MAYAVARAM T.K.

284 1-27-9½ 1·69 9-4-4 — At the ancient [illegible] adopted [illegible] the other Inam

The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expence of Hanumantaraya Swami's Pagoda in the village of Mukkarai Kattalam. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Kavi Mira —do—

not known

not known

no documents

Hanumantaraya Swami

S. F. 238 Hanumantaraya Swami's Inam 1-27-9¼

Hanumantaraya Swami at Mukkarai Kattalam
Worshipper
Lingumetthari

Vide remarks in case No. 39-40
Title confirmed.
Q.R. imposed at ¼
i.e. Rs 2-4-
29/9/62. [illegible]

Written [illegible] 3/Jan 63

Umambapuram Regr. No. 278
Mayavaram Taluk Sheet No. 63

Entire Judicial 470-4-8½ 470·11
Deduct Poramboke 61-32-12½ 61·82
Remaining Wet 313-17-10¾ [illegible]
Dry 56-31-6½ 56·78
Garden 25-37-2½ 25·93
Tope 12-5-8½ 12·14
408-11-12½ 408·29
Deduct Inam Wet 1-27-9½ 1·69
[illegible] Wet 311-30-1½ 311·75 1712-1-7
Dry 56-31-6½ 56·78 71-9-4
Garden 25-37-2½ 25·93 82-9-
Tope 12-5-8½ 12·14 15-4-9
406-24-3 406·60 1888-8-8

Case No. 2

(2) by his disposal of No. 1. Annan Bhattan above referred to his 3/32. This he sold away in No. [illegible] from whose brother Ramabhattan from whom one Gana[illegible] Vadhiyar purchased it. [illegible] this [illegible] Dadachari [illegible] in the year Visvavasu in the name of Prayaga Madhavachari & he disposed of it again [illegible] in the year 1853 [illegible] for the purchase [illegible] Visvavasu 1853 (3)

(1) Sale executed by one of the 2 sons in Sarvari is produced that by the other [illegible] it is said the remaining [illegible] his son [illegible] the sale bearing [illegible] by No. 3 [illegible] what No. 4 enjoys was purchased by his uncle Rama Bhattan from Subba Bhattan and of No. 2 in col. 14 in the year Visvavasu and sale produced [illegible] Grand father of No. 5 purchased in the year Bahudhanya 3/32 from Rama[illegible] Ayyangar already referred to who had purchased it from No. 2 in the Regr. of 1809. The [illegible] are disposed of 1/16 out of it [illegible] father in law of No. 8 in the year Virodhi. The remaining 1/32 is in the Regr. of No. 5 & No. [illegible] Ayyangar whose [illegible] has been purchased by her father in law [illegible] sale produced. Besides the 3/32 share said share has been given in gift to Balambhattan & (2)

The father of No. 4 purchased in the year [illegible] 1/4 share entered in the name of Srinivasa Ayyangar No. 4 in col. 14 [illegible] Rangayyangar. The remaining 3/32 share now in the Regr. of No. 1 was purchased by him in the year Sarvari from Balambhattan who had had it in gift from Annan Bhattan brother [illegible] of No. 2 in col. 14 [illegible] sale produced [illegible] the share [illegible] held by No. 2 [illegible] in the name of No. 8 in col. 14 from [illegible] bought [illegible] in the year Sarvari [illegible] the 1/8 share [illegible] in the Regr. of 1809 [illegible]

The village of Umambapuram is an entire Sarvamaniam village. It originally formed part of Kattalam. All the cases in this Register except the first & the last three are cases of Sibka Inams. There are [illegible] of Sikas, one 28 in number & the other nine. The number of Pangus or shares held under the former is 22 & that under the latter is nine. Each Pangu consists of [illegible] Velis [illegible] The total extent therefore of the 31 Pangus is V. 31 & 1½ or V. 4-8. The length of the [illegible] the East, the whole [illegible] the village of Kattalam of which [illegible] originally formed [illegible]

For the Kaval personal fees benefit of 1-13-4 the holder

Hereditary

Granted by Pratab Singh Raja on the [illegible] Kaval [illegible] 1145

Sibka Inam [illegible] 1-8 with [illegible] 14 [illegible]

Annan Bhattan

In the Regr. of 1809. 1 Seshan Bhattan son [illegible] 2-50 [illegible] 2 Rama Bhattan [illegible] 3 Ganapathi [illegible] 2-50 [illegible] 3-25 4 Seshachari Ayyangar [illegible] 1-50

No. 1 & 25 [illegible]

S. F. 238

Kattalam
1. Gopaladikshatar 57. 11/32 share
2. Jivan Rau 37 1/16 sh.
3. Venkateswaravadhani 55 1/8 sh.
Ramachandra Bhattar 55 [illegible]/32
[illegible] Rau 20. [illegible]/32 sh.
Lakshmiyammal 55 1/16 sh.
7. Subba Rau 25 3/32 sh.

(4) appeared in the [illegible] adopted [illegible] Ramachandra [illegible] & No. 7 [illegible]

(1) Son — 1/1
(2) Wife 1, sons 2, brothers — 4/7
(3) Wife 1, sons 2/3
(4) brother['s] widow — 1/2
(5) Wife 1, brother's husband [illegible]
(7) Wife 1 [illegible]

Total [illegible] Kaval Q.R.

[illegible] 16-1-8 [illegible] 2-8½ 17-15-8 -10-1
[illegible] 5-5¼ 11-5 [illegible] 26-3½ [illegible] 38-3¼ [illegible] 2 [illegible] 7-4
[illegible] 5-10½ 5-13-8 [illegible] 13-1½ [illegible] 12 [illegible] 8-7 [illegible] 3-8
[illegible] 6-4 [illegible] 9-13½ [illegible] 3-2-1 [illegible] 2
[illegible] 10½ [illegible] 7-5 [illegible] 3-4½ [illegible] 1 [illegible] 16-12-1 [illegible]
[illegible] 5½ 2-14-10 [illegible] 6-8¾ [illegible] 3-3 [illegible] 2-9½ [illegible] 3-3 [illegible] 30-7½ 3 [illegible]
[illegible] 2 [illegible] 6-4 [illegible] 9-13½ [illegible] 4-11 [illegible] 2-7½ [illegible] 3-2-1 [illegible] 4-5¼ 4-14-5 [illegible] 2-9 5-8 2-4
8-21-6½ 66-13-8 3-26-13½ 3-4-11 [illegible] 27-7¾ 2-3 [illegible] 11-33-11½ 52-5-71-13-4

[illegible] there is a man of a different [illegible] for [illegible] of the adopted [illegible] has not appeared [illegible] been gone through. It is said No. 7 was taken [illegible] in his [illegible] as [illegible] The remainder [illegible] registry in the name of No. 7 [illegible]

Personal Wet 8-21-6½ 8·53 46-13-8
Dry 2-5-4 2·13 2-11-
Garden [illegible] 26-8½ ·66 2-1-10
Tope [illegible] 19-7½ ·49 [illegible] 9-4
11-32-12½ 11·81 52-4-5

Case No. 3

For the Kaval personal fees benefit of 1-13-4 the holder

Granted by do. on the [illegible] Kaval [illegible] 1143

Sibka [illegible] The extent [illegible] 1-8 with [illegible] of 14 [illegible] The Regr. states that 27 Velis [illegible] of 14 [illegible] at the rate of [illegible] on each of the above 2 Velis [illegible] 6-7-3-16 [illegible] having been detached from the [illegible] of Kattalam [illegible] (Umbalamani [illegible] 27 shares) [illegible]

Sastri

In the Regr. of 1809. 1 [illegible] 17-50 [illegible] 6-50 [illegible] 2. Anna [illegible] brother [illegible] 2-50 [illegible] 3-25 3. Prayaga Madhavachari [illegible]

Wet as per Dry as per Garden as per Total as per Kaval

2-2 [illegible] 11 14-10-3 [illegible] 32-12½ 1 [illegible] 7 [illegible] 8 [illegible] 7-3 27-11¾ 16-5-5 [illegible] 9
2-2 [illegible] 11 14-10-3 [illegible] 32-12½ 1 [illegible] 6 [illegible] 8-4½ [illegible] 10-7-3 27-11¾ 16-5-4 [illegible] 9-2
3 [illegible] 2 [illegible] 5½ 2-14-10 [illegible] 6-8¾ [illegible] 3-3 [illegible] 1-10½ [illegible] 2-1 [illegible] 29-8¾ 3-4-2 [illegible] 1-10¼
[illegible] 13-5½ 7-5 [illegible] 16-6 [illegible] 8-5 [illegible] 4-2¾ [illegible] 5-4-1 33-16¼ 8-2-11 [illegible] 4-7
[illegible] 32 [illegible] 4-6-4 [illegible] 9-13½ [illegible] 4-11 [illegible] 2-8 [illegible] 3-2-1 [illegible] 4-5¼ [illegible] 14-5 [illegible] 2-9
6 [illegible] 21 [illegible] 5¼ 2-4-10 [illegible] 6-8¾ [illegible] 3-3 [illegible] 1-10½ [illegible] 2-1 [illegible] 29-8¾ 3-4-2 [illegible] 1-10
5-21-6½ 66-13-8 2-24-13½ 3-4-11 [illegible] 26-8½ 2-1-10 11-32-12½ 52-4-5 1-13-4 6-12

S. J. [illegible]

Kattalam
1. Narayanasastri 35
2. Gopalasastri 25
3. Lakshmana pandita 40
4. Gopaladikshatar 57
5. [illegible] Rau 20
6. Nagammal 8

(1 & 2) great grandsons of the original grantee or the party [illegible] 13

(1) Wife 1, [illegible] 2
(2) Wife — 1/1
(3) [illegible] 1
(4) Son — 1/1
(5) Wife 1, brother 2
(6) Husband — 1/1

[illegible] of Gopala [illegible] son [illegible] the major [illegible] half of [illegible] extent [illegible] No. 5 who [illegible] Venkatarama [illegible] uncle Gopaladikshatar No. 4, whose father had purchased it from a son-in-law of the above Gopalaiyan, in the year Sarvajit. Deed produced. This purchase was made in the name of one Narayana Bhattan whose successor [illegible]

[illegible] the accounts of [illegible] village only is entered [illegible] in the name of Kattalam, a Sarvamaniam [illegible] the extent of [illegible] is V. 17-14-25. In this [illegible] no village bearing the name [illegible] appears [illegible] It cannot be ascertained from it therefore what the respective extents of the three villages are. The aggregate of the present extents of the 2 Sarvamaniam villages [illegible] Kattalam is about 95 Velis [illegible] than the above [illegible]

[illegible] rates [illegible] Poramboke [illegible] Consequently it [illegible] 3 acres [illegible] grant [illegible] can be [illegible] as recommended. P. Chen[illegible] 11/8

5
5

Confirmed [illegible] be charged [illegible] because [illegible]

[illegible] was purchased by his father in the year [illegible] from Ramaswami Bhattan who [illegible] of the original grantee [illegible] sale executed by [illegible] Ramaswami Bhattan is produced. No. 5's grand [illegible] who [illegible] gift from Rangayyangar [illegible] who had [illegible]

Wet 8-21-6½ ·53 26-13-8
Dry 2-5-4 2·13 2-11-..
Garden ..-26-8¾ ·66 2-1-10
Tope ..-19-9¼ ·49 ..-9-11
11-32-12½ 11·81 52-4-5 ----

Case No 4

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees 1-13-4

Hereditary

[illegible] Coching [illegible] 14: Shaik [illegible] Sultan [illegible] 1143

Extent as in the preceding case

Sankara Sastri

Vide Reg of 1809 Rama Sastri Grandson of the Grantee W [illegible] D ..-8 1-8

Vide Case No 2 —

Wet	ass't	Dry	ass't	Garden	ass't	Total	ass't	Kaval
..-2-5-3¾	41-15-7	2-13-16¾	2-15-5	..-23-12¾	1-14-4	10-23-9¾	46-13-4	1-10-3
..-3½	1-15-3	..-6-5¾	..-2-2	..-1-1½	..-1-5	..-19-10¾	2-2-10	..-1-3
..-1-7½	2-14-10	..-6-6¾	..-3-4	..-1-10½	..-2-1	..-29-8½	3-4-3	..-1-10
2-1-6½	46-13-8	2-24-13½	3-4-11	..-26-8¾	2-1-10	11-32-12½	52-4-5	1-13-4

6-0 [illegible] 1-0 [illegible] 7-0 17. 8.

(2) from his grandmother's daughter in law the 1/8th out of his moiety by purchase made in the year Kali [illegible] 8⅔ of that of another moiety by exchange for his (No 1's) share in another Tika. Deeds for all these produced

No 3 is said to have been adopted about 8 or 9 years ago by the widow of No 1 & 2's uncle's son after the death of her husband on his authority. Whilst he was alive it would appear he [illegible] attained the permission of the Raja to adopt [illegible]

Tanjore
1. Sivaramadikshatar 45
2. Tyagarajadikshatar 50
3. Venkateswaradikshatar 12

(1-2) Great Grandsons (3) Great grandson adopted son of the party in col: 13 —

(2) Wife 1, son ½ (3) unmarried

[illegible] original Grantee had 2 sons — the party in col: 14 was the son of one of them — & was entitled to one half — His widow [illegible] in the year [illegible] No 1 — The other son of the Grantee had 4 sons one of whom was No 1's father — No 1 enjoys in addition the ⅛ share which he inherited from his father & the half he purchased ②

Evidently [illegible] of Kovilpattu [illegible] The present [illegible] of Umain [illegible] 171-2-9½ including the Inams in Cases No 1 to 39-40-41, or V38-8-8 2/8 including them. The Inams in these four cases are not supported by any grants & they do not appear to form part of the lands appertaining to the 37 Tikas allowed. These 4 Inams should be specially noticed. The V38-8-8 2/8 mentioned above are in fact the present [illegible] of the 31 [illegible] and of the unavailable Poramboke in the village which is V6-7 [illegible] in extent of the ground Tile, 5-[illegible] in the sides of [illegible] tanks [illegible] in the [illegible] V5-16-23 consists of Cheri, tank, irrigation channels &c as the [illegible] dividend grants comprized only [illegible] wet and dry lands, & [illegible], the Poramboke was included in them. The above extent [illegible] be probably [illegible]

Confirmed the [illegible] P. Chentsal Asst. 12/8/63

1-4

Personal
Wet 4-13-¼ 4·33 23-12-..
Dry 1-2-10 1·06 1-..-6
Garden ..-13-4 ·33 ..-..-11
Tope ..-9-12½ ·24 ..-4-11
5-38-11 5·96 26-7-4 ----

Case No 5

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees ..-14-10

Not known

Not known but it is said that the grant was made by the same Rajah on the same date as in the preceding case

½ [illegible] Tika is recorded in the Reg of 1809 — Extent originally granted W ..-10-.. D ..-4-.. ..-14-..

Gangadhara Subbattar

Vide Reg of 1809 Gangadhara Shatter [illegible] of the Grantee W [illegible] D [illegible]

Do

Kuttalam
Mottayamudali
60

(1) it had been sold in the same year by [illegible] Bhattan — As the Inam has been so long in the hands of aliences, a correct genealogy of the original Inamdar could not be obtained — [illegible] ②

Wife & sons ⅔

The present holder purchased the Inam in the year Kali [illegible] from Balu [illegible] who had it in [illegible] as gift [illegible] from her father [illegible] Kuppan [illegible] to whom ③

(2) Degree in which the above [illegible] Bhattan is related to Gangadhara Bhattan could not be ascertained — this is positively ascertained that he was a descendant of the Grantee — Deeds of sale [illegible] gift by [illegible] to [illegible] the purchase by present holder are prod.

Why change? It [illegible] was related [illegible] Grantee? [illegible] to suppose [illegible] in a case like [illegible] of the Reg [illegible] P. Chentsal 12/8/63

Reg. No. 278

Wet 8-21-6½ 8·53 46-13-8
Dry 2-5-4 2·13 2-11-..
Garden ..-26-8¾ ·66 2-1-10
Tope ..-19-9¼ ·49 ..-9-11
11-32-12½ 11·31 52-4-5 ----

Case No 6

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees 1-13-4

Do

* [illegible] the extent [illegible] enjoyment. Regarding No 3's adoption vide remarks in case No 4 —

Do

As in case No 2

Ramalas [illegible]

② Which fell to them [illegible] brothers in the absence of [illegible] was purchased for them [illegible] Kali [illegible] by No 1 who also purchased the share of [illegible]

Vide Reg of 1809 1. Kuttalam Sas: Grand son of Grantee W ..-10-.. D ..-5-.. ..-15-.. 2. Sadasiva Sas: Son of [illegible] purch: [illegible] the Grantee

Do

Tanjore
1. Sivaramadikshatar 45
2. Tyagarajadikshatar 50
3. Venkateswaradikshatar 12
4. Dharmambal 20

(1-2) Brothers Great Grandsons (3) Brothers Great grandson adopted son of the party in col. 13

(1) Wife 1, sons ⅔ (2) Wife 1, son ½ (3) unmarried (4) Husband 1 son ½

[illegible] Sastri No 1 in col: 14 stated to be the grandson of the original grantee [illegible] not really his grandson but was his brother's grandson he himself having no issue. The present holders are descendants of the above [illegible] Sastri [illegible] had 4 sons & 2 daughters the latter had [illegible] gift from them for the 3/8 [illegible]

ass't	Dry	ass't	Garden	ass't	Total	ass't	Kaval	Dk
7-11-4 39-15-7½	..-7-6½	2-13-2..	..-22-10	1-12-10	10-3-5	44-9-7	1-9-..	5-½ [illegible]
[illegible]	..-4-9½	..-2-4	..-1-2¾	..-1-6	..-20-11¼	2-4-8	..-1-3	[illegible]
10¾ 3-..-10	..-8-3	[illegible]	..-2-1½	..-2-8	..-26-5½	4-1-3	..-2-4½	[illegible]
8½ 1-2-9	..-2-10	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	..-11-13	[illegible]	[illegible]	7-..
6½ 46-13-8	2-24-13½	3-4-11	..-26-8¾	2-1-10	11-32-12½	52-4-5	1-13-4	[illegible]

* the remaining ⅛ out of the half share [illegible] in [illegible] Sastri's name together with [illegible] No 2's moiety — which is said to have [illegible] the original family, [illegible] the Paramaish [illegible] the 3/8 of the [illegible]

Confirmed [illegible] P. Chentsal [illegible]

Wet 4-10-6½ 4·27 23-..-10
..-2-10 1·06 1-..-6
..-13-4 ·33 1-..-10
..-9-12½ ·24 ..-4-11
5-26-6 5·90 26-2-1

Case No 7

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees ..-14-8

Do

Not known but it is said that the grant was made at the same time by the same authority as in the preceding case

[illegible] Tika granted the [illegible] Tulaja Raja [illegible] The extent granted W ..-10-.. D ..-4-.. ..-14-.. ½ share

Gopala Bhattar

Vide Reg of 1809 1. Subba Sas: Grandson W ..-8-12½ D ..-3-4¾ ..-11-5¾ 2. Venkata Bhattar [illegible] by purch: W ..-1-87½ [illegible]

Do

Kuttalam
Gopaladikshatar
57

Grandson of the party in col: 13

Son [illegible] Daughter unmarried ½

Not [illegible] in the Reg of 1809 the whole Inam is in the enjoyment of a [illegible] only one of them. The original grantee in this case, & that in the next, it would appear were brothers No 1 [illegible]

[illegible] the whole Inam for a long [illegible] which [illegible] No 2 [illegible] which is [illegible] in his name [illegible] the rest of [illegible] No 2, the [illegible] the party in col. 13 is [illegible] for the entire Inam —

[illegible] No 2 [illegible] of his brother. The [illegible] that No 2 enjoys by purchase [illegible] not [illegible] the correct [illegible] The present holder & his father [illegible] have been

Wet 4-10-11½ 4·27 23-6-10
Dry 1-2-10 1·06 1-5-6
Garden ..-13-4 ·33 1-..-10
Tope ..-9-12½ ·24 ..-4-11
5-26-6 5·90 26-2-1 ----

Case No 8

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees ..-14-8

do

Granted by Pratapa Sing Raja on the same date as in case No 1.

