Register of [illegible] Lands [illegible] Sáttanúr Koil Sitti attached to Sáttanúr village (No 155) in the Taluk of Mayaveram, in the District of Tanjore

Out of the land alienated [illegible] name of the Temple

410 – 4 – 37
411 – 8 – 10
Total 41 – 18 – 1 12 . 68 4 – 3 – 3 42 – 3 – 3
Deduct Kirval fees — 1 — 10 – 8
Remainder 10 – 8 – 7

For the performance of Puja &c. to Sri' Nitiyavinothésvara Svámi at Sáttanúr. The Services are kept up.

Tax free. The Grant is not an assignment of Land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government Subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account of extra cultivation Fussal or Tirva jásti, water [illegible].

Permanent

By Government in Fusli 1273 1863–64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.
Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75.
On conditions that the revenue assigned in lieu of the [illegible] money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in [illegible].

Sri' Nitiyavinothésvara Svâmi.

"

Sri' Nitiyavinothésvara Svâmi.

Sáttanúr village in Mayaveram Taluq.

"

"

Sheet No. 50
Regr. No 39

The assignment of Land revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up.

Dy Actg Collector

Confirmed
25/10/77

I Dep
1052

1985 1987

Agharavilanagar

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Agharavilanagar Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Regr. No. 9
Sheet No. 11

1.	Deva dayam	Dry No. 1154	13 50/100 Gulies	·35	4-6-4 For the supply of flowers to the Pagoda of Kovanda Raja Perumal at Agharavilanagar now maintained	Annually of Fasli	F. 1238 / [illegible] bit / Nandavanam	Agharavilanagar Nandavanam [illegible] Krishna Iyengar & Ranga Iyengar	This Inam appears in the survey account of F. 1238 as Nandavanam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 50 years [illegible] Title [illegible] Rule III [illegible] [illegible] 1874	Confirmed W. T. Whitmore Inam Commr Sep. 19 - 1862 T.D.Ck. / 1198

[illegible]

****
ப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
சப்பனிட்டு முடித்த ~~[illegible]~~
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible]
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம் 2/3/90
****

Sheet No. 11

Mayavaram T.K

Reg. No. 43 — Register of Inams in the village of Edutthekkatti (No. 65) in the Tiruvidakkali Mâgânam [illegible] Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 43

1. Relig. endt. Dry
97 — 27-12½
102 — 26-2½
103 — 16-11½
96 — 7-12½
95 — 5-1¼
2-3-8½ 2·09 3-2-3
Tope
92 — 9-5¼
93 — 18-15¼
99 — 24-9¾
101 — 22-3¼
1-35-12 1·89 2-13-7
Houses built on the [illegible] in Nos. 92-93-94-95-96-97
3-39-4½ 3·98 5-15-10
3 — 11-9½ ·29 — 6-9
4-10-14 4·27 6-6-7

The proceeds of this Inam & tope are appropriated to the expense of Neivan Darmachavadi in the village.

Free not of tax known — not known — no documents — Neivan Chavadi

No. 4226 Chavadi tope V 8.50

No. 4238 Chavadi Sarvamaniam tope 4-10-10½ The extent was 3 [illegible] said to be [illegible] [illegible]

The average [illegible] the Aiyen lands of the village plus 10 P.C. is 35-17-17 P.C. The [illegible] in the Inam [illegible] charged [illegible] 6-9 and at [illegible] 4

Neivan Darmachavadi at Edutthekkatti
Trustee
[illegible] Mudali

To be confirmed [illegible] the payment of the [illegible] on the Inam
25/1/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.

T. D. No. 492

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao Asst.
30 Jan 1863
[illegible]

Reg. No. 44 — Register of Inams in the village of Sattanur (No. 657) in the above Mâgânam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 44

1. Rel. endt. Dry
246 — 13-4¾ ·33 — 8-9
garden
269 1-2-6¼ 1·06 3-4-3
Tope
164 — 30-11½
110 — 25-12¾
117 2-1-7¼
242 1-35-6
244 — 7-13
248 1-26-13½
250 4-10-11½
252 — 11-13
264 1-24-15½
302 — 7-6¾
347 — 2-11¾
349 — 13-15¼
350 — 3-8¼
108 — 14-8
122 2-34-8
17-13-7 17·34 28-5-8
18-29-2 18·73 32-2-[illegible]

Do Do of Nityavinodeswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition

Do Do — Do — Do — Nityavinodeswara

No. 4226 Nittyavinodeswara Swami's Sarvamaniam tope V 2-7-

No. 4238 Nittyavinodeswara Swami's Sarvamaniam tope 18-29-2

The average [illegible] in Aiyen lands plus 10 P.C. is 35-12-43

Nityavinodeswaran at Sattanur
Trustees
Samaiyan [illegible] Seshachalaiyan, Sabapathaiyan, Madava Yanaivar

To be confirmed. The [illegible] of the Inam is within the average [illegible] plus 10 P.C.
25/1/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao's Asst.
30 Jan 1863
T. D. No. 493
[illegible]

Reg. No. 45 — Register of Inams in the village of Tirumilakkudi (No. 299) in the Solampettai Mâgânam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 45

Do Tope
218 — 16-9¾ ·42 — 9-10
[illegible] 9-12-7 [illegible]

The [illegible] in the Inam is equal to the average [illegible] Aiyen lands plus 10 P.C.

