the village of Nirugamangalam (No. 267) in the Taluk of Mayaveram, in the District of Tanjo

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Sheet No: 68

Sheet 68

ding in the
Nagachi.

5 21 — 5
al fees — 12 — 9
ainder 20 — 3 — 8

For the performance of Puja &c. to Sri Sokkanádha svámi at Nágamangalam. The services are kept up.

Tax free. — The gran[illegible] not an assignment [illegible] land but an assig[illegible] Land Revenue payable to Government [illegible] to the payment of additional assessment dire[illegible] Government on account of extra cultivation Fus[illegible] or Tirva Jasti, water tax &c.

[illegible]ermanent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863-64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.
Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75.
On condition that the revenue a[illegible] in lieu of rea[illegible] money allowance shall be prope[illegible] for the religious services in the Temple.

Sri Sokkanádha Svámi.

"

Sri Sokkanádha Svámi.

Nágamangalam village in Mayavaram Taluq

" "

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up.

W.M. Weld
Ag Hd Asst Collector

Continued
25/10/77
SA

T. Dek
1149

Sheet 68

68

68

Fair copied.

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் [illegible] வருடம் 1988
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Mayavaram

MAYAVARAM-TK

Regr. No. 278
Sheet No. 68
Case No. 34

Wet			
406	1-28-3¾		
408	"-30-13¼		
409	1-"-3¼		
410	"-15-5½		
411	"-24-7¾		
415	3-2-¼		
420	1-3-6		
422	"-21-5¼		
	9-5-12¾	9·15	50-3-3
Dry 407	"-3-4		
412	"-2-1¼		
414	"-3-¼		
419	"-5-[illegible]		
	"-14-9	·37	"-7-4
Garden 731	1-22-8		
732	"-29-10½		
733	"-4-13¼		
734	"-33-2¼		
	3-10-2	3·25	10-5-9
	12-31-7¾	12·77	61-"-4

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees 1-15-" — Nothing not known

A Wajibutarkaw by Collector directing the continuance of the Inam is produced — Extent of the Grant Wet — Dry — 8 — 1-8 — with a cond. of 14 feet

Vanchiswara Sas.

In Reg. of 1809 —
1. Rukkumani Ammal widow of Grandson W 6-25 D 2-81¼ / 9-6¼
2. Keerthi Sas. Great grandson W 10 D 4 / 14
3. Narayana [illegible] enj. by gift W 1-25 D 50 / 1-75
4. Ramaswami Aiyen enjd. by purchase W 2-50 D 68¾ / 3-18¾
1-8

In F1238
1. Arunachala Sas. 26-28-1¾
2. Kuppu Aiyen 1-15
3. Prayaganathan charri
4. Kesava charri
5. Annachari 4-28-6
12-31-7¾

Kuttalam
1 Bhaskarasastri 41
2 Arunachalasastri 29
3 Rama chari 50
4 Gopalachari 45
5 Narayanachari 43
6 Ammaniyammal 25

(1) Wife 1 Son ½
(2) Wife 1 Daughter ½
(3) Wife 1 Son ⅔
(4) Wife 1 Son ½
(5) Wife 1 Son ½
(6) None

Arunachalasastri the father of No. 1 & grand father of No. 2 had in exchange for his own Inam in another village, from Keerthi Sas No. 2 in Col: 14 – the 14 Mas. entd. in his name. The exchange took place in the year Tarana & a deed of exchange is produced — The 5 visams entd. in the name of No. 1 in Col. 14 & the 1 Vis: in that of No. 3 are now in the enjoyment of No. 3 & 5. Of the former 3 Visams were purchased by No. 3 who is also called Kesava chari, in the year Sarvadhari from Venkamma who appears to be identical with No. 1 in Col: 14 – & the remaining 2 Vis: were purchased by father of No. 3 in the year Vishu from Ramaiyan who had them of the above Venkamma — & the latter rest 1 Vis: was inherited from Narayana Chari who was grand father of No. 3 & 5. No. 6 is daughter in law of Kuppu Aiyan No. 2 in Col: 15 who had purchased it of Ramaswami Aiyan No. 4 in Col: 14 – before the Paimaish.

To be confirmed
[illegible]
[illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chenchalrao
Asst.
11/1/63

Wet	asst.	Dry	asst.	Garden	asst.	Total	asst.	Kaval	DR	
[illegible]-30-11	26-2-7	"-8-12½	"-4-4	1-27-10	5-6-1	6-27-1¾	27-13-"	1-"-8 ⅛	3-12-"	Disposed
[illegible]-12½	6-"-2	"-1-7	"-"-9	"-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12¾	7-7-4	"-3-8		
1-3-12½	6-"-2	"-1-7	"-"-9	"-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12¾	7-7-4	"-3-8 ⅛	2-12-"	do
1-3-12¼	6-"-2	"-1-7	"-"-9	"-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12½	7-7-6	"-3-8		
1-3-12½	6-"-2	"-1-7½	"-"-9	"-9-12¼	"-12-5	1-15-"	6-13-4	"-3-4 ½	3-4-"	do
[illegible]-12¾	50-3-3	"-14-9	"-7-4	3-10-2	10-5-9	12-31-7¾	61-"-4	1-15-"	9-12-"	37. Dr.

