Register (No.) Register of Inams in the Village of Ambapur (No. 72), Dis. No. 460/1913 in the Taluk of Udayarpalayam in the District of Trichinopoly

Class, Extent and Value of Inam.							Description, Tenure and Documents in support of the Inam.					Name and Description of Present Holder.		Relationship of Original Grantee, and of Incumbent and Existing Holder.—Length of Possession.				Remarks.	Decision of the Inam Commissioner or his Assistant.
			Extent					Tenure.						If the Inam has been sub-divided, the names, etc., of each share should be entered in these columns.					
Number of Village according to Survey Register.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey number or sub-division of Survey number as entered in the Survey Register.	Local Measure.	Area of Measure in Acres.	Survey Assessment.	Rental or present Assessment.	Description of Inam. Whether it be held for service, if so, what service. If it has become alienated, the circumstances under which it was granted, and by whom. If any buildings, wells, water-courses or tanks exist, state their number, kind, and whether they are still kept up.	Whether free of Tax, Quit-rent, or subject to Assessment, in part or in full.	Hereditary, Alienable or Inalienable, or for life only, or for a term of years.	By whom granted and at what time.	Written Instruments in support of the claim. Original sanad, all mutations, documents and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, when the grant is produced or not, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced, should be given.	Name entered in the register granted according to Reg. XXVII. 1802, or in the subsequent settlement accounts, and previous to the present settlement.	Name and Description of present holder.	None entered in the survey, or in any subsequent accounts, and relationship to predecessor.	Name and age.	Place of residence.	Religion, original grandeur, caste, Hindu or Indian.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders, particulars how obtained, and in what year.	An abstract of the Government order in every case of Inam alienated or resumed to be entered in this column. Dispute (if any) on a question and recommendation.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1	Devadayam Poramboke. R.S. 22-1 corresponding to old S.F. No 24 corresponding to Resurvey 7 No 227 part	Rate of Conversion. Kani 0-16-4 Inam cent 0-72	Kanis Inam cents	old survey assessment Rs. as. p. 2-4-0	Re-settlement assessment Rs. as. p. 2-4-0		Free. Hereditary. Not Known. Service is efficiently rendered.	Hereditary belongs to the service & properly rendered.	Not Known.		The inam to be held without grant by the manager for the time being of Sriranganathaswamy Pagoda for puja.	Muttiya Vanda Garuikal has been enjoying the land. The land was registered since the time in the name of old Sellia Sangappan Mudaliyar under the classes of Government.	In the A Kuppan Registry, the land is registered in the name of Sangappan Chetti's extent	In the A Kuppan vega -tha Re name of Sangappan Chetti's extent	Kuppusami Gounukkal trustee for the time being.	4.7 years.				

Sheet No. 18 A

Ambapur village
Udayarpalayam Taluk
Trichinopoly Dt.

Trichinopoly Collector's Office
Dated 28th April 1913.

Inquiry shows that the land has been enjoyed as inam for a pretty long period and that since the enjoyment is such has been uninterrupted. There is no such proof forthcoming to take us back to 50 years prior to the creation of the inam commission, but such proof is, at this date, not to be expected.

L.Dis. No. 3689

The land was in dispute with the inamdar of the old settlement. It was not confirmed at that time in the re-settlement of 1864-5, presumably on account of the dispute. It continued to be treated as inam of agraharam and free of assessment. In the Resettlement the classification of the inam as kanyi of the same duty was continued. The manager of the temple, Vaithyanatha Gounukal, would no doubt have been enjoying the land since the old settlement of 1857. Thus the inam has not been left unnotified at the original inam settlement has been brought near to settlement under the orders of the Board. B.P. 1845 mis. dated 10th July 1911. So he confirmes under Inam Rule III, clause I subject to payment of half the assessment under Inam Rule XVI, clause 3, owing to its resumable origin. Title deed in the name of the deed.

Vide correspondence ending with Collector's letter R. Cha 1062 4/41(2) dated 28-4-13 in Cha 682 of 1911.

Confirmed excepting Quit-rent Re 1-0-0

Inam Commissioner

Hockley 2.5.13 Collector 2/5/13

The land was in dispute with the inamdar of the old settlement. It was not confirmed at that time in the re-settlement of 1864-5, presumably on account of the dispute. It continued to be treated as inam of agraharam and free of assessment. In the Resettlement the classification of the inam as kanyi of the same duty was continued. The manager of the temple, Vaithyanatha Gounukal, would no doubt have been enjoying the land since the old settlement of 1857. Thus the inam has not been left unnotified at the original inam settlement has been brought near to settlement under the orders of the Board. B.P. 1845 mis. dated 10th July 1911. So he confirmes under Inam Rule III, clause I subject to payment of half the assessment under Inam Rule XVI, clause 3, owing to its resumable origin. Title deed in the name of the deed.

Hockley 2.5.13 Collector 2/5/13