Tika [illegible] ½ Extent granted as in the preceding case

Kristna Bhattar

Vide Reg of 1809 1. Venkata Bhattar Grandson W ..-4-37½ D ..-1-81¼ ..-6-18¾ 2. Kristna Bhattar Grandson W ..-4-37½ D ..-1-81¼ ..-6-18¾ 3. [illegible] by purch. W ..-1-25 D ..-..-37½ ..-1-62½

do

Tanjore
1. Seenabhattar 40
2. Subbaran 25
3. Subbarayar 30

(1) Grandson of the Grantee (2)(3) grandson of the original grantee

(1) Wife 1, sons ⅔ (2) Wife 1, son ½ (3) Wife 1, brothers ⅔

When No 3 enjoys was purchased by his father [illegible] in [illegible] from Seenabhattar No 3 in col: 14 — The share in the enjoyment of No 2 was purchased by him in the year 1853 — from Daita Chari — who had purchased it in the year [illegible] in the name of Prayaga Madhava Chari. from Lakshmi [illegible] widow of [illegible] Bhattar

ass't	Dry	ass't	Garden	ass't	Total	ass't	Kaval	Dk	
..-12-7	..-13-10½	..-7-11	..-..-15½	..-6-6	2-8-10½	9-12-10	..-5-6⅛	1-4-..	[illegible]
..-5⅞ 11	..-26-3½	..-13-2	..-6-10	..-8-4	2-38-3	13-..-4	..-7-4½	6-8-..	[illegible]
..-5½	..-6-8½	..-3-4	..-1-10½	..-2-2	..-29-8¾	3-4-4	..-1-10⅛	..-5-..	do
..-11½ 23	..-11-6½	1-10-5	..-13-4	1-..-10	5-26-6	26-2-1	..-14-8	8-2-..	37. Deeds

Of the Grantee [illegible] Deeds of sale produced together with an agreement executed by Prayaga Madhavachari in whose name the purchase of the [illegible] was made, relinquishing his right to the land — No 1 enjoys the [illegible] Regarding No 2 vide remarks [illegible] No 2 — [illegible]

in col: 14 appears to have been great grandson of the grantee & the name of No 2 does not appear in the Inam [illegible] the name [illegible] Bhattar [illegible] it is said that [illegible]

[illegible] the village [illegible] Inam [illegible] in one Tika, such Poramboke, even if they did exist at the time could not have well been included in the Tikas which were large in number. [illegible] I have observed in several cases [illegible] Grants by the Rajas over entire villages Por: ambokes are generally excluded. Deducting therefore from V38-8-8 2/8 the extent of unavailable Poramboke or V5-16-25 the rem. V30-11-85⅜ is the [illegible] extent of the 37 Tikas. The difference between this and the extent of the grant or V59-1-45 is very small. The entire extent of the village as per account [illegible] is V30-[illegible] 15-11½ The encroachment does not appear [illegible] at all [illegible]

Confirmed P. Cheetsal Asst. 12/8/63

1-4

55

Remaining points to be considered are

1st, whether the Agraharamdars have right to the Poramboke lands not included in the Sanads.

2nd whether the whole village should be confirmed in one deed, or in as many deeds as there are Sanads.

The Dy Colr does not give an opinion on the 1st point, probably because he entertains no doubt about it. The Tanks, ~~[illegible]~~ & other sources of irrigation ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ the Agraharam clearly exist from before the assumption of the Country by the British, because the Register of 1809 shews that part of the Village was then cultivated with wet crops, & consequently the right of the Inrs to the Poramboke cannot be disputed, & is also reasonable.

As regards the 2d point, the Dy Collector proposes to grant ~~[illegible]~~ separate title deeds & charge different rates of quit rent with reference to the circumstances of each Case — but he has not given the Survey number of each case and per Cel 15 I believe ~~do not think~~ that it is not quite possible to do so.

The Inrs have all a joint right to the Poramboke, & as almost whole village Inams have been, I recommend that the Village also be confirmed in one deed on the lowest rate of quit rent.

I must however mention that the whole villages hitherto confirmed on 7/8 are those granted under one Sanad, but there practically there is no difference between those Agraharams & this. — The sacrifice of quit rent to Govt. by adopting this course instead of granting separate title deeds is ~~[illegible]~~ Rupees 76, as shewn in the margin. ~~[illegible]~~ Similar sacrifices we have generally allowed in almost every Agraharam to protect the joint rights of the holders & their status in society as Agraharamdars — the extent held by each individual as ~~[illegible]~~ enjoyed does not appear to be entered in the Public accounts.

Average assessment by 1st Dy Colr 286
2R charges @ 18 gr... 210
Difference 76

A. Cheetsalurao
Asst
13/8/63

Regd. No 228 Mayavaram Talq. Tanjore Dt.
Case No 2 to No 38 -

This is a Sarva Inam Village granted not on one occasion but at different times in such Inams, all prior to F 1171 — The extent as per Inam Accounts is 89 - 1 - 45 - the extent as per Survey of No 238 is 66 - 8 - 8 includes 9 - 7 - of Poramboke of which 5 - 16 - 23 consists of tank bunds, irrigation channels &c, the remaining Poramboke consisting of the sites of houses, backyards &c. - The Dy. Collr. argues that the extent entered in the Inam Accounts must have included the above mentioned Poramboke of 5 - 16 - 23, as the whole village was not given on one occasion, & as it is not the practice of the Dt. to include Poramboke in the extent given in the Inam accts - I quite agree with the Dy. Collr., & consequently there is no reason to be charge [illegible] ten per cent. -

the

T.D. No
1037

[illegible] Mayavaram

[illegible]	-21-9½	3·[illegible]					
Dry	2-[illegible]-4	2·13					
Garden	-26-9	·66	2-1-10				
Tope	-19-9¾	·49	-9-10				
	11-32-12¾	11·81	52-4-4	- - -			

Case No. 9 — For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees 1-13-4 — Hereditary

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Sabmindum [illegible] 1177

[illegible] [illegible] in the Reg. of F.1809 the extent of the Sika is

W. -
Dry -8-
1-8- with a [illegible] of 14 [illegible]

Vaiddiya lingadar

In Reg. of 1809

1 [illegible] a [illegible] widow
W. -4-32½
D. -2-[illegible]
-[illegible]

2 Parvati ammal widow of [illegible] [illegible]
W. -5-26
D. -2-[illegible]
-7-[illegible]

3 Sami[illegible] son in law
W. -3-33¾
D. -1-⅛
-4-33½

4 Guruam[illegible] son [illegible] then of [illegible] son in law [illegible] [illegible]
W. -3-33¾
D. -1-⅛
-4-33½

5 Ram[illegible] [illegible] enjoying her share a daughter husband of No. 2
W. -3-[illegible]
D. -1-[illegible]
-4-37½

afs	Dry	afs	Garden	afs	Total	afs	Kaval	
-13-9	-13-1½	-6-8	-3-5¼	-4-3	1-19-1½	6-8-8	-3-8⅓	-12- [illegible]
-6	-29-4	-14-9	-7-11	-9-10	3-28-15¾	16-14-7	-9-3	2-4- do
-2-6	-2-12	-1-5	-9	-8	-12-3	1-5-7	-9	
-12-11	-17-7¼	-8-8	-4-4½	-5-6	1-38-10½	8-11-1	-4-6	1- do
16-9-6	1-2-4¼	1-5-4	-10-11½	-13-7	4-13-14	18-12-5	-10-10½	9- do
6-13-8	2-[illegible]-13¾	3-4-10	-26-9	2-1-10	11-32-12¾	52-4-4	1-13-4	13- 47-8

Vede Case No. 2

Kumbaconam
1. Narayana Rao 46
2. Gopalachariyar 45
3. Ramachariyar 50
4. Narayanasastri 35
5. Subba Rao 25

(1) Wife 1, sons 2, brother 1, do's wife 1, do sons 2 — 2/7
(2) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2
(3) Wife 1, sons 2 — 2/3
(4) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2
(5) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2

No. 1 purchased which he enjoys from the grand son of [illegible] [illegible] No. 5 in Col. 14 in the year Plava — both purchased the extent [illegible] an ⅛ share Pragaya [illegible] dhavachari uncle of No. 2 & 3 purchased in the year Swabhanu the share ent[illegible] in the Inam of No. 4 in Col. 14 from his uncle [illegible] also purchased in the year [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Rama [illegible] No. 5 in Col. 14 his son [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] purchased from Rangachari [illegible] purchased [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

during the short period of 12 years intervening between F.1226 & 1238, encroachment to the extent of the difference between the results of the measurements of the 2 [illegible] would have been furnished, & even if it could have been furnished there were no lands to encroach upon.

It is recommended under these circumstances that the Inams may be confirmed and continued as they are.

The assessment has been calculated at the average of the asst. of surrounding villages or at Rs. 36-4-8 per [illegible] Wet, Rs. 8-5-4 dry & Rs. 21-10- garden.

The [illegible] Rents payable by the [illegible] holders in each case are specified in the marginal statement [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] within.

In case No. 3 in Col. 12 27 Pangus are mentioned but only [illegible] 5 were granted to [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], & they with some other lands constitute the village of [illegible] Kattalam. I have [illegible] of the remaining 22 Pangus alone [illegible] along in the remarks [illegible]

The [illegible] [illegible] the lands of the 28 [illegible] are [illegible] in the [illegible] of F.1238 as appertaining to the 22 Pangus without individual particulars, & those connected with the 9 [illegible] as common to the 9 Pangus with details of individual enjoyment.

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] bought in the [illegible] year what they had in purchase from Giriammal & Parvatiammal. The whole of the extent which Pragaya Madhavachari so purchased [illegible] those 3 individuals he sold [illegible] [illegible] [illegible], from whom Raghu[illegible] [illegible] Rao [illegible] [illegible] in [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] it to Samachari from whom [illegible] [illegible] No. 5 [illegible]

purchased — Giriammal No. [illegible] in Col. 14 disposed of in [illegible] 3 [illegible] of her land to Pragaya Madhavachari & the remainder to one Raghu[illegible] ammal — [illegible] [illegible] to Parvatiammal. [illegible] the sale of the ⅛ share [illegible] No. 2 [illegible] she disposed of to one Rangachari — from this [illegible] [illegible] & from Raghuram[illegible] [illegible] the

an one eighth share, & his [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] disposed of it in 2 lots in the years [illegible] & Plava respectively, to No. 2 & 3 & 5 [illegible] — the above mentioned Pragaya Madhavachari — [illegible] [illegible] support the purchase from Parvati ammal is [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] selling a portion of her share the sale to Rangachari is [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] deeds of sale are produced.

What No. 4 enjoys is what is sold in No. 3's [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] from whose grandson he (No. 4) purchased it in the year [illegible] as per [illegible]

Wet	2-5-5½	2·13	11-11-5
Dry	-21-5	·53	-10-9
Garden	-12-5½	·31	-15-8
Tope	-4-11½	·12	-2-6
	3-3-11½	3·09	13-8-4

Wet	Dry	Kaval	[illegible]	
3-3-11½	13-8-4	-7-6	1-12-	Agreed to

Case No. 10 — For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees -7-6 — Hereditary

Granted by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] on the 14th [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] or 1143

Sika — Extent as [illegible] Sika
Wet -5-
Dry -2-
-7-
¼ share

Tirumala [illegible] chari

In Reg. of 1809 Venkat[illegible] Rao son of [illegible]
W. -5-
D. -2-
-7-

do

Kumbaconam
Narayana Rao 46

Grand daughter's son of the original grantee or the [illegible] of the [illegible] in [illegible] 14

Wife 1, sons 2, brother 1, do's wife 1, do's sons 2 — 1/7

Wet	4-12-2¾	4·30	23-10-
Dry	1-2-10	1·06	1-5-5
Garden	-13-4½	·23	1- -11
Tope	-9-12½	·24	-5-4
	5-37-13½	5·93	26-5-6

Case No. 11 — For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees [illegible]-14-9 — Hereditary

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

In the Reg. of 1809 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Srinivasa [illegible] chari

In Reg. of 1809
1 [illegible] [illegible]
W. -6-25
D. -2-5½
[illegible]

Garden	afs	Total	afs	Kaval
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

1-8½	-13½	-1-1	-14-14½	1-10-5	-11-5			
2-1-5	-8-5	-10-5	3-28-7¾	16-6-10	-9-3-8	2- [illegible]		
1-12-6½	1-10-5	-13-4½	1-11	5-37-13½	26-5-4	-14-9	3-4-	27-2

3 [illegible]
W. -[illegible]
[illegible]

4 Dadabhai [illegible]
W. -1-25
D. -37
-1-18½

do

Kattalam
1. Gopalachariyar 45
2. Narayanachariyar 63
3. Chakrapani [illegible] 33
4. Raghupati [illegible] 7
5. Ananthachari 25
6. Dadachari 18
7. Sama Rao 40

(1) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2
(2) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2
(3) Wife 1, daughters 2 — 2/3
(4) Wife 1 — 1/1
(5) Wife 1, brother 1 — 1/2
(6) Wife 1, brother 1 — 1/2
(7) Wife 1, sons 2 — 2/3

No. 1 & 2 enjoy this Inam in equal shares out of the 5 [illegible] in the name of their grandfather No. 1 in Col. 14 — the remaining 4 Viruthies having been sold by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the year [illegible] to father of No. 7 — No. 3 & 4 are sons of Krishnachari & No. 5 & 6 are sons of Madhavachari both in Col. 14 & enjoy respectively the shares [illegible] [illegible] to them. [illegible] the Viruttam share of Dadachari was purchased from his son in the year [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] by the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

the [illegible] of No. 1 & [illegible] father [illegible] No. 2 [illegible] purchased one half of this Inam & it was shared equally by his 2 sons — No. 1 purchased the remaining half of the Inam

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Ummambapuram
Mayavaram Taluk
Register No. 278
Sheet No. 64

Confirmed
M. Che[illegible]
121

Conf[illegible]
P. Che[illegible]

Conf[illegible]

5
4
5

[illegible] Spulam (continued)

Wet	4-0-11½	4·27	23-6-10
Dry	1-2-10	1·06	1-5-6
Garden	–13-6½	·33	1-0-11
Tope	–9-12½	·26	–4-11
	5-26-6½	5·92	26-2-2

Case No 12

For the Kaval personal fees benefit of the holder –14-8 Hereditary.

Granted by Pratap Singh Raja in the [illegible] Sarvadhari [illegible] in 1143

Ottie — 1/1

Extent originally granted W – 10 – Dry – 4 – –14 –

Raghunadhachariar

In Regr of 1809
1. Madhava Rayan grandson W – 5 – D – 2 – – 7 –
2. Saraswathi bayi daughter in law of the grantor W – 5 – D – 2 – – 7 – – 14 –

Vide Case No 2 —

Kumbhakonam
1. Narayana Rao 46
2. Mattaya Mudali 60

(1) Wife 1, sons 2, brother 1, do wife 1, do sons 2 — 7
(2) Wife 1, sons 2 — 3

The quarter share entd. in the name of No 2 in col. 14 & one half of that entd. in the name of the others were disposed of by No 2, No 1 & his brother's widows in the year Sarvadhari to one Kumbar Nain Jaanthari from whose grandson Venkatachari the present holder Mottaiya Mudali No 2 purchased it in the year Siddharthi – Deeds prod. That Narayana Rao No 1 enjoys is said to have been purchased from Madhava Rao in col. 14 by his grandfather. Deed of sale is stated to be missing, but in the Schedule entd. as purchased in the name of this individual in the Bhaga [illegible] circumstance with the Karnam & the villagers prepared in 1242 – the share purchased of Madhava Rao appears to be included –

To be confirmed

Confd
11/8/

	acs	Dry	acs	Garden	acs	Total	acs	Kaval	
6¾	5-13-8	–13-1½	–6-8	–3-5	–4-3	1-19-1¼	6-8-7	–3-8⅛	–12– [illegible]
–¾	17-9-2	–39-5	1-3-9	–9-15½	–12-8	4-17-5¼	19-9-7	–11–	2-4– do
10-11½	23-6-10	1-12-6½	1-10-5	–13-4½	1––11	5-26-6½	26-2-2	–14-8	3–– 2 T.D.

