No. 41

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Manganallore Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1 [illegible] [illegible]

Dry Ex. 14.34 3½ [illegible] ·08

-1-11 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Survey Account of Fasli 1238

[illegible] [illegible] Nandavanam

Do. Nandavanam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. [illegible]

25778

Fully assessed

R. Davidson
7-11-82

Regr. No. 41
Sheet No. 43

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
2/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

Register of Inams in the village of Attur (No. 513) in the [illegible] Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 223
Sheet No. 43

1	Do	Dry 287 343 770 773 785 787 Tope 278 286 288 319 340 344 526 721 783 788 1074 1076 1078 1098 65	1-26-1¼ ..-23-15½ ..-10-8¾ ..-18-2¼ ..-6-1½ ..-16-9 3-22-12½ ..-21-13 1-18-16½ ..-19-10 ..-18-9 1-18-8 1-33-10 3-30-.. ..-26-6 2-5-½ 3-21-6 ..-1-15½ ..-1-¼ ..-36-13 1-11-¾ ..-34-.. 19-18-10½ 23-1-7	3.57 19.46 23.03	6-..-10 33-7-6 39-8-4	The proceeds of this Inam & tope are appropriated to the expense of Sornapuriswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered and the Pagoda is stated to be in good condn.	Free of tax	not known	not known		No documents	Sornapuriswara Swami	No. 1216 Sornapuriswara Swami & Varadarajaperumal Swami tope Vide 2-22½	No. 1238 Sornapuriswara Swami Saswanam tope 23-1-7 Nos. 1074, 1076, 1078, 1098 are common to both Pagodas. In the Fasli of 1239 half of each of the above numbers is entd. for this Pagoda & half for the other	Sornapuriswara Swami at Attur Trustee Chitambara Pillai By the extents of this Inam this Inam is recommended to be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs [illegible] for the Inam 7/3/62	In the accounts of 1216 the Inams of this Pagoda & that in the next case are entd. together. As the [illegible] state how much of the extent given belonged to each of the two Pagodas the extent entd. in 1216 is regarded [illegible] of this case. The aggregate of the present extents of the two Inams exceeds the extent in 1216 by 4-37-9 owing to the increase in [illegible] lands plus 10·16 on [illegible] 20·16 [illegible]. The [illegible] on the above excess is Rs 1-8-.. which has been borne by the two Pagodas in the proportion	T.Cm 203 Entd. Ansd. 5/[illegible]
2.	Do	Dry 806 Tope 807 809 956 1074 1076 1078 1098 1126	..-36-8 1-20-.. 3-15-8 ..-12-12½ ..-1-15½ ..-1-½ ..-36-13 1-11-¾ 3-36-12 11-15-14 12-12-6	.91 11.40 12.31	1-9-.. 19-8-8 21-1-8	Do Do of Lukhmeenarayana Swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered and the Pagoda is stated to be in good condn.	Do	Do	Do	Do	* that there has been [illegible] no Vishnu Pagoda in the village & the same [illegible] is having worshipped [illegible] for [illegible]	Lukhmeenarayana Swami	No. 1216 Vide above case	No. 1238 Lukhmeenarayana Swami Saswanam tope 12-12-6 Vide remark above. The name of this Swami as given in 1216 differs from that specified in 1238 but as it appears	Lukhmeenarayana Swami at Attur Trustee Chitambara Pillai	Vide remarks on the preceding case Recommended to be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs 8-.. for the Inam 7/3/62	T.Cm 204

Regr. No. 224

No. 224 Register of Inams in the village of Uthirankudi (No. 514) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

	Do	Tope 110 112 113 115	..-13-6½ ..-9-8 ..-16-.. 1-8-5½ 2-7-6	2.18	1-1-9	Do Do of Ayanar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered and the Pagoda is said to be in good condn.	Do	Do	Do	Do	The excess in the [illegible] lands plus 10 P.C. is [illegible]-6-45. The excess in the Inam [illegible] is ..-17-6½ and [illegible] ..-6-7	Ayanar	No. 1216 Ayanar Swami tope ..-4-21	No. 1238 Ayanar Swami Saswanam tope 2-7-6	Ayanar at Uthirankudi Worshipper Virappen	To be confirmed subject to the payment of [illegible] for the excess 6/3/62	T.Cm 205

No. 225 Register of Inams in the village of Pudankudi (No. 517) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 225

