
Register of Tattanis Received from the Hd Asst Collr Tirukkoyilur Division attached to the Hdqrs Cuddalore Taluq of the Fasli Chittirai Dist

No.	Name of Village	Extent of Village per Survey	Tattani No.	Name of Tattanidar	Caste	Religion	Date of Appointment	Name of Temple	Remarks
1	Devanayakkanpalayam	Wet 1-00-00	1	For the Support of Chellamman Koil at Devanayakkanpalayam	Vanniya Kula Kshatriya	Hindu	Succession	Chellamman Koil	The Tope consists of 110 Cocoanut trees and 10 Jamun trees. The fruit trees are supposed to be of 30 years of age & worth Rs 100 The Jamun trees of it which resemble the Real Mimusops Flowers of the Christian Bible adopted as Communion is recognised by the Tahsildar who has no objection to the being continued to the Trust Institution. The Lessee Pagoda having been dilapidated repairs are now going on & likewise be finally completed and the Kumbabishekam performed in 2 or 3 months. To be continued free in one T.C. so long as the Pagoda exists & is not disposed of according to the Tahsildar's report. 20/12/64
2	Devanayakkanpalayam	Wet 0-98-00	1	For the Support of Shella Vinayakar Koil at Tattani Middle Shipped on Holy Days Not Watched	Vanniya Kula Kshatriya	Hindu	Succession	Shella Vinayakar Koil	To be confirmed free in one T.C. so long as the Pagoda exists. T.R. 20/12/64
3	Devanayakkanpalayam	Wet 0-87-00	5	For the Support of Kumbha Vinayakar Koil at Tattani Middle Shipped on Holy Days	Vanniya Kula Kshatriya	Hindu	Succession	Kumbha Vinayakar Koil	To be confirmed free in one T.C. so long as the Pagoda exists. T.R. 22-12-64
4	Devanayakkanpalayam	Wet 1-00-00	12	For the Support of Manikka Vinayakar Koil at Tattani Middle Shipped on Holy days Not Watched	Vanniya Kula Kshatriya	Hindu	Succession	Manikka Vinayakar Koil	The Tiled Pagoda is in ruins. The Idol is being worshipped under a tree pending the final fixing of the Tope and which work has not been completed within three months on 28 July 1865. The Tahsildar has executed a Muchilika binding himself to make renewal the building before that date. I have sent the Instrument to the Tahsildar desiring him to report to Court whether the Pagoda is restored or not within that time. It is therefore reserved. That the tattani may be confirmed to the Pagoda in one T.C. or thereabouts death until as may be proper as regards the tope in which that may be removed from the Tahsildar. I have been informed that the Tiled Pagoda has been fully repaired & Kumbabishekam performed. To be therefore confirmed free in one T.C. so long as the Institution exists. T.R. 10/1/65 20/12/64
5	Devanayakkanpalayam	Wet 0-81-00	21	For the Support of Vinayakar Koil at Tattani Middle Shipped on Holy Days Not Watched	Vanniya Kula Kshatriya	Hindu	Succession	Vinayakar Koil	To be confirmed free in one T.C. so long as the Pagoda exists. T.R. 20/12/64


Register No. 180
Sheet No. 469

Confd
10/1/65



Register No 18(2)

Sheet No 470



Case (Continued) & the Views thereof for each of the other Branches the & the -

The present Mode of Enjoyment known & different under a temporary & Verbal Arrangement of the family made for the sake of convenience - Under it, the 1/8 share is enjoyed by each of the four Branches in some Villages only - In some, one Branch only enjoys the whole Main or more than 1/8 in it in lieu of the 1/8 shares in other Villages which it has ceded to the other Branches - It appears that before these deviations from the terms of the deed had occurred, or when each Branch had been enjoying its 1/8 in the several Shares, an Arrangement was made between Mrs & & under which 2/8 in six Villages was left to be enjoyed by Mrs A - Mrs 3 receiving the 1/8 in the remaining 11 Villages which 1/8 appears to have been ceded by them under the Arrangement & the Intended -

This Share is enjoyed by each of the 4 Branches at 1/8 each; but Mrs A enjoys the whole 1/8 of the Branch marked II. it being one of the 6 Villages noticed above -

Mrs 1 and 5 are absent - The parties present are Mrs 2, 3, the wife of Mrs 4 Ramalakshmi & and Mrs 6 - All these Ladies, Mrs 2, 3 and 6 respectively for the Main with their consequences & the undivided nature of the property whereby the interest of all cannot be severed, one obtaining more & another less than his & Quota, all of the family Mains should be confirmed in their Shares in the name of all the Members Mrs 1 to 6 leaving them to make a proper distribution under written Deeds on future occasions -

Mrs A with however claims to get the whole 1/8 Share of Mrs 3 & A in the 6 Villages, confined to her exclusive use Mrs 3 should give her a Moiety in certain Inam Lands held by him on Pattah in Madanatunda - These Inam Lands were originally a Barande Main cultivated and enjoyed by Mrs 3 when Mine 7 or 8 years ago it was felt necessary by Collector and Mrs A to divide his means -

The Inam Lands of these Inam Lands in the Division Deed shew that they were not then invested Property common to the whole family, but the self acquisition of the Members of the Branch from which Mrs 3 and A are descended - Mrs A's widow says (but without any proof) that it was acquired by her father in law marked II - Mrs 3, however declares that having been acquired by his father, it is his exclusive right under the Law - The dispute was decided by Collector in 1858 in favor of Mrs 3 as per Collector's Endorsement dated the 4th May 1858 & Mrs A's Petition dated the 20th of March 1858 which is produced -

The non-existence of any written evidence in support of Mrs A's widow's claim does not justify the terms of any Decree framing the Several Claims of the Members of the Family in their Legal Shares as per the Division Deed in the Sastri L. R. of 1/8 Pattah - Decreed - J. Q. not -

J. E.
24/1/65

Incomplete
J. E.