Sheet No. 29

Register of Ive Inams in the Village of Mauranadapurom No 14 in the Sattur Taluq Tinnevelly District.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
	[illegible]aday am	Dy Ive	" $\frac{53}{64}$	2-44		2-10-9	For the support of Vinayakerkovil at Maurnadapurom the temple Puja is in good order	Tax free	Pt a	Not known	No Sannad [illegible]	In F 1217 Vinayaker kovil Inam in charge of Ambalavanapandarom Dy Ive Inam " $\frac{28}{64}$ ch		In F 1254 Vinayaker kovil Inam in charge of Ambalavana Pandarom Dy Ive Inam " $\frac{58}{64}$ ch		Mauranadapurom Venayakerkovil Deity Pujari Sankerlingom Pandarom 76 at Nanmani		—		[illegible] 2-10-9 [illegible]	[illegible] 23/2/68
102	Devadayam	Dy Ive	2 $\frac{32}{64}$	9-10		10-1-7	For the support of Urani at Mauranadapurom the tank is in good condition	Tax free	Pt a	Not known	No Sannad [illegible]	In F 1217 Urani Inam in charge of Thannayee Ramanaiker Dy Ive Inam 2-$\frac{12}{64}$ $\frac{1}{128}$		In F 1254 Urani Inam in charge of Lingama Naiker Dy Ive Inam 2 $\frac{32}{64}$ ch		Mauranadapurom Urani Trustee Tautunaiken 68 Ramasami Naiken 30 at Mauranadapurom				[illegible] 10-1-7 [illegible]	[illegible]

Sheet No. 50

6.65

Register of Ive Inams in the Village of Muditali Nagalapurom No 16 in the Sattur Taluq Tinnevelly District.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
[illegible]1	Devadayam	Dy Ive	1 $\frac{5}{64}$	3-92		4-6-"	For the support of Vinayakerkovil at Muditali-Nagalapurom the temple is in good order	Tax free	Pt a	Not known	No Sannad [illegible]	In F 1217 Devadayam Venayakerkovil Inam in charge of Ambalavana Pandarom Dy Ive Inam " $\frac{34}{64}$ ch.		In F 1254 Venayaker kovil Inam in charge of Sanker lingapandaram Dy Ive Inam 1 chain		Muditali-Nagalapurom Venayakerkovil Deity Pujari Subbramanien Pandarom 13 at Nenmani		[illegible]		[illegible] 4-6-"	[illegible]
2	Devadayam	Dy Ive	1 $\frac{5}{64}$	3-92		4-6-2	For the support of Hambapermalkovil at Nagalapurom the temple is in good order	Tax free	Pt a	Not known	No Sannad [illegible]	In F 1217 Hambapermalkovil Inam in charge of Samiti Taden Dy Ive Inam " $\frac{21}{64}$ ch.		In F 1254 Hambapermal kovil Inam in charge of Alagiri Taden Dy Ive Inam 1-$\frac{5}{64}$ ch		Nagalapurom Hambapermal kovil Deity Pujari Alagiri Taden 50 at Sattur				[illegible] 4-6-2	[illegible] 23/2/68

Iru Iue Avertez No 59 Cached

Registir of Iue Inams in the Village of Muditali Nagalapurom No 16 in the Satter Taluq Tinnevelly District.

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
No 3 Devadayam / Personal	Dy Iue	2	53/64	10-24	11-6-11	For the support of Chattrom at Sevaparem Kundrom It is in good order	Tax free	Pt	Not known	No sannad It appears in all the accts since F1217 as Dewl	In F 1217 Sevaparem Kundrom Chatterom in charge of Sitaramien Dy Iue Inam 1-32/64 ch		In F 1254 Sevaparem Kundrom Chatterom in charge of Sevasubbramania Moodr Dy Iue Inam 3-15/64 ch	Sevaprangundum Chatterom Trustee Aukielkannami Auni 50 at Streviguntom			There is a doubtful case as regards the character of the Inam. In F 1217 the Inam was [illegible] as Brahmadayam in the name of Sevagundrapen Chattram Setaramayer. The acct of F 1181 [illegible] that the Inam was a Grjamania Kandigi Inam or the Inam of the chief person in the village. In F 1249 & F 1254 the Inam was recorded as Darmadayam Chattram Inam. The acct of F 1254 [illegible] [illegible] in charge of the Inam [illegible] & but he was no way connected with the Setaramayer		Whole for the support of the Chuttry Average Iue 11-6-11 Rs 2 [illegible] 5 [illegible]	Considering the fact that the Inam was entered in the name of ~~Chattram~~ Setarayer as Chattram [illegible] [illegible] & it [illegible] [illegible] actually enjoyed for the benefit of the Chattram, I would confirm the Inam as one belonging to the Chattram for the Commencement [illegible] 5/7/66
No 4 Personal	Dy Iue	2	52/64	10-24	11-5-9	For subsistence as charity	Tax free	Ry	Not known	No sannad	In F 1217 not found Do		In F 1254 Gjamanakundiji Samuvien Inam Dy Iue Inam 3-35/64 ch	Muditalinagalapurom 1 Viraragavien 30, 2 Subbarayer 36, 3 Gyanapathey, 4 Subbarayer, 5 Raumien 50 son at Muditalinagalapurom	Grand son	1 Wife 2 sons 1 brother 1 brother 1 Wife 3 sons 1 brother 1 Wife 1 son 2 brothers 1 Wife none		Confd 5/7/66	Whole Average Iue 11-5-9 Rs 1-8-0	
Personal	Dy Iue	"	45/64	2-55	2-12-11	For subsistence as charity	Tax free	Ry	Not known	No sannad Do	In F 1217 Elgi Inam Dy Iue "12/64 ch		In F 1254 Elgi Inam Pal Karuppen Dy Iue Inam "32/64 ch	Muditalinagalapurom 1 Vitelingom 60, 2 Sayili 45 at Muditalinagalapurom	brother's grand son Do	1 Wife 1 son 1 daughter in law none		Confd 5/7/66	Whole Average Iue 2-12-11 Rs [illegible] 6	

