gister of Inâms in the hamlet of Pásupathipákkam attached to Kávâlamôdu village (No. 317) in the Taluk of Mayavaram
in the District of Tanjore

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

évá-
yam

Out of the land standing in the name of the Temple.

Tarapp wet

g. m. l. Ac. cent Rs. A. P. Rs. A. P.

No.	Ac.	cent
No 1u8	–	97
2u[illegible]	–	17
9	3	8
10	–	15
13	1	92
14	2	17
15	6	88
17	5	86
[illegible]	–	20
[illegible]	1	35
[illegible]1	[illegible]	27
22	–	34
44	8	99
45	5	50
46	4	86
47	1	45
48	5	18
[illegible]	6	32
50	–	30
51	5	1[illegible]
52	4	66
53	–	11
54	5	47
55	6	21
56	6	50
522	4	97
523	4	76

Tl. 1-15-133.-61 122-12-1 122-12-1

Deduct Kaval fees 4-7-9

Remainder 118-4-4

For the performance of Puja &c to Srí Tirumalainádha Svámi at Kadalangudi.

The services are kept up.

Tax free – The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of ~~Land Revenue~~ payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account of extra cultivation, Fussal or Tirva Jasti, water tax &c.

Permanent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863-64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No 1319 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.
Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No 75
On condition that the Revenue assigned in lieu of the ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in the Temple.

Srí Tirumâlainádha Svâmi.

"

Srí Tirumâlainádha Svâmi.

Kadangudi village in Mayaveram Taluq

Sheet No. 127.

Regr. No. 94

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the conditions of the grant are duly fulfilled.

W M Weld
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector.

Confirmed 25/10/77 SA

Fair Copied

I. D. [illegible]
1135.

2

3

4

5

6

க. [illegible]
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
டிசம்பர்மாதம் 1987 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
டிசம்பர்மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

No 102

Register of Nandavanam Inams in Ramapuram hamlet of Maharajapuram Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharma Dayam Closed	Dry 68	233/8 Gulies	·58	"	-7-10 The usufruct only permanent non-hereditary	Tax free	Nil	Survey Account of Fasli 1288	1288 Nanda vanam	Maharajapuram Nandavanam Service Krishna Dikshitar

This Inam is supported by survey acct of 1288 Fasli [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 2 [illegible]

1288

Confirmed [illegible]

T.D. 127

Register No. 102
Sheet No. 105

184

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த மார்ச்
மாதம் 1990. வருடம்
21/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

No 103

Register of Nandavanum Inams in the village of Thirouttakoody No 261, Mayavaram Talug Tanjore District

1 Dharma Dayam	Dry No 326 3 7/64 gulies .08 Do No 331 6 gulies .15 Do No 336 22 gulies .55 Do No 338 1 7/16 gulies .64 Total 32 43/64 Do .82	0-1-11 0-3-7 0-13-2 0-1-0 1-3-8	For [illegible] Tanjore Per-manent [illegible] [illegible] permanently [illegible]	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Fasli 1238 Nandavanum Alayudham Perumal Koil	Tiruvalakudy Nandavanum [illegible] Ramachandra Setty	The Nandavanum is well [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] permanently [illegible] 1 mango [illegible] 1 [illegible] 1-10-11 [illegible] temporarily [illegible] Sivaji [illegible] [illegible] appropriated [illegible] Nandavanum [illegible] [illegible]	Continued [illegible] Inam Com 28.11.[illegible] P.D. No 1300

closed

Regr. No. 103
Sheet No. 106

1 H P.

21-8-[illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக ~~எடுத்துக்~~ கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
12/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

Regr. No. 405 Register of Inams in the village of Vettiyambadi (No. 487) in the [illegible] Maganam Mayavaram [illegible] district.

Vettiyambadi Village

Regr. No. 405
Sheet No. 105

Rel. Endt.	Tope 243 244 245 247	..-11-4 ..-7-3½ ..-38-¼ ..-7-10½ 1-24-2½	1·60	1-3-5	√ - - -	The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Sabhanayar's Pagoda at Chitambaram. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Sabhanayakar	In F 1216 Chitambaram Sabhanayakar Swami Manium 1-3-25	In F 1238 Chitambaram Sabhanayakar Swami Manium 1-24-2¼	Sabhanayakar at Chitambaram Worshipper Udayasami Dikshitar	To be confirmed [illegible] 6/3/62 [illegible]	[illegible] 1 Confirmed and [illegible] 6 Jan 63

Regr. No. 406 Register of Inams in the village of Viralur (No. 488) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 406

Do.	Tope 5 94	1-..-9¾ ..-26-12 1-27-5¾	1·68	5-4-11	- - -	Do. Do. of Panchanadeeswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Panchavarneeswara Swami	In F 1216 Panchavarneeswara Swami Tope 1-5-10	In F 1238 Panchavarneeswara Swami Tope 1-27-5¾	Panchavarneeswara Swami at Viralur Worshipper Muthusami Gurukkal	To be confirmed 6/3/62 [illegible]	[illegible] 2 do