Regr No 278

[Pe]rsonal

Wet	8-21-6½	8·53	46-13-8
Dry	2-5-4	2·13	2-11–
Garden	–26-9	·66	2-1-10
Tope	–19-9¼	·69	–9-10
	11-32-12¾	11·81	52-4-4

Case No 13

For the Kaval personal fees benefit of the holder 1-13-4 do do

Ottie — Dafter Rika ½

This extent in the Sikka W – D – 8 – 1-8 – with a ground of 14 feet

Narayanabhattar

In Regr of 1809. Balambhattar son of the grantor 1-8 –

do

Kuttalam
1. Mahadeva bhatter 25
2. Laxmibhatter 45
3. Narayanachari 43
4. Gopalachari 45

(1) Grand son
(2) Grand son of the party No 13

(1) Wife 1, brothers 2 — 3
(2) unmarried
(3) Wife 1, son 1 — 2
(4) Wife 1, son 1 — 2

The ¼ share in the enjoyment of No 3 & 4 was purchased in the year [illegible] from Balambhattar son of the original grantee by Vedanayagachari father of No 3 & uncle of No 4. Deed on unstamped paper produced.

To be confirmed

Confd
P. Chen

	Dry	acs	Garden	acs	Total	acs	Kaval	2R	
–11	1-12-6¼	–10-5	–18-6½	1–1–	–5-26-6¾	26-2-6	–14-8		
½ 11-11-5	–26-3½	–6-10	–8-5	2-38-3¼	13-1–	–7-4	⅛	4-12– agst do	
3½ 5-13-8	–13-1½	–6-7	–3-5¼	–4-3	1-19-1½	6-8-6	–3-8		
3¼ 5-13-8	–13-1½	–6-8	–3-5	–4-2	1-19-1¼	6-8-6	–3-8	1-8– [illegible]	With a ground of 14 feet
6½ 46-13-8	2-24-13¼	3-4-10	–26-9	2-1-10	11-32-12¾	52-4-4	1-13-4	6-4– 2 T.D.	

Wet	8-21-6½	8·53	46-13-8
Dry	2-5-4	2·13	2-11–
Garden	–26-9	·66	2-1-10
Tope	–19-9¼	·49	–9-10
	11-32-12¾	11·81	52-4-4

Case No 14

For the Kaval personal fees benefit of the holder 1-13-4 do

[illegible] But the grant is said to have been made by the same Rajah on the same date as in the preceding

Dafter Rika ½

Extent of the grant W – D – 8 – 1-8 –

Ramabhraham

In Regr of 1809 —
1. Subba Sastri son W – 3-23 D – 2-79⅞ – 6-3⅛
2. Subba bhattar grandson W – 5-83 D – 2-67 – 8-50
3. Sundaraiyer grandson W – 2-50
4. [illegible] purchaser W – 2-50 D – 75 – 3-25
5. Appadurai do do W – 2-50 D – 75 – 3-25
6. Govinda pandit do do W – 3-12½ D – 9¾ – 4-6¼
7. Kuppa bhattar do do W – 3¼

do

Kuttalam
1. Vengoppaiyan 56
2. Krishnaiyan 35
3. Ramachandra bhattar 38
4. Subba Rao 25
5. Jivvabhattar 40
6. Gopalachari 45
7. Narayanachari 13
8. Appasami Vadhiyar 5
9. Suryanarayana Vadhiyar 35
10. Lakshmi Ammal 55

(1–2) Great grand sons of the party No 13

(1) Wife 1, son 1 — 2
(2) Wife — 1 — 1
(3) Brother — 1, do wife — 1 — 2
(4) Wife 1, son 1 — 2
(5) Wife 1, sons 2 — 3
(6) Wife 1, son 1 — 2
(7) Wife 1, son 1 — 2
(8) Wife 1, son 1 — 2
(9) Wife 1, son 1 — 2
(10) None

No 1 & 2 enjoy in full the share in the name of No 2 & 3 & a small portion of that in the name of No 4. No 3 & 5 under purchase in the year Pingala from the daughter of Govinda bhattar No 6 in col. 14 – the share entd. in his name. Deed produced. The land in the enjoyment of No 4 was purchased by him in the year [illegible] from [illegible] brother's widow of Jivan Rao who had come into possession of it by purchase in the year Vilambi from the widow of Appasami No 5 in col. 14 do [illegible]

the claims of these individuals is the enjoyment of an [illegible] daughter of No 8 & 9 under maintenance on the express condition, as per deed of gift produced, [illegible] No 10 [illegible]

To be confirmed

12/8/

	acs	Dry	acs	Garden	acs	Total	acs	Kaval	
2-4	19-8-5	–34-14¾	1-1-7	–8-12½	–11-3	4-26-¼	21-5-3	–11-11	
–3	–11	–9-5¾	–4-9	–2-5¾	–3–	–13-7¼	–11-8	–5 ⅛	2-12– agst
[illegible]	[illegible]	–8-3	–4-2½	–5-4	1-33-14¼	8-2-9	–4-8⅛	1––	[illegible]
–10¾	5-13-8	–13-1½	–6-7	–3-5¼	–4-3	1-19-1½	6-8-6	–3-8½	3-4– do
–5½	2-14-10	–6-8¾	–3-4	–1-10½	–2-1	–29-8¾	3-4-3	–1-10⅛	–6– do
18-10¾	2-9–	–5-11½	–2-10	–1-7¼	–1-10	–25-13½	2-13-8	–1-7	
8-10¾	2-9–	–5-11½	–2-16	–1-7¼	–1-10	–25-13½	2-13-8	–1-7 ⅛	–12– do
–10¾	5-13-8	–13-½	–6-8	–3-5	–4-3	1-19-1¼	5-8-7	–3-8	–12– do
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	6-14–	2 T.D.

What No 5 enjoys is made up of ¾ share [illegible] extent entd. in the name of No 4 and [illegible] in the name of No 7 – both in col. 14. The former was purchased by the present holder in the name of Ramabhattar in the year Sarvari from Krishnaiya Pandit to whom it had been sold in Nandana by Parvathammal who had purchased it from Tirumalabhattar that had had it sold to him by Subbasastri the original holder – the latter was purchd. in the year Nandana from Seetabhattar son of No 7 Kuppabhattar in col. 14. Deeds for all the transfers produced except for the sales &c by Tirumalabhattar but the fact of the sale to him is referred in deeds of sale executed by Subbasastri & other parties – Annachari father of No 8 & uncle of No 7 purchased the share which they now enjoy from Sundaraiyer already referred to [illegible] the village of Niragamangalam (No 267) in the Taluk of Mayavaram, in the District of Tanjore

6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Sheet No: 68

ding in the
agachi.

5 21 5
al fees 12 9
ainder 20 3 8

For the performance of Puja &c. to Sri Sokkanádha Svámi at Nágamangalam. The Services are kept up.

Tax free. — The gran[illegible] not an assignment [illegible] land but an assig[illegible] Land Revenue payable to Govern-men[illegible] to the payment of additional assessment dire[illegible] Government on account of extra cultivation Fusl[illegible] or Sirva Jasti, water tax &c.

Sheet 68

[illegible]ermanent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863-64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.
Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75.
On condition that the revenue a[illegible] in lieu of rea[illegible] money allowance shall be prop[illegible] the religious Services in the Temple.

Sri Sokkanádha Svámi.

"

Sri Sokkanádha Svámi.

Nágamangalam village in Mayavaram Taluq

" "

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up.

W.M. Weld
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector

Continued
25/10/77

T. Deh
1149

Sheet 68

68

68

Fair copied.

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் [illegible] வருடம் 1988
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

[illegible] (Continued)

Register No. 278

Personal 6	Wet	8-21-6½	8.53	46-13-8
	Dry	2-5-4	2.13	2-11-
	Garden	-32-3¾	.81	2-9-1
	Tope	-19-7¼	.49	-9-10
		11-38-7½	11.90	52-11-7

Case No 18

For the personal benefit of the holder. Kaval fees 1-13-6. Hereditary.

Not known but it is said that the grant was made by the same Raja on the same date with preceding one.

No deed is produced but in the Regr of 1809 a deed ten Rs in entd. The extent originally granted appears from the above register to have been W. 8- D -8- 1-8- with a ground of 14 feet

Ramalinga Jiam

In Regr of 1809 —
1. Subbaiyachari brother's daughter of the grantee W -4-3½ D -2-6¼ -6-4¾
2. Gurunswami Rajs by purchase W 5- Dry 1-50 -6-50
3. Annapurna Nathayi do W 5- D -1-50 -6-50
4. Srinivasa Rau do W 5-6½ D -1-08¾ -7-31¼
5. Subba Panditar Dry 1-25 1-8-

Vide Case No 2.

Kattalam
1 Kristnasastri 45
2 Subbukuttiyachari 30
3 Bapaswami Panditar 60
4 Sama Rau 40

(1) Wife & sons 2/3
(2) Wife & son 1/2
(3) Wife 1/1
(4) Wife & sons 2/3

No 1 is son of the party first named in Col: 14 & enjoys the share thus recorded — No 2 is son of Gurunswami 2nd named in the same col: No 3 enjoys the share entd in the name of Annapurna Nathayi in Col: 14, whose sister's grandson he is. The woman named dying issueless father of No 3 performed her funerals by appointment ... when ...

To be confirmed

[illegible]
Dy Coll

Wet	asst	Dry	asst	Garden	asst	Total	asst	Kaval	
1-34-11	10-4-	-22-14¾	-11-7	-5-12¾	-7-5	2-23-6½	11-7-	-6-5 1/8	1-8- Impd
2-5-5¾	11-11-5	-26-3¾	-13-2	-12-4¾	-15-3	3-3-13¾	13-8-3	-7-6-	1-12- do
2-5-5¾	11-11-5	-26-3¾	-13-2	-6-10½	-8-6	2-38-3½	13-1-1	-7-4¼	3-4- do
2-16-	13-2-10	-29-8	-14-11	-7-7¾	-9-6	3-12-15¾	14-11-3	-8-3 1/8	1-12- do
8-21-6½	46-13-8	2-24-13¾	3-4-10	-32-3¾	2-9-1	11-38-7½	52-11-7	1-13-6	8-4- 4 T.D.

the Regr of 1809 Dry land alone is entd in the name of an individual. It would refer to a Brahmin vritti or manai which generally passes from hand to hand easily and often — being small & trifling in value, deeds of sale are seldom drawn — & changes of hands cannot in consequence be traced.

on whom it was conferred — from the Sikar it appears that the "Dry" was granted originally at the rate of 8 ... per "Pangu" or share not for purposes of cultn but for building site & as grazing fields — Every individual that owns a share in the Wet is entitled to a similar proportion in the "Dry" & when in

ceived to be property — this family is in enjoyment for a long time. The name of Bapaswami Panditar appears in Acct of Fusli 1242 — No 4 is son of Srinivasa Rau in Col 14 — & enjoys the extent therein entd. The 1¼ ... of Dry in the name of No 5 in Col 14 appears now to be in the enjoyment of No 2 & 4. When, how & from whom they passed

---

6	Wet	8-21-6½	8.53	46-13-8
	Dry	2-5-4	2.13	2-11-
	Garden	-26-8	.66	2-1-9
	Tope	-19-7¼	.49	-9-10
		11-32-13¾	11.81	52-4-3

Case No 19

For the personal benefit of the holder. Kaval fees 1-13-4. do do do

Venkataraman Sas.

In Regr of 1809 —
1. Venkataraman Sas grandson W 7-50 Dry 4-25 -11-75
2. Venkata Subba Jiyan brother's son W 5- D -1-50 -6-50
3. Gangadharan Jatavallabhan brother's son in law W 5- Dry 1-50 -6-50
4. Anantagiri Sas enjoying by gift W 2-50 D -75 -3-25
1-8-

do

Kattalam
1 Chakrapaniyachari 33
2 Raghupatiyachari 17
3 [illegible] 55
4 Narayana Aiyar 43
5 [illegible] 45
6 Subba Rau 25

(1) Wife & Daughter 2/3
(2) Wife 1/1
(3) Daughters 5/11
(4) Wife & sons 1/2
(5) Wife & sons 1/2
(6) Wife & sons 1/2

The extent ... [illegible] ... was purchased from ... in the year ... by Perayaga Madhavachari. This man's brother's sons No 4 & 5 are enjoying half of the share so purchased & the remaining half was disposed of by him in the same year to one Ramaraya from whom in the year 1848, the father of No 1 & 2 purchased. The share entd in the name of Gangadharan Jatavallabhan No 3 in the Regr of 1809 was likewise purchased by No 1 & 2 from

To be confirmed

[illegible]
Dy Coll

Wet	apt	Dry	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kaval	
-34-11	10-4-	-22-14¾	-11-7	-5-12¾	-7-5	2-23-6½	11-7-	-6-5 } 1/8	2-12- Impd
-34-11	10-4-	-22-14¾	-11-7	-5-12¾	-7-5	2-23-6½	11-7-	-6-5 }	
-10½	5-13-8	-13-2	-6-7	-3-5	-4-3	1-19-1½	6-8-6	-3-8-	-12- do
-6	2-10-7	-18-2½	-9-2	-4-14	-6-2	1-2-6½	3-9-11	-2-4 }	
2-[illegible]	[illegible]	-18-2¾	-9-1	-4-14	-6-2	1-2-6¾	3-9-9	-2-4 }	-14- do
-10	1-2-8	-7-3½	-4-10	-1-13½	-2-4	3-8-	15-10-1	-8-2 ½	7-8- do
8-21-6½	46-13-8	2-24-13¾	3-4-10	-26-8	2-1-9	11-32-13¾	52-4-3	1-13-4	[illegible]-14- 4 T.D.

& that the present holder brought it there — Regarding No 6's adoption vide remarks in case No 2.

soon after it was put up to sale at a public auction, according to a decree of Court in a suit for debts due by Perayaga Madhavachari. This occurred — when No 6 purchased it. No certificate of Court is produced, but Perayaga Madhavachari's son ... admit that the sale really took place.

then in the year Sarvadhari. The share of Anantagiri Sas. No 4 was purchased by Perayaga Madhavachari from whom it passed on by agreement & dhara to Narayana Rau whose widow is the present holder — No 3 ... The agreement referred to is produced & the deed of sale is said to be missing.
The extent entd in the name of No 2 in Col: 14 was purchased from him by Perayaga Madhavachari in the year Sarvajit — but it was

---

Personal	Wet	4-12-6½	4.31	23-10-7
	Dry	1-2-10	1.07	1-5-6
	Garden	-16-2½	.40	1-4-7
	Tope	-9-12¾	.24	-4-11
		6--15¾	6.02	26-9-7

Case No 20

For the personal benefit of the holder. Kaval fees -14-10. do do

Ramakrishna alone W 10 Dry 4 -14-

Kasivisvanatha Sastri

In Regr of 1809 —
1. Ayirammal daughter W 10 Dry 4 -14-

do

Kattalam
1 Chakrapaniyachari 33
2 Raghupatiyachari 17
3 Subbarayar 30
4 Subba Rau 25

(1) Wife & Daughter 2/3
(2) Wife 1/1
(3) Wife & brothers 2/3
(4) Wife & son 1/2

Ayirammal daughter of the original grantee disposed of 3/8 of the extent entd in her name in Col: 14 in the year 1834 to father of No 1 & 2, 3/8 (year not known) to Kasturi Sastri from whom No 3's father purchased it in the year Vijaya & the remaining 2/8 to Vadhiyar. This man's brother's grandson sold it in the year ...

from whom No 4 purchased it in 1853. Deeds produced — Regarding No 4's adoption vide remarks in case No 2.

To be confirmed

[illegible]
Dy Coll

[illegible]

No	Wet	apt	Dry	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kaval	
1	-32-8½	4-7-5	-9-13¾	-4-1	-2-7¾	-3-2	1-4-13½	4-15-6	-2-9 } 1/8	1-4- Impd
2	-32-8½	4-7-5	-9-13¾	-4-1	-5-5¾	-6-10	1-7-11¼	5-3-2	-2-10 }	
3	1-24-¼	8-12-7	-19-10¼	-9-11	-4-15½	-6-4	2-8-10	9-12-10	-5-6-	1-4- do
4	1-3-5½	5-13-2	-13-2	-6-5	-3-5½	-4-3	1-19-13	9-10-1	-3-9½	3-4- do
	4-12-6½	23-10-7	1-12-6¾	1-0-5	-16-2½	1-4-7	6--15¾	26-9-7	-14-10	5-12- 3 T.D.

Talug Mayavaram

278 Umambapuram (Continued)

Umambapuram Village
Mayavaram Taluk

Regr. No. 278
Sheet No. 66

Case No. 21

Wet	3-10-6¾	3·26	17-14-4
Dry	-31-13½	·80	1--2
Garden	-9-15	·25	-12-8
Tope	-7-5½	·18	-3-9
	4-19-10¾	4·49	19-14-11

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaul fees -11-2

Hereditary

Not known but it is said that the grant was the very same ... date as in the other cases

Dafta Kakar 1/
Extent originally granted
W. -7-50
D. -3-
-10-50.

Amrutes waravar

In Regr of 1809 -
1. Vaidinathan brother in
W -4-37½
D -1-87½
-6-25.
2. Vaidinatha Dikshitar brother [illegible]
W -1-87½
D --75
-2-62½
3. Kamakshi Ammal [illegible] by purchase
W -1-25
D --37½
-1-62½
-10-50.

Vide Case No. 2.

