Regr No. 446
1st sheet

Register of Inams in the village of Kodavásal (No. 1) Kodavásal Maganam — Nannilam Taluk Tanjore District

Regr No. 446
Sheet No. 115

1 R.	[illegible]	Wet 1085 Garden 1082 Tope 1084	--6-7 --8-1 --11-10¼ --26-2¼	·16 ·20 ·29 ·65	1-5-- --12-10 --9-3 2-11-1 At the average Wet, Garden very nearly 8-3-3, & 15-10 per acre respectively	The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expenses of Angalamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is performed	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Angalamman	M. F. 1216 Angalamman Pagoda Sarvamaniam --2-25	M. F. 1239 Angalamman Pagoda Inam --26-2¼	Angalamman at Kodavasal Worshippers Nágan and Virappan	To be confirmed & continued for the benefit of the Pagoda 18-3-63 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Collr	T.D.R. 743 Confd A.C. 10 Jan/65
2 R.	Do	Tope 2078	1-8-5½	1·21	2-6-6 At the average dry rate	Do Do of Nallasevaga Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Nallasévaga Aiyanar	M. F. 1216 Ayanar Pagoda Sarvamaniam Tope --18	M. F. 1239 Nallasevaga Ayanar Pagoda Inam 1-8-5½ The limits do not correspond	Nallasevaga Aiyanar at Kodavasal Worshipper Márimuttu	To be confirmed subject to the payment of the [illegible] quit rent 6-3-63 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Collr	T.D.R. 744 Confd A.C. do
3	Do	Wet 2083 Garden 2626 2627	--3-5¾ --31-5½ --19-3 1-10-8½ 1-13-14¼	·08 1·26 1·34	--11-2 5-1-3 5-12-5 At the average Wet & Garden rates	Do Do of Máriyamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered	Do	Do	Do	Do	Mariyamman	M. F. 1216 Mariyamman Pagoda Sarvamaniam --1-84	M. F. 1239 Mariyamman Pagoda Inam 1-13-14¼	Mariyamman at Kodavasal Worshipper Chidambaram & Sokkalingam	Regarding No. 2627 of the Inam, a remark is entered in the Pain [illegible] & Azimash Chittas to the effect that it was in F. 1224 improperly incorporated with Ayan lands as being excess in the Inam, whilst in reality it is embraced within the boundaries specified in the acct of F 1216 & the *	T.D.R. 745 Confd A.C. do
Do		Dry 2607	--2-2½	·05	--1-9 At the average dry rate	Do Do of Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Pillaiyar	Entered in F. 1216	M. F. 1239 Pillaiyar Pagoda Sarvamaniam --2-2½	Pillaiyar at Kodavasal Worshipper Chitambara gurukkal	To be confirmed. The entire assessment itself being less than 2 Annas it is not worth while to charge any Q.R. 20-3-63 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Collr	T.D.R. 746 Confd A.C. do
Do		Tope 2630	--23-9	·59	1-2-8 At the average dry rate	Do Do of Pidári's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered	Do	Do	Do	Do	Pidári	M. F. 1216 Pidári Pagoda Sarvamaniam Tope --2-52	M. F. 1239 Pidári Pagoda Inam --23-9	Pidári at Kodavasal Worshipper Kattaperumal	To be confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda 20-3-63 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Collr	T.D.R. 747 Confd A.C. do
6.	Do	Garden 1517 1519 1039 Dry 1513 1516 1039 1049 1230 1266 1432 1572 1116	--3-- --1-7 --5-10 --10-1 --8-- --3-12 2-17-3¾ 1-11-6½ --9-12¾ --2-6 --6-8 --14-12 --12-- 5-3-13 5-13-14	·25 5·10 5·35	1----2 10-2-1 11-2-3 At the average Garden & dry rates	Do Do of Srinivása perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition, and the worship is performed	Do	Do	Do		Srinivása Perumal	M. F. 1216 Perumal Pagoda Sarvamaniam Tope --8-26¾	M. F. 1239 Srinivása Perumal Pagoda Sarvamaniam 5-13-14	Srinivasa perumal at Kodavásal Worshipper Rámaswami Aiyangar	The present extent of the Inam is much in excess of the former extent in F. 1216. The limits specified in the acct referred to point to Nos. 1039 & 1049. The extent of these 2 Nos. as recorded in the Survey Regr of F. 1239 is A 3-36-8¼ is equal to the extent in F 1216 plus the average excess in Ayan lands at 10%. The other Nos. appear evidently to have [illegible]	T.D.R. 748 Confd A.C. do

* five Pagoda property & accordingly it was entered as Inam. The extents entered in the two Chittas differ, but referring to the Kaiyyedai I find the discrepancies are due to obvious clerical errors. The present limits of the Inam correspond with those in F 1216 & the excess is not therefore chargeable — Be a portion of the No. above entered. [illegible] Sri [illegible]. To be confirmed & continued ⊙

⊙ for the benefit of the Pagoda

Rajagopala Charlu

When & how they did so is not known. To be confirmed [illegible] the same Nos. 1039 & 1049 & Resumed the [illegible]

14

உ. [illegible]
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜூன் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஜூலை மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
20/7/89
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர்
கையொப்பம்

Kodavasal (continued)