Do Do of Sri Manavaleswara Swami's Pagoda in the village of Tirumilakkudikovilpattu. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition

Do — Do — Do — Do — Do — Manavaleswara Swami

No. 4226 Eswaran Pagoda tope — 90

No. 4238 Manavaleswara Swami's Sarvamaniam tope — 16-9¾

Manavaleswara Swami at Tirumilakkudikovilpattu
Trustees
Chinnappaiyan & Srinivasaiyangar

To be confirmed
31/1/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao Asst.
30 Jan 1863
T. D. No. 494
[illegible]

Reg. No. 46 — Register of Inams in the village of Tirumilakkudikovilpattu (No. 300) in the above Mâgânam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 46

1. Do Dry
71 — 20-8½
7[illegible] — 2-1½
garden 1-22-9½ 1·56 3-7-8
92 1-29-2
103 — 29-14
105 — 5-4¼
106 — 29-13½
110 — 18-10½
3-30-15½ 3·77 10-12-6
Tope
72 1-11-4½
74 — 29-11½
75 2-21-1
76 1-12-
86 — 7-13¾
88 2-4-14¼
89 — 28-2½
97 1-36-2
98 — 9-10
102 — 20-11½
11-17-7 11·44 25-6-6
[illegible]

as above — Do — Do — Do — Do — as above

No. 4226 Kalliyanasundareswara Swami's tope V 15-31 A 11-28-13 The Idol is said to be [illegible]

No. 4238 Manavaleswara Swami's Sarvamaniam tope 16-30-12¾

As above

The average [illegible] in Aiyen lands plus 10 P.C. is V 34-17-80 P.C. The [illegible] in the Inam [illegible] is A 1-4-13¾ [illegible] at [illegible] 2-4-[illegible]

The whole of the extent in Col. [illegible] appears to have been originally tope. Portions having become vacant space & fit for cultivation are they to be classed as Wet, Dry &c in the Remarks according to the crops raised. The Inam is entd. in Acct. of 4226 but the extent therein given is less than that at present in enjoyment. The [illegible] in the Aiyen lands of the village plus 10 P.C. is 34-17-80 [illegible] there is still an [illegible] in the Inam of 1 acre. The Inam is recommended to be confirmed [illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao Asst.
30 Jan 1863
T. D. No. 495

..-..-14¼ Register of Inams in the village of Agraharaharikarankudal (No. 665) in the Tirumidakkal Magánam ... Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 47

1.	Rel. Endt.	Dry 223 324 Tope 326	..-6-2 ..-14-12¾ ..-20-14¾ ..-2-6 ..-23-4¾	.52 .06 .58	1-..-9 ..-1-11 1-2-8	The proceeds of this Inam Free visit & tope are appropriated to the expense of Bhimeswaraswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. The Pagoda is said to be in good condn.	not known	no documents	Bhimeswaraswami	Ir. 4216 Iswaran Pagoda tope ..-..75	Ir. 4238 Bhimeswaraswami Sarwamaniem tope ..-23-4¾	Bhimeswaraswami at Agraharaharikarankudal Trustees Ramaiyan & Kattaperuma Pillai	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs ..-8-.. for the excess 24/12/62 Major ...
								The excess is on the dry lands of the village plus 10 P.C. is 30-..-38 P.C. The excess in the Inam to be charged is A ..-10-4¾ assessment ..-8-3					T.D. No. 496 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. Jany 63
2.	Do	Dry 228 Tope 155 225 270	..-3-8¾ ..-2-10½ ..-2-8 ..-12-10½ ..-17-13 ..-21-5¼	.09 .45 .53	..-2-10 ..-14-4 1-1-2	Do Do of Varadarajaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition	Do	Do	Varadarajaswami	Ir. 4216 Perumal Pagoda tope ..-..50	Ir. 4238 Varadarajaswami Sarwamaniem tope ..-21-5¼	Varadarajaswami at Agraharaharikarankudal Worshipper Venkatavaradaiyangar	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs ..-10-.. for the excess 24/12/62 Major ...
								Excess to be charged is A ..-12-11¼ assessment Rs ..-10-2					T.D. No. 497 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 3d Jany

Regr. No. 48 Register of Inams in the village of Munivanankudi (No. 666) in the above Magánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 48

1.	Do	Tope 240	..-14-12	.37	..-7-7	Do Do. Do Do Do Do of Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition			Pillaiyar	Ir. 4216 Pillaiyar Pagoda tope ..-..90¾	Ir. 4238 Pillaiyar Pagoda Sarwamaniem tope ..-14-12	Pillaiyar at Munivanankudi Worshipper Samigurukkal	To be confirmed the excess in the Inam is within the average excess in village lands plus 10 P.C. 1/2/62 Major ...
													T.D. No. 47 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 3d Jany
2.	Do	Tope 313 315 317 320 321	..-1-1½ ..-6-.. ..-10-2 ..-13-9 ..-9-1½ ..-39-14¼	 1.00	 1-4-8	Do Do Do of Visvanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condn.	Do	Do	Visvanadhaswami	Ir. 4216 Kailasanadhaswami ... ..-2-10 this name ... the present	Ir. 4238 Iswaran Pagoda Sarwamaniem tope ..-39-14¼ is different from the name of	Visvanadhaswami at Munivanankudi Worshipper Samigurukkal	To be confirmed the excess in the Inam is within the average excess in village lands plus 10 P.C. 1/2/62 Major ...
								the difference cannot be ascertained. There has been but one Pagoda in the village & the Swami is said to be known by ...					T.D. No. ... Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 3d Jany

* the name of Visvanadhaswami

Regr. No. 49 Reg. No. 49 Register of Inams in the village of Harikarankudal (No. 667) in the above Magánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

	Do	Dry 268 Garden 271 Tope 203 267 277 279	..-5-6¾ ..-13-12 3-17-7½ ..-21-11½ ..-18-.. ..-16-9¼ 4-33-12¼ 5-12-1½	.13 .33 4.85 5.31	..-2-8 ..-12-9 6-3-1 7-2-6	Do Do of Bhimeswaraswami's Pagoda in the village of Agraharaharikarankudal. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition	Do	Do	Bhimeswaraswami	Ir. 4216 Sarwamaniem tope ..-..7..	Ir. 4238 Bhimeswaraswami Sarwamaniem tope 5-12-1½	Bhimeswaraswami at Agraharaharikarankudal Trustees Ramaiyan & Kattaperuma Pillai	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs 3-..-.. for the excess Major ...
								Excess in Agraharam lands plus 10 P.C. is 30 P.C. The excess in the Inam to be charged is A 2-12-1½ assessment Rs 3-1-7					T.D. No. ... Confirmed ...

