Register of Pattam and Dhiva Inams in the Village of Punálkolam No 51 in the Srivalliputtur Taluq of the Tinnevelly District

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக திருத்தம் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் 10 வடம் 82
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் 10 வடம் 82
தாசில்தார் உத்தியோகஸ்தர்
கையொப்பம்.

Register No. 26
Sheet No. 37

Punalkolam
(1)

Srivilli Puttur.

Nalthiyan Kovil
Nandavanam Inam
in Jeroy
of
Rangan
and
22 adjacent
ones and
Kanjikuts
ones &
Rangan
Total 23 Nos

Its Dhiva Inam as well as that Dhiva Inamon
the Village of Malai is held for the service of
maintaining a Nandavanam & supplying daily
flowers &c to Rayadas of Nalthiyanaman
Its Jama out of Peroy, as well as that
of Pattam is Register of 1814 & Reg of 1824, it
is entered as Nandavanam Inam in Jeroy
& Dhiva Rangan.

Ekambrapa Pandaram Pattadi & Vijikabhiyan
Dhiyapidan of Punálkolam claimed this Inam in 1820
on & ground of this having been granted for the maintenance
of a Nandavanam, situated, & in lieu of this Inam & withheld &
Jaya out of the Value of Inam & Rangan for 1837 & 1843.
Rangan complained & for this in 1843. The Commissioner enquired
into & in 1844. The Order for this was dated 16th Sept 1858 finally
decided & Inam to be continued to Rangan on & ground of this having been held
by them. Jama Peroy & Inam having been all along been rendered by them &
ordered & Jayamahpa to Rangan out of & Value of & Inam Estate. Amounting to
151-12- held in deposit. & Dispute & Village out & Peroy, subject to be
deposited in Office.

Rangan died in & by Dec 1862 leaving behind him his daughter
one Rangan No. one adopted by & deceased son Pitchan.
Pitchan & one Rangan adopted his brothers son Rangan about 15 yrs ago.
Pitchan huddled him to Jesus.

Pittarassa Pandal & one of Ekambrapa Pandaram Pattadi who presented a
Petn & & Pattadam in Oct 1863 claiming this old claim to Inam & Landlords
submitted a report & & Order on & 30 April 1864 bringing to notice & dent
of Rangan & & correspondence the Asst Judge & Tahsildar & Landlords report
does not favour the claim of Jeroy Inam.

It appears from & correspondence & Pittarassa Pandal's claim is entirely unfounded
& & & Case was finally disposed of by & Date Order in Oct 1858 Pittarassa Pandal
is & Vijikabhiyan Dhiyapidan of Punálkolam & in Pattadi was of Srivilli Puttur &
the Pandaram & Holder of & Inam should under Summit in his grandson & & & Inam should be
continued to him. His claim is deserving of little or no consideration. He appeals no proof
whatever in support of his assertion & & Inam was granted for the purpose of his Nandavanam
Rangan the present holder Rangan maintains a large Nandavanam & still supplies flowers
& & Rayadas of Nalthiyanaman in connection & this grant Jeroy & & & conditions of & Grant
are satisfied.

It is recommended the case be struck off as
by Rangan for & service of maintaining a Nandavanam & supplying
flowers & & Rayadas of Nalthiyanaman.

Jodi 2-9-7

Confirmed
as Recommended
Sd
17-12-9

28/12/65

Complete

Dhiviroy Pattam
Dhiva

20-7-1 From the Average Gross Income Jeroy Services 2-9-7 for maintaining a Nandavanam & supplying flowers for the daily Pagoda of Nalthiyanaman at Srivilli puttur. Once redeemed.

Jama Cash of Jeroy & Pattam Rs 1814 Register of Jama 1824

Evidence entries 2-10-1-

Jeroy Inam 1824
Nalthiyan Kovil
Nandavanam
Inam
Rangan
Jeroy
as 1824

Jeroy Inam 1824
Nalthiyan Kovil
Nandavanam Inam
Rangan
Jeroy
as 1824

Rangan
Jeroy
Pattam
Rangan
Jeroy
For supplying flowers to the Pagoda

Rangan Landlord & Tahsildar & Kariaman in June 1863, directing him & remit & Value of & Inam & Tahsildar between & be held in deposit, pending & receipt of & following orders in regard & & & Continuance of & Inam & & Annual Jama assessment paid & & present holder Rangan, are held in deposit & & Tahsildar Inam, but it is not held by & Dispute Pittarassa Pandal who renewed his old claim & Inam.

It is recommended & & Proceedings of & Inam is deposit be confirmed & & Rangan Pattam be continued

Jeroy

Jama
Jeroy
Pattam
Rangan
Jeroy