Rl. Endt. This area in the Inam to be checked to be 32-6 and at Rs 1-9-9.	Tope 243	2-2-13½	2·07	4-2-.. at the average Tope rate.	For the expenses of Kila Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Kila-Aiyanar	In F. 1216 Kila Aiyanar Pagoda Inam Tope —3—	In F. 1239 Kila Aiyanar Pagoda Inam 2-2-13½	Kila Aiyanar at Kodavasal Worshipper Subban & Chinniyan	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs 1-8-.. for the excess 21-8-63	T.D.k 749	Confd 10 Jan/65
Do	Tope 2650	1-1-8¾	1·04	2-1-2 at the average Tope rate.	Do Do of Mela-Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Mela-Aiyanar	In F. 1216 Mela Aiyanar Pagoda Inam Tope —3—	In F. 1239 Mela Aiyanar Pagoda Inam Tope 1-1-8¾	Mela Aiyanar at Kodavasal Worshipper Mari	To be confirmed & continued for the benefit of the Pagoda 21-8-63	T.D.k 750	Confd do
Do	Dry 853 859 856 852 Perambok 851	-4-8 -11-1 -14-6 -6-12 -36-11 -1-2 -37-13	 ·91 ·03	 1-13-.. -1-.. at the average Dry rate.	Do Do of Pidari Teru-Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Pidari Teru Pillaiyar	———	In F. 1239 Pidari Teru Pillaiyar Pagoda Inam Nandavanam Tope -36-11 Pagoda -1-2 -37-10	Pidari Teru Pillaiyar at Kodavasal Worshipper Chitambaragurukkal	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. To be confirmed & continued for the benefit of the Pagoda 19-10-64	T.D.k 751	Confd do
Do	Dry 2932 Nandavanam 2820	-6-4 -16-1½ -22-5½	·16 ·40 ·56	-5- -12-10 1-1-10 as above.	Do Do of Karungala-matattu Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Karungala-matatta Pillaiyar	———	In F. 1239 Matattu Pillaiyar Pagoda Inam Nandavanam &c. -22-5½	Karungalamatattu Pillaiyar at Kodavasal Worshipper Chitambaragurukkal	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. To be confirmed & continued to the Pagoda 19-10-64	T.D.k 752	Confd do
Do	Wet 2541 Tope 2540 2542 Poor Manal 2541 Pagoda 2542 Street 2540	-25-7 -30-2½ -24-6½ -14-8½ 2-9-15½ -8-4 -6-14 -12- -28-2 2-38-1½	·89 1·36 2·25 ·70 2·45	7-4-10 2-11-4 10-..-2 at the average Wet & Dry rates.	Do Do of Karppaga-Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Karppaga Pillaiyar	———	In F. 1239 Karppaga Pillaiyar Pagoda Sarvamaniam 2-38-1½	Karppaga Pillaiyar at Kodavasal Worshipper Chitambaragurukkal	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. To be confirmed and continued to the Pagoda 19-10-64	T.D.k 753	Confd do
Do	Tope 2879	-28-2¾	·70	1-6-4 at the average Tope rate.	Do Do of Vadakku Teru-Vinayagamurti's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is performed.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Vadakku Teru-Vinayagamurti	———	In F. 1239 Vadakku Teru Vinayagamurti Pagoda Sarvamaniam -28-2¾	Vadakku Teru Vinayagamurti at Kodavasal Worshipper Chitambaragurukkal	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. To be confirmed & continued to the Pagoda 19-10-64	T.D.k 754	Confd do
Chy. Endt.	Dry 923	-18-2	·45	-11-4 as above.	Do Do of Arrayitt Kilamai Matam in the village. There is no Matam in existence. It is said that there has never [illegible] 1123 [illegible] that [illegible]	Do	Do	Do	Do	Arrayitt Kilamai Matam	———	In F. 1239 Arrayitt Kilamai Matam Sarvamaniam -19-2	———	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. The matam to which this Inam belongs is not in existence. The proceeds of the Sarvamaniam are appropriated to the Pidari Teru Pillaiyar Pagoda. The Inam [illegible]		To be [illegible]

...m Taluq

Personal Building & backyard (continued)

