Sheet No. 101

Eertime Inam
Village Register
No 22

This is a Rattupatta village -
The neighbouring villages are Rebanum Ditto Jardam
Tamarekulam 10 - 8 - 0 - 187 - 2 - 8 - 9
7 - 8 - 0 Kar

The wet is irrigated by Pichote well, & 2 rain fed tanks.
The accounts available are the Ayakat Chitta of F.1212, & the Returns ~~made~~ rendered to the Board in 1815 & 1821.
No other Acts or Reports are found
The assessment is to be fixed on the average rate prevailing in the neighbouring Sircar village of Tamarakulam which almost surrounds ~~...~~ which has 19 - 10 - 7 for Kar
& 11 - 14 - 8 for Pasanam
to Inam village.

Zerakolam
Mungaimee Taluk
Tinnevelly District

491 - 7 - 7
184 - 8 - 9

9) 294 - 14 - 10
36 - 13 - 10
184 - 8 - 9
221 - 6 - 7

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

Note.
The Inamdar alleges that he allows Chatertigam on Dry lands & Paleyams & shows the deduction from the Revenue of the village. He does not establish any tenure of Chatertayam to which such waste may have been party to. No deduction is made from the Rattugatta. Besides the present Inspector appears to me to be ... to absorb the Chatertayam also. I need not therefore make any allowance for that tenure. Perhaps this an allowance ... indulgence offered by himself or other owners to owners for the purpose of cultivation.

Next the Inamdar states his average Revenue at Rs 490
...
of 23 Cham
has been found
...
with the Revenue Department
...

Manakkal
Inamakaran
Goddess
Inuthe
Manakkal
Subbramania
Pillay
60

Manakkal Inamakaran
Goddess
& Trustee
Subbramania
Pillay
Jointly

Ayakat Value
Rs a p
479 - 7 - 7
184 - 8 - 9

This is a Rattugatta village - of an old date - as appears from the Ayakat of F.1212 & from the Returns of 1815 & 1821 - But the object of the grant & the tenure of the Inam are not known - The accounts raise a doubt as to the point. The Survey Acct calls the village "Bagavati Zerakolam", the word Bagavati meaning Goddess. In the Register of 1821 it is distinctly described that the Inam was granted for daily services in the Pagoda of Goddess Inmaxiamma but it does not state the locality of the Goddess. On the other hand the Register of 1815 shows in the column of the grantee (which occupies Temple ~~...~~ is possessed) the name of Subbramania Pillay. Some people from Cape Comorin have stated that the village was granted for the use of Bagavati Kannia Kumari Goddess at Cape Comorin, but they were not able to state any further, & referred for further information to the Managers & Papers of the temple. The Inamdar has no document to produce. He simply declares his enjoyment of it as a personal Inam. No further information can be elicited from him. He however shows in his statement that he pays annually Rs 20 to Manakkal Vely Chathiram of Inmaxiamma, & Rs 36 to a Vinayak temple, & states he does not know under what agreement the temples have been thus endowed by his ancestors. Letter ... written to the Travancore authorities to say if they have any claims to the Inam on behalf of the Bagavati of Cape Comorin.

27/12/65
Tinnevelly

The Manager of the Pagoda of Minatchiam should be asked if he has any document to produce -

26/8/65

The Manager of the Pagoda disowns all interest in the village for his Pagoda - The original grant being lost there are no means of throwing light on the original.

No person has appeared for Bagavati Goddess to claim the Inam. But as the entries in the Accts as to particulars the Acct of 1821 record the village as Inmaxiamma's Inam I ... hesitate to confirm the Inam as personal. The Inamdar expends only 56 Rs for the ... 184 - 8 - 9 ... the remainder for himself ... which is nearly ...

