Register of Nandavanams, Inams &c in the village of Arupathy, Mayavaram Taluk, Tanjore District

Regr. No. 8
Sheet No. 10

1.	Dharma dayam	Dry No 1440	6 [illegible]	·15	0-2-3 For [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] not maintained	[illegible] Nanda[illegible] [illegible]	Devasy Account of 70238-	Nerambeh Nandavanam	Arpadi Ponnambik Nandavanam	The Nandavanam has been maintained for upwards of 20 years. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] To be [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 1-2-3. 14228 [illegible]	Fully of [illegible] W. W[illegible] Inam C[illegible] Sep: 19

****
சப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
சப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible]
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம் 2/3/90
****

[illegible] of Inams in the village of Tirunakkandacheri (No. 553) in the Perungen [illegible] Talook [illegible]

Tirunakandacheri Village

Regr. No. 34

Sheet No. 10

Dry			
159	.. - 15 - 1		
170	.. - 28 - 2		
171	.. - 8 - 3¾		
172	.. - 4 - 8		
	1 - 12 - 14½	1·40	2 - 7 - 7
Garden 80	1 - [illegible] - 11		
83	.. - 8 - 12		
	1 - 15 - 7	1·39	6 - 1 - 8
Tope 69	2 - 14 - 4½		
71	4 - 35 - ½		
14	1 - 22 - 9		
79	.. - 7 - 14		
81	.. - 5 - 8		
83	.. - 26 - 4½		
84	.. - 35 - 11		
158	.. - 26 - 13		
160	3 - 35 - 13		
188	.. - 9 - ¼		
940	2 - 20 - 5		
941	2 - 16 - 4½		
19	6 - 8 - ¾		
	26 - 23 - 6	26·59	49 - 5 - 2
	29 - 14 - 13¾	29·38	57 - 14 - 06
Poramboke 20	.. - 14 - 5	·37	.. - 10 - 9
	29 - 28 - 2¾	29·75	57 - 9 - 3

The proceeds of this Inam & tope are appropriated to the expence of Virattesvaraswamy's Pagoda in the village of Valur. Worship is rendered and the Pagoda is in good condition

Tenure not known — not known — no documents — Virattesvarar

No. 1216 Virattesvaraswami Sarvamanyam 5 - 6 - 35¼

No. 1238 Sri Virattesvaraswami Sarvamanyam tope 29 - 29 - 12¾

Virattesvaraswami at Valur

Trustee

Sugandhavanachetti

To be confirmed

13/3/62 Major [illegible] Dy. Collr.

T. D. 642

Confirmed

[illegible] Chentsal Rao

Asst.

3rd June 63

7

E

T. D. No.

~~[illegible]~~

Regr. No. 35 — No. 35

Register of Inams in the village of Darukavanam (No. 554) in the above Mayavaram Talook Tanjore

Wet			
42	.. - 1 - 11½		
43	.. - 33 - 10		
44	[illegible]		
45	.. - 17 - 4½		
47	.. - 8 - 6		
50	.. - 16 - 2½		
57	1 - 6 - 15½		
	4 - 16 - 13½	4·40	
Garden 41	.. - 21 - 9½		
48	.. - 35 - 4½		
	.. - 25 - 13½	·65	
Tope 38	.. - 35 - 1		
40	.. - 21 - 4		
46	.. - 7 - 8		
49	.. - 31 -		
248	7 - 34 - 11		
249	.. - 37 - ½		
251	1 - 23 - 1		
	12 - 30 - 1½	12·75	21 - [illegible] - 6
	17 - 32 - 12½	17·8[illegible]	15

Cultivated as per F. 1216 9 - 17 - 50
do as per F. 1238 11 - 6 - 70
[illegible] 4 - 3 - 20
Tl. 14 - 15 - 70
Add for Garden 10 - [illegible]
24 - 15 - 70
This Inam as per F. 1216 1 - 16 - 72
above 24 - 15 fore [illegible] 9 - 11
2 - 5 - 83
Acres 15 - 6
Excess [illegible] 2 - 26 - 13½
[illegible] 3 - 2 - 4

