Wet Kawny ——— 182

The inam Village is bounded on the west by Mukkoma Malay Hills which divides it from Marungapuri Village and on the north by Vellpattu Hills which divides it from Sirattupattu Inam Village - on the East by Pallavaram which divides it from the Inam Villages of Pallipattu & Pudupattu - and on the South by a Tamarind tree which divides the Aggraharam Land of Pallampattu. The Cheri is within the recognised limits of the Village - And within the Tamarind nor any other party after to the service. In fact there is no proof of any kind of Encroachment on the dry or lands and not allowed. During the Government management of the Zamindary between F. 1237 & 58, the Cheri found in minor inams as well as those found in the inam in this case were attached to the institution - And then small portions of these Cheris were allowed to be enjoyed by the Several Servants of the Pagoda. It also appears that some of the original Fields of the Pagoda inam were also attached to certain Servants - The present State of Enjoyment which has resulted from the above transactions is exhibited in the Register. The Tyrul area of the Pagoda inam, the Cheri found in it, and as well such portions of the Cheris in Minor inams as were added to it are also particularized in the right. The portions so added have also been distinguished in the Cases of the minor inams with a single exception which will be noticed hereafter. Thus the Settlement is based on the existing State of things - It appears that during Govt management a minor inam allotted for one service was allotted for another either in entirety or in part - In fact a new distribution attended with various changes of the Services inams has been made - But the change of service & inam having been for the benefit of the Institution is allowed. The Cheri in minor inams where it has been allowed to be enjoyed by the inamdars is admitted by the Zamindar to be within limits - He also admits that it was the same area assigned to Cheri in minor inams attached to Case (1); but as it has been given in by the Inamdars it is included in this very Case with a view to shew the existing State - The interests of Govt, however, being likely to be compromised by the above deductions where the inam is personal, I have not deducted the portion of the Cheri in Case 38, that was not added to Case (1) as stated above - The portion in question was allotted to 2 of the Pagoda Servants and it is confirmed at 1/2 2. R. to those persons only vide No. 243. in Case 38 but on Conditions of Service - The deductions in all other Cases either in the General Register & Service Register Can not affect Govt as they relate to Pagoda & Village Services in a manner which may in some measure be considered to be at the disposal of the Sagherdar.

To be confirmed free in our F. D. so long as the Pagoda exists, and the Several Services are rendered.

7-12
17-5-64

Register No. 770

Sheet No. 318

Note: The portions of the inam items Nos. 18 and 19 relating to dancing women by T. B. No. 4487 have been transferred from the condition of service and made T. B. No. 92 has been issued - Vide 1069 - B. No. 4106/57-1 7/18-11-57.

Non Resumable to G.C.

SYED WAHID BADSHA.
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
7th மாதம் Dec வடம் 1982
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
7th மாதம் Dec வடம் 1982
ஒ. கோபாலன்
கையொப்பம்.

