உ கணேசன்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜூலை மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஆகஸ்ட் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
18/8/89
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

village of Tiruvarutturai (No 222) in the

Sheet No [illegible]

Ditto [illegible] 109-14-7½ 19·16
[illegible] Parambok 10-11-2 10·20

[illegible] 16-17-14 56·32 325-11-6
[illegible] 11-10-5½ 61·28 55-7-6
[illegible] 1-12-8 1·31 2-8-10

78-36-5½ 98·91 384-11-8

384-14-8
1-11-3
384-3-5

For the expenses of Sri Vilakkival vanadha swami Pagoda in the village of Tirukkalam bur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship regularly rendered.

Jodi 40-7-1
11-3

[illegible] Granted by Serfoji Maharajah [illegible] on the 9th Kar [illegible] Maiyat [illegible] Rs 1200

In the Regr of 1809 a Dafthir Rs [illegible] granting this Inam [illegible] of others in different villages to the Pagoda [illegible]. Extent paid to the Pagoda as per entry in the Regr in Porvak [illegible] W 5-0 D 10-0 [illegible] feet with [illegible]

Tirukkalambur Sri Vilvanadha swami

In the Regr of 1804 [illegible] Sri Vilvanadha swami Pagoda a portion of [illegible] W 5-5 D 10 5-15 with a [illegible] rod. In the col. headed "Value of the Inam" [illegible]

In F1238 Tirukkalambur Sri Vilvanadha swami Pagoda [illegible] village 109-6-7½

Sri Vilvanadha Swami at Tirukkalambur
Trustee
Sivalinga Mudali

[illegible] one at 12 feet [illegible] as the [illegible] the entire village was granted as [illegible]. The [illegible] of F1225 is not available [illegible] the village [illegible]. The extent entd in [illegible] of F1210, [illegible] the [illegible] "Paravattan" [illegible] the Inam [illegible], the entries in which [illegible] the Inam [illegible] grants, the entry regarding this village is "Paravattan [illegible] village", & the same [illegible] [illegible] have been made [illegible] 19 years. Even [illegible] large portion [illegible] cultivated. The entire village appears [illegible] to have been granted as Inam, [illegible] surrounding lands [illegible] to have [illegible].

[illegible] in Papanasam Taluk [illegible] by a road of [illegible] [illegible] in the transcript of the grant as contained in the first col. it is stated that [illegible] Veli of [illegible] in the village is granted to the swami [illegible]. In the Inam [illegible] of F1210, the entire [illegible] of the village is entd as [illegible] is found in the [illegible] of the [illegible] field in the grant. The particulars [illegible] [illegible] which they [illegible] the length of the road which

The excess in this Inam village is considerable being more than a hundred [illegible]. In the Regr of 1809, in which the grant for this Inam [illegible] is [illegible], the extent entd under the head "Extent of the land granted" are [illegible] in the col headed "Names of the villages or portions of villages comprised in the grant" is "a portion of the village [illegible]

Confirmed on present tenure subject to the existing Jodi.
10/2/65
1064

No 7

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Kamalalayam kattalai in the Tirukkannamangai Magánam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Sheet No 123

Entire
Ditto 31-9-1½ 31·23
[illegible] Parambok 6-22-8 6·59

Remaining Wet 16-31-1½ 6·78 112-0-0
Dry 3-8-1½ 3·20 4-10-6
Tope 6-26-6½ 4·66 6-12-6

26-25-1½ [illegible] 13-7-5

Wet 24-0-0
Dry 9-4-0

For the expenses of Kaval [illegible] Sri Tyagaraja swami's Pagoda in the village of Tiruvalur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is regularly performed. This is called "Abhishekakattalai"

The Regr of 1809 states that the grant was reported to have been [illegible]

Sri Tyagaraja swami

In the Regr of 1809 Tiruvarur Sri Tyagaraja swami Abhisheka kattalai sarvamaniam W 2-5 D 10 [illegible] 3-5 [illegible]

In F1239 Tiruvarur Sri Tyagaraja swami Pagoda Abhisheka kattalai sarvamaniam 39-9-1½

Sri Tyagaraja Swami
at Tiruvalur
Adinakarta
Vaidyalinga Pandara Sannadhi of Velakurichi

This is an entire Sarvamanyam village. The grant is not available. The village is entd [illegible] in the [illegible] of F1210 [illegible] Regr of 1809 in which the extent [illegible] is quoted. The length of the road is not specified in either [illegible] granted by the earlier Rajahs of Tanjore [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Sri Tyagaraja [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] by Raja [illegible] [illegible] may be presumed to have been [illegible]. Assuming this, the excess is

only [illegible] [illegible] entire Inam village [illegible] excess [illegible] is likely to have been [illegible] in these lands [illegible] to be confirmed. The rates [illegible] are the average of the [illegible] adjoining [illegible] villages
11-9-65

to be confirmed [illegible] for the [illegible] of the Pagoda
4-4-64

Confirmed on present tenure
10/2/65
1065

No 8

Register of the Sarvamanyam village Valmikanadhan kollai in the above Magánam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Entire
Ditto 15-37-1½ 15·93
[illegible] Parambok 1-23-5½ 1·71

