No. 60 Museri Division Museri Taluq Trichinopoly District

7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21

Existing Boundaries of the village & the average assessment per Cawni in each of the adjoining Villages

	Wet-1 Crop	Wet-2 Crop	Dry	Garden
East - Valavandi (Salem District)	"	"	"	"
West - Arvoi (Do.)	"	"	"	"
South - Murungaykalathoor	4	11 6	8 2	" 6
North - Meiittuppatty (Salem District)	"	"	"	"
	4	11 6	8 2	" 6

This is a Kadarambam Village in which the Hainy is equal [illegible] Acres 132.
The average assessment of Murunga Kalattur is taken for settlement.
In the absence of any old accounts to show the old Inams, they are distinguished according to the admission of the Zagheerdar & the Curnum's account.

	C. G. A.	A.	D.	R.	A.
Baradayem					
Total Ayacut	642-1-14	848·76			
Deduct					
Porampoke	83-78-8	110·76			
Old Inams	4-99-6	6·61			
[illegible] Service Inams	15-72-14	20·80			
~~[illegible]~~	~~[illegible]~~	~~·26~~			
Total	104-50-12	138·17			
Remaining	537-51-2	710·59	1631 - 11		
Particulars					
[illegible] Wet	87-12-10	115·18	566 - 5		
Dry	40[illegible]-24-"	541·02	818 - 8		
Garden	4[illegible]-14-8	54·39	246 - 14		
Total	537-51-2	710·59	1631 - 11		

For the support of Valeeswaraswamy Pagoda. It is well kept up. — Jodi Inam. R. A. 340 — Permanent — Not known — None — Valeeswaraswamy Pagoda — In Iraverse Register Valeeswaraswamy is entered for the whole Village — In Dowle of Fusli 1269 and in the Inam Account of Fusli 1259 Valeeswaraswamy is entered — Vengadanattum Museri Taluq Valeeswaraswamy Pagoda Trustees Appathoray Iyen 1, Sankara naranaiyen 1 (1/2) — " — To be confirmed in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists, but subject to existing Jodi Rs 340 — T.H. 29/5/62 — Confirmed in present [illegible] 13/8/64

× Of this, Hawnies 14 - 2 - 12 are enjoyed by certain Classes of people for Pagoda service of which the particulars are given in the Vernacular Register.

Regr. No. 4 Sheet No. 102

T.S.B.
T.Sim. 1

கீ. இப்புசாமி
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் டிசம்பர் வருடம் 82
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் டிசம்பர் வருடம் 82
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Old Inam Baradayem

2 Crop Wet	1-92-12	1·22	6 - "
Dry	3-[illegible]-6	4·94	7 - 7
Total	[illegible]-61-2	6·16	13 - 7

For the support of Pedari Sellandiammen, Mariemmen, & Palliar Pagodas. These are well kept up. — Free — Permanent — Not known — None — Sellandiemmen, Mariemmen & Palliar Pagodas — Old Inam in an entire village not usually entered in Inam accounts — In the Inam Account of Fusli 1259 & in Dowle of F. 1269 Pedari Sellandiemmen, Mariemmen & Palliar are entered — Valvalapatter Museri Taluq Pedari Sellandiemmen, Mariemmen & Palliar Pagodas ~~Trustee~~ Worshipper Veerabhadra Pandaram — To be confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Pagodas exists. T.H. 29/5/62 — Ditto 13/8/64 — T.Sim. 2 — T.S.B.

Old Inam Baradayem 2 Crop wet — 1-13-12 — ·79 — " — 14

For the support of Vencatachalapathy swamy Pagoda. It is well kept up. — Free — Permanent — Not Known — None — Vencatachalapathy swamy Pagoda — Do. — In the Inam Account of F. 1259 & in Dowle of F. 1269 Vencatachalapathy swamy is entered — Valavandi Naumakal Taluq Salem Dt. Talamalay Hills Vencatachalapathyswamy Pagoda Trustee Palluya Thathen — To be confirmed free in one T.D. so long as the Pagoda exists. T.H. 29/5/62 — Ditto 13/8/64 — T.Sim. 3 — T.S.B.

Since found that there is another Personal Inam erroneously included in the Service Regr. I have now struck it off that Register and brought it on this making the necessary Correction in the particulars of Case 1 —

Personal Wet 2 Crop — 19-8 — ·26 1 — 10 — Bhattavertti (Panchangi Inam) — Free — Hereditary — Do. — None — Not known — Do. — In 1259 Panchangum Venkata Subbayen; In 1269 omitted — Maittuppatty Namakal Taluq Salem Dt. Venkata Subbiyan 40 — Register No. 1 in 1259 & he lineal descendt. — " — To be confirmed in one T.D. ~~so long as the~~ @ Q Rent 1/8 annas 4 - agreed to — T.R. 29/4/64 — Confirmed on 1/8 Q.R. 4 Annas 13/8/64 — T.Sim. 4

Fair [illegible]

From appearance the [illegible] = [illegible]