



Remarks on Report.

The Charge made by the Receiver's Department on 1800 is supposed to have been repaid to the parties claiming the same without any Enquiry and at the expense of my account or of the Enquiry office. The very low nature of the Charge is substantiated merely by the statements of the parties offering for Charge, appears to have led to two Enquiries having been issued for this sum to different parties - the first Enquiry was in 1842 to Kappayagur, Appurach, & Appa Sakthi, to the first Enquiry account of the Saccangam, and Saccan Sakthi and Pagoda respectively to the 2d Enquiry account of Saccan Sakthi and Saccan Sakthi, which he belonged - the 2d Enquiry in 1850 was issued on account for the same Enquiry, and to the 3d Enquiry of the present Manager's Landi Sakthi, and brother of the former, his share having been a less interest in the Enquiry.

The parties named in the Enquiry of 1842 or their heirs do not claim, and it is stated in the Enquiry that the former's heirs have all along been managing the character of the Enquiry. I have entered the present Manager's name for the Enquiry - It appears that the present Manager's Enquiry is the same as that of his father's Enquiry, and the same as to prove no sufficient to cover the necessary Enquiries.

allotment