Remaining Wet 5-2-7½ 5·06 34-4-4
Dry 1-12-7½ 1·31 1-12-1
Tope 7-33 15½ 7·85 10-9-8

14-8-12 14·22 46-10-1

Wet 12-11
Dry 8-14-8

Kaval
As above 1-8-9
This is called Rajan kattalai

[illegible] the Regr of 1809 [illegible] less than that [illegible] to be confirmed. The rates [illegible] are the average of the [illegible] adjoining [illegible]

In the Regr of 1809 Tyagaraja swami [illegible] Rajan kattalai sarvamaniam W 3 D 7 [illegible] 13 [illegible] 12-10-10

In F1239 Sri Tyagaraja swami Pagoda Rajan kattalai sarvamaniam 15-27-1½

[illegible] with the Company's [illegible]

Sri Tyagaraja Swami
at Tiruvalur
Adinakarta
Gyana sammanda Pandara Sannadhi of Darmapuram

In the Regr of 1809 the extent of the village is entd [illegible]. The length of the rod is not specified [illegible] does not appear to have been the result of any [illegible] the same [illegible] said to have been [illegible] with the Company's [illegible] calculating the excess in [illegible] villages we take the [illegible] of F1210 & 1239 [illegible] in this village we [illegible] compare the present [illegible] with that [illegible]

Confirmed on present tenure
10/2/65
1066

No 9

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Kamalalayam kollai in the above Magánam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Entire
Ditto [illegible] 39
[illegible] Parambok [illegible] 1·27

Remaining [illegible]

[illegible] with that recorded in the Regr of 1809 [illegible]. The excess in this Inam village is less than the average of the [illegible] adjoining [illegible] villages [illegible] to be confirmed [illegible] the average of the [illegible]

In the Regr of 1809 Tyagaraja swami [illegible] Kattalai [illegible] sarvamaniam [illegible] Pagoda

In F1239 [illegible] rajaswami [illegible]

in the adjoining villages referred to
23-9-65

The [illegible] extent of this Sarvamaniam village compared with that [illegible] in the Regr of [illegible] [illegible] large [illegible] [illegible] with the Company's [illegible]

Confirmed on [illegible]

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of [illegible]

1. Do. Entire
Dittam 23-15-15½ 23·60
Deduct Poramboke 2-–-8½ 2·01
Remg. Wet 19-18-6½ 19·46 165-6-8
Dry –-27-3½ ·68 1-4-6
Tope 1-9-15 1·25 2-5-1
21-15-7½ 21·39 169-–-3

For the expenses of Sri Tyagarajaswamis Pagoda in the village of Tiruvarur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Abhishekakattalai".

Kaval fees 4-15-7 Not known

Granted as stated in the Regr. of 1809 by Pratapasimha Raja (date not known).

No documents. The grant is stated in the Regr. of 1809 was presented to have been lost in war. Extent of grant not known.

Sri Tyagarajaswami.

In Regr. of 1809 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Abhishekakattalai Mokkadai Elandavanamanji
W 1-5-–
D –-5-–
Dr –-3-–
1-13-–
As per Palan list
W 2-5-33¾
D –-3-6½
Dr –-1-5½
2-10-55⅞ As per acct.

In F1239 Sri Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Sarvamanyam Elandavanamanji 23-15-15¾

Sri Tyagarajaswami at Tiruvarur
Manager of the kattalai
Vaidyalinga Pandarasannadhi

This is an entire Sarvamanyam village. No grant is available for it. [illegible] in the Regr. of 1809, in which was recorded the extent in [illegible] other as per Regr. The present [illegible] the latter by [illegible]. The boundaries specified in the acct. of F1226 the [illegible] in which they are given correspond with present limits, the extent [illegible] namely 73-8-16 is very nearly equal to the present area. Being an entire village [illegible] surrounding lands appearing [illegible]

[illegible] have been [illegible] changeable [illegible] in the adjoining [illegible] to be confirmed and [illegible] Majority [illegible]

T. Sar
1060

Confirmed on present terms
10/2/65

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Divangadi Tottam in the above Mogaram Nannilam Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 11

1. Do. Entire
Dittam 8-33-10½ 8·84
Deduct Poramboke 1-16-8 1·46
Remg. Wet 2-24-2½ 2·60 17-14-9
Tope 4-31-– 4·78 11-11-6
7-15-2½ 7·38 29-10-3

As above. Kaval fees –-11-7 do. do. As above. As above.

In Regr. of 1809 Tyagarajaswami Abhishekakattalai Sarvamanyam [illegible] As per Palan list 1-6-15 [illegible] the Company's rod.