24465
7

4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

	Dry 52	1 " " "	59 " — 7					
	Wet one crop							
	[illegible]	" 14 " 1	15 5 — 9					
	Total	1	74 6 — "					
(No. 17)	Dry in 17	1 — 3 — 2 "	72 " — 12					
	in 28	" — 12 — 2 "	46 " — 8					
	Total	2 " " 1	18 1 — 4					
(No. 18)	Dry in 88	2 " " 2 1	20 1 — 11					
	in 33	1 — 4 — 2 "	76 " — 11					
	Total	3 — 5 " 1	96 2 — 6					
(No. 19)	Dry in 31	1 — 12 — 2 1	05 1 — 2					
(No. 20)	Dry in 23	" — 14 — 1 "	53 " — 12					
	24	5 — 5 — 3 3	16 4 — "					
	Total	6 " 4 " 3	69 4 — 12					
	Garden in 23	1 — 15 — 3 1	17 5 — "					
	Total	8 — 3 — 3 4	86 9 — 12					
(No. 21)	Dry 81	2 — 8 " 1	47 1 — 1					
(No. 22)	Dry 55	3 — 2 " 1	84 1 — 5					
	Wet one Crop 23	" — 3 — 2 "	29 " — 9					
	Total	2	13 1 — 14					
Total Inam (1) — as per Inam Account	Dry	419 " " 2247	24236 — 12	445 — 1 — 2	262	63	252 — 14	
	Wet	17 — 6 — 1 22	96 67 — 1	20 — 15 — 1	27	62	80 — 12	
	Garden	1 — 8 — 2 "	90 3 — 14	1 — 8 — 2 "		90	3 — 14	
	Total	271	10 307 — 11		291	15	337 — 8	
Excess	Dry	216 — 14 — 2 127	96 126 — 15	191 — 13 — 2	112	57	110 — 14	
	Wet	19 — 15 — 2 26	39 75 — 10	16 — 6 — 2	21	69	61 — 15	
	Garden	" — 7 — 1 "	27 1 — 2	" — 7 — 1 "		27	1 — 2	
	Total	154	82 203 — 11		134	53	173 — 15	
Portion of this Excess	Dry	26 — 1 " 15	38 16 — 2	25 — 1 "	15	39	16 — 1	
	Wet	3 — 9 " 4	66 13 — 11	3 — 9 "	4	70	13 — 11	
	Total	20	04 29 — 13		20	09	29 — 12	
Total	Dry	662 " " 390	59 379 — 13					
	Wet	40 — 14 — 3 54	01 156 — 6					
	Garden	1 — 15 — 3 1	17 5 — "					
	Total	445	77 541 — 3					

(20) Do. Neetteram or Cow-herd. Vadamaleyakoan

(21) Do. Potterman. Notcheviloan

(22) Do. Washerman. Ammamuttan

---

2 Devadayam — 24 As per Inam Account — Wet one crop: 1 — 3 " 1 | 57 3 — 2; Excess: " — " — 3 " | 06 " — 2; Total: 1 — 3 — 3 1 | 63 3 — 4

Gurukkal Service in the Minatchi Ammen Pagoda at Marungapuri. The service is rendered

Free. Permanent. Not known. None. Not known.

In 1213 Thyilinga Gurukkal

In 1239 Deiva Shikamani Gurukkal

In 1263 Marungapuri Minatchi Sundara Iswarar Devasthanum Sibbund

Marungapuri Minatchi Ammen Pagoda Gurukkal Service Muttu Gurukkal

To be confirmed free in one T. D. so long as the Pagoda exists and the service is rendered

T. R. 14-5-64

[illegible] 1021

Fair copy

Register No. 77 (2)

Sheet no. 319

SYED WAHID BADSHA
செப்பமிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
7* மாதம் Dec வருடம் 1962
செப்பமிடு முத்திர
7* மாதம் Dec வருடம் 1962
ஒ.நே.நாயகம் 13/11/62
~~வரைபட~~ ஒப்பியாளரிடம் ஒப்படைப்பம்


Register No. 77 (2)

Sheet no. 319

Register No. 776(3)

Sheet No. 320

SYED WAHID BADSHA.
செப்பஞ்செய்வதற்காக ஒத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
7th மாதம் Dec மாடம் 1982
செப்பஞ்சு முத்திரை
7th மாதம் Dec மாடம் 1982
இ. நிருவாகி உதவியாளர் 2/11/82
கையொப்பம்.



No. 77 Register of Kalluppetty Sen: No 79. Mavungapuram Zamindari Kuhttalai Taluq Trichinopoly Dt (Inam Village) Contd from 21

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20

29 Devadayam Dry 17 2-6-- : 1 40 1 — 12
Tyrul 1-2-- : " 66 " — 13
Excesp 1-4-- : " 74 " — 15

For the Supt. of Vth Cuel Karuppa-swamy Pagoda at Kalluppetty. It is worship-ped once a year. It is under a tree.