Case No 1

Personal	Wet 118 No. 1 in 11 No. 2 in 11 25 22 26 51 No. 1 in 54 No. 2 in 54 No. 3 in 54 56 No. 1 in 60 No. 2 in 60 No. 3 in 60 No. 1 in 66 No. 2 in 66 No. 3 in 66 No. 4 in 66 [illegible] No. 1 in 67 No. 2 in 67 No. 3 in 67 No. 4 in 67 No. 1 in 68 No. 2 in 68 No. 1 in 70 No. 2 in 70 72 75 [illegible] in 75 [illegible] in 80 No. 2 in 80 No. 3 in 80 No. 4 in 80 No. 5 in 80 No. 1 in 81 No. 2 in 81 No. 3 in 81 No. 4 in 81 No. 5 in 81 No. 1 in 82 No. 2 in 82 No. 1 in 83 No. 2 in 83 84 89 92 96 No. 1 in 106 No. 2 in 106 No. 1 in 110 No. 2 in 110 No. 3 in 110 No. 4 in 110 120 122 124 No. [illegible] 125	1-..-3¼ ..-7-8¼ ..-10-15¾ ..-8-1¾ ..-12-10¼ ..-12-14¼ ..-3-2 ..-11-9¼ ..-9-14¾ ..-2-5¼ ..-11-5 ..-25-7 ..-2-11¼ ..-21-14¼ ..-19-1½ ..-1-7¾ ..-21-4½ ..-13-¼ ..-9-6¼ ..-18-8¼ ..-22-6¾ ..-18-6¾ ..-22-6¼ 1-12-1 ..-18-11½ ..-15-13½ ..-12-7¾ ..-23-8½ ..-32-1 ..-32-15 ..-10-7¼ ..-[illegible]-6½ ..-4-[illegible] ..-25-3¼ ..-7-13¼ ..-8-9¼ ..-25-14¾ ..-8-1½ ..-8-1¼ ..-9-1¼ ..-22-3 1-1-1 ..-29-15 ..-24-10½ ..-21-5¾ ..-39-4 1-20-15½ 2-6-[illegible] ..-3-[illegible] ..-8-12 ..-7-1¾ ..-15-½ ..-9-12¾ ..-4-5 ..-6-.. ..-7-1½ ..-6-1¼ 1-8-3				For the personal benefit of the holder	Jodi 131-4-8 Kaval fees 15-13-1	Hereditary	Granted by Sarfoji Raja Saheb in the 9th [illegible] [illegible] in [illegible]	The Dafter [illegible] granting the Inam is not produced, but it is found recorded in the Register of 1809. The extent originally granted is V 13-10 Wet ..-16-50 Dry 14-6-50 with a road of 14 feet	1. Kristnaji Malhar W 5-10 Dry ..-16-50 5-6-50 2. Gopala Venkaji W 3 3. Bapaji Balaji W 2 4. Mallari [illegible] [illegible] W [illegible] 5. Panchanada Sastri W [illegible] 6. Subramanya Dikshitar W [illegible] 14-6-50	In the Register of 1809 1. Ramaji Kristnan son of No. 1. 6-6-50 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 } Same as the parties bearing these Nos. in col. 13 8 14-6-50 In F 1216 Kristnaji Malhar [illegible] [illegible] W 12-19-4¼ Dry ..-17-13½ 13-17-4¾	In F 1238 1. Lakshmana Pandita son of original grantee No. 1. 31-32-4¾ 2. Parasurama Pandita grandson of original grantee No. 2 11-2-5½ 3. Venkatadasa 4-30-8¼ 4. Lakshmi Narayana purchaser from No. 3 original grantee 11-7-13¾ 5. Srinivasa Sastri son of original grantee No. 6 9-30-7 including [illegible] 6. Panchanada Sastri son of No. 5 [illegible] 7-37 Grandchildren [illegible] 7. Anantaraman 9-34-7½ 8. Pichamani 3-7-12½ 9. Appusami 2-19-4 10. Subbaiyar ..-31-3¼ 1. Panjanadan 2-16-13¾ 2. Venkata Kristnaiyar 1-3-7¾ 3. [illegible] ..-20-15 4. [illegible] ..-20-15 5. Ananta [illegible] ..-22-5 6. Srinivasa [illegible] or [illegible] 6-15-5 7. [illegible] 3-9-13½ 157-23-1½ [illegible]	Tanjore 1. Krishnasami Panditar 38 2. Rama Pillai 60 3. Kandasami Pillai 65 4. Venkataramaiyar 40 5. Muthusami aiyar 40 6. Sarasvati ammal 30 7. Muthuvelayuda Pillai 59 8. Sinivasarayar 50 9. Subbarayar 30 10. Hanumantaiyar 35 11. Sethu [illegible] 45 12. Subramanya Sastri 48 13. Krishna Sastri 35 14. Appavaiyar 28 15. Pichuvaiyar 80 16. Appasamaiyar 45 17. Aiyavaiyar 60 18. Anantarama Sastri 55 19. Panjuvaiyar 70 20. Vaidyanadha Swami 22 21. Appuvaiyar 50 22. Kamakshiyammal 55 23. Ramaiyar 46 24. Seshaiyar 40 25. N[illegible]	(1) Grandson of No. 1 (12-13) Grandsons of No. 5 in col. 13. (14) Grandson of No. 7 (19) Same as No. 8 (19) Same as No. 11 (20) Brother's son of No. [illegible] (21) Same as No. 9 (22) Widow (23) Brother's son of No. 10. All in col. 15. The above numbers 14-15-19 20-21-22 & 23 are descendants of the original grantees holders. The rest have acquired [illegible] by purchase or gift. (24) Grandson of original grantee No. 2 in col. 13	(1) Wife 1, son 1 — 2 (2) Brothers — 4 Sons — 3 — 7 (3) Wife 1 Sons 3 Brother — 1 — 5 (4) Wife 1, son 1 Brother — 1 — 3 (5) Wife 1, daughter 1 — 2 (6) Wife 1, sons 2 brother — 2 — 5 (7) Wife 1, sons 2 brother's son 1 — 4 (8) Wife 1, son 1 — 2 (9) Wife — 1 — 1 (10) Wife 1, sons 2 — 3 (11) Wife 1, daughter — 2 — 3 (12) Wife 1, son 1 — 2 (13) Wife — 1 — 1 (14) Wife 1, daughter 1 — 2 (15) Son — 1 daughter in law 1 — 2 (16) Wife 1, son 1 — 2 (17) Wife 1, son 1 brother — 1 — 3 (18) Daughters — 2 daughter's son 1 — 3 (19) Wife 1, sons 4 — 5 (20) Wife — 1 — 1 (21) Wife 1, sons 3 daughters in law 3 — 7 (22) None (23) Wife — 1 — 1 (24) Son — 1 — 1 [illegible]	The lands originally [illegible] Nos. 2-3-27 were purchased by them (the first two in the year 1856 & the third in [illegible] [illegible]. Those [illegible] are [illegible] by the three in [illegible]. The extent in the name of Nos. 2 & 3 [illegible] are [illegible] [illegible] as they are equal shares [illegible] is entitled to half of the aggregate of the extents specified in their names. The half share of No. 3 was disposed of by him in the year 1831 to one Valia [illegible] from whom subsequently No. 4 in col. 16 father, 2 others Sivaraman & [illegible] purchased it jointly. No. 4 enjoys his father's 1/3 share. The extent purchased [illegible] disposed of his 1/3 in the year [illegible] to one [illegible] from whom No. 5 bought it [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	To be confirmed in one deed — [illegible] agreed by No. 1 at ⅛ in Rs 3-8 or com. [illegible] Rs 30 [illegible] imposed at ⅛ [illegible] 8 [illegible] or com. [illegible] Rs 21 Do. do. [illegible] on No. 3 [illegible] Rs 2 or com. [illegible] Rs 7 Do. do. [illegible] on No. 4 in Rs 4-8 or com. [illegible] Rs 15 Do. do. on No. 5 at ⅛ in Rs 1-8 or com. [illegible] Rs 5 Do. do. on No. 6 at ⅛ in Rs 1-8 [illegible] Rs 5 Do. do. on No. 7 at ⅛ in Rs 2-4 or com. [illegible] Rs 7-8 Do. do. on No. 8 & 9 at ⅛ in Rs 2-8 or com. [illegible] Rs 9 Do. do. on No. 10 at ⅛ in Rs 1-12 or com. [illegible] Rs 5-8 Do. do. on No. 11 at ⅛ in Rs [illegible] 8 or com. [illegible] Rs 1-12 Do. do. on No. 12 & 13 on the shares purchased & agreed to on that which [illegible] originally acquired [illegible] at ⅛ [illegible] Rs 7 [illegible] or com. [illegible] Rs 23 Do. do. on No. 14 [illegible] [illegible]	Appd. [illegible] 24/5/65 Confd. [illegible] 1865 [illegible] 20 189 [illegible] 965

Extract granted to Siva Rama Panditar son of Atmarama Panditar on the 3rd August 1866.