Umambapuram, Taluk Mayavaram

Personal Wet 158	"-16-¼		
159	1-38-11½		
160	3-9-1¼		
161	2-38-12½		
162	"-22-11½		
	9-1-5	9·03	49-9-4
Garden 856	"-25-4		
857	"-23-8¼		
785	"-38-5		
	2-12-11¼	2·32	7-6-1
	11-14-¼	11·35	56-15-5

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees 1-13-" — do — do

* From his son by Kuppu Aiyan No. 4 in Col: 15. From this Inam widow it passed to Krishnaiyan from whom No. 8 purchased it in the year Raudri. How Krishnaiyan got the Inam from the widow whether by purchase or otherwise or by gift is not known — Deed of sale produced.

co. — Narahari Dikshitar

In Reg. of 1809 —
Visvesvara Dik: son W 15 D 6-75 / 1-1-75
2. Lakshmana [illegible] enjoying by purch. W 0 D 1-25 / 6-25
1-8

In F1238
1. Baba Rao 26-26-9¼
2. Vaidam bhattan 28-8¼
3. Kristnamachari 1-39-13
4. Kuppai engar 19-15¼
5. Tulasi aiyen 20-3½
6. Vaiddelinga Chetti 38-15
11-14-¼

Mayavaram
1. Srinivasa Rao 24
2. Mahadevabhattan 25
3. Jivan Rao 37
4. Venkataramaiyan 15
5. Vaiddilinga Chetti 69
6. Subbramanya Chetti 52
7. Namasivaya Chetti 45
8. Eda Karuppan 40

(1) Wife 1/1
(2) Wife 1 brother ⅔
(3) Wife 1 Sons 2 brothers 4/7
(4) Wife 1/1
(5) Wife 1 Sons ⅔
(6) Wife 1 Sons ⅘
(7) Wife 1/1
(8) Wife 1 Daughter ½

The 15 Mas of Wet entd. in the name of No. 1 in Col. 14 were disposed of by Baba Vallabha Dik. who is said to be son adopted in the year Tarana of [illegible] Aiyan whom in the same year [illegible] No. 1 in Col. 15 purchased them. The former holder No. 1 is adopted son of Baba Rao whose mother's grandson he is. The adoptee is [illegible] has been made *

* About 10 years ago after the Upanayanam of the adoptee No. 2 a brother's son of Vaidambhattan in Col: 15 who purchased the 2 Mas of dry land in his enjoyment from Baba Vallabha Dikshitar above named in the year Chitrabhanu. Deed produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No. 3 was purchased by his father in the year Vijaya from Kristnama Chari No. 3 in Col: 15 who was son of No. 2 in Col: 14 – in whose name 2/3 of the extent specified in the name of his father is entd. in the Paimaish — Deed produced — No. 4's share was purchased by him in the year Nala from Srinivasaiyan a brother's son of Tulasiaiyan in Col: 15. The above Srinivasaiyan had come into

To be confirmed
[illegible]
[illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chenchalrao
Asst.
11/1/63

No	Wet	asst.	Garden	asst.	Total	asst.	Kaval	DR	
1	6-28-9¼	36-2-4	"	"	6-28-9¼	36-9-4	1-3-" ½	18-"	Disposed
2	"	"	"-28-8¼	2-4-4	"-28-8¼	2-4-4	"-1-" ⅛	"-4-"	do
3	1-22-15¾	8-10-4	"-16-13¼	1-5-5	1-39-13	9-15-9	"-5-"	1-4-"	do
4	"-16-¼	2-3-2	"-4-3¼	"-5-4	"-20-3½	2-8-6	"-1-5	"-4-"	do
5	"	"	"-19-7½	1-8-10	"-19-7½	1-8-10	"-"-8		
6	"	"	"-9-11¾	"-12-5	"-9-11¾	"-12-5	"-"-4	"-6-"	do
7	"	"	"-9-11¾	"-12-5	"-9-11¾	"-12-5	"-"-4		
8	"-15-11¾	2-2-6	"-4-3½	"-5-4	"-19-15¼	2-7-10	"-1-3 ½	1-4-"	do
	9-1-5	49-9-4	2-12-11¼	7-6-1	11-14-¼	56-15-5	1-13-"	21-8-"	15 D.

Case No. 35

Do Wet [illegible] 64	"-13-10		
65	"-15-3		
66	1-23-3¼		
68	"-29-7¼		
95	"-2-5¼		
98	"-6-5		
100	"-6-2		
101	1-13-13¾		
102	2-18-1¾		
103	1-10-15½		
105	1-10-8½		
	11-9-3¼	10·22	56-2-2
Dry 67	"-2-10		
728	2-36-9		
	2-39-3	2·98	3-12-1
Garden 727	"-2-6½	·06	"-3-"
	13-10-9¼	13·26	60-1-3

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval 2-4- — do — do. — do

Uttaratin Dikshitar Vajapeyar

In Reg. of 1809 —
1. Srinivasa Rao W 3-75
2. Subba bhattan W 5
3. Subbai [illegible] W 3-75
4. Ramaswami bhattan D 8
5. Appavaiyan — W 7-50
[illegible]

In F1238
1. Subbarayan 1-35-5
2. Srinivasa Rao 2-7-1¼
3. Kuppu Aiyen 2-1-13¼
4. Subbaiyan 2-2-3
5. Raghunathaiyan 2-5-3¾ (This is said to be in [illegible])
6. Srinivasa [illegible] 2-36-9
[illegible]

Kuttalam
1. Janaki Ammal 35
2. Ramaswami Aiyen 47
3. Jivabhattar 33
4. Samarao 40
5. Muttukrishnaiyan 62
6. Venkatesvara Dikshitar 55
7. Ro[illegible] 35

(1) Daughter married ⅓ Grand daughter ½
(2) Wife 1/1
(3) Wife 1 Sons ⅔
(4) Wife 1 Sons ⅔
(5) Wife 1 Sons ⅔
(6) [illegible]
(7) Wife 1 [illegible] ⅔

Janaki Ammal is grand son's widow of Subbarayan No. 1 in Col. 15. The 5 Visams entd. in the name of Appavaiyan in Col. 14 are said to [illegible] in 1809 [illegible] sold to him by the [illegible]

[illegible] -dividual came to be entd. in the Reg. of [illegible] the 6 Vis. cannot be ascertained — The deed produced seems to be genuine. The 6 V minus the 3 disposed of by Appavaiyan are what are entd. in Subbaramaiyan's name in the Paimaish, & are presently enjd. by No. 1. Of the 3 V which [illegible] were sold [illegible] sold 1½ V in the year Chitrabhanu & mortgaged the remainder in the year [illegible] with Kuppu Aiyan No. 2 in Col: 15. The term fixed in the bond for the redemption of the mortgage having expired with Sarvajit the whole 3 Visams [illegible] in Kuppu Aiyan's name in the Paimaish. [illegible] 1½ V [illegible]

