No. 22

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Thiruvaduthurai Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Regr. No. 22
Sheet No. 24

1.	Dharmadayam	Dry S. No. 1758	1 41/64 gulies	.04	..-1-10	For [illegible] Tiruvaduthurai Nandavanam [illegible]	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Free Devasthanam Nandavanam	Tiruvaduthurai Nandavanam	As Nandavanam has been maintained for upwards of 50 yrs. Land under the charge of the [illegible] [illegible] 20. [illegible]	Fully assessed W. T. Whiteside Inam Commr. Oct 17. 1862.
2.	Dharmadayam	Do. S. No. 1889	6 gulies	.15	..-..-4	Tiruvaduthurai Nandavanam [illegible]	Do.	Free Devasthanam Nandavanam	Tiruvaduthurai Nandavanam		
	Do.	Do. S. No. 1914	10 3/4 gulies	.27	..-11-6	For [illegible]	Do.	Do.	Nandavanam [illegible] Tiruvaduthurai Nandavana [illegible]	Do. Inam has been held [illegible] for upwards of 50 yrs. The [illegible] 2 [illegible]	Confirmed W. T. Whiteside Inam Commr. Oct 17-1862. T. D. No. 1219
	Do.	Do. S. No. 2232	38 3/32 gulies	.95	2-11-6		Do.	Do.			
		S. No. 2234	31 3/64 gulies	.79	2-2-9						
		S. No. 2236	26 13/64 gulies	.65	1-11-11						
		S. No. 2238	32 5/16 gulies	.81	2-3-8						
		S. No. 2239	22 47/64 gulies	.57	1-8-3						
		S. No. 2240	29 35/64 gulies	.74	1-15½-5						
		S. No. 2242	40 33/64 Do.	1.01	2-8-6						
		S. No. 2317	2 5/16 Do.	.06	..-2-6						
		S. No. 2319	1¼ Do.	.03	..-1-4						
		S. No. 2320	4 63/64 Do.	.12	..-5-4						
		Total	229 9/32 Do.	5.73	15-7-2						
3	Do.	Dry S. No. 2541	8¼ gulies	.21	..-6-10	For [illegible] Tiruvaduthurai Nandavanam [illegible]	Do.	Free Devasthanam Nandavanam	Tiruvaduthurai Nandavanam	As Nandavanam has been maintained for upwards of 20 yrs. Land under the charge of [illegible] full assessment	Fully assessed W. T. Whiteside Inam Commr. Oct. 17-1862.

18

3 cases.

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி [illegible]

No 23

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Thiruvalangadu Devasthanam Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Regr. No 23
Sheet No. 25

c	Dêvâdâyam	Garden No 527	53 17/64 Gulies	1·33	4-8-3	For the Support of the Pagoda	Proper	Permanent	Survey Account of Fasli 1238					
		Do No 528	48 21/64 Gulies	1·21	3-13-6	of Shivatarniaswamy of the village, now maintained								
1		Garden No 788	2 39/64 Gulies	·06	..-3-5	Do	Do	Do	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Iinam	Devasthanam Nandavanam	Tiruvalangâdu Natarangêsvara Svâmi Kovil Nandavanam [illegible] Tiruvavaduturai Madam Pandara Sannidhi.	This Nandavanam has been held [illegible] for support of a Pagoda of Natarangaswami for upwards of 50 years. The enquiry [illegible] [illegible] 22-7-78	Confirmed W. Whiteside Inam Commr Oct. 17-1882 T.D. No 1215
		Garden No 928	8 31/64 Gulies	·21	..-11-3	Do	Do	Do	Survey Account of Fasli 1238					

1 acre

78

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட தி. [illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
பிப்ரவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையெழுத்து
1/3/90

Reg. No 126

Ukkadai Tirukottankadi (as per original Register)

Extract from the Register of the Village of Vukkadai Terukalcungudy or Terukottangudi in Mayaveram Taluk. Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 126

Sheet No. 24

1 Inam Register No 126

Case No 1

2 Religious

3 Field No 292 Dry Tope

4 Acres 0-31 $\frac{35}{64}$

5 Acres 0-79

6 —0—

7 Rs. As. P. 0-9-7

8 From enquires made, it appears that the land in question was granted as Sarva Inam in support of Sri Venkateswara perumal Pagoda & that the income derived therefrom was being appropriated for the expense of keeping up of the charity of performing certain Pujas or worships, till the year 1861 or 62 and afterwards for the keeping of a watershed called "Tannipandal" [illegible] till the date of attachment.