Vide Board's Procdgs. 4 March 1863. No. 462 Explains why he rejected the claim of Atmaram Panditar to be registered jointly with Parasuram Panditar No. 29 in col. 15 — The remarks of the Dy. Colr. show clearly that the claim must be settled by a Civil Suit only. Petn. of Atmaram rejected accordingly —
3 Aug. 65

Case No 2

[illegible] Viralur [illegible] 1838 (continued)

[illegible]al Wet (continued)	
Nº 1 in 128	„ – 12 – 12¼
Nº 2 in 128	„ – 15 – 11¼
Nº 3 in 128	1 – 15 – 2½
Nº 1 in 130	„ – 18 – 13¾
Nº 2 in 130	„ – 16 – 14¼
Nº 3 in 130	„ – 19 – 11
Nº 4 in 130	„ – 7 – 11¼
Nº 5 in 130	„ – 8 – 8½
Nº 1 in 131	„ – 33 – 7¼
Nº 2 in 131	„ – 26 – 4¾
Nº 3 in 131	„ – 10 – ½
133	„ – 1 – 14
Nº 1 in 140	„ – 5 – 8
Nº 2 in 140	„ – 2 – 10¾
Nº 3 in 140	„ – 5 – 5¼
Nº 4 in 140	„ – 8 – 8¼
142	„ – 18 – 9¼
146	„ – 9 – 15¾
145	1 – 27 – 12¼
Nº 1 in 147	„ – 22 – 3¾
Nº 2 in 147	„ – 5 – 12
Nº 3 in 147	„ – 8 – 3
Nº 4 in 147	1 – 34 – 7
Nº 1 in 149	„ – 5 – 9¾
Nº 2 in 149	„ – 25 – 5¾
Nº 3 in 149	„ – 5 – 2
Nº 4 in 149	„ – 3 – 5½
Nº 1 in 150	„ – 4 – 3½
Nº 2 in 150	„ – 12 – 3
151	„ – 11 – 2¼
Nº 1 in 152	„ – 14 – 7
Nº 2 in 152	„ – 9 – 15¼
153	„ – 27 – 13½
Nº 1 in 155	„ – 8 – 12¼
Nº 2 in 155	„ – 9 – 6¼
Nº 1 in 156	1 – 4 – 12½
Nº 2 in 156	„ – 24 – 2
Nº 3 in 156	„ – 25 – 6½
160	„ – 31 – 4
164	1 – 9 – 13½
165	1 – 25 – 10¼
166	2 – „ – 15
Nº 1 in 173	„ – 5 – 10½
Nº 2 in 173	1 – 24 – 8¼
Nº 1 in 174	„ – 32 – 10
Nº 2 in 174	„ – 22 – 11¼
Nº 1 in 175	„ – 25 – ¼
Nº 2 in 175	[illegible] – 2½
Nº 1 in 176	„ – 16 – 12¾
Nº 2 in 176	1 – 20 – 9
Nº 3 in 176	„ – 12 – 11½
Nº 1 in 177	„ – 16 – 2¾
Nº 2 in 177	„ – 9 – 7½
Nº 3 in 177	„ – 29 – 9
179	„ – 24 – 8½
Nº 1 in 181	„ – 36 – 7
Nº 2 in 181	„ – 19 – 9¼
Nº 3 in 181	„ – 14 – 7
Nº 1 in 183	„ – 8 – 4½
Nº 2 in 183	„ – 25 – ½
Nº 3 in 183	„ – 22 – 9¼
Nº 4 in 185	„ – 8 – 9½
Nº 5 in 185	„ – 5 – 12½
Nº 1 in 189	„ – 14 – 5
Nº 2 in 189	„ – 12 – 2¾
Nº 3 in 189	„ – 4 – 6½
Nº 4 in 189	„ – 24 – 1¾
Nº 1 in 270	„ – 6 – „
Nº 2 in 270	„ – 6 – „
Nº 3 in 270	„ – 3 – 9½
Nº 4 in 270	„ – 9 – 4½
375	„ – 9 – 6
Nº 1 in 376	„ – 2 – 15
Nº 2 in 376	„ – 2 – 2
Nº 3 in 376	„ – 3 – 13½
Nº 4 in 376	„ – 1 – 15½
Nº 5 in 376	„ – 2 – 9¼
Nº 1 in 378	„ – 34 – 5½
Nº 2 in 378	„ – 14 – 10½
Nº 3 in 378	„ – 14 – 10½
Nº 1 in 379	„ – 5 – 3¼
Nº 2 in 379	„ – 4 – 15¾
Nº 3 in 379	„ – 5 – 14½
Nº 4 in 379	„ – 7 – 15½
Nº 1 in 381	„ – 13 – 15½
Nº 2 in 381	1 – 33 – 7¼
382	1 – 25 – 9½
383	2 – 8 – 10¾
384	2 – 9 – 5½
392	„ – 11 – 9¼
392	„ – 34 – 13
393	[illegible]

Viralur Village
Mayavaram Taluk
Regr. No. 406

Case No 2 (Contd)