Also such as appeared fit for cultivation. [illegible] the more [illegible] was originally [illegible] land. The simplest course [illegible] to the valuation of the Inam is to charge the entire extent with dry assessment as all tope land are dry & they are included under extent. Land in F. 1216 is charged. The portion entered in F. 1238 as dry, wet & Tottakal with the assessment of the respective classes produces much confusion & complexity. The whole Inam except a [illegible] have to be charged [illegible] now [illegible] how to charge the excess Inam at what rate, whether the Wet or Tottakal or dry. I have therefore charged the whole with the dry rate in the village & if portions are cultd. & [illegible] when [illegible] the Collr. may according to the practice of the [illegible] collect water cess. The Inam is [illegible] [illegible] subject to the payment [illegible] for the excess of the [illegible]

1/2/62 Major [illegible] Dy. Collr.

No. 1216 Virattesvaraswami Sarvamanyam tope

No. 1238 Virattesvaraswami Sarvamanyam tope 17 - 32 - 12½

Virattesvaraswami [illegible] at Valur [illegible]

Trustee

Sugandhavanachetti

The portions of this Inam entered as Wet & Tottakal yet the whole of [illegible] to be tope — but parts of the tope having become vacant by the perishing of the trees were thereafter cultd. they were classed as Wet & Tottakal in the Paimash [illegible] of F. 1238 according to the extent [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] state that the fields entd. as Wet &c. are parts of the tope. It is more [illegible] that [illegible] only those fields & parts of fields that were cultd. & that were [illegible] as Wet - dry or Tottakal - [illegible]

T. D. No. 921

Confd. [illegible] per [illegible] for [illegible] of the [illegible]

165056 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Do Do Do — No documents — Virattesvarar

V 1 - 16 - 72 as per [illegible] Inam for the [illegible] of F. 1216 [illegible]

[illegible] 39 is [illegible] in this Inam in the [illegible] but [illegible] is [illegible] now

---

Reg. No. 36

Register of Inams in the village of Perayeri (No. 555) in the above Mayavaram Talook Tanjore District

Regr. No. 36

Dry			
[illegible]	.. - [illegible] - 4½		
91	.. - 15 - 4		
93	.. - 13 - 6½		
92	.. - 6 - 12		
95	.. - 18 - 10		
97	.. - 13 - 12¼		
27	.. - 5 - 14		
143	.. - 2 - 12		
151	.. - 2 - 2		
	2 - 4 - 7½	2·11	2 - 15 - 6

Do Do Do Do Do Do

No. 1216 Virattesvaraswami tope [illegible]

No. 1238 Virattesvaraswami Inam tope 2 - 4 - 7½

Nos. 91. 88. 93. 95. 97 are said to have been planted on with a tope in F. 1233 up to [illegible] [illegible] had been included in [illegible]. Nos. 27. 143 & 151 appear to be the old tope entd. in F. 1216

As above

The length of time that the other fields have been in enjoyment as Inam [illegible] [illegible] for them & the whole is recommended accordingly to be confirmed subject to the payment of [illegible]

[illegible] 1 - 4

[illegible] Major [illegible]

To be confirmed till the fields in [illegible] 3 [illegible] the last three are [illegible] with the Paimash of F. 1208 as [illegible] with a tope in F. 1233 and [illegible] over to the Pagoda. From this it is evident that the three fields Nos. 27. 143 & 151 form the old Inam entd. in F. 1216 & the present extent of them [illegible]

T. D. No. 643

Confirmed

P. Chentsal Rao

Asst.

3rd June 1863

No. 90 is included in the Inam [illegible] but as it is [illegible] it is now included

Regr. No. 37

Ed	298	– – 15 – 11¼	·39	– – 10 –		
	Garden 130	– – 29 – 3¼	·73	2 – 10 – 11		
	Tope 132	2 – 12 – 6½				
	292	– – 13 – 4½				
		2 – 25 – 10½	2·64	4 – 1 – 6		
		3 – 30 – 9¼	3·76	7 – 11 – 5		

[illegible] per I.R. 1216 V 32 – 44 – 94
[illegible] per I.R. 1238 V 52 – – 98
Excess [illegible] 31 P.C.
[illegible] Inams 10 P.C.
41
Extent of the Inam as per 1216 – – 8 – 35
Excess at 40 P.C. – – 3 – 42
V – – 11 – 77
2 3 – 35 – [illegible]

The proceeds of the Inam & the tope are appropriated to the expense of Bramhapuriswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. And the Pagoda is said to be in good condition.

