

London
Hypocrites

1. *Phacelia*
 2. *Phacelia*

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.							DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF IT.					NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.										REMARKS.	
No. of case or entire holding under one grant in this Village.	General Class to which the Inam belongs.	EXTENT.			Survey number & name of field or fields comprised in the grant.	Survey Assessment.	Revised, or present Assessment.	Description of Inam.	TENURE.			Written Instruments in support of the claim.	Name entered in the Register prepared according to Reg. XXX of 1892, or in the permanent settlement accounts, and relationship to predecessors.	Name and Age.		Particulars regarding Predecessors.		Date of Possession.	Remarks.				
		Local Measure.	English Measure.	Whether free of tax, &c., or liable to quit rent, &c.					By whom granted and in what year.	Name entered in the Register prepared according to Reg. XXX of 1892, or in the permanent settlement accounts, and relationship to predecessors.	Name and Age.			Particulars regarding Predecessors.	Date of Possession.								
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		

[illegible]

The ancient account of Sack 1722 and the Synodical account of Sack 1760, when the Chokoma-pa's estate was taken and so forth, is a Khatguttipur Village found in the Japanese records.

The Japanese account of Sack 1755 shows the Village is Khatguttipur.

There are certain marks found by the Collector in 1824-1830 to the School-land of Sakase Takyu wherein the Village is designated as a Khatguttipur Village.

A return of Khatguttipur Village was submitted to the Board in 1855 in the report of May 1855 No. 10. It is not known here whether the Village was or was not included in the list.

The Committee has no objection
to the Loan being settled.

Register no. 7
Sheet no. 20

James H. Collection
Gravestone Catalogue
May 22nd 1876

159
The *Sam Commisarius*
Madras

With reference to his official memorandum No. 22 dated 7 May 1894.

See Collectors Letter to Govt
of June 1875 at p. 2. The party who
objected to the appointment being too high
never appeared before the Collector
repeated notices have been sent
confirmed on combined receipt

349.

மென்மலர் - மதுரை