Register of Inams in the Village of Mòtupálayam No 11 in the Perambalur Taluk of the Trichinopoly District

Register No 81
Sheet No 162

Sanctioned Settlement dated
by 2nd Group 5th Taram Rs as P
8 Taram 1—10—0 } Peram
" —2—0 }
W.I. 4th Group 4th Taram 1—0—0
5th Taram 3—4—0 } Peram

This Village was formerly attached to the jágir of Ramanathi
Vide Remark on the general Register of Maruvattu

Shiva Rao

Government Alienated Area Acres 1260 - 60
Survey Area 1413 - 15
Excess 152 - 55
Cultivable " - 12
Kudi " - 10
Allowable " - 22

The D.S. Roll treats the inams as valid from local Enquiry the reason of Non-entry in older accounts being that this was a Village of a Lascar created in only F 1226— This is true —
F.K. 12/8/65

Applt. Inms 21/6/65 No 1259

Renders Kaiyá. Movie a Mutt.
The enquiry on Rule
M bet Jn
12/6/65

Confirmed.
F.K. 12/8/65

---

1 Dewadayam Dry
5th Taram 163 — 63
Wet Cheiy
4th Taram 313 — 09
15-2 — 72
— 7-0
Excess — 02
Allowable

— 6 — Fourth Jan Perma Support of the Pagoda of Vinayakar at Mòtupálayam
— 6 —
— 12 —
Wet Kist 1/5

Daval Jama Acct F 1231 1233 F 1265
Survey Acct F 1273

Jn F 1231 1233 Jn F 1265 1273
Vinayakar Inam — Vinayakar Inam

Mòtupálayam Vinayakar Inam Wet Kist
Ayyáven Randeram Pr

---

2 Dewadayam Dry
5th Taram 312 — 96-4 1-76
1-28
Excess — 48
Allowable at 22 Rupees — 27
Net Chargeable basis — 21 — Charged 5 annas

3 — Fourth Jan Perma Support of the Pagoda of Kamba Nayanar at Mòtupálayam
Wet Kist 1/5

Daval Jama Acct F 1231 1233 F 1265
Survey Acct F 1273

Jn F 1231 1233 Jn F 1265 1273
Kamba Perumal Inam — Kamba Nayanar Inam

Mòtupálayam
~~Kaliyaperumal~~ Inam
~~Kottaikaran~~
Kamba Nayanar Kovil Inam Wet
Venkata Jala Ladan Pr

Renders Kaiya as Inam Retainer

Jn Book Accd F 1231 & 1273 Inam is entered in name of Kamba Nayanar.
In Acct of Fasly F 1273 Inam is entered as Kaliyaperumal Inam. Here is no Kaliyaperumal at all — this Kelli. Here is a Kamba Nayanar Pagoda. The Inam has all along been appropriated. In the support of Pagoda of Kamba Nayanar. The enquiry on Rule
Net exemp. 21. 0—5—0
12/6/65

Confirmed — 2R 4s (4). F.K. 12/8/65
No 1260

---

2 Dewadayam Dry
5th Taram 163 — 62
Wet Cheiy
4th Taram 343 — 05
50 — 68
— 66
Excess — 02
Allowable

— 5 — Fourth Jan Perma Support of the Pagoda of Mari Amman at Mòtupálayam
— 3 —
— 8 —
Wet Kist 1/5

Daval Jama Acct F 1231 1233 F 1265
Survey Acct F 1273

Jn F 1231 1233 Jn F 1265 1273
Mari Amman Inam — Mari Amman Inam

Mòtupálayam Mari Amman Inam Wet Kist
Ayyáven Randeram Pr

Renders Kaiya. Movie a Mutt. Confirmed.
The enquiry on Rule
M bet Jn
12/6/65

No 1261 F.K. 12/8/65

Dewadayam Dry
5th Taram 269 — 1-17
Wet Cheiy
4th Taram 343 — 09
87-51 — 26
— 1-15
Excess — 11
Allowable

— 11 — Fourth Jan Perma Support of the Pagoda of Pedáriyár at Mòtupálayam
— 6 —
2-4 —
Wet Kist 1/5

Daval Jama Acct F 1231 1233 F 1265
Survey Acct F 1273

Jn F 1231 1233 Jn F 1265 1273
Pedari Pedari Iyár Inam — Iyár Inam

Mòtupálayam Pedáriyár Inam Wet Kist
Sellaya Gurukkal Pr

Renders Kaiya. Movie a Mutt. Confirmed.
The enquiry on Rule
M bet Jn
7/6/65

No 1262 F.K. 12/8/65

---

5 Personal Dry
5th Taram 306 — 4-16
Wet Cheiy
5th Taram 372 — 1-44
3-85-15 5-60
— 5-07
Excess — 53
Allowable

Not settled Fasl 31/10/65

— 6-12-0 Fourth Jan Perma Personal benefit of the Colony
— 4-11-0
11-7-0

Daval Jama Acct F 1231 1233 F 1265
Survey Acct F 1272

Jn F 1231 1233 Jn F 1265 1273
Lanmad Inam — Lanmad Inam
Ayál Ayál Inam
Mohadin Mohadin Inam

Ranjangadi Jeevarjun.
Abdul Rahiman
Rahib. grandson. 35.

