Register of Shikkatambur[?] Village No. 4 of the Tharayur[?] Komandur[?] Masen[?] Taluq, Trichinopoly District — Continued

Regr No. 4

Ardhamaniam[?] (Note) — The Zamindar's family appears to have subsequently altered the origl tenure into Service maniam — The alteration however is not recognised — & the origl tenure alone is recognised in the Settlement —

1	2	3–6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15–16	17–19	20	21	22
2	Dharmadayam	Dry 198 2-71-14 3 ·59 552 2-31-4 3 ·05 Total 5-3-2 6 ·64 at 2 1/2 Rs per acre 16-10 14-13-9 Assessable adopted	9 — 4 10 — 9 19 — 13	For the support of a water Pandal in the limits of Shikkattambur. It is kept during hot seasons of the year	Jodi being the average of half the Cauvery[?] from 1266 to 1273 Rs 9-14 payable to Zamindar	Permanent	Not known	Time	Water Pandal	In 1213 & 1216 Water Pandal	Shikkattambur limits Water Pandal keeper Dorasami Aiyangar		To be confirmed in one T. D. subject to existing Jodi of Rs 9-14-" so long as the Water Pandal exists T. K. 12/11/64		T. D. No. 820 Certd on Ardhamaniam tenure JDMc 13/12/65
3	Personal	Dry 302 1-4-11 1 ·38 at one Rs per acre 1-10-3 Assessable adopted	2 — 3 1 — 6	Bhattavritti	Jodi as above 1 — 2 payable to Zamindar	Hereditary	Do	Do	Not known	In 1213 Panchangam	In 1216 Brammadayam Panchangam	Shikkattambur Dorasami Aiyangar & 2	Believed to be descended from origl Panchangi	Mother — 1 wife — 1 Son — 2 Daughter married — 1 Unmarried — 1 brother — 1 Do's wife — 1 7	T. D. No. 856	To be confirmed in one T. D. as follows — Jodi payable to Zamindar 1-2 2 Rat[?] 1/8 apportioned[?] payable to Jodi ·· 2 1-4 T. K. 17-11-64	Certd on Ardhamaniam tenure 2 Rent ·-2-· 5 A.[?] 20/7/67 T. D. No. 856
4	Devadayam	Dry 102 ·-64-1 · ·86 546 1-4-11 1 ·38 1212 ·-34-6 · ·45 1216 ·-79-11 1 ·05 Total 2-82-13 3 ·74 at one Rs 12 annas per acre 5-10 Assessable adopted	1 — 11 2 — 12 · — 15 2 — 2 7 — 8 6 — 9	For the support of Vinayakar Pagodas at Shikkattambur and at Umayara[?] Palayam. They are well kept up. Both are Masonry Built —	Jodi as above 3 — 12 payable to Zamindar	Permanent	Do	Do	Vinayakar Pagodas	In 1213 & 1216 Vinayakar	Shikkattambur (1) Vinayakar Pagoda Umayara[?] Palayam (2) Vinayakar Pagoda Worshipper Palniyandi Pandaram		To be confirmed in one T. D. so long as the Pagodas exist — subject to Jodi of Rs 3-12-" T. K. 12/11/64		T. D. No. 822 Certd on Ardhamaniam tenure JDMc 13/12/65
5	Devadayam	Dry 72 1-68-12 2 ·23 112 1-1-9 1 ·34 117 1-78-2 2 ·35 236 1-12-8 1 ·48 271 2-26-9 2 ·99 877 ·-76-9 1 ·01 927 ·-53-2 · ·70 Total 9-17-3 12 ·10 at 1 3/4 Rs per acre 21-3 18-3 Assessable adopted	4 — 7 2 — 11 4 — 11 3 — · 6 — · 2 — · 1 — 7 24 — 4	For the support of Perumal Pagoda at Shikkattambur. It is well kept up.	Jodi as above 12 — 2 payable to Zamindar	Do	Do	Do	Perumal Pagoda	In 1213 & 1216 Perumal	Shikkattambur Perumal Pagoda called Varadaraja Permal Worshipper Sachayangar[?]		To be confirmed in one T. D. so long as the Pagoda exists — subject to the Jodi of Rs 12-2-" T. K. 12/11/64		T. D. No. 823 Certd on Ardhamaniam tenure JDMc 13/12/65
	Devadayam	Dry 39 ·-85-15 1 ·13 40 ·-84-6 1 ·11 102 ·-90-10 1 ·20 115 1-12-8 1 ·49 172 ·-79-11 1 ·05 184 ·-92-3 1 ·22 Total 5-45-5 7 ·20 at 1 3/4 Rs per acre 12-10 10-13-3 Assessable adopted	2 — 4 2 — 4 2 — 6 3 — · 2 — 2 2 — 7 14 — 7	For the support of Pedari[?] Pagoda at Shikkattambur. It is well kept up	Jodi as above 7 — 3 payable to Zamindar	Do	Do	Do	Pedari[?] Pagoda	In 1213 & 1216 Pedari[?]	Shikkattambur Pedari[?] Pagoda Worshippers Ramalinga Kavandan[?] Karuppagi[?] Do		Notice No. 16 sent 5/11/64 To be confirmed in one T. D. subject to the Jodi Rs 7-3 so long as the Pagoda exists T. K. 17-11-64		T. D. No. 824 Certd on Ardhamaniam tenure JDMc 13/12/65