Free Permanent

Not known

Kovil

Urkavel Sri 1213 Urkavel Kharuppa-swamy Pagoda

Sri 1239 Urkavel Kharuppa-swamy worshipper Palanigandi Koan

Kalluppetty Urkavel Karuppaswamy Pagoda Worshipper Palanigandi Koan

To be confirmed free as one I-C. to long as the Pagoda exists

I-K 17-5-64

Register No. 77(4)

Sheet No. 321

30 Dharmadayam Dry 6 — 33 573 — 13
" 4-12-2-2 323 — 1
Total 10-12-1 6 376 — 14
Wet one Crop 34 1-1-11 422 — 14
Total 7 181 7 — 12
Tyrul 6 08 7 — 7
Excesp 1 75 2 — 5

For the Supt. of Muttammal Urani at Kalluppetty It is duly reposed

Mutta-mal Urani

Sri 1213 Mutta-mal

Sri 1239 Kalluppetty Muttammal Urani or Public Tank Manager Zamindar Thirumala Pucchaya Naick

To be confirmed free as one I-C. to long as the Public Tank is maintained in due repair

I-K 30/4/64

Kovil 1018

31 Dharmadayam Dry 5 — 8 — 3 252 — 15
Tyrul 5 — 1 — 2-3 232 — 14
Excesp " — 2 — " 62 " — 1

For the Support of Valattan Pichan Urani at Kalluppetty It is kept in due repair

Valattan Pichan Urani

Sri 1213 Valattan Pichan Urani

Sri 1239 Kalluppetty Valattan Pichan Urani or Public Tank Manager Zamindar Thirumala Pucchaya Naick

To be confirmed free as one I-C. to long as the Public Tank is maintained in due repair

I-K 30/4/64

Kovil 1019

32 Dharmadayam Dry 1 — 14 — " 11 1 —
Tyrul 1 — 9 — " 92 " — 13
Excesp " — 5 — " 19 " — 3

For the Support of Thangam-mal Urani at Kalluppetty It is kept in due repair

Thangam-mal Urani

Sri 1213 Thangam-mal Urani

Sri 1239 Kalluppetty Thangammal Urani or Public Tank Manager Zamindar Thirumala Pucchaya Naick

To be confirmed free as one I-C. to long as the Public Tank is maintained in due repair

I-K 30/4/64

Kovil 1020

33 Dharmadayam Wet one Crop 22 Tyrul 1 — 9 — " 96 1 — 8
Excesp 1 — 2 — 1 85 3 — 11
Total 1 — 11 — 2 82 5 — 3
Return attached to Case 1 1 — 3 — 1 57 3 — 2
Remd to portion deducted above 1 — 12 — 2 103 2 — 1
" 8 — " 44 1 — 5
" 11 — " 41 1 — 13
Total 1 — 15 — 2 86 5 — 3

Bhattavan Hdm. Sthg

Not known

Sri 1213 Hydalinga Gurukkal

Sri 1239 Bhattakkesampatty Mazam of Sonmukham Edayappatty

(1) Agasamy Gurukkal
Mavungapuram
(2) Venkatesa-mier Grand-son of the party Sri 1213. Sri 1263 Hydalinga Gurukkal Grand Son to registry in Fasli 1213.
(3) Munsabdar

(1) Mutta-n 1 Wife — 1
(2) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(3) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(4) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(5) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(6) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(7) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(8) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(9) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(10) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(11) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(12) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(13) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(14) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(15) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(16) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(17) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(18) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(19) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(20) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(21) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(22) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(23) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(24) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1
(25) Son Mutta-n — 1 Wife — 1

