the Collector and was eventually referred to a Civil Suit. That the sale of the said land to 2nd Defendant with a view to injure Plaintiff is invalid, and that under these circumstances the suit is brought.

The 1st Defendant answers admitting the alleged relationship, but denying that Plaintiff ever jointly managed the patrimonial Estate. He states that for the paysment of certain expenses for which Plaintiff and he are jointly liable it became necessary to sell some of the brazen utensiles in his possession. That the terraced house mentioned in the Plaint was under similar circumstances mortgaged to one Kalapermalpillay in July 1839 for 24 Pagodas. That Thavasy Naick did mortgage to him on the 22d Awanee, Nundana the Cocoanut tree tope mentioned in the Plaint as did also N. Iyavien one

Caany

Fort St George 28th November 1842

Cawny of Malgoozary land. That both these mortgages, however, have been redeemed. That the Mango tope and its Poonja lands are in the joint occupation of 1st Defendant and Santha=patha Veerapillay in right of a mortgage ~ thereon held jointly. Consequently that a ~ deduction of one half must be made from what Plaintiff claims, as also of certain ~ Cows, Calves, and brazen Articles, some ~ Rupees, Pooja land and 7 Cawnies 8 1 3/16 Goolies of land sold to the 2nd Defendant. That the property remaining, to which 1st Defendant ~ and Plaintiff are entitled, consists of 31 ~ Cawnies and 25 5/16 Goolies of Poonja land and 30 Cocoanut trees. That a debt of Rupees 50 ~ is jointly due to to certain individuals ~ and that the above stated deductions being made the Plaintiff is entitled only to the ~ share of a younger son.—

The Plaintiff replies contending that the sale of 7 and odd Cawnies of land ~ to the 2d Defendant is fictitious and frau=dulently alleged to injure him. That the ~ mortgage of the Cocoanut trees has not ~ been redeemed, in support of which assert=tion Plaintiff states circumstances connect=ed with the disposal of a suit in the Court.

Fort St George 28th November 1842

Court of a District Moonsiff which he argues shew that Tavasy naik is in col=lusion with 1st Defendant. That the redemp=tion of his 1 Cawny and odd land by ~ Iyavien is also falsely asserted. That the ~ lands sued for are still in 1st Defend=ants enjoyment. That the disposal of the brazen utensils is false.—

No Rejoinder was filed.—

The suit as regards the 2d Defendant was tried ecparte.—

Twelve Witnesses were examined for Plaintiff. Three of the 1st Defendant ~ and one in common for both parties.—

The Plaintiff filed one document.—

Decree

1. In consequence of the difficulty ~ experienced in correctly ascertaining from the 1st Defendants answer the extent of the property admitted by him to be in his ~ posession and liable to a Division, the ~ Court required his attendance and took ~ from him a deposition on the point, which renders the matter clear.—

2. On a consideration of this and the evidence adduced by the Plaintiff, the Court declares

declares the latter to be entitled to half of the tiled house specified in the Plaint, or to the value of it Rupees 34, as therein estimated. It cannot however award to him a moiety of the terraced building valued at Rupees 250, because it is evident from the testimony of his 8th and 10th witnesses that it is now under mortgage to one Kalapermalpillay, its present occupant, and there is nothing to shew that the said mortgage has been entered into collusively, with a view to the injury of the Plaintiff's interests — On the redemption of the house the Plaintiffs claim will of course, hold good —

3. As regards the esctent of land for which Plaintiff sues, the Court is of opinion that no actual sale has taken place to the 2d Defendant as is alleged by the 1st Defendant — The testimony generally goes to prove that those lands are now in the enjoyment of the latter which were formerly in the possession jointly of the said Defendant and the Plaintiff and the evidence of the 1st 3d and 4th witnesses for Plaintiff is conclusive of an attempted alienation of the portion said to have been

been sold to 2d Defendant which if effected even, cannot be admitted to be valid, the more especially as the 2d Defendant has totally failed to make any defensive allegations whatever on his own behalf — The Court therefore awards to Plaintiff half of the aggregate quantity of the lands specified in the Plaint which decision carries with it the Plaintiffs right to a moiety of the 44 Cocoanut trees for which he has sued —

4. The evidence regarding the quantity of cattle said to be in 1st Defendants' possession is insufficient to enable the Court to make an award on any distinct data — It therefore declares the Plaintiff entitled to half of those gifted by the Courts Nazir, amounting in number to 16, or to the average value thereof fixed with reference to the estimate stated in the Plaint —

5. It is quite clear from the deposition of Nasachoorchy Isyavien himself that the land mortgaged by him has not been redeemed in a fair and regular manner, if at all, that part of Plaintiffs

Plaintiff's claim is consequently admitted for in addition to the doubts on the point which N. Iyavien's Statement give rise to, the evidence generally shews that the mortgage is still in existence as alleged in the Plaint.—

6. With reference to the admission by the 1st Defendant in his deposition, the Court decrees to him a moiety of the sum which the 1st Defendant acknowledges having received on account of the Cocoanut trees belonging to Thavasy Naik and further that he shall receive the 13/4 Pagodas stated by 1st Defendant to be his due on account of the Mango tope.—

7. It is clear that a pair of Gold earrings was one of the family ornaments, and as 1st Defendant has not disproved the value affixed to it by the Plaintiff, and the correctness of which his witnesses generally corroborate the Court awards the sum claimed on account of it.—

8. The 1st Defendants deposition regarding the quantity of brazen household utensils in his possession now and some time

time ago, is clearly entitled to no credit whatever.—He varied several times in the list thereof, before the one recorded in his deposition was written, and in that even there is a gross discrepancy.—The Court therefore determines on allowing Plaintiff to recover the whole sum which the 1st Defendant acknowledges he realized by the sale of some 4 Pagodas and half the value of those attached by the Nazir.—

The 2d Defendant is relieved from all responsibility in the suit, the costs of which will be borne in full by the 1st Defendant.—

To be recovered to Plaintiff from 1st Defendant.—

The

15681 | Fort St George 28th November 1842

			Fs	a	p
The half of a tiled house and its ... ground measuring 15 feet from east to west and ... 10 feet from North to South situated in Corrycor Sunthapetta Valaler Street east of Poodoorapet west of the ... Public Road South of the house of Venatachellempillay ... and North of Poodoonady- Valued at 68 Rupees-	7	3/4			
The half Share of Nunja lands in the Village of Nasecroochy measuring 31 Cawnies and 29 13/16 Goolies Viza.					
	Cawnies	Goolies			
Ayencovelady	"	43 3/16			
Keelnuttengul	"	76 1/4			
Thalunjee	2	40 3/8			
Poolludee	3	33 1/8			
Ammayenarratee	2	14 1/16			
Kody Angee	"	15 3/8			
Vuckavady	1	81 11/16			
Varagady	2	68 5/16			
Kooloomeyady	"	17 3/4			
Pinnayady	"	89 1/4			
Munjalady	"	93 7/8			
Thanevunnaden	"	56 7/16			
Pettampullum	"	93 13/16			
Madvadya nattungal	"	21 11/16			
Sundy Sey	1	62			
Mallockoil	"	39 3/16			
	15	29 1/4 32 valued	17 3	9	6/2
Carried Over			207	9	6/2