Pencil notes: 14-13-9 Assessable adopted; 5-10 Assessable adopted; 18-3 Assessable adopted; 10-13-3 Assessable adopted

Register No. 4/2[?]
Sheet No. 276

Completed
T. K.

Approved[?]

... Rev: No. 4 of the Thorayur Zemindary, present ... 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

Reg. No. 41(1)

Sheet No. 136

The appt of these Inams will be taken at 3/4 of that fixed by the Hon'ble. S Krishna Rao

Vide General remarks

Peelinal — No. 1 to 3 share 1	Dry 453 16-31- " 21 ·53	43—3	Bhattavrit	Free	Hereditary	By Vijaya Venkatachala Peddiar late Polegar of Thorayur under 2 grants the 1st grant is not forthcoming the 2nd grant is produced. It is dated 1st Margasersha Bahula Seera year 1695 or 30th Novr 1773—	A simple Cadjean deed of the 2nd grant Dated as in Col. 11. — Grantees Shares: (1) Sitarama Dikshit—1; (2) Gadiyaram Subbavadhani; (3) Sivarama Sastry—1; (4) Venkateiswara Sastry—1; (5) Avadhanam Vencateiswara Avadhani—1; (6) Subbarayer; (7) Shummambi Ramalinga Aiyan—1; (8) Vencateiswara Avadhani ½; (9) Adivirami Ramaswamy Sastry—½; (10) Sashaiyangar ½; (11) Adiviram Vencatachalam Aiyan ½; (12) Adhyana Bhattar Ramaiyar ½; (13) Rugvaidam Vencateiswara Avadhani ½; (14) Chittambara Avadhani ½; (15) Tulasi Vencatacha Aiyangar ½; (16) Cogatam Kuppaiavadhani—½; (17) Shummambi Siwaramaiyar ½; (18) Karai Mahadawaiyan ½; Total as per one Grant 12½ shares. Grantee for the 1st Grant as specified in the 2nd Grant— Thathachari 1. Total 13½ shares—	Malaijanam	In 1219 Surva manium Paravukadu; 184—" 27—8—2; 216—8—2	In 1226 Kamatchi Samudram Malaijanam Inam; In 1233 Brimmadayam Kamatchi Samudram	Thorayur— (1) Rangamman= gar 35; Streerangam (2) Streenivassa Satachari 30; (3) Streenivassa Satachari 45; Thorayur (4) Seshavadhani—45; Kunnuppatti (5) Vencatachariar 30; (6) Dorasami Aiyangar 45; (7) Vencatachalam Aiyangar 38; Thorayur— (8) Ranganayaki Ammal—60; Andanur (9) Chinna Seetarama Aiyan 28; (10) Subbalatchmi Ammal 32; Balakristnampatti (11) Akhilandammal—39; Thorayur (12) Ramaswamy Aiyan 35; (13) Streenivassa Sastry 40; Balakristnampatti (14) Simbaiyan 15; Bommanapadi (15) Seetaramaiyan 30; (16) Gopalaiyan 40; Combaconum (17) Ahobala Mattam—; Thorayur (18) Kumbaiswara Aiyan 70; Shukkaltambur (19) Mattuveerama Reddi 31; Thorayur (20) Kottatti Subramania Aiyan 50	(1) gt gt gt grandson's widow— (2) gt gt gt grandson (3) Do. all to Tathachari grantee for the 1st Grant; (4) an alienee; (5) Do.; (6) Do.; (7) Do.; (8) Do.; (9) Daughter's grandson's adopted son to grantee No. 6.; (10) Daughter's grandson's widow to grantee No. 6; (11) Daughter's grandson's Daughter to grantee No. 6.; (12) alienee; (13) grandson to grantee No. 4; (14) gt gt grandson to No. grantee 14 for ½ share & purchaser of the rest; (15) & (16) descended from grantee No. 3— further not known; (17) an alienee; (18) Daughter's son to grantee No. 8 for ½ share & purchaser of the rest—; (19) alienee; (20) Do.	