Kolladam
1 Narayana Iyer 43
2 Lakshmi Ammal 55
3 Subba Row 25

(1) Wife 1 son ½
(2) Husband's brother's son 2/2
(3) Wife 1 son ½

Of the above 2 Visams [illegible] of his share [illegible] in the year [illegible] Visvavasu [illegible] the whole extent purchased [illegible] the 3 Visams already alienated [illegible] No. 15 [illegible] purchased in the year [illegible] the share of Kamakshi Ammal No. 3 from [illegible] Vaidinathan [illegible] in the year Parthiva [illegible] in all [illegible] Regarding

Of the aggregate eight 5 Visams of the extents [illegible] in the names of No. 1 & 2 in Col. 14 - 3 Visams were [illegible] by No. 2 [illegible] present holder No. 15 [illegible] & the [illegible] 2 Visams were sold by the latter No. 25 [illegible] in the year [illegible] No. 2 [illegible] the share of Lakshmi Ammal not entitled to one half

The small extent of Dry in the Enjoyment of No. 3 Vide Remarks in Case No. [illegible] & about [illegible] See Case No. 2

No.	Wet	apt.	Dry	apt.	Garden	apt.	Total	apt.	Kaul		
1	2-6-15	11-14-11	-21-4½	-10-11	-5-5	-6-9	2-33-13¼	13--7	--7-⅛	1-8- Surf	
2	1-3-7¼	5-15-5	-13-1½	-6-8	-8-5	-4-3	1-19-14	6-10-4	-3-9¼	1-8- do	
3	---	---	-4-10	-2-4	-1-5	-1-8	-5-15	-4-	--5½	-2- do	
	3-10-6¾	17-14-4	-39-5	1-3-11	-9-15	-12-8	4-19-10½	19-14-11	-11-2	3-2-	3 T.D.

To be confirmed
[illegible]
Dy. Collr.

Case No. 22

Personal	Wet	6-17-4½	5·43	29-13-2
	Dry	1-13-4½	1·55	1-4-10
	Garden	-16-10	·42	1-5-2
	Tope	-12-4	·30	-6-2
		7-19-7	7·48	33-3-4

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaul fees 1-2-8

Hereditary

Granted by Pratapa Sing [illegible] in the [illegible] Shanal [illegible] alias [illegible] 1143

Extent of title
Wet -12-50
Dry -5-
-17-50
with a [illegible] of 14 feet

Ganapathi [illegible] Pandithar

In Regr. of 1809
1. Kamalambal his widow
W -6-25
Dry -2-50
-8-75
2. Krishna bhattar daughter's son in law
W -6-25
D -2-50
-8-75
-17-50

do

Tanjore
1 Rama Row 40
2 Lakshminarayana Iyer 25

(1) Wife & sons 2/3
(2) Wife 1/3

in Col. 14. The [illegible] of Lakshminarayana Iyer [illegible] it in the year Parabhava [illegible] Subba Panditar [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] executed by Subba Panditar he styles himself "Kaulika" & him [illegible] "Ammal" [illegible]

The extent in the Enjoyment of No. 1 is that entered in the name of No. 2 in Col. 14 from whom he (No. 1) further purchased it [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] No. 2 [illegible] which is [illegible] in the name of Kamalambal

No.	Wet	apt.	Dry	apt.	Garden	apt.	Total	apt.	Kaul		
1	-28-10¾	14-14-	-32-13¼	1--6	-3-5	-10-7	3-29-10½	16-9-8	-9-4⅛	2-- Surf	
2	-28-8¾	14-14-7	-32-10¾	1--6	-3-5	-10-7	3-29-10½	16-9-8	-9-4	2-- do	
	-17-[illegible]	29-13-2	1-25-8½	2-5-	-16-10	1-5-2	7-19-7	33-3-4	1-2-8	4--	2 T.D.

[illegible] No. 5

[illegible] reading [illegible] and [illegible] of the [illegible]

Whether the present holders [illegible] that [illegible] by [illegible]

Wrong - whether the [illegible] was a descendant of Kamalabai & came not possession by alienation [illegible]

To be confirmed
[illegible]
Dy. Collr.

	Wet	6-27-11	8·69	47-11-
	Dry	2-5-4	2·13	2-11-
	Garden	-26-4	·67	2-1-10
	Tope	-19-9½	·49	-9-11
		11-39-1¼	11·98	53-2-2

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaul fees 1-13-10

do

do

Ditto
Extent of title
W [illegible]
D -8-
1-8-
with a [illegible] of 14 feet

Ilaya [illegible]

In Regr of 1809
1. Venkata Iyengar
W -13-35⅝
Dry -6-
-19-33⅝
2. Srinivasa [illegible]
W -6-66⅝
D -2-
-8-66⅝
1-8-
15 of the Enj. by purchase

do

Kumbakonam
1 Narayana Row 46
2 Sama Row 40

(1) Wife & sons 2 brother 1 do wife 1 do sons 2/7
(2) Wife 1 sons 2/3

No. 1 is [illegible] of No. 1 & No. 2 [illegible] of No. 2 [illegible] in Col. 14.

No.	Wet	apt.	Dry	apt.	Garden	apt.	Total	apt.	Kaul		
1	[illegible]-12¾	31-13-	1-37-1¼	2-3-4	-17-11½	1-6-7	7-39-6	35-6-11	1-3-11	4-4- Surf	
2	[illegible]-¼	10-14-5	1-34-13½	-1-7	-8-13½	-11-3	3-39-11½	17-11-3	-9-11	2-4- do	
	-27-11	47-11-5	2-24-1½	3-4-11	-33-9	2-1-10	11-39-1¼	53-2-2	1-13-10	6-8-	2 T.D.

To be confirmed
[illegible]
Dy. Collr.

Case No. 23

24	Personal	Wet	8-26-11	8·67	47-9-9
		Dry	2-5-6	2·13	2-11-
		Garden	-26-9	·67	2-1-10
		Tope	-14-4½	·49	-9-11
			11-38-6½	11·96	53--5

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaul fees 1-13-9

do

do

Extent of title
W [illegible]
Dry -8-
1-8- with a [illegible] of 14 feet

Mallari Bhattar

In Regr of 1809 -
1. Srinivasan
W -16-25
D -4-87½
1-1-12½
2 Sivabhattar
W -3-75
D -1-12½
-4-87½
3. Subbabhattar [illegible]
4. [illegible]

do

Bangalore
1 Sama Row 40
2 Subba Row 30

(1) Wife 1 sons 2/3
(2) Wife & brother 1/3

The present holder No. 1 is son of [illegible] in Col. 14 where [illegible] with the small [illegible] in the name of No. 3 [illegible] enjoys - The extent [illegible] in the name of Sivabhattar No. 2 [illegible]

Of No. 2 Subba Row [illegible] in the [illegible]

No.	Wet	apt.	Dry	apt.	Garden	apt.	Total	apt.	Kaul		
1	7-1-13¼	38-10-11	2-3-23¾	2-11-	-21-9	1-11-6	9-23-9	43-1-5	1-8-2⅛	5-- Surf	
2	1-22-¾	8-14-10	-19-13½	-9-11	-5-	-6-4	2-9-11½	9-15-1	-5-7⅛	1-4- do	
	8-26-14	47-9-9	2-24-13¼	3-4-11	-26-9	2-1-10	11-38-6½	53--5	1-13-9	6-4-	2 T.D.

To be confirmed
[illegible]
Dy. Collr.

No. 27 [illegible] (continued)

Regr. No. 278

25 Personal

Wet	4-10-11¼	4.27	23-6-10
Dry	1-2-10	1.07	1-5-6
Garden	-13-4	.33	1--10
Tope	-9-12½	.24	-4-11
	5-36-6	5.81	26-2-1

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaul fees -14-8 — Hereditary — Vide preceding case

No.	Wet	Asst.	Dry	Asst.	Garden	Asst.	Total	Asst.	Kaul	
1	-26-11	3-10-7	-8-3	-4-1	-2-1	-2-8	-36-15	4-1-4	-2-4⅛	-8- Inf.
2	2-5-33¼	11-11-5	-26-3¾	-13-3	-6-10	-5-5	2-33-3¾	13-1-1	-7-4	1-8- do
3	-26-11	3-10-7	-8-3	-4-1	-2-1	-2-7	-36-15	4-1-4	-2-1	-8- do
4	-31-15½	4-6-3	-9-13¼	-4-11	-2-8	-3-2	1-4-4¾	4-14-4	-2-8	-10- do
	4-10-11¼	23-6-10	1-12-6¾	1-10-5	-13-4	1--10	5-36-6	26-2-1	-14-8	3-2-

4 T. D.

Raudri S.S. 1722, & in that executed by Gopala Dikshatar in Tarana – the name Chandrasekaradas appears – & the other is nowhere to be met with – No 3 enjoys the share entd. in the name of Achuta Panditar for whose son he is said to have had it in exchange for his own share in another village – The parties for whom he had the land in exchange admit the transfer

Extent of Ukha
Wet 10
Dry 4
-14-

Mahadeva bhattar

Inam al – & the latter from Chandrasekara Sastri in the year Tarana – The name Tiyagaraja Das in the Reg. of 1809 seems to be a mistake for [illegible] in the deed of sale executed by the grantee's grand son in the year

In Reg. of 1809.
1. Mahadeva bhattar grand son
Wet 3-43¾
D -2-3⅛
-5-46⅞
2. Vichan
Not enjd. by him
Wet 2-50
D -75
-3-25
3. Tiyagaraja Das
Wet 2-50
Dry -75
-3-25
4. Achuta Panditar
Wet 1-56¼
D -46⅞
-2-3⅛
-14-
No 3 enjoys by purch. for No 4

Vide Case No 2.

Kumbhakonam
1 Narayanaraiu 46
2 Gopala Dikshatar 57
3 Ramachariyar 50
4 Saminatha bhatter 19

Of Mahadevabhattar's share was disposed of by sister to No 4 in the year 1862 – Deed of sale on stamp paper prod. The extent in the enjoyment of No 2 is made up of the share entd. in the names of Vichan & Tiyagaraja Das in col: 14. The former he purchd. in the year Virodhi from Viraraghavaian to whom it had been sold in Prabhava by Vicha

(1) Wife & sons 2
brother 1
do's wife 1
do's sons 2/7
(2) Son 1
daughter in law 1/2
(3) Wife & sons 2/3
(4) Father & brother 1/2

The 5/64 th in the enjoyment of No 1 was purchased by his maternal uncle in the year Vicrama from one Ramabhattar who had bought it from Mahadeva bhattar in Col: 14 in the year Vibhava – Docts produced. The maternal uncle of No 1 referred to dying first & his father afterwards No 1 as heir of the latter succeeded to his estate. The remainder

To be confirmed
Rajagopalacharlu
Dy. Collr.

Case No 25

---

26 Personal

Wet	17-14-13½	17.37	95-5-4
Dry	4-18-8	4.26	5-6-
Garden	1-13-2½	1.33	4-3-9
Tope	-39-2½	.98	1-3-9
	23-37-10½	23.94	106-3-3

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaul fees 3-11-7 — do — do

[illegible] in a statement which [illegible] for this Inam

No.	Wet	Asst.	Dry	Asst.	Garden	Asst.	Total	Asst.	Kaul	
1	5-17-8	29-13-8	1-25-8½	2-1-	-16-9½	1-5-1	7-19-10	33-3-9	1-2-8⅛	4- Inf. of 14 feet
2	2-6-12¾	11-14-7	-26-3½	-13-3	-6-10½	-8-6	2-39-10½	13-4-4	-7-5-	1-8- do
3	-21-8¼	2-15-7	-6-8½	-3-3	-1-10½	-2-1	-29-14½	3-4-11	-1-10-	-8- do
4	3-31-14	20-13-6	1-5-13¾	1-7-1	-11-10½	-14-10	5-9-6¼	23-3-5	-13-1-	2-12- do
5	2-6-12¾	11-14-7	-26-3½	-13-3	-6-10½	-8-6	2-39-10½	13-4-4	-7-5-	1-8- do
6	1-3-6	5-15-3	-13-1¾	-6-8	-3-5	-4-3	1-19-12¾	6-10-2	-3-8-	-12- do
7	-6-12¾	11-14-7	-26-3½	-13-3	-6-10	-8-6	2-39-10	13-4-4	-7-6½	1-8- do
	17-14-13½	95-5-9	5-9-10½	6-9-9	1-13-2½	4-3-9	23-37-10½	106-3-3	3-11-7	17-8-

7 T.D.

Extent of Ukha
W 2-
Dry 16
2-16
with a road

Vittal Panditar

In Reg. of 1809.
1. Mallari Panditar grandson
W 7-50
D 4-25
-11-75
2. Jivaram
W 5-
Dry 1-50
-6-50
3. Subba Panditar
W 5-
D 1-50
-6-50
4. Venkataram
W 12-50
D 3-75
-16-25
5. Venkatanarayanappaier
W 7-50
D 2-25
-9-75
6. Venkataramaier
W 2-50
D -75
-3-25
7. Srinivasaiengar
Dry 2-
2-16
All enjd. by purch.

do

Kumbhakonam
1 Narayanaraiu 46
2 Vedavyasachari 38
3 Narayanachari 43
4 Lakshminarayanappaiyar 25
5 Jivan Rao 37
6 Saminadhabhattar 19
7 Subbaraiu 25

(1) Wife & sons 2
brother 1/2
(2) Wife & brother 1/2
(3) Wife & son 1/2
(4) Wife 1/4
(5) Wife & sons 2
brothers 4/7
(6) Father & brother 1/2
(7) Wife & son 1/2

The Bhagavirasam [illegible] of 1722 & in a pummah sent with the villagers prepared in Plavanga regarding the ~~[illegible]~~ of dry in the name of Srinivasaiengar in Col: 14 [illegible] No 18 –

Year Prajotpatti from Venkatadri maian in Col: 14 – Docts produced. No 7 enjoys his share by purchase made in the year Raudri from Minakshi Ammal daughter of Subba Panditar No 3 in Col: 14. Docts produced. The 1 Visam of Mallari bhattar in the enjoyment of No 4 for wh. no doct has been produced is entd. in No 4's father's name in

entd. in his grand father's name he enjoys the 1 Visam that went out of Mallari bhattar's share after the sale to Perayagamadhavachari – It is said that the 1 Visam passed on to his family by purchase – but when and from whom it did so is not known
No 5 is grand son of the party of the same name in col: 14 – whose land he enjoys.
No 6 purchased the 1/8 share in his enjoyment in 1862 from Govindabhattar who had bought it in the

No 1 enjoys the share entd. in the name of Venkataraman in Col: 14 whose descendant he is – Of the 6 Visams entd. in the name of Mallari bhattar 5 were purchased from him in the year Parthiva by Perayaga Madhavachari – Four of these (5) were given away in gift in 1848 to No 2 by the son & brother's sons of Perayaga Madhavachari & the remaining one is in the enjt. of No 3 – a brother's son – Docts prod. No 4 is grand son of No 5 in Col: 14 [illegible] the share

To be confirmed
Rajagopalacharlu
Dy. Collr.

Case No 26

Personal

Wet	4-14-½	4.35	23-14-1
Dry	1-2-10	1.07	1-5-6
Garden	-14-3	.35	1-2-1
Tope	-9-12½	.25	-4-11
	6--10½	6.02	26-10-7

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaul fees -14-11 — do — do

No.	Wet	Asst.	Dry	Asst.	Garden	Asst.	Total	Asst.	Kaul	
1	1-36-2¼	10-7-2	-22-15¼	-11-8	-5-12¼	-7-5	2-24-14½	11-10-2	-6-6⅛	1-8- Inf.
2	-21-12¼	2-15-9	-6-8¼	-3-3	-2-9½	-3-3	-30-14½	3-6-3	-1-11-	-6- do
3	-23-4	8-15-3	-19-10½	-9-4	-4-15½	-6-4	2-9-14	9-15-6	-5-7-	1-4- do
4	-10-14	1-7-11	-3-4¼	-1-8	-13¼	-1-1	-14-15½	1-10-8	-1-	-4- do
	4-14-½	23-14-1	1-12-6½	1-10-5	-14-3	1-2-1	6--10½	26-10-7	-14-11	3-6-

4 T. D.

Ukha
Extent of Ukha
W 10
D 4
-14- with 1 road of 14 feet

Raghunadha Panditar

In Reg. of 1809.
1. Venkataraman
W 3-75
D 1-12½
-4-87½
2. Vichan bhattar
W 3-75
D 1-12½
-4-87½
3. Subbavadhani
W -62½
D -18¾
-81¼
4. Vitam mal
W -62½
D -18¾
-81¼
5. Kamalammal

do

Tittalam
1 Kristnamoortyachari 30
2 Appadaivadyar 35
3 Kristnaraiyar 45
4 Kappammal 20

(1) Wife & uncle 1
brother's widow 1/3
(2) Wife & brother's widow 1/2
(3) Wife & Daughter 1/2
(4) Husband & son 1/2

share was in the year Sobhakritu. Deed of sale is produced & in it the gift of 1 Visam is referred to. No 3 is brother of the woman who sold a portion of one and this [illegible] these daughters. From Vitam mal and No 4 in [illegible] land the [illegible]

in the name of Kamalam and No 5 was purchased in the year Vardhana by Ganapathi Vadhyar grand father of No 2 from Narasimha Iyer son of Kamalammal. Deed of sale [illegible] No 2 in

What No 1 enjoys was acquired by his brother in gift from Venkataram No 1 in col: 14 in the year Tarana & the deed of gift consists of the 3 Visams entd. in Venkataram's name & 1/2 Visam acquired – that of Subbavadhani from whom he (Venkataram) purchd. it. The deed of sale

To be confirmed
Rajagopalacharlu
Dy. Collr.

Case No 27

Fieldwar particulars of lands in the Pagoda Inam Register case No. 1 in Ottangádu, hamlet of Anaimuttam Village (No. 180) in the Taluk of Máyaveram, Tanjore District.