[illegible] named in the Reg. as having sold to Appavaiyan the purchaser appears to have been [illegible]

To be confirmed
[illegible]
[illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chenchalrao
Asst.
11/1/63

Wet	asst.	Dry	asst.	Garden	asst.	Total	asst.	Kaval		DR
1-32-11	9-15-"	"-2-10	"-1-4	"	"	1-35-5	10-"-11	"-5-6	⅛	1-4-" [illegible]
2-"-13¾	11-8-8	"	"	"	"	2-"-13¾	11-3-8	"-6-"	"	1-6-" do
2-"-3	11-4-6	"	"	"	"	2-"-3	11-4-6	"-6-"	"	1-6-" do
2-[illegible]-12¾	11-15-3	"	"	"	"	2-7-1¾	11-15-3	"-6-5	"	1-8-" do
"	"	2-35-9	3-10-[illegible]	"	"	2-35-9	3-10-9	"-6-"		"-6-" do
2-5-3¾	11-11-2	"	"	"	"	2-5-3¾	11-11-2	"-6-"		1-8-" do
"	"	"	"	"-2-5½	"-3-"	"-2-5½	"-3-"			"-2-" do

Case No. 36

1

Regr No 278

Case No 37

[illegible] of garden entered

Wet			
34	1-1-2¾		
36	1-31-2½		
37	-34-9½		
38	1-12-11		
40	-13-1		
42	-6-14		
43	-21-7		
44	-22-9½		
62	1-26-14¾		
63	2-2-7½		
45	-12-11		
	15-25-14½	10.64	66-2-
Dry			
41	-2-8½		
710	-4-6		
711	-3-10		
713	-6-9		
714	-16-6½		
712	-1-3		
715	-6-1½		
716	-5-9		
717	-15-7¼		
718	-20-14		
719	-22-14¾		
720	-11-2½		
	4-5¼	4.01	-10
garden 563	-3-12½	.09	-4-10
	14-29-12½	14.74	71-7-8

These include 3 aspt for 2d crop also

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaul 2-7-

Tot Beri Kottams

No	Wet	aspt	Dry	aspt	garden	aspt	Total	aspt	Kaul		2R
1	2-8	12-11-6	-20-	-13			2-26-3½	13-8-6	-7-	⅛	1-12 Agr
2	2-2-9½	11-5-4					2-2-9½	11-5-4	-6-	½	5-8 Inf
3	1-5-14	7-5-2					1-5-14	7-5-2	-3-	⅛	-14 do
4	-20-9¾	2-13-3	-3-14	-1-11			-24-7¾	2-15-2	-1-6		
5	-20-9¾	2-13-3	-3-14	-1-11	-2-13½	-3-3	-27-5¾	3-2-10	-1-7		
6	-13-12	1-14-3	-2-18½	-1-4			-16-6¾	1-15-7	-1-	⅛	1-8 do
7	-13-12	1-14-3	-2-10½	-1-4			-16-6¾	1-15-7	-1-		
8	-13-12	1-14-3	-2-13½	-1-4	-15¼	-1-2	-17-5¾	2-9	-1-1		
9	2-26-12	20-14-7	-13½	-5			3-3-9½	20-15	-8-3	¼	5 do
10			2-14-15½	2-15-11			2-14-15½	2-15-11	-6-	⅛	-6 do
11			-9-3½	-4-8			-9-3½	-4-8	-6		-2 do
12			-13-13½	-7-			-13-13½	-7-	-10		-2 do
13	-16-6¼	2-8-2					-18-5¼	2-8-2	-1-3		-4 do
	-28-0½	56-2	4-5¼	5-6	-3-12½	-4-10	14-29-12½	71-7-8	2-7		15-8 — 97-9

∆ Son of Ramaswamiaiyen No 1 in col: 14 or No 7 in col: 15. Deed of sale is produced. But Varahappaiyen produces a counter agreement executed by the purchaser at the time of purchase (in which he consents to the restoration of the land to the seller on condition of his repaying the purchase money within the year Saumya with interest) & objects to the entry of the land in the name of No 9. Whereas 13 years have elapsed since the specified term expired — the conditions of the bond are explicit — & the party in possession does not consent to the restoration, the claim set up cannot be entertained. No 9 is said to have been adopted by his uncle (divided) about 8 or 9 years ago — which is entd in the name of No 8 & said to have been purchd by his brother Kasi abbah ⊗

A Deed of [illegible] grant granted by Tulajarajah directing the continuance of the Enam. Extent of grant W 1- D -8- 1-8-

Vina Venkataramaiyen

In Regr of 1809 —
1. Ramaswami Aiyen brothers grandson W-5-83½ D-4- -9-83½
2. Kasiviswanathaiyen son W-1-35½ D-1-20 -2-55½
3. Rajagopalabhattar daughter enjoyed by St?? W-5- D-30 -5-30
4. Ganapati Vadhiyar enjoying by purch. W-5- D-1- -6-
5. Ambaji Rao enjd by purch. Dry 1-50
6. Ramabhattar enjd by purch W-2-81 D- -2-81

No 4238
1. Adhinarayana Aiyen 2-26-3¾
2. Rajagopalan 2-2-9½
3. Venkatabhattar 1-5-¼
4. Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar -24-7¾
5. Subbaraya Vadhiyar -27-5¼
6. Ganapati Vadhiyar 1-10-3¼
7. Ramaswami Aiyen W 2-36-12 D 2-15-12¾ 5-12-8¾
8. Bapasami Pandit -9-3½
9. Kristnappa -13-13¼
10. Ranganathaiyen -18-5¼