Free of tax — not known — not known — no documents

Bramhapuriswara Swami

I.R. 1216 Bramhapuriswara Swami's tope V – 8 – 35

I.R. 1238 Bramhapuriswara Swami's Sarwamanium 3 – 30 – 9¼. No. 298 is entd. as part of the tope cultd. with only 2 trees [illegible] No. 130 is entd. as cultd. with plantain [illegible] cocoanut trees

Bramhapuriswara Swami at Elandankudi
Dormakarta
Lubraya Mudali

Vide B.P. No. 1855/L.R. [illegible] of 13-7-98.
Assumed and fully assessed.
[illegible]
Asst. Inam Commr.

To be confirmed
1/12/62 Majandpala [illegible] Dy. Colr.

[illegible] Confirmed
P. Cheatsalai
Asst.
3 June 186[illegible]

Regr. No. 38 Reg. no. 38

Register of Inams in the village of Nakkampadi (No. 442) in the Palaiyar Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

1. Ed Do — Tope 217 — – – 18 – 9¼ — ·47 — – – 15 – – – –

Do Do Do Do Do Do — of Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered.

Aiyanar — Extd. at about 4 Kumbas equivalent to V – – 31. The tope therefore apparently appurtenant to the Aiyanar Pagoda is V – 2 – 19 – – – 31 – – 1 – 88. The present extent

I.R. 1216 Pillaiyar & Aiyanar joint swamy's tope V – 2 – 19

I.R. 1238 Aiyanar's Sarwamaniam tope – – 18 – 9¼

Aiyanar at Nakkampadi
Worshipper
Ramasami

I.R. 1216 – two topes are entd. jointly in the names of Aiyanar & Pillaiyar. One only is now in enjoyment by the former, that of the latter having been subsequently [illegible] the Pariarcheri. Of I.R. 1238 [illegible] It cannot be ascertained how much of the extent in I.R. 1216 is to be [illegible] the Aiyanar [illegible] the [illegible] Inam [illegible]

Does not exceed [illegible]. The Inam is therefore recommended to be confirmed.
7/12/62 Majandpala [illegible] Dy. Colr.

T.D. No. 645
Confirmed
P. Cheatsalai
Asst.
3 June 186[illegible]

Regr. No. 39 Reg. no. 39

Register of Inams in the village of Kaliyattular (No. 443) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

1. Ed Do — Tope [illegible] — – – 8 – 14½ — ·22 — – – 6 – 7 – – – –

Do Do Do Do Do Do — of Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition.

Not known

I.R. 1238 Kandarvanam – 8 – 14½. The Inam in the accts. are Kandarvanam [illegible] seems to be an the Eng. of the Pillaiyar it is Inam in its name.

Pillaiyar at Kaliyattular
Worshipper
Lubbayarukkal

To be confirmed [illegible] 2
10/2/62 Majandpala [illegible] Dy. Colr.

T.D. No. [illegible]
Confirmed
P. Cheatsalai
Asst.
3[illegible]

Reg. no. 40

Register of Inams in the village of Paramasivapuram (No. 444) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

1. Ed Do — [illegible] 8 — ·04 — – – 1 – 3 – – – –

Do Do Do Do Do Do — of Vanjiswaraswami's Pagoda in the village of Palaiyar. Worship is rendered. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition.

Vanjiswaraswami

I.R. 1216 Vanjiswaraswami tope V – 17¾

I.R. 1238 Vanjiswaraswami's Sarwamaniam tope – 3 – 1½. This is the entire extent of the Inam, a portion having been taken up for a road; the remg. only is entd. in Col. 4.

Vanjiswaraswami at Palaiyar
Worshipper
Lamiyarukkal

To be confirmed. This is an [illegible] small Inam
[illegible] Majandpala [illegible] Dy. Colr.

T.D. No. [illegible]
Confirmed
P. Cheatsalai
Asst.
3 Ju[illegible]

Regr. No. 41 Reg. no. 41

Register of Inams in the village of Ramapuram (No. 445) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

1. Ed Do — Tope 65 — – – 18 – 3½ — ·46 — – – 11 – – – –

The excess in Aiyan lands [illegible] 10 P.C. is V 13 – 5 – – P.C. Excess over this [illegible] the Inam to be charged is V – – 3 – 13½ and [illegible] – – 2 – 4.