(1) no heirs; (2) Mother—1, wife—1, Son—1 = 3; (3) wife—1, Son—1, Married Daughters 2, Son's wife—1, Unmarried Daughter—1 = 6; (4) wife—1, Sons—2, Wr's wife—1, Married Daughters—3, brother's widow—1 = 8; (5 to 8) no heirs; (9) Mother—1, wife—1, Son—1, Unmarried Daughter—1 = 4; (10) Adopted Son—1; (11) Foster Son—1, Wr's wife—1 = 2; (12) Mother—1, wife—1, Son—1, Unmarried Daughters—3, brothers—3, Wr's wife—1, Wr's son—1 = 11; (13) Mother—1, wife—1, Sons—5, Son's wife—1, Unmarried daughter—1 = 9; (14) Mother—1, wife—1, brother—1, Uncle—1, Wr's wife—1, Wr's Sons—5, Wr's Sons wives 3, Married Daughters—4 = 17; (15) wife—1; (16) Mother—1, wife—1, brothers—3 = 5; (18) wife—1, Married Daughter 2, Daughter's Son 1 = 4; (19) Mother—1, wife—1 = 2	The land under the 2nd grant 12½ shares as formed by all the Divisions in this Case except the one enjoyed by No. 1 to 3 is enjoyed as follows—
	Wet one Crop 1177 2-20-3 2 ·91	9—7												
	Total 18-51-3 24 ·44	52—10												
No. 4	Dry 456 4-46-4 5 ·89	11—13	wet 40 No											
	454 " -86- " 1 ·14	2—4	448 No											
	471 1-81-2 2 ·39	4—13	488 Total											
	477 3-18-4 4 ·20	8—7												
	494 2-76-13 3 ·65	7—5												
	Total 13-8-7 17 ·27	34—10												
	Wet one Crop 1167 " -67-6 " ·89	2—14												
	1190 " -48-12 " ·64	2—2												
	1193 1-10-8 1 ·46	4—12												
	Total 2-26-10 2 ·99	9—12												
	Total 15-35-1 20 ·26	44—6												
No. 5 to 7 divided Shares not known	Dry 455 3-55-13 4 ·71	9—7												
	461 3-28-12 4 ·34	8—11												
	497 2-16 " 2 ·85	5—11												
	491 3-3-8 4 "	8—1												
	506 1-63-2 2 ·15	4—5												
	Total 13-67-3 18 ·05	36—3												
	wet one Crop 1165 " -78-12 1 ·04	3—6												
	1173 " -24- " " ·31	1—1												
	489 1-1- " 1 ·34	4—6												
	Total 2-3-14 2 ·69	8—13												
	Total 15-71-1 20 ·74	45— "												
No. 8	Dry 450 2-96- " 3 ·91	7—13												
	481 2-10-1 2 ·77	5—9												
	521 1-66-12 1 ·20	4—6												
	Total 6-72-13 8 ·88	17—12												
	wet one Crop 1179 " -26-4 " ·35	1—2												
	1180 " -20-4 " ·27	" —14												
	1172 " -22-2 " ·29	" —15												
	1174 " -40-8 " ·53	1—12												
	Total 1-9-2 1 ·44	4—11												
	Total 7-81-15 10 ·32	22—7												
No. 9 to 11 divided— No. 9 ½, " 10 ¼, " 11 ¼	Dry 459 3-35-12 4 ·43	8—14												
	469 3-20-10 4 ·23	8—9												
	482 2-10-1 2 ·77	5—9												
	496 2-83-4 3 ·74	7—8												
	507 1-54-3 2 ·04	4—1												
	Total 13-3-14 17 ·21	34—9												
	wet one Crop 1171 1-6-4 1 ·40	4—9												
	1181 " -64-13 " ·86	2—12												
	Total 1-71-1 2 ·26	7—5												
	Total 14-74-15 19 ·47	41—14												