15682. Fort St George 28th November 1842.

			Fs	a	p
Brought forward.			207	9	6/2
	C.	G.			
Yeroombaroopaul	1	78 1/8			
Mavady	"	69 15/16			
Kullangadoo	"	86			
Koosavenchey	"	11			
Pullayaroo	"	5 1/2			
Munday	"	17 9/16			
Thedul	"	51 1/2			
Thany thedul	2	18 11/16			
Koraychey	"	45 9/16			
Madavadymuttengad	"	43 1/4			
Thoppady	1	18 13/16			
Theroomalaroogee	1	25 13/16			
Vaduckoovapul	"	73 3/8			
Koolochy	"	38 13/16			
	Total	31 29 13/16			
The half share of 7 Cawnies and 86 1/16 Coolies of Punja land and its Appertenances in the said Village		3 93 7/32 valued at	2	11	3
The half share of 44 Cocoanut trees forming part of the Appertenances of the above Village	22	Trees valued at	43	"	"
The half share of 6 Bullocks 2 he buffaloes 1 Cow 2 Heifers 2 Calves and 3 she buffaloes Total 16 which are now under attachment	8	Valued at	30	"	"
The half of 15 Rupees amount of a Mortgage by Nachecroochy Syavien			7	8	"
Carried Over			290	12	9/2

Fort St George 28th November 1842

	Pd	a	p
Brought forward	290	12	9½
The half of 60, amount of a mortgage to Thavasee Naick	30	"	"
The half Rupees 12¼ amount of a mortgage to Seenoovien	6	2	"
The half of 35 Rupees value of an Earring	17	8	"
The half of the Value brass utensils	14	10	6
The half of a terraced house, to be recovered after its redemption from mortgage to Cauthapermalpillay valued at 250 Rupees	125	"	"
Costs of Pauper Plaintiff to be collected from 1st Defendant and Carried to the Credit of Government.			
Value of Stamp paper 59 — 8 — "			
Vakeels fees 27 — " — 9			
To be borne by 1st Defendant 86	8	9	
Value of Stamp paper 6 — 8 — "			
Peons batta 1 — " — "			
Total C Rupees 178	"	"	
	578	2	½

Given under my hand and the seal of the Court in the Court House at Trichinopoly this 20th March 1841.

(Signed) H. D. Phillips
Assistant Judge.

(Signed) and sealed on the 16th April 1841.

Appeal time expires on the 16th July 1841.

(Signed) H D. Phillips
Assistant Judge.

Proceedings

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

41-42

Proceedings thereon, Para 1. From the further information now submitted it is found that the decree of the Court regarding the land of the two brothers, of whom one refuses to cultivate his portion was not "that the number of Acres should be equally divided" as the Collector formerly stated, but that each individual field should be equally divided between the two parties. and a reference to the Courts decree shews that the fields are generally very small in extent, only six out of the whole number sixteen exceeding one Cawny. Under these circumstances the Board cannot conceive that there is that difference in fertility and value between the two portions which Mr. Onslow has been led to believe. The evident estrangement between the two brothers too, prevents the suspicion of collusion, which might otherwise arise and it appears a far more probable supposition than either of the foregoing, that Armoogum Pillay who, as the decree shows used every expedient to keep the whole land in his own possession to the exclusion of his brother, refuses to cultivate the portion awarded to him by

stating in reference to a letter from the Collector of Trichinopoly submitting further information on the case of some land in the district which the owners refuses to cultivate that the Board see nothing in the case to lead them to modify their former orders on the subject of holding lands under Zamany management and stating that if Armoogum Pillay persists in refusing to cultivate his portion of land the Collector should endeavour to obtain other parties to take it at the Sarcaum assessment.

Octr. 11th 1842

by the Court, from pique at that decission.

2

Whatever be the cause, the Board see nothing in this case, to lead them to modify their former orders on the subject of holding lands under Annauny management. It appears from the Statement received with the Collectors letter, that the whole of the land has usually been cultivated. If therefore Arnaogum Pillay persists in refusing to cultivate his portion, the Collector should endeavour to obtain other parties to take it at the Jurrum assessment and Cauthoo Pillay himself may probably agree so to take it. If he or any other persons do so agree, the Collector should put them in possession having taking a resignation Moochilkah from Arnaogum Pillay if he will give it, otherwise without, and should give them the Annual puttahs. If occupiers at the Jurrum rates should not be found, the land should be rented to the highest bidders, unless all the offers should be so low that the Collector should think it better to leave it waste.

Proc.s 9th July 28th 1842 P.12.

Adverting to Mr. Onslow's remarks

remarks in his report for Fusly 1250, the Board observe that landed property in Trichinopoly as well as elsewhere, is held on the condition of cultivating it when the means of cultivation are present and paying the Assessment.

Read the following letters.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

43

From A. P. Onslow Esqre Collector of Trichinopoly.

Sir,

With reference to the Extract from the Board's proceedings under date the 13th June last and your letter of the 7th Instant relative to the claims of Syed Cassim Adjutant of the body guard to an Enam, I have the honor to state that during the investigation instituted into the question, a counter claim was presented by a person who asserts his title on the plea of consanguinity and that I am therefore under the necessity of delaying my report for a few days.

Trichinopoly Collectors Cutchery 19th November 1842.

/Signed/ A. P. Onslow
Collector

Ordered

15687 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Order thereon.
43-44

Ordered to be recorded

To

J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue.

45
From A. P. Anslow Esqre, Collr. of Trichinopoly

Sir,
Para 1.

Fort St. George,

With reference to the 4th para of the Extract from the Board's Proceedings dated the 7th Instant, calling my attention to the representation made in Mr. Acting Collector Bird's letter of the 26th April that many sums were deposited in the Trichinopoly Hoozoor treasury being the proceeds of Enams under attachment I have the honor to state that with the exception of the three cases reported on in my letter to which the proceedings in question relate, there was at the date of Mr. Bird's letter, and is now only one other item of "similar" deposite - viz., a sum of Rupees 121-0-8, on account of the Enam claimed by Syed Cassim Adjutant of the Body Guard, the circumstances connected with which formed the subject of the Extract from the Board's proceedings of the 13th June last and which will be adjusted when

Forwarding a list of all deposits in the Treasury of the Trichinopoly Collectorate with reference to the 4th para of the Extract of the Board's proceedings dated 7th November 1842.

15688 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

when the question regarding the Enam is finally settled.

2 There is however an item of deposite regarding which I request the Board's orders, and which has accumulated under the following circumstances to Rupees 333-1-8. Mr. Wallace appropriated 22½ Cawnies of land in the Trichinopoly District as remuneration to the Sweepers at the Travellers Bungalows - but the pay of this description of servants having been fixed, the Maunians have been cultivated as other lands are and the proceeds obtained from them have been kept in deposite I beg to propose that the land should be incorporated with the Iyen land and that the money should be carried to the credit of Government unless the Board are disposed to recommend that the latter may be added to the sum proposed in my letter of the 27th Ultimo to be expended on public works. It would enable me to execute a small work which is very urgently required as a matter of public accommodation.

3 It may perhaps be satisfactory to the Board to see a statement of all the deposits in my treasury - and I beg to send one accordingly.

Trichinopoly, Collr. Cutcherry, 21st November 1842.