Do Do Do Do Do Do — of Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition.

Pillaiyar

I.R. 1216 Pillaiyar's tope V – – 98

I.R. 1238 Pillaiyar Sarwamaniam tope – – 18 – 3½

Pillaiyar at Ramapuram
Worshipper
Lamiyarukkal

To be confirmed subject to the payment of the – 2 – for the excess
7/12/62 Majandpala [illegible] Dy. Colr.

T.D. No. 648
Confirmed
P. Cheatsalai
Asst.
3 June [illegible]

Regr. No. 42 Reg. no. 42

Register of Inams in the village of Kolikutti (No. 285) in the Lolampettai Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Ed Do	Tope 628	– – 23 – 15½
	580	1 – 5 – –
	631	1 – 26 – 14
	632	– – 18 – 7½
		4 – 3 – 14

·09 — 5 – 13 – – – –

Do Do Do Do Do Do — of Gopalakrishnaswami & Lakshmipatiswaraswami's Pagodas in the village. Worship is rendered to both [illegible]

Gopalakrishnaswami & Lakshmipatiswami

I.R. 1216 Swami Pagoda tope V – 12 – 51½

I.R. 1238 Swami & Perumal Pagoda Sarwamaniam tope 4 – 3 – 14

Gopalakrishnaswami & Lakshmipatiswaraswami at Kolikutti
Worshippers
Srinivasa Aiyangar
[illegible]

To be confirmed

T.D. No. 6[illegible]
Conf.
P. Cheatsalai
Asst.

214 (Continued)

MAYAVARAM T.K.

Regr No. 395
~~Sheet~~ No. 102
Semangalam

	Tope 545	– 19 –	48	– 6 – 1	The proceeds of this tope are appropriated to the expense of Agastiswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Agastiswara Swami	In F.R. 216 Agastiswara Swami Sarwamaniem tope No – 2-55	In F.R. 238 Agastiswara Swami Sarwamaniem tope – 19 –	Agastiswara Swami at Semangalam Worshipper Somigurukkal	XXA See Intimation 13 June 1866 [illegible] Mirasidars [illegible] paid [illegible] settlement [illegible] To be confirmed 21/2/62 5/10/66	T D No 563 Conf'd P. Chentsalrao Ag[illegible] 9 Jan 1863

Regr No. 396 Register of Inams in the village of Ramapuram (No 69) in the Mayavaram Moganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr No. 396

Do	Tope 48 63	– 26 – 1 – 7 – 4 1 – 33 – 4	1·83	1 – 10 – 9	Do of Lekshminarayana Perumal & Viswanadha Swami's Pagodas in the village. The Pagodas are said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered to both.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Lekshminarayana Perumal & Viswanadha Swami	In F.R. 216 Swami Perumal Pagoda tope 1-7-4½	In F.R. 238 in Lekshminarayana Perumal & Viswanadha Swami's Sarwamaniem tope 1-33-4	Lekshminarayana Perumal & Viswanadha Swami at Ramapuram Worshippers Venkataramaiyangar & Muttusamigurukkal respectively	To be confirmed 21/2/62	T D No 564 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Ag[illegible] 9 Jan 63

Regr No. 397 Register of Inams in the village of Agaramappadugai (No 72) in the above Moganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr No. 397

