[illegible] Mukkatti Division of the Kulittalay Taluq in the Trichinopoly District

Kawny Acre 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

This is a Viraiambam Village in which a Kawny = 1·01 acres

This Village is included in the 2nd Group for the wet and in the 3rd Group for the Dry lands. The rates last proposed by the Settlement office are

| Taram | Wet R | Wet a | Dry R | Dry a |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 12 |
| 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 12 |
| 4 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | 4 | .. | .. | 14 |
| 6 | 3 | 4 | .. | 10 |
| 7 | 2 | 12 | .. | 6 |
| 8 | 2 | 4 | .. | 6 |
| 9 | .. | .. | .. | 6 |

Estd. Land

| Old area Acres | Survey area Acres | Excess | Cesstage |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2,4[illegible] | 2,875 | 382 | 15<br>10 Add<br>25 |

# Register No. 19

# Sheet No. 23

The areas as given in the Abstract of the Settlement office are

181 1,255
182 2,620
183 1 2,875

The entries do not agree with the Jamabundy account of F. 1271 under the 2 Heads. But the total area of F. 1271 converted into 4·32 per Kawny comes to 3,258 acres nearly correct. The rate of Conversion adopted by the Settlement Department was wrong, and the area contained in a Kawny is 1·01 according to the length of the rod (21 feet). I have made the [illegible] alteration in the area of F. 1271 only.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Wet one Crop<br>562 .. ·45 1 — 7<br>567 .. ·35 1 — 9<br>Total ·80 .. ·80 3 — ..<br>Dry<br>7 11 ·91 4 — 8<br>528 1 ·02 .. — 6<br>531 .. ·21 .. — 1<br>Total 16-47-14 [illegible] ·14 4 — 15<br>Total 15-27-14 [illegible] 7 — 15<br>Acres 15 ·43<br>Excess None | For the Support of Narasinga Perumál Pagoda at Chintalavádi. It is well kept up | Todi Permanent<br>5 — 11 | Not Known | None. | Narasinga Perumal Pagoda | In N. V. Register Substituted In 1219<br>Narasinga Permal Inam 11-99-11<br>Pagoda Tope 3-28-3<br>15-27-14 | In 1233 Narasinga Perumal Sw[illegible] 9-99-11 ⊕<br>In 1268 Narasinga Permal 15-27-14 | Chintalavádi<br>Narasinga Perumál Pagoda<br>Worshipper<br>Ruppanachari — | .. | To be confirmed in one T.D. subject to the existing Todi of Rs 5-11- .. so long as the Pagoda exists<br>T.R.<br>12/10/63 | T.D. No.<br>457 | Confd<br>[illegible]<br>17/11/64 |

Case No. 1

(Note) The 2 wet fields are entered in the Register of the Settlement office as Sirkar with a Pencil remark "Todi" —

⊕ Tope 3-28-3
out of 6-11-9 ×
Total 15-27-14

× The difference is Syn land —

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dry<br>[illegible] 2 ·40 .. — 14<br>[illegible] 2 ·37 .. — 14<br>Total [illegible] — ·77 1 — 12<br>[illegible] 3 ·36 1 — 6<br>[illegible] 6 ·[illegible] 2 — 5<br>Total [illegible] 9 ·91 3 — 11<br>Total [illegible] 14 ·68 5 — 7<br>Old acres 10 ·10<br>Excess 4 ·58<br>Cesstage 45 | For the Support of Mári Amman Pagodas at Chintalavádi. They are well kept up | Free | Do | Do | Mári Amman Pagodas | In N. V. Register Substituted In 1219 Mári Amman Pagoda | In 1233 Mári Amman In 1268 Mári Amman | Mayara Mattipatty (1) Mári Amman Pagoda<br>Mayara Ponavásipatty (2) Mári Amman Pagoda | Worshippers. Marada Pandaram.<br>Periannan Pandaram. | To be confirmed as follows — 1st The share of Pagoda No. 1 free so long as it exists T.D.<br>2d The share of Pagoda No. 2 as follows<br>Area Assessment [illegible] R<br>Old area — 6·06<br>[illegible] allowed 2 [illegible] } 1·51<br>7·57 2-13 Free so long as the Pagoda exists<br>Panamaniy [illegible] [illegible] } 2·34 .. 14 [illegible] .. 14<br>9·91 — 3 — 11 — .. 14<br>T.D. [illegible]<br>T.R.<br>12/10/63 | T.D. No.<br>458<br>459 | Confd<br>[illegible]<br>17/11/64 |

Case No. 2