Reg. No. 446
2nd sheet

Kadavasal No. 1 (continued)
Nannilam Taluq

Register No. 446
Sheet No. 116

14	Char. Endt.	Tope 2579	1-14-2½	1.35	2-11-.. At the average dry rate.	For the support of a Water-pandal chavadi in the village. The building is said to be in good condition & the charity is well maintained.	Free not often known	not known		no documents	Water-pandal chavadi	----	In F. 1239. Chokkalinga Pillai Tinnvasal Sarvamaniem Tope 1-14-2½	Water pandal chavadi at Kadavasal Supporter Andiya Pillai Marunda Pillai	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. To be confirmed and continued to the Water Pandal Chavadi. 19-10-61.	T.D.R. 894 Confd. 10 Jul 65
15	Rel. Endt. The excess in the Inam to be charged at Rs 2-6 and at [illegible] 1-11.	Tope 116	..-27-9	.69	1-6-.. At the average dry rate.	D° D° of Darmaraja's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is performed.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Darmaraja	In F. 1216. Darmaraja Pagoda Sarvamaniem ..-1-50.	In F. 1239. Darmaraja Pagoda Inam ..-27-9	Darmaraja at Kadavasal Worshipper Marundan Payiddi	To be confirmed subject to the payment of the Rs [illegible] for the excess. 9-8-63.	T.D.R. 895 Confd.
16	D°	Wet 2680 Garden 2688 2559 2561 Tope 2680 2708 Poram. Pagoda 2697 Matam 2708	..-22-10½ ..-15-6½ ..-5-15 ..-7-7 ..-28-12½ 1-34-7½ ..-4-4¾ ..-38-12 2-11-2¾ ..-5-12 ..-3-8½ ..-9-4½ 3-19-7	.57 .72 1.96 3.25 .24 3.49	4-10-9 2-16-7 3-14-4 11-.. ..-7-10 11-15-8 At the average Wet, Garden & dry rates.	D° D° of Guruswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is regularly performed.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Guruswami	----	In F. 1239. Guruswami Pagoda Sarvamaniem 3-19-7. In same No. the name "Swaminada swami" another name, is it said Guruswami is int.?	Guruswami at Kadavasal Manager Marudanaswami of Swargapuram Matam	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. To be confirmed & continued to the Pagoda. 19-10-61.	T.D.R. 896 Confd.
17	D°	Wet 1850 Garden 1845 1847 Dry 1844 1846 1806 1807 1851 1853 1848 1852 Poramboke Tank 1849 Space around the Pagoda 1854	..-9-9 ..-21-5 ..-26-9 1-7-14 ..-35-13½ ..-30-1½ ~~[illegible]~~ ..-25-14 ..-4-12 ..-13-5 2-9-5 1-10-12 7-5-8¾ 8-37-12¾ ..-27-8 ..-26-8 1-14-.. 9-36-12¾	.24 1.20 7.13 8.57 1.35 9.92	1-15-4 4-13-.. 14-8-2 20-15-6 2-11-.. 23-10-7 as above.	D° D° of Sri Erandeswaraswami Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Sri Erandeswaraswami	In F. 1216. Erandawadi* Eswaram Pagoda Sarvamaniem 1-..-95⅛ * This is the name of a hamlet of Kadavasal.	In F. 1239. Erandiswaram Pagoda Sarvamaniem 10-33-9½	Dry. These two Nos. in other than that is not included in the extent in Col: 14 as they do not appear under Poramboke as they ought to be. [illegible] therefore charge them [illegible] at Rs ..-12-.. The excess in the rest is within the average given in Ayan lands [illegible] 19-10-61. Sri Erandeswaraswami at Kodavasal Worshipper Sundaragurukkal	Of the extent in Col: 15 [illegible] being a moiety of the extent of No. 1806, regarding which a [illegible] is entd. in the Prim. [illegible] that it is claimed by the villagers as [illegible] is in the [illegible] of certain [illegible] & has been included in the [illegible] filing [illegible] There [illegible] brought in the Reg. only the other half of that No. The two Nos. [illegible] appear in the Prim. [illegible] as [illegible] that [illegible] up or is [illegible] in the other No. [illegible]	T.D.R. 897 Confd.
18	D°	Tope 2583	..-7-9½	.18	..-5-.. At the average dry rate.	D° D° of Kaliyamman Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Kaliyamman	----	In F. 1239. Kaliyamman Pagoda Sarvamaniem ..-7-9½	Kaliyamman at Kodavasal Worshipper Saminagurukkal	Vide remarks in Case No. 21. To be confirmed & continued to the Pagoda.	T.D.R. 898

உ. கணேசன்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
சூன் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
சூலை மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Kodavasal No. 21 (continued)