9 Tax free.

10 It is stated that it is a permanent grant. But no document is forth coming.

11 The Inam appears to have been granted about 70 or 80 years ago by the then Maharajah of Tanjore at the request of one Bava Pundithen.

12 No document is forth coming. But from enquiries made on the subject, it appears that the Inam was granted for the purpose stated in Column 8.

13 Sri Venkatesaperumal Pagoda.

14 Not known.

15 In the Survey account of Fusly 1238, & in the Ayakat account, the Inam is entered in the name of Sri Venkatesaperumal Pagoda.

16 Sri Venkatesa perumal. Chidambara Setty in charge.

17 Tirukottangudi Mayaveram Taluk.

18 It is the same Pagoda for which the land was originally assigned.

19 0.

20 The original grantee.

21 In the list received from the Inam Commissioner's Office dated 23rd February 1866, it is stated that the Inam is to be attached for one year for default of the Inamdar to appear.

The total extent of Inam land in the village is acres two & odd of which Acres 1-50 were granted in support of the charity of the watershed or Tannipandal & the rest (Acres 0-79) in support of the performance of the Pujahs in the said Pagoda.

Chidambara Chetty in charge of the Charity filed a statement before the Deputy Collector of the Inam Commission in the year 1863 regarding the Tannipandal Inam and has received a Title deed from the Inam Commissioner's Office imposing a Quit rent of eight annas for the same.

It appears also that the said Chidambaram Chetty filed at the same time a statement regarding the Pagoda Inam before the Deputy Collector of the Inam Commission in 1863 and requested orders for the proceeds of this land also being appropriated in support of the Charity of the keeping of the Tannipandal. But no order appears to have been passed regarding it.

The extent of land entered in Column 4. was held under attachment on 8th April 1866 in accordance with instructions from the Inam Commissioner.

Chidambara Chetty incharge of the Inam failed to appear and claim the Inam for a long time. But this being a Religious or Charitable Inam, enquiries were made regarding it through the Tahsildar of Mayaveram according to the instructions contained in the Inam Commissioner's letter to the

Collector of Tanjore, dated 10th June 1867 No 609: and the result is embodied in this report. Chidambaram Chetty also filed a statement in this office in October 1867 after a notice was served on him.

No more information than what this report contains could be obtained regarding the particulars of this Inam either from the Records or from Chidambara Chetty.

As this is an Inam in support of a Religious institution, and as it has been recognised as such for thirty nine years certain, & reputedly for a much longer period, and as the holder of the Inam Chidambara Chetty has consented to keep up the building of the temple and to perform the accustomed Poojahs, I have ordered the land to be released from attachment, & recommend that a title deed in the name of Sri Venkatesa Perumal, be delivered to Chidambara Chetty.

One Venkat Row of Terukkadeyur, presented a petition in November 1867 stating that the Inam was granted at the request of his grand father, Balaji Pandithar and that his grand father, his father, and after him, he himself were looking after the charity and that he is the heir of the Original founder and requested that the land should be settled in his name & made over to his possession. From the report of the Tahsildar, it appears that the management of the affairs of the Pagoda were not in the hands of the Petitioner for the last 15 years and that Chidambara Chetty has been in trust all the time. and that the lands were brought under attachment while under his (Chidambara Chetty's) management. Venkat Row himself has given a deposition stating that he had the management of the lands till 15 years back; that he had neglected it for the last 15 years and that he does not know by whom it is now managed and that he has no document whatever with him in support of his statements. Mr Parker has therefore passed an order (19th December 1867) that Chidambara Chetty should be recognized as the trustee of the temple property.

Exd.
N.A.M.
23/6/68

Caveripatam
23d May 1868.