Do	Tope 356 428 429 430 539 586 587 589	– 26 – 12½ 1 – 27 – 8 3 – 3 – 4 1 – 33 – 2 – 9 – 9¾ – 17 – 6½ – 15 – 7½ – 3 – 8 – 16 – 2¼	8·40	6 – 5 – 3 The average [illegible] lands as per F.R. is Rs 3-70.	Do of Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal's Pagodas in the village. The Pagodas are said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered to both.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal	In F.R. 216 Pagoda Sarwamaniem tope 2 – – 6½	In F.R. 238 Chandrasekara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal's Sarwamaniem tope 8-18-2¼	Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Swami at Agaramappadugai Worshippers Muttusamigurukkal & Venkataramaiyangar respectively	To be confirmed 21/2/62	T D No 565 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Ag[illegible] 9 June 63
2	Wet 481 Tope 431 433 434 435 460	1 – 21 – 4 3 – 29 – 8½ – 12 – – 26 – – 27 – 1 – 24 – 2 7 – 38 – 10½ 9 – 19 – 14½ Half of area which is Inam 4 – 29 – 5½	1·53 7·97 9·50 4·75	5 – 10 – 10 13 – 13 – 8 6 – 4 – 10	as above. This is called Ardha-manyam. The excess on the Inam to be charged is 2-32-[illegible] at Rs [illegible]	Free of tax No revenue can be charged on excess as the half [illegible] collected upon the full extent.	Do	[illegible] the whole extent in our registers as Inam with a jodi equal to the [illegible] half [illegible] cannot be applied to this case. I have entered as Inam only one half of the entire extent. The principle arrangement for dividing the produce equally between the Pagoda & the	[illegible]	[illegible]	as above	In F.R. 216 Swami & Perumal Pagoda [illegible] 1-10-15	In F.R. 238 Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal's Sarwamaniem 9-19-14½	As above [illegible]	In the accts of F.R. 216 & 238 the entire extent of the fields is entered as Inam & the other as Taxable [illegible] the course [illegible] generally adopted	T D No 978 It should be treated as Inam, the Mirasidars giving the full [illegible] of Govt. treating the [illegible] away of present Collector XXA W.[illegible] Tab 6/3/66 Regr. copied 13/11/65

Regr No. 398 Register of Inams in the village of Porambur (No 331) in the Komal Moganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr No. 398

Do	Tope 514 1051	– 18 – 12 – 1 – 13 – 20 – 9	52	– 11 –	Do of Ramachandra Perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	Do	Do	Do	Ramachandra Perumal	In F.R. 216 Perumal pagoda tope No – 90	In F.R. 238 Ramachandra Perumal Sarwamaniem tope – 20 – 9	Ramachandra Perumal at Porambur Worshipper Seshaiyangar	To be confirmed. The entire extent of the village in F.R. cannot be ascertained from the acct on hand & the average excess in consequence cannot be known. The excess in the Inam is very small in value & I think the whole may be confirmed [illegible] 14/2/62	T D No 566 Conf'd P. Chentsalrao Ag[illegible] 9 Jan 63
Do	Tope 1083 1084	– 11 – 11 – 3 – 14 – 15 – 9	39	– 8 – 5	Do of Agastiswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered	Do	Do	Do	Do	Agastiswara	——	In F.R. 238 Swami Pagoda tope – 15 – 9	Agastiswara at Porambur Worshipper Vayidanathagurukkal	This Inam was not entered in the acct of F.R. Recommended to be confirmed [illegible]	T D No 567 Conf'd P. Ch[illegible]

Regr. No. 398

14.12 Cd	Tope 555 — -12-3 30 — 6-6	The proceeds of this tope are appropriated to the expence of the above two Pagodas & Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The three Pagodas are said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered to them all.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Ramachandra perumal Agastiswaran & Pillaiyar	—	In F1238 Ramachandra perumal & joint tope. — -12-3	Ramachandra perumal Agastiswara swami & Pillaiyar at Porombur Worshippers Seshaiyangar to the 1st Vayiddinadha gurukkal to the last two	This Inam is not entered in account of F1216. To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered. [illegible] 19/2/62 Dy. Collr.	T. D. 5[illegible] Cert P. Chetrala[illegible] Agra 9 June 63 R.D.

Regr. No. 399 Register of Inams in the village of Káli (No. 249) in the Korukkai Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 399