/Signed/ A. P. Anslow
Collector

List

15689 Fort St. George 28th. November 1841

No. 1

List of Deposits in the Treasury of the District of Trichinopoly on the 21st. November 1842

No. of Items	Description of Items in Deposite.	Amount				
		£	Rs.	as.	p.	
1	Proceeds of the Enam land of Syed Aumeed Edrooz in the Village of Daranellore Canand Taloók.	2	9	12	5	Reported
2	Do. of Cauder Cooly in the Village of Manegundum.		113	11	2	Under Investigation.
3	Amount due to the proprietors of Furzoollah Shaw Durgah being part of the Collections from Caundaloor and Erundrapully.		28	9	..	Reported
4	Amount Collected from the Zamindary of Codiarpolliam payable		17	9	4	6
5	Proceeds of the Zufted Istemiar Villages of Arrealore Zamundary on account of Courts decrees to be remitted to the Court.		123	13	6½	
6	Proceeds of the Enam lands of Syed Jaffer of Volicondapoorum.		121	..	8	Under Investigation.
7	Amount Collected on account of Mam Cavulgars allowance.	84	58	12	2½	Reported on
8	Surplus Funds of Davustanums Vca.	1	173	59	15 3¼	
9	Pay of Corumboo Sibbendy payable hereafter.		90	14	11½	
10	Amount of Smuggled goods — Do. — Do.		155	2	7¼	
11	Proceeds of the Enam lands allotted to the Sweepers of the Travellers Bungalows.		333	1	8	Under Report
12	Amount of Cavelgars pay in the Talooks of Codiarpolliam and Arrealore payable hereafter.		1638	5	10½	
13	Amount pay of Nat Curnums Village Curnums. Village Monigars payable hereafter.		4,362	13	½	
14	Deposits made by Sayer and Abkary Renters.		7,808	4	..	
15	Amount of fine Deposited by two Individuals as security for the future good behaviour of a person.		30	..	..	
16	Subscription Collected for constructing a Bridge acrofs the River Cavery.		1624	15	3	Reported on
17	Courts Fees deposited.		196	15	5	10
18	Proceeds of the Sale of Materials of a ruined Bangalow in Arrealore payable to the owner of it.		10	6	..	
19	Pay of Sibbendy payable hereafter.		45	1	7	
20	Part of the Amount sanctioned by Government for making Rattan boxes for the use of the Records to be disbursed hereafter for purchasing Padlocks to them.		7	1	10	
21	Unexpended portion of Money Connected with sanctioned repairs to the Hosyoor Cutcherry.		11	9	..	
	Total Company's Rupees.	1	06,584	-	5	

Trichinopoly
21st. November 1842

E. E. Fox / Signed / A. P. Anslow Collector The

15690 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Proceedings thereon

(45:46)

The Board authorize the Collector to incorporate with the Iyen Circar lands the Maiunums alienated by Mr. Wallace as noticed in the foregoing letter, and to dispose of the sum already in deposit on their account in the mode he proposes. They hope to receive the Estimates which he was formerly directed to submit in the Department of Public Works at an early date. The Board remark a discrepancy in the Amount stated to be in deposit on account of Syed Ahmed's Enam, the Collectors letter of 27th October specifying this Amount at 2912-5 and the Statement received 21st November at 2919-12-5. Mr. Anslow will be pleased to state which is the Correct sum.

2 With reference to the Statement of sums in deposit received with the letter under notice, the Board observe that if the sums set down in Nos 9 12-13 V 19 are due for periods already past, they should be disbursed without delay, as it is extremely desirable that all public Officers should receive pay with as much punctuality as possible.—

3 Mr. Anslow is requested to state what Bungalow is referred to in No. 18, and who is the owner. There seems no reason why the Amount in No. 21, and so much of that in No. 20 as is not needed for the purpose specified, should not be Carried to account immediately.—

4 It is requested that the reports on the two Cases noted as under investigation may be hastened.—

Read

7th Novr. 1842

PGB

10

15691 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Read the following letter—

(47)

From the Actg. Collr. of Tinnevelly

To, The Secretary to the Board of Revenue Fort St. George—

Sir

I have the honor to forward an Indent for Printed Copies of Bills of Exchange and letters of advice required for the use of this Office, the former on letter post and the latter on Foolscap, according to the enclosed form.

Tinnevelly 18th November 1842. } /Signed/ E. B. Thomas Actg. Collector.

No. 1

Indent for Printed forms required for the use of the Collector's Office in the Province of Tinnevelly.—

200 Copies of Bills of Exchange.

100 Do. of Letters of advice

Tinnevelly 18th November 1842. } E. E. Fo. /signed/ E. B. Thomas Actg. Collector.

To

15693 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

No. 3

Exchange for Company's Rupees " " "

Tinnevelly 184

Sir,

Having received from

the sum of Company's Rupees

to be paid at Madras to

I request you will at Sundays after sight

of this my first Bill (the second of the

same tenor and date not being paid) pay

the said sum of Company's Rupees

to

but not to order, as per advice from

Sir,

your most obedt. servant

To, The Accountant General

Fort St. George.

Collector.

Ordered

Hours of acceptance between

10 and 12 A.M. Holydays excepted.

15692 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

No. 2

To,

The Accountant General

Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to advise you of my having this day drawn the undermentioned Bill on you.

Tinnevelly 184

Collector.

Date of Bill	No. of Bill	Cash from whom received.	Bill to whom payable	Amount in Rupees	Date of acceptance in the Accountant General's Office.

Exchange

Order thereon. Ordered to be supplied accordingly.

(47-48)

Read the following letters.

To J. D. Dourdillon Esqre.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

(49)

From A. V. Conolly Esqr. Collr. of Canara — Para 1

Dated 30th Decr. 1841
10th Augt. 1842
11th Ditto

I have the honor to forward, to be laid before the Board of Revenue, translations of Original and Supplemental Plaints in Suit No. 49 of 1841 brought by one Buswa against myself and one Inambeary, and also a draft of an answer which I propose to file to the Suit.

2 The circumstances which gave rise to the present action, are detailed in the accompanying papers, and as there is nothing in the case for which in my opinion the revenue Officers are justly answerable, I would request, should the proposed answer be approved of by the Board, that the sanction of Government may be obtained for

for conducting the defence of the Suit at the public expense.

Prin. Coll. Cutcherry. Mangalore 17th November 1842 } (Signed) H. M. Blair, Principal Collector.

No. 1

Translation of the Plaint in Suit No. 49 of 1841.

Buswa, son of Ponnappoo residing in the town of Mangalore — 1

1st Defendant Mr. Anderson Sub Collector of the Zillah of Canara.
2nd Defendant Soukar Mambeary resident in the town of Mangalore.

In accordance with the decree of the Sudr. Udalut Court on Special Appeal Suit No. 9 a garden forming our proprietary estate on which the Sirkar Aogranna stands, registered as Mooly Warg in the name of Syed Mahomed Califf. and bearing an Assessment of Hoons 2-0-6 was made over to us in the year 1834. The 2nd Defendant in the first instance obtained it from us on mortgage which was cleared off and the ground again mortgaged to one Mahomed Isoof, who transferred it to the 2nd Defendant. It is clear from the several decrees in the Original Appeal, and special suits as well as the mortgage bond

bond obtained by the 2nd Defendant, that we are entitled to the whole ground, the 2nd Defendant being entitled only to the roof of the Banksal, sold by the Sirkar in 1834 and not to the ground on which it stands. The 2nd Defendant being called upon either to remove the roof of Banksal, or to pay the rent of the ground, he promised to remove it shortly, or in default to pay the rent on which I entirely relied. The 2nd Defendant having lately planted three Cocoanut trees on the Courtyard of the Banksal out of malicious motives, I preferred a Complaint on the 5th September 1840. which was inquired into by the Tahsildar, who reported the result to the 1st Defendant forwarding to him the proceedings of the Case. Notwithstanding the 1st Defendant was competent enough to order the removal of the trees planted by the 2nd Defendant who had no right to the ground in question, and it was the 1st Defendant duty to decide that the 2nd Defendant had no title to the ground of the Banksal the roof only having been sold in his Department,

he

he (the 1st Defendant) with a view to put us to trouble and avenge our success in the several decisions in the Suit, which my mother had brought against him, passed an evasive order on the 16th August stating his incompetency to order the removal of the plants without any directions about them, and the ground. The 2nd Defendant had no right to plant the trees on the ground in question and not having removed the roof, he is responsible for the rent, or profit that the ground might have yielded to us. The ground is situated in the town of Mangalore, and bounded on the west by the river on the east by the 2nd Defendants Banksal on the north by the ground belonging to Kakoo Bhy, and on the South by the watercourse. Under the foregoing circumstances I pray that the Defendant may be sent for, and examined, and that the 2nd Defendant may be caused to remove the roof of the Banksal, and the three Cocoanut trees planted on a ground of 28 Guz square in the middle of the above garden, and that Rupees 32 being

the

the ground rent at 4 Rupees per Annum together with Rupees 14, as remuneration for the trouble I have been put to, may be adjudged to be paid to me. dated 30th December 1841.