19	Alienated	Wet 2577 Garden 2742 2767 Tope 2889 2760 2769 Paramboke Pagoda 2768 channel 2673 Mandapam 2770 Padikorai 2771 Street 2772 2761 2762 2764 Kadai shops 2765 2766 2763	2–9–7½ "–19–8½ "–6–13 "–26–5½ "–26–4 "–9–4 "–4–15½ 1–"–7½ 3–36–1½ "–13–9½ "–2–5½ "–"–7 "–1–2 "–1–8 "–"–4½ "–"–3½ "–"–3½ "–"–7¾ "–"–2¾ "–"–3½ "–20–8¾ 4–18–13½	2·26 ·66 1·01 3·91 ·51 4·42	18–6–" 2–10–" 2–"–7 23–"–7 3 23–1–10 At the average Wet, garden & dry rates	For the expenses of Sri Varadaraja Perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is performed	Free of tax	not known	not known	No documents	Sri Varadaraja Perumal	No. 7234. Sri Varadarajaperumalbagade Sarvamaniem 4–16–13½	Sri Varadaraja Perumal at Kodavasal Worshipper Venkataranga aiyangar		Vide remarks in Case No. 21 To be confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda JDh 899 10 Jan 65 9–10–64
20	Choultry	Wet 2652 Dry 2653 2655 2658 2659 2660 2662 2663 2664 2665 2666 2661 Paramboke Road 2654 Mandapam 2656 Tank 2657	1–16–15½ "–7–8 "–1–6 "–7–4 "–11–10½ "–16–13¼ "–19–3½ "–26–2 "–12–2 "–5–12½ "–13–13 "–13–10 "–27–8¼ 5–33–8 "–16–4 "–2–15½ 1–27–5½ 2–6–8½ 8–5–¾	1·62 4·56 5·9 2·16 8·13	1–11–" 9–"–9 20–11–9 At the average Wet & Dry rates	Do. Do. of Vellakkulam Sunvacal in the village. Do. The building is said to be in good condition & the charity is maintained	Do.	Do.		Do.	Vellakkulam Sunvacal	No. 7234. Dharma Sirmanam at Inam 7–31–14¾ Subbarayachari Inam No. 2664 "–11–8.	Vellakkulam Sunvacal at Kodavasal Manager Namasivaya Pillai Arunachala Pillai & Kattayi		Vide remarks in Case No. 21. The numbers entered in Subbarayachari's name in the Prime Acct. is said to have belonged to the Sunvacal, though it was then in the enjt. of that individual who was friend to the owner of the charity. The enjoyment it was recovered by the latter lately from the wife to whom he had mortgaged it. To be confirmed. JDh 900 12–11–64
	Personal	Wet 946 1m 946 2576 Dry 874 2567 883 884 922 929 935 1m 935 939 941 1m 941 2535 2579 Building & backyard 865 866 867 868 869 870 871 872 873 874 875 876	"–5–15 "–3–15¾ "–16–4½ "–24–3½ "–6–14½ "–4–13½ "–25–11¾ "–13–6½ "–7–8 "–6–7 "–15–12½ "–14–4½ "–4–11 "–3–8 "–4–14½ "–"–10¾ "–8–1½ 2–36–11 "–15–9¾ "–10–1 "–7–2 "–11–10¾ "–6–" "–9–8½ "–10–5 "–14–8½ "–10–" "–2–" "–6–½ "–6–½ "–13–14½	·60 2·92	4–15–3 5–12–10	For the personal benefit of the holder	Free of tax	Not known	Kottkunu	An authenticated copy of an Arzi Roka ... 1. Vide art. 21 for particulars.	Kottkunu	No. 7239. Maharaja Slikka Mokkaso Kottiyam 145–28–12 as per Survey Acct. 144–28–7½ as per field particulars including the buildings	Uppenkkachi pettai 1. Lama Ram Gadé Ram Saheb 66. 2. Krishna Ram Gadé Ram Saheb 52. 3. Manngoji Gade Ram Saheb 18. 4. Sukhoji Gade Ram Saheb 35. 5. Sivaji Gade Ram Saheb 58.	(1–2) Sons (3) Adopted son of one brother (4–5) Grandsons respectively of another brother of the mother Ram Gadé Ram Saheb named in the	(1) Wife 1, Son ½ (2) Wife 1, Daughter ½ (3) Unmarried (4) Wife 1, Son ½ (5) Sons — 3/3	The extents in this and cases Nos. 9 to 14, 16, 18, 19, 20 & 22 form a part of the village of Kodavasal. The part in question is known by the name of Kottiyam. In the District accts it is entered and designated as "Maharaja Slikka Sarvamaniem Kottiyam". It JDh 901

of tract granted to Sámarin Gádevan Sáhib no 1 in col 16 through his Vakeel Kesava Rao 7th April 1865 [illegible] Taluq

...and Building + backyard (continued)

8[illegible]	„ — 1 — 2¾
[illegible]	„ — 4 — 9¾
893	„ — 5 — 5¾
894	„ — 6 — „
895	„ — 3 — 6
896	„ — 7 — 14
898	„ — 5 — „
899	„ — 3 — 7
900	„ — 3 — 13½
901	„ — 6 — 2¾
902	„ — 8 — 2½
903	„ — 3 — 9
989	„ — 9 — 1¼
990	„ — 5 — 0
991	„ — 11 — 4
992	„ — 3 — 15
993	„ — 1 — 14
994	„ — 5 — 12½
995	„ — 5 — 10
915	„ — 15 —
916	„ — 19 — 6
920	„ — 2 — 4¼
1 in 920	„ — 2 — 10¼
2 in 920	„ — 13 — 14¾
3 in 920	„ — 8 — 12¾
4 in 920	„ — 10 — 7¾
5 in 920	„ — 7 — 14¼
921	„ — 18 — 8¾
922	„ — 1 — 9
924	„ — 6 — 11¾
925	„ — 6 — 1
926	„ — 5 — 10
927	„ — 9 — 6
929	„ — „ — 2
930	„ — 7 — 12
931	„ — 4 — 5¾
932	„ — 7 — 1¼
933	„ — 8 — 2
935	„ — „ — 4
936	„ — 1 — 1
1 in 936	„ — 1 — 14
2 in 936	„ — 2 — 10½
3 in 936	„ — „ — 12¾
4 in 936	„ — 1 — 13¾
937	„ — 2 — 14½
938	„ — 6 — 9
939	„ — 2 — 13
940	„ — 7 — 7
942	„ — 10 — 13¼
943	„ — 5 — 2½
945	„ — 2 — 2½
946	„ — 2 — 4
947	„ — 3 — 12
948	„ — 14 — 8
949	„ — 2 — 3
950	„ — 8 — 13
951	„ — 9 — 5
1 in 951	„ — 1 — 2
952	„ — 8 — 5¼
953	„ — 8 — 13¾
954	„ — 12 — 8¼
955	„ — 6 — 11¼
957	„ — 3 — 15
958	„ — 3 — 8
959	„ — 4 — 9½
960	„ — 4 — 7½
961	„ — 5 — 10
962	„ — 2 — 5
963	„ — „ — 12¾
964	„ — 4 — „
965	„ — 2 — 8
966	„ — 4 — 8½
967	„ — 4 — 3½
968	„ — 6 — 13½
969	„ — 8 — 12
970	„ — 6 — 11
971	„ — 1 — 6¾
1 in 971	„ — 1 — 2
2 in 971	„ — 1 — 3½
3 in 971	„ — 2 — 1¾
4 in 971	„ — 2 — 3
972	„ — 8 — ¼
973	„ — 1 — 8
974	„ — 1 — 5½
975	„ — 4 — 1½
976	„ — 1 — „
977	„ — 2 — 3
978	„ — 2 — 4
979	„ — 3 — 10
980	„ — 6 — 1
981	„ — 3 — 12
982	„ — 3 — 14
983	„ — 3 — 9¾
985	„ — 6 — 7¾
986	„ — 6 — 8½
987	„ — 4 — 2½
996	„ — 3 — 1½
997	„ — 3 — 1½
998	„ — 10 — 12½
2509	„ — 7 — ½
2510	„ — 7 — 11½
2512	„ — 3 — 8¾
2513	„ — 10 — ½
2514	„ — 7 — 5
2516	„ — 6 — ¼
2517	„ — 8 — ¼