14-29-12½

Kuthalam
1 Sivada Aiyer 50
2 Sivaramadikshatar 45
3 Saminathaiyer 48
4 Appadhuraivadhyar 60
5 Subbramanyavadhyar 11
6 Lakshmiyammal 56
7 Appaswamivadhyar 35
8 Suryanarayanavadhyar 35
9 Vasudevachariar 16
10 Muttusami Pillai 25
11 Ramaswamiaiyen 68
12 Sundarappaiyen 25
13 Seethayammal 54
14 Rangaiengar 65

⊕ For paper daughter's son of Venkatabhattar in col: 15 the land No [illegible] [illegible]

(1) Grandson of the party entd 13-

(1) Wife & son ½
(2) Wife & Daughter ⅔
(3) 2 brothers' sons ⅘
(4) Son ¼
(5) Mother ¼
(6) None
(7) Wife & son ½
(8) Wife & son ½
(9) Mother ¼
(10) Wife brother do wife ⅓
(11) Wife & sons ⅔
(12) Wife & brother ⅔
(13) Son's widow ½
(14) Wife & son ½

[illegible] is the share entd in the name of No 2 in Col: 15 who was the same person as No 9 in col: 14. He purchased it in the year 1860 from the [illegible] brother of Rajagopala Sastri's widow. This woman dying about 10 years ago a [illegible] her brother by appointment performed her funerals & assumed her estate. Deed of sale produced. No 4 — also known by the name of Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyan is the same as No 4 in Col: 15 — No 5 is grandson of No 5 Subbaraya Vadhiyar. No 6 is daughter in law & No 7 & 8 are grandsons of Ganapati Vadhiyar and No 6 — the extent enjoyed by all these, No 4, 6, 8, is that entd in the name of No 4 in Col: 14 — the 4 shares of Dry entd in the name of No 1 in col: 14 were disposed of by his son Varahappaiyen in the year 1850 to Chengalvarayi Ammal from whom in 1857 No 10 Venkatasami Pillai purchased them. Deeds of sale produced. The dry land in the enjoyment of No 11 was purchased by him in 1852 from Bapusami Pandit No 8 in Col: 15, who was [illegible] of Ambaji Rao in Col: 14 No 12 *

* This uncle's widow No 13 enjoy the extent entd in the name of Kristnappa in Col: 15 from whom No 12's uncle purchd it. The deed of sale is not produced — as it is said to be missing — but a receipt granted by Ambaji Rao's son, from whom the above Kristnappa's father purchased the land, for the purchase money which was handed over to No 12's uncle when the purchase was made by Kristnappa is ⊛

⊛ [illegible] No 14 is the same as the party of that name in col: 15. This man came into possession by purchase made from the [illegible] of the above Ambaji Rao's [illegible]. The extent in the enjoyment of No 9 was purchased by his uncle & adoptive father in the year Visvavasu from Varahappaiyen ∆

To be confirmed

Rajagopalachariar Depy Collr

[illegible] of No 9 the above Varahappaiyen ~~[illegible]~~ as the sale is not an outright one & it is virtually [illegible] as ruled in No 12 in similar cases. [illegible] as regards [illegible] Guzen

[illegible] 11/8/63

Case No 38

Dry

Wet			
88	-26-2¾		
89	-11-15		
110	2-31-14¼		
111	-20-12½		
113	2-9-7¾		
114	-11-3		
115	2-1-14		
116	1-19-15		
	11-27-4½	11.68	64-2-2

do Kaul 2-4- Hereditary

No	Wet	aspt	Kaul		2R	
1	-15-7¼	2-2-	-1-4			
2	-15-7¼	2-2-	-1-4			
3	-36-12½	5-9	-3-2	⅛	2-4-	Insd
4	-36-12½	5-9	-3-2			
5	-36-12½	5-9	-3-2			
6	3-32-12¾	20-15-6	-11-3		2-8-	equal [illegible]
7	1-15-3¾	7-10-9	-4-3		-14-	Insd
8	1-6-11½	6-6-6	-3-3	½	3-	Insd
9	-22-3½	3-	-1-7	⅛	-8-	do
10	-34-12	4-12-5	-2-6		-10-	do
11	-3-9½	-13-6			-4-	do
	11-27-4½	64-2-2	2-4-		9-14-	7.Z.d

Granted by Pertab Sing Raja on the 12th Rabiulawal [illegible] Hejira 1169—

The deed is not produced but it is found registered in the Regr of 1809. The extent of the deed is W 1-8-

Kristnaiyan

In Regr of 1809 —
1. Subbammal daughter-in-law W-8-28
2. Manikkammal daughter of No 1 W-5-
3. Ganapati Vadhiyar W-1-8½
4. Appu Vadhiyar W-8½
5. Venkataramaiyen W-5
6. Subramaniya W-2-80
7. Parvatiammal [illegible] 2-30 1-8
No 8 & 7 enjoying by purch.

No 4238
1. Ganapati Vadhiyar 2-30-5¾
2. Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar alias Appandurai Vadhiyar -15-7¼
3. Subbaraya Vadhiyar -15-7¼
4. Venkatakrishna [illegible] ammal enjoying in common 3-32-12¾
5. Kasturi Aiyen 2-22-9¼
6. Subbabhattar enjoying on mortgage -13-9½
7. Govindabhattar & Subbadikshatar enjoying alone -17-4¼

9-27-4½

Kuthalam
1 Appaduraivadhyar 60
2 Subramanyavadhyar 11
3 Lakshmiyammal 55
4 Appaswamivadhyar 35
5 Suryanarayanavadhyar 35
6 Venkatalakshmiyammal 60
7 Pattabhiramaiyan 30
8 Kristnaiyan 49
9 Pichudikshitar 60
10 Viraraghava Aiyengar 50
11 Edakkarappan 40

(1) Grandson by widow of the original grantee or party entd 13-

(1) Son ¼
(2) Brother ¼
(3) None
(4) Wife & son ⅓ brother's wife ⅓
(5) Wife & son ½
(6) Husband's brothers [illegible]
(7) Wife ¼
(8) Wife & son ½
(9) Wife & sons ⅔ Brothers ⅖
(10) Wife & son ½
(11) Wife & daughter ½