Mark of Buswa

No. 2.

Translation of a Supplemental Plaint to the suit No. 49 of 1841. dated 10th August 1842.

Plaintiff Buswa. Versus 1. Defendant F. Anderson Esqr. Sub Collector of Canara.

2nd Mambeary.

On the 9th Instant I was directed by the Court to explain more fully in a supplemental plaint all the circumstances alluded to, in my suit against Mr. Anderson and Mambeary and I now beg to do so accordingly.

The garden estate situated in the town of Mangalore and bearing an assessment of 2 Rs. — As. 6 Ps. at present Called Syed Mahomed Califf. This garden is our proprietary estate which was formerly registered in the Revenue accounts in the name of my Ancestor

Mainy

Mainy then in that of his nephew Timma, and at last in the name of my Uncle Coonda and the Sircar revenue was invariably paid by them severally from the beginning — and the estate was enjoyed by them all raising revetment on its river side, and planting fresh Cocoanut trees. After them all my Mother Ponnapoo paid the Sircar money and enjoyed the property. The entire ground of the garden was in the enjoyment of my ancestors though the Sircar Dograna stands on it since the former Government Mr. Sub Collector — Hudleston Caused the said garden &c. to be sold on plea of the Sircar Dograna having been built on the ground and Syed Mahomed Califf bought it at the public sale, and breaking down the building and — erecting anew Bankal, got the registry altered in his name. My Mother Ponnapoo sued in the Zillah Court as a pauper — against Mr. Hudleston and other for the recovery of her garden in No. 62 of 1828. This suit was decided in our favor. This decree was confirmed in Appeal and Special

Appeal

the ground rent at 4 Rupees per Annum together with Rupees 14,4a remuneration for the trouble I have been put to, may be adjudged to be paid to me. dated 30th December 1841.

Mark of Buswa

No. 2.

Translation of a Supplemental Plaint to the Suit No. 49 of 1841. dated 10th August 1842.

Plaintiff Buswa. Versus 1. Defendant F. Anderson Esqr. Sub Collector of Canara.

2nd " Mambeary.

On the 9th Instant I was directed by the Court to explain more fully in a supplemental plaint all the circumstances alluded to, in my suit against Mr. Anderson and Mambeary and I now beg to do so accordingly.

The garden estate situated in the town of Mangalore and bearing an assessment of 2-4-6 (RD Pos) at present is called Syed Mahomed Califf. This garden is our proprietary estate which was formerly registered in the Revenue accounts in the name of my Ancestor

Mainy

Mainy then in that of his nephew Timma, and at last in the name of my Uncle Coonda and the Sircar revenue was invariably paid by them severally from the beginning — and the estate was enjoyed by them all raising revetment on its river side and planting fresh Cocoanut trees. After them all my Mother Connapoo paid the Sircar money and enjoyed the property. The entire ground of the garden was in the enjoyment of my ancestors though the Sircar Ograna stands on it since the former Government Mr. Sub Collector — Hudleston Caused the said garden Vca to be sold on plea of the Sircar Ograna having been built on the ground, and Syed Mahomed Califf bought it at the public sale, and breaking down the building and — erecting anew Banksal, got the registry altered in his name. My Mother Connapoo sued in the Zillah Court as a pauper — against Mr. Hudleston and other for the recovery of her garden in No. 62 of 1828. This suit was decided in our favor. This decree was confirmed in Appeal and Special

Appeal

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Appeal the latter being N°9 on the file of the Sudr Adalut. In conformity to this decree, order was received in 1834 to put us in possession of the garden & ground in dispute. The expenses incurred by that Mahomed Califf in the erection of his Banksal, were ascertained and paid to him by Government, and the entire ground was recovered and made over to our possession. The roof was then put up to sale and purchased by the 2nd Defendant. The garden was enjoyed by us, paying the Circar Assessment it. We have redeemed the garden, which was first mortgaged to the 2nd Defendant and afterwards mortgaged the same to one Mahomed Issoop: from whom the said Second Defendant has obtained it by a Melchit or a deed of transfer All the decrees in the Original Appeal, and Special Appeal Suits as well as the deeds of transfers obtained by the 2nd Defendant, clearly shew that we are entitled to the whole ground We therefore asked the 2nd Defendant for the rent of the ground on which the

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

the building is erected, or that he would remove the building from the garden. He then said, that in course of a few days he would either remove the building or pay the ground rent.

On his word we relied.

Afterwards with the view of defrauding us of our property, he planted three Cocoanut plants in the Courtyard within the Oogrand. I then lodged a Complaint before the Tahsildar against him, on the 5th September 1840 which was examined and a report made on it to the 1st Defendant, submitting the Proceedings. The 1st Defendant possessed full authority to remove the plants which the 2nd Defendant had put on the ground in question without having any right whatever to do so, and it was moreover the business of the 1st Defendant to decide that the 2nd Defendant had no right to the ground the sale to and purchase by him having only reference to the roof - such being the case the 1st Defendant, from motives of revenge for my Mother having gained the suit brought

Fort St. George 28th. November 1842

brought against the Collector in the original Appeal and Special Appeal Suits passed an evasive decision on the 14th. August 1841 saying that he possessed no authority to remove the plants without distinctly pointing out the course to be followed with regard to the ground and to the removal of the plants, in order to put us to still greater trouble The 2nd. Defendant has no right to plant trees on the ground in question, and not having removed the roof, is answerable to make good to us the produce or rent of the ground on which it stands. Under all these circumstances as I have suffered by the acts of both the Defendants, I pray that they may be sent for, examined and ordered to restore to me the ground together with rent and Damages referred to in my original plaint the evasive decision passed by the 1st. Defendant being Cancelled.—

Marked by Buswa.

Translation

Fort St. George 28th. November 1842

No. 3

Translation of the Supplemental plaint filed by Buswa Plaintiff in Suit No. 49. of 1841 on the 11th. August 1842.

Sinstituted a suit No. 49 of 1841. against the 1st. Defendant Mr. Anderson the Sub Collector of Canara and the 2nd. Defendant Mambeary. The above Gentleman having been appointed Judge to this Zillah, I beg to file this Supplement against Mr. Blair Principal Collector of this Zillah, and pray that the latter Gentleman and the 2nd. Defendant may be sent for, and examined, and that my request in the Original Plaint may be Complied with.—

Mark of Buswa.

No. 4.

Draft of an answer proposed to be filed in the suit No. 49 of 1841. by the 1st. Supplemental Defendant the Principal Collector of Canara.

The Supplemental defendant makes the following answer to the suit No. 49 of 1841 brought against himself

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

himself and one Mambeary by
Plaintiff Buswd.

The Ooprana alluded
to in the plaint being Government
property was sold by public sale,
but without any portion of the
land on which it stood, as is
proved by the receipt of the
purchaser Mambeary, which
is for the Ooprana alone. The
2nd Defendant therefore cannot
claim any right or title to the
ground in which the building
stood, and was not justified in
planting it with trees.