Regr. No. 50 Reg. No. 50 Register of Inams in the village of Manganallur (No. 330) in the Komal Magánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

1.	Do	Garden 1428 1430 Tope 1431	..-19-.. ..-..-12 ..-19-12 ..-4-¾ ..-23-12¾	 .49 .10 .59	 1-10-1 ..-2-5 1-12-6 of the average dry rate of the village	Do Do of Ulakkanda Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition	Do	Do	Ulakkanda Pillaiyar		Ir. 4238 Pillaiyar Sarwamaniem tope ..-23-12¾	Ulakkanda Pillaiyar at Manganallur Worshipper Sundaragurukkal	To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered 9/3/62 Major ...
													T.D. No. 922 Confd. R.R. No. 48 1651
2.	Do	Tope 1355	..-15-12	.39	..-9-4 as above	Do Do of Varadarajaswami's Pagoda in the ...	Do	Do	Varadarajaswami		Ir. 4238 Varadarajaswami Sarwamaniem tope	Varadarajaswami at Manganallur Worshipper V...	To be confirmed and ... T.D. No. 923

[illegible] in the village of Maharajapuram (No. 128) in the Tiruchampalli Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district

Register No. 110
Sheet No. 22

Sheet No. 22

1	[illegible]	331	-8-		-3-		The proceeds of this [illegible] rent-free tope is appropriated to the expense of Ladan Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. Case No. 1	not known	no documents	Ladan Pillaiyar	In F1216 Ladan Pillaiyar Sarvamaniam tope Va-60	In F1238 Ladan Pillaiyar Sarvamaniam tope -8-4.	Ladan Pillaiyar at Maharajapuram Worshipper Appagurukkal		To be confirmed 21/2/62	T D No 548 Confirmed P. Cheetsalião Assa 25 June 63
2	Do	Tope 1583	-4-11 3/4	12	-2-4	---	Do Do Do Do Do of Kailasanadhar in the village of Kaduchampadi. Worship is said to be rendered. [illegible] the Pagoda is said to be in good condition Case No. 2	Do		Kailasanadhar	In F1216 Kailasanatha Swamy Sarvamaniam -.-35 1/2	In F1238 Kailasanatha Swamy Sarvamaniam tope -4-14 1/2	Kailasanadhaswami at Kaduchampadi Worshipper Amrutagurukkal		To be confirmed to be continued so long as the worship is regularly performed 21/2/62	16 T D No 549 Confirmed P. Cheetsalião Assa 25 June 63
3	Do	Tope 1634	-22-8	56	-10-8	---	Do Do Do Do Do of Adinarayanaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. Case No. 3	Do		Adinarayanaswami	In F1216 Perumal Pagoda tope Va-3-45	In F1238 Adinarayanaswami Sarvamaniam tope -22-8	Adinarayanaswami at Maharajapuram Worshipper Naranaiyangar		To be confirmed 21/2/62	T D No 550 Confirmed P. Cheetsalião Assa 25 June 63
4	Do	Dry 1558 1559 Tope 1516 1517 1547 1561	2-33-14 1/2 -21-3 1/4 3-15-11 1/2 3-22-6 1-15- -2-8 -37-13 7-37-11 11-12-12 1/2	3.38 7.94 11.32	3-15-11 9-6-2 13-6-1	---	Do Do Do Do Do of Subramaniyaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. Case No. 4	Do		Subramaniyan	In F1216 Kumara Kovil Sarvamaniam tope 1-7-95	In F1238 Subramaniya Swamy Sarvamaniam tope 11-12-12 1/2	Subramaniyaswami at Maharajapuram Trustee Laimavaiyan & Velayuda Pillai		To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is less than average excess on Ayan lands plus 10 P.C. 1/3/62	T D No 551 Confirmed P. Cheetsalião Asst 25 June
5		Dry 1557	-16-4		-7-7		For the personal benefit of the holders Do Do Do Do The average excess in Ayan lands plus 10 P.C. is 47-9-80 The excess in the Inam above [illegible] is 4-4-5 Ap. [illegible] 2-4. Case No. 5			Not known	In F1216 Darmana [illegible] -60	In F1238 Das [illegible] -16-2.	Maharajapuram 1. Saminathan 32 -8-1 2. Subbaiyan 69 -8-1.	Brothers [illegible] (2) [illegible] of the party in Col. 14 Sons (1) 2 (2) [illegible] Brother 1 Brother's son 1/3	To be confirmed. [illegible] 15/3/62	T D No 552 Confirmed P. Cheetsalião Asst
6		Dry 1591 1592 1629 1630 1631	-8-7 -8-11 1/2 -10-2 3/4 -10-3 1/4 -7 1/2 -5-3 1/2	133	1-5-6		Do Do Do Do No 1 & 6 enjoy No 1629 & half of No 1631. No 2 & 3 enjoy 1591 & 1592. No 4 enjoys 1630 & half of No 1631 Case No 6	No documents		Not known	Not entd in F1216	In F1238 Ramaiyan [illegible] -14-5 1/2 Malaiyappaiyan do -13-11 1/4 & his brother Saminathan do -17-2 1/2 1-5-3 1/2	Maharajapuram 1. Ramaswamaiyan 25 13 2. Saminathan 55 09 3. Parasuraman 25 06 4. Aiyaduraiyan 35 00 5. Mangalattammal 45 43 6 Chellattammal 27 30 No 6 is a distinct family from the rest	(1-3-4) Brother-in-law (2) son (5) Brother's widow of the 2nd party (6) Daughter-in-law of the 1st party in Col. 15 (1) Wife 1 (2) Wife 1 Son 1/5 (3) None (4) Wife 1 Son Brother 1/3 (6) Daughter 2/2 (6) None	This Inam is without [illegible] there being no account from any Fasli between it & 1288 in which the Inam is entd. 1/4 [illegible] is charged. No 1 & 5 [illegible] 2 [illegible] The party is willing to [illegible] 15/3/62	T D No 553 Confirmed 554 P. Cheetsalião Asst 25 June 63 2d V