No. 1225 Viralur Chatriam Wet 14 – 1 – 80 3/32 Dry „ – 17 – 72½ Total 14 – 19 – 52 29/32	26. Venkatarama Sastri 45 27. Mahadeva Bhattar 26 28. Lakshmi Sastri 25 29. Parasurama Pandita 53	(26) Wife 1, sons 4/5 (27) Father 1 Wife 1/2 (28) Wife 1, daughter 1 Brothers 2/6 (29) Wife 1 sons 3/4	

whose son No. 9 is contended that his son the above No. 9 was adopted by his deceased brother, & Rukkumani Ammal's husband. The widow denied the adoption. The Court Courts wherein a suit was instituted declared that the alleged adoption did not really take place, but decreed to No. 9. ¼ of the one third share of the said son, in accordance with a deed executed by her, in which she had consented to give away ¼ to the individual [illegible]. The remaining ¾ [illegible] the widow [illegible] disposed of by her in the year 1847 to No. 10 – Deed of sale produced. The widow [illegible] has got a daughter married & possessed of children. The extent entd. in the name of No. 5 in col: 15 was purchased by Rangachari father in law of No. 11 in col: 16 in the year Durmukhi. The party purchasing disposed of ⅝ to No. 4's father in the year 1837 & gave away ⅛ in gift to No. 13 his son in law, in whose name he requests that it may be entd. – Deeds of sale to Rangachari & No. 4's father are produced, & the gift is admitted by the donor. Nos. 12 & 13 are sons of No. 5 in col: 15. They enjoy the land entd. in their father's name with 2 odd acres from what is entd. against the name of No. 5 [illegible] of the fields included under No. 6, No. 5 also had a share – he (No. 5) also got some small extent for the item "Gramasamudayam" in col: 15. Deeds of sale for the lands which No. 5 is stated in the above named col. to have purchased from two of original grantees are produced & they are of Vikrama & Vikrama – Of the extent entd. in col: 15 in No. 7 Anantarama's name a portion belongs to No. 10 Subbaraya in the same col: The extents entd. in the names of two individuals with a small portion for out of the Grama samudayam consolidated which is now in the enjt. of Nos. 14, 22 & 23 – No. 23 had as much land as No. 22 but the remr. of his share was sold at a public auction, & one Sivasubramania Aiyar bidding for it purchased it in the year 1841, as per receipt produced, but he soon afterwards sold it away to No. 14 – The extent entd. in the name of Pichaiyan No. 15 in col 16, in the Pasali is now in the enjt. of 3 individuals, himself & Nos. 6 & 18. For what these 2 men purchased deeds of sale are produced – Nos. 16 & 17 are sons & brother-in-law of No. 12 in col: 15 who purchased the land entd. in his name in the Pasali, in the year Krodhana from Pichaiyan. Besides what is entd. in his name in col: 15 No. 12 had about 80 guntas from No. 7 Anantarama – in adjustment of certain differences in the Grama bhagam shares. Doct. for the purchase from Pichaiyan above alluded to is produced – What No. 18 enjoys is made up of portions purchased from Pichaiyan in the year Kalayukti, from Ranganayaki No. 19 in the year 1844, & from one Anantaraman who had purchased it in the year Tarana from Chokkalinga Pillai – vide deeds produced. No. 21 came into possession by purchase in two instalments from Annaswami, brother of Kailasam No. 17 in col: 15 – The extent in Kailasam's name minus that sold by his brother Annaswami to Chokkalinga Pillai is in the enjt. of No. 24 Seshappaiyan his son. Docts. for all the purchases above referred to were produced. Kailasam was not an original Grama bhagam sharer – he acquired the land by purchase previous to the Pasali – No. 25 enjoys the extent entd. in col 15 in the name of his grandfather No. 15, Anantaraman, who had it by gift many years ago for a Gramabhagam share holder. No. 26 enjoys by purchase in the 1847 from Ranganayaki No. 19. Doct. produced. No. 27 enjoys by gift from No. 1. made in the year Saumya. Deed of gift produced. No. 28 enjoys by gift from the villagers for out of the extent entd. as "Gramasamudayam" land. No deed of gift is available – but the villagers admit the gift. Anantarama Pandit, a brother of No. 29, objects entry of his name also along with that of his brother [illegible] as is undivided. Parasurama Pandit however objects to the claim & alleges that he & his brother [illegible] divided members that more than 30 years ago they separated [illegible] that after [illegible] these parts for about 20 years – he returned [illegible], finding that he [illegible] away [illegible] Pillai – he [illegible]

certain sum of money due by Seshappaiyan & his brother Krishnaiyan to No. 7's father – who being in Court for the recovery of the amount the parties entd. into a compromise wherein it was agreed that the plaintiff was to hold for the interest the land belonging to the defendants till they should liquidate the debt in 2 instalments payable after stated terms & that on failure to do so the land was to be considered sold to the plaintiff & the defendants were to forfeit their right to the Inam. The terms specified having been executed without the condition being fulfilled No. 7 has become master of the Inam pledged. Seshappaiyan is dead. His brother Krishnaiyan unreasonably objects to the registry of the Inam in the name of No. 7 & alleges that he is in possession. From certain docts. produced by No. 7 written in the hand of Krishnaiyan himself it appears that the former or the claimant [illegible] only a [illegible] of his land for [illegible]. Besides his own ⅓ share extended No. 4 enjoys some lands by purchase from No. 8 in col: 15 & from one Rangachari who had purchased the share of No. 8 in col: 15. What No. 7 enjoys was purchased by him together with some [illegible] lands in the year Nandana from Vijayaraghava, son of Lakshmana Aiyar No. 4 in col: 15 & entitled to one ⅓ of the extent therein entered – Doct. produced. No. 8 is brother of the above Lakshmana Aiyar & enjoys ⅓ – the aggregate of the extents in the names of Nos. 9 & 10 – belonged to one Rukkumani Ammal brother's wife of Lakshmana, the [illegible] remaining

share both at ⅛ is Rs 5 – 8 – or com: 2R Rs 14 – –
2R agreed to by No. 15 at ⅛ is Rs 1 – 12 – or com: 2R Rs 4 – –
2R imposed on Nos. 16 & 17 at ⅛ is Rs – 12 – com: 2R Rs 2 – 12 –
2R imposed on No. 18 at ⅛ is Rs 1 – 4 – or Com: Rs 4 – 12 –
2R agreed to at ⅛ is Rs – 14 – to Nos. 19 & 20 or com: 2R Rs 1 – 12 –
2R agreed to at ⅛ is Rs 1 – 12 – or com: 2R Rs 5 – – to No. 21.
2R agreed to at ⅛ by Nos. 22 & 23 is Rs 1 – 4 – or com: 2R Rs 3 – 8 –
2R imposed at ⅛ on No. 24 is Rs 1 – 4 – or Com: 2R Rs 4 – 8 –
2R imposed at ⅛ on No. 25 is Rs – 4 – com: 2R Rs 1 – –
2R imposed on No. 26 at ⅛ is Rs – 4 – Com: 2R Rs 1 – –
2R imposed at ⅛ on No. 27 is Rs – 4 – com: 2R Rs – 12 –
2R imposed at ⅛ on No. 28 is Rs – 2 – com: 2R Rs – 8 –
2R agreed to by No. 29 at ⅛ is Rs 4 – 8 – com: 2R Rs 15 – –