L K Saunders
Dy Inam Asst Collector

Confirmed in present tenure to the Pagoda for the support of which the Inam was originally granted. The T D will be sent to the Colr. who will be requested to deliver it to the Manager after he has rebuilt the Pagoda & restored the worship.

J W Main
29.6.68

Fair Copied

7

TDN

T.D. No
981.

[illegible] of Inams in the village of Ukkadai[illegible] (No. 608) in [illegible]

Settled on Supplemental Register ~~[illegible]~~ W. M. [illegible] 29.6.68

Regr. No. 126
Sheet No. 25

	Tope 92 —31-8½ ·79 —9-7 Inam is about [illegible] water. [illegible] average rate [illegible]	The proceeds of this [illegible] appropriated to the expense of Venkatesa perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is in ruins & the worship ceased to be rendered about [illegible] years ago. The proceeds are appropriated to the Water pandal chavadi in the village. Not known No documents	Venkatesa perumal		No. 1238 Venkatesa perumal Sarvamaniam tope —31-8½		The [illegible] the Inam belonging [illegible] ruins & no worship has been rendered for about 40 years [illegible] rebuild the Pagoda. If any [illegible] the Inam is therefore recommended [illegible] 30/1/4 Rajagopala Chari [illegible]	To be allowed for one year & give the parties another opportunity. P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 5th June 1863
2 Charitable 3	Tope 7 —17-1 9 —33-½ 10 —10-8 1-20-9½ 1·51 1-2-5 at the same rate [illegible] [illegible] is [illegible] 55 [illegible] changed [illegible] 24-12 and [illegible] 75.	Do Do Do Do Do Do of Water pandal chavadi in the village. The building is in a decayed state. A temporary shed has therefore been erected where water is distributed. Arrangements [illegible] are being made for rebuilding	Water pandal	No. 1216 Water pandal Chavadi Maniam tope —1-74	No. 1238 Chavadi Sarvamaniam tope 1-20-9¾ No. 10 is vacant space in the [illegible]	Water pandal chavadi at Ukkadai [illegible]kattankudi Maintainer Chitambara chetti	To be confirmed & continued with the [illegible] 30/1/4 Rajagopala Chari Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 599 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 5 June [illegible]

Regr. No. 127 Register of Inams in the village of Valur (No. 609) in the above Magânam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 127

1 Charitable	Tope 507 —16-14 ·42 —6-8 at the [illegible] 8-8-2 per [illegible] being the average rate in the village	Do Do Do Do Do Do of Kadirvelu Pillayar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is in a decayed state, the roofing having gone [illegible]. Worship however is said to be rendered & attempt is being made to extend the building.	Kadirvelu Pillayar	No. 1216 Pillayar Pagoda tope —1-30	No. 1238 Pillayar Pagoda Sarvamaniam tope —16-14	Kadirvelu Pillayar at Valur Worshipper Sundara gurukkal	To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered 1/1/62 Rajagopala Chari Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 600 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 5 June
2 Do	Tope 53 —32-6½ 533 —2-6½ 534 1—4 1-35 — 1·87 2-4-6 Sy. to Sempaliyam [illegible] Perumal's Pagoda [illegible] circumstances is recommended that the [illegible] could [illegible] 31/1/62 Rajagopala Chari Dy. Collr.	Do Do Do Do Do of Kripasamudra perumal's Pagoda in the village of Sempaliyam. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Kripasamudra perumal The area [illegible] 1·59-3-33 [illegible] There is no [illegible] in the Inam to be charged	No. 1216 Sempaliyam Nainiyar [illegible] —4—	No. 1238 Valur mira [illegible] Sempaliyam Kripasamudra [illegible] Sarvamaniam tope 1-35 No. 533 is [illegible] portion of the tope vacant, has site for house	Kripasamudra perumal at Sempaliyam Trustee Narayana Sammangar Subbaraya [illegible]	The entry in the account of 1238 [illegible] District. It does not state plainly that the tope is Inam. The word [illegible] is used as prefix to the name of the Idol, but it is very probable that this word is used because the Swami holds [illegible] land also in the village. The tope is enjoyed as Inam & in acct. of 1216 a Sarvamaniam tope is entd. in the name	T. D. No. 601 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 5 June 63