For the 2nd Grant as specified in that Grant—

E. Sreenivasa ... to Streeranga ... Tata Charry ... dated 14/9/17

Vide other page


No	By inheritance	By purchase	Total
4	"	1	1
5, 6, 7	"	1	1
8	"	½	½
9, 10, 11	1	"	1
12	"	2	2
13	1	"	1
14	½	1½	2
15, 16	1	"	1
17	"	½	½
18	½	1	1½
19	"	½	½
20	"	¼	¼
21	"	"	"
22	"	¼	¼
	4	8½	12½

The same shares apply to the Samudayam land— No. 21 is not the purchaser of any share but a Khandakrayam Holder of land included in the share of No. 12—

The inam in this Case is an Aggraharam named Kamatchisamudram Aggraharam Grant— held under two grants,— It consists on the whole of 13½ shares— Viz 1 held by No. 1 to 3 under one 1st Grant, and 12½ by the rest under another 2nd. The Grant for No. 1 to 3 is not produced— The 2nd Grant is produced which is entered in Col 12—. It deducts one share as granted to Tathachari, and distributes the remaining 12½ shares among the 18 grantees as shown in Col 13.

The 1st Division enjoyed by No. 1 to 3 is one share— and all the other Divisions enjoyed separately and as Samudayam by the others No. 4 to 22 form the remaining 12½ shares— 2 Title Deeds are recommended according to the 2 grants—

The 1st Division enjoyed by No. 1 to 3— is subject to Takarar— The wet land is enjoyed in 3 equal shares by them— But No. 1 has no enjoyment of the Dry land— to which the dispute refers— No. 2 claims it in an equal share with No. 3— while No. 3 claims 2/3rds for himself & would allow no more than 1/3rd to No. 2— The position of No. 1 to 3 in the family is as follows—



No. 3 states that this and other inams of the family were divided in 3 equal shares among Late Latchminarasimha Tathachari father in law of No. 1— Sundra Tathachari father of No. 2,— and No. 3— under a simple Cadjan deed dated 4th Masi Manmatha, and produces a Cadjan simple Document of the above date purporting to be the Counterpart executed to No. 1 by his 2 younger brothers— He then produces Stamp Paper deed 16 Augt 1861 executed to him by Late Latchmiammal

Turn over

Recommended No. 1 to 3 ... 5 ... 22 ... P. Chentsaliah Apra 13/12/65

1—4
1—4

Approved ... 21/12/65

No. 821, 82

SEETHARAMA BHAT ... செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட 27th மாதம் Dec வருடம் 1983
செப்பனிட்டு முடிந்த 27th மாதம் Dec வருடம் 1983
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் மகஜொப்பம்

widow of the deceased brother Late Muniswamudu
= Vatsalam Atti a Divisi whereby she allots to
R 3 her 1/3 2d share in all the income of
the family until the reception of her
1/3 2 of the said lands in the 1st Divi-
sion which is stated to have
been assigned for the maintenance of her
daughter in law R 1 — adding that she
succeeded to her husband's share in
Conveyance of R 1's husband bearing
date 28th May 1863 which purports to be exe-
cuted to him by a Ryot named Muttusamy Reddy as a rent mochilka & agreed to pay him Pd 29-4- per annum for his 2/3 2
of the Dry lands in question to shew that he enjoyed the 2/3 2d moiety of 1/2 only as alleged by R 2 —