The Inam is assessed at the average rates on the Ayan lands of the village – which are Rs 38 – 2 – 9, Rs 21 – 3 – 6 & Rs 20 – 6 – 4 per Veli of Wet. Dry

Sheet No. 106

Viralur Village

Mayavaram Taluk

Regr. No. 406
Sheet No. 106

				asst	Gardens	asst	Total	asst	Jodi	Kaval
1	14.95	"–6–"	.90	2–14–6	1.72	5–4–10	17.57	94–8–3	21–15–5	2–12–7
2	11.43	65–15–10	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	11.43	65–15–10	14–13–10	1–13–11
3	3.79	21–14–1	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	3.79	21–14–1	4–15–8	"–9–7
4	8.31	47–15–7	.15	"–7–9	"	"–"–"	8.46	48–7–4	10–10–5	1–2–7
5	2.66	15–5–4	.02	"–1–"	"	"–"–"	2.68	15–6–8	3–8–2	"–6–10
6	2.73	15–12–1	.02	"–1–"	"	"–"–"	2.75	15–13–1	3–8–2	"–6–10
7	3.94	22–12–"	.02	"–1–"	"	"–"–"	3.96	22–13–"	5–"–1	"–9–7
8	3.70	21–5–9	.03	"–1–7	"	"–"–"	3.73	21–7–4	5–"–1	"–9–7
9	1.02	5–14–3	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	1.02	5–14–3	1–4–"	"–2–5
10	3.12	18–"–2	.02	"–1–"	"	"–"–"	3.14	18–1–2	3–2–"	"–7–3
11	.89	5–2–2	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	.89	5–2–2	1–2–9	"–2–3
12 13	12.55	72–7–3	.07	"–3–7	"	"–"–"	12.62	72–10–10	16–6–9	2–"–3
14	8.85	51–1–6	.75	2–6–7	"	"–"–"	9.60	53–8–1	8–8–6	1–"–6
15	2.75	15–14–"	.15	"–7–9	"	"–"–"	2.90	16–5–9	2–5–7	"–4–6
16	.70	4–"–8	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	.70	4–"–8	"–15–8	"–1–11
17	.65	3–12–1	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	.65	3–12–1	"–15–8	"–1–11
18	2.42	13–15–6	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	2.42	13–15–6	3–6–6	"–6–6
19	.91	5–4–"	.11	"–5–7	"	"–"–"	1.02	5–9–7	"–10–9	"–1–3
20	.45	2–9–6	.08	"–4–1	"	"–"–"	.53	2–13–7	"–4–6	"–"–6
21	3.14	18–2–1	.15	"–7–9	"	"–"–"	3.29	18–9–10	3–5–10	"–6–5
22	1.59	9–2–10	.11	"–5–7	"	"–"–"	1.70	9–8–5	1–12–2	"–3–5
23	.49	2–13–3	.09	"–4–7	"	"–"–"	.58	3–1–10	"–9–7	"–1–1
24	2.24	12–14–11	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	2.24	12–14–11	3–4–8	"–6–4
25	.55	3–2–9	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	.55	3–2–9	"–12–6	"–1–6
26	.53	3–"–11	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	.53	3–"–11	"–12–6	"–1–6
27	.38	2–3–1	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	.38	2–3–1	"–8–1	"–"–11
28	.25	1–7–1	"	"–"–"	"	"–"–"	.25	1–7–1	"–5–5	"–"–7
29	8.15	47–1–6	.05	"–2–7	"	"–"–"	8.20	47–4–1	10–10–5	1–2–7
	103.14	595–7–6	2.72	8–11–10	1.72	5–4–10	107.58	609–8–2	131–4–8	15–13–1

1 in 396 "–7–13
2 in do "–22–13½
3 in do "–21–5
4 in do 1–"–13½
402 1–22–1
403 "–25–8
405 1–33–"
412 1–1–7½
414 "–22–3
79 "–1–¾
404 1–4–14
407 2–10–13¼
1 in 409 1–18–14¼
2 in do "–27–2
413 1–3–13¼
418 "–15–1
377 "–5–9¼
380 "–1–5¼
398 "–8–"
400 "–24–13¼
405 "–22–10½
408 "–12–3¾
411 "–2–13¼
415 "–17–1¼
417 "–7–5¼
103–5–9¼ 103.14 595–7–6

Dry
159 "–5–4½
127 "–10–15½
154 "–33–8¼
1 in 121 "–5–15½
2 in do "–7–8¼
123 "–6–14¾
1 in 119 "–5–15¼
2 in do "–5–15½
112 "–8–3¾
105 "–1–8¼
115 "–2–11¼
84 "–14–2
2–28–8¾ 2.71 8–11–10

Garden
187 "–12–12
188 1–16–3¼
1–29–15¼ 1.73 5–4–10
107–23–1¼ 107.58 609–8–2

Poram
401 "–8–9½
410 "–4–8
115 "–8–5
347 "–4–"
349 "–2–7
129 "–22–8
93 "–8–4
97 "–18–"
126 "–8–4
2–2–13½
109–25–14¾ 109.65

have the [illegible] for his brothers, and it is in exclusive enjoyment since then, the claims of the brothers has been [illegible] in the Civil court [illegible] established.

[illegible] to the memory of the Inamdar by him that he [illegible] before this claim [illegible] a share in it and that he is [illegible] now entitled [illegible] he could produce no deed of division but produced a copy of a decree of the Civil Court in a case in which he and his brothers were sued together as undivided members for the recovery of a debt due [illegible] in his brother alone is adjudged to pay the amount. On the other hand from a No. of private letters &c. from No. 29 to the claimant the family seems to be clearly presumed to be undivided. No. 29 is [illegible] from [illegible] and [illegible] [illegible] lived separately and independent of each other. As the [illegible] of the Inam which had been sold away apparently by both together (as the sale was made by the claimant with the written consent of No. 29) appears to have been [illegible] by No. 29 by the payment of his own money some years after his return [illegible] he [illegible] to

and Garden respectively

There is no error in the Inam.

The Poramboke namely A 2–2–13½ has been exempted from assessment as it consists wholly of land, irrigation channels &c, unfit for cultivation.