Regr. No. 128 Register of Inams in the village of Vallalarajagaram (No. 109) in the Tirumal[illegible] Magânam Mayavaram Taluk

Regr. No. 128

1 Do	Tope 965 1-2-12 1·07 1-5-7 The [illegible] in the Inam is [illegible] the [illegible]	Do Do Do Do Do Do of Lekshmi narayana Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Lekshmi narayana Swami	No. 1216 [illegible] tope (The above is [illegible] of the tope [illegible] its situation [illegible]) —2-55	No. 1238 Sri Lekshmi narayana Swami Sarvamaniam tope 1-2-12	Lekshminarayana Swami at Vallalarajagaram Worshipper Srinivasa aiyangar	In 1216 [illegible] the Inam [illegible] in the name of the Swami [illegible] 1238 [illegible] enjoyment from the beginning [illegible] recommended [illegible] to the Pagoda 1/1/62 Rajagopala Chari Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 602 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 5 June 63
2 Do	Tope 973 —38-12 978 1-27-2 1013 —14-7 1132 —28-15 3-29-4 3·73 4-11-3 [illegible] only [illegible] in value [illegible] 1/1/62 Rajagopala Chari Dy. Collr.	Do Do Do Do Do Do of Visvanadha Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered	Visvanadha Swami	No. 1216 Iswaran Pagoda tope —8-8½	No. 1238 Sri Visvanadha Swami Sarvamaniam tope 3-29-4	Visvanadha Swami at Vallalagaram Worshipper Dakshinamurti gurukkal	In the acct. of 1216 the extent of Iswaran Pagoda Sarvamaniam tope is entd. as [illegible] But as the Pagoda is not named & there being now no other [illegible] Pagoda in the village, it cannot be ascertained whether the extent in 1216 pertained to this or only one of the [illegible] the aggregate of the present extents of the tope	T. D. No. 603 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 5 June 63
3 Do	Tope 1059 —11-4 ·28 —5-8	Do Do Do Do Do Do of Pillayar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is [illegible] & the building [illegible]	Pillayar	[illegible] 1216	No. 1238 Pillayar Sarvamaniam tope —11-4	Pillayar Vallalarajagaram Worshipper Sarabheswara gurukkal	To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is regularly rendered [illegible] Rajagopala Chari [illegible]	T. D. No. 604 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 5 June
Do	Do [illegible] —8-11	Do Do of Mariyamman [illegible] in the village [illegible]	Mariyamman	Do	No. 1238 Mariyamman Sarvamaniam [illegible]	Mariyamman at Vallalarajagaram Worshipper [illegible] Pattan	To be confirmed and continued [illegible]	

Regr. No. 128

Case No. 5

2-20-3½ 2·65 3-5-7

[illegible] of Amudailammai in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition

Are not known — not known

Ammudailamman

No. 7 [illegible] Amudailamman Pagoda manium tope 10-5

[illegible] Amudailamman Saravamanium 2-26-3½

Amudailammai at Vallalingaram

Worshipper Kumarasami Gurukkal

To be confirmed

[illegible] Mayavaram [illegible] 17 [illegible]

Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst. 5 June [illegible]

Case No. 6

Do. Tope 1252 0-17-8 44 0-8-10

Do. do. of Kailasanathaswami in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & worship is rendered

do. do. do. do.

Kailasanathaswami

No. 7238 Kailasanathaswami Sarvamanium tope 0-17-8

Kailasanathaswami at Vallalingaram

Worshipper Kumarasami Gurukkal

Vide remarks in Case No. 2

To be confirmed

14/3/62 Mayavaram [illegible]

T. D. No. 607

Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst. 5 June [illegible]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22

Case No. 7

Dimal. Tope 944 2-34-12 945 6-34-9 9-29-5 9·73 12-4-3

For the personal benefit of the holders

do. do.

