Reg No 22 Register of Kammanandalu Rev: No. 27 Vittersa ... Division Uttatur Taluq of Trichinopoly Dt.

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Kawny Acre — This is a Keiarambam Village in which a Kawny = 101 acres

This Village is included in the 2nd group for the wet-land in the 3rd group for the dry land – The rates last proposed by the Settlement officer are

Tarum	Wet R	Wet A	Dry R	Dry A
1	7	8	2	12
2	6	8	2	4
3	5	8	1	12
4	4	8	1	4
5	4	"	"	14
6	3	4	"	10
7	2	12	"	6
8	2	4	"	6
9	"	"	"	6

T.K
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் வருடம் 1992
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் வருடம் 1992
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Govt. Land

Adana Acres 153 — Varvyana Acres 173 — Excess 20 — Cutcherry 10 Add. — Total 23

Register No. 22(1)

Sheet No. 27

Original wet 2 Crops

25	1 25	7 — 8	
26	4 05	24 — 5	
32	" 98	5 — 14	
58	2 15	18 — 10	
70A	2 72	19 — 15	
74	2 38	20 — 10	
A80	" 51	4 — 7	
A83	2 05	17 — 12	
93A	1 72	12 — 10	
95	1 51	11 — 1	
98	3 23	23 — 11	
105	1 11	8 — 2	
109	2 40	17 — 10	
111	1 04	7 — 10	
A115	2 35	17 — 4	
119	" 11	" — 13	
Total	27-77-629 56	217 — 14	

or Acres 28 05

Excess 1 51

Cutchery 5 allowable

Originally personal but subsequently converted into personal & durgah in half the Inam having been transferred by an act of the ruling power to a durgah which is well maintained.

Free

Partly Heredi-tary and partly Perma-nent

By Rama Krishna Muttu Veerappa Naick a Gentu Prince in about A.D. 1681

(1) Attested Copy in Telugu of a copper plate grant by Rama Krishna Muttuveerappa Naick dated 5th Bhadrapada Sudha Seca year 1603 Dun-dubhi in favor of Sadasiva Ditchit for this and other Inams.

(2) Copy of Nabob Mahomed Ally Khan's Purwanah dated 2d Saval Hegira 1171 directing that the Inams in Several Villages granted by the Carnatic Rajahs to Ayyaghalaiyar (Surname of the grantee's family) shall be enjoyed in Equal shares by the grantee's family and Kathad in Durgah.

Sadasiva Ditchit

In W.H.T. Registers Not entered

In 1219 Aiyagala-aiyan Bhattaverti Inam

In 1233 Aiyagal-aiyan Bhattaverti Inam

In 1268 Aiyagal-aiyar

Trichinopoly Taluq of Lambukaravoram

(1) Aiyagal aiyar Mahadava Ditchit 51

(2) Aiyagal aiyar Sadasiva Ditchit 41

Trichy Taluq of Vadaduri

(3) Nathadsa Durga Managers

1st Mahomed Aleboosaw

2d Mahomed Mynuddeen Gaurdalal

(1) 11th lineal Descendant

(2) 12th Do to grantee

(1) wife — 1
Married Daughters & Brother's widow — 1¼

(2) Wife — 1
Gd. father's brother's sons — 2/3

No. 1 and 2 are divided. The Inam is enjoyed in shares as follows.
No. 1 — 2
No. 2 — 1
No. 3 — 3/6

The area of the Field No. 70A was entered in the Register of the Settlement Officer as 2.92 It has been altered again in Pencil figures as 2.72 – Besides, the area of the 4 Fields marginally
A 80
A 83
A 93
A 115
shown is entered in Pencil figures in the above Regr.

Notice No. 1, 2, 3 & 15 — 10 — 63 —

This Inam is not entered in Either Mr. Wallace's or Travers's Registers. In the former Register however, with reference to certain Villages therein Entered in Page 18 as exclusively Enjoyed for the Durgah it is remarked that the Durgah has "Bhattavarti" Manniyams in the Several Villages of the Trichinopoly District, meaning Iyagal aiyan's Bhattaverti Inams –

In the attested Copy of the Copper plate ~~...~~ grant, the grantee's name is eaten up by white ants and I make Sadasiva Ditchit the grantee from the name of his father mentioned in it according to the Geneological Table.

The Seca year 1603 is Entered in the attested Copy of the Grant in Telugu does not agree with the year Dundubhi mentioned – Vide the Ephemeris. It ought to be 1623 as noted in W. Regr. – Perhaps Clerical Error in figure in the Copy.