21/4/62 Rajanda[illegible]

Nanjai Wet
18 "–33–4¾
27 1–8–14¼
28 "–10–10¾
29 "–7–4
31 "–3–5
32 "–26–5
33 1–27–5¾
34 2–3–"¼
35 1–38–3¾
8–38–11¼ 8.97
Excess by Agreement "–1–4¼ .03
8–39–15½ 9.00 51–15–4
[illegible] as above

Wet	asst	Kaval	[illegible]	
2.03	11–11–1	"–4–6		1–6
2.04	11–11–11	"–4–6		1–6
1.64	4–7–10	"–4–7		1–3
3.29	18–15–6	"–9–4		2–5
				6–4
9.00	51–15–4	1–6–11		

In the possession of the holder

Kavil 1–6–11 hereditary

Granted by Ekoji Rajah to [illegible] [illegible] in 1177.

Ditto not [illegible] But it is found entered in the Regr. of 1809. Extract of the grant is [illegible] 10 [illegible] with a [illegible] of 18 [illegible] which is equivalent to [illegible] 2–50 with 12 [illegible]

Ekoji [illegible]

Case No. 3

In the Regr. of 1809. 1. Rama swami [illegible] [illegible] "–4–" 2. [illegible] [illegible] "–6– –10–

In F. 1238. 1. Venkata [illegible] & Pichu [illegible] 4–2–13 2. Narayana [illegible] 4–37–2½ 8–39–15½

In F. 1266 Ekoji [illegible] Inam 51–4–15½

Siddamalli
1. Pichu [illegible] 65
2. Krishna [illegible] 35
3. Venkatarama chari 42
4. Subba [illegible] 39
5. Srinivasa chari 29

(1) Grandson (2) [illegible] grand son of the original grantee [illegible] party in Col. 13.

(1) Wife 1 son ⅓
(2) Wife 1 son ⅔
(3) Wife 1 son ½
(4) Sons ½
(5) Wife 1 son Uncle's widow ¼

one of the family Narayana chari named in Col. 15.

No. 35 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] ⅗ of the Inam [illegible] gift for the original grantee. The deed of gift is not available, but the gift is [illegible] in the Regr. of 1809. No. 4 is brother & No. 5 [illegible] of No. 3 & No. 3 [illegible] [illegible]

To be confirmed in 2 deeds [illegible] by No. 102 at ⅛ is Rs 2–12 [illegible] [illegible] imposed at ⅛ on No. 305 is Rs 3–8. The excess in the [illegible] lands of this village [illegible] 10 P.C. is 4.3 P.C. The excess in the Inam falls below this [illegible] [illegible]

x [illegible]
344

Perumalkovilpattu No. 71[illegible] cont[illegible]

Perumalkovilpattu, Mayavaram

Regr. No. 4[illegible]

Rel. Endt.

Wet	1535	–16–7½	·41	1–16–8
Dry	708	2–38–½		
	710	–34–		
	715	–6–8½		
	758	–16–13½		
	763	1–22–2		
	781	–19–10		
	1482	1–9–8		
	1532	–23–8¾		
	1534	–15–7½		
	1536	–16–7		
	1537	–23–9½		
	1538	–13–11¼		
	1539	–8–7		
	1571	–16–3		
		10–22–½	10·55	12–7–6
Garden	747	–13–9		
	762	–6–11		
	1523	–21–10¼		
	1543	–4–13¼		
		1–6–11½	1·17	3–13–5
Tope	589	–8–½		
	748	–32–3½		
	759	–2–8		
	761	–4–		
	765	–6–4½		
	766	–6–2		
	1540	–17–1		
	1542	–27–13½		
	1546	–27–13½		
	1547	–41–10½		
	1553	–7–13½		
	1556	–10–14¼		
	1563	–2–8		
	1569	–13–13¼		
	1560	–4–8		
		4–23–	4·57	5–6–4
		16–28–3½	16·70	23–9–11
Poramboke	716	–15–½		
	760	–4–12		
	764	–8–10		
	1544	1–23–1		
	1546	–8–3½		
	1548	–29–2½		
	1544	–33–12		
	1456	1–28–8		
	1493	–23–10¾		
	1565	1–21–8		
		8–1–7½	8·04	

The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Rajagopalaswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Free of tax — not known — not known — No document — Rajagopalaswami

No. 1216 Sri Rajagopalaswami Sarvamaniam tope 1–19–8¼

No. 1238 Sri Rajagopalaswami Sarvamaniam tope including Poramboke 24–29–8¾

Rajagopalaswami at Perumalkovilpattu
Trustee
Sami Aiyangar

To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam, exclusive of Poramboke which cannot properly be included in charging excess as it wholly consists of tanks, public streets &c. which cannot be made available to the Inamdars for purposes of cultivation, is within the average excess in Ayan lands plus 10 P.C.

4/3/62 [illegible]
Dy. Collr.

T. Dio
5

Continued

Tr.

[illegible]

Case 2

Do	Tope 1430	–18–9½		
	1431	–30–9½		
		1–1–2¾	1·03	1–3–8
Poramboke being a tank	1434	1––10¼		As above

Do do of Mariyamman Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & worship is rendered.

Do — Do — Do — No document — Mariyamman

No. 1216 Mariyamman maniam tope –2–8⅝

No. 1238 Mariyamman Sarvamaniam tope 1–1–2¾ Tank in the Inam 1––10¼ 2·1–13.

Mariyamman at Perumalkovilpattu
Worshipper
Chidambaram

To be confirmed. Remarks as in the preceding case

4/3/62 [illegible]
Dy. Collr.

T. Dio
6

Do

Case 3

Regr. No. 407

Register of Inams in the village of Anaappanpettai (719) in the Tirukkadaiyur Magaanam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 407

Do	Tope 17	1–14–6		
	19	–8–12		
	20	1–21–13¼		
	35	1–12–15¼		
	36	–[illegible]–8½		
	37	–11–9½		
		4–27–½	4·67	8–7–6
				As above

The excess in Ayan lands of village is [illegible] P.C. [illegible] Inam the charge [illegible] 4–9–6½ cawnies at [illegible] –14–2

Do do of Abhirami Amman Pagoda at Tirukkadaiyur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & worship is rendered. This is called "Pichankattalai"

Do — Do — Do — Do — Abhirami Amman

No. 1216 Abhirami Amman Inam tope –8–50

No. 1238 the same 4–27–½

Abhirami Amman at Tirukkadaiyur
Trustee
Ambalavana Pillai & Ramasamy Pillai

To be confirmed subject to the payment of the [illegible] of the excess

4-3-62 [illegible]
Dy. Collr.