In F1239 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Abhishekakattalai Sarvamanyam [illegible] 8-33-10½

As above

In the Regr. of 1809 the extent of the village is entd. as V1-6-15 as per Palan list. The length of the rod is not specified, nor can the [illegible] of any measurement. A survey which appears to have been made with the Company's rod showed the extent [illegible] the V1-6-15 which is also quoted in the Regr. This extent is almost equal to the extent now in acct. [illegible] the [illegible] measurements of F1216 & 1238. [illegible] *

[illegible] this in [illegible] of the [illegible]. There is [illegible] are equal. The [illegible] present [illegible] have been [illegible] to be confirmed on [illegible] originally granted. *

* this Inam there was a measurement [illegible] of F1216 the [illegible] in the Regr. of 1809 the present [illegible] compared.

T. Sar
1061

Confirmed on terms
10/2/65

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Tottakkudi Tottam in the above Mogaram Nannilam Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 12

Do. Entire
Dittam 8-36-12½ 8·92
Deduct Poramboke –-15-8½ ·39
Remg. W. 2-28-3½ 2·70 13-11-5
–-29-2 ·73 1-7-6
4-12½ 4·95 4-14-5
Garden –-7 ·15 –-9-4
8-21-3½ 8·53 [illegible]

As above. Kaval fees –-6-3 Not known

Granted as stated in the Regr. of 1809 by Sahaji Raja (date not known).

No documents. The grant it is stated in the Regr. of 1809 was presented to have been lost in war. Extent of grant not known.

As above

In Regr. of 1809 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Inam a portion of the village Tittagudi 1-6-97½ with Palan [illegible]

In F1239 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Abhishekakattalai Sarvamanyam Tittagudi Tottam 8-36-12½

As above

This village was formerly part of another & now recognized as a distinct village. The [illegible] adopted [illegible] lands of the village of which this originally formed part.

[illegible] the benefit of the Pagoda.

16-8-63. Major Grant [illegible]

* Continued for the benefit of the Pagoda

T. Sar
1062

Confirmed on present terms
10/2/65

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Pakkam in the above Mogaram Nannilam Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 13

1. Do. Entire
Dittam 50-35-11 50·39
Deduct Poramboke 7-17-1½ 7·43
Remg. Wet 33-34-15½ 33·87 186-4-–
Dry 1-36-2½ 1·50 3-4-4
Tope 4-27-2½ 4·65 8-–-7
Garden 3-–-6½ 3·01 4-8-1
43-18-9½ 43·[illegible] 207-1-1

As above. This is called "Abhishekakattalai". Kaval fees 8-6-2 Not known

Granted as stated in Regr. of 1809 by Sahaji Raja (date not known).

No documents. In the Regr. of 1809 it is said that the Sitta was stated to have been lost. Extent of grant not known.

Tyagarajaswami.

In the Regr. of 1809 Pakkam village Sarvamanyam of Tyagarajaswami
W 5-–-–
D –-–-–
Dr 1-–-–
5-–-–
Per Palan list

In F1216 Tyagarajaswami Abhishekakattalai Sarvamanyam Pakkam [illegible]

In F1226 Pakkam Sarvamanyam
W 4-11-68
D –-18-6
Tope –-18-6
Dr 1-3-32
5-19-6

In F1239 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Sarvamanyam
W 32-4
D 1-36-2½
Tope 4-27-2½
Gar 3-–-6½
41-35-6½
Dr 7-17-1½
49-12-6¼
Pillaiyar Inam W1-23-4
50-35-11

Sri Tyagarajaswami at Tiruvarur
Manager of the kattalai
Vaidyalinga Pandarasannadhi
Pillaiyar at Pakkam
Worshipper
Kalyanasundaragurukkal

The Sitta not being available the extent of grant is not known. From the acct. of F1216 the whole village appears to have been granted as Inam. The [illegible] according to that acct. was 5 [illegible]. The length of the rod is not specified. It must be observed that the [illegible] by the earliest Rajahs were generally with a rod longer than 12 feet. The extents recorded in the [illegible] of F1216 were not the result of any measurement but were the [illegible] of the villages. The [illegible] of F1215-16 of the [illegible]

[illegible] only 10 P.C. less than the present extent. Besides, the entire village being Sarvamanyam, the apparent excess cannot properly be regarded as having resulted from encroachment [illegible] is likely that the adjoining villages would have [illegible] the extent in F1216 [illegible] their [illegible]. However [illegible] a [illegible] village which adjoins [illegible] the village. But from [illegible] the Inam in this village [illegible] is 83. It appears therefore that the [illegible] in adjoining [illegible] the average of the [illegible] in the acct. of F1226

Confirmed on present terms to [illegible]
10/2/65

T. Sar
1063

Register of the [illegible] village of Lembangudi (No. 517) in the Peralam Magazine [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

[illegible] No. 02363 of 1863 from No. 24 ~~[illegible]~~ claiming [illegible] land than was confirmed [illegible] & Tah. [illegible]

15 Dec/66

Personal Estate
Deduct [illegible]

Ditto [illegible]	47-2-11½	47·97		
Deduct [illegible]	8-3-12	8·98		
Remg. Wet	30-5-15½	30·16	164-13-5	
Dry	5-8-	5·20	6-12-2	
Tope	1-17-11½	1·45	1-14-2	
Garden	2-8-1	2·20	6-2-1	
	38-39-10½	38·99	179-9-10	For the personal benefit of the holder

6.65

C.R.

