[illegible] village of Tiruvalimangudi (No 222) in the [illegible]

[illegible] செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible]மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible]மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
[illegible] 18/8/89
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Sheet No [illegible]

Ditto 109-14-7½ 19·16
[illegible] Paramboke 10-[illegible]-2 10·20

[illegible] Wet 26-12-14 56 32 325-11-6
Dry 11-10-5½ 61·28 55-7-6
Gardens 1-12-8 1·31 2-8-10

38-36-5½ 98·91 382-11-8

386-16-8
11-11-3
386-8-5

For the expenses of Sri Vil[illegible] vanadha Swami Pagoda in the village of Tirukkalambur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship regularly rendered

Jodi 40-7-1
[illegible] 11-3

Granted by [illegible] Rajah [illegible] in the 9th [illegible] of Mangah ain Alif or F1200

In the Regr of 1809 the Dafter [illegible] representing this Inam as [illegible] the village [illegible] Pagoda [illegible] Subsequent [illegible] this Pagoda as [illegible] in the Regr in Porasat tangudi W 5-0 D 10-[illegible] 5 [illegible] [illegible] with [illegible] Porambok

Tirukkalambur Sri Vil vanadha swami

In the Regr of 1809 [illegible] Sri Vil vanadha swami Pagoda a portion of Porsat tangudi W 5-0 D 10-0 5-15-0 with a [illegible] [illegible] the col headed "Extent" of the Inam to [illegible]

In F1238 Tirukkalambur Sri Vil vanadha swami Pagoda [illegible] village 109-6-7½

Sri Vilvanadha Swami at Tirukkalambur

Trustee
Sivalinga Mudali

The [illegible] in this Inam village is considerable being more than a hundred P. C. [illegible] the Regr of 1809, in which the grant for this Inam is [illegible] the extent [illegible] under the head "Names & extent of the land granted" are W [illegible] the entry in the col headed "Names of the village or portions of villages comprised in the grants" is "a portion of the village Porsattangudi in Papanasam Taluk [illegible] by a road of [illegible] [illegible] in the transcript of the grant as contained in the first col. it is stated that [illegible] Veli of land in the village is granted to the swami [illegible] the [illegible] extent. In the [illegible] of F1210, the entire [illegible] of the village is [illegible] [illegible] in the aggregate of the extents of the [illegible] [illegible] the grant. The particulars [illegible] [illegible] road. [illegible] only [illegible] in which they differ is the length of the road which [illegible]

Confirmed on present terms subject to existing Jodi
10/2/65
[illegible]

I. Cm
1064

[illegible] the [illegible] in 12 feet [illegible] [illegible] the entire village was granted as [illegible] The [illegible] of F1225 is not available [illegible] the village [illegible] The extent [illegible] in [illegible] of F1210, [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] "Poramboke" [illegible] the entries in which correspond with [illegible] the Zamin [illegible] the entry regarding this village is "[illegible]" [illegible] (Porakadu) village", [illegible] [illegible] have been made from 19 years. [illegible] [illegible] the entire village appears [illegible] have been granted as Inam, [illegible] surrounding lands [illegible]

No 8

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Kamalalayam kattalai in the Tirukkannamangai Magānam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Sheet No 123

Do Entire
Ditto 31-9-1½ 31·23
Deduct Paramboke 6-22-8 6·59

Remaining Wet 16-31-1½ 6·78 112-0-5
Dry 3-8-1½ 3·20 4-10-6
Tope 1-26-6½ 1·66 6-12-0

24-25-0½ [illegible] 13-7-5

Wet 44-8-4
Dry 9-9-0

For the expenses of Sri Tyaga raja Swami Pagoda in the village of Tiruvarur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is regularly performed. This is called "Abhishekakattalai"

The [illegible] of 1809 states that the grant was [illegible] [illegible] have been [illegible]

Sri Tyaga raja Swami

In the Regr of 1809 Tiruvarur Sri Tyaga raja [illegible] Abhisheka kattalai [illegible] W 5-0 D 0-10-0 P 0-2-0 3-5-0 [illegible]

In F1239 Tiruvarur Sri Tyaga rajaswami Pagoda Abhisheka Kattalai [illegible] 39-9-1½

Sri Tyaga raja Swami at Tiruvarur

Adinakarta
Vaidya linga Pandara Sannadhi of Velakurichi

[illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] Inam village, [illegible] is likely to have been [illegible] in their lands by surrounding villages [illegible] to be confirmed. The extent [illegible] are the average of the [illegible] adjoining [illegible] villages
11-9-65 Major [illegible] [illegible]