T. Dio
7

Do

8

Do	Tope 15	1–7–15¼		
	16	1–3–12		
		2–11–11¼	2·29	1–11–1
				As above

The excess in the Inam to be charged is R 1–13–5½ [illegible] Rs 1–5

Do do of Rajagopalaswami at Perumalkovilpattu. Not as above

Do — Do — Do — No document — Ramaswami

The present holder [illegible] ½ [illegible] which with the rent on the excess [illegible] Rs 1–4

4-3-62 [illegible]
Dy. Collr.

No. 1216 Sri Ramaswami Inam tope –2–36¼

No. 1238 Sri Rajagopalaswami Sarvamaniam tope 2–11–11¼

Rajagopalaswami at Perumalkovilpattu
Trustee
Sami Aiyangar

The Inam appears to have originally belonged to Ramaswami's Pagoda in the same village in which the Pagoda of Rajagopalaswami is. The Pagoda of the former having ceased many years ago to exist, the Inam is in the enjoyment of the latter. To be confirmed in the name of [illegible]

T. Dio
8

Do

Do	Tope 82	2–9–	2·22	1–11–6
				As above

Do do of Tandoniswaraswami at Udaiyarkovilpattu. The Pagoda is in good condition & worship is rendered.

Do — Do — Do — Do — Tandoniswarar

No. 1216 not traced

No. 1238 Tandoniswaraswami Sarvamaniam tope 2–9–

Tandoniswaraswami at Udaiyarkovilpattu
Trustee
Ramaswami Aiyan

To be confirmed. Excess ¼ is Re –6–

4/3/62 [illegible]

T. Dio
9

Do

No 124

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the hamlet of Thirookoorakaval at Soshiankottagham village Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharma dayam Dry No 143 6¼ Gulies ·16 „ „-1-9 [illegible] Inam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] our maintaining. Survey Account of Fasli 1238 F1238 Inam put Nanda vanam Tiruvukkoorakaval in hamlet of Soshiyankottagam Nanda vanam [illegible] Dabbapathi Odayan The Nandavanam is supported by [illegible] [illegible] F1228 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 1838. Continued R. Davidson Manl Comr [illegible]

P.D. No 1260

closed

Regr. No. 124

Sheet No. 127

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் வருடம்
[illegible] 23/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

304 Copy Regr No. 445 Register of Inams in the village of Olugamangalam &c. in the Tranquebar Mayavaram Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Olugumangalam
Mayavaram Taluk
Regr. No. 445
Sheet No. 127

99.

Case No. 1
Rel. Endt. Dope
1-15-7. The cents of Dry ... this village ... the ...
The proceeds of the Inam are appropriated to the expense of Kesavachetti Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.
Free of rent tax known
not known
no documents
Kesavachetti Pillaiyar
No. 1257. Kesavachetti Pillaiyar — 6-57 1/10
Kesavachetti Pillaiyar at Olugamangalam
Manager
Rajagopalachetti
This is another of the 18 Kangsarkan villages. The remarks in Cases No. 10 ... 12 in the Register of the village of Tillaiyadi ... apply therefore to the Inams in this village. No ... was made by the Karnam
To be confirmed
16/7/62 Rajagopala Chari
Conf. D. 5-8 14 15 108

Case No. 2
Do. Dope — 11-23 1/2 3 38 — 3-1
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
of Visvanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.
Visvanadhaswami
Do. 1257. Visvanadhaswami 1-10-23 2/8
Visvanadhaswami at Olugamangalam
Worshipper
Chinnappagurukkal
To be confirmed
16/7/62 Rajagopala Chari
T.D. No. 991
Conf.
b 108

Case No. 3
Do. Dope
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
of Mariyamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered
Mariyamman
Do. 1257. Mariyamman — 9-97 1/10
Mariyamman at Olugamangalam
Worshipper
Chinnappagurukkal
To be confirmed
16/7/62 Rajagopala Chari
T.D. No. 992
b 108

Case No. 4
15-9
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
of Kanniya-amman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered
Kanniya-
Kanniya amman at Olugamangalam
Worshipper
... Pillai
16/7/62 Rajagopala Chari

Case No. 5
Do. Dope 2 1/2 72 — 10-6
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
of Oyyada Pillaiyar's Pagoda at Kangsarkan. The Pagoda is said to be in good con. & worship is rendered.
Pillaiyar
Oyyada Pillaiyar at Kangsarkan
Manager
Arunachala Pillai
To be confirmed
16/7/62 Rajagopala Chari
108 15/7/60

Case No. 6
Do. Dope 11-28 1/2 3 73 — 3-6-2
Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
of Visvanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village of Paraiyar. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered
Visvanadhaswami
Do. 1257. Visvanadhaswami — 11-28 2/8
Visvanadhaswami at Paraiyar
Supporter
Subbrayalu Naiyadu
To be confirmed
16/7/62 Rajagopala Chari
T.D. No. 995 Conf. D.
b 108 17

Case No. 7
Do. Dope 2 95 97 — 13-4
Do. Do. do do do
of Darmaraja's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & worship is rendered
no documents
Darmaraja
Do. 1257. Darmaraja koil 2-95
Darmaraja at Olugamangalam
Worshipper
Viraiyan
To be confirmed
Rajagopala Chari
T.D. No. 996 Conf. D.
b 108 15/7/60

J. Robinson
Inam Commissioner

1-43 1/2 147 — 1-5-8
Do. ... of Punnai Aiyanar's Pagoda in the ...
Free of tax
not known
not known
do
Punnai Aiyanar
Do. 1257. Punnai Aiyanar 4-43 2/8
Punnai Aiyanar at Olugamangalam
Worshipper
Chinnappagurukkal
Conf.

63 / Viralur No. 488 (continued)

Viralur Village, Mayavaram Taluk.

Regr. No. 1 06

Sheet No. [illegible]

ersonal Wet (continued)

No. 1 in 394	"-28-6
No. 2 in 394	"-35-11½
395	2-15-9¾
No. 1 in 396	"-7-13
No. 2 in 396	"-22-10½
No. 3 in 396	"-21-5
No. 4 in 396	1-"-13½
402	1-22-1
403	"-25-8
406	1-33-"
412	1-1-7½
414	"-22-3
79	"-1-¾
404	1-4-14
407	2-10-6¾
No. 1 in 409	1-18-14½
No. 2 in 409	"-27-2
413	1-3-13¾
418	"-15-"
377	"-5-9¼
386	"-1-5¾
398	"-8-"
400	"-24-1¾
405	"-22-12½
408	"-12-2¾
411	"-2-13½
416	"-17-1½
417	"-7-5½
	103-5-7½

