Reg. 2 Register of Adinagaram Reo: Vil. &c. of the Arcalur Zamindari attached to Perambalur Taluq in the Trichinopoly District —

1	2	3–6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17–18	19	20	21	22
	The average assessment of the Village per Kanom	Kaishal Dr. 3-9-2															
1	Davadayem	Dr. 75-50-..-66 79 8-87-8 11 72 Total 9-37-8 12 38	1 — 13 31 — 10 33 — 7	For the support of Kási Visvaná:thaswamy Pagoda at Arcalur. It is well kept up	Free	Permanent	Not known	None	Visvaná:thaswamy Pagoda	In 1268 1223 Kási Visvaná:thaswamy In 1266 Kási Visvaná:thaswamy worshipper Aiyattoray Gurukkal	In 1267 Kási Visvaná:thaswamy	Arcalur Kási Visvanáthaswamy Pagoda ~~Worshippers~~ ~~Iyattoray Gurkul~~ ~~Mutteswamy Gurkul~~ Panchanada Gurkul				The right to the management of this Pagoda is claimed by one Subbaraya Pandaram who styles himself as stanikam. He can however shew nothing in support of the right he claims — Neither during Govt. management of the religious Institutions nor afterwards, he appears to have retained any interest in the Pagoda ⊕	T.D. No. 2005 Confd. We cannot oust the Pandaram but the worship Pujaris must establish their [illegible] ✕
2	Sarvamanyam	Dr. 11-95-52 58 8 2-85-15 3 77 Total 6-81-4 6 35	7 — 10 — 3 17 — 3	Bhattavritti	Do.	Hereditary	Not known	None	Not known	In 1223 Vencata Subbá Dikshit alias Venkata Subbayan In 1266 Vencata Subbaiyan	In 1267 Vencata Subbaiyan Registered in 1223	Arcalur (1) Sámi Aiyan 35 (2) Ditchu Aiyan 60 (3) Subbaiyan 50 (4) Venguviyan 50 (5) Ganapati Aiyan 45	(1) 1st & 2nd Sons (2 & 5) 3rd Sons	(1) wife — 1 Son — 1 Unmarried Daughter — 1 brothers — 2 5 (2) wife — 1 Sons — 3 Do.'s wife — 1 married Daughters — 3 8 (3) wife — 1 Sons — 5 Do.'s wives — 2 married Daughters — 2 Unmarried Daughter — 1 11 (4) wife — 1 Son — 1 Daughters married — 3 Unmarried — 1 6 ✕	Family divided next to the Inam — Shares 12 No. 1 — 2 2 — 2 3 — 2 4 — 3 5 — 3 12 ✕(5) wife — 1 Son — 1 Do.'s wife — 1 Daughters married — 4 Unmarried — 1 8	To be confirmed in one T.D. at Rent 1/8 Rs. 2-4 — agreed to T.K. 21-12-64	No. 25 T.D. Confd. [illegible] 10/4/65 1 — 4 gin
3	Sarvamanyam	Dr. 61 2-34-6 3 09	8 — 6	Do.	Do.	Do.	Not known	None	Not known	In 1223 Kuppan Aiyangar Son In 1266 Vaidanta Aiyangar	In 1267 Palayam Vaidánta Aiyangar father of the Registered in 1223 or the party in 1216	Negapatam in Tanjore Do. Saithaiyangar 39	Son's wife's brother's Son of the Registered in 1223	wife — 1 Sons — 2 married Daughters 2 Unmarried Do. — 1 brothers — 4 10		The last Holder in the family was Seenammal the widow of the 2nd son of the original Inamdar Vaidantayengar — she is stated to have died 30 years ago without issue leaving the inam to her brother Annattoray Iyengar whose son Sathayengar now holds — To be confirmed on the whole in one T.D. at Rent 1/4 Rs. 2 — Compulsory. T.K. 22/12/64	TK Confd on half assessment [illegible] 10/4/65 gin 5 Appd. [illegible] 18/5/65 Dow 92

Register No. 2

Sheet No. 39

✕ The as mortgagees & Dharmakartas. It mortgage is illegal — let the local authorities [illegible] take action — [illegible] 10/4/65

Completed

Remarks on Case 1 — Contd.

⊕ or in its' Endowments that is recorded in any Public account or other Papers — The founder of the Pagoda was one Chittambala Chetty unconnected with the Pandaram and the grantor of the inams was the Zamindar's family — During Govt. management of Davastanams, Govt. did not extend its' management to this Pagoda as being a small Institution ~~usually managed by the Worshippers~~ — The Worshippers in charge of the Pagoda were unable to manage the inams of the institutions situated in 5 or 6 Villages themselves, and confined themselves to the customary religious observances — and the Pandaram (a member of his family) was selected (by them or by Villagers it is not known) for the management as being a fit person, possessing as he did, every recommendation thro' his display of obsequiousness & religious zeal — This he has improved finally into a prescriptive right of management over the Pagoda, which the worshippers have not been able to remove being the weaker party — The Pandaram & his fraternity appear to have mortgaged the Pagoda inam ~~of the Pagoda~~ in Arealur for private gain in evident breach of the religious Trust — Govt. can not recognize such alienations of religious Property constituted by Regn. VII of 1817 — Nor can the Courts of justice — Under these circumstances, I have rejected the Pandaram's claim to the stanikam ship — and am of opinion that the Worshippers in charge of the Pagoda are the proper Trustees for the inams as is generally the case in regard to Minor Davastanams — With these sentiments, I recommend that the inam may be confirmed per one T.D. to the Worshippers of the Pagoda for the time being — leaving them assisted by the Committee appointed under Act XX of 1863 — to get the illegal mortgage of the inam quashed thro' the Courts — I am given to understand that the Pandaram has mortgaged the inam in Mahalingapuram also for money received for his private purposes to the Curnam of the Village Varadaraja Pillay who is in enjoyment of the land — But this mortgage is of course denied by the mortgager & mortgagee simply from fear of legal punishment — & they have concealed the mortgage deed connected with this transaction — The Mortgage deed for the Arealur inam is now in possession of the Committee. T.K. 7/1/65

Since writing the above decision, I find that it is open to the objection of being against the existing state of things — The Worshippers are pd. for the service they render by the Stanikam, the illegality of whose acts & whose managment has been noticed above as well as the way in which he became the Stanikam — Without therefore making them the ~~Worshippers~~ Managers & without naming any one as Manager, I recommend that the inam may be confirmed in one T.D. to the Managers for the time being of the Institution, leaving the Worshippers, the Committee & all interested in it to work having to secure due management in the legal way — T.K. 25/1/65 — The Ticket given to Worshippers is to be considered cancelled —

Completed T.K.

6 கோ. [illegible]
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1983 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible] மாதம் 1983 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்