ho

98

Register No. 4446

Sheet No. 117

by the Gaekwars, an
influential family [illegible]
latterly the early Raja or
by a number of Rajadars
Charitable institutions. The
grant, which is said to have
been a Parwanikai, is not
available. It appears from in-
quiries made by me of
the Tahsildar on one or two
occasions before, that of the
revenue derived by the Raja
from the Pagodas in the Inam
Khattas or the Extent in the
[illegible] in Kottiyam [illegible] 693
Koras or ¼ Fanam, 300 Koras
being the Manaikkattu Raja
[illegible] were made over by Ra-
[illegible] Maharaja from
Manjathkkai or [illegible]
[illegible] his sister, when she
was given in marriage to
Mathanji Gaekwar, was
[illegible] in
Col: 10 in this [illegible], & that
the remaining 393 Koras or
¼ F. were on a subsequent
occasion remitted by the
Raja from the [illegible] of the
Pagodas. — An [illegible]
copy [illegible]

உ. கணேசன்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஆனி மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஆடி மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்
20/7/89

Pershad [illegible] yds [illegible]

2524	„ — 5 — 14½
2525	„ — 6 — 5¼
2526	„ — 10 — 13¾
2527	„ — 19 — 2¼
2530	„ — 22 — „
2531	„ — 19 — 8¾
2532	„ — 4 — 2¼
2533	„ — 6 — 5½
2535	„ — „ — 5¼
2536	„ — 14 — 9½
2537	„ — 7 — 15¼
2538	„ — 6 — 4
2539	„ — 16 — 14
2542	„ — 4 — 10¼
2548	„ — 27 — 1½
2549	„ — 11 — 12½
2551	„ — 6 — 5¼
2552	„ — 11 — 4½
2553	„ — 9 — 12¾
2555	„ — 6 — 4¾
2556	„ — 9 — 4¾
2558	„ — 15 — 14
2560	„ — 7 — 14½
2563	„ — 9 — „
2564	„ — 10 — 6½
2565	„ — 3 — 3¼
2566	„ — 18 — 11
2568	„ — 20 — 3½
2569	„ — 15 — 10
2570	„ — 13 — „
2572	„ — 3 — 4
2573	„ — 16 — 3½
2574	„ — 10 — 13¼
2575	„ — 4 — 3¼
2577	1 — 3 — 12½
2578	„ — 15 — 10
2580	„ — 1 — 11
2581	„ — 1 — 8
2582	„ — 7 — 9¾
2583	„ — 7 — 11
2584	„ — 7 — 14¾
2586	„ — 11 — 9½
2588	„ — 8 — 4
2590	„ — 14 — 6½
2684	„ — 11 — 9½
2701	„ — 8 — 1¾
2706	„ — 12 — 10
2707	„ — 7 — ¾
2709	„ — 4 — 5¼
2710	„ — 3 — 2½
2712	„ — 1 — 7½
2727	„ — 1 — ½
2743	„ — 5 — 13¾
2744	„ — 1 — 15¼
2745	„ — 2 — 3
2746	„ — 4 — 14
2747	„ — 10 — 3¾
2748	„ — 3 — ¾
2749	„ — 6 — 1½
2750	„ — 5 — 15
2751	„ — 3 — 8½
2752	„ — 7 — 3¼
2753	„ — 4 — 11½
2754	„ — 4 — 8½
2755	„ — 3 — 12¾
2756	„ — 5 — 11¼
2757	„ — 6 — 1
2773	„ — 2 — 10½
2774	„ — 1 — 8
1a 2774	„ — „ — 4
2775	„ — 10 — 9
2776	„ — 3 — 11½
2777	„ — „ — 5½
2778	„ — 3 — 14¼
2779	„ — 4 — 6
2780	„ — 7 — 5¼
2781	„ — 6 — ¼
2782	„ — 7 — „
2783	„ — 6 — 12¾
2784	„ — 6 — ¼
2785	„ — 6 — ¼
2786	„ — 7 — 6½
2787	„ — 7 — 7
2789	„ — „ — 3
2790	„ — 2 — 1¾
2791	„ — 4 — 6¼
2792	„ — 6 — 10½
2793	„ — 7 — 12¾
2794	„ — 5 — 7¾
2795	„ — 1 — 1½
2796	„ — 3 — 9¾
2797	„ — 1 — 1¾
2798	„ — 1 — ¼
2799	„ — 6 — 3¾
2800	„ — 36 — 6½
2803	„ — 3 — 4½
2804	„ — 12 — 4¼
[illegible]	„ — 2 — 13[illegible]