Reg. No. 111

Register of Inams in the village of Lattanur (No. 133) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 111

Rel. [illegible]	Garden 235 236 237 238 Tope 231 232	-3-4 1/2 -5-4 -4-8 -3-8 -16-8 1/2 -23-10 -20-5 1-3-15 1-20-7 1/2	.41 1.10 1.51	1-3-4 3-4-4 4-7-8	---	Do to Do Do Do Do the expense of Lavuri Varadarajaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is in good condition	Do	Lavuri Varadarajan	In F1216 Perumal Pagoda Maniam tope V-6-26	In F1238 Lavuri Varadarajaswami Sarvamaniam tope 1-20-7 1/2	Lavuri Varadarajaswami at Lattanur Worshipper Naranaiyangar	To be confirmed 21/2/62	T D No 555 Confirmed P. Cheetsalião Assa 25 June 63

Reg. No. 112

Register of Inams in the village of Mandai (No. 339) in the Komal Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 112

Do	Tope 685	-8-	.20	-3-2	---	Do Do Do Do Do of Venkatachalapati Swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is [illegible]	Do	Venkatachalapati Swami	In F1216 Perumal Pagoda tope V-66	In F1238 Venkatachalapati Sarvamaniam tope	Venkatachalapati Swami at Mandai Worshipper	To be confirmed	T D No 556 Confirmed

P. 112 … (No 339) …

Regr. No. 112

Case No 2

[illegible]	Tope 15 17 19 21 116	1-1-1½ --8-6¾ --5-3½ --7-15½ --30-- 2-13-3½	2·33	2-4-5	Area not known no documents. The proceeds of the tope are appropriated to the expense of Savandareswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village. Worship is said to be rendered.		Savandareswaran	In F1216 Iswaran Pagoda tope. Va-5-30	In F1238 Savandareswara Swami Sarvamaniem tope 2-13-3½	Savandareswara Swami at Mándai. Worshipper Sámigurukkal	To be confirmed. The area of the Inam is much below the average area of the taxable lands of the village. 18/2/62

T D No 55 Confirmed … Asst 5th …

Regr No 113 — Register of Inams in the village of Nallávár (No 340) in the above Májanam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 113

1. Dº	Tope 570 576 577 Garden 577	--5-- --1-7½ --5-12½ --12-4 --4-12 --17-2	31 12 43	--7-9 --5-- --12-11	Dº Dº Dº Dº Dº of Sabhanayakam's Pagoda at Chitambaram. Worship is regularly rendered & the Pagoda is in good condition	Dº	Pasupatiswara Swami	In F1216 Pasupatiswara Swami tope. Va-1-1½	In F1238 Pasupati Sabhapati tope --17-2	Sabhanayakam at Chitambaram. Worshipper Tillainayagadikshatar	In the account of F1216 this Inam is entd. in the name of Pasupatiswara swámi but in F1238 a new name Sabhapati of Chitambaram is introduced with a remark to the effect that the Mirasidar says that this tope which belonged formerly to Pasupatiswaran is in the enjoyt. of Chitambaram Sabhanayakam since F1224. In the account of F1238 *
2. Dº	Tope 387	--6-11½	17	--4-4	Dº Dº Dº Dº Dº of Pasupatiswaran's Pagoda in the village of Nallávárukkadai. Worship is rendered.	Dº ° Pagoda i.e. that of Chitambaram. The Inam is liable to resumption but considering the length of enjoyt. & small charge ½ 2 Rs … 18/2/62	Pasupatiswaran	In F1216 Pasupatiswara Swami tope Va--4½	In F1238 Pasupatiswara Swami Sarvamaniem tope --6-11½	Pasupatiswaran at Nallávárukkadai. Worshipper Muttusámigurukkal	To be confirmed 18/2/62
Dº	Tope 552 55[illegible] 55[illegible]	--18-- [illegible] --7-4 --30-10		[illegible]	Dº Dº Dº Dº Dº Dº of Pidári's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is in … condition	Dº	Pidári	In F1216 Pidári Pagoda tope Va[illegible]	In F1238 Pidári Swami Inam tope --30-10	Pidári at Nallávár Worshipper Ramaswami	To be confirmed 18/2/62

° The name of Pasupatiswaran is altogr. omitted. It is apparent that the name of Sabhapati was entd. … the Mirasidar wished to have the tope entd. in the name of …

T D No 5 Confirmed … Asst 5th …

T D No … Confirmed … Asst 5th …

T D No 5 Confirmed … Asst 5th …

Tope	acs		Total	acs	L.R
--2-7½	2-4-9	----	1--7½	2-4-9	

Regr No 114 — Register of Inams in the village of Kottankudi (No 342) in the above Májanam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 114

Dº	Tope 316 333 335 337 338 340 492 498 534 785 787	--1-8 --1-12½ --5-8¼ --16-8 ----12 --30-15½ --7-- --8-15 2-13-¼ --[illegible]-5½ --1-11 5-22-10½	5·57	7-15-7	Dº Dº Dº Dº Dº of Kótiswaran's Pagoda in the village. Worship is said to be rendered & the building also in good condition	Dº	Kótiswaran	In F1216 Iswaran Pagoda tope Va-14-14	In F1238 Kótiswara Swami Sarvamaniem tope 5-22-10½	Kótiswara Swámi at Kottankudi Worshipper Sámigurukkal	To be confirmed. The area of the Inam is below the average area of the taxable lands of the village. 18/2/62
Dº	Tope 779	--3-12	10	--2-2	Dº Dº Dº Dº Dº of Aiyanár's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered.	Dº	Aiyanár	In F1216 Aiyanár Pagoda tope Va--86¾	In F1238 Aiyanár Sarvamaniem tope --3-12	Aiyanár at Kottankudi Worshipper Muttu	To be confirmed 18/2/62