No 1 is son & No 2 is brother's grandson of Appu Vadhiyar No 4 in col: 14 & they enjoy the extent entd in his name in that col: in the ratio of his & brother's No 2 & 3 in col: 15. No 3 is daughter in law & Nos 4 & 5 are grandsons of Ganapati Vadhiyan in col: 14 & they enjoy the extent entd in his name together with those in the names of Subbammal & Parvatiammal No 5 & 7 from whom they were purchased in the year Chitrabhanu by Ganapati Vadhiyar, in whose name the whole appears in the Pymaish. Deeds produced. The sale of Parvatiammal's share was made by Narayana Iyer son of Tyagaraja Iyer. No 8 enjoys a portion of the extent in the name of Subbammal, her husband's [illegible] [illegible] in the name of [illegible]

⊕ purchased by the present holder from [illegible]. The deed of sale is missing but the villagers state that the present holder is in enjoyment by purchase for a long time & the party who sold the same does not object to the entry of the land in the name of the purchaser.

No 7 is son of Kasturi Aiyen in col: 15 & brother's son of Venkataramaiyen in col: 14 — of the extent entd in the name of the former W 1-11½ were disposed of by him [illegible] [illegible] to his daughter [illegible] (No 8) [illegible]. The remainder 1-15-12½ [illegible] of No 7 — [illegible] in col: [illegible]

[illegible] in that of the other. Govindabhattar — The extent [illegible] was [illegible] mortgage with [illegible] was redeemed [illegible] [illegible] No 9 [illegible]

To be confirmed. The shares assigned in the names of Nos 9 & 10 are of course subject to any claims that may hereafter be set up by persons claiming to be heirs of the parties who mortgaged the lands.

Rajagopalachariar

Depy Collr

[illegible] of Ina[illegible] Issued in the village of Thokkur Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Dry No 171 [illegible] 1-10-11 [illegible]

Survey Account of Fasli 1238

F1235 [illegible]

No [illegible]
In 20 [illegible] 2 [illegible]
19. [illegible] 3 [illegible] 2 [illegible]
[illegible] F1276. 2-7-10. [illegible]
[illegible] F1276. [illegible]

26 2 78

Fully assessed
[illegible]
M.R.

Regr. No. 43
[illegible] No. 45

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible] /3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

No. 66

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Illuppur Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharma [illegible]	Dry No 423 4 5/64 Julias Dry No 600 15 3/64 Dry Dry No 679 7 3/4 Dry Total 18 31/32 Dry	·10 ·03 ·20 ·33	--5-2 --2-- --10-4 1-1-6	Not [illegible] [illegible]	Tax free [illegible]	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	IF 228 Nanmavali Nandavanam	No Nandavanam is maintained. Land waste for years. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] land IC 228

Abd

Fully assessed

[illegible] 14-[illegible]

Regr. No. 66
Sheet No. 68

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
13/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Register of Inams in the village of Nagamangalam (No. 303) in the Kolam [illegible] Magānam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore [illegible]

Regr. No. 227
Sheet No. 45

[illegible]	Garden 212 Tope 5 8 204 211 353 355 Portion of No. [illegible]	-- 2 - 13¼ -- 16 - 14 -- 31 - 2¼ -- 0 - 10 -- 23 - 11½ -- 28 - 9½ -- 13 - 15½ 3 - 1 - 14¼ 3 - 4 - 12	·07 3·05 3·12	-- 2 - 9 3 - 15 - 4 4 - 2 - 1	9 is occupied by the site of a toddy drawer's hut	The proceeds of the Inam & tope are appropriated to the expense of Chokkanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Chokkanadhaswami	No. F1215 Sarvamaniam tope -- 9 - 3½ The excess in the Inam is within 10 %	No. F1238 Chokkanadhaswami Sarvamaniam tope 3 - 1 - 12 No. 212 is entered as Poramboke in the Sarvamaniam	Chokkanadhaswami at Nagamangalam Worshipper Muthusamigurukkal	— — —	To be confirmed 3/1/62 [illegible]	T. Con 101 Confirmed 5 Jan 63

Regr. No. 228 Register of Inams in the village of [illegible]ganadhapuram (No. 304) in the above Magānam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 228

Do	Dry 240 Tope 1099 1114	-- 11 - 6½ -- 15 - 4½ -- 13 - 6½ -- 28 - 10½ 1 - -- 1¼	·28 ·72 1·00	-- 4 - 2 -- 10 - 8 -- 14 - 10		Do Do of Sabhanayakar Pagoda at Chitambaram. Worship is regularly rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Do	Sabhanayakar	No. F1215 Chitambaram Sabhanayakar Sarvamaniam -- 3 - 3	No. F1238 Chitambaram Sabhanayakar Sarvamaniam tope 1 - 0 - 1¼	Sabhanayakar at Chitambaram Worshipper Sabbramaniya Dikshitar	— — —	To be confirmed 17/12/62 [illegible]	T. Con 102 do

Regr. No. 229 Register of Inams in the village of Anjaruvaythalai (No. 301) in the above Magānam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 229

Do	Tope 222 [illegible] 226	-- 14 - 4 -- 18 - 12 -- 10 - 15 1 - 3 - 15	1·10	3 - -- 5 Adopted 18 - 3 - 3 the average rate per V. dry		Do Do of Bramhapuriswara Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. The building is said to be in good condition	Do	Do	Do	Do	Bramhapuriswara Pillaiyar	No. F1215 Bramhapuriswara Sarvamaniam -- 4 - 76	No. F1238 Bramhapuriswarain Sarvamaniam tope 1 - 3 - 15	Bramhapuriswara Pillaiyar at Anjaruvaythalai Worshipper Samigurukkal	— — —	To be confirmed 31/1/62 [illegible]	T. Con 103 do