6074
212
6[illegible]66

years Sattara Sattaisa Ma-
ya Alapa (A 1177) by Rama
Chendra Rao Gaderai Saheb
, praying that the 300 Rama
granted to his ancestor out
of the Palace [illegible] [illegible] of
the [illegible], but then under
attachment might be res-
tored to him, is produced.
This is the only document
available in support of the
title to the Enam. The Hak-
kyam is not entered in
any of the [illegible], received for the
[illegible] Office for general
reference, prior to F1238. The
[illegible] whom I have asked to
see amongst the records in
the Rajah's Palace if there
are any old [illegible] or other
documents relating to this
Enam, states that the office
of Sikhele having been
abolished, it is not possible
to get any at the Palace
accounts. The whole of the
Enam, save the Topes [illegible] held
by the Pagodas, in whose
names they were entered? in the
Pimaish, consists of 300 [illegible]
[illegible]. No Pagoda is
[illegible] is collected on [illegible]
[illegible], as they are held by
[illegible] [illegible] servants. The

Regr No 4446

Sheet No 118

[illegible]	„ — 6 — 12
[illegible]	„ — 6 — 1½
[illegible]	„ — 8 — 2
[illegible]	„ — 12 — 3¾
[illegible]	„ — 8 — 13½
[illegible]	„ — 10 — 5
[illegible]	„ — 2 — 6
[illegible]	„ — 4 — 10¾
[illegible]	„ — 4 — 4½
[illegible]	„ — 2 — 4½
[illegible]	„ — 11 — 12¾
[illegible]	„ — 6 — 15
[illegible]	„ — 21 — 5¾
2837	„ — 6 — 14½
2838	„ — 8 — ¼
2839	„ — 4 — 3
2840	„ — 8 — 6
2841	„ — 7 — ½
2842	„ — 4 — 15½
2843	„ — 8 — 12
2845	„ — 5 — 1
[illegible]	„ — 13 — 1¼
2848	„ — 8 — 9½
2849	„ — 9 — 8¾
2850	„ — 3 — 12¾
2851	„ — 1 — 12¾
2852	„ — 1 — 14½
2853	„ — 6 — 14½
2854	„ — 8 — 1½
2855	„ — 4 — 4
2856	„ — 4 — „
2857	„ — 3 — 14½
2858	„ — 6 — 5
2859	„ — 4 — 5½
2860	„ — 11 — 10½
2861	„ — 9 — ½
2862	„ — 2 — 3¾
863	„ — 2 — „
[illegible]	„ — 11 — 8½
[illegible]	„ — 4 — 4
[illegible]	— 17 — 7
[illegible]	— 5 — 14
[illegible]	— 4 — 3½
[illegible]	— 11½
[illegible]	5 — 6
[illegible]	— 5½
2881	„ — [illegible] — 2¾
2882	„ — [illegible] — 8½
2883	„ — 7 — 13
2884	„ — 1 — 12¾
2885	„ — 2 — 1
2886	„ — 1 — 14
2887	„ — 2 — 14½
2888	„ — 5 — 12½
2889	„ — 13 — 7½
2890	„ — 15 — 2
2891	„ — 7 — 7½
2892	„ — 1 — 7½
2893	„ — 12 — 10
2894	„ — 10 — 8
2895	„ — 4 — 2½
2896	„ — 14 — 7
2897	„ — 15 — 10
2898	„ — 12 — ½
2899	„ — 10 — 8
2900	„ — 2 — 13½
2901	„ — 6 — 5½
2902	„ — 6 — 5½
2903	„ — 38 — 7
2904	„ — 0 — 14½
2905	„ — 5 — 14½
2906	„ — 6 — 5½
2907	„ — 11 — „
2908	„ — 3 — 4½
2909	„ — 11 — 15½
2910	„ — „ — 10
1 in 2911	„ — „ — 8
2 in 2911	„ — „ — 14
3 in 2911	„ — „ — 7½
4 in 2911	„ — „ — 7
5 in 2911	„ — „ — 12
2914	„ — 14 — 5½
2915	„ — 14 — 1½
2917	„ — 15 — 9
2918	„ — 16 — 5
2919	„ — 6 — 2½
2920	„ — 10 — ½
2921	„ — 17 — 3½
2922	„ — 2 — 2½
2924	„ — „ — 6½
1 in 2924	„ — „ — 6
2 in 2924	„ — „ — 9½
3 in 2924	„ — „ — 1
4 in 2924	„ — „ — „
5 in 2924	„ — „ — 7½
6 in 2924	— „ — 12
7 in 2924	— — 6
2927	„ — 6 — 13½
8	„ — 3 — 17
[illegible]	— 13 — 10½
[illegible]	— 4 — 13½
[illegible]	— 2 — 7½

[illegible] confirmed in the
[illegible] by
the holder [illegible] the remaining
[illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
[illegible]
lands [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
of these [illegible]
well. The [illegible]
under [illegible]
[illegible] from the
lands cultivated. All that
they are entitled to a [illegible]
[illegible] in the [illegible]
[illegible] Regarding other
[illegible] in [illegible]
[illegible] this [illegible]
[illegible] in 1833 an attempt was
made by the [illegible]
[illegible] the [illegible]
or [illegible] in the [illegible]
in the Kottayam [illegible]
[illegible]
An enquiry was held by the [illegible]
[illegible]
Hindersley [illegible] that the
[illegible]
in the [illegible] in the
Kottayam [illegible]
that [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] The attempt [illegible]
[illegible] in 1851 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Hindersley

உ கணேசன்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜூன் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஜூலை மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
20/7/89
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

[illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] appears [illegible] [illegible]
as part of the Pagoda [illegible] may be regarded as part of their [illegible]
right [illegible] in case of failure of [illegible] the [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] (3) that
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
been [illegible] by the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

(1) With regard to the first claim the value of the [illegible] as fixed by the [illegible] being 300 Rs. there is no reason why we should adopt a less sum [illegible] [illegible] of any alleged [illegible] [illegible] by [illegible] of the [illegible] shares of the [illegible] [illegible] recommended the value being [illegible] at 300 [illegible] or Rs. 40 [illegible] 12 the [illegible] of [illegible] will be modified accordingly.