Sheet No. 65

Regr. No. 50

Out of the land standing in the name of the Temple

Case No.	General class	Description of land and Survey No.	Local measure				English measure	
			V	M	§	64	Ac	cents
	Dévádáyam	Taraff						
		Dry						
		54	..	6	17	40		
1		57	..	..	7	36		
		63	..	7	14	56		
		64	..	..	31	..		
		70	..	1	49	48		
		72	..	..	69	60		
		73	..	..	55	52		
		86	..	1	21	16		
		131	..	1	25	20		
		132	..	2	77	20		
		133	..	1	48	56		
		134	..	3	41	20		
		135	..	1	14	16		
		136	..	..	81	36		
		137	..	..	69	..		
		138	..	..	66	40		
		139	..	2	89	20		
		143	..	1	58	20		
		144	..	1	7	20		
		150	..	1	10	..		
		Total	1	16	57	..	12	7

Case No.	General class	Description of land and Survey No.	Local measure				English measure	
			V	M	§	64	Ac	cents
		Wet						
	Dévádáyam	1	..	1	14	44		
		2	..	1	96	12		
1 (contd.)		3	..	3	59	16		
		4	..	2	96	52		
		5	..	7	75	40		
		6	..	4	56	52		
		7	..	2	19	36		
		8	..	1	10	12		
		9	..	1	22	4		
		10	..	..	99	8		
		11	..	1	75	16		
		12	..	4	13	20		
		13	..	2	58	4		
		14	..	3	4	20		
		15	..	2	84	12		
		16	..	1	45	8		
		17	..	1	14	52		
		20	..	8	46	..		
		21	..	5	64	40		
		22	..	4	66	..		
		23	..	4	57	24		

Case No.	General class	Description of land and Survey No.	Local measure				English measure	
			V	M	§	64	Ac	cents
		Wet						
1	Dévádáyam	24	..	4	65	24		
(Contd.)		25	..	6	93	48		
		26	..	1	17	..		
		28	..	8	65	8		
		30	..	5	18	48		
		31	..	4	66	16		
		33	..	4	59	4		
		34	..	4	77	32		
		36	..	5	56	48		
		37	..	2	52	28		
		38	..	3	87	24		
		39	..	2	7	20		
		40	..	2	33	40		
		41	..	3	27	48		
		43	..	2	8	48		
		44	..	2	44	36		
		45	..	..	79	20		
		46	..	5	33	4		
		47	..	3	1	48		
		48	..	1	62	24		
		49	..	4	68	32		
		50	..	4	89	8		

Case No.	General class	Description of land and Survey No.	Local measure				English measure	
			V	M	§	64	Ac	cents
		Wet						
1	Dévádáyam	51	..	6	49	56		
(Cont.)		52	..	3	47	52		
		53	..	3	57	12		
		58	..	..	45	4		
		59	..	..	31	12		
		65	..	3	66	36		
		75	..	7	24	44		
		76	..	..	36	56		
		77	..	..	36	..		
		39	..	4	81	48		
		80	..	2	53	56		
		81	..	..	52	56		
		82	..	..	39	8		
		83	..	..	82	16		
		84	..	..	20	52		
		85	..	3	31	56		
		87	..	6	6	12		
		88	..	6	12	60		
		90	..	4	26	16		
		91	..	..	49	56		
		92	..	2	1	36		
		93	..	..	30	16		

1990

No 50

Register of Inams in the hamle f tta ïdu attached to Aneimuttam village No (180) in the Taluk of M the District of njore

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No 1

Out of the land standing in the name of the Temple.

Déválâyam Taraff	V-M-G.	Ac Cnt	Rs A P	Rs. A. P
Wet	11-14-4	77. 35	229-10-10	
Dry	1-16-57	12. 7	13-9-1	
Garden	– 4-79	1. 57	3-5-6	
Total	13-15-40	90. 99	246-9-5	246-9-5
Deduct Kaval fees				9-10-9
Remainder				236-13-8

ttlement
ticulars.

Regr No. 50

For the performance of Puja &c to Sri Amirthaghatésvara Svámi at Tirukkadeyúr. The Services are kept up.

Tax free – The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of L payable to Government subject to the Government of a l assessment directed Government on account of Extra cultivation, Fusal or Tirvajásti, water tax &c.

manent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863-64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No 1319 – Communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 – Communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No 75.

On condition that the revenue assigned in lieu of ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in the Temple.

Sheet No. 64

sri Amirthaghatésvara Svámi Abhishékakkattlei

sri Amirthaghatésvara Svámi Abhishékakkattlei

Tirukkadeyúr village in Mayaveram Taluq.

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3. free so long as the Services in the Temple are well kept up.

M R Weld

Ag Hd Asst Collector.

Confirmed
12/11/77

T.D. No
1057

Hari ober

****
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் வருடம் 1989
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்.

MAYAVARAM-TK

Regr. No. 278
Sheet No. 68
Case No. 34

	Wet		
406	1-28-3¼		
408	-30-13¼		
409	1--3¼		
410	-15-5½		
411	-24-7¾		
415	3-2-¼		
420	1-3-6		
422	-21-5¼		
	9-5-12¾	9.15	50-3-3
Dry 407	-3-4		
412	-2-14¼		
414	-3-7¼		
419	-5-[illegible]		
	-14-9	.37	-7-4
Garden 731	1-22-8		
732	-29-10½		
733	-4-13¼		
734	-33-2¾		
	3-10-2	3.25	10-5-9
	12-31-7¾	12.77	61--4

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kavul fees 1-15- — Nakhum Nathamdu

A Mahasthika by Sreluja directing the continuance of the Inam is produced. Extent of the Grant Wet - Dry -8- 1-8- with a condition of 14 feet

Vanchiswarasas.

In Reg. of 1809 —
1. Kuthanari Annamal widow of grand son Wet 6-25 D 2-8½ -9-6¼
2. Kuthi Sas great grand son Wet 10 D 4 -14-
3. Narayanacharri enjd by gift Wet 1-25 D -50 -1-75
4. Ramaswami Aiyen enjd by purchase Wet 2-50 D -1-68¾ -3-18¾ 1-8-

In F1238
1. Arunachalasas 26-28-1½
2. Kuppiri Aiyen 1-15-
3. Prayagamatha charri
4. Kesava charri
5. Annachaiar 4-28-6
12-31-7¾

Kuthalam
1 Bhaskarasastri 41
2 Arunachalasas 29
3 Ramachari 50
4 Gopalachari 45
5 Narayanachari 43
6 Ammaniyammal 25

(1) Wife, 1 son ½
(2) Wife, Daughter ½
(3) Wife, 1 son 2/3
(4) Wife, 1 son ½
(5) Wife, 1 son ½
(6) None

Col: 14 — & the remaining 2 Vis: were purchased by father of No 3 in the year Vishu from Ramaier who had them of the above Vutkamam — & the latter vige 1 Vis: was inherited from Narayana chari who was grand father of Nos 3 & 5. No 6 is daughter in law of Kuppiri Aiyan No 2 in Col: 15 who had purchased it of Ramaswami Aiyen in Col: 14 — before the Parimash.

Arunachalasas the father of No 1 & grand father of No 2 had in exchange for his own Inam in another village from Kuthi Sas No 2 in Col: 14 — the 14 Vis: entd in his name. The exchange took place in the year Tarana & a deed of exchange to this effect is produced. The 5 Vis: are entd in the name of No 1 in Col: 14 & the 1 Vis: in that of No 3 are now in the enjoyment of Nos 3 & 5. Of the former 3 Vis: were purchased by No 3 who is also called Kesava chari, in the year Swabhanu from Vutkamam who appears to be identical with No 1 in

To be confirmed
Majendrapala Thakur [illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao
Asst
11/1/63

Wet	apt	Dry	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kavul	QR	
[illegible]-11	26-2-7	-8-12½	-4-4	1-27-10	-6-1	6-27-1¾	27-13-	1--8 1/8	3-12-	Inspd
1-3-12½	6--2	-1-7	--9	-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12¾	7-7-4	-3-8		
1-3-12½	6--2	-1-7	--9	-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12¾	7-7-4	-3-8 1/8	2-12-	do
1-3-12½	6--2	-1-7	--9	-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12½	7-7-6	-3-8		
1-3-12½	6--2	-1-7½	--9	-9-12½	-12-5	1-15-	6-13-4	-3-4 ½	3-4-	do
7-5-12¾	50-3-3	-14-9	-7-4	3-10-2	10-5-9	12-31-7¾	61--4	1-15-	9-12-	3 7. Ds.

Umambapuram, Taluk Mayavaram

	Personal Wet		
158	-16-¼		
159	1-38-11½		
160	3-9-14		
161	2-38-12½		
162	-22-11½		
	9-1-5	9.03	49-9-4
Garden 856	-25-4		
857	-23-8¼		
785	-33-15		
	2-12-11½	2.32	7-6-1
	11-14-¼	11.35	56-15-5

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kavul fees 1-13- do do do. Narakari Dikshitar

☐ From his son Kuppuswami Aiyan No 4 in Col: 15. From this widow it passed to Sri Kristnaier from whom No 8 purchased it in the year Nandana. How Kristnaier got the Inam from the widow, whether by purchase or relationship or gift is not known — Deed of sale produced.

* About 10 years ago after the Upanayanam of the adoptee No 2 his brother son of Dandapani Bhattar in Col: 15 who purchased the 2 Vis: of dry land in his enjoyment from Babu Vallabha Dikshitar above referred to in the year Chitrabhanu. Deed produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 3 was purchased by his father in the year Vikari from Kristnama Chari No 3 in Col: 15 who was son of No 2 in Col: 14 — in whose name 2/3 of the extent specified in the account of his father is entd in the Parimash — Deed produced. No 4's share was purchased by him in the year Nala from Srinivasaier brother's son of Tulasiaier in Col: 15. The above Srinivasaier had come out

In Reg. of 1809 —
1. Visvesvara Dik: son Wet 15 D 6-75 1-1-75
2. Lakshmanaier enjoying by purchase Wet 5 D 1-25 -6-25 1-8-

In F1238
1. Babu Rao 26-26-9¼
2. Saidam Bhattar -28-8¼
3. Kristnamachari 1-39-13
4. Kuppaiengar -19-15¼
5. Tulasiaiyer -20-3½
6. Vaidilinga Chetti -38-15
-11-14-¼

Mayavaram
1. Srinivasa Rao 24
2. Mahadeva Bhattar 25
3. Jivan Rao 37
4. Venkataramaier 15
5. Vaidilinga Chetti 69
6. Subbramanya Chetti 52
7. Namasivaya Chetti 45
8. Edakaruppan 40

(1) Wife ¼
(2) Wife, brother 2/3
(3) Wife, 1 sons 2 brothers 4 ¼
(4) Wife ¼
(5) Wife, 1 sons 2/3
(6) Wife, 1 son 4/5
(7) Wife ¼
(8) Wife & Daughter ½

The 15 Vis: of Wet entd in the name of No 1 in Col: 14 were disposed of by Babu Vallabha Dik: who is said to be his sons adopted son in the year Tarana of [illegible] in the same year [illegible] Rao No 1 in Col: 15 purchased them. The present holder No 1 was adopted son of Babu Rao whose mother's grand son [illegible] adopted [illegible] here were

To be confirmed
Majendrapala Thakur [illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao
Asst
11/1/63

Case No 35

	Wet	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kavul	QR	
1	6-28-9¼	36-2-4	--	--	6-28-9¼	36-9-4	1-3- ½	18-	Inspd
2	--	--	-23-8¼	2-4-4	-23-8¼	2-4-4	-- 1/8	-4-	do
3	1-22-13½	8-10-4	-16-13¼	1-5-5	1-39-13	9-15-9	-5-	1-4-	do
4	-16-¼	2-3-2	-4-3¼	-5-4	-20-3½	2-8-6	-5-	-4-	do
5	--	--	-19-7½	1-8-10	-19-7½	1-8-10	-8		
6	--	--	-9-11¼	-12-5	-9-11¼	-12-5	-4	-6-	do
7	--	--	-9-11¼	-12-5	-9-11¼	-12-5	-4		
8	-15-11¼	2-2-6	-4-3½	-5-4	-19-15¼	2-7-10	-1-3 ½	1-4-	do
	9-1-5	49-9-4	2-12-11½	7-6-1	11-14-¼	56-15-5	1-13-	21-8-	

Do	Wet		
	64	-15-16	
	64	-15-3	
	66	1-23-3¼	
	68	-29-7¼	
	95	-2-5¼	
	98	-6-5	
	100	-6-2	
	101	1-13-13¾	
	102	-18-1¼	
	103	1-11-15½	
	105	1-10-3½	
		11-9-3¼	10.22 — 56-2-2
Dry	67	-2-10	
	728	2-36-9	
		2-39-3	2.98 — 3-12-1
Garden	727	-2-5½	.06 — -3-
		13-10-9¼	13.26 — 60-1-3

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kavul 2-4- do do. do

Viraraghava Dikshitar Vajapeyar

…dividual came to be entd in the Reg. for the 6 Vis: cannot be ascertained. The deed produced seems to be genuine. The 6 V minus the 3 disposed of to Appavaien are which are entd in Subbaramaiers name in the Parimash, & at present enjd by No 1. Of the 3 V which share was stated, were sold to Appavaien, he sold 1½ V in the year Chitrabhanu & mortgaged the remainder in the year Sarvadhari with Kuppiri Aiyan No 2 in Col: 15. The term fixed in the bond for the redemption of the mortgage having expired with Sarvajit, the whole 3 Vis: are [illegible] from Kuppiri [illegible] in the Parimash. Kuppiri Aiyen's son [illegible] 1½ V [illegible]

In Reg. of 1809 —
1. Srinivasa Rao Wet 3-75
2. Subba Bhattar Wet 5
3. Subbaier Wet 3-75
4. Ramaswami Bhattar D -8-
5. Appavaien Wet 7-50

In F1238
1. Subbaraier 1-25-5
2. Srinivasa Rao 2-7-1¼
3. Kuppiri Aiyen 2-1-13¼
4. Subbaier 2-2-3
5. Raghavaier 2-5-2¾ (This is said to be in Grama Natta [illegible])
6. Srinivasa [illegible] 2-36-9

Kuthalam
1. Janaki Ammal 35
2. Ramaswami Aiyen 47
3. Jivan Bhattar 33
4. Samarao 40
5. Muthaiya [illegible] 62
6. Venkateswara [illegible] 55
7. [illegible] 35

[illegible] named in the Reg. as having sold to Appavaien the purchase appears to have been made [illegible]

(1) Daughter, Grand daughter ½
(2) Wife ½
(3) Wife, 1 son 2/3
(4) Wife, 1 son 2/3
(5) Wife, 1 son 2/3
(6) [illegible]
(7) Wife [illegible]

Janaki Ammal is grand son's widow of Subbaramaiers in Col: 15. The 5 Vis: entd in the name of Appavaien in Col: 14 [illegible] in 1809 [illegible] sold to him by the [illegible]

To be confirmed
Majendrapala Thakur [illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao
Asst
11/1/63

Case No. 36

Wet	apt	Dry	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kavul	QR
1-32-11	9-13-	-2-10	1-1-4	--	--	1-35-5	10--11	-5-6	1-4-
2-1-13¼	11-8-8	--	--	--	--	2-1-13½	11-8-8	-6	1-4- do
2-2-3	11-4-5	--	--	--	--	2-2-3	11-4-6	-6	1-4- do
2-7-1¼	11-15-3	--	--	--	--	2-7-1¼	11-15-5	-6-5	1-8- do
--	--	2-36-9	3-10	--	--	2-36-9	3-10-4	-6	-6- do
2-5-2¾	11-11-2	--	--	--	--	2-5-3½	11-11-2	-6	1-8-
--	--	--	--	-2-5½	-3	-2-5½	-3	--	-2-

Regr No. 278

Case No 37

[illegible] of garden entered

Wet	
34	1—1—2¾
[illegible]	1—31—2½
37	—34—9½
38	1—12—11
40	—13—1
42	—6—14
43	—21—7
44	—22—9½
62	1—26—14¾
63	2—2—7½
45	—12—11
	15—25—14½
Dry 41	—2—8½
710	—6—6
711	—8—10
713	—6—9
714	—16—6½
712	—1—3
715	—6—14¼
716	—8—9
717	—15—7¼
718	1—20—14
719	1—22—14¼
720	—11—2½
	4—5¼
garden 563	—3—12½
	14—29—12½

10.64 66—

4.01 —10

.09 —4—10

14.74 71—7—8

These include 3 asst for 2d crop also

For the personal benefit of the holder

Naval 2—7—

Not [illegible]

No	Wet	asst	dry	asst	garden	asst	Total	asst	Naval		2R
1	2—8	12—11—6	—25	—13			2—26—3¾	13—8—6	—7—	⅛	1—12—Agt
2	2—2—9½	11—5—4					2—2—9½	11—5—4	—6—	½	5—8—Sup
3	1—5—1¼	7—5—2					1—5—1¼	7—5—2	—3—	⅛	—14—do
4	—20—9¾	2—13—3	—3—14	—1—11			—24—7¾	2—16—2	—1—6		
5	—20—9¾	2—13—3	—3—14	—1—11	—2—13½	—3—8	—27—5¼	3—2—10	—1—7		
6	—13—12	1—14—3	—2—15½	—1—4			—16—6¾	1—15—7	—1—	⅛	1—8—do
7	—13—12	1—14—3	—2—10¼	—1—4			—16—13¼	1—15—7	—1—		
8	—13—12	1—14—3	—2—10½	—1—4	—15¾	—1—2	—17—5¾	2—9	—1—1		
9	2—36—12	20—14—7	—13½	—5			2—37—9½	20—15—	—8—3	¼	5—do
10			2—14—15¼	2—15—11			2—14—15¼	2—15—1	—6—	⅛	—6—do
11			—9—3½	—4—8			—4—7½	—4—8	—6		—2—do
12			—13—13¼	—7—			—13—13¼	—7—	—10		—2—do
13	—4—6¼	2—8—2					—13—5¼	2—8—2	—1—3		—4—do
	[illegible]—28—0½	56—2—	4—5¼	5—6	—3—12½	—4—10	14—29—12½	71—7—8	2—7—		15—8—

9 J. D.

△ Son of Ramaswamaiyer No 1 in col: 14 or No 7 in col. 15. Deed of sale is produced. But Varadhappaiyer produces agreement executed by the purchaser at the time of purchase (in which he consents to the restoration of the land to the seller on condition of his repaying the purchase money within the year [illegible] with interest) & objects to the entry of the land in the name of No 9 — however 13 years have elapsed since the specified term expired — the conditions of the bond are explicit — & the party in possession does not consent to the restoration, the claim set up cannot be entertained. No 9 is said to have been adopted by his uncle (deceased) about 8 or 9 years ago — What is entd in the name of No 8 is said to have been purchd by his brother [illegible] abt [illegible]

⊕ [illegible] his head — No 14 is the same as the party of that name in col 15. This man came into possession by purchase [illegible] from the [illegible] of the above Ambaji Rao's [illegible] The extent in the enjoyment of No 9 was purchased by his uncle & adoptive father in the year Visvavasu from Varadhappaiyer △

A Dastawez? Paper granted by Tuljajee directing the continuance of the Enam.