This is an entire Sarvamanyam village. The grant is not available. the village is entd. however in the [illegible] of F1210 & in the Regr of 1809, in which the extent [illegible] is quoted. The length [illegible] of the road is not specified in either [illegible] grants by the earliest Rajahs of Tanjore [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Sarvamanyam [illegible] [illegible] the Sri Tyagaraja [illegible] [illegible] appears to have been granted by [illegible] the road in [illegible] it may be presumed to have been [illegible] 14 Ps. [illegible] the [illegible]

Confirmed on present terms
[illegible]
10/2/65

I. Cm
1065

[illegible] to be confirmed [illegible] for the [illegible] of the Pagoda
4-4-64. Major [illegible] [illegible]

Register of the Sarvamanyam village Valmikanadhan kollai in the above Magānam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Do Entire
Ditto 15-37-1½ 15·93
Deduct Paramboke 1-28-5½ 1·71

Remaining Wet 5-2-7½ 5·06 34-4-9
Dry 1-12-7½ 1·31 1-12-[illegible]
Tope 7-32-15 7·85 10-9-3

14-8-12 14·22 46-10-1
[illegible]

Wet 12-11
D 8-14-8

10-10-1
1-8-7
[illegible]

Ditto
As above 1-8-9
This is called "Rajan kattalai"

[illegible] Tanjore

In the Regr of 1809 as the result of [illegible] with the [illegible] [illegible] is less than that [illegible] to be confirmed. The rates [illegible] adopted are the average of the [illegible] adjoining [illegible]

In the Regr of 1809 Tyagaraja swami Pagoda Rajan Kattalai Sarvamanyam W 3-0 D 7-0 P 5-0 13 [illegible] V 2-10-10 [illegible] with the [illegible]

In F1239 Sri Tyagaraja swami Pagoda Rajan kattalai Sarvamanyam 15-37-1½

Sri Tyagaraja Swami at Tiruvarur

Adinakarta
Gyana sammanda Pandara Sannadhi of Darmapuram

In the Regr of 1809 the extent of the village is entd. as [illegible] the length of the road is not specified [illegible] the above extent does not appear to have been the result of any survey. [illegible] the same and [illegible] the result [illegible] [illegible] and to have been [illegible] with the Company's [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] in [illegible] villages we take the [illegible] of F1210 [illegible] [illegible] this village we cannot compare the [illegible] with that of [illegible]

Confirmed on present terms
10/2/65
[illegible]

I. Cm
1066

[illegible] [illegible] Major [illegible]

No 9

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Kamalalayam kollai in the above Magānam Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Do Entire
Ditto [illegible] 10-8 10·39
Deduct Paramboke [illegible] 1·27

[illegible] with that [illegible] in the Regr of 1809 [illegible] the result of [illegible] The [illegible] in this Inam village is less than the average of the [illegible] in the [illegible] adjoining [illegible] villages. [illegible] which is about [illegible] to be confirmed [illegible] the average of the [illegible]

In the Regr of 1809 Tyagaraja swami [illegible] Rajan Kattalai [illegible]

In F1239 Sri Tyagaraja [illegible] Pagoda

[illegible] in the adjoining villages [illegible]
25-9-65 Major [illegible] [illegible]

The [illegible] extent of this Sarvamanyam village compared with that [illegible] [illegible] the Regr of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] with the Company's [illegible]

Confirmed on present terms
[illegible]

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of [illegible] in the above [illegible]

1. [illegible] Entire
Dittam 23-15-15½ 23·60
Deduct Porambok 2-0-8½ 2·01
Remg Wet 19-18-6½ 19·46 165-6-8
Dry -27-3½ ·68 1-4-6
Tope 1-9-15 1·25 2-5-1
21-15-7½ 21·39 169-0-3

For the expenses of Sri Tyagarajaswami's Pagoda in the village of Tiruvarur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Abhisheka kattalai".

Kaval fees 4-15-7

Not known

Granted as stated in the Regr of 1809 by [illegible] (date not known).

No documents. The grant is stated in the Regr of 1809 [illegible] to have been lost in war. Extent of grant not known.

Sri Tyagarajaswami.

In Regr of 1809 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Abhisheka Kattalai [illegible]
W 1-5-0
D 0-8-0
Or 0-8-0
1-12-0
As per Palam list
W 2-5-32¾
D 0-3-6½
Or 0-1-5¾
2-10-5½ As per survey

In F 1239 Sri Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Sarvamanyam [illegible] 23-15-15¾

Sri Tyagarajaswami at Tiruvarur
Manager of the kattalai
Vaidyalinga Pandarasannadhi

This is an entire Sarvamanyam village. No grant is available [illegible] in the Regr of 1809 [illegible] the extent [illegible] 1809 [illegible] The present [illegible] F 1226 [illegible] with present [illegible] 75-8-16 [illegible] is very nearly equal to the present [illegible] Being an entire village [illegible] surrounding lands [illegible]