No.	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Assessment	Kuvel	Q.R.		
1	5-26-4½	37-½	12-9½	6-26-9½	32-13-3	15-1	1/8	4	1.7.0
2	37-9½	9-4½	3-4½	1-10-2½	5-10-8	2-6		12	1.7.0
3	30-4½	9-4½	3-2½	1-11-13½	5-14-6	2-7		12	1.7.0
4	3-6½	9-4½	3-2½	1-11-13½	5-14-6	2-7		12	
5	1-35-9½	18-10	6-4½	20-13½	11-5-2	5-1		1-4	
6	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6		10	1.7.0
7	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6		10	
8	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6	1/4	1-4	1.7.0
9	35-½	18-9½	6-4½	2-20-2	11-5-2	5	1/8	1-8	1.7.0
10	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6		10	1.7.0
11	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6		10	1.7.0
12	11-9½			11-9½	9-4	9		3-4	
13	5-13			5-13	12-8			8	
14	5-4			5-15		4		8	1.7.0
15	2-14½			2-14½		2		10	
16	2-14½			2-14½	3-1	4		10	
17	5-13			5-13	12-8	4		8	
18	37-9½	9-5	3-2½	1-10-½	10-8	2-6		10	1.7.0
19	18-3½	4-10½	1-7	25-½	13-3	1-5	1/4	10	1.7.0
20	32-12	27-13½	9-4½	3-30-16	15-10	7-1	1/8	2	1.7.0
21	33-10	5-4		38-14	12-3	2-2	1/4	1-4	1.7.0
22	1-3-14½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-5½	6-8-4	2-11	1/8	12	1.7.0
23	37-0½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6		10	1.7.0
24	8-12½	4-10½	1-9½	15-½	2-13-4	1-3	1/4	10	
25	32-6½	18-13	6-4½	2-19-7½	11-5-2	5	1/3	1-8	1.7.0
26	37-9½	9-5½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6		10	1.7.0
27	1-31-3½	18-9½	6-4½	3-19-2½	11-5-2	5			
	30-5-15½	6-25-14½	2-8-1	38-39-10½	179-9-10	5--5			

Kuvel 5

Not known

Granted by Lala [illegible] to the [illegible] in the 26th [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible]

In the year of 1807, a [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] is secured. Extent of Grant: W. 3-10, D. 15, G. 15, with a road of 14 feet

Appadittu [illegible]

In the year of 1809. [illegible]

Sheet No 126

father No. 10 enjoys ¼ th which he purchased in Parthiva from [illegible] who had purchased it in equal portions in the year [illegible] from No. 1 his brother No. 3 in col. 14 and another brother (No. 2 in the said col.) had shared equally between them, as his [illegible] [illegible] the half share entd. in [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] sale from said No. 11 enjoys a [illegible] share out of the ¼ which he has been already [illegible] share [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the year Pramoda [illegible] [illegible], and the [illegible] half is enjd. one third by No. 12, one of his 3 brothers, one third by No. 13 & 14, sons of another brother, and ⅓ by No. 15, 16 & 17 sons of the other brothers. In the extent entd. [illegible] of his from whom he purchd. [illegible] 1852 [illegible]

Killiyar

1. Subramaniyar — son of No. 7
2. Appasami Sastri — son of No. 11
3. Eqyanarayana Sa. — [illegible]
4. Appa Sastri — son of No. 1
5. Rama Sastri — (5-6-7) [illegible] of No. 11
6. Subrama Sastri — (8) son of No. 3
7. Visvanadha Sastri — (14) daughter in law
8. Anna Sastri — (24) son of No. 12
9. Laumi Sastri — (20) [illegible] of No. 8
10. Vengan Sastri — (25) son of No. 20 [illegible] col. 14
11. Vanjivaiyar
12. Rama Sastri
13. Vaidyanadha Sa.
14. Swayambhulinga Sa.
15. Swayambhu Sa.
16. Lami Sastri
17. Guruswami Sastri
18. Seetappaiyar
19. Dajilammal
20. Ramaswami Ayyar
21. Swayambhulinga Sa.
22. Rangaiyangar
24. [illegible]
25. [illegible]
26. Sangaran
27.