In ... the Extent of this grant is Entered as 241 Chays 300 Guntas. The latter with 36 chays in Kallakurchi and Porvur ... District are not in Enjoyment now. The total 241 chays then classified into wet and Dry the wet being entered 17 and the Dry 14 chays. The figure 14 was probably a ... error for 24. The 241 Chays are then distributed ... without being distinguished into wet and Dry ... portion allotted in this Village as per the grant is ...

This Inam although given originally by a Gentu Prince as a personal grant to a Bramin, was latterly divided by Nabob Mahomed Ally Khan between the grantee's heirs and the Durgah under the Purwanah 2nd in Col. 10 – A dispute is stated to have arisen 60 years ago between the two parties which after lengthened litigation Ended in the present state of Enjoyment under the 2d Document Vizt ½ by No. 1 and 2 and ½ by No. 3.

The Present Holder No. 2 appears to have formerly Enjoyed the ½ share in the Inam Exclusively for himself – At the instance of No. 1 who Complained to Board and Govt the share was placed under attachment and after Enquiry, Government directed it at the Board's recommendation to be released and restored to No. 2 referring No. 1 to Court for redress. It is now Enjoyed in the proportion mentioned in Col. 19 under a Court Razeenamah between them. Government further directed that the Continuance of the ½ share in question beyond the life of Either No. 1 or No. 2 will be a question for their Consideration on the occurrence of the next lapse. Vide from Board to Govt. 28th May 1849 No. ... and Min: Con: 13th July 1849 No. 650.

To be Confirmed in (2) Title Deeds though the Inam is not divided in land the produce being annually divided by No. 1 & 3 Vizt one ½ to No. 1 and 2 subject to a Q.R. of Rs 14 being 1/8 on ½ the Inam Enjoyed by them with the consent to ... and another to No. 3 free so long as the Durgah Exists for the other ½ – the ... the Ruling power of the Day in allotting for its support one half of an Inam personal in its nature being to be respected as well as an original grant –

[illegible] used by the Settlement Office [illegible]

2			2
3		3 — 8	2 — 4
4		4 — 8	1 — 12
5		4 — "	1 — 4
6		3 — 4	" — 14
7		2 — 12	" — 10
8		2 — 4	" — 6
9		" — "	" — 6

Kammanallur village,
Kulittalai Taluk,
Trichinopoly district.

153 73 27 — 10 Add. — Total 23

Personal in part and Davadayem in part	Wet 2 Crop				
	25	1	25	7 — 8	
	26	4	05	24 — 5	
	32	"	98	5 — 14	
	58	2	15	18 — 10	
	70A	2	72	19 — 15	
	74	2	38	20 — 10	
	80A	"	51	4 — 7	
	83A	2	05	17 — 12	
	93A	1	72	12 — 10	
	95	1	51	11 — 1	
	98	3	23	23 — 11	
	105	1	11	8 — 2	
	109	2	40	17 — 10	
	111	1	04	7 — 10	
	115A	2	35	17 — 4	
	119	"	11	" — 13	
Total	27-77-6	29	56	217 — 14	
	or acres	28	05		
	Excess	1	51		
	Centage	5	allowable		

Originally personal but subsequently converted into personal & Davadayem half the Inam having been transferred by an Act of the ruling power to a Durgah which is well maintained

Free

Partly Hereditary and partly Permanent

By Renga Krishna Muttu Veerappa Naik Agentee Prince in about A.D. 1681.

(1) Attested Copy in Telugu of a Copperplate grant by Renga Krishna Muttu Veerappa Naik dated 5th Bhadrapada Sudha Saca year 1603 Dundubhi in favor of Sadasiva Ditchit for this and other Inams

(2) Copy of Nabob Mahomed Ally Khan's Purwanah dated 2nd Rawul Hijiri 1171 directing that the Inams in Several Villages Granted by the Carnatic Rajahs to Aiyaghalaiyer (Surname of the grantee's family) Shall be enjoyed in equal Shares by the grantee's family And Nathadsa Durgah.