… Asst 5th … T D No 56

T D No 5 Confirmed … Asst 5th …

Tope	acs		Total	acs	L.R
--26-6½	8-1-9	--2--	5-26-6½	8--9	

No 48 Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Neikuppai Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharmi [illegible]	Dry No 92 Do No 316 Total	5 Gulies · 13 2 3/4 Gulies · 07 7 3/4 Gulies · 20	--3-10 --2-- --5-10	[illegible]	Tax free	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	[illegible] [illegible] Nandavanam	No Nandavanam is maintained. Land [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 30 [illegible]	Fully assessed R Sanderson 7-11-82

Regr. No. 48
Sheet No. 50

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

Nadukkarai Melpadi

Regr. No. 247 Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore Dist.

Regr. No. 247
Sheet No. 50
Case 5

Personal Entire & Gudicat 396-30-7 396.76
Deduct Poramboke 58-11-7 58.29
Remaining
Wet 208-1-1 208.03
Dry 93-26-9 93.91
Garden 14-23-13 14.60
Tope 21-37-9 27.94
338-19-.. 338.47
Deduct Inam
Dry 1-10-.. 1.25
Tope 6-19-13 6.49
7-29-13 7.74
Remaining Inam
Wet 208-1-1 208.03 928-13-2
Dry 92-26-9 92.66 113-6-5
Garden 14-23-13 14.60 40-7-..
Tope 15-17-12 15.45 18-14-7
330-29-3 330.73 1101-9-2

These are according to the average rates in [illegible] lands in the surrounding villages at the [illegible] for Wet Rs 29-8-4 per [illegible] for Dry Rs 8-1-5 & for Garden Rs 18-5-..

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval fees 30-5-..

Hereditary

Granted in [illegible] of Gadoran on the 29th Ramzan San [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 1158

The deed of grant by the family named [illegible] produced but [illegible] ing the grant is the entire village is given as Enam. The title was not regd in 1809

Title the [illegible] [illegible]

The village is not entered either in acct of F1210 or the Regr of 1809

M. F1225. [illegible] the east [illegible]
Wet 30-..-4
.. 16-2-9
Tope 4-3
Inam 32-5-4
Poram 6-4-7
55-..-12
No names [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

M. F1238
[illegible] Nadukkarai Melpadi
Wet 208-1-1
Dry 92-26-9
Gar 14-23-13
Poram 58-11-7
Tope ..-7-12
Inam 7-29-13
396-30-7

Tanjore
1. Ramasetu Swami
2. [illegible]eswara Swami Nadukkarai Melpadi
Worshipper
Ramaswami Gurukkal
3. Hanumantaraya Swami at Nadukkarai Melpadi
Worshipper
Rajagopala Aiyangar
4. Navaniteswara Swami at Nadukkarai Melpadi
Worshipper
Visvagurukkal
5. Gyaneswara Swami at Mayavaram
Supporter
Ambabhoy Ammal
6. Venugopala Swami at Mayavaram
Supporter
Ambabhoy Ammal
Combaconum
7. Hari Rao 35
8. Annaji Rao 50
9. Arunachala Sastri 50
10. Minakshi 39
11. Ayya Sastri 60
12. Appasami Sastri 35
13. Ramasesha Sastri 50
14. Damaraja Sastri 54
15. Lakshminarasimha Sastri 40
16. Krishna Sastri
17. Subbiah Sastri 32
18. Kuppa Sastri 30
19. Venkatasubbaiyan 30
20. Krishna Rao 45
21. Ramaswami Gurukkal 54
22. Appa Sastri 48
23. Seshavadhani 30
24. Rangaswami Sastri 25
25. Sivarama Sastri 45
26. Vaidyanatha Sastri 26
27. Subbarama

Exact [illegible] of the party in col. 13

Wives — 2
(7) Wife 1, sons 2
Brother 1
Do wife 1
(8) adopted son 1
(9) Wife 1, sons 3
(10) Daughter married 3
(11) Wife 1, sons
Daughter in law
(12) Wife 1, daughter
Brothers
Do wives
(13) Sons [illegible]
brothers wives
do sons
(14) Wife 1, sons
daughter in law
(15) Wife 1, son
(16) Wife
Brother
Do wife
(17) Wife
Wife
Brother
Do wife
Do sons
Wife
Wife 1, sons
Sons
Wife
ammal
Wife
Wife

[illegible] sion of the late holder [illegible] the adoption recognized by Government

The village [illegible] 43 1/2 shares. The [illegible] ancestors gave away in gift to Brahmins 37 shares [illegible] himself & dedicated the remaining 6 1/2 shares to Gods. The [illegible] in [illegible] the 37 shares given to Brahmins were given on three occasions — 5 were first given 30 [illegible] & 2 [illegible]. The deed of gift for the 30 shares is available whilst those for the others are [illegible] 11 of S.S. 1705 [illegible] the 30 shares appear to have been granted to 22 Brahmins. By the time of survey in F1238 [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] as they stand at the time of the survey are [illegible] in col. 15. No. 23 [illegible] No. 12 in col. 15 the [illegible] [illegible] the deed of gift produced [illegible] the Survey [illegible] F1225 also [illegible] the [illegible] No. 25 were

Amount of I.R. assessed by the Dy Collr at different rates Rs 2 ..
Do by the Commr 134
Asst agreed to. Difference [illegible] 8-2