The Plaintiff has
brought this action against the
supplemental Defendant because
he did not cause the re-
-moval of the Trees planted
by the 2nd Defendant and
further

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

further because he did not decide
that the 2nd Defendant had no
right to the ground in question.
With regard to the 1st point the
supplemental Defendants Department
had no authority to cause the removal
of the Trees, and if the Plaintiff had
sustained any damage by the acts
of the 2nd Defendant in planting
them, he should have sought
for redress in the Civil Court.
With regard to the 2nd point, it
does not appear that any orders
were passed by the Sub Collector
but had any decision been made
touching the right of either party
under the provisions of Re-
gulation V of 1822 the proper
course for Plaintiff would
have been to appeal against
such

15706 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

such decision - under these circumstances the Supplemental Defendant contends that the Plaintiff has no sufficient cause for bringing this suit against him, as the 2nd Defendant alone is res- =ponsible for any damage Plaintiff may have sustained from the non removal of the material of the Oograna and from the planting the trees on the ground in question. -

/signed/ H. M. Blair
Pr Collector

(49-50)

Resolution thereon. Ordered to be submitted to Government with the Board's recommenda- =tion that the sanction sought may be granted.

It is evident even from the Plaint that there is no ground of action against the Princi- =pal Collector, and the draft of answer appears to the Board to be sufficiently suitable. -

To,

15707 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

To the Secretary to the
Board of Revenue -
Fort St. George. -

(51)

From the Pr
Coll.r of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to forward copy of the Petition No 566 called for in your letter of the 3 instant - The report on the subject of this Petition will be submit- =ted as soon as the enquiry into it has been com- =pleted by the Acting Sub Collector to whom it was referred, as the Land in dispute is situated in the Talook of Ankola, now under his charge. -

Principal Collectors Cutcherry Mangalore 17th November 1842 } /signed/ H. M. Blair Pr Coll.r

No

To the Right Honorable the
Governor in Council -
Fort St George -
Madras -

The humble Petition of Pandoo rung Eshwant Dewadur inhabi- =tant of Mouzay Commungheeree near Sadashivegur under the Collectorate of Honawar of Canara Zilla now at Bel- =gaum. -

Most

15708 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

1st. That an injury anticipated to the just rights of your poor petitioner's family, situated within your Honorable Company's jurisdiction, compels your petitioner to lay his case before your Honorable Board humbly trusting, that your Honorable Board will not allow the interested views of the Subordinate Native Officers to be gratified to the great detriment of your petitioner.

2 Your poor petitioner hopes that your Honorable Board will have the kindness to peruse all the detailed paragraphs which are communicated in this petition.

3 That your petitioner's family through several generations and for a long series of years have resided in the Village of Mangay Conmundy heeree, Magnee Seriskuver Tehoak Ankalee and they have held Meerasee Landahatte Seerayutty and Bagayuttee in this Village of Kusbee Ankalee, Mangay Seerwood Mangay Conmundy heeree, Mangay Cheetacooly and Mangay Annatee in that District, Sunnud which was anciently granted by the late Rajas to your petitioner's great grand father, and grand father, and was purchased of the

15709 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

the Rate and others. That your petitioner's family paying the established annual revenue to Government upon the said lands, have hitherto held the possession of the whole of them from Generation to Generations, without the least interruptions either on the part of Government or any private individuals.

4th That your petitioner's paternal uncle was employed there on the management of the Lands in question, but he having died, your petitioner's Brother went to the place in the year 1835 to undertake the superintendence. That he, however, not having any experience in the affairs connected with the Lands in question, there being neither your petitioner's father nor any one else surviving, who is possessed of the necessary information, your petitioner being in a distant country was employed in the Satara Residency, and consequently unable to repair there, and it being utterly impossible for him to render any assistance from thence, the people there taking advantage of these difficulties, have commenced a course of annoyances of various sorts to your petitioner's brother, certain persons at that place, named Gungoo Pan Sunobheer, who is a revenue Moonshee

in

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

in the Kutcherry of the Amuldar of Talook Anikal, and whose father Wittaba Sundhee who is a Village Moonshiff of Manjay Cummugheree; both of these persons have used their official influences to gain over all the Gaunkurrees, Public officers, and neighbours Ryots of your petitioner's property to their own interests, have created new disputes which never existed before thereby putting your petitioner to considerable inconvenience and trouble for the last four years. Your petitioner has presented several petitions through the Collector of

1 Letter from the Resident at Sattara No 223 under date the 12th November 1838 with Petitions from Pandurang Ekhoonth Devakur dated 29th October 1838

2 Letters from Do Do No 748 under date the 24th February 1840 together with Do from Do dated 14th January and 22d Feb 1840

Resident at Sattara, which was noted in the margin, representing his case, but did not receive any answer, one of them, and no decision has yet been come to on the subject.

5 Your petitioner begs that your Honorable Board will peruse him for stating the case in detail.

6th That your petitioner has a field in Manjay Cummugheree called Cumbalay within the limits of which your petitioner's Grandfather irrigated by a Tulow / Sunk / lying by, and within the boundary of the

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

the said field sunk and constructed at his own expence by your petitioners ancestors in ancient times, under the reign of the late Royahs. That Tulow / Sunk / has hitherto been always used in connection with the field in question, notwithstanding this Sunkha Rau Sundheer thereof one Moonshee of Talook Anikal, and his father the Village Moonshiff of Manjay Cummugheree by name Wittaba Sunabheer, used their official influences by a desire to make themselves masters of the said Sunk, formed a league with the Manager and Peshkar of Sadashiveghur, and having by means of threats and menaces gained over all the Ryots and Gaunkurrees in the neighbourhood of the fields in question obtained Decrees from them against the Sunk aforesaid, representing it as not appertaining to the said fields, but as distinct from it & a public one, and the Manager of Sadashiveghur sent a Manager and broke open to the Amuldar of Talook Anikal, the father-in-law of the relations between the principal parties concerned / of Sunkha Rau Sundheer

15712 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

Sundheer the Moonshee of Arakola Talook Cutcherry, who having formed a coalition with all and each of the fabrication to support his view of taking possession of the Taulook aforesaid. The above mentioned four persons as having joined in a confederacy being mutually connected by the ties of relationship, and being the stronger party and public officers - your petitioner thought it breached the Collectors petition through it breached the Collectors by some means or other could have its effect - it was to be explained by the Moonshee of the Havanoor Bonjoor Cutcherry, who gives such information as suited their own purpose, and managed to procure an order as they themselves desired, without leaving any alternative they will deeply injure him by depriving the Tank with the fields, on which your petitioner's family has depended for a livelihood and your petitioner not knowing what else they will do in future.

7th That your petitioner begs to be permitted to add from himself that when his uncle was superintending the lands there a certain persons got into a dispute about the Talaw in question, about the year 1813 or 14 and

15713. Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

and the then Collector having instituted an enquiry passed a decision, allowing the Tallaw to continue as before in the possession of your petitioner's family, and said papers connected therewith which they passed were unfortunately lost along with other property of your petitioner's father, when he was employed in the Poona Residency and when that Residency was plundered and destroyed by the Peshwa during the year 1815

8th That your petitioner being thus deprived of his papers which he could adduce as evidence in the present case he humbly begs your Worship will have the goodness to refer to the records of the Collector's Office of Harnavar for a petition presented by your petitioner's uncle and the proceedings held on the occasion above alluded to.

9th That another fact of the justice of the rights of your petitioner's family to the Tallaw, is that when not an inch of land in that district has been left untaxed and being taxed or to be taxed by different enquiries made by the several Collectors Surveyors, Hunamadars & other Officers who have been employed there since the country came into the possession of the British Government,

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.-

Government it is impossible to account for the Tullaw having been left unclaimed from times immemorial up to the present period.