108·14 595-7-6

Dry

159	"-5-4½
127	"-10-15½
156	"-33-8¼
No. 1 in 121	"-8-15½
No. 2 in 121	"-7-8½
123	"-6-14½
No. 1 in 119	"-5-15½
No. 2 in 119	"-5-15
62	"-8-3¾
105	"-1-6¾
115	"-[illegible]-14
66	"-14-2
	[illegible]-2-7½

2·72 8-11-10

1·72 5-4-10

[illegible]-27-58 599-8-2

"-8-½

"-4-8

"-8-5

(Case No. 2 / Personal)

No.	Wet	Asst.	Dry	Asst.	Garden	Asst.	Total	Asst.	Jodi	Kaval
1	16·95	86-5-"	·90	2-14-5	1·72	5-4-10	19·57	94-8-3	21-15-5	2-12-7
2	11·43	65-15-10	"	——	"	——	11·43	65-15-10	14-13-10	1-13-11
3	3·79	21-14-1	"	——	"	——	3·79	21-14-1	4-15-8	"-9-7
4	8·31	47-15-7	·15	"-7-9	"	——	8·46	48-7-"	10-10-5	1-2-7
5	2·66	15-5-8	·02	"-1-"	"	——	2·68	15-6-8	3-8-2	"-6-10
6	2·73	15-12-1	·02	"-1-"	"	——	2·75	15-13-[illegible]	3-8-2	"-6-10
7	3·94	22-12-"	·02	"-1-"	"	——	3·96	22-13-"	5-"-1	"-9-7
8	3·70	21-5-9	·03	"-1-7	"	——	3·73	21-7-4	5-"-1	"-9-7
9	1·02	5-14-3	"	——	"	——	1·02	5-14-3	1-4-"	"-2-5
10	3·12	18-"-2	·02	"-1-"	"	——	3·14	18-1-2	3-2-"	"-7-3
11	·89	5-2-2	"	——	"	——	·89	5-2-2	1-2-9	"-2-3
12 13	12·55	72-7-3	·07	"-3-7	"	——	12·62	72-10-10	16-5-9	2-"-3
14	8·85	51-1-6	·75	2-6-7	"	——	9·60	53-8-1	8-8-6	1-"-6
15	2·75	15-14-"	·15	"-7-9	"	——	2·90	16-5-9	2-5-7	"-4-6
16	·70	4-"-8	"	——	"	——	·70	4-"-8	"-15-8	"-1-11
17	·65	3-12-1	"	——	"	——	·65	3-12-1	"-15-8	"-1-11
18	2·42	13-15-6	"	——	"	——	2·42	13-15-6	3-6-6	"-6-6
19	·91	5-4-"	·11	"-5-7	"	——	1·02	5-9-7	"-10-9	"-1-3
20	·45	2-9-6	·08	"-4-1	"	——	·53	2-13-7	"-4-6	"-"-6
21	3·14	18-2-1	·15	"-7-9	"	——	3·29	18-9-10	3-5-10	"-6-5
22	1·59	9-2-10	·11	"-5-7	"	——	1·70	9-8-5	1-12-2	"-3-5
23	·49	2-13-3	·09	"-4-7	"	——	·58	3-1-10	"-9-7	"-1-1
24	2·24	12-14-11	"	——	"	——	2·24	12-14-11	3-4-8	"-6-4
25	·55	3-2-9	"	——	"	——	·55	3-2-9	"-12-6	"-1-6
26	·53	3-"-11	"	——	"	——	·53	3-"-11	"-12-6	"-1-6
27	·38	2-3-1	"	——	"	——	·38	2-3-1	"-8-1	"-"-11
28	·25	1-7-1	"	——	"	——	·25	1-7-1	"-5-5	"-"-7
29	8·15	47-1-6	·05	"-2-7	"	——	8·20	[illegible]	"-10-5	1-2-7
	[illegible]·14	595-7-6	2·72	8-11-10	1·72	5-4-10	107[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]-13-[illegible]	[illegible]

The [illegible] of Kattalai [illegible] does not [illegible] in the village [illegible] changed [illegible] of the [illegible]

The [illegible] being [illegible] irrigation channels [illegible] burning ground [illegible] and [illegible] the [illegible] the nature of the [illegible] composing it, it cannot [illegible] be [illegible] in the [illegible] of any of the [illegible] holders. It must be left [illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] of the land [illegible] him — that he [illegible] before [illegible] claimed [illegible] share [illegible] & that he is not [illegible] [illegible] he could produce no deed of division [illegible] but [illegible] copy of a decision of a Civil Court in a case in which he & his brothers were [illegible] [illegible] for the [illegible] [illegible] is not [illegible] to pay the [illegible] [illegible] from No. 29 the claimant [illegible] family may be [illegible] [illegible] No. 29 [illegible] [illegible] which [illegible] No. 29 [illegible] the claim of [illegible]

had the [illegible] in a Civil Court & [illegible] established

and Garden [illegible] lively

There is [illegible] in the [illegible]

[illegible] Majumdar [illegible]

[illegible] may [illegible]

[illegible]

Wet	
18	"-35-1½
27	1-8-12½
28	"-10-10¾
29	"-7-11
31	"-3-5
32	"-26-5
33	1-27-5
34	2-"-3½
36	1-38-3½
	8-38-11½
Apimanik? Garden	"-1-6½
	8-39-15

8·97 ·09 9·06

(Case No. 3 / Personal)

Regarding the [illegible] at which [illegible] has been calculated [illegible] [illegible]

Wet	Asst.
1 2·03	11-11-11
2 2·04	11-11-11
3 1·64	9-7-10
2·29	18-10-8
[illegible]	[illegible]

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees 1-6-11

corrections [illegible] in the page

[illegible] by [illegible] [illegible] 1777

Settled [illegible] [illegible] in the register of 1809. The extent [illegible] [illegible] of 12 [illegible]

Eggiyadi [illegible]

In the Regr. of 1809 [illegible]

No. 4288 Venkatarama [illegible] 4-2-13 2. [illegible] 4-37-2½ 8-39-15½

Sittamalli

1. Pichandikshetran 65
2. Krishnadikshetran 35
3. Venkumachari 42
4. Subbachari 39
5. [illegible]chari 29

(1) [illegible] (2) [illegible] [illegible]

Wife 1, sons 2 (1) 2/3

Wife 1, sons 2 (2) 3

Wife 1, near (3) 1/2

[illegible] (4) 2/2

Wife 1, sons 2, uncle's widow (5)

No. 5 [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

[illegible] No. 102 [illegible]

No. 365 [illegible] 3-8-

[illegible] village [illegible] 43 [illegible] centuries

[illegible] Majumdar [illegible]

Robinson
Inám Commissioner