R 2 asserts that the Division of 4 1/2 Olaies Manmathoo, was only proposed by the deed and has never been carried into
effect yet — In proof of this, he produces the Collectors Revenue Patta in his name for certain lands of the family in Venkatachala
=puram and Tyra Village — which are exclusively enjoyed by himself in stead of 1/3 2 of them only as provided in the Division — Cud-
=dapah and Tyra Village — which are exclusively enjoyed by himself in stead of 1/3 2 of them only as provided in the Division — Cud-
He contends that Ranganmangaru's husband did survive his father & so she was the heir to the 1/3 2 of her fatherin law — and
not Late his annual her mother in law — He adds that many other villages of the family viz Tali Road apuram Talug are
enjoyed in opposition to the 1/3 2 Division — He further asserts that the Dry lands in this Div are enjoyed in equal shares
by him & R 2 & 3 — In support of the assertion, he produces a simple Cadjan (Document purporting to be the rent Mochilka
=ka executed to R 2 & 3 and 2 other Pangalas by one Raghavarau Lappareddi wherby he agreed to pay them
an annual rent of 40 Pd for 10 years for the whole of the dry lands, and saying that he had paid in advance to R 2 & 3 Pd 2 00 to R 3 — at Pd 20 per each year — He also produces a security
in part 1/4 of his 1/4 share of 200 Pd he mulct pay 80 to R 2 & 3 — at Pd 20 per each year — He also produces a security
Mochilka of the same date executed in the same way by Ithumireddy Lingareddy attending with the rent Mochilka
=ka executed to R 2 & 3 and 2 other Pangalas by one Raghavarau Lappareddi wherby he agreed to pay them
an annual rent of 40 Pd for 10 years for the whole of the dry lands, and saying that he had paid in advance to R 2 & 3 — at Pd 20 per each year — He also produces a security
in part 1/4 of his 1/4 share of 200 Pd he mulct pay 80 to R 2 & 3 — at Pd 20 per each year — He also produces a security
Mochilka of the same date executed in the same way by Ithumireddy Lingareddy attending with the rent Mochilka
The pedn 2 stock of enjoyment is not known to this present Carnum who prepa
=red the Register book gear has mentioned that the Dry lands are enjoyed in equal shares by R 2 & 3 —
under all the circumstances of the dispute noticed above — I am rather inclined to think that whatever the present
enjoyment by the several members of the family in regard to this often means the present Enjoyment of the Dry lands in question
is as claimed by R 2 — R 2 & 3 — but still it must be remembered that the term of it has not yet expired — The trust is not denied by
R 3 — but states that it was the Countrypatta of a Patta given exclusively by R 2 the in the name of R 2 & 3 — R 2 states he
acted as the 1/2 of the family — R 2 declares R 3 has received yearly 1/4 of the rents under the rent agreement of the Pangalas — this
of Course R 3 denies — R 2 further complains that R 3 has stamped paper deeds of 1861 & 1863 more than six in a Collusion with
their Executors — This is not improbable — It is clear that whether R 2 & 3's rent Mochilka of Pangalas was taken with R 3's
acquiescence or not, or whether R 3 received yearly under it or not — the matter has been in dispute since a few years
between R 2 & 3 each of whom has himself as much said as he can from other means to establish his claim —
The disputes between R 2 & 3 is thus more properly the subject of a Judicial investigation, than one that I can settle
in a summary way — I know therefore not claimed to R 2 & 3 that it must be settled between R 1, 2, & 3 amicably or
through the Courts; that the existing state of enjoyment should not disturbed whatever it is till the Question of right is
judicially decided; and that the pendant settlement being only as one agt Govt, private rights in the land are left to be
adjusted among them in either way they choose — J. R.
16/11/64.