On the death of the late Raja when his property was placed under attachment, the Inams held by the family of [illegible] Swamiyar the royal priests were also attached & along with them this village immediately. Afterwards the share owned by the Brahmins &c were released. The share of No 1 continued to be under sequestration till Government issued instructions for the restoration of the property of the priests after inquiry. In their [illegible] it is stated that the [illegible] appear to have been granted for [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] The adoption of the present holder No 1 by the Court was also recognized as valid & an unconditional restoration of the property was [illegible] 2 [illegible]

Mazeed [illegible] No 1 [illegible] is Rs 8-8 I.R. imposed at the [illegible] rate on the rest. Claimant No. 39 who is charged 1/2, No 12 for a portion of whose land 1/2 I.R. is charged & No. 8 on whom 1/4 I.R. is imposed is Rs 135-.. Being an entire village [illegible]

Confirmed [illegible]
[illegible] of the [illegible]
Rent [illegible]
23 No
841

	Wet	Asst	Dry	Asst	Garden	Asst	Total	Asst	Kaval	I. R.	Amount of I.R. fixed by the Court
	8.16	3-6-11	23.21	20-6-6	2.09	5-12-6	33.41	70-9-..	1-6-4	8-8-..	8-8-..
	14.06	15-1-6	8.74	10-11-2	.16	..-7-1	27.96	98-3-9	2-12-7	12-..	12-..
	2.33	6-6-6	.69	..-13-6			3.00	11-4-..	..-5-7	1-4-..	1-4
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	11-5-8	1.14	[illegible]	13.32	27-14-7		3-8-..	3-8
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	6-5-3	[illegible]	[illegible]	22.23	80-14-10	2-7-1	10-..	9-12
	[illegible]	[illegible]	5.17	6-5-3	.91	2-6-3	22.23	80-14-10	2-7-1	10	9-12
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	72.65	261-..-..	[illegible]	32	32
	[illegible]	[illegible]	3.84	6-5-4	[illegible]	[illegible]	3.70	48-15-..	1-6-5	12-..	6
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	4.??	14-7-6	..-7-..	1-12	1-12
	2.41	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	..-3-..	3.45	12-2-4	..-5-7	1-8	1-8
	2.12	..-7-8	[illegible]	[illegible]	.17	..-7-6	2.97	10-12-2	..-6-3	1-4	1-4
	3.35	[illegible]	.98	[illegible]	.20	..-8-10	4.53	16-12-9	..-7-8	3-4	[illegible]
	4.36	[illegible]	.47	[illegible]	.25	..-11-1	6.57	22-9-2	..-9-9	2-12	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	.17	..-7-6	3.03	10-13-5	..-5-7	1-4	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	.74	..-14-6	.18	..-7-6	3.14	10-14-2	..-5-7	1-4	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	..-1-3	.06	..-2-..	[illegible]	8-6-1	..-3-8	[illegible]	[illegible]
	[illegible]	[illegible]	.34	..-1-7	.17	..-3-1	[illegible]	[illegible]	..-3-8	[illegible]	[illegible]
	2.81	..-6-4	1.07	[illegible]	.11	..-6-..	[illegible]	14-14-1	..-6-6	1-12	1-12
	[illegible]	3-11-..	.29	..-5-8	.02	..-1-4	1.19	4-5-..	..-4	..-8	..-8
	4.74	[illegible]	1.94	2-6-..	.15	..-6-8	6.83	23-5-4	..-1-2	[illegible]	2
	1.05	4-11-..	.23	..-4-5	.08	..-3-6	1.36	5-3-..	..-2-9	..-10	..-10
	1.16	[illegible]	.47	..-9-2	.03	..-1-4	1.66	5-13-3	..-2-9	..-12	..-12
	8.20	[illegible]	1.47	1-4-11	.28	..-12-5	[illegible]	25-4-10	..-11-2	3	3
	2.60	11-4-10	.53	..-10-5	.14	..-7-2	3.27	12-11-5	..-5-7	1-8	1-8
	6.61	[illegible]	1.71	2-3-5	.30	..-5-11	[illegible]	32-..-..	..-16-..	4	4
	8.26	[illegible]	2.08	[illegible]	.45	1-3-6	11.39	41-..-5	1-1-9	5	5
	2.31	[illegible]	.52	..-10-2	.14	..-7-2	2.97	4-6-5	..-6-..	..-8	1-8
28	1.19	[illegible]	.52	..-11-2	.06	..-2-8	1.77	[illegible]	..-2-9	..-12	..-12
29	2.37	10-3-4	.64	1-2-5	.11	..-4-11	3.42	12-..-8	..-3-7	1-8	1-8
30	1.19	5-1-4	.52	..-10-2	.06	..-2-8	1.77	6-1-9	..-2-9	..-12	..-12
31	11.34	[illegible]	3.39	4-2-4	.47	1-4-10	15.20	57-2-7	1-11-10	7	7
32	1.87	5-5-7	.56	..-11-..			2.43	[illegible]	..-5-7	1	1
33	4.25	[illegible]	1.33	1-10-..	.33	..-14-7	5.91	21-8-2	..-1-2	2-8	2-8
34			1.93	2-5-10	.15	..-6-8	2.03	2-12-6		..-6	..-6
35			.61	..-11-11	.40	1-1-9	1.01	1-13-8		..-4	..-4
36					.40	1-1-9	.40	1-1-9		..-2	..-2
37			1.78	2-2-6	.12	..-5-4	1.90	2-8-2		..-6	..-6
38			[illegible]	[illegible]	.12	..-5-4	[illegible]	2-4-3		..-4	..-4
39					.24	[illegible]	.24	..-10-8		..-6	2
40							.40	4-..-4		..-8	..-8
41	.90	..-4	[illegible]	[illegible]	.79	2-2-1	2.09	3-11-6		..-8	..-8
	208.[illegible]	[illegible]	188.14	192-5-..	14.60	40-7-..	330.74	1101-9-2	30-5-..	[illegible]	134

