

Ref. no 39

Sheet No 145

[illegible]

Passerina
Vanitane No 5

Register of names in the Village of Vandiam in the Panchalamkurichi Estate of the Yethiapuram Zeminad, Taluk Ottapidaram
20 May 1876
Dr. Sinnerly

The ~~much~~ available to
James McKim Acad of Fine-

Chol Denadaya 7

Species	Measure	Date	Location	Notes
Karist	$\frac{24}{64}$ 1.37	2-13-10	Fort St. John	Not known
Nippal	$\frac{24}{64}$ 1.38	2-23	Unayakar	Shap head of 4/12/12
Portlet	$\frac{16}{64}$.91	1-1-0	at	will be Unayakar
			Davidson	Arch of Bonil
Latat	1 3.02	6-1-1	The temple	Imus mankanda
			is in good	an Pandaram
Net	0.5-2 .40	2-4-2	order	Net 0.5-2
Green	4.04	8-5-3		of many km

Vandanam
Vinayakar
Deity
Buzari
Arumga Madam
47
as
Elkhu Madam

Envy
 to whole
 share
 21/4/65
 rather

What left
 for free
 When to name of
 to meet
 21/4/65
 rather

Confirmed
 5-52
 28/10/65
 380
 F. D. L.
 381

Chas Denady 37

[illegible]

Vandanaam
Narasala
Udayar
Pyamar
Dity
Puzari
Sammarsalai
2 Karuppatt
Vilavar
S. S. Sundaram

Every to
 whole
 man
 plans
 same
 record
 A. B. M. W.

21/4/65

Blue Luff
 max free
 I.D. in the name of
 to D. A. G.

Confirmed
 J. R.
 28/10/65
 J. L. B. W.
 20 362

Phos Depadayan 23

Harvest	16 84	.91	1-14-6 For the	God	Not	Known	No Census	In the
Planted	84	.15	1-1-0	support	for			Learn each
Chemical	16 84	.91	1-10-2					J-F 1212
				Mari				Mari Munman
Total	40 84	2.27	3-9-8	Munman				Raul Munman
				at				Camminadun
				Vandamann				Jy 40
				Be temple				is in good
				place				

Nandaraman

Mari
Amman
Goddess
purari
Anneya Pandarai
47
Setha Nandaram

Every
 to whole
 Linn
 1
 M/G
 1865
 28/10/65
 20

[illegible][illegible]

This appeal appears to have some connection with Cases 6, 9, 10, & 14 of the above -
The appellants in the above Petitions simply stated that the Indian lands
in their enjoyment were granted before 1712, that in consequence of those
lands having been registered in 1712 in the names of others & that the
they were not confirmed to them - they prayed that as at any rate the lands
have been in their enjoyment for 50 years, & Court may be given to them
for them -

Kunja

George
 Charns
 19

9 50%

164
9 16/41

$$-\frac{16}{64}$$

difference equals what they now enjoy
as particularized in Margin —
If the particulars of the fields which
composed the old Inams, and those
which ~~were~~ composed the subsequent
Inams in the Village were recorded
in any old account, & if such an
account shewed that the fields in

The enjoyment of appellants were identical with those in the Haysor Account of July 12/12 the claim may be admitted ^{without the innumerable other documents to which the original list of the Enamors} But there are no such accounts - I can not go by a simple guess of an interested party and expect the settlement already made - especially in a private estate abounding with subsequent success - There are besides ^{other persons} Eight persons who are in the enjoyment of Dry & wet Success which are believed to be as old as what the Detentioners enjoy, but which were ~~omitted~~ not entered in the Haysor Account of July 12/12, whose cases are similar - The Estate enjoyed by them is given in margin - None of these ^{range} ^{Princ} persons have claimed - I see no difference between their cases & the case of the Appellants -

OTTAPE BARRAM. DE

In the Etchepuram Estate, it is a well known fact reported by the
S. & Co. that the Enamlands recorded in S. 12/12 (Huzur account) were in
the enjoyment of more or less land than the area therein entered - & you
have with the Polgar's Content confirmed to the CD Enamlands the full
extent entered in the above Huzur accounts - If we are to report the content
~~made~~ made on the above principle, there will be no need to a claim by holders
of subsequent Enams & the entire Etchepuram of the Estate will have to
be cancelled & made de novo with no proper ground -

The lands which they ^{claim} as the Appellants to enjoy much rather
 be an old Indian, or a Subargumuck. We can not treat it as an old
 Indian unless they show how they acquired from the Indians recorded in
 the Buyer account of 1712. This they do not, and farther say that they
 did not acquire from any of them — but that what they enjoy ought to have
 been registered in their names in 1712. We can not understand an argument
 of things 54 years past they did not object to the Public entry, they can no more
 make any objection to ^{it}.