10th That the real state of the case being such as has just been explained, your petitioner would humbly beg to ask Sunbaraw Moonshee, Wittoba Gundabeer and the Manager of Sadasheeyghur, could send Dusthauts Mahzuri, and report totally unfounded on truth, unless they were influenced by selfish views? He would also take the liberty to submit to your Honorable Board that if native public Officers by abuse of their authority get up such fabrications to injure the poor helpless Ryots, and deceiving their Superior authorities by misrepresentations procure a decision favorable to their own views and interests and if such higher authorities when appealed to by the injured poor Ryots, answer them, that the decision once given cannot be altered, the door to justice will in fact be shut against them. Your petitioner therefore begs that your Lordship will take an impartial view of these facts. When the real nature of the case will be easily

Fort St. George 28th November 1842.-

easily understood.-

11th That Wittaba Gundabeer and his son Sunbaraw Moonshee having as it has already been observed gained over all the Ryots in the neighbourhood of your petitioners estate as well as over the Manegar, Peshkar and Tahseeldar to injure his family and to forward their own ends, have managed to prevent his just petitions being fully explained to the Collector of Howa=nur in consequence of which not having any alternative left to him, he has therefore humbly laid his case before your Honble Board and has enclosed herewith a rough sketch of the Boundaries of the Tullaw and the field called Coundal, the subject of this address he begs to state for your Lordship's in=formation, that he has been submitted to the Collector of Hanawar several petitions on the above subject as also regarding certain other pieces of land which have been encroached upon by the neighbouring people, but no decision has as yet been come to on the subject from the Collector of Hanawar.-

In

12

In conclusion your petitioner must earnestly and respectfully solicit, that your Lordship will take this humble representation into your serious consideration and duly inspect the sketch and even if a decision founded on the misrepresentations of the interested parties, has already been given, that out of kindness and justice to your petitioner your Honorable Board will institute an enquiry as regards the Pallow in question, in the possession of your petitioner's family from ancient times appertaining to the field Cannoday, and permit it to be here stored to them and that to prevent future disputes & unjust persecutions to your petitioner, your Worships will allow to give him a Patta for the same lest Worships feel sir your petitioner's family have reason to curse their own destiny under your Lordships administration by being unjustly deprived of their long enjoyed estate & thus reduced to destitution

13

That when Mr. J. Huthingtan Assistant Collector of Honawar visited Sudasheveghur in the Seasheveer Mahall for the Jamabundy Settlements for the year

year 1839 the petitioner's Brother presented a petition to him, when he was pleased to proceed in person to the spot to see how the Tank and field stood, and then to direct your petitioner's Brother to produce whatever documentary proofs he possessed, that the Original Putta and other papers of the time of your petitioner's father shewing the possession of the Tank & ca in question, were presented to that Gentleman, that he then personally informed your petitioner's Brother, that when the Jamabundy business was finished he should be furnished with an order, that he then proceed into another Valooka, that before, however, he could give the necessary orders, he was succeeded in his office by another Gentleman, that taking advantage of this change, and seeing that the former Gentleman who had personally examined the Tank and the papers and who intended to have issued the necessary orders in your petitioner's favour, had vacated the office of Collector of Honawar, Sambooraw Moodi shee the person above alluded to buoyed up with the impression that whatever information

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

information he now prays may not be acted upon, was declaring in public that the papers adduced by your petitioner's Brother were forgeries, that the Moonsheé's father Wittoba Gundabeer Village Moonshy of Mougary commandeered was boldly averring that he would spare no means to take your petitioner's life and finally that the Moonshee had by his official influence rendered nugatory almost every effort on the part of your petitioner to obtain justice.

That your petitioner's cause having assumed the position above described threatening to deprive him of his lawful property, he must submissively request, that your Lordships will be pleased to refer to his former petitions above adverted to under date the 29th October 1838 and 17th January 1840 by which your Lordships will perceive that a greater part of his papers has been lost and that is finally by shewing in whose possession and how long the Sanad has been, your petitioner humbly solicits that your Lordships in Council will have the goodness to call for

Fort St. George 28th November 1842

for and examine into the papers which were presented to Mr Lushington, to institute an enquiry regarding the character, conduct and rank of Vittoba Gundabeer the Moonshee's father and lastly to pass your necessary orders at once in such manner as may be just allowing your petitioner to hold uninterrupted possession of his rightful property, and leaving him no ground to give any further trouble to your Lordships in Council.

That if your Honorable Board shall refuse to afford redress to your petitioner against the unjust course now adopted by his powerful adversaries to deprive him of his lawful property he will have no other left to lay his case at before, and powerless as he is, he will no longer be able to stand out against the machinations of his opponents. He therefore most humbly craves, that your Honorable Board will have the goodness to take the case into favorable consideration & after duly examining it pass your decision as it may be just,

and

15720 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

and this free him from the Contributions
due to so long standing as that his family
may always retain a grateful remembrance
of your Lordship's

That your Petitioner
begs to bring to the notice of the Right
Honble the Governor in Council, that
during his father's absence to the distant towns
Iry, Poona and Sattara for a long period, the
above mentioned Tank was allowed to remain
by Village animals & weeds, but as it
is not sufficient supply of water to cultivate
the appertaining field, whereby your Petitioner
sustains a great loss. Your Petitioner's in-
tention is to repair the Tank during the
the Durkhast, but perhaps be prevented
him, therefore he respectfully begs your Lordship's
Board will graciously be pleased to issue an
order to your petitioner's Brother named Ramu
Ekbant Devekur residing at Mangy-
connay here near Sedashegur to repair the
Tank, he will do it accordingly as soon as the
decision is obtained from your Lordship in
Council on the subject.

And your Petitioner as in duty
bound shall ever pray.

Signed/ Ramchunder Ramchunder
Devekur

Belgaum
25th May 1842

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pr. Coll.

(Here enter Sketch)

Ordered

15721 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

57-58
Order thereon,

Ordered to be recorded.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqr.
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

53
From the Pr. Coll. of Canara

Sir,

I have the honor to forward, to be laid before the Board, copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector Mr. Lushington, dated the 16th Instant, reporting the death of Veerasawmy, the 2d Dresser attached to the Balaghaut Sub Collector's Cutcherry, as also of the temporary appointment of the late Native Doctor Mr. J. de Rozario; and request the Board will take the necessary measures for providing an efficient Subordinate Medical Officer, as well as for obtaining sanction for the payment to Mr. Rozario of the allowance attached to the Office, as requested in Mr. Lushington's letter.

†Rs 35 per month

Princ. Coll. Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
21st November 1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pr. Collector.

No. 1.

To The Principal Collector of
Mangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform
you for Communication to the proper
Department

15720 Fort St. George 28th November 1842

and thus free him from the Contributions
he has to pay pending so that he may
ever return a grateful remembrance
of your Lordships.

10th That your Petitioner
begs to bring to the notice of the Right
Honble the Governor in Council that
during his father's absence to a distant town
Erry, Poona and Sattara for a long period, the
above mentioned Tank was filled with water
by Village animals & wild beasts & the water
is not sufficient & unfit for use, & to
the ascertaining fact whereby your Petitioner
sustaining a great loss. Your Petitioner in-
tention is to rebuild the Tank but the years
the Durkhast is not perhaps be favorable
him, therefore he respectfully begs your Honble
Board will graciously be pleased to issue an
order to your petitioner's Brother named Ananta
Ekkanta Devekar who is residing at Shirgay
Sannys here near Sadashigur to repair the
Tank, he will do it according to as to when the
decision is obtained from your Lordships in
Council on the subject.

And your Petitioner as in duty
bound shall ever pray.

Belgaum
25th May 1842

(Signed) Ramchandra Ekkanta
Devekar

(True Copy)
(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pt. Coll.

(Here enter Sketch)

Ordered

Comd Ed R

15721 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

57-52 Ordered to be recorded.

Order thereon,

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George.