7-8
134-10

Agreed to

[illegible] having been sold away he enjoys the

[illegible] ⅛ share [illegible] widow of No. 34 in Col: 15 whose share she enjoys

No. 4 enjoys the share of No. 33 in Col: 15 whose brother he is. No. 12 is [illegible] No. 39. Beside [illegible] entered in his father's name he enjoys a quarter share by purchase from Ar[illegible] already referred to. No deed of gift is produced for it is said to be missing but they [illegible] entered in the Inamdar Acct of F1258 – No. 13 also enjoys the share entd in the name of [illegible] 15 his [illegible] father [illegible] by No. 10 in the year [illegible] & the remr which he had sold away to No. 12 & others. [illegible] 15 is the same as the party No. 12 in col: 15 & enjoys [illegible] share therein entd. No. 14 – 15 are brothers. The land [illegible] enjoyment is made up of the ¼ share entd in the name of No. 41 in col: 15 from whose daughter [illegible] father purchased it in Sadharana [illegible] the ¾ share belonging to No. 37 in col: 15 from whom he had it a gift in the year Vijaya – deeds produced – No. 16 is son & Nos. 17 & 18 are brothers sons of No. 21 in col: 15 whose share [illegible] sold away to No. 7 in col: 15 they enjoy. No. 18 enjoys besides his one [illegible] share which is entd in No. 9 in col: 15 & name from whose son Arunachala [illegible] No. 9 in col: 15 purchased it & [illegible] Nos. 19 & 20 are sons respectively of Nos. 34 & 22 in col: 15 whose lands they enjoy. No. 21 enjoys one half of the share entd in col: 15 in the name of [illegible] No. 36 from whom he bought it in the year 1841. Deed produced – No. 22 is the same as the party of that name No. 30 in col: 15 & enjoys the land therein entd. Nos. 23 & 24 are grandson & son respectively of Nos. 44 & 46 in col: 15 & the shares [illegible] are those entd in their names in that col. No. 25 is the same as No. 7 in col: 15 & enjoys the share entd therein in his name (minus ½ of which he disposed of [illegible] to No. 31 in col: 15), together with the 53/160 share [illegible] the name of Ramalinga [illegible] his maternal grandfather [illegible] Arunachala [illegible] for the family of No. 52. No. 26 is son of No. 48 in col: 15. He enjoys, besides the land entd in his father's name, the half share belonging to No. 53 from whom his father purchased it in the year 1832 [illegible] of the share in No. 7 in col: 15's name, which was bought in 1832 by his father from one [illegible] whom it had been [illegible] by Ramalinga[illegible] No. 7 in col: 15 – No. 27 is son of [illegible] col: 15 whose share he enjoys. Nos. 28 & 29 are brothers, & they enjoy the ½ & ¼ shares entd in the name [illegible] Nos. 8 & 9 in col: 15 – No. 30 is the same as the party No. 10 in col: 15 & holds the extent therein entered [illegible] the share of his grandfather No. 6, [illegible] shares belonging to Nos. 36 – 7 – & 56 respectively, & the [illegible] of No. 40 all in col: 15. These were purchased by No. 36 & his father. Deeds produced for the purchases [illegible] – No. 32 is daughter of [illegible] No. 5 whose share together with that of No. 59 [illegible] purchased it, is in her (No. 32's) enjoyment. In the purchase of No. 59's share no deed [illegible] but the [illegible] in the Inamdar Account of F1258. No. 33 is son of No. 35. [illegible] Nos. 35 & 36 are son & brother respectively of No. 65, [illegible] No. 61 all in col: 15. Besides the land entd in the name of his brother in the Paimash No. 37 [illegible] by purchase from Nos. 12 & 63. Deeds produced. As also No. 38 enjoy a small [illegible] from No. 36 and No. 16 – The [illegible] extent of land entd in the name of [illegible] No. 44 in col: 15 is in the enjoyment of Nos. 35 – 36 – 41. It appears from enquiry that this land originally belonged to the family of these individuals – but that as such appears as a [illegible] in the Paimash for this land which is a "Inam [illegible]" [illegible] the owners, though [illegible] remained with the family after [illegible] Paimash – No. 40 is the same as No. 63 in col: 15. The land is said to have been [illegible] by the original holder & owner of the village. The extent in the enjoyment of No. 41 is that which stands in the name Annavaiyangar in col: 15 whose [illegible] from whom he had it by will – It is contended on the part of No. 1 that [illegible] grantee that a half share in the enjoyment of No. 31, what is enjd by No. 22 [illegible] entered in the name of Va[illegible]iyan No. 56 & Ram[illegible] No. 54 in col: 15 [illegible] that is for service & that as the service is [illegible] the Inams should be [illegible]. From enquiry it appears that no service had been either demanded or rendered from a long time [illegible] – The party contending himself admits the alienation [illegible] without any objection. Under these circumstances [illegible] appears [illegible] be entertained.

[illegible] 5th of No. [illegible] in col: 15 stated in the [illegible] F1258 (Vide remarks regarding No. 7) to be held by No. 7 on mortgage, no deed of sale or other document to support the [illegible] is produced by No. 7. He [illegible] does not know whether it was [illegible] as stated in [illegible] on mortgage. On the other hand [illegible] the alleged mortgagor No. 21 produces a grant to No. 16 and Nos. 17 & 18, [illegible] the purchase of certain lands bearing the signature of No. 7's uncle, [illegible] belonging to No. 7's family wherein the [illegible] in question is entd as made over [illegible] mortgaged share. Under these circumstances [illegible] in the names of Nos. 16 – 17 – 18 – As regards the ¼ 5th stated to be similarly [illegible] by No. 7, it is said that no heirs are in existence. The present holders are [illegible] No. 7, [illegible] appears to [illegible] up any claim to it. A large number of [illegible] in their enjoyment. In most cases the documents specify the name [illegible] by No. 7 [illegible] father [illegible] in old deeds of sale, as is [illegible] Paimash [illegible] others [illegible]. The