(2) With regard to the second claim, [illegible] it is admitted that the [illegible] [illegible] by the [illegible] servants [illegible] are specially for the Pagoda, the [illegible] of the [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] as part of the Pagoda [illegible] is prima facie correct [illegible] of the [illegible] are [illegible] the [illegible] of these [illegible] the Pagoda is entitled [illegible] to the [illegible] [illegible] right of [illegible] possessed by the [illegible], will not be prejudiced by the [illegible]

3. With regard to the 3rd claim, the [illegible] [illegible] has carefully [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of the Petitioners claim that [illegible] the [illegible] being part of the [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] fully [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the Collector.

21 March 1865.

Approved
27/3/65

[illegible]
[illegible]

the [illegible] [illegible] for the [illegible] in this [illegible], [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that the [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] is the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] by the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that the Pagoda [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the death of the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] which [illegible] [illegible] adopted.

To be [illegible] and [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Revised [illegible] of 300 [illegible] 40 [illegible] 12
= 59 [illegible]

Communicated to Collr
for alteration in [illegible]
26 July 1865

[illegible]

10 Jan/65

[illegible]
902

22

…ing & backyards (continued)

74	.. – 4 – 2¾
2683	.. – .. – 9¾
2686	.. – .. – 14
2696	.. – 2 – 8¼
2698	.. – 2 – 6¼
2702	.. – .. – 15
2714	.. – 1 – 10
2716	.. – .. – 8
2719	.. – 1 – 1
2724	.. – .. – 15
2725	.. – .. – 8
2726	.. – 1 – 2
2729	.. – .. – 10½
2733	.. – 2 – 6½
2672	.. – 3 – 1½
2690	.. – .. – 9¾
2731	.. – .. – 6¼
2734	.. – .. – 4¾
2735	.. – .. – 3
2736	.. – .. – 3½
2737	.. – .. – 3½
2738	.. – .. – 3¾
2739	.. – .. – 2¾
2720	.. – 1 – 5
890	.. – 4 – 13
904	.. – 3 – 9
905	.. – 3 – 9
907	.. – 8 – 12
911	.. – 2 – 10
912	.. – 3 – 2¾
913	.. – .. – 14
914	.. – 5 – ½
2685	.. – 10 – 2½
2692	.. – 8 – 10¼
2693	.. – 4 – 8
2694	.. – 6 – 3
2695	.. – 4 – ½
2703	.. – 5 – 8¼
2705	.. – 13 – 5¾
2711	.. – 4 – 6
2730	.. – 4 – 12
2740	.. – 13 – 2
2741	.. – 6 – 1½
	3 – 24 – ½ 3·60 10 – 15 – 7
	26 – 19 – 1¼ 26·48 69 – .. –

Register No. 446

Sheet No. 119

Poramboke

Tanks & Ponds	139	1 – 8 – ..
	918	.. – 31 – 8
	1118	.. – 3 – ..
	1121	1 – 18 – 8
	2687	.. – 38 – 6¼
	2722	.. – 14 – 1
Streets & Pathways	886	.. – 2 – 10½
	897	.. – 2 – 15½
	1004	.. – 34 – 9
	2700	.. – 37 – 12½
	2713	.. – 6 – 8½
	2715	.. – 8 – 9½
	2721	.. – 4 – ..
	2723	.. – 4 – 4
	2758	.. – 30 – 10¾
	141	.. – 6 – 13½
Pagodas & Sebatam	2717	1 – 28 – 1
	1123	.. – 1 – 4
	2683	.. – .. – 14¾
	140	.. – .. – 9
Pathways	1120	.. – 12 – 8
	1122	.. – 1 – 4
Channel	2682	.. – 2 – 4
		11 – 19 – 8 11·49
		37 – 38 – 9¼ 37·97

Ashe average Wet Garden & Dry rates

the Pagoda service is specially attended. I have thought it proper to transcribe them in this case. It is of no consequence in which they are entd. - to be confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda

12-11-60 Rajagopalacharlu

in the Nawab Cabeli's name, which they say is a mistake, has belonged all along to the Pagoda which still holds it. These Nos. have been entered in this case as per statement filed by the Inamdar.

A remark in the Paimaish Chitta regarding No. 2672 states that the produce of the trees on it is enjoyed two thirds by the Pagoda of Koneswaraswami and one third by that of Varadaraja Perumal.

No. 270 and the last 19 Nos. under "Buildings or Backyards" in Col. 3. are the remarks Chitta referred to in the remarks in Case No. 21 as being enjoyed free of rent by the villagers or servants. The Trustee of the Pagoda has included these Nos. in his statement, whilst the Kottiyam Inamdars have entd. them in theirs. As the services rendered are chiefly in the Pagoda, or in documents taken for the occupants of the Inam in question, by the Pagoda charged on the Ramsakeli

உ. கணேசன்
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜூன் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஜூலை மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

23

Do

Wet

1523	2 – 22 – ..
1524	2 – 21 – 11
2126	7 – 7 – 9
	12 – 13 – 4 12·33 101 – 2 – 6

Garden

1527	.. – 28 – 6½ ·71 2 – 14 – 9

Tope

1523	3 – 17 – 14
1524	3 – 14 – 1
2008	2 – 9 – 2
2126	7 – 9 – 9½
	16 – 6 – 10½ 16·27 32 – 5 – 9
	29 – 12 – 5 29·31 135 – 7 – ..