1 Do	Tope 982 2-6-13 2.17 2 — -1 — in no case under the Rajah was one of 14 feet which that adopted in F1216 one of 12 feet only. Some reason to be [illegible] other difference by the [illegible] The present extent of the Inam does not exceed that in col. 14 [illegible]	Do Do of Venkatachalaperumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Venkatachalaperumal	In F1216 Venkatachalapati tope No. 5-20 The reliance on F1216 cannot be ascertained. Under these circumstances it is decided that the Inam may be confirmed	In F1238 Venkatachalaperumal's Swamimaniem tope. 2-6-13	Venkatachalaperumal at Káli Worshipper Srinivasaiyangar [illegible] 20/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.	The Remarks at [illegible] to old [illegible]. The extent given in col. 14 was [illegible] extent in F1216. The measurement of the [illegible] is almost [illegible] excess over the previous extents & it is [illegible] probable there was an excess in this Inam also. [illegible]	T. D. No. 569 Conf P. Chetrala[illegible] Agra 9 June R.D.
Do	Dry 556 — -11-4 .28 — -4-2 Tope 846 — -22-8 858 — 25 — 1-7-8 1.19 1-1-8 1-18-12 1.47 1-5-10	Do Do Do Do Do of Chellappa Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.				Do	Chellappa Aiyanar	In F1216 Aiyanar Pagoda tope No. 3 —	In F1238 Aiyanar Pagoda Swamimaniem 1-18-12	Chellappa Aiyanar at Káli Worshipper Vayiddilingam	Vide Remarks above. Recommended to be confirmed. 20/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 570 Conf P. Chetrala[illegible] Agra 9 June
Do	Tope 568 — -30-13¼ 576 — -16-10½ 1-7-4¾ 1.18 1-1-6	Do Do Do Do Do of Pidari's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.				Do	Pidári	In F1216 Pidari Pagoda tope No. 2-55	In F1238 Pidari's Swamimaniem tope 1-7-4¾	Pidári at Káli Worshipper Vairayudam	Vide Remarks at [illegible] Recommended to be confirmed 20/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.	R.D. T. D. No. 571 Conf P. Chetrala[illegible] Agra 9 June
Do	Dry 382 — -1-13½ 398 — -6-15½ — -8-11 .22 — -3-2	This is a Nandavanam & is enjoyed by the Pagodas of the village & the public —	do	do	do	do	Workmen		In F1238 Nandavanam — -8-11	Nandavanam at Káli Manager The village community	Vide B.P. No. 1709 mis / L.R. dated 16-5-05. 17 cents of the inam have been resumed & fully assessed. [illegible] 22-5-05. Ag. Inam Commissioner. To be confirmed. This [illegible] is not of F1216. The [illegible] is very small 20/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.	R.D. T. D. No. 572 Conf P. Chetrala[illegible] Agra 9 June R.D.

Regr. No. 400 Register of Inams in the village of Kidáttalaimédu (No. 250) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 400

14.12 Do	Tope 64 2-20-11½ 2.52 2-7-10	The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expence of Varadarajaswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Varadaraja swami	In F1216 Varadaraja perumal's tope No. 9-54½	In F1238 Varadaraja perumal's Swamimaniem tope 2-20-11½	Varadaraja swami at Kidáttalaimédu Worshipper Venkataramanaiyangar	To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is regularly rendered 20/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 573 Conf P. Chetrala[illegible] Agra 9 June R.D.
Do	Tope 3 [illegible] 12 — 5 [illegible] 11 — 4-3 [illegible] 7 — 22 [illegible] 8 — 3 [illegible] 9 — 2 [illegible] 13 [illegible] 482 1 [illegible] 507 1 [illegible] 543 [illegible]	Do Do Do Do Do of Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.				Do	Pillaiyar	In F1216 Pillaiyar Pagoda tope No. 11-26½	In F1238 Pillaiyar Pagoda Swamimaniem tope 4-20-6¾ No. 5 [illegible] Nandavanam	Pillaiyar at Kidáttalaimédu Worshipper Parameswaragurukkal	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is within the [illegible] 10 P.C. 25/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 574 Conf P. Chetrala[illegible] Agra 9 June R.D.

11

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Soshampettai Vadapatty Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Regr. No 99

Sheet No. 102

1.	Dharmi Manyam	Dry No 17	10 5/16 Gulies	·26	o	-6-1	Tax free	–	Survey Account of Fasli 1238		No Nandavanam has been maintained [illegible]	The [illegible] 7838	Fully assessed R. [illegible] Inam Comr. [illegible]
2.	Dharmi Manyam	Dry No 100 Do No 102 Total	2 9 1/16 Gulies 1 Acre & 17 3/16 Gulies 2 Acres & 6 13/16 Gulies	·73 1·44 2·17	o o o	-14-10 2-1-10 3-4-8	Tax free	–	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	[illegible] Nandavanam	Solampettai [illegible] Nandavanam [illegible]	[illegible] Nandavanam [illegible] 7838	Continued R. [illegible] Inam Comr. [illegible] T.D. No 1277

closed

78

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
1/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்