[illegible]iyan
[illegible]appan ⅛ sh
35. [illegible]andan ½ sh
37. Meenakshi ammal ⅜ sh
38. Annaswami yangar alias [illegible] 2/16 sh
39. Annasami Iyer ⅛ sh
40. Natesa Iyer ⅝ sh
41. Sundaresa ¼ sh
42. Krishna[illegible]tan 1 sh
43. Dharma[illegible] Bhattar 27/32 sh
44. [illegible] 1 sh
45. Vijayaragha[illegible] ½ sh
46. Subbaraiyan ½ sh
47. Rangaswami ¾ sh
48. Rama[illegible] 53/160 sh
49. Ramachandra ¼ sh
50. Krishna[illegible] 76/160 sh
51. Balambattar 5/32
52. Ramabhattar 53/160
53. Sitarama[illegible] ½ sh
54. Ramabhattar ½ sh
55. Dharma[illegible] ½ sh
56. Vaidhyam ½ sh
57. Egappa[illegible] 16/160
58. Venkata[illegible] 35/160
59. Lakshmi ammal ¼ sh
Small [illegible]
60. Ponnayangar Appavaiyangar 5-55½
61. Rajappaiyer 6-28
62. Ramanuja Iyengar 1-7½ 6-48
63. [illegible] 7½ 45½ 7.55
64. Srinivasa[illegible] 150
65. Muthusami Iyengar 7½
66. [illegible]

28. Ayyasamaiyan
40
29. Subrayan
18
30. Parasuramaiyan
55
31. Subrama Sastri
29
32. Kamuammal
32
33. Ayyasamisastri
30
34. Apparayan
60
35. Rajagopalaiyan jun
45
36. Kasturi aiyangar
70
37. [illegible]appayan
60
38. Panjuvaiyan
30
39. Muthusami aiyan
45
40. Pidari at Orelpadi Worshipper Ramaswamigurukkal
41. Varadaiengar
40

(28) Wife — 1/1
(29) Wife — 1/1
(30) Sons — 2/2
(31) Wife 1, son 1, brother — 1, Do's wife — 1/4
(32) Husband — 1, son — 2/2
(33) Wife 1, brother ½
(34) Wife 1, son 1, daughter in law 1/3
(35) Brother — 1, Do's wife — ½
(36) Grandson — 1/1
(37) Wife 1, sons 2, daughter in law 1/4
(38) Wife 1, son 1, Brother — 1/3
(39) None
(41) Wife — [illegible]

[illegible] in the [illegible] respectively as [illegible] by the husband No. 4 in Col: 15. Of the ⅞ share credited to the two [illegible] & [illegible] in gift to the above individuals [illegible] father & the [illegible] share was acquired by purchase deeds of gift to No. 5 – & [illegible] the extent in the [illegible] of No. 7 was acquired [illegible] share from either the [illegible] of the donees or others whom their shares had passed on in [illegible] by gift or sale. The number of shares in the possession of the individuals is [illegible] & these [illegible] the shares entd in the names of Nos. 5 – 13 – 14 – 15 – 17 – 15 – 18 – 20 – 25 – 26 – 27 – 28 – 31 – 29 – 32 – 33 – 42 – 43 – 47 – 54 – 58 – 57 – 49 – 51 in col: 15 & of portions of those specified in the names of Nos. 16 & 21 (¼ share of the former & ⅛ share of the latter). Documents to support most of these purchases are produced. For some the documents are said to be missing. But these have from [illegible] been entered in the Inamdar Acct prepared in F1257. In this acct however the ⅛ share of No. 21 [illegible] – the entire share of No. 51 & ¾ of No. 49's share are stated to be in enjoyment on mortgage, while all the rest are [illegible]. No. 8 is same as No. 11 in col: 15. Besides the 2 [illegible] by his grandfather & entd in his [illegible] col: 15 he (No. 8) enjoys the [illegible] share [illegible] & [illegible] portions of the lands belonging to Nos. 80 & 62 Narayanappaiyan & [illegible]. No. 9 is the same as the party of that name in col: 15 (No. 23). A portion of the share entd

Regr. No. 247
Case No. 5

Extent does not exceed that in F1225 by more than 10 P.C.

No portion of the extent entd in Col: 4 under the head of Poramboke is available for culton. & no encroachment or addition to the arable has been made on that account.

No lands (Wet) in the village are cultd with 2 crops & none are entd in the Paimash as double crop.

Rajagopala Pillai
Dy Colr

Entered

No. 145 Register of Naundavanam Inams in the village of Pathanoor No. 155 Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharmar dagam	Dry 272	6 Julie ·15	-	-7-5	No Naundavanam is kept up	Tax free	Permanent	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Fasli 1238 Grama Pottoo Naundavanam

Closed

No Naundavanam has been maintained for 20 years [illegible] 12 [illegible] on a tree [illegible] of 15 [illegible] has been [illegible] since 1277. The inam [illegible]
Govt land.

Fully assessed
7-11-89

Regr. No 145
Sheet No. 148

Regr No 1/145

****
செப்பனிடுவதற்கா எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1991 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1991 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்ப

No 20 Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Mathirimangalam Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharmadayam
closed

Dry
No 925 $2\frac{13}{32}$ .06
Gulies

0-1-10 [illegible]
of [illegible]
[illegible]
not [illegible]

Survey account of Fasli 1238.

[illegible]

Nandavanam

No Nandavanam has been maintained for upwards of 10 yrs. The lands are [illegible] a portion of the bed of a Tank. To be incorporated with [illegible] lands
[illegible]

Fully assessed
W. Whiteside
Inam Commr.
Oct. 17-1882.

Regr. No. 20
Sheet No. 22

* செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
* ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
* செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த ~~ஜனவரி~~
* பிப்ரவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
* [illegible]
* ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
* கையொப்பம் [illegible] 3/90