(1) Wife 1. sons 3/6
(2) Wife 1. sons 2/3
(3) Brother 1/1
(4) Wife 1. daughter 1/2
(5) Wife 1. daughter 1/2
(6) Wife 1. son 1/2
(7) Wife 1. son 1/2
(8) Wife 1/1
(9) Wife 1/1
(10) Sons 2/2
(11) Wife 1. sons 4/5
(12) Wife 1. son 1/2
(13) Wife 1. daughter 1/2
(14) Wife 1/1
(15) Sons 2/2
(16) Daughter [illegible] son 1/2
(17) Sons 2/2
(18) Wife 1/1
(19) None
(20) Wife 1. brother 1/2
(21) Wife 1. son brother 1/3
(22) Wife 1. son 1/2
(23) Wife 1. [illegible] 1/3
(24) [illegible] 1/1
(25) Wife 1. son 1/3
(26) Wife 1/1
(27)

The No. [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] held in 8 shares [illegible] the [illegible] No. [illegible] held [illegible] of the 8 with [illegible] No. 1 enjoys the [illegible] in the name of his father with the half share No. [illegible] that of No. 14 in col. 14 [illegible] whose [illegible] his father [illegible] it [illegible] in the year 1838 — No. [illegible] enjoys the [illegible] in the name of his father No. 5, 6 & 7 enjoy [illegible] the half [illegible] in their grand father's name — the [illegible] entd. in the name of No. 6 [illegible] on whose death it appears [illegible] father's [illegible] in the [illegible] the name of [illegible] [illegible] the No. 5 father of No. 5 [illegible] of the other [illegible]

This is an [illegible] village [illegible] by [illegible] [illegible]. The [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] with a road 14 feet long & the present [illegible] which is [illegible] with [illegible] and 12 feet in length [illegible] by [illegible] [illegible]

The lands in this village have been [illegible] at the average of [illegible] obtaining in the [illegible] lands in the adjoining Tarappa [illegible] villages, viz. [illegible] 36-2-8, 15-5-4 & 8-9-7 per [illegible] respectively of Wet, Garden & Dry.

This village is [illegible] and is [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

To be confirmed. The [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] by each holder is specified in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], as it has been charged [illegible] holders [illegible] consent. [illegible]

T.D. [illegible]

1st No. 1027 — 19 – 1037
2nd – 1028 — 20 – 1038
3rd 4th – 1029 — 21 – 1039
5th 6th 7th – 1030 — 22 – 1040
8th – 1031 — 23 1041
9th – 1032 — 25 – 1042
10th – 1033 — 26 – 1043
11th – 1034
12th 14th 17th – 1035
18th – 1036

No. 19 is an alienee [illegible] [illegible] She has no issue.

The share of No. 21 is charged with ½ assessment. All the other shares are confirmed as recommended.

15/2/65 W.

1-4
3-9

179

In putta [illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible] No. 17's name is [illegible] the share of a brother of his from whom he purchd. it in 1852 [illegible] [illegible] The [illegible] just [illegible] have been disposed of to [illegible] [illegible] in Pramoda was given by him as gift in the same year to his sister's husband [illegible] [illegible] of the party from whom he had purchd. it [illegible] [illegible] No. 21, her [illegible], a short time before her death [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] his funeral ceremonies [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. 18 enjoys a quarter share purchased by [illegible] his father, ⅛ th in 1842 from present holder No. 24 & ⅛ th in Parthiva in equal [illegible] from his (No. 24's) brother's 2 sons [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]. [illegible] sale [illegible] No. 10 enjoys ⅛ th out of the half entd. in her father in law's name [illegible] having [illegible] of No. 24 — this [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] already mentioned, ⅛ th having [illegible] [illegible] being in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] his own name the ¼ th [illegible] the ⅛ enjd. by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. 14 from No. 19. The sale of the [illegible] is supported by [illegible] by her husband. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the sale of the ¼ th [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] by No. 18 — No. 20 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the name of [illegible] No. 9 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. 20 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. 22 [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] No. 8 in col. 14 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. 1-2 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

No. 27 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 23-9-64 [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 31.5.67

[illegible] the Sarvamanyam [illegible] of Pattam in the [illegible] Maganam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore District.

Personal Entire
Dittam 27-5-14½ 27·18
Deduct Parambok 3-36-6½ 3·9

Remg. Wet	3-4-½	13·10	72-5-3
Garden	1-5-14½	1·5	4-4-2
Dry	8-37-8½	8·94	12-8-4
	23-7-7½	23·19	89-2-9
			-15-11
			85-2-10

For the personal benefit of the holder — 2-15-11 — Not known — Not known — No documents — Not known

In F1239. [illegible] Sahib Sarvamanyam enam 27-3-14½

Tanjore Sahuvambhayi Ammani 26.