Personal	Wet 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 161 162 164 165 166 167 170 171 172 175 151 173 176 186	2 - 13 - 10¼ 2 - 21 - 15¼ -- 27 - 7¾ 1 - 3 - -- -- 7 - 12¾ -- 11 - 19 -- 23 - 10 2 - 7 - 14 -- 32 - 9¾ -- 17 - 10¾ -- 12 - ½ -- 27 - 14¼ 1 - 32 - 16 1 - 3 - 12¼ 1 - 2 - 9½ 1 - 34 - 4¼ 1 - 25 - 3½ 1 - 19 - 13 -- 8 - 10½ -- 2 - 15¼ -- 8 - 9¾ -- 6 - 1½ 21 - 32 - 12¾	21·82	146 - 1 - 2		For the personal benefit of the holder	Kaval fee 3 - 9 - 7	not known	not known	no documents	[illegible]	No. F1215 Sethu Bhava Sarvamaniam — Dry 3 - 0 - 92 Tope 1 - 8 - 30 4 - 9 - 22 The excess is within 10 %	No. F1225 Sethu Bhava Swaminiyan Inam 4 - 15 - 32 Of this 3 - 7 - 10 are entered as having been cultd. as Wet in F1205 & 1206 but as dry in F1225. No. F1238 Sethu Bhava Swaminiyan Sarvamaniam 32 - 29 - 13¾	Tanjore Ramasetuswami 25	Adopted grand son of Sethu Bhava Swami the legal grantee	Wives — 2/2 — — —	On the death of the late Raja, when his lands &c were attached the Inams held by the royal priest Setubava Swamiyar were also placed under attachment. After enquiry the Government on the orders in the Commissioner's report on the subject, declared that the Inams were not granted for service but were bestowed from [illegible] for personal benefit & accordingly ordered their unconditional restoration to the party in Col. 16 – as to the legality of whose adoption by last holder they were well satisfied. The Inam is therefore recommended to be confirmed [illegible] 22 [illegible] of Kaval. 1/1/62 [illegible]	T. Con 104 Approved [illegible] 5 Jan 63
	Dry 188 177 178	1 - 15 - 3 -- 5 - 14½ -- 7 - 3 1 - 28 - 4½	1·71	4 - 11 - 2		The system of [illegible] does not [illegible] obtained in this village. The rates at which the assessment is now charged are the average rates for the Ayan lands in the village. They are for Wet 44 - 4 - 2 per Veli Dry 18 - 3 - 3 — Garden 39 - 9 - 4 —											
	Garden 184 185 187 194	-- 12 - 12 -- 17 - 2¼ -- 5 - 4 -- 24 - 8 1 - 19 - 10¼	1·49	8 - 14 - 10													
	Tope 179 180 182 183 184 189 193 195	-- 10 - 12¼ -- 11 - 4 2 - 1 - 5½ -- 13 - 5 -- 6 - 13¾ 2 - 20 - 10¼ -- 11 - 4 -- 19 - 9½ 6 - 15 - ¼	6·38	18 - 9 - 10		The land entered as Poramboke consists of [illegible] channels & ponds &c. & is not available for cultivation [illegible] profit of any kind											
	Grand total	31 - 15 - 11½	31·40	178 - 5 - [illegible]													
	Poramboke 160 190 163 168	-- 5 - 8 -- 1 - 11 -- 6 - 9 -- 7 - 14½															

Regr. No. 230 Register of Inams in the village of Tirukkalachiri (No. 660) in the Tirukkalachiri Mogaṇam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 230

1	Rel. Endt.	Tope 161 ..-7-2¾ 165 ..-29-8 ..-36-10¾	.92 ..-14-..	The proceeds of this Inam tope are appropriated to the expense of Naganadhaswami's Pagoda at Tirukkalachiri. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & worship is rendd.	Free of tax not known not known no documents	Naganadhaswami		In F.1238 Naganadhaswamimanien tope ..-36-10¾	Naganadhaswami at Tirukkalachiri Trustees Chokalinga Mudali Saminien & 5 persons.	In F.1216 the Extent of Swamimanien tope is entd. as V. ..-6-40. No other detail particulars are given. The present Extent of the Inams in Case No. 1 & 2 amount to V. 2-10-15 which is equal to the Extent in F.1216. The Inam in Case No. 3 appears to have originated subsequent to F.1216 & the Extent entd. in that Fasli exclusively relates to the topes in Case No. 1 & 2. It is therefore recommended that these Inams may be confd. 24/12/62	T.D. 105 Continued accordingly 5 Jan 63
2	Do	Tope 270 ..-11-.. 273 ..-3-3 282 ..-9-10¾ 306 ..-27-6½ 1-14-4¼	136 1-4-4	Do. Do. of Pillaiyar Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is in good condn. & worship is rendd.	Do Do Do Do	Pillaiyar		In F.1238 Pillaiyar manien tope 1-14-4¼	Pillaiyar at Pudanur Worshipper Agastiswara Gurukkal	Vide remarks above To be confirmed 24/12/62	T.D. 106 do
3	Do	Tope 154 ..-6-.. 155 ..-9-.. 158 ..-5-2½ ..-20-2½	.51 Ac. ..-7-8	As above Free of tax	Do Do Do	Pillaiyar		In F.1238 tope planted for the benefit of Pillaiyar. Whether it is stated the villagers gave the land for a tope to the Pillaiyar ..-20-2½	As above	From the wording and the Inam is held that the land in F. it appears to have been recently planted as tope for the benefit of the Pillaiyar. As it does not state when it was given to the Pillaiyar ¼ 2 Rs. ..-2-.. is charged. 24/12/62	T.D. 107 do

Regr. No. 231 Register of Inams in the village of Periyaveli (No. 661) in the above Mogaṇam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 231

1	Do	Tope 137 ..-39-7½	.99 ..-15-..	Do Do of Nityavinodeswara Swami's Pagoda in the village of Sattanur. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition	Do Do Do Do	Nityavinodeswaraswami	In F.1216 Nittiyavinodhaswami V. 2-40	In F.1238 Nittyavinodhaswami's Swamimanien tope ..-39-7½	Nityavinodeswara Swami at Sattanur Trustees Saminien, Lakshmanaiyangappaien, Kishachelaien, Ganapatiaiyen, & Nadaraja Naina	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is within the average excess plus 10 P.C. 24/12/62	T.D. 108 do