The Ináyhanam of Ranjangadi consisting of 23 Villages which had been held on Jaghir tenure by ancestors relations of Mohd Malya. resumed by Govt. in F 1226. Mòtupálayam was one of the Villages of the Jaghir. Here Jaghir Village boundaries were surveyed in F 1226. & 1228. The accounts of Jaghir Inams for F 1226 are not forthcoming. In F 1231, Proper accounts were prepared in respect of Inams of F 1226.
In the accounts of F 1231, the Inam is entered as Lanmad Inam in the name of Ayál Inam Mohadin.

Confirmed as per 1203 recommended on 18 2 R — The sisters of the Deceased Mojanbai, Khadeeja & their other brother's son Mahomed Jamal being his heirs under the hereditary Inam tenure their names will also be entered

---

தாலுகா ஆபீஸ்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஆகஸ்ட் மாதம் வருடம் 83.
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
அக்டோபர் மாதம் வருடம் 83.
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Porto Palayam

6 Peramel

1st Taram 246 — 1-5-1 — 2-7-0 — Panchan Lan
2nd Taram 362 — 0-0-9 — 0-5-0 — Ramina
1-1-9 — 1-6-0 — 2-12-0 — Taram
2-1-3
Exemp 0-2-6
Allowable

Daval Jama
Acct 2 Fasl 1231
1233
& 1265
Survey Acct
Fasl 1273

Lu Fasl 1231 1232 — Panchan Gl Luam Venkata La Ayyan
Lu Fasl 1265 & 1273 — Panchan Gl Luam

Jévayist

Venkatéswaravayyan Notwithstg The suspension ord
57 Inspection Rs 18
Lun 1 Rs.

Rule of 63 Agreed

Compared
Fasl No 264 — J.R 12/8/65

2 57 - 6 0

42/6/5 A

Fasl 86

Abstract

Land Revenue

	Cowle	Mts	Total
Jévaiday Lam	4-19 5-9-0	0-23 0-15	4-42 6-8 = 5-0
Peramel	2 5-6 9-3-0	1-53 5	7-20 12-3 = 1-14-0
	6 9-86 14-12-0	1-76 5-15	11-62 20-11-0 = 2-3-0

Completed

Continuation of memo in Case No 5

Sayil Mir Mohiddeen

Sheik Musa alias Dadabayi — Abubekkr

Kasim alias Sayil Khasim — Mujumbi — Khadubi — Abdul Rahiman — Mahammad Jamal

Died in Feby last unmarried

Residing

The last holder of Inam who was present in Pillaiyar Ranjangadi died in Feby, unmarried, in his 24th year of age, leaving behind him 2 brothers & 2 uterine sons Abdul Rakiman & Mahammad Jamal who were then absent in Bengal on duty as Sepoys of 2nd Regt Native Infantry. Of the sisters of the deceased Mujumbi resides at Kalum & Khadubi is reported to be residing at Nakkamuja Kilayam in Dist of Bombay South.

On the death of Khasim alli, Tamilan Island Inam under attachment (Feby) on ground that he had left no lineal heirs behind him & his cousins were absent in Bombay. In 1864, Regt. moved to Jadapa. From Jadapa, Abdul Rahiman addressed a Petn to Govt of Madras Dept. in Oct 1864 claiming Inam. The Petn was referred to Tamilan Jgn enquiry & report. The Tamilan Jgn stated that Inam was originally granted, how when, reported. The Petn pleaded & appeal, either in person or Pleader, & it was known for what purpose Inam was originally granted, if could not be ascertained in what way Petn was related to deceased. Upon this no action took place.

In June 1862, Abdul Rahiman personally presented a Petn to Collr who referred it to Tamilan Jgn enquiry & report. Abdul Rahiman also appeared before Tamilan & proved by evidence of Pattamanjar, an old gentleman of 70 years age, & two other Mahomedan gentlemen, that he was grandson of Sayil Mir Mohiddeen & eldest son of deceased. The Tamilan reported in his Letter of 15 June 1865 that Abdul Rahiman was eldest son of deceased, & that Inam had been Island under attachment from Feby, - Abdul Rahiman having been absent in Bengal; upon which Collr in his order dated 24 July 1862, directed that Inam be Island under attachment pending final disposal of case. by Inam powers, referring Petn. Abdul Rahiman to Inam Depty Collr Abdul Rahiman has now come on leave from his Regiment at Jadapa. The produces no documents in support of grant. He states that his Inam was granted to Jane Fatima of this ground father Sayil Mir Mohiddeen. In support of a Nakkin, that deceased, his eldest son had been enjoying Inam & maintaining Nakkin as head of Jamat during his absence. The deceased's brothers do not appear to have ever held any portion of Inam. No Nakkin is now in existence. The accounts available from the Village do not show that Inam was originally granted. From support of a Nakkin - Abdul Rahiman & his brothers are undivided. Under these circumstances I do recommend that Inam be released from attachment & continued as formerly to Abdul Rahiman under Rule 63 Agreed.

Tamilan Amount in deposit.

1267, 68, 69	Pagodas
1270	7-9-6
1271	15-12-6
72	11-10-9
73	11-10-9
74	11-7-0
Total	58-2-6

The sum of 58-2-6 now in deposit is recommended to be disbursed to Abdul Rahiman

Jun Case

2 57 - 1-0

5/6/65

Compared in the JR as Nos 2, 3, and 4 — J.R 12/8/65

Court to whom to be returned

738