Daughter of the party in Vol. 15 or grand daughter of the deposed [illegible]

Husband ¼

This Enam though measured apparently as part of the village of Pattam in F1239, is deducted in the first para of that Fasli as an entire village. It is said to have been originally granted to Ramasahib, a relation of the Raja. No grant is available for it, nor is the Enam to be found in the accounts of F1210 & 1216. Whether it was measured in F1226 or not is not known, as the account of that Fasli for this village is not forthcoming. This Enam being the property of a kinsman of the Raja, in other words a Mokhasa Enam, is very probably the reason of its omission in the accounts prior to F1239 in which such Enams are found to have been generally omitted. [illegible] since the [illegible] having [illegible] the [illegible] Government is old and valid.

On the death of the late Raja, this Enam was placed by the Commissioner in 1856 under attachment, with the impression, as the Collector states, that it belonged to the Raja's Palace, but the owner claiming it, it was restored in 1857.

The rates of assessment adopted are those obtaining in the Ayan lands in Pattam of which this is apparently part, and they are Rs. 5-8-5, 3-11-4 and 1-5-0 per acre respectively for Wet, Garden and Dry.

To be confirmed and continued on ⅛ Quit rent [illegible].

3-10-66. [illegible]

T. Dis.
1044

Under the special circumstances [illegible] this [illegible] confirmed on 1/8th Qt. [illegible]

1-4

Register No 20

---

2 W-21

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Sahuvampettai in the above Maganam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore District.

Do. Entire
Dittam 4-8-5½ 4·22
Deduct Parambok -9-15 ·25

Remg. Wet	1-8-11½	1·22	7-5-3
Garden	2-"-2	2·00	6-13-9
Dry	"-30-3½	·75	1-5-"
	3-39-½	3·97	15-8-"

As above — Freehold — Do — Do — Do — Do

In F1239 Mokhasa Sarvamanyam enam of Hanumantha Rao Bhosale 4-8-15½

[illegible]
1. Visvanatha Aiyan 50.
2. Narayanappa Aiyan 30.
3. Venkataramaiyan 30.

(1) Wife 1. sons — ¾
(2) Wife 1. Brother — 1
daughters — 2
Uncle's sons — 2/6
(3) Wife 1. sons — 2/3

This Enam was given to [illegible] Bhosale son of the party named in Vol. 15 by [illegible] [illegible] the individual disposed of it in [illegible] and then to R.S. Venkataraman [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

This Enam as stated appears originally to have been conferred on Hanumantha Rao Bhosale, a relation of the Raja. Vide remarks in para 1 of the preceding case.

Like Pattam this village was placed under attachment on the death of the late Raja but it has not yet been restored, because, as the Collector states, the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Wet	Garden	Dry	Total	Assmt.	Q.R.
11-3/16	26-11	10-1½	1-13	5-1-8	10-8
11-3/16	26-11	10-1½	1-13	5-1-8	10-8
11-3/16	26-12	10-½	1-13-½	5-1-8	10-8
18-11		30-3½	39-½	15-5-0	[illegible]

17.0

[illegible] 4-11-10 per acre respectively for Wet, garden and dry [illegible] in 1/8 [illegible] [illegible] original share [illegible]

F1271 the Tahsildar submitted a Memorandum containing the particulars specified in Vol. 20 & recommending that the Enam may be restored to its holders. The Enam enquiry having been commenced in the District before the Collector could issue any orders he has forwarded to me the Tahsildar's Memorandum for disposal. The produce of this Enam for two or three Faslis in which it was cultivated since its attachment are held in deposit. All the other Enams of this description attached in 1856 having been since restored to the owners, & the reasons of this not being released with them being the same [illegible] of the holders, this has now been restored.

The rates of assessment adopted are those obtaining in the [illegible] lands in [illegible] Enam [illegible]

Ditto
[illegible]
15/3/[illegible]

T. Dis.
1045

உ [illegible]
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஆகஸ்ட் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
[illegible] 18/8/89
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

தஞ்சை:
[illegible]

Register of the Sarvamaniyam village of Lembangudi (No. 317) in the Peralam Maganam [illegible] Taluk [illegible]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

OM No 2363 of 1863 from No 24 ~~claims~~ claiming more land than was confirmed Refd to Taly for report
15 Dec 66

General Entire	Dittum	47-2-8¾	47·97	
Deduct Poramboke		8-3-½	8·08	
Remg.	Wet	30-5-10½	30·14	164-13-5
	Dry	5-8-..	5·209	6-12-2
	Tope	1-17-14½	1·45	1-14-2
	Garden	2-8-1	2·20	6-2-1
		38-39-10½	38·99	179-9-10