53 From the Pt. Coll. of Canara } Sir,

I have the honor to forward, to be laid before the Board, Copy of a letter from the Acting Sub Collector Mr. Cushington, dated the 18th Instant, reporting the death of Veerasawmy, the 2d dresser attached to the Balaghaut Sub Collector's Cutcherry, as also of the temporary appointment of the late Native Doctor Mr. J. de Rozario; and request the Board will take the necessary measures for providing an efficient Subordinate Medical Officer, as well as for obtaining sanction for the payment to Mr. Rozario of the allowance attached to the Office, as requested in Mr. Cushington's letter.

+Rs 35 per month

Prin. Coll. Cutcherry,
Mangalore,
21st November 1842.

(Signed) H. M. Blair
Pt. Collector.

No. 1.

To The Principal Collector of
Mangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform
you for Communication to the proper
Department

15722 Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

Department that Veerasawmy the 2d. Dresser attached to my Cutcherry died on the 5th. instant. As it is necessary that some Subordinate Medical Officer should accompany my Cutcherry when travelling in the Balaghaut, and some of my Establishment are now sick, I have further to state that I have directed Mr. I. de Rozario the Native Doctor formerly attached to this Cutcherry, and who continued to discharge the duties of the Office for 6 Months after his discharge from the Service, and to receive the salary until the arrival of Veeraswamy, to take charge of the medicines, and to afford Medical aid to my Establishment, until arrangements have been made for an efficient subordinate Medical Officer being sent in the place of the late Veeraswamy, and I have to request your permission for the payment to Rozario of the allowance attached to the Office so long as he may perform the duties.

From February to July 1841.

Sub Collr's Cutcherry,
Ajubul Canara,
15th. November 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Lushington
A. Sub Collector.

Ordered

15723 Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

Order thereon, (53-54)

Ordered that the following letter be submitted to Government with the Board's request that the place of the deceased Dresser may be supplied as early as convenient.

Under the circumstances set forth by the Acting Sub Collector, the Board recommend that sanction may be granted for paying the salary of the Office to Native Doctor Rozario, from the day when he was placed in charge of the Department by Mr. Lushington till the arrival of the new Dresser.

Read again letter from the Collector of Malabar dated 4th. and entered in Consultation 14th. Instant.

(55) Proceedings thereon.

Resolved that the foregoing letter with the previous correspondence on the subject be submitted to Government with reference to the Board's letter dated 11th. January 1841. It will be observed that the Net revenue derived from the sale of Cardamoms for Fusly 1250 exceeds that realized for Fusly 1249 by Rupees 3,823 — 14 — 8.

From Malabar 5th. Sept. 1840 inbont. 24th. Sept.
Board's Proceedings 24th. Sept. do.
From Malabar 17th. Feb. inbont. 11th. March 1841
From do. 23d. Feb. inbont. do.
To do. 11th. March do.

	Rs.	As.	Ps.
Fusly 1250	6,814	15	5
" 1249	2,990	—	9
	3,823	14	8

The

15724 Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

The charges incurred on account of this branch of revenue in Fusly 1249 and Fusly 1250 amounting in the aggregate to Rupees 19272 3 3 are recommended for special sanction.

Fusly 1249	10381	4	11
" 1250	8,820	13	9
Charges on acct. of Fusly 1248 disbursed in Fusly 1249	70	"	7
	19272	3	3

(56)

Proceedings

Informing the Collector of Malabar that the Board cannot concur with him in thinking the Fiscal of Cochin guilty of certain charges preferred against him, and requesting him to put up the Abkarry rents by auction on a day fixed and previously made public, in the presence of himself or one of his European subordinates, and that the present practice be discontinued.

The Fiscal was accused of two distinct acts of fraud; 1st. keeping back bidders when the abkarry farm for Fusly 1252 was sold, and so causing a loss to Government of 900 Rupees from the five persons who took the Rent of Fusly 1249. The Collector considers that the Native evidence contains "much inconsistency and contradiction," but appears to convict the accused on both charges; in the first Chiefly on the evidence of Mr. Poney Guezelar, and in the second of Mr. Boomgardt. Being so convicted he recommends as a punishment, that the Fiscal should be removed to an inferior situation.

2. The Board have gone over the

15725 Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

the Proceedings attentively; they agree with Mr. Conolly as to the Character of Native evidence, but they cannot attach the same weight as he does to that of the other two individuals above named: the testimony of both is necessarily exposed to suspicion from the more than questionable nature of the rest of the evidence; and it is further to be observed regarding Mr. Guezelar that he is a party concerned, and in no very creditable transaction; and regarding Mr. Boomgardt, that he was one of the renters of Fusly 1249, and that there is nothing to show that the entry in the account which he produced (admitting it to be genuine) was ever made known to Mr. Aranjo. The Board cannot concur with the Collector in thinking the Fiscal guilty of the Charges preferred against him.

3. Had their view of the merits of the case been different, the Board could not have convicted the accused from the irregularity of the Proceedings, as it appears that he was absent during the examination of the Witnesses, and only

Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

only heard their Statements read over. Mr. Conolly is requested to guard against a repetition of this mode of Conducting an examination, as it will infallibly prevent a conviction in any Case brought before the Board, in which it may have occurred. The Board would also point out to the Collector how very large a proportion of the evidence before Mr. Silver consists of the merest hearsay, which ought never to have been admitted into the Record even some of the questions are put for the purpose of eliciting, not what the witness knows, but what he has been told or heard reported. The mode which Mr. Conolly has chosen for bringing this to the notice of the Board is considered to be irregular and inconvenient. In any future Case of the same nature he will be pleased to complete the investigation and pass sentence in the manner prescribed by Regulations 9 of 1822, and 7 of 1828; and any proposition regarding it that he may wish to bring forward should be founded on such Proceedings and sentence.

4. Lastly, the Board observe that

Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

that these charges, and the trouble and anxiety to all parties thence arising, originated in the irregular method in use, of renting the Abkarry revenue of Cochin. In every other district the Board believe that such rents are openly put to auction on a day fixed and previously made public, in presence of the Collector himself or one of his European Subordinates. The Board request that the same plan may be adopted in Malabar, and that the present practice of putting up the Rents before a native officer only, and of keeping the biddings open for many days, and even weeks, may be discontinued.

Ordered that Extract of these Proceedings be transmitted for the information and guidance of the Collector of Malabar; and that the original English account received with his letter recorded above, be returned to him.

Read the following Statement-

Statement

15728

Fort S.t George

(57) Statement Shewing separately the Collections on account Demand, Collection, and Balance, under the several Heads of Extra Revenue, from 12.th July to 31.st October 1842, Compared with the average Collections of the same period during the preceding Fusslies.—

28.th November 1842.

	Fuslies	Salt		Piece Goods		Sayer Gruff goods		Betel, Tobacco Ganjah and Bang		Total		Abkarry		Sundry small farms and Licenses		Stamp Papers and Cudjans		Grand Total	
		Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P	Rs.	A P
From 12.th July to 31.st October	1249	29,676	8 "	38,269	13 9	57,932	9 5	4,107	8 3	1,497	8 6	3,55,259	10 7						
	1250	26,079	6 9	35,725	2 10	52,038	6 "	75,089	2 10	1,71,291	10 "	1,48,686	7 10	4,107	8 3	1,497	8 6	3,50,924	8 11
	1251	47,664	13 6	31,881	12 5	67,059	11 5	75,713	9 1	1,63,477	1 11	1,55,348	10 5	4,039	1 10	1,980	4 "	3,50,924	8 11
		1,03,420	12 3	1,05,876	13 "	1,77,030	10 10	74,912	5 6	1,70,853	13 4	1,46,497	5 9	3,842	8 9	2,372	12 6	3,71,231	5 10
Average Collections		34,473	9 5	35,292	4 4	59,010	3 7	2,22,751	5 5	5,05,622	9 3	4,50,532	8 "	11,989	2 10	5,850	9 "	10,77,459	4 4
Actual Collections from 12.th July to 31.st October 1842.	1252	80,631	9 7	28,087	3 10	65,507	9 "	74,238	5 10	1,68,540	13 9	1,50,177	8 "	3,996	6 3	1,950	3 "	3,59,138	8 5
								74,214	2 4	1,74,808	15 2	1,68,875	12 1	4,045	2 5	2,078	3 6	4,30,439	10 9

Madras, ---------
23.d November, 1842 ---

E. E. J.r / Signed / A Robertson
Collector.

Ordered

15729 Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

Order thereon.