This shares enjoyed by R 1 & 2 is claimed by ones Lingamagangar as being the daughter's son of the son of the
Grantee for Grant R 40 named Lachayangar — He admits however that his family is not in enjoyment from the last 12 years —
He is not known how it came to be enjoyed by R 1 & 2 — Muttusa probably by a bequest by Grantee to his son as the male
issue failed — I know therefore referred Lingamayangar to Court to establish his claim & vindicate the matter —

To be confirmed in two Title Deeds as follows —

	R		Rate		
1	76	3	1/8	6-8-	agreed to
2	4 to 22		1/8	75-"	D-"
				81-8-	D-"

J. R.
17-11-64

Register of Pulekatambir Rev. No. 2 of the Toriyur Zamindari attached to Musiri Taluq in the Trichinopoly District (Continued)

SYED WAHID BADSHA
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
27th மாதம் Dec வருடம் 1982
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
27th மாதம் Dec வருடம் 1982
ஆராய்ச்சி அலுவலரின் கையொப்பம்

Regr. No. 4 (2)
Sheet No. 137 137?

2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1 Continued	Dry																		
	466 3-40-11 4	50			9—1														
	467 3-25-3 4	29			8—10														
	468 1-56-4 2	06			4—2														
	476 1-60-5 2	12			4—3														
	484 3-22-8 4	26			8—9														
	501 2-13-12 2	81			5—10														
No. 18	503 1-56-4 2	06			4—2														
	504 "-79-8 1	05			2—1														
	500 1-62-8 2	14			4—5														
	Total 19-16-15 25	29			50—11														
	Wet one Crop																		
	1182 "-89-"1	17			3—13														
	1183 "-98-"1	30			4—2														
	1194 1-10-"1	26			4—12														
	Total 2-97-10 3	93			12—13														
	Total 22-14-9 29	22			63—8														
	Dry																		
	468 1-56-4 2	06			4—2														
	476 1-60-4 2	12			4—3														
	484 1-8-"1	42			2—14														
No. 19	500 1-62-8 2	15			4—5														
	504 "-79-8 1	05			2—2														
	Total 6-66-8 8	80			17—10														
	Wet one Crop																		
	1192 "-93-13 1	24			4—"														
	Total 7-60-5 10	04			21—10														
	Dry																		
	470 1-37-13 1	82			3—10														
	493 1-32-12 1	75			3—8														
	Total 2-70-9 3	57			7—2														
No. 20	Wet one Crop																		
	1178 "-40-12"	54			1—12														
	1175 "-20-5"	27			"—14														
	Total "-61-1"	81			2—10														
	Total 3-31-10 4	38			9—12														
	Dry																		
(No. 21)	455 3-26-8 4	31			8—11														
	Dry																		
	470 1-37-13 1	82			3—10														
	493 1-32-13 1	75			3—8														
	Total 2-70-10 3	57			7—2														
No. 22	Wet one Crop																		
	1178 "-40-13"	54			1—12														
	1175 "-20-5"	27			"—14														
	Total "-61-2"	81			2—10														
	Total 3-31-12 4	38			9—12														
	Dry																		
	509 1-10-11 1	46			2—15														
	510 2-26-2 1	66			3—5														
	511 "-89-13 1	18			2—6														
	512 1-4-2 1	37			2—12														
	513 1-48-14 1	98			3—15														
	514 "-87-10 1	14			2—5														
Chendayan 4 Ro ash ill	515 "-69-6"	92			1—13														
	516 "-37-8"	49			1—"														
	517 "-56-14"	75			1—8														
	518 "-54-3"	71			1—7														
	526 1-75-12 2	32			4—10														
	527 2-94-14 3	89			7—13														
	525 1-11-13 1	47			2—15														
	1196 "-41-12"	55			1—2														
	473 "-85-"1	72			2—3														
	528 2-75-10 3	65			7—5														
	Total 18-68 12 24	67			49—6														

Dry 201-10-9 65 46 at 134 Rs 532
Wet 27-06-12 36 23 · Samaerio 464-10 · 15
Total 2[illegible]-55-5 30 69

150-1
52-10

O.S.A. Returned
1188

See general remarks