Extent of grant

W. [illegible]

D —8—

1—8—

Vina Venkata[illegible]

In Regr of 1809 —

1 Ramaswami Aiyer brother's grandson W—5—33½ D—4— —9—33½

2 Kasi [illegible] son W—1—35½ D—1—20 —2—55½

3 Rajagopala Bhattar [illegible] enjoyed by purch. W—5— D—30 —5—30

4 Ganapati Vadhiyar enjoying by purch: W—5— D— —6—

5 Ambaji Rao — enjd. by purch. D—1—50

6 Ramalinga Bhattar enjd by purch W—2—81 D— —2—81 ⊗

No 1238

1 Adinarayana Aiyer 2—26—3¾

2 Rajagopalan 2—2—9½

3 Venkata Bhattar 1—5—¼

4 Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar —24—7¼

5 Subbaraya Vadhiyar —27—5¼

6 Ganapati Vadhiyar 1—10—3¼

7 Ramaswami Aiyer W 2—36—12 D 2—15—12¾ 5—12—8¾

8 Bapasa Pandit —9—3½

9 Kristnappa —13—13¼

10 Rangaiyar —18—5¼

14—29—12½

Pattalam

1 [illegible] Aiyer 50

2 Sivarama dikshatar 45

3 Sami Bhattar 48

4 Appadurai Vadhyar 60

5 Subramanya Vadhyar 11

6 Lakshmiyammal 55

7 Appaswami Vadhyar 35

8 Suryanarayana Vadhyar 35

9 Vaidyanatha Chariyar 15

10 Muttuswami Pillai 25

11 Ramaswami Aiyer 68

12 Sundarappaiyar 25

13 Seethayammal 54

14 Rangaiyangar 65

⊕ Two [illegible] of [illegible] Bhattar in col: 15 [illegible]

(1) Grand son of the party entd 13—

(1) Wife & sons ½
(2) Wife & Daughter ⅔
(3) 2 brothers' sons ⅗
(4) son ¼
(5) mother ¼
(6) none
(7) Wife & son ½
(8) Wife & son ½
(9) mother ¼
(10) Wife —¼ brother —¼ do wife ⅓
(11) Wife & sons ⅔
(12) Wife & brother ⅔
(13) son's widow ½
(14) Wife & son ½

[illegible] is the share entd in the name of No 2 in Col. 15 who was the same person as No 9 in col: 14. He purchased it in the year 1860 from the [illegible] brother of Rajagopala [illegible] widow. This woman dying about 10 years ago, another her brother by appointment performed her funeral & assumed her estate. Deed of sale produced. No 4 — also known by the name of Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar is the same as No 4 in Col. 15 — No 5 is grandson of No 5 Subbaraya Vadhiyar, No 6 is daughter in law & No 7 & 8 are grandsons of Ganapati Vadhiyar No 6 — the extent enjoyed by all these, No 4 6 8, is that entd in the name of No 4 in Col: 14 — The 6 [illegible] of dry entd in the name of No 1 in col: 14 were disposed of by his son Varadappaiyar in the year 1850 to [illegible] ammal from whom in 1851 No 10 Muttuswami Pillai purchased them — Deeds of sale produced — The dry land in the enjoyment of No 11 was purchased by him in 1852 from Bapasami Pandit in No 15, who was the son of Ambaji Rao in Col: 14 — No 12 ⁜

* This uncle's widow No 13 enjoy the extent entd in the name of Kristnappa in Col: 15 from whom No 12's uncle purchd it. The deed of sale is not produced — as it is said to be missing — but a receipt granted by Ambaji Rao's son, from whom the above Kristnappa's father purchased the land, for the purchase money which was handed over to No 12's uncle when the purchase was made of Kristnappa is [illegible] ⊕

To be confirmed

Rajagopalachar[illegible]

[illegible] with the exception of the above Varaha[illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ the rule is not an extent [illegible] & it is virtually [illegible] as ruled by No 12 in similar cases [illegible] [illegible] in regard [illegible] Queen.

M[illegible]

11/8/63

Case No 38

Dry

Wet	
88	—26—2¼
89	—16—15
110	2—31—14¼
111	—20—23¾
113	2—9—7¾
[illegible]	—11—3
115	2—1—14
116	1—19—15
	11—27—4½

11.68 64—2—2

do Naval 2—4 — Hereditary

No.	Wet	asst	Naval		LR	
1	—15—7¼	2—2—	—1—4	⅛		
2	—15—7¼	2—2—	—1—4			
3	—36—12½	5—9	—3—2		2—4—	Supd
4	—36—12¾	5—9	—3—2			
5	—36—12½	5—9	—3—2			
6	3—32—12¾	20—5—6	—11—3		2—8—	agreed in part confirmed in the rest
7	1—5—3¾	7—10—9	—4—3		—14—	Supd
8	1—6—11½	6—6—6	—3—3	½	3—	Supd
9	—22—3½	3—	—1—7	⅛	—6—	do
10	—34—12	4—12—5	—2—6		—10—	do
11	—3—9½	1—13—0	—1—		—4—	do
	11—27—4½	64—2—2	2—4—		9—14—	7. L. D.

[illegible] of the above [illegible] the mortgagee [illegible] according to the conditions of the bond — it generally [illegible] to the mortgagee as [illegible] the term specified — The deeds [illegible] No 9 [illegible] Deed of sale

[illegible] in that of the other. Govinda Bhattar — The extent [illegible] mortgage with possession was redeemed [illegible] (whose land [illegible]) [illegible] sold by [illegible] No 9 [illegible]

Granted by Pratap Singh Rajah on the 12th [illegible] [illegible] in the year [illegible] 1149

The Sanad is not produced but it is found registered in the Regr of 1809 — The extent of the Sanad is W. —8—

Kristnaji [illegible]

In Regr of 1809 —

1 Subbammal daughter in law W—8—25

2 Manikkammal daughter of No 1 W—5—

3 Ganapati Vadhiyar W—1—8¼

4 Appu Vadhiyar W—8½

5 Venkatarama W—6—

6 Subramaniya W—2—50

7 Parvatammal 2—30

No 3 & 7 enjoying by purch.

No 1238

1 Ganapati Vadhiyar 2—30—5¾

2 Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar alias Appadurai Vadhiyar —15—7¼

3 Subbaraya Vadhiyar —15—7¼

4 Venkatakrishna & Venkataraman enjoying in common 2—32—12¾

5 Kasturi Aiyer 2—22—9¼

6 Subbu Bhattar enjoying on mortgage —13—9½

7 Govinda Bhattar & Subbu dikshatar enjoying above 1—17—9¼

9—27—4½

Pattalam

1 Appadurai Vadhyar 60

2 Subramanya Vadhyar 11

3 Lakshmiyammal 55

4 Appaswami Vadhyar 35

5 Suryanarayana Vadhyar 35

6 Venkata Lakshmiyammal 60

7 Pattabhiraman 30

8 Kristnan 49

9 Picchai dikshitar 60

10 Viraraghavaiyangar 50

11 [illegible] 40

(6) [illegible] of the original grantee or party entd 13—

(1) son ⅓
(2) brother ¼
(3) none
(4) Wife & son, brother's wife ⅓
(5) Wife & son ½
(6) Husband's brother ½
(7) Wife ¼
(8) Wife & son ½
(9) Wife & sons ½ Brother ½
(10) Wife & son ½
(11) Wife & daughter ½

No 1 is son & No 2 is brother grandson of Appu Vadhiyar No 4 in Col: 14 — & they enjoy the extent entd in his name in that col: & in those of his [illegible] No 2 & 3 in col. 15 — No 3 is daughter in law & Nos 4 & 5 are grandsons of Ganapati Vadhiyar in col: 14 — & they enjoy the extent entd in his name together with those in the names of [illegible] & Parvatammal No 6 & 7 from whom they were purchased in the year Chitrabhanu by Ganapati Vadhiyar in whose name the whole appears in the Pymaish — Deeds produced — The sale of Parvatammal's share was made by Narasimha Josier son of Tyagaraja Josier — No 8 enjoys a portion of the extent in the name of Subbammal her husband's [illegible] together with that in the name of [illegible]

⊗ Purchased by the present holder from his son. The deed of sale is missing but the villagers state that the present holder is in enjoyment by purchase for a long time & the party who sold the same [illegible] to the entry of the land in the name of the purchaser. No 7 is son of Kasturi Aiyer in Col: 15 & brother's son of Venkatarama in Col: 14 — of the extent entd in the name of the former 2—22—9¼ were disposed of by him [illegible] with [illegible] No 8 — & his daughter sold it to him (No 8) in the [illegible]. The remaining 1—15—13½ [illegible] in the enjoyment of No 7 [illegible] in col: [illegible]

To be confirmed. The share recognised in the names of Nos 9 & 10 are of course subject to any claims that may hereafter be set up by persons claiming to be heirs of the parties who mortgaged the lands.

Rajagopalachar[illegible]

[illegible]

Umambapuram
Mayavaram Taluk
Register No. 278
Sheet No. 69

Case No. 39

Dis. No. 514/24
21/X/24

1?8	—26-3¾		
148	1-3-14¾		
149	2-20-7		
150	2-20-7		
190	2-33-8½		
192	—29-11		
281	—28-11½		
283	2-32-10¼		
293	2-3-3		
281	1-32-5¼		
429	1-8-11¼		
430	2-15-¼		
431	1-14-3¼		
	25-2-1½	25·07	126-9-7
Dry 07	—1-2¾		
831	—12-14¾		
	—14-1½	35	—7-1
Garden 829	2-16-11¾		
830	1-13-4½		
	3-30-¾	3·75	11-13-1
	27-6-9	27·17	138-16-9

of the [illegible] assessed for the village

No.	Wet	Asst.	Dry	Asst.	Garden	Asst.	Total	Asst.	Kaul	Q.R.
1	3-7-3¾	17-7-8	—2½	—1	—	—	3-7-6¼	17-7-4	—9-6	4 5 ·
2	2-26-8¼	[illegible]	—2½	—1	—	—	2-26-8¾	12-9-3	—8	3 9 ·
3	2-21-6½	13-14-8	—2¼	—1	—	—	2-21-8¾	13-14-9	—7-6	3 6 ·
4	1-29-7	9-8-7	—½	—1	—	—	1-29-10½	9-8-10	—8-2	2 5 ·
5	[illegible]	[illegible]	—10¼	—4	—6-14½	—8-10	1-33-[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	2 5 ·
6	1-7-11½	6-8-9	—	—1	—	—	1-7-12½	6-3-9	—3-6	1 9 ·
7	1-7-11½	6-8-9	—	—1	—	—	1-7-12½	6-3-9	—3-6	1 9 ·
8	2-7-7½	12	—1½	—	—	—	2-7-9¼	12-1	—6-6	2 14
9	3-23-2	[illegible]-10-3	—3	—2	—	—	3-23-5	19-10-5	—10-8	4 13 ·
10	—31-13	[illegible]-5-11	—½	—	—	—	—31-13½	4-3-11	—3-5	1 1 ·
11	2-15-7	[illegible]	—2	—1	—	—	2-15-9	13-1-9	—7-1	3 4 ·
12	—	—	—12-5¼	—6	3-23-1¾	11-6-3	3-35-7	11-12-9	—6	3 · ·
	23-[illegible]-7¼	12[illegible]	—14-1½	—7-1	3-30-¾	11-15-1	27-6-9	138-15-9	4-10-11	34 · · ·

personal fee
benefit of
the holder 4-10-11

Kaul
Ruai Nottkeim Endowment Nottkeim Extent in Regr of 1809.

10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

In F.1238 Particulars of the land in col. 3 etc. to name holders given. The field are entd. in a [illegible] of 14½ Pangus or Shares

1 Rangasamy Iyengar	36
2 Pichadikshatar	60
3 Subbramanya Vadhyar	
4 Koti Ayan	70
5 Ramacharyar	50
6 Ramammal	55
7 Venkappaian	45
8 Narayanaian	46
9 Minakshiammal	50
10 Kistnaian	25
11 Kuppammal	30
12 Minakshiammal	45

(3) Brother ½
(4) Brother's sons ½
(5) Sons ½
(6) Husband's sister's sons ½
(7) Daughter ½
(8) Wife's sons ⅔
(9) Daughter 2
Do.'s sons ⅔
(10) Wife ½
(11) Husband's sons ½
(12) Husband's sons ⅔

[illegible] find this Inam as it is entd in the Register of 1809. It is generally called in the village by the name "Erugili Pangu". About 1830, a complaint was preferred that the Brahmins in the village having without any just title [illegible] enjoy this Inam which had been granted as Kaul Inam; an enquiry was made, & on the Tahsildar reporting that the Inam is not supported by any Sanad or other document & was [illegible] unconnected with the other Inams in the village held in [illegible], it was placed under attachment together with the Inams in Cases No. 40 & 41. The Inam in Case No. 1 tho' similar in kind was not interfered with as being in the enjoyment of a Pagoda. The Inamdars claiming restoration, the Collr. released the Inams from attachment within 2 years after or in 1832. Attending to the difference in the extent of the village as shown by the Tikar & the accts of F.1226 & 1238, the Collr. was of opinion that the claim to these Inams could be best settled when the question of the [illegible] in the Inam [illegible] came to be disposed of. As that has lately [illegible] (Vide remarks above in Case No. 2) this Inam seems

the quite unconnected [illegible] with this supported by titles. It has therefore to be disposed of independently of them. The Kottalai [illegible] entering this Inam are entd in the acct of F.1220. There is no acct previous to that containing field particulars [illegible] the village having come under the British admn. [illegible] more than 50 years back, it is not at all likely & it cannot be supposed that this Inam newly sprung up with a view to avoid [illegible] is dated F.1220 nearly 52 years)

But as it does not appear in the accts in which the others are entered & as it is not supported by a grant, it may be objectionable to recognize it as a valid Inam & change it from the lowest rate of QR. I would recommend therefore that it be continued on ¼ QR. As the name of the original holder is not known & no enquiries are [illegible] in the [illegible] or other accts. the present

[illegible] cannot be
[illegible] to present holder
[illegible] documents produced
[illegible] all the parties
[illegible] appear to
[illegible] purchasers
[illegible]
[illegible] Mayavaram Tahsildar
[illegible]

1.9.11
13
Approved
of Comp.
[illegible]
[illegible]
¼ QR
[illegible]
W
8 Jan 63
[illegible]

[illegible] Wet 164 2-3 [illegible] 11-6-7 as above — For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaul fee —6 — do — do — do — do — [illegible]

In F.1238 Sarvamanyam not supported by grant [illegible] Narayana Iyengar 2-3-4½

Kattalai

1 Varadiengar	68	125
2 Kristna Iyengar	80	57
3 Gopala Iyengar	~~80~~ 50	~~57~~ 52

11 [illegible] the [illegible] in col. 15
(2) Uncle's son
(3) Uncle's son
do

(1) Wife's sons ⅓
(2) Wife's sons brother ⅓
(3) Wife's sons ½

No.	Asst.	Kaul fee	QR
1	5 11 5	· 3 ·	1 6 ·
2	2 13 8	· 1 6	· 11 ·
3	2 13 8	· 1 6	· 11 ·
	11 6 9	· 6 ·	2 12 ·

Case No. 40

This Inam is entd. in acct of F.1238 as being unsupported by a grant. It does not appear in the Regr of 1809. Vide remarks in Case No. 39. To be confirmed. QR imposed at ¼ is Rs 2-[illegible]

Mayavaram Tahsildar
29/9/62

Confirmed
No 14

Dry 708 —11-4 ·28 —6-7
Garden 709 —5-1 ·13 —6-5
—16-5 ·41 —12-
as above

Kaul
do —7 do do do do do

In F.1238 Sarvamanyam not supported by a grant [illegible] Venkappaiyan 2-16-5

Kattalai
Venkappaian 55

Lives in the [illegible] village 15

Wife 1 ... ½

Vide remark in the preceding case. This is not entd in F.1226. To be confirmed at ¼ [illegible]

No 15
Confirmed

Case No. 41

Register No. 279. Mayavaram Taluq, Tanjore District.

Case No. 2 to No. 38.

This was a Sarva Inam Village granted not on one occasion but at different times on 37 Sicka Sanads all prior to Fusly 1171. The extent as per Sicka Sanads is 59-1-45. The extent as per Survey of Fusly 1238 is 66-8-8 including 9-7-0 of Poramboke, of which 5-16-23 consists of Streets, tanks, irrigation channels &c., the remaining Poramboke consisting of the sites of houses, backyards &c. The Deputy Collector argues that the extent entered in the Sanads could not have included the abovementioned Poramboke of 5-16-23, as the whole Village was not given on one occasion, and as it is not the practice of the District to include Poramboke in the extent given in the Sanads. I quite agree with the Deputy Collector, and consequently there is no excess to be charged, it being below ten per cent. The remaining points to be considered are:- 1st. Whether the Agraharadars have right to the Poramboke lands not included in the Sanads; 2nd. Whether the whole Village should be confirmed in one deed, or in as many deeds as there are Sanads.

The Deputy Collector did not give an opinion on the 1st point, probably because he entertains no doubt about it. The Tanks, and other Sources of irrigation, clearly exist from before the assumption of the Country by the British, because the Register of 1809 shows that part of the Village was then cultivated with wet crops, and consequently the right of the Inamdars to the Poramboke cannot be ~~despatched~~ disputed, and it is not also assessable. As regards the 2nd point, the Deputy Collector proposes to grant separate title deeds, and charge different rates of Quit Rent with reference to the circumstances of each case. But he has not given the Survey numbers for each case, and from Column 15, I observe that it is not quite possible to do so.