Order sent to Tahsildar
18 - 7 - 66

T. Dec 234

~~XX~~ on the original tenure — It however appears that from the time the Ratgettage [illegible] was made, the Grantee's family has continued to defray of its own [illegible] and under its own Management, the Expenses of certain Festivals for the two Pagodas amounting annually to upwards of Rs 160 — The Petr states that he has rendered to Circar Jammabunda accounts for certain years in which the actual Expenditure so incurred is exhibited — Vide Tah Arzee 5th Novr 1866 at No 23 —

The Collr will be asked to state whether ~~the~~ during the Government Management of the Devastanams in his district, the Revenues from this Village are credited to the Pagodas in question in the account for them & whether this is one of the Villages retained under Government ~~Village~~ Management and if so ~~[illegible]~~ to whom and on what condition it was made over when the Govt disconnected itself with the Management of the Pagoda lands —

T.R.
12/11/67

Letter to Collr
23/11/67 No 1036.

Entered in [illegible]

W. [illegible]

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly to the Officiating Inam Commissioner dated Tinnevelly 4th Septr 1868 No 2118. —

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the Shrotriem village of Tarakolam referred to in your letter No 1036 dated 23rd November last is a personal Inam, and was never retained under Government management as a Devastanam Endowment.

— —

Under the above circumstances, the village can be confirmed as personal — It should be ascertained ~~in [illegible]~~ whether Subbramhany Pillai has any issue or not ~~[illegible]~~ —

M. Ventsaliah
Assn
22/10/68

W. [illegible]

Order to Tasildar
6-11-68

The Inamdar being
Confirmed as
1/8 Rs 221 Qt Rt Comm[illegible]
[illegible]
22.3

No. 22 Register Village of Terakolam.

Simply declares his enjoyment of it as a personal Inam. No further information can be elicited from him. He, however, shows in his statement, that he pays annually Rs 20 to Minakkarai Deity Chokkalinga Minakshi Amma, and Rs 36 to a Vinayaka temple, and states he does not know under what requirement the temples were thus endowed by his ancestors. Letter will be written to the Travancore Authorities to say if they have any claims to the Inam on behalf of the Bagavathi of Cape Comorin.

No person has appeared for Bagavati Goddess to claim the inam. But as the entries in the accounts, particularly the account of 1821 record the village of as Minaxiamma's Inam. I would hesitate to confirm the Inam as personal. The Inamdar expends only 56 Rupees for the Deities and pays a Jodi 184 - 8 - 9 and appropriates the remainder for himself which is nearly 239 rupees. In reference to the doubt in regard to the object of the Inam, which has not been cleared by Circar accounts nor by the Inamdar, I would charge $\frac{1}{4}$ Quit rent on the difference between the ayakal Beriz and the Jodi plus the Devastanam expenditure and confirm the Inam jointly in the names of Minaxiamman as per account of 1824 and Trustee Subramania Pillai. To be confirmed Titledeed in the name of Minakkarai Minaxiamman Goddess and Trustee Subramania Pillai jointly.

Ayakat value	Rupees	479 - 7 - 7
Jodi		184 - 8 - 9
Pagoda Cost		56 - " - "
Total		240 - 8 - 9

* *

Read the following letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly to the officiating Inam Commissioner dated Tinnevelly 4th September 1868. No. 2118.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the Shrotriem village of Tarakulam referred to in your letter No 1036 dated 23rd November last is a personal Inam. and was never *

* * Remaining appropriated by the Inamdar for himself Rs 288 - 14 - 10.

Quit rent 1/4 - 60 Rupees.

Jodi and Quit rent 245 Rupees.

(Signed) A. Narayana Rao.
Deputy Collector
Inam Commissioner.

Note:-

The Inamdar alleges that he allows Chattarbagam on dry land and palmyrahs and shows the deduction from the Beriz of the village. He does not establish any tenure of Chaturbagam which Government was at any time party to. No deduction is made from the Kattugutta. Besides, the present proposed assessments must be presumed to absorb the Chaturbagam also. I need not therefore make any allowance for that tenure. Perhaps, it is an indulgence offered by himself or other owners to owners for the progress of cultivation. Next, the Inamdar states his average Beriz at Rupees 490.

Signed. A. Narayana Rao
Deputy Collector I.C.