Endowment

the Brahmins of the village

No. 7216 Brahmin [illegible] Sarvamanium tope 1-8-84

No. 7238 Brahmin Sarvamanium tope 9-29-5

Vallalingaram

1. Venkatasubba Sastri 50 0-22-8¾
2. Muttusamier 10 1-32-14½
3. Venkatachalaier 25 0-24-1½
4. Swamiaier 25 0-25-8¾
5. Dharmaier 73 0-25-8¾
6. Vaidyanathaier 25 0-24-5
7. Kuppusamiaier 11 0-24-4½
8. Sundarappaier 18 0-7-14
9. Subbaramaier 25 0-15-1¼
10. Venkatasubbaier 55 0-9-4½
11. Annasami Sastri 70 0-14-8¾
12. Nedapari Sastri 25 0-14-8¾
13. Lakshminarayana Sastri 15 0-6-1¾
14. Sundarappaier 42 0-31-13
15. Narayanasamier 12 0-11-9
16. Venkataramaier 38 0-16-10½
17. Velayuda Pillai 79 0-10-5
18. Sambasiva Pillai 25 0-28-9¼
19. Agniramaier 15 0-5-6¾

The names do not appear in any of the former accounts nor relationship can be traced

(1) Wife 1 Son 3 Brother ⅕ (2) Mother ½ (4) Wife 1 Son ½ (5) Wife 1 Son 2 Brother ¼ (13) Wife 1 Son 5 Daughter [illegible] Grandson ½ (14) (17) Wife 1 Son ½ Brother ¼ (8) Brother ½ (9) Wife ½ Brother ½ (10) Daughter [illegible] (11) Brother ½ (12) (13) Brother ½ (14) (15) Wife 1 Son ¾ Brother ½ (18) (19) Wife 1 Wife 1 Son ½ Daughter (19) Brother ½ [illegible] 3

As the names of original holders are not specified in any accounts it cannot be correctly ascertained how many [illegible] have been [illegible] the parties in Col. 16 are said to be [illegible] & they have always been supposed to be the descendants of the original Inamdars with the exception of No. 14 & 14 who are said to have purchased their shares

The confirmed as [illegible] I.O. agreed to by the original holders & [illegible] imposed on the claims referred to Col. 10 — [illegible] 1-8

17/3/62 Mayavaram [illegible]

Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst. 5 June [illegible]

T. D. No. 608

Total Tope [illegible] 18-13-14½ 23-2-1

Total [illegible] 18-13-14½ 23-2-1

Regr. No. 129 Register of Inams in the village of Nallattukkudi (No. 2) in the Mayavaram Mayavaram Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Case No. 1

[illegible] 1-25 [illegible]

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Parimala Ranganathaswami's Pagoda in the village of Tiruvilandur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Parimala Ranganathaswami

[illegible] Sarvamanium tope 13-0-26

[illegible] for the [illegible] Sarvamanium tope 1-25-14

Parimala Ranganathaswami at Tiruvilandur

Trustees Ramanujam [illegible] Pillai Gopala Krishnachariar

[illegible] No individual particulars being given it cannot be ascertained how much the extent of [illegible] of each of the 5 Pagodas now enjoying Inams. The aggregate of the present extents of the Inams however does not exceed that entered in the [illegible]. It is therefore recommended therefore that the Inams may be confirmed as they are

27/2/62 Mayavaram [illegible]

T. D. No. 609

Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst. 5 June 6[illegible]

Regr. No. 129

Case No. 2

Do. Tope 145 4-1-8 148 3-34-11½ 155 0-26-¼ 8-22-14 8·56 6-9-9

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Sabhanatesvara's Pagoda at Chidambaram. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Sabhanatesvara

Brahmins

No. 7238 Chidambaram Sabhanayaka Sarvamanium tope 8-22-4

Sabhanatesvara at Chidambaram

Manager Subba [illegible]

Vide remarks on the preceding case

To be confirmed

27/2/62 Mayavaram [illegible]

T. D. No. 610

Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst. 5 June 6[illegible]

Case No. 3

Do. Tope 43 1-14-6½ 1·36 1-0-10

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Mayuranathaswami's Pagoda in the village of Mayavaram. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Mayuranathan

Brahmins

No. 7238 Mayuranathaswami Sarvamanium [illegible] 1-14-6½

Mayuranathaswami at Mayavaram

Manager [illegible]

Subbamadir

Vide remarks on the 1st case

To be confirmed

T. D. No. 611

Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst.