Register No. 2

Sheet No. 452

Register of Kalumangalam Rev: No. 2 of the Udayarpollium Zemindari attached to Udayarpollium Taluq Trichinopoly Dt. — Continued —

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
6 Peelimal	Dry 52 60 85 Total 1	 85 65 34 85	 15 1 10 .. 6 .. 15 2	 .13 .86 .45 .44	 1—13 1—6 ..—12 3—15	Bhattavritti	Free	Hereditary	Not known	None	Not known In 1216 Visvanatha Iyer —	In 1223 Aiyanaiswara Vadhyar Son —	In 1261 (1) Vishva Vadhyar (2) Subbaiyan (3) Ramaiyan (4) Krishna Aiyan (5) Kuppaaiyan Sons — with the Register in 1223 —	Udayarpollium (1) Doraisami Aiyan 32 (2) Kuppusami Aiyan 19 (3) Subbaiyan 52 (4) Krishnaiyan 44 (5) Kuppaiyan 40		(1 and 2) Grandsons (3 to 5) Sons with the Register in 1223	(1) wife — 1 Son — 1 Unmarried Daughters 2 4 (2) wife — 1 brother — 1/2 (3) Mother — 1 wife — 1 Son — 1 Do's wife — 1 Daughters married 2 Unmarried 2 8 (4) Married Daughter 1 (5) None	The Family is divided — The Inam is not so — It is enjoyed in 5 equal shares —	To be confirmed in one T.D. 2 R at 1/8 Ets (8) agreed to — T.K 17-12-64	T. D. No. 225 Confd 10/4/65 1-4
[illegible]amadayan	Dry 47 1 30 .. 32 .. 62 .. 95 1 Total 3	 9 34 25 29 76 75	 6 1 6 .. .. .. 11 .. 9 2 .. 4	 .44 .45 .33 .39 .33 .94	 2—5 ..—12 ..—8 ..—10 3—12 7—15	For the support of a Chavadi at Kalumangalam — It has gone to ruins —	Free	Permanent	Do	Do	Chavadi	In 1216 & 1223 Chavadi Inam	In 1261 Chavadi	Kalumangalam Dharma Chavadi Hakkadar — Vencatrama Naick				The founder of this Public Building was one Rama Naick — The charge passed to his brother-in-law Sangama Naick father of the present Hakkadar — The building fell to ruins 10 years ago and the Hakkadar has collected some materials for raising a new building or Chavadi of which he		Is the report received? Yes at [illegible] [illegible] 6/6/66

has laid foundation & raised stony walls 1½ feet high — He has executed a Muchilka binding himself on pain of forfeiting the inam to complete the building on or before the 31st March next which I have sent to the Tahsildar, and requested that officer to report to Commissioner direct on 1st April whether the inamdar has or has not acted up to his Engagement, and it is recommended that the inam may be confirmed or restored to the Institution or resumed according as the case may be — T.K 20/12/64

Written to Tahsildar 9 Oct 1868

Deleted
T.K

Tahsildar's Arzi dated 6/11/68 No 351 — states that the party has not fulfilled his engagement to rebuild the Chavadi, which is still in a ruined state. The Inam is therefore resumed

8/12/68 W.H. [illegible]

See Collector's letter dated 21 Augt 1875 No 4
The Chattram has been rebuilt and is well kept up — a title deed for the Inam will be issued
[illegible]
20/10/75

T.D.N.
3010.