Appeal Petition No. 126 of 1866 from Subramania Pille asking for Title Deed.

Endorsed that it will be given in due course:-

(Signed) W. T. Blair
Offg. Inam Commissioner
21st August 1866.

never retained under Government management as a Devastanam endowment.

Under the above circumstances, the village can be confirmed as personal. It should be ascertained whether Subramania Pillai has any issue or not.

(Sd)/R. Chentsal Rao
Ag Spl Asst. I.C.

(Sd) W. T. Blair
Offg. I.C.

Order to Tahsildar
6 - 11 - 68.

The claimant has heirs
Confirmed on $\frac{1}{8}$th Rs 221 Quit rent
(Sd) J.D. Leman
Ag. I.C.

Argee 5th November 1866. No. 23

The Collector will be asked to state whether during the Government management of the Devastanams in his district, the revenues from this village are credited to the pagodas in question in the account for them and whether this is one of the villages retained under Government management, and if so, to whom and on what condition it was made over when the Government disconnected itself with the management of the Pagoda lands.

(Signed) T. Krishtna Rao
Deputy Collector
and Assistant to the
Inam Commissioner
12. 11. 67.

T.D. No 234
(Countersigned) W. T. Blair
Offg. Inam Commr.

(True Extract).

Gurubal ?

[illegible] 8/7/03. [illegible]

For Collector

Taluk of Nanguneri District of Tinnevelly

*. The assessment is to be fixed on the average rate prevailing in the neighbouring Ryot village of Tamarekulam, which almost surrounds the Inam village which is Rs 19-10-7 for kar, and 18-14-3 for Pishanam Kota

(Signed) W. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

Manakkarai Minaasi Amman Goddess Trustee Manakkare Subramania Pillai 60	1	This is a Kattugutta village of an old date as appears from the account of Fasli 1212 and from the Returns of 1815 and 1821. But the object of the grant and the tenure of the Inam are not known. The accounts raise a doubt as to the point. The Survey account calls the village "Bagavati Terakolam" the word Bagavati meaning goddess. In the Register of 1821 it is distinctly described that the Inam was granted for daily service in the Pagoda of goddess Minasiamma, but it does not state the locality of the goddess. On the other hand, the Register of 1815 shows in the Column of the grantee which comprises the Temples &c in possession, the name of Subramania Pillai. Some people from Cape Comorin have stated that the Village was granted for the use of Bagavati Kannia Kumari Goddess at Cape Comorin; but they were not able to state any further, and referred me for further information to the managers and Pujaries of the temple. The Inamdar has no documents to produce. He *. simply	The manager of the Pagoda of Minaxi amman should be asked if he has any documents to produce (Sd) W. T. Blair Officiating Inam Commissioner 26th August 1865 Order sent to Tahsildar 15-7-66. The manager of the pagoda disowns all interest in the village for his Pagoda. The original grant being lost there are no means of throwing light on the original tenure. It however appears that from the time the Kattugutta grant was made, the grantee's family has continued to defray of its own accord and under its own management, the expenses of certain festivals for the two pagodas amounting annually to upwards of Rs 160. The petitioner states that he has rendered to circar Jamabandi accounts for certain years in which the actual expenditure so incurred is exhibited. Vide Tahsildar's arzee

Register of Inams in the Village of (No) in the Taluk in the District of Tinnevelly.