Poramboke

2125	.. – 14 – ¾ ·35
	29 – 26 – 5¾ 29·66

Ashe average Wet Garden & Dry rates

For the expenses of Sri Koneswaraswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is perfor…

Free | not | not known / of too known | No documents | Sri Koneswaraswami

No. 7239. Sri Koneswaraswami … Sri Koneswaraswami at Kodavasal

Trustee

24-26-5¾ Subban Pillai

…d for the benefit of the Pagoda subject to the … service

Kottiyam of the village - However it appears the … included in the Ayakat of the Kottiyam … this day as Inam

This Inam is part of … in Kodavasal, an … consisting of Subramaniam Kottiyam. … Inam is entered in the accts of 1210 and that of 1210 in the name of Sri Koneswaraswami. The … name of the Inamdar is not known. All the Nos. in Col. 3 appear in the Paimaish Chitta as Inam, and their extents … in the daily Gross Jumma under the same head. In the Gross Jumma for the whole village however only A 14-35-2½ of … Nos. 1523-1524 … presently the extent of Nos. … 1270 & 2008 is entd. …

88h / 103

Confd

Commr

10 Jan 63

180

Cons. fol. 6

24

[illegible] fences of of the known Vira Saiva Matam in the village.

No documents. Vira [illegible] Matam

Vira[illegible] Matam [illegible] 15-15.

[illegible] is [illegible] Ponnianna Pandaram, the owner of its lands in one part of it, & has sold the other to 2 Mussalmans. He states that no enquiry having been made in it for a long time — the Jaghiredar, the holders of the Kottiyam, demanded Pagoda [illegible] for the Maniakkaran — & that he therefore granted them [illegible] Pagoda Chits or papers each accordingly. When lately the [illegible] of the village entered on the [illegible] & claimed them as theirs, the Magistrate decided in favour of the above named Pandaram & fined the others for trespass. This is not one of the 360 Maniakkarams, whose Paguri was alienated to the [illegible] family, but part of the Tope &c. whose revenue 393 odd Rupees are said to have been remitted for the benefits of the Pagodas. Vide Remarks in Case No. 21.

Under the above circumstances this Inam is fully assessed.

8-12-64. Rajagopala [illegible]
Dy. Coll.

To be [illegible] assessed
10 Jan. 65

D. W. 447

Register of Inams in the village of Sellur No. 144 in the [illegible] Maganam Kumbakonam Talook Tanjore District

Ref. Surv. Reg.

548 1-4-12
550 2-29-9
552 3-25-2¼
642 3-11-12
703 -24-
11-12-3¼ 11 31 15-15-7.

Tope
546 2-11-2
547 -4-3
549 1-5-1½
551 1-6-2
554 -17-4
[illegible] 6.00 8-5-
17-12-4¾ 17 31 23-15-7.

Add the [illegible] [illegible] Rs 1-6-2 [illegible]

For the expenses of Sri Kailasanathaswami Pagoda in the village. But at present the proceeds of the Inam are appropriated to the expenses of Varadaraja Perumal's Pagoda alone. Both the Pagodas are said to be in good cond.n & worship is conducted regularly.

No [illegible] No documents.

No. 4126. Sri Kailasanathaswami Kailasa Pag.da [illegible] swami. 2-6-70.

No. 4239. Kailasanathaswami & Varadarajaberumal Pagodas [illegible] Inam Tope 17-12-4¾

Kailasanathaswami & Varadaraja Perumal at Sellur

Worshippers

Kattiyappan [illegible] & Kuppan [illegible]

This Inam though at present enj.d in equal portions by the 2 Pagodas named in Col. 15 & 10 is ent.d in the accts of F.1216 in the name of one only namely Kailasanathaswami. Each of the fields in Col. 3 appears in the Survey Register of F.1239 in the joint names of the 2 swamis, & in the entry of one of the fields there is a remark to the effect that Varadarajaswami is in enj.t since F.1224.

The Inam Topes in this District have all along been in the management of the villagers, & appropriation of portions of the proceeds of the Topes to Pagodas other than those in whose names they appear is not a new thing. The Inam being old & valid & the Pagoda [to] which it originally belonged being still in existence, it may be confirmed & continued as at present enj.d so long as Kailasanathaswami's Pagoda is in existence & well maintained. The [illegible] in this Inam is within the average [illegible] in Ayan lands. 11-2-65. Rajagopala [illegible]
Dy. Coll.

The average [illegible] Ayan land [illegible] Rs 24-4-0

F.D.R.
904

Conf.

do

F.D.

do. Tope
507 -6-13½
511 -5-15¾
-31-13¼ .79 1-1-8 [illegible]

[illegible]

For the Angalamman Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good cond.n & worship is conducted.

do. do. do. No documents.

Angalamman Entered in F.1216.

No. 4239. Angalamman Pagoda [illegible] entered in F.1216 but found included in the [illegible] for F.1224. [illegible]

Angalamman at Sellur

Worshippers

Chidambaram [illegible]

To be confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda subject to the payment of ¼ Q.R. [illegible]

F.D.R.
905

Conf.

[illegible]