57-58

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the following letter.

To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre.

Secretary to the

Board of Revenue.

59

From J. Orr Esqre. Acct. General, J. C. Morris Esqre. Civil Auditor, A. J. Cherry Esqre. Sub Treasurer, Committee for passing Contingent Charges

Sir,

In returning the accompanying Indent on the Commissary General, We have the honor to inform you that we are not authorized to pass the supply of Candles indented for by you, as the order of Government in the Public Department No. 1295, dated 10th. October 1834, declares that no charge will be admitted for that article after the 31st. of that Month, and that Lamp Oil ought to be used instead.

Fort St. George 19th. November 1842.

(Signed) John Orr,
Accountant General
"
J. C. Morris
Civil Auditor
"
A. J. Cherry
Sub Treasurer
Committee for passing Contingent Charges

A

Indent on the Commissary General for the following delivery of articles required for the use of the Board of Revenue for the Month of November 1842.

Wax Candles ——— Vis. One
Lamblack for the use of stamping ——— lb. One

Contents received

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 10th. November 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Ordered

15730 Fort St. George 28th. November 1842.

57-60

Order thereon.

Ordered to be recorded.

Read the undermentioned Registers.

61 From the Collector of Ganjam. — Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of October last.

62 From the Collector of Vizagapatam. — Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of October last.

63 From the Collector of Masulipatam. — Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of October last.

64 From the Pt. Collector of Nellore. — Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of July last.

65 From the Pt. Collector of Nellore. — Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of August last.

66 From the Pt. Collector of Nellore. — Register of Petitions bearing the Board's endorsements presented to him in the Month of september last.

61-67

Order on the abovementioned Six Registers. — Ordered to be recorded.

Resolved that the following letters be despatched.

To the Collr. in the Zillah of Chengleput

68

Sir,

Referring to my letter of the 21st. Instant, I am directed by the Board of Revenue to forward a further Petition from the same Parties.

No. 1807 of 1842.

Revenue Board Office, Fort St. George, 28th. November 1842.

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

To

To,

The Acting Dist. Collector of

Tanjore

69

To the Acting Pt. Coll.r of Tanjore

Sir,

I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of the Estimate of Extra Charges submitted by you for fusly 1252, and to observe that this document is not endorsed according to the instructions conveyed in the Circular letter from this Office dated 12th September last, which the Board request may be strictly attended to in future.

Revenue Board Office,
Fort St. George,
28th November 1842

(Signed) J. D. Bourdillon
Secretary.

Received the undermentioned miscellaneous letters and Statements.

70 From the Collector of N. D. of Arcot. Statement of smuggled goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of October last.

71 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement of goods recommended for confiscation for the Month of October last.

70-72 Order on the 2 abovementioned Statements. Ordered that the confiscation and sale of the smuggled goods enumerated in the Statements, be Authorized.

From the Collector of South Arcot. Abstract of Demand

73 Collection and Balance for the Month of

of October last.

74 From the Collector of Ganjam. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

75 From the Pt. Collector of Madura. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

76 From the Collector of Madras. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

77 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

78 From the Pt. Collector of Salem. Abstract of Demand Collection and Balance for the Month of October last.

79 From the Collector of South Arcot. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and salt for the Month of October last.

80 From the Collector of Ganjam. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and salt for the Month of October last.

From the Pt. Collector of Madura. Statement shewing the

81 average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and salt for the Month of October last.

From

15733 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

82 From the Collector of Madras. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and salt for the Month October last.

83 From the Collector of Rajahmundry. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and salt for the Month of October last.

84 From the Collector of Salem. Statement shewing the average Bazar wholesale prices of Grain and salt for the Month of October last.

85 From the Collector of South Arcot. Return of persons charged with offences under Regulation IX of 1822, for the Month of July last.

86 From the Collector of South Arcot. Report of persons suspended or dismissed under Section VII, Regulation VI of 1831 in the Month of July last.

From the Collector of South Arcot. Intimating that no

87 Returns are forwarded for August and September 1842, under Regulation IX of 1822 and VI of 1831.

From

15734 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.

From the 88 Collector of Chinglepat. Additional Indent for stationery and Sundries required for the year 1843.

88-89 Order thereon. Ordered to be passed and returned to the Military Board.

From the 90 Collector of Ganjam. Informing that certain Returns &c. for the Month of October last are not forwarded.

From the 91 Pt. Collector of Nellore. Statement shewing the Quantity of Salt ready for exportation on account of Chittagong Indent for 1841/42.

From the 92 Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that the Return of persons released from confinement is not sent, as none of the particulars it is to contain have occurred in October last.

93 From the Collector of Trichinopoly. Stating that no cases of suspension or removal have occurred in the Month of October last.

From the Actg. 94 Collector of Tinnevelly. Stating that there are no persons charged or remaining in Confinement under Regulation

Fort St George 28th November 1842

Cawny of Malgoozary land. That both these mortgages, however, have been redeemed. That the Mango tope and its Poonja lands are in the joint occupation of 1st Defendant and Santha=patha Veerapillay in right of a mortgage ~ thereon held jointly. Consequently that a ~ deduction of one half must be made from what Plaintiff claims, as also of certain ~ Cows, Calves, and brazen Articles, some ~ Rupees, Pooja land and 7 Cawnies 8 1 3/16 Goolies of land sold to the 2nd Defendant. That the property remaining, to which 1st Defendant ~ and Plaintiff are entitled, consists of 31 ~ Cawnies and 25 5/16 Goolies of Poonja land and 30 Cocoanut trees. That a debt of Rupees 50 ~ is jointly due to to certain individuals ~ and that the above stated deductions being made the Plaintiff is entitled only to the ~ share of a younger son.—

The Plaintiff replies contending that the sale of 7 and odd Cawnies of land ~ to the 2d Defendant is fictitious and frau=dulently alleged to injure him. That the ~ mortgage of the Cocoanut trees has not ~ been redeemed, in support of which assert=tion Plaintiff states circumstances connect=ed with the disposal of a suit in the Court.

Fort St George 28th November 1842

Court of a District Moonsiff which he argues shew that Tavasy naik is in col=lusion with 1st Defendant. That the redemp=tion of his 1 Cawny and odd land by ~ Iyavien is also falsely asserted. That the ~ lands sued for are still in 1st Defend=ants enjoyment. That the disposal of the brazen utensils is false.—

No Rejoinder was filed.—

The suit as regards the 2d Defendant was tried ecparte.—

Twelve Witnesses were examined for Plaintiff. Three of the 1st Defendant ~ and one in common for both parties.—

The Plaintiff filed one document.—

Decree

1. In consequence of the difficulty ~ experienced in correctly ascertaining from the 1st Defendants answer the extent of the property admitted by him to be in his ~ posession and liable to a Division, the ~ Court required his attendance and took ~ from him a deposition on the point, which renders the matter clear.—

2. On a consideration of this and the evidence adduced by the Plaintiff, the Court declares

15735 Fort St. George 28th November 1842.—

Regulation IX of 1822 during the Month of October last.—

95

From the Actg. Collector of Tinnevelly. Stating that no persons were suspended or dismissed under Section VII, Regu-lation VI of 1831 during the Month of October last.—

" J. Wm.

" J. P. Drury

" A. Maclean

" H. Montgomery