The Inamdars have all a joint right to the Poramboke, and as almost all whole Village Inams have been, I recommend that this Village also be confirmed in one deed, on the lowest rate of Quit Rent. I must however mention that the whole villages hitherto confirmed on 1/8th are those granted under one Sanad, but practically there is no difference between those Agraharams and this. The Sacrifice of Quit Rent to Government by adopting this course instead of granting separate title deeds is Rupees 76 as shown in the margin. Similar sacrifices we have generally allowed in almost every Agraharam to protect the joint rights of the Holders and their status in Society as Agraharams. The extent held by each individual as now enjoyed does not appear to be entered in the Public Accounts.

Quit Rent as proposed by the Deputy Collector	286
Quit Rent charged at 1/8th of the whole	210
Difference	76.

(Signed) P. Chentsalrao
Acting Special Assistant to the
Inam Commissioner.

13th August 1863.

Though held under numerous Sanads granted for the several portions at different intervals, this village has been held as a Sarva Inam Agraharam for more than a century. It will now therefore be confirmed as such in one title deed and enfranchised on the lowest rate of quit rent, in accordance with the usual practice in the case of whole village Inams, held by numerous sharers all of whom have a common right to the Poramboke within the boundaries of the Village.

Approved and confirmed accordingly as recommended by the Acting Special Assistant.

(Signed) G. N. Taylor.
Inam Commissioner

10th November 1863.

T.D. No.
1037.

I. G. Dis. No. 5 14/24
21-10-24.

Umambapuram
Mayavaram Taluk
Register No. 279
Sheet No. 70

Inam Commissioner's Office
19th March 1870

(True copy)

Corrections (3) Three
Compared
M. Adinarayanaiah
Examiner
18/3/70

Depy. Asst. to Inam Commr.

No 61 Register of Nandavanam Inam in the villages of Thiroovilayattam Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharmadayam Closed	Gardens No 1020	7 29/64	Cullies 19	"	" -9- "	For the Tax free use of the village community Now kept	Permanent	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Fasli 1238 Poromboke Nandavanam	Thiroovilayattam Poromboke Nandavanam in charge of Sokkalinga Pillai	The confirming ... 23858	A. Fry	Confirmed R Davidson I. Com 14 Nov/58 G D No 1271

Regr. No. 61
Sheet No. 63

TH

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible]
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

No. 62

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the villages of Thiruvidakali Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Dharma 1.	Nanjah Dry No. 662	7 5/32	[illegible] 18	0	0-7-2 Free Tax [illegible] used for [illegible] village [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible]	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	F1238 [illegible] Nanda vanam.	Tiruvidakali Nandavanam [illegible] Subbaraya Pillai.	The Nandavanam [illegible] supported by [illegible] [illegible] of F1238 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 62 [illegible] [illegible] 30 7 28

Continued

R. Hamilton
I. Com.

14 [illegible] /62 –

G.D. No. 1242

Regr. No. 62
Sheet No. 64

[illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible] 19/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

No 63

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Komarakattalai Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Devi dayam -	Garden No 586	11 25/32 Gulies	.30	„	0-14-5	For the support of the flower garden of Pagoda of Subbra manya swami at Komarakattalai now [illegible]	„	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	F1228 Formerly site of a Mun [illegible] Mandapam in [illegible] 300 pillars now Nandavanam	Komarakattalai Subbramanya Swami Nandavanam Superintendent Nanchayah Subboraya [illegible]	No Nandavanam Sannad upwards of 50 years [illegible] The enjoyment [illegible] since 62 years [illegible] F1 30 7 28
2. Dharma dayam closed	Dry No 182	2 Gulies .05	„	0-1-..	No Nanda vanam For the [illegible] [illegible]			Survey Account of Fasli 1238	F1238 Nanda vanam having been a Religious [illegible]		No Nandavanam - No [illegible] [illegible] for years [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] lands [illegible] 30 7 28

1.	Continued R Davidson Dy. Collr [illegible] P.D. No 1245
2.	Fully assessed R Davidson Dy. Collr [illegible]

Register No. 63
Sheet No. 65

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible]
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
12/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

No. 64

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Eravanjeri Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharmi [illegible] [illegible]	Dharmi Stanyam	Dry No. 788	2½ Culies ·06	〃	〃–1–2	No Tax [illegible] Nanda [illegible] vanam in the [illegible] [illegible]	–	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	F 1238 Nanda vanam	No Nandavanam has been mentioned of [illegible] in F 1226 & 1227. [illegible] of the lands [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Asst [illegible] 36 228

Fully assessed
R. [illegible]
[illegible]
14/10/[illegible]

Regd No. 64
Sheet No. 66

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
K. [illegible]
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible] [illegible]/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

No. 65

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Nalluchery Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharma dayam	Dry No. 365	5 3/8 Acres	·13	..	.. 2-7	[illegible]	Free	[illegible]	-	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	First [illegible] Nanda vanam
	Do. No. 467	8 3/32 Do.	·20	..	.. 3-10						
	Total	13 15/32 Do.	·33	..	.. 6-5						

The Nandavanam has been maintained for upwards of 20 years. Dry No. 365 is lately under Dry No. 467. There are 20 [illegible] and trees. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] fully assessed

A M[illegible]
Dy.
3 8 78

Fully assessed
R Saunders
J. Comr.
14 Nov/82 -

Checked

Regr. No. 65
Sheet No. 67

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

No. 66

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Iluppur Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1.	Dry No. 423	4 5/64 Julias	.10	..	..5-2			Survey Account of Fasli 1238	TC 228 Nanatuka Nandavanam	No Nandavanam [illegible] maintained. Laid waste for years. The [illegible] applied [illegible] lands	Fully assessed
Dharmia Aiyan	Dry No. 600	15 3/64 Dry	.03	..	..2..		Tree Gen. [illegible]				
	Dry No. 679	7 3/4 Dry	.20	..	..10-4						
	Total	13 21/32 Dry	.33	..	1-1-6	Not [illegible]					

Issued

TC 228

Regr. No. 66

Sheet No. 68

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
12/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

No. 67 Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Mooneevanangoody Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharma Dayam closed | Regr No 319 4 9/16 Culies .11 | –4–11 | Inam Sub Tax – [illegible] [illegible] | Survey Account of Fasli 1228 | [illegible] Nanda vanam | No Nanda vanam is maintained by [illegible] [illegible] Lands waste [illegible] The inam [illegible] [illegible] & charged at full assessment [illegible] 30 7 78 | Fully assessed R. [illegible] Malcom [illegible]

Regr. No. 67
Sheet No. 69

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
12/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

L/68

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Agara Arikaraukudal Mayavaram Taluq, Tanjore District

1. Dhamir Maryam closed	No/. Dry 15-2	1 13/32 gulies	·13	"	"-1-5	Not stated up	Inam not given -	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Free Nanda vanam	No Nandavanam etc maintained. Land waste for years. Inam charged not full assess & since parts of the land not ... Assessed 30...	Fully assessed R. Sanderson I. Comr 14. Nov/82

Regr. No. 68
Sheet No. 70

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பெப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

Register of Ináms in the village of Sittákkúr ~~Ukk~~kadei attached to Máttúr village (No 181) in the Taluk of Mayaveram in the District of Tanjore

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22.

[illegible] No 1. Dev[illegible]

Out of the land Standing in the name of the Temple.

	Saraff	V.	M.	[illegible]	Cent	Rs	A	P	Rs	A	P
Wet	1	"	1	35							
	2	"	1	89							
Total	"	8	24	2	"	72	16	4	3	16	4 — 3
Deduct kaval fees									"	10	5
Remainder									15	9	10

For the performance of Puja ~~&~~ to Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swámi at Sirukkadeyúr. The Services are kept up.

Tax free.— The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account of extra cultivation, Fasal or Tirva jasti, water tax &c.

Permanent

By Government in Fasli 1273. 1863–64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No 1319.
Communicated in Board's Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.
Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866.
Communicated in Board's Proceedings dated 29th August 1866
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No 75.
On condition that the revenue assigned in lieu of ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in the Temple.

Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swámi Abhishékakattalai

Sheet No. 66
Regr. No. 51

" "

Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swámi Abhishekakattalai

Sirukkadayúr village in Mayaveram Taluq

" "

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up.

W R Weld
Ag Hd Asst Collector

7 Dec
1054

Confirmed
13/11/71 [illegible]

[illegible]
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட ஜூன்
மாதம் 1985 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த ஜூன்
மாதம் 1985 வருடம்
[illegible]
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

Hair cover

Register of Inām[illegible] hamlet of Māttur Ukkādei attached to Sittākkhir village (No. 182) in the Taluk of Mayaveram, in the [illegible] of Tanjore

No. 52

Case No. 1

Dēvādāyam

Out of the lan[illegible]ing in the name of [illegible]

Saraff S. m. G.

Dry No. 14 „—„—2
69 „—„—13
4 „—1—45
16 „—1—54
1 „—„—37
83 „—1—16
6 „—„—38
7 „—„—82
9 „—„—35
2 „—„—11
Total „—6—49
Wet No. 1 „—2—7
„—„—8
„—„—7
„—„—93
4
5 „—1—8
6 „—1—2
2 „—„—8
2 „—„—42
28 „—2—69
29 „—3—
30 „—1—
31 „—„—
35 „—6—88
36 „—1—71
38 „—1—61
43 „—„—83
44 „—2—18
45 „—5—13
46 „—4—48
47 „—3—52
48 „—7—32
49 „—„—85
51 „—„—16
52 „—„—7
17 „—„—74
179 „—„—26
191 „—„—26
192 „—„—4
193 „—„—67
159 „—1—28
196 „—„—53
27 „—„—3
37 „—„—12
31 „—„—15
Total 2—14—42 17·97 74—7—2
Garden No. 9 „—„—30
10 „—„—15
14 „—„—20
31 „—„—18
189 „—„—30
—13 0·37 1—6—2
3—4 20·49 78—8—3 78—8—3
Deduct Kaval fees 3—1—4
Remainder 75—6—11

For the performance of Pûja &c. to Sri Amirthaghatēsvara Svāmi at Tirukkadeyūr. The Services are kept up.

Tax free. — The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account of extra cultivation, Fuesal or Tirva Jasti, water tax &c.

Permanent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863–64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319.
Communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.
Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75.
On condition that the revenue assigned in lieu of the ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in the temple.

Sri Amirthaghatēsvara Svāmi Abhishēkakkattlei

Sri Amirthaghatēsvara Svāmi Abhishēkakkattlei
Tirukkadeyūr village in Mayaveram Taluq

Sheet No. 67

Regr. No. 52

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up;

W.K. Weld

Ag Hd Asst Collector

Confirmed
12/11/77

I.D. No
1048

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible] மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible] மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Umambapuram (Continued)

Personal	Wet	2-37-½	12.93	7-[illegible]-2
	Dry	8-7-¼	3.20	4-[illegible]-6
	Garden	1-2-9½	1.07	3-6-3
	Tope	29-6	.73	14-10
		17-37-4	17.93	79-5-9

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees 2-12-6

Hereditary

Granted by Pratap Singh Raja in the 14th Shravana Sallasher[?] [illegible] Fasli 1143

Sikka 1 / Ekdavasa per Sikka W 10 D 12 2-2 with a road of 14 feet

Kristna Charrier

In Regr. of 1809 — Narayana Chari's son W 7-50 Dry 3 10-50
2. Raghunatha Chari's grandson W 5-83 5/10 D 2-50 8-33 5/10
3. Dada Chari's grandson W 5-83 5/10 D 2-50 8-33 5/10
4. Kristna Charrier's grandson W 5-83 5/10 D 2-50 8-33 5/10
5. Sundara[illegible] enjoy'd by purchase W 5 D 1-50 6-50
2-2

Vide Remark to Case No 2

Kuttalam
1 Chakrapaniyachari Iyer (1-4th) 33
2 Raghupatiyachari 17
3 Gopalachari 45
4 Narayanachari 43
5 Anantachari 25
6 Sitacharriyar 18
7 Samaran 40
8 Gopaladikshatar 58
9 Subbaraya 25

Great grandsons (5-6) Great Great grandsons of the original grantee

(1) Wife & daughters 1/3
(2) Wife 1/1
(3) Wife & sons 1/2
(4) Wife & son 1/2
(5) Wife & mother 1/2
(6) Wife & brother 1/2
(7) Wife & sons 1/3
(8) Sons 1/1
(9) Wife & son 1/2

The share entd. in the name of Dada Chari No 3 in Col. 14 was dispos'd of by his sons in the year Visva[?] [illegible] to the present holder No. [illegible] that No. 5 [illegible] was purchased by his father in the year Tarana of Venkata Dikshatar whom it had been sold in the year [illegible] by Kristna [illegible] that had purchased it of Sundara[?] Subbaji[?] named in Col. 14 in the year [illegible] [illegible] of all these purchases

For the small extent in the enjoyment of No. 9 vide remarks in Case No. regarding his adoption vide case No 2.

Wet	asst.	Dry	asst.	Garden	asst.	Total	asst.	Kaval		
11-4¼	7-[illegible]	15-9½	7-10	3-15	5	1-30-12¾	7-13-6	4-5	6-8	agreed to
11-4¼	7-7	15-9½	7-10	3-15	5	1-30-12¾	7-13-5	4-5		
36-½	10-6-4	22-2	11-2	7-9	9-8	2-25-11½	11-11-9	6-5		
35-¼	10-4-9	22-2	11-2	1-4½	4	2-21-13½	11-5-11	6-3		
[illegible]-4	7-[illegible]	15-9½	7-10	3-15	5	1-30-12¾	7-13-5	4-5		
[illegible]-9½	[illegible]	[illegible]	7-6	1½	3	1-27-1¾	7-6-10	4		
37-7¾	10-10-[illegible]	23-11½	13	[illegible]	7-8	2-28-5	11-14-2	6-7	1-8	Imposed
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	3-6	2-39-2½	13-3-2	7-4	1-8	do
[illegible]	[illegible]	1¾	[illegible]	2½	2-9	3-12	3-7	4½	2	do
[illegible]-37-5½	71	5-37	4-[illegible]	2-9½	[illegible]-3	17-37-4	79-5-9	2-12-6	9-10	4 7. 8

To be confirmed
W. Jay Gopala Thatha
Dy. Collr.

Confd. [illegible]
11/8/63

Umambapuram
Mayavaram Taluk
Tanjore Dt.

Regr. No. 278
Sheet No. 67

Case No 28

Personal [illegible]

Garden [illegible] 1-3-3½ 1.12 3-2-8

Tope 72 25-3½ / 7.23 29-[illegible] / 1-14-12½ 1.27 1-11-7 / [illegible]-25-¼ [illegible] 13-15-2

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees 2-4-3

Hereditary

Granted by Pratap Singh Raja on 17th of the [illegible] Sallasher[?] [illegible] Fasli 1177

Sikka 1 / Extent of Sikka W [illegible] D 8 1-8 with a road of 14 feet

Vaidialinga[?] Nadhandar[?]

In Regr. of 1809 — Sundara[?] Iyer's brother['s] grandson W [illegible] D 8 1-8

In the Paimash of F. 1238 1. Sundara[illegible] 2. Venkatesvara Dik[shatar] 3. Sivaram[illegible] 4. [illegible] W 10-10-½ Dry 3-11-4½ Gar. 1-4-8¾ 4-25-11½ the Sham[?] enjoying in equal shares

Tanjore
1 Sivaramadikshatar 45
2 Tyagarajadikshatar 50
3 Venkatesvara Dikshatar 12

(1-2) Brothers great grandsons
(3) Brother's great grandson adopted son of the original grantee of the party mentd. in No. 13

(1) Wife & daughters 1/3
(2) Wife & sons 1/2
(3) None

No. 1 & 2 in Col. 15 are [illegible] & No. 3 an [illegible] of No. 3 [illegible] [illegible] the share equal by between them. [illegible] a [illegible] his ¼ share [illegible] [illegible] the share of No. 4 by purchase [illegible] in the year [illegible] No. 3 of the [illegible] share of No. 4 [illegible] 2 of whose sons he had [illegible] exchange of his [illegible] share [illegible] the [illegible] in the year [illegible] named [illegible] one half of

the share of Venkatesvara Dikshitar [illegible] one of whose 2 sons he had it by purchase in the same year [illegible]

Wet	asst.	Dry	asst.	Garden	asst.	Total	asst.	Kaval		
8-[illegible]-10¾	44-8-[illegible]	2-23-15	[illegible]-4	35-¼	2-12-11	11-23-13¾	50-10-2	1-2-9	6-8	Agreed to
34-3¾	4-11	10-15	5-6	3-11½	4-8	1-8-13	5-5-2	3		
11-6	7-7	11-6½	8-2	5-9	7-1	1-33-3½	7-5-10	4-6	2	Imposed
10-10-1½	56-4-6	3-11-4½	4-2	1-4-8¾	3-8-8	14-25-14½	63-15-2	2-4-3	8-8	Ar. 7.8

For all these [illegible] Present holder No. 3 is adopted son of Venkatesvara Dikshatar['s] son. The adoption is said to have been made about 8 or 9 years ago by the widow [illegible] No. 4.

To be confirmed
W. Jay Gopala Thatha
Dy. Collr.

