Register No 49 Accounts of the Kottamangalam Rev. Pergs Nenad Division Trichinopoly Taluq Trichinopoly District

This was Kadaramkam Village in which a cowle of 1801 is not

The accounts for 1819 & 18 are only the Kistly previous to 1818 are lost for this Village. But the Validity of the Inams brought in the Regr to be doubted because this Village which had been an Inam Village had been resumed before 1818 as per the Revenue remarks in Mr Travers' Rec & the Inams in question were not then restored.

This Village is included in the 6th Circuit for the First Lands. The rates last proposed by the Settlement Officer are

Inam	Rate	Rate
	R a	R a
1	5 —	2 12
2	4 —	2 4
3	3 —	1 12
4	3 —	1 4
5	2 —	— 14
6	2 —	— 12
7	2 —	— 10
8	2 —	— 8
9	2 —	— 6

The Excess in Dyn Lands is

Rayno	Surveyors	Excess
355	345	10

Description	Fasli	Name	Extent	Remarks
Excess Nilcome Cash	891 11th 76	1	For the Support of Rottamaly Agyanar Pagoda at Kottamangalam Sic is Rock Right ch	Inam Sirman Not known Dame

Rottamaly Agyanar Pagoda — Sn Fasli 1188 Account lost Sn Fasli 1818 Rottimaly Agyanar Pagoda — Kottamangalam Agyanar Pagoda — Mutteliarupha Pandaram

Not Entered in Fasli 1819 Account not in existence

There is no Excess in this case. To be confirmed for ever. J. D. Selang asth Agyanar Pagoda Excess

J. R. 27/5/65

Description	Fasli	Name	Extent	Remarks
Excess Nilcome Cash	891 11	2 8	For the Support of Bhagavati ammen Pagoda at Kottamangalam Sic is rock Right ch	W2 B2 B2 W2

Bhagavati ammen Pagoda — Sn Fasli 1188 Account lost — Kottamangalam Bhagavati ammen Pagoda — Mutteliarupha Pandaram

Not Entered in Fasli 1819 Account lost

To be confirmed in one Kd as follows

	R a	Rate R a
Assn	1 95	
Excess as per 10 Percent allowance	10	
	1 05	2 7 Inam —
Remd Excess 5 Per cent fully charged for	05	— 1 —
Total	1 11	2 8 — 1

J. R. 27/5/65

Register No. 49

Sheet No. 67

நகலெடுக்க மாதிரி
செப்பமிடுதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்டது
மாதம் Feb வருடம் 1983
செப்பமிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் Feb வருடம் 1983
மூலப்பதி உரிமையாளரின் கையொப்பம்