
Nallanam villag, Cedayarpalayam Taluk.

Register No. 23

Sheet No. 475


(23)
Bhaskara Tirumangha Iyengar
Bhagavatam Thirumangha Iyengar
Adivarahn Iyengar
Ranganayaga Iyengar
Lakshmi Iyengar
Thirumangha Iyengar
Lakshmi Iyengar
Ranganayaga Iyengar
Kasthuri Iyengar
Kasthuri Iyengar
Ranganayaga Iyengar
Thirumangha Iyengar
Ranganayaga Iyengar
Kasthuri Iyengar
Ranganayaga Iyengar
Kasthuri Iyengar
Ranganayaga Iyengar
Kasthuri

Register of Pallanam Nelu No 27 of the Vedayarpallayam Zamindary of the Vedayarpallayam Taluq in the Trichinopoly District Co[illegible]

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Contd.

the Inam minus the 1/4 enjoyed by N.Y may be confirmed in favor to all the members of the Family in their legal shares as per the Division Deed in question — viz Rs 1 1/8 on Rs 1-4-11 agreed to — I.C. one to be issued for the whole Inam —

The Parties in the Cases pendente with Sarah except N.G.S.C. first claimed the entire inam to the exclusion of N.Y — upon whose Complaint I have been obliged to enter into a particular Enquiry — The Inam is 4 Kanies as per the Statem in right Calculation — In the Division Deed noticed above, the shares of each of the 4 Pedambus, instead of being entered as one Kany each as should have been done had there been no alienation, is entered as 3/4 the of a Kany — Deducting one Kany enjoyed by N.Y — which is 1/4 the inam — When Cooje questioned with reference to this, all admitted that a 5th of 1/4 the inam was made in Common to Lucshmi mother of N.Y — It is also proved by the evidence of the Canum & others that N.Y has enjoyed the produce of one Kany — Under these Circumstances, the Family has modified their claim reducing it to 1/4 the inam —

J. Ross
26/1/65

Compleated

J.R.

செப்பமிடுதற்காக எற்றுக் கொள்ளப்பட்டது
ஜீன் மாதம் படம் 83
செப்பமிட்டு முடித்த
ஜீலை மாதம் படம் 83
ஆணையர் நில அளவைத் துறை
சென்னைப்பகுதி