Confirmed
As one [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the whole [illegible] be [illegible]
[illegible]
V. Oleebarra[?]
A. Case[?]
11/8/63

1-4

Case No 29

[illegible]nal	Wet	2-8-8¾	2.14	11-11-6
	Dry	21-5	.32	10-9
	Garden	6-10	.17	3-5
	Tope	4-14½	.13	2-6
		2-39-3	2.96	12-1-2

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees 7-4

Hereditary

Granted by Pratap Singh Raja on the 14th Shravana Sallasher[?] [illegible] Fasli [illegible]

Sikka 1 / Extent of Sikka W 5 D 2

Venkata [illegible]

In Regr. of 1809 — 1. Venkata[illegible] W 5 D 1-50 [illegible]

Vide Case No 2

Tiruchitrakoram[?]
Narayana 46

the year [illegible] [illegible]

Wife & sons 2 / Brother 1 / [illegible] 1 / his sons 2/7

The present holder is [illegible] of the party named in [illegible] [illegible] who [illegible]

To be confirmed
2nd [illegible] at [illegible]
W. Jay Gopala Thatha

Case No 30

Regr. No. 278
Sheet No. 67
Case No 31

Dry [illegible]

	Wet
313	1-16-½
314	1-27-12½
315	1-5-33½
316	1-36-33½
417	1-33-2
418	-35-14½
423	1-11-14¾
424	1-38-14
426	-27-33½
	12-37-13½ 12.95 71-1-

No.	Wet	Apt	Kaval	R.	
1	2-7-3¼	12-11	-6-5	1-8-	Surpd.
2	1-9-2	6-11-10	-3-11	1-8-	do
3	1-9-2	6-11-10	-3-11		
4	2-18-33½	13-7-8	-7-9	1-	do
5	2-18-3½	13-7-8	-7-9	1-8-	do
6	-9-13	1-5-7	-9	-4-	do
7	1-9-2	6-11-10	-3-11	-12-	do
8	-36-5½	10-7-8	-6-7	1-4-	do
	12-37-13½	71-1-	2-8-	8-4-	77.8

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fee 2-8-

Not [illegible]

A Dafter Rokha granted by Tulaja Raja & regd. in 1809 directing the continuance of the Inam is produced. Extent of Grant W 7-8- with a ground of 14 feet. A remark is entd. in the Regr that as originally granted the Inam consisted of 1 W. [illegible] & -8- Dry but that in the Dafter Rokha the whole is entd. as Wet & is accordingly enjoyed as such.

Virathadraien

In Regr of 1809.
1 Venkatachalaien daughter's husband's brother's son of the grantee W- 9-
2. Kuppaien another daughter's husband's brother's son W- 6-50
3. Chavadi Padi Narai Darmam W- 5-
4 Subbaien W- 5-
5 Venkatachalaien both by purch. W- 2-50
-1-8-
The extent in No 3 is stated to have been granted for the charitable object named by No 1 & 2 ...

In F1238
1 Duraisamiaien A 5-6-4¾
2 Narayana Rao 2-18-3¾
3. Ramakrishnan 1-9-2
4. Subbaien 2-7-13½
5. Chavadi Darmam 1-35-5¾
12-37-13½

Kuttalam
1 Subbaien 75
2 Chetrapalaiyachari 33
3 Raghupatyachari
4 Chengabayammal 55
5 Kasibayammal 48
6 Rengavaien 50
7 Venkatasamiaien 20
8 Dharmamchavadi Manager. The village community.

(1) Wife, son 1, grandsons 2/6
(2) Wife and daughter 2/3
(3) Wife 1
(4) Daughter 5, do sons 6/11
(5) Daughter 1, her sons 2/3
(6) Wife, son ½
(7) Wife, sons 2/3

No 1 is brother's son of No 4 in col: 14 whose land he enjoys. The extent entd. in the name of No 1 in col: 14 together with 1½ Mas purchased by him from No 2 was inherited by his son Duraisamiaien No 1 in Col: 15 from whom in Vijaya the father of No 2 & 3 in col: 16 purchased the 5 Mas now in their enjoyment. Deed of sale produced. Of the rem. 5 Mas were disposed of by Duraisamiaien to Ramabhattar

being redeemed in 3 years, on failure of his forfeiting his claim thereto. The conditions not having been fulfilled the Inam is in the uninterrupted enjoyment of the mortgagee according to the terms of the bond. In the acct of F1238 the mortgage is referred to & the deed of mortgage is produced. The extent in the name of Kuppaien No 2 in col: 14 minus the 1½ Mas sold to Venkatachalaien - was disposed of

by his widow to Narayana Rao No 2 in Col: 15 from whom it passed on by agreement to his uncle's widow Chengabayammal No 4. The agreement regd. to is produced. [illegible] No 7 is brother's son of No 3 in Col: 15 who purchased the extent entd. in his name from No 5 in col: 14 in the year Durvara & deed produced. No 8 is owner of No 3 & 5 respectively in cols: 14 & 15

From whom No 5 (Kasibayammal) husband's brother Jivan Rao purchased them in the year Jaya. The deed of sale executed by Ramaien to is produced, whilst that executed to him is not. The remaining ½ Ma is in the enjoyment of No 6 with whose uncle it was mortgaged in the year Sarvara by Venkatachalaien No 1 in Col: 14, on condition of its

To be confirmed

Rajagopala Chetty

Confirmed

[illegible] regards 4 [illegible] [illegible] provided [illegible] be [illegible] made [illegible] for, if [illegible] it should be [illegible] as a [illegible]

M.C.

Case No 32

32 Do

	Wet
264	64 -3-2½
92	-5-37½
146	1-19-13
151	-28-7
152	-33-7½
153	1-37-11½
154	1-35-1½
289	2-6-11¼
290	1-31-10
	11-1-6¼ 11.03 60-9-3

do

Kaval fees 1-15-6

Hereditary

Granted by Pratapsingh Raja on the 12th Rabul Awal [illegible] in 1147

Sikka 1/1
Extent of Grant W 7-8- with a ground of 14 feet

Ramanujachari Dikshitar

No.	Wet	Apt	Kaval		
1	3-10-4	17-4-	-9-6	4-4-	Agrdt.
2	1-39-23¾	10-13-10	-6-6	2-12-	Surpd.
3	1-39-23¾	10-13-10	-6-6		
4	2-32-9	15-7-2	-8-	1-12-	do
5	1-4	5-8-5	-3-	-10-	do
	11-1-6¼	60-9-3	1-15-6	9-6-	47.8

[illegible] gift by Subbaien [illegible] are correct - the extent entd. in the name [illegible] is included in the Paimaish without [illegible] which in the next case [illegible] is entd. in the survey in the name of the other.

In Regr of 1809
1. Ramanujachari great grandson W- 5-7½
2 Sakkaram Dikshitar Vithal Pan -10-
3. Appu vanam -5-
4. Srinivasalu -2-50
5. Vedantachari -2-50 all enjd. by purch.
6. Vedantachari grandson of grantee -2-92½
1-8-

In F1238
Ramanujaien 3-10-4
2. Srinivasachari 1-4
3. Harikesaien 2-32-9
4. Dakkha Panditar & Tiyagarajaien 3-38-5¼
11-1-6¼

Kuttalam
1 Ramanujachari 70
2 Mahadevabhattar 25
3 Samibhattar 45
4 Appasastri 45
5 Lamarajar 42

(1) Grandson of the original grantee named the party 1st named in Col: 14. The relationship given in the Regr of 1809 appears to be a mistake for No 1 is said to be grandson & not great grandson of the grantee

(1) Wife's adopted son ½
(2) Wife's brother 2/3
(3) Brother's sons 2/3
(4) Wife's sons 2/3
(5) Wife's sons 2/3

Of the 10 Mas entd. in the name of Sakha Panditar in Col: 14 his grandson Dakkha Panditar (No 4 in col: 15) sold of 3¾ Mas in Jaya to Subba Panditar from whom Krishna Panditar brother of No 3 & uncle of No 2 purchased them in the year Krodhi, and the remaining 6¼ Mas were purchd. by Rama Panditar brother of the above Krishna Panditar in the year Nala & Kalayukti from the widow & sons of Dakkha

Panditar - deeds produced. No 3 is son of No 4 in Col: 14 and No 2 in Col: 15. The extent enjoyed by No 4 is that entd. in Col: 15 in the name of Harikesaien or in those of No 3 & 5 in Col: 14. When or how from these individuals it passed on to Harikesaien is not known. His name appears in the Paimaish. From his daughter it was purchased in the year Vilambi by one Lakshmi Ammal from whom *

To be [illegible]

---

33 Do

	Wet
131	1-8-12
134	64 -6-7½
136	64 -3-23¼
90	1-1-5½
92	-5-13
95	-4-14
151	-25-7½
152	-38-
152	-9-4½
288	-29-13½
291	2-25-9¼
292	2-39-9½
304	1-29-8
	12-23-4 12.70 69-12-2

do

(1) [illegible] whose son No 6 [illegible] which it is [illegible] in the name of [illegible] Paimaish [illegible]

(3) The extents specified in the names of Vedantachari & Ramanujachari respectively in the 2 Sikkas [illegible] enjoys 11-2½ out of the extent specified in Rangasamiaiengar's name in col: 15. The remaining 1 2-23-½ was disposed of by Rangasamiaiengar in the year Vikari (4)

Kaval 2-9-3 do

Granted by Pratapsingh Raja on the 10th Rabil awal [illegible] in 1151

The Sikka is now produced. The same is recorded in the Regr of 1809. Extent originally granted W 8- with [illegible]

No.	Wet	Apt	Kaval	R.
1	-16-3½	7-11-9	-5-3	
2	-16-3	7-11-10	-5-3	
3	-37-9½	5-2-6	-3-6	3-12
4	-37-7¼	5-2-6	-3-6	
5	-27-9½	5-2-6	-3-6	
6	2-32	5-5-5	-8-	7-
7	-4-7½	1-4-	-3	2-
8	-29-13½	4-1-7	-2-	
9	-16-	3-6	-1-2	

[illegible]

(1) A descendant of the original grantee are in the enjoyment of No 1 & 5. The extent entd. in the Paimaish in the name of Rangasamiaiengar consists of what are entd. in Col: 14 in the names of Vedantachari his father, & Ramanujaiengar & the 2 heirs of No 2 & 3 already stated [illegible]

In Regr of 1809
1. Vedantachari great grandson W- 35
2. Ganapathi Vadhyar W- 7-15
3. Appu Aiyan -7-15
4. Subbaien -2-30
5. Subbaraien -5-50
6. Ramanujaien -3-75
No 2 & [illegible]

In F1238
1. Rangasamiengar 2-39-3
2. Rangaiengar -9-4½
3. Tulasi Aiyer -29-13½
4. Subbaramaien 3-4-7½
5. Ganapathi Vadhyar 2-32-4½
6. Chinnasami 1-18-5¾
7. Subbaraya Vadhyar 1-18-6
12-28-4

(2) was included in the Survey in the name of the Govt.

Kuttalam
1 Appadurai Vadhyar 60
2 Subramanya Vadhyar 41
3 Lakshmiammal 55
4 Appasami Vadhyar 30
5 Krishnamurthi Vadhyar 85
6 Krishnaiyar 52
7 Janakiammal 35
8 Venkataramaiyan 15
9 Ramanujachari 70

(1) Son 1
(2) Mother 1
(3) None
(4) Wife, son ½
(5) Wife, son ½
(6) Wife, sons 2/3
(7) Daughter 1, do daughter ½
(8) Wife 1
(9) Wife 1, adopted son ½

No 1 in col: 16 also assigned. Chinna Ganapathi Vadhyar is the same as the party No 5 in Col: 15 - No 2 is grandson of No 4 - No 3 is daughter-in-law, & No 4 & 5 are grandsons of No 5 & who is the same as No 2 & father of No 3 in col: 14. The extents entd. in that col. in the names of Thiruvenkata [illegible]

To be confirmed

Rajagopala Chetty

Confirmed & recommended

M.C.
14/8/62

33

Case No. 1 (Continued)

Deviádayam

Wet

95	„	„	43	52		
112	„	„	20	52		
113	„	„	45	48		
114	„	1	5	32		
117	„	„	24	56		
118	„	„	47	44		
123	„	7	65	32		
124	„	7	7	48		
125	„	„	90	32		
126	„	1	99	36		
128	„	2	90	16		
129	„	3	90	16		
Total	11	14	4	„	77	35

Garden

55	„	„	65	4
56	„	„	48	„
60	„	„	34	48
70	„	„	11	20
72	„	„	15	8
120	„	„	39	20
131	„	„	18	60
133	„	„	15	8
139	„	„	22	40
143	„	„	7	36
144	„	„	7	36
145	„	„	72	12
146	„	„	5	„

Case No. 1 (Continued)

Deviádayam

Garden

148	„	„	97	56	
150	„	„	19	12	
Total	„	4	79	„	1 57
Grand Total	13	15	40	„	90 44

(Signed) M. R. Weld,
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collr.

(True copy)

Inám Commissioner

Compd. by A.V.

Register of Inams [illegible] Village of Panjákkai (No 185) in the [illegible] of Mayavaram in the District of Tanjore

No 54

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Case No 1. Out of the land standing in the name of the Temple.

Dévadáyam

		V.	M.	G.	A.	cent	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
Saraff	Wet 133	..	5	9	1	68	6	2	1			
	Dry 149	..	4	66	1	52	1	7	6			
	Total	..	9	75	3	20	7	9	7	7	9	7

Deduct Kaval fees .. 5 ..

Remainder 7 4 7

For the performance of Pujá &c to Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swami at Sirukkadéyur. The Services are kept up

Tax Free. The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account of extra cultivation or water tax Fussal or Tirva Jasti &c.

Permanent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863 AD.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No 1319 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No 75 On condition that the revenue alienated in lieu of the ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious Services in the Temple.

Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swámi Abhishékakkattalai

" "

Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swámi Abhishékakkattalai

Sirukkadéyur village in Mayavaram Taluq

" " "

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3. free so long as the services are well kept up.

M R Weld

Ag Hd Asst Collector

Confirmed

T.D.R.

1068

Sheet No. 69

Register No. 54

Case No. 2. Dévadáyam Out of the land standing in the name of the Temple.

Saraff

Wet

No 69	..	..	23
70	..	3	9[illegible]1
71	..	5	[illegible]
7[illegible]			[illegible]
125	..	..	15
126	..	5	38
127	..	..	47
12[illegible]	..	5	55
1[illegible]	..	..	31
1[illegible]	..	4	54
1[illegible]2	..	..	90
13[illegible]	..	9	14
1[illegible]	..	..	16
[illegible]			51
1[illegible]	..	..	23
1[illegible]	..	1	6
1[illegible]	..	6	28
1[illegible]	..	2	43
1[illegible]	..	7	75
[illegible]	..	6	62
[illegible]	..	4	4
[illegible]6	..	2	51
[illegible]8	..	..	4
[illegible]9	..	..	2
[illegible]0	..	..	88
[illegible]1	..	..	65
[illegible]2	..	8	17
1[illegible]	..	6	[illegible]1
14	..	3	31
15	..	2	62
Total	4	14	56

31. 25 98 6 11

Dry

125	..	..	74
12[illegible]	..	..	22
1[illegible]	..	5	39
134	..	..	35
1[illegible]	..	..	48
1[illegible]	..	2	..
Total	..	9	18

3. 2 2 14 10

Garden

1[illegible]	..	..	64
2[illegible]	..	3	16
2[illegible]	..	..	35
2[illegible]	..	1	21
1[illegible]4	..	..	7
1[illegible]	..	2	92
1[illegible]	..	..	3
1[illegible]8	..	..	15
1[illegible]	..	..	1
1[illegible]	..	..	34
14[illegible]	..	..	22
141[illegible]	..	..	30
1[illegible]			
Total	..	9	34

3. 8 4 4 1

Topo

1[illegible]	..	..	12
13[illegible]	..	..	23
	..	..	21
	..	..	5
	..	..	62

0. 21 3 .. 10

108 9 8.

Kaval fees 4 1 ..

Remr 104 8 8

For the performance of Pújá &c to Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swami at Sirukkadéyur. The services are kept up.

—— Do —— Do Do —— Do

Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swami Arthajáma Kattalai

" "

Sri Amirthaghatésvara Swámi Ardhajámakkattalai

Do " " "

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3. free so long as the services are well kept up.

M R Weld

Ag Hd Asst Collector

Do 7/11/71 [illegible]

T.D.R.

1069

[illegible] எடுத்துக்

[illegible] வருடம் 1989

செப்டம்பர் மாதம் 1989

மாதம் 1989 [illegible]

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

[illegible] of Inams in the hamlet of Songānthi attached to Kālamanalur

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 21 22

Out of [illegible] land Standing in the name of the Temple.

Dry — Surf. — A. C. — Rs. A P — Rs. A. P.
11 — — 72
41 — 1 — 41
48 — — 93
72 — 2 — 69
73 — 2 — 79
79 — 1 — 28
87 — 2 — 98
167 — — 27
181 — 1 — 4
Total — 15 — 16 5· 19-11-9
Wet — — 88
1 — 3 — 26
1 — — 53
— 5 — 53
3 — 3 — 82
24 — 3 — 25
29 — 1 — 14
3 — 1 — 1
32 — 8 — 66
33 — 1 — 4
37 — 2 — 77
45 — 5 — 33
46 — — 27
[illegible]
107 — 3 — 73
[illegible]
173 — 6 — 56
174 — 2 — 71
[illegible]
178 — 5 — 45
179 — — 96
180 — — 4
181 — 6 — 76
182 — 6 — 76
183 — — 15
184 — 8 — 98
185 — 7 — 61
186 — — 39
187 — 5 — 69
188 — 8 — 11
189 — — 16
Total 8-17-29 58 60 238-13-2
Garden 87 — — 11
89 — 1 — [illegible]
Total — 1 — 26 41 1-8-5
Grand total 9-13-70 2 238-1-4 250-1-4
Deduct Kaval fees 9-14-8
Remainder 240-2-8

Sheet No. 70
Regr. No. 75

For the performance of Puja &c to sri Amirthaghatēsvara svāmi at Tirukkadéyūr
The services are kept up.

Tax free — The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government [illegible] of extra cultivation, Fussal or Tirva Jasti, water tax &c.

Permanent.

By Government in Fusli 1273 — 1863-64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319.
Communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd ~~July~~ 1863.
Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th ~~August~~ 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75.
On condition that the revenue assigned in lieu of the ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in the Temple.

Sri Amirthaghatēsvara svāmi Abhishēkakattlai.

Sri Amurthaghatēsvara Svāmi Abhishēkakkattlai
Tirukkadeyūr village in Mayaveram Taluq

"
"
"

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV Clause 3 free, so long as the services are well kept up.

W.M. Weld
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector.
7 Dec 1876.

Confirmed
21/11/77

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்