Marginal notes (row No 3): & the survey [illegible] the [illegible] of Chengudrapen Reg No 22 an Inam was recorded in the accts in the name of Swigundrapen Chattram Shetty & his heirs [illegible]. Inam to this day in the present case also the [illegible] have been the case [illegible] & it is rendered doubtful by the word Grjamania Kandigi Inam. But the [illegible] Setaramayer having been [illegible] the Chattram people [illegible] to get the Inam entered in the accts in the name of the Chattry. This is wrong. [illegible]

In F 1217 Brahmadayam Swigundrapen Chattram Setarayer 1 32/64 ch. In F 1214 Jamabandi Brahmadayam Grjamania Kandigi Swigundrapen Chattram Setarayer

Marginal note (row No 4): Recd to Inam as [illegible] in the old accts as Personal Inam & in consideration of the long [illegible] I confirm it as [illegible] [illegible]

Passed 6.65 [illegible]

1-4   1-4

Registir of Iue Inams in the Village of Muttulapurom No 12 in the Satter Taluq Tinnevelly District.

Iru Iue Avertez No 51

There is an Iyen village. The Iue was deducted of [illegible] F 1250 from the Cirar [illegible]. In F 1261 a new grant was made to Bavaneswara temple & the Iue Inam value was transferred to the new tank. Hence the Iue Inam of the temple [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] and one half [illegible] Iue amount are drawn from the [illegible] of the [illegible] grant.

The accts available are the Jamabandi of F 1217 & the Jamabandi of F 1256.

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Devadayam	Dy Iue	"	3½	1-96	1-15-4	For the support of Vailuganda Venoyakan Kovil at Muttulapurom no buildings Puja performed	Tax free	Pt	Not known	No sannad It appears in all the accts since F 1217 as Inam	In F 1217 Devadayam Venoyakan Kovil Inam in charge of Savasi Pandarom Dy Iue Inam "26/64 ch		In F 1254 Vailuganda Venoyaken Kovil Inam in charge of Sankar[illegible] Pandarom Dy Iue Inam 1-45/64 ch	Muttulapurom Vailuganda Venoyakenkovil Deity Pujari 1 Sangaralingompandarom 50, 2 Ravmeni pandaram 50, 3 Davnde pandarom 20 15 Muttulapuram			The Iru Inams of this village were deducted by Govt when the grant was made to Bavaneswara Kovil at Muttulapuram. The Iue was deducted from F 1250. Govt [illegible] when making the grant recorded the Iru [illegible]		Tole Confd Average Iue value 1-15-4 TD in the name of the Deity [illegible]	Confd to Pagoda of Bavaneswar [illegible] upon [illegible] 17/4/66
2 Devadayam	Dy Iue	"	3½	1-96	1-15-4	For the support of Aiunar Kovil at Muttulapurom no buildings Puja performed	Tax free	Pt	Not known	No sannad Do	In F 1217 Aiunarkovil Inam in charge of Karuppa Velan Dy Iue Inam "26/64 ch		In F 1254 Aiunarkovil Inam in charge of Simba Velan Dy Iue Inam 1-45/64 ch	Muttulapurom Aiunarkovil Deity Pujari Chinna [illegible] Velan			In [illegible] of these Iue Inams also & deducted to the Iue Inam [illegible] from the Bavaneswara Darmakatha in charge & in transferring to [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] & [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]		Tole Confd Average Iue value 1-15-4 TD in the name of the Deity Do	Confd as above 17/4/66

Marginal notes (row 2): prior to valuing the [illegible] grant made of the temple [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] of Muttulapuram the Pujari