Regr. No. 232 Register of Inams in the village of Chitambaram kovil patta (No. 662) in the above Mogaṇam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 232

	Do	Tope 136 ..-16-4	.41 ..-7-..	Do Do of Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered and the Pagoda is said to be in good condition	Do Do Do Do	Pillaiyar	In F.1216 Swamimanien tope ..-31	In F.1238 Pillaiyar Swamimanien tope ..-16-4	Pillaiyar at Chitambaram kovil patta Worshipper Agastiswara Gurukkal	The excess in this Inam is over & above the average excess plus 10 P.C. wherefore the Inam is recommended to be confd. subject to the payment of Rs. ..-4-.. for the excess 24/12/62	T.D. 109 do

Regr. No. 233 Register of Inams in the village of Pattamangalam (No. 48) in the Mayavaram Mogaṇam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 233

1	Do	Tope 667 1-26-13½ The average excess in Aiyan lands plus 10 P.C. is V. ..-2-.. P.C. The excess in the Inam to be charged is ..-28-1½. Amt. Rs. ..-11-3.	1.67 1-10-8	Do Do of Viswanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition	Do Do Do Do	Viswanadhaswami	In F.1216 Viswanadhaswami tope ..-2-31	In F.1238 Viswanadhaswami Swamimanien tope 1-26-13½	Viswanadhaswami at Pattamangalam Worshipper Saminatha Gurukkal	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs. ..-12-.. for the excess 28/2/62	T.D. 110
2	Do	Tope 506 ..-7-4	.18 ..-2-10	Do Do of Puttirankonda Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condn.	Do Do Do Do	Puttirankonda Pillaiyar	Not entd. in F. 1216	In F.1238 Puttirankonda Pillaiyar Swamimanien ..-7-4	Puttirankonda Pillaiyar at Pattamangalam Worshipper Saminatha Gurukkal The Inam is very trifling in value	To be confirmed & continued so long as the worship is rendered 28/2/62	T.D. 111
3	Do	Tope 354 ..-18-9	.46 ..-7-6	Do Do of Aiyanar in the village. Worship is rendered. The Idol is placed on a platform	Do Do Do Do	Aiyanar	Not entd. in F.1216	In F.1238 Aiyanar Pagoda Swamimanien tope ..-18-9	Aiyanar at Pattamangalam Worshipper Virabhadran	To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered ..-2-.. 28/2/62	T.D. 112

No 106

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Rajamangalam Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1 Dharma garden No 35-6 5 1/8 folio 13 " 0-6-6 Inne Inam Pattah Survey Account of Fasli 1238 Free

sayam

closed

No Nandavanam in [illegible]

Nanda vanam

No Nandavanam has been [illegible] for about 15 yrs. There are 10 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] a [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 0-12-6 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 5 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

15.8.78

Fully [illegible]

R Sundaram

Manikam

24.11.82

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி ~~[illegible]~~ மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவ
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
23/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உத்தியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

Regr. No. 106

Sheet No. 109

Manamodu Village, Mayavaram

Regr. No. 417
Sheet No. 109

Case No 3	[illegible] Seed	Tope 254 255	1-14-" 1-12-8 2-26-8	2·66	2-11-9	The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expence of Amrutaghateswara Swami's Pagoda at Tirukkadaiyur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This called "Uchikalakattalai". Freeh not not known too known	no documents	Amrutaghateswaraswami	I.F. 1238 Amrutaghateswaraswami Sarvamaniem tope 2-26-8 This is called in the Paimaish "Uchikala Kattalai".	Amrutaghateswaraswami at Tirukkadaiyur Manager Gyanasambanda pandarasannadhi	Vide remarks on the decision of case No 1. To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible]	T.D. No 337 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst 9 Feb 63
Case No 4	Do	Tope 282 283 285	2-4-6 1-33-2 2-34-" 6-31-8	6·78	6-12-7	As above Do Do Do This is called "Pradoshakattalai"	Do	as above	I.F. 1238 Amrutaghateswaraswami Sarvamaniem tope 6-31-8	As above Manager Venkata Row	Vide Remarks in the decision of Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible]	T.D. No 338 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst 9 Feb 63
Case No 5	Do	Tope 600 602	"-10-10 1-18-13 1-29-5	1·73	1-12-11	Do Do Do Do Do of Amrutanarayana perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Amrutanarayana perumal	I.F. 1238 Amrutanarayanaperumal Sarvamaniem tope 1-29-5.	Amrutanarayana perumal at Manamodu Trustee Kuppiriyan & Subba Pillai	Vide Remarks on Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible]	T.D. No 339 Conf.d P. Chentsal Rao Asst 9 Feb 63
Case No 6		Tope 18 20	1-7-6¼ "-24-9¾ 1-31-14	1·80	1-14-3	Do Do Do Do Do of Mariyamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Mariamman	I.F. 1238 Mariyamman Sarvamaniem tope 1-31-14	Mariyamman at Manamodu Worshipper Marimuttu	Vide Remarks on Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible]	T.D. No 340 Conf.d P. Chentsal Rao Asst 9 Feb 63
Case No 7	Do	Tope 201	2-4-8	~~2·~~ ·11	2-2-11	Do Do Do Do Do of Aiyanar Annachiyappan's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Aiyanar annachiappan	I.F. 1238 Aiyanar Annachiappan Sarvamaniem tope 2-4-8	Aiyanar annachiyappan at Manamodu Worshipper Sokkalandiram	Vide Remarks on Case No 1. To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible]	T.D. No 341 Conf.d P. Chentsal Rao Asst 9 Feb 63

Register of Inams in the village of Naduvalur (No.) in the Tirukkadaiyur Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 418