It is stated in the enquiry that the parties in Fasli 1239 and 1263 (both of whom are now extinct) have not been in enjoyment of the inam since 20 years, or since the party in Fasli 1239 was dismissed from the Pagoda service in case 1, which is now rendered by No. 1's uncle Muttan & grandfather of Gurukkal and the present holder family is not known for it. It further appeared that the personal character of the inam having been lost sight of the Tyrul Area of the inam and a portion of its Excesp was attached to the above Services, and the remaining portion

Rejected to Dept Com. pro. for the subject, vide the Collr. Trichy Circular Proc. No. 1 dated 1/11/67 on the subject, vide the Collr. Trichy Circular Proc. No. 1 dated 1/11/67 on the subject, vide the Collr. Trichy Circular Proc. No. 1 dated 1/11/67 on the subject, vide the Collr. Trichy Circular Proc. No. 1 dated 1/11/67 on the subject

J. R. Office 29-7-68.

were attached to the Services rendered by No 2 and 3 to the Pagoda in Case 1. These Circumstances however can not alter the original tenure of the inam clearly recognised and entered in accounts as Dharmadayam. To be confirmed therefore in 3 desperate I. Lands in 1/2 Compulsory I-R. so long as the Annual Pagoda Services are rendered as follows.

No 2 — 1 — 1 — 0
2 — 2 .. 10
3 — 3 .. 14
6 — 6

I-K 19-5-64

Vide the other side for further Explanations

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்டது
மாதம் DEC வைடம் 1982
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் DEC வேடம் 1982
தாமோதரி தேவிபிரசாத் கையொப்பம்.

Considered in Case (33) – The Gumkhal Services which was rendered by the Pankies in Fasli 1213 & 1239 was the condition for the Inam in Case 2 only – There is nothing to show that the Inam was [illegible] Service & any other – In the former Case, the title of the two Inamdars would have been united in F. 1213 as one & Single Inam in as much as they were enjoying it by virtue of the same Sanad Vydelinga Gumkhal – In the latter Case, the claim would have formed an item or a Share of the Service Inam – Whether it is the Case – On this conclusion the Inam in Case 2 was entered in Accounts under the Head of the Service Enfeoffment – while this Inam was entered as a distinct & Separate claim of different Class of Enfeoffments under the Head of Inams Resumed & Sequestrated – It is true the Pankies in Fasli 1213 & 1239 rendered the Gumkhal Service and the latter was also dismissed from it – but the Service in question here was rendered by the one in Case 2 – It is believed that even after the above dismissal of the Pankies in F. 1239 his brothers have as well as the son of the Pankies in F. 1213 named Sussia Gumkhal had been enjoying the main bill last decade which occurred 30 years ago while the Inam in Case 2 was resumed immediately after his dismissal by Systems Gumkhal No. 1 in Case 2 & 33 – The Plaintiffs Ancestors under the circumstances of the Case could not have been in reference with the Inam in his Estate seems to have deprived the Sons of the above Sussia Gumkhal named Vydelinga Gumkhal of the Inam and ascertained at Inam fee 1 8 3 in this Case – Imposing upon them the Services specified thereof in his own Register for F. 1185 he enjoyed gradually as a step-gun & against his act attracting the attention of the authorities – the Pankies were the persons in Fault dispossessed by him as the Inamdar Vydelinga Gumkhal who is now dead & has left no heirs does not appear to have ever complained against his dispossession by the Inamdar – Nor are there any orders on the Subject – These circumstances only show his weakness to defend himself against the Inamdar's acts – There cannot in my view justify any presumption that the main was a Service Inam in the title of the old Accounts which I conceive, are conclusive evidences of the tenure of Inams in General so long as they are not contradicted by better authorities such as Grants or Precedents – J. Krishna Rao
4/1/65 –

Under the circumstances explained
the Inam can be treated as Resd.
Actual Inam & enfd as recommended – Dt. [illegible] as account
because, so far as
the Inamdar's Ancestors are
concerned, the Inam was
granted to them either for Cash
Service or on Enfeoffment
who had no claim to give it

P. Chentsalrao
Asst
26/1/65

Copies