Sadasiva Ditchit

In 1845 Register not entered. In 1219 Aiyagala Aiyer Bhattaverti Inam

In 1233 Aiyagal Aiyen Bhattaverti Inam. In 1268 Aiyagal Aiyan

Trichnopoly Talug Sambukaswaram

(1) Aiyagal Aiyar Mahadava Ditchit 51

(2) Aiyagal Aiyan Sadasiva Ditchit 41

Trichnopoly Talug Vadavem

(3) Nathadsa Durgha Managers
1st Mahommed Akbarsaib
2nd Mahommed Mynuddeen Gurukkal

(1) 11th lineal Descendants
(2) 12th Do. to grantee

(1) Wife — 1
Married Daughters } 2
Brother's widow } 1
— 4

(2) Wife — 1
Grandfather's brother's sons } 2
— 3

No. 1 & 2 are divided. The Inam is enjoyed in 6 Shares as follows
No. 1 — 2
No. 2 — 1
No. 3 — 3
— 6

The area of the Field No. 70 A was entered in the register of the settlement office first in ink as 2.42. It has been altered again in Pencil figures as 2.72. Besides, the area of the 4 Fields Marginally
A 80
A 83
A 93
A 115
} Shewn is entered in Pencil figures in the above Regr.

Notice No. 1. to No. 1 & 2. Sent 2-10-63
Do. No. 6 to No. 3. Sent 15-10-63

This Inam is not entered in either Mr. Wallace's or Travers' Registers. In the former Register however, with reference to certain villages therein entered in Page 18 as exclusively enjoyed for the Durgah it is remarked that the Durgah has "Bhattavarti" Maniyams in the Several Villages of the Trichnopoly District, Meaning Iyagal Aiyan's Bhattavarti Inams.

In the attested Copy of the Copperplate Grant the grantee's name is eaten up by white ants and I make Sadasiva Ditchit the Grantee from the Name of his father mentioned in it According to the Geneological Table.

The Saca year 1603 as entered in the attested Copy of the Grant in Telugu does not agree with the year Dundubhi mentioned. Vide the Ephemeris. It ought to be 1623 as noted in W. Register. Perhaps clerical error in figure in the Copy.

Confirmed as recommended

T. K.
7/7/65

[illegible]

T.D.
2

T. D. [illegible]
2470 & 2471

Case No. 1 (contd).

[illegible] the 2nd Sunnud the extent of this grant is entered as 241 [illegible] and 1200 Guntahs. The latter with 36 Chays in Kalli[illegible] and Poroowy Madura District are not in enjoyt. now. The [illegible] 241 Chays are then classified into wet and dry the wet being [illegible] as 217 and the dry 5½ Chays. The figure 14 was probably [illegible] clerical error for 24. The 241 Chays [illegible] then distributed [illegible] being distinguished into wet and dry. The [illegible] is 10 [illegible]

Register No. 22 (2)
Sheet No. 28

This Inam although given originally by a Gentu Prince as a Personal grant to a Bramin was latterly divided by Nabob Mahommed Ally Khan between the grantees and the Durgah under the Purwanah 2nd in Col: 12. A dispute is stated to have arisen 50 years ago between the two parties which after lengthened litigation ended in the into state of enjoyment under the 2nd Document Vizt. ½ by No. 1 and 2 and ½ by No. 3.

The Present Holder No. 2 appears to have formerly enjoyed the ½ share in the Inam exclusively for him[illegible]. At the instance of No. 1 who complained to Board & Govt. the share was placed under attachment, and after enquiry Government directed it at the Board's recommendation to be released and restored to No. 2 referring No. 1 to Court for redress. It is now enjoyed in the proportion mentioned in Col: 19 under a Court Razeenamah between them, Government further directed that the [illegible] of the ½ Share in Question beyond the life of either No. 1 or No. 2 will be a question for their consideration on the occurrence of the next lapse. Vide from Board to Govt. 28th May 1849 No. 225. And Min: Con: 13th July 1849 No. 650.

To be confirmed in (2) Title Deeds when claimed through the Inam is not divided in land the produce being annually divided by No. 1 to 3 Vizt. one T. D. to No. 1 and 2 subject to a Q. R. of Rs. 14 being ⅛ on ½ the Inam enjoyed by them if agreed to and another to No. 3 free so long as the Durgah exists for the other ½ - the Act of the Ruling power of the Day in allotting for its support one Half of an Inam personal in its nature being to be respected as well as an original grant.

Depy. Colr. [illegible] has gone fully into the case. There is nothing left [illegible] but to submit the Regis. to the [illegible] office, the Inamdars having appeared & filed their statements.

[illegible]

To be confirmed under Rule [illegible] Legend
No. 1 & 2 14.78 108 - 15
[illegible] 12-[illegible] 14 — Rs.
Under Rule [illegible] 61. [illegible]
No. 3. 14.78 108 - 15
Two Title Deeds
22/6/[illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
T.K.
நவம்பர் மாதம் வருடம் 1982
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
நவம்பர் மாதம் வருடம் 1982
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்.