Class, Extent and Value of Inam.							Description, Tenure, and Documents in support of the Inam.					Name and Relationship of Original Grantee and of subsequent and present Holders:—Length of Possession.								Remarks.	
			Extent.					Tenure.							Particulars regarding Present Owner. If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c. of each sharer should be entered in these columns.						
No. of case or entire holding under one grant in this Village.	General Class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey number & name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, Wet, or Garden.	Local Measure. Even where the local measure is in Acres, Goontas, & Annas, it is to be entered in this column.	English measure.	Survey Assessment. Where no survey has been made, and no Assessment fixed by Government the cess paid by the ryot to the Inamdar, or the average Assessment of similar Government land should be entered in col. 7.	Revised, or present Assessment.	Description of Inam. If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, building &c. whether they are efficiently kept up.	Whether free of tax, i. e. sarva, Dumbala, &c. or liable to quit rent, i. e. Jodi, Kattubadi, Bediga, &c. Amount of latter to be entered	Hereditary, unconditional, for life only or for 2 or more lives.	By whom granted and in what year.	Written Instruments in support of the claim. Original sanad, all Miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant; whether the grant is produced, or lost, &c. and the general specification of terms of all documents produced, should be given.	Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the Mr. ... Reg. ... 180.. or permanent settlement accounts; relationship of persons entered in original ...	Name entered in the Survey, or in any subsequent accounts; and relationship to Predecessors.	Name and Age.	Place of Residence.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent Registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year.	An abstract of the Government order in every case of lapse already reported must be entered in this column. Deputy Collector's opinion and recommendation.	Decision of the Inam Commissioner or his Assistants.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

No. 2[illegible]

This is a Kattugutta village. The neighbouring village is to the north Jamarekulam

{ Pishanam Dry Gardens
{ 10 - 8 - .. .. - 13 - 7. 2 - 8 - 9.
{ 7 - 8 - .. Kar

The wet is irrigated by Pickota wells and 2 rainfed tanks. The accounts available are the ayakat Chugu of F. 1212 and the Returns rendered to the Board in 1815 & 1821. No other accounts or kyfeats are found - x

Case no. 1 | Personal | Total Ayakat as per Survey

Wet				
Total ayakat	40.10.1½	69.59		
Deduct poramboke	28.6.4¾	45.88		
Net remaining	12.3.4¾	19.71	18.14.3	218.9.3 Wet beriz
		The original ... in this ... 23.71		
Dry chains				
Ayakat	$103\frac{1}{16}$	375.15		
Deduct poramboke	$10\frac{17}{64}$	37.37		
Remaining	$92\frac{51}{64}$	337.78	at 14 as per chain	82-8-.. Dry ayakat
Total ayakat		444.74		
Deduct poramboke		83.25		
Remaining	-	361.49		301-1-3

←21 ... 1 kote

For subsistence as charity | Jodi 184-8-9 | Hereditary | Not known | No Sanad

Total ayakat Beriz

The karnam alleges that on his own azmaish in 1857 an excess of 23 chains has been found within the limits.

The excess should be ascertained in the Revenue Departments.

In the ayakat Chugu of F. 1212 Bagavati Jerakulam Kattugutta village
Wet ayakat
.. - .. - ..
40 - 10 - 1½
Poramboke
28 - 6 - 4¾
12 - 3 - 4¾
Kar kattle
5 - 19 - 4
Pishanam
2 - 11 - 7½
waste
.. - 1 - ¼
except
.. - 20 - 5½
Garden
2 - 14 - 3¾
Dry ayakat
$103\frac{4}{64}$
Poramboke
$10\frac{17}{64}$
$92\frac{51}{64}$
Particulars
Pottal 5 chains
Cheval $87\frac{51}{64}$
$92\frac{51}{64}$ Total

In F. 1212 Sarva Inam List Jerakkolam Kattuguttaga village manakkare Subramania Pillai

In F. 1212 Kattugutta register sent to Board Jerakulam in possession of Manakarai Subramania Pillai

In the Kattugutta Statement sent to Board in 1821 Jerakulam grant Payda of Manakarai Annamalai ... made in ... 969 For daily expense Jodi 184-8-9

In the Kattugutta Statement sent to Board 1815 Jerekulam grant Subramania Pillai ... Jodi 52-3-4

P.F.C.

Ayakat Beriz

With transfers of dry into wet and garden and an alleged excess of dry the Inamdar realizes a beriz of Rs 490 - Adding to the ayakat beriz the average value of trees and topes viz 178 - 6 - 4.

The total ayakat value is Rs 479-7-7.

Sheet No. 101