6.65

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kanth 5

Not known

No.	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Assessment	Kavul	Q.R.	
1	26-11½	37-7¼	12-9¼	6-36-9¼	32-13-3	15-1 ⅛	4-..	17.0
2	37-9½	9-4½	3-4½	1-10-2½	5-10-8	2-6	12	17.0
3	30-6½	9-4½	3-2½	1-11-13½	5-14-6	2-7	12	17.0
4	3[illegible]-6½	9-4½	3-2½	1-11-13½	5-14-6	2-7	12	
5	35-9½	18-10	6-4½	20-13¼	5-2	5-7	1-4	
6	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6	10	17.0
7	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6	10	
8	3[illegible]-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-11-8	2-6	1-4	17.0
9	35-9½	18-9½	6-4½	2-20-2	11-5-2	5 ⅛	1-8	17.0
10	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6	10	17.0
11	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6	10	17.0
12	11-9½			11-9¾	9-4	9	3-4	
13	5-13			5-13	12-8	4	8	
14	5-13			5-13	12-8	4	8	17.0
15	2-12½			2-12½	6-4	2	10	
16	2-12½			2-12½	6-4	2	10	
17	5-13			5-13	12-8	4	8	
18	37-9½	9-5	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6	10	17.0
19	10-13½	4-10½	1-9	25-½	12-3	12-5 ¼	10	17.0
20	32-12	27-13½	9¼	6½ 23-30	16-15-10	7 ⅛	2	17.0
21	23-10	5-4		38 14	12-3	2-2 ¼	1-4	17.0
22	3-4½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-5½	6-8-4	2-11 ⅛	12	17.0
23	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-3	2-6	10	17.0
24	8-12½	4-16½	1-9½	15-½	2-13-4	1-3 ¼	10	
25	34-6½	18-13	6-4½	2-19-7½	11-5-2	5 ⅓	1-8	17.0
26	37-9½	9-4½	3-2½	1-10-½	5-10-8	2-6	10	17.0
27	1-34-9½	18-9½	6-4½	2-19-2½	11-5-2	5		

Granted in the Register of 1807 a dafter Roka for this Inam is recorded. Extent of Grant: W 3-10, D 15, Or 15, 5 with a rod of 14 feet

Appattil [illegible]

In the Register of 1809: 1 Eggiyanarayana Sastri [illegible] W 4-8½, 8-7½, 4-5-4⅝; 2 Annanda Sastri [illegible] 4-5-4⅝; 3 Ganapati Sastri do. 4-5-4⅝; 4 Subbarayan [illegible] 4-5-4⅝; 5 Appaiyan [illegible] 10-8⅝; 6 Appa Sastri do. 4-5-4⅝; 7 Aiyan [illegible] 10-8⅝; 8 Appa [illegible] 8-7⅝; 9 Chinnasami [illegible] 5-4⅝; 10 Subba [illegible] 5-4⅝; 11 Rajaram [illegible] 2-7⅝; 12 Appa Aiyan [illegible]; 13 Swayambhu [illegible]; 14 Rama [illegible]; 215

No 1238 Sami [illegible]; 1 Rama [illegible] 4-8-10¼; 2 Appa [illegible] 1-30-7; 3 Appa Sastri 3-26-7; 4 Annanda [illegible] 2-32-8¾; 5 Aiyan [illegible] 5-7-16¼; 6 Appa [illegible] 2-17-8½; 7 Ananda [illegible] 10-11; 8 Rama [illegible] 1-7-11¾; 9 Gopala [illegible] 2-2-8½; 10 [illegible] 11-11¼; 11 [illegible] 1-2-9¾; 12 Gangadhara [illegible]; 13 [illegible]; 14 [illegible]; 37-26-10¾; 1-14-14½; 38-39-10½

Killiyur

1. Subramaniyan 53
2. Appasami Sastri 50
3. Eggyanarayana Sas. 23
4. Appa Sastri 49
5. Rama Sastri 22
6. Subrama Sastri 35
7. Visvanatha Sastri 22
8. Anna Sastri 50
9. Sami Sastri 35
10. Venguna Sastri 75
11. Panguvaiyan 60
12. Rama Sastri 50
13. Vaidyanatha Sas. 45
14. Swayambhulinga Sas. 26
15. Swayambhu Sas. 78
16. Sami Sastri 72
17. Gurusami Sastri 48
18. Seshappaiyan 16
19. [illegible] Ammal 40
20. Ramasami Aiyan 25
21. Swayambhulinga Sas. 50
22. Rangaiyangar 28
24. Sitappaiyan 65
25. Anantaraman 30
26. Sangaran 35
27

(1) Son of No 7; (2) Son of No 11; (3) Grandson; (4) [illegible] of No 1; (5-6-7) Grandson of No 11; (8) [illegible] of No 3; (14) Daughter in law; (24) Son of No 12; (20) Grandson of No 8; (25) Son of No 22 [illegible] col 14

(1) Wife 1 son ¾; (2) Wife 1 sons ⅔; (3) Brother 1/1; (4) Wife 1 daughter ½; (5) Wife 1 daughter ½; (6) Wife 1 son ½; (7) Wife 1 son ½; (8) Wife 1/1; (9) Wife 1/1; (10) Sons 2/2; (11) Wife 1 sons 4/5; (12) Wife 1 son ½; (13) Wife 1 daughter ½; (14) Wife 1/1; (15) Sons 2/2; (16) Daughter [illegible]; (17) Sons 2/2; (18) Wife 1/1; (19) None; (20) Wife 1 brother ½; (21) Wife 1 son brother ⅓; (22) Wife 1 son ½; (23) Wife [illegible] brother [illegible]; (24) [illegible]; (25) Wife 1 son ½; (26) Wife ½; (27)

