Nagapatam Taluq Tanjore District



Negapatam Taluq · Negapatam Taluq · Tanjore District

Sheet No 148





Nagapatam Survey Tanjore District

Sheet No 149

Affidavit for attachment 20 February
Duly opened for default 5/1/65

Negapatam Taluq: Tanjore District

Sheet No 150

Nagapatam Subdy Tanjore District

Sheet No 157



Enquiry Impression Examination

Sketch No 152

Sketch of Inam in the Village of Uthiyam Pattu Uthiyam Sy. No 25 in the Valley of Tripatore in the District of Salem

Uthiyam Garden

This inam grant was granted to the Temple of Vedi Vinayagar Swami Pattu Uthiyam for the purpose of Temple Service

Sy. No. 25 - 7.19 - 0

Sy. No. 25 - 6.00 Temple

Sy. No. 25 - 1.19 Vedi Vinayagar Swami Pattu Uthiyam

Sy. No. 25 - 0.00 Village Road

Total Sy. No. 25 - 7.19 - 0

Total Assessment Rs. 2.14 - 0

Sy. No. 25 - 1.19 - 0 Assessment Rs. 0.14 - 0

Sy. No. 25 - 6.00 - 0 Assessment Rs. 2.00 - 0

Total Assessment Rs. 2.14 - 0

Sy. No. 25 - 7.19 - 0

Sy. No. 25 - 1.19 - 0

Sy. No. 25 - 6.00 - 0

Sy. No. 25 - 0.00

Sketch No 153

Inam Commissioner

Full Assessed



Village of Kottagam in the Nagapatam Taluq

Sheet No 15

Date of attachment 7th October 1861
Date of appearance of parties —
Viradhiswamiyya Pillayan 20th October 1861.
Chidambaram Pillaiyan — } 10th January 1862.
Nagana the surveyor —
Ramanna the Notabla patta Pursal
Ramanatha Dikshadar — } Did not Summon, but they appeared
Subramaniya Dikshadar — } and filed statements in the Court
Deputy Collector's office before attestment

30th November 1861
Nagapatam.

W. T. Hackney
Sub. Collector

Certified that the Survey Plan of Kottagam is correct

W. Robinson
Inam Commissioner.

செப்பிடுவதற்காக எடுக்கக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
8 மாதம் 12 வருடம்
செப்பிடும் மாதிரி
10 மாதம் 12 வருடம்
மாதிரி எடுப்பதாக
கொள்ளத் தகுவோர்கள்
எல்லாம்.



Village of Kottigar in the Nagapatam Taluq

Sketch to
153

Register No 1

Cont. to 11

Pagoda & Sanam

Boundary for the enclosure of the pagoda mentioned in Col. Colebrooke's letter of the 23rd Feb. 1807

R. A. P.
1807

Sketch of the Pagoda & Choultry at Kottaimedoo
23/2/1807

Sketch of the Pagoda at Kottaimedoo
23/2/1807

Sketch of the Pagoda at Kottaimedoo
23/2/1807

Sketch of the Pagoda

(to continued)

Belongs to the Banswara settlement of the 4th pergunnah Nagar Dar-

do the Dub Collector therefore recommends that it may be

granted free of rent.

C. Confirmed as recommended
Sml. 1971 15-10 23/2/1915

Belongs to Dhirelhi Nagar Pergunnah Nagar Dar the Dub Collector

therefore recommends that it may be granted rent free

Dr. Confirmed as recommended
Sml. 1971 3-0-0
23/2/1915

This is a Banswara land entered in the Paymash account as

Paymash account of Fasli 1238 as formerly cultivated

This is an old land entered in the Paymash account in the name of Veerajeeva Nagar Dar to have been subsequently sold to Roopjee Jamnadas dalaya who is now holding it. It is attended to in page but it is claimed as such. This must therefore be fully assessed as a personal Inam which having claimed by the Inamdar, within the prescribed time

Fully assessed
23/2/1915

These individuals are the children of the person entered in the Paymash account of Fasli 1235 and the grandchildren of the man named in the accounts of 1196 is attached to in page and is not claimed as such. Inam therefore be considered as a present to them and be charged a quit rent of 1/8 the assessment made

Sic. 3/4 of the Sirom Palav

F. Confirmed as recommended
Sml. 3-0-0
General Remarks 23/2/1915

As will appear from columns 14 to the entry in the Register of 1879 simply shows in the 9th Principal Inamdars of the village without showing separately the Banswara land entered therein but in 8th of column 15 and the lands which appear to have been already disposed of by the Inam Commissioners. In the Paymash account of Fasli 1226 4-8 Lumbers three particulars are entered in full. The discrepancies which are observable between the entries of the two Registers of 1879 and the Paymash accounts of 1226 4-8 have arisen from different

[illegible] years, brought under cultivation [illegible] the Paymash of 1879 as new [illegible] numbers has been included, which in the other two documents to the [illegible] as were cultivable only appears to have been entered [illegible] being an entire No. the [illegible] or money paid [illegible] to here necessary.

Inam Commissioner.