[illegible] confirmed [illegible]

[illegible] Major [illegible]

T. Sur
1060

Confirmed on tenure
10/2/65

R 118

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Divangudi Tottam in the above Mogaram Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr No 11

1. Do Entire
Dittam 8-35-10½ 8·84
Deduct Porambok 1-18-8 1·46
Remg Wet 2-26-2½ 2·60 17-14-9
Tope 4-31 4·78 11-11-6
7-15-2½ 7·38 29-10-3

As above

Kaval fees -11-7

do do As above As above

In Regr of 1809 Tyagarajaswami Abhisheka Kattalai Inam [illegible] As per Palam list 1-6-15 [illegible] the Company [illegible]

In F 1239 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Abhisheka Kattalai Inam Divangudi Tottam 8-35-10½

As above

In the Regr of 1809 the extent of the village is entd as [illegible] as per Palam list. The length of the rod is not specified [illegible] Company [illegible] the V 1-6-15 which is [illegible] in the Regr. [illegible] extent is almost equal to the extent now in survey. [illegible]

[illegible] confirmed [illegible]

T. Sur
1061

Confirmed on tenure
10/2/65

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Tottakkudi Tottam in the above Mogaram Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr No 12

Do Entire
Dittam 8-36-12½ 8·92
Deduct Porambok -15-8½ ·39
Remg W 2-28-3½ 2·70 13-11-5
-29-2 ·73 1-7-6
4-12½ 4·95 4-14-5
Garden -7 ·15 -9-4
8-21-3½ 8·53

Confirmed for the benefit of the Pagoda.

As above

Kaval fees -6-3

Not known

Granted as stated in the Regr of 1809 by [illegible] Raja Saheb (date not known).

No documents. The grant it is stated in the Regr of 1809 was presumed to have been lost in war. Extent of grant not known.

As above

In Regr of 1809 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Inam a portion of the village of [illegible] 1-6-97½ with Palam [illegible]

In F 1239 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Abhisheka Kattalai Sarvamanyam Tottakkudi Tottam 8-36-12½

As above

This village was formerly part of [illegible] and is now recognized as a distinct village. [illegible] lands of the village [illegible] originally formed part. [illegible] the benefit of the Pagoda.

16-8-63. Major [illegible]

T. Sur
1062

Confirmed on present tenure
10/2/65

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Pakkam in the above Mogaram Nannilam Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr No 13

1. Do Entire
Dittam 50-35-11 50·89
Deduct Porambok 7-17-1½ 7·43
Remg Wet 33-35-15½ 33·87 186-4-1
Dry 1-36-2½ 1·90 3-4-4
Tope 4-27-2¾ 4·68 8-0-7
Garden 3-0-4½ 3·01 9-8-1
43-18-9½ 43·46 207-1-1

As above. This is called "Abhisheka kattalai".

Kaval fees 8-6-2

Not known

Granted as stated in Regr of 1809 by [illegible] (date not known).

No documents. In the Regr of 1809 it is said that the Sikka [illegible] to have been lost. Extent of grant not known.

Tyagarajaswami.

In the Regr of 1809 Pakkam village Sarvamanyam of Tyagarajaswami. W [illegible] D [illegible] Or [illegible] [illegible] Palam list.

In F 1210 Tyagarajaswami Abhisheka kattalai Sarvamanyam Pakkam.

In F 1226 Pakkam Sarvamanyam
W 4-11-68
D 0-10-65
Tope 0-18-60
Or 1-3-32
5-19-6
In F 1239 Tyagarajaswami Pagoda Sarvamanyam
W 32-0-4
D 1-36-2½
Tope 4-27-2¾
Gar 3-0-4½
41-35-4½
Or 7-17-1½
49-12-6¼
Pillaiyar Inam 1-22-4¾
50-35-11

Sri Tyagarajaswami at Tiruvarur
Manager of the kattalai
Vaidyalinga Pandarasannadhi
Pillaiyar at Pakkam
Worshipper
Kalyanasundaragurukkal

The Sikka not being available the extent of grant is not known. From the acct of F 1210 the whole village appears to have been granted as Inam. [illegible] the length of the rod is not specified. It must be observed that the [illegible] Inams by the earlier Rajahs were generally with a rod longer than 12 feet. The extents recorded in the [illegible] of F 1226 [illegible] the result of [illegible] measurement [illegible] of the villages [illegible] F 1215-16 [illegible]

[illegible] the present extent. Besides, the [illegible] being Sarvamanyam, the apparent excess cannot [illegible] adjoining village [illegible] F 1210 [illegible] village which adjoins [illegible] in this village. [illegible] the acct of F 1226

Confirmed on present tenure [illegible]
10/2/65

T. Sur
1063