Sheet No 126

father No 10 enjoys ¼ sh which he purchased in Partition from Arumugam [illegible] who had purchd it in equal portion in the year [illegible] from No 1 his brother No 3 in col 16 & another brother (No 2 in the said col) had shared equally between them, [illegible] the half sh entd in their uncle No 4 Subramanya Sastri [illegible] of sale [illegible] No 11 enjoys a [illegible] share out of the ¼ which he has been already [illegible] [illegible] in the year Pramadi [illegible] and the [illegible] is enjd. one third by No 12, one of his 3 brothers, one third by No 13 & 14 sons of another brother & ⅓ by No 15-16 & 17 sons of the other brothers. In the extent entd. [illegible] his grandson [illegible] in 1852 [illegible] been disposed of [illegible] Eggiyanarayana Sas. [illegible] year their sister's husband [illegible] Chinnasami [illegible] No 21 [illegible] when she [illegible] enjoys a quarter share purchased by No 24 of ⅛ sh in Parthana in equal [illegible] [illegible] a sale [illegible] ¼ sh having [illegible] of the share of No 24 [illegible] not [illegible] with his [illegible] the ¼ sh [illegible] of the ⅛ enjd by [illegible] which [illegible] No 19 [illegible] the two sons of his brothers [illegible] the sale of the ¼ sh [illegible] that the [illegible] nominal [illegible] of the ⅛ sh in No 19's name [illegible] the division No 19 [illegible] the division took place [illegible] in the name of No 19 & 24 with a [illegible] in 1840 by the father of No 24 [illegible] sisters son No 23 the [illegible]

[illegible] by No 18 & No 20 enjoys the ¼ sh [illegible] in the name of [illegible] No 9 Chinnasami [illegible] sister [illegible] No 20 [illegible] other estate [illegible] several years [illegible] The ⅓ sh in the enjt of No 22 was purchd by his father [illegible] from No 8 in col 16 in the year [illegible] who had purchd [illegible] sh entd in his name [illegible] share [illegible] by No 1-2-& 3 in col 14 [illegible] No 25 enjoys the [illegible] in his father's name No 30 [illegible]

The ½ portion of the Inam [illegible] held in [illegible] & the ½ [illegible] No 20 [illegible] held [illegible] the 8 with [illegible] No 12 enjoys the [illegible] in the name of his father with the half share [illegible] that of No 14 in col 14 from whose grandson his father purchased it, [illegible] 1838 No 20 enjoys the [illegible] in the name of his father No 5 6 & 7 enjoy [illegible] the half sh in their grandfather's name [illegible] in the name of No 6 in whose death [illegible] father's [illegible] in the name of [illegible] the father of No 5 [illegible] the other two [illegible] in the enjt of No 8 was [illegible] by [illegible] No 11 [illegible] daughter in law of No 3 in col 14 The sale to No 8 is admitted by No 11 who [illegible] [illegible]

This is an entire Inam village supported by a Dafter Roka. The extent in the former is 1/5 [illegible] with a rod 12 feet long & the present extent which is [illegible] with a rod 12 feet in length exceeds it by [illegible] 5th

The lands in this village have been [illegible] at the average of the rates obtaining in the [illegible] lands in the adjoining Sarkar villages & they are Rs 36-2-8, 15-8-.. & 8-9-7 per Veli respectively for Wet, Garden & Dry.

This village is [illegible] that is the holding [illegible] mentioned [illegible]

To be confirmed. The [illegible] of each holder is specified in the [illegible] General statement [illegible] the case [illegible] it has been changed [illegible] holders [illegible] consent [illegible]

No 27 has not [illegible] & No [illegible] has not signed the statement
29-9-64 Rajamand [illegible]

[illegible] name of No [illegible] Eggiyanarayana [illegible] purchd [illegible] No 13. [illegible] No [illegible] the [illegible] No 11 [illegible] [illegible]

T.D. Nos

1st 1027	19 1037
2nd 1028	20 1038
3rd & 4th 1029	21 1039
5th & 7th 1030	22 1040
8th 1031	23 1041
9th 1032	25th 1042
10th 1033	26th 1043
11th 1034	
12th & 17th 1035	
18th 1036	

No 19 is an alienee [illegible] 3/8 [illegible] He has no issue.

The share of No 11 is charged with ½ assessment. All the other shares are confirmed as recommended
15/2/65 W.

1-4
5-7
3-4

179

The portion of Nos 14 & 27 have been deleted in a Supplemental Register
31.5.67 W [illegible]

126

126

[illegible]