The appellants say that the Hargreaves account of £ 12 12 is wrong & should therefore be rejected - They call for aid the Cummins & Polyan account of £ 12 12 in which the any of Hargreaves quantity of Enamels is shown - The Marginal Entries show the Enamel area & number of Enamels & in the 3 accounts -

	Paid	Balance	Forward
As per Buyer amount of Feb 12/12	646-12	4-17-57	55
do of Carried do	12	5-2-17	55
As per Buyer do	16	8-12-17	58-16

The same report & the Dr. Colver's remarks on one of the cases go to show that the lands ^{which} appellants enjoy must have been a subject grant by Polgar either of the old Grants or out of his own lands - In either case the claim of the appellants does not stand good & they have failed to ~~show~~ do not say that the Polgar made a subject grant to them out of the old Grants - It is however true that the appellants have very long enjoyment of the lands in their possession which is more than 50 years - But as in a private Estate, most of the subject Grants may be as old, & as appellants have failed to prove that they are parts of the old Grants entered on the Hager account of 1712, their claim is rejected - This Succession however will not affect their descent from long enjoyment of the lands they enjoy - It was well understood accordingly.

F. R. M. D.

granted to Guanani
Dikehit in this appeal
with cases 6 to 11 & 13 to 17 -
1-2-70

Y. Krishna Rao
De. Rao - V. S. P.
20/12/67 -

R. W. Smith

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Choz Personal																						
	Harisal	1 1/2	5-0		10-5-0	For	Subsistence	11-5-10	as	charity												
	Viphal	2	7-28		11-5-10	as	charity															
	Pottal	1 1/2	3-08		4-8-2																	
	Total	4 3/4	18-38		26-7-0																	
	Net	5-14-08	1-14		8-5-8																	
	Grand Total	17-29			32-12-0																	
Choz Personal																						
	Harisal	2	7-28		15-4-4	For	Subsistence	11-5-10	as	charity												
	Viphal	2	7-28		11-5-10	as	charity															
	Pottal	4 1/2	2-29		2-9-0																	
	Chowal	3 1/2	2-05		1-8-11																	
	Total	5 1/2	18-88		31-11-7																	
	Net	2-4-12	3-59		2-4-3																	
	Grand Total	12-45			50-18-10																	
Choz Personal																						
	Harisal	2 1/2	10-0		21-15-11	For	Subsistence	17-8-0	as	charity												
	Viphal	3 1/2	11-15		17-8-0	as	charity															
	Pottal	2 1/2	7-18		9-4-7																	
	Total	8 1/2	29-12		47-10-8																	
Choz Personal																						
	Harisal	5 1/2	3-18		8-10-4	For	Subsistence	5-11-5	as	charity												
	Viphal	1	3-64		5-11-5	as	charity															
	Pottal	3 1/2	1-82		2-2-0																	
	Total	2 1/2	8-64		14-8-4																	
Choz Personal																						
	Harisal	1	3-64		7-10-2	For	Subsistence	5-11-5	as	charity												
	Viphal	1	3-64		5-11-5	as	charity															
	Pottal	1 1/2	3-49		4-8-2																	
	Total	3 1/2	11-15		17-13-9																	
Choz Personal																						
	Harisal	2 1/2	7-18		18-3-7	For	Subsistence	14-4-0	as	charity												
	Viphal	2 1/2	9-10		14-4-0	as	charity															
	Pottal	2 1/2	7-18		7-4-7																	
	Chowal	3 1/2	1-60		1-1-10																	
	Total	7 1/2	26-09		40-14-6																	

Sheet No 147

Handwritten notes in the left margin of the first section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the middle of the first section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the right margin of the first section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the left margin of the second section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the middle of the second section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the right margin of the second section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the left margin of the third section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the middle of the third section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

Handwritten notes in the right margin of the third section, including names like 'Venkatesw', 'Srinivasa', and 'Gethiapuram'.

St. James

31/7/62

224-53 359-2
 8-63 29-10
 233-16 208 2-10

[illegible]

The best available is
 to Susan Williams Aug 9 1892

To be

28/10/65
J. H. 5