Δ They may all be amalgamated & continued as they are 4/8/62 [illegible]	Do	Tope 533 535 537 538 541 78 Poramboke 539	"-22-8¾ 1-6-11¼ "-34-4¼ "-5-10 "-"-" "-17-" 3-8-2¾ "-4-8 3-12-10¾	3·20 ·11 3·31	3-14-11 "-1-6 4-"-5	Do Do Do Do Do of Amrutaghateswara Swami's Pagoda in the village of Tirukkadaiyur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Abhishekakattalai".	Do * Each of them the present topes amount in extent to A. 5-1-13¾. This exceeds the extent in I.F. 1216 by A 1-"-3¼ over above the amount	Amrutaghateswaraswami	I.F. 1238 Sri Amrutaghateswaraswami Sarvamaniem Abhisheka Kattalai. 3-12-10¾ ⊙	Amrutaghateswaraswami at Tirukkadaiyur Manager Gyanasambanda paranchannidhi ⊙ Seen in [illegible] 10 P.C. As these topes are not in I.F. 1238 & are recognized as Inams, renew the circumstances above stated Δ	The extent of Sarvamaniem noted in I.F. 1216 is Van 8-46½ in the name of Amrutaghateswaraswami – & the number of topes entd. is 2. At present there are more topes than 2 in enjoyment. As the Kattalais in which [illegible] the topes are attached are not specified in I.F. 1216 it cannot be ascertained which of these topes then existed & how much of the above extent belonged to *	T.D. No 342 Conf.d P. Chentsal Rao Asst 9 Jan
	Do	Tope 225	"-22-8	·69	"-10-3	As above Do Do Do This is called "Nakshatramalaikattalai".	Do	as above	I.F. 1238 As above Nakshatramalai kattalai "-22-8	As above Trustee Velupandaram & Kuppapandaram	Vide remarks above To be confirmed [illegible]	T.D. No 343 Conf. P. Ch

Regr. No. 418

Case No 3

2			1·29 1-10-2	The pro- Free not known not known ceeds of this of tax Inam are appropriated to the expense of Amrutaghatéswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Pichaikattalai".	no documents	Amrutaghatéswara Swámi	—	No. F1238 Sri Amrutaghatéswaraswámi Sarvamániem "Pichaikattalai" 1-11-11	Amrutaghatéswara Swámi at Sirukkadaiyúr managers Ambalavana Pillai & Namasivaya Pillai	Vide remarks in Case No. 1. To be confirmed 4/12/62	T.D. R. Confirmed P. Chitsabai Agr 9 June 63 7

Reg. No. 419 Register of Inams in the village of Valaiyacólagan (No.) in the Sirukkadaiyúr Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 419

	Do	Tope 615 ..-11-7 616 ..-14-.. 617 ..-10-13½ ..-36-4½	·91 1-5-..	As above Do Do Do This is called "Abhishekakattalai".	Do	as above	No. F1216 Amrutaghatéswaraswámi tope V 2-74	No. F1238 Amrutaghatéswaraswámi Sarvamániem tope ..-36-4	As above managers Nyánasambanda pandarachannidhi	To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered 22/12/62	T.D. No 34 Conf. P. Chitsabai Agr 9 June 63 7

Reg. No. 420 Register of Inams in the village of Kóvankudi (No. 335) in the Kóimal Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 420

	Do	Tope 293 ..-3-..	·07 ..-1-3	Do Do Do Do of Visvanadha Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Visvanadha Swámi	No. F1238 Visvanadhaswámi Sarvamániem tope ..-3-..	Visvanadha Swámi at Kóvankudi Worshipper Bápagurukkal	To be confirmed & continued so long as the worship is rendered the [illegible] is very small 19/12/62	R. T.D. No 34 Conf. P. C. 7

The [illegible] states in F1238 that it was being enjoyed by the Mirasidars who being the sole [illegible] of the village hold the management of all the [illegible] therein, but it appears that the Inam is enjoyed by the Swámi.

Entd. as being in the enjoyt. of the Mirasidars. Now in [illegible]

Reg. No. 421 Register of Inams in the village of Aikkádu (No. 333) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 421

	Do	Tope 698 ..-19-11 827 ..-21-8 872 ..-7-.. 876 ..-4-5 1-12-8	1·31 ..-14-1	Do Do Do Do Do of Sornapuríswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Sornapuríswara Swámi	No. F1216 Sornapuríswaraswámi tope V ..-4-15¾	No. F1238 Sornapuríswaraswámi Sarvamániem tope 1-12-8	Sornapuríswara Swámi at Aikkádu Worshipper Arunágurukkal	To be confirmed 19/12/62	R. T.D. No 34 Conf. P. Chitsabai Agr 9 June 63
	Do	Tope 647 1-26-11 699 ..-13-7 881 ..-6-8 2-6-10	2·17 1-3-8	Do Do Do Do Do of Gópálakrishna Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Gópálakrishna Swámi	No. F1216 Gópálakrishnaswámi tope V ..-5-54¼	No. F1238 Sri Gópálakrishnaswámi Sarvamániem tope 2-6-10	Gópálakrishna Swámi at Aikkádu Worshipper Aiyavaiyangar	To be confirmed. The [illegible] in the Inam does not exceed the [illegible] 18/12/62	R. T.D. No 34 Confirmed P. Chitsabai Agr 9 June 63

No. 422 Register of Inams in the village of E. Kiliyanúr (No. 546) in the Perunjéri Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 422

	Do	Tope 179 1-..	..1·00 1-12-2	Do Do Do Do Do of Pillaiyár's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered.	Do	Pillaiyár	No. F1216 Pagoda Sarvamániem tope [illegible] ..-4-80½ The name of the Pagoda is not [illegible]	No. F1238 Pillaiyár Pagoda Sarvamániem [illegible]	Pillaiyár at E Kiliyanúr Worshipper Sundaragurukkal	To be confirmed & continued so long as the worship is rendered	T.D. No 349 Confirmed P. Ch