	Do	Tope 3	1-30-10	1.76	3-9-1	Do Do of Tirumukkoteeswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is in good condn.	Do	Do	Do	Do		Tirumukkoteeswara Swami	No. 1216 Tirumukkoteeswara Swami tope ..-10-87	No. 1238 Tirumukkoteeswara Swami Saswanam tope 1-30-10	Tirumukkoteeswara Swami at Pudankudi Worshipper Sabhapathi Gurukkal Case 1	To be confirmed 6/3/62	T.Cm 206
	Do	Tope 367 369 371 372	..-11-4 ..-21-13½ ..-7-.. 2-2-12 3-2-13½	3.07	6-3-4	Do Do of Lekshmeenarayana Swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is stated to be in good condn.	Do	Do	Do	Do		Lekshmeenarayana Swami	No. 1216 Lekshmeenarayana Swami tope 4-8-50	No. 1238 Lekshmeenarayana Swami Saswanam tope 3-2-13½	Lekshmeenarayana Swami at Pudankudi Worshipper Rangaswamy Iyengar Case 2	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is within 10 P.C. 6/3/62	T.Cm 207
	Do	Tope 208 209	..-10-11 ..-12-3			Do Do of Sengalineer Pillayar's Pagoda in the village	Do	Do	Do	Do	The excess in the Inam lands plus 10 P.C. is 2-7-50	Sengalineer Pillayar	No. 1216 Pillayar Swami tope 6-5-25	No. 1238 Sengalineer Pillayar Saswanam tope 2-11-2	Sengalineer Pillayar at Pudankudi Worshipper Sabhapathi Gurukkal Case 3	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is equal to the excess in [illegible] lands plus 10 P.C.	T.Cm 208

Regr. No. 220

Sheet No. 43

Regr. 220 Register of Inams in the village of Murugamangalam (No. 311) in the Solampettai Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

1. Rel. Endt. Tope 165 4-21-½, 588 --23-6½, 5-4-6½ 5·11 4-12-- Ditto 6-2-3 the average rate per [illegible]

The proceeds of this tope are appropriated to the expence of Kailásanádha-swami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the building is in good condition

Free [illegible] not known

not known no documents

The amount [illegible] in Aiyen [illegible] is 23-3-51. [illegible] in the Inam [illegible] is [illegible] 25-4½ [illegible] 9-5.

Kailása nadhar.

Inam F.210 Swami Pagoda [illegible] tope --11--

Inam F.1238 Kailásanádha swami Pagoda [illegible] tope 5-4-6½

Kailásanádhaswami at Murugamangalam

Worshipper Muttusámi gurukkal

To be confirmed subject to the payment of [illegible] to the [illegible]

31/1/62 Major [illegible] [illegible]

T.Cn 197

Confirmed

5/[illegible]63

2. Do. Tope 181 --24-12, 604 --25-3, 606 --20-12, 1-30-11 1·77 1-10-3 At the same rate as above

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Aiyanár's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the building is said to be in good condition

Excess in this Inam [illegible] is [illegible] 21-12¼ [illegible] 8-1

Aiyanár

Inam F.210 Aiyanár Swami [illegible] tope --8-½

Inam F.1238 Aiyanár Pagoda [illegible] tope 1-30-11.

Aiyanár at Murugamangalam

Worshippers Subramanya pandáram & Ramalinga pandáram

To be confirmed subject to the payment of [illegible] 8 [illegible]

31/1/62 Major [illegible]

T.Cn 198

Regr. No. 221

Regr. No. 221 Register of Inams in the village of Úchutti (No. 312) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

3. Do. Tope 23 --12-2, 24 1-2-6, 26 --14-10, 18½ --9-6, 18 --17-8½, 2-16-½ 2·40 2-12-7 Ditto [illegible]

The [illegible] in Aiyen [illegible] is [illegible] 13-[illegible] [illegible] in the Inam [illegible] is [illegible] 11-5 [illegible] 7-2.

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Lekshminárayanaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the building is said to be in good condition

Lekshminárayanaswami

Inam F.1210 Perumal pagoda [illegible] --4-7½

Inam F.1238 Lekshmi narayana swami [illegible] tope 2-16-½ [illegible]

Lekshminárayanaswami at Úchutti

Worshipper Gópálaiyangár

To be confirmed subject to the payment of [illegible] 10 [illegible]

31/1/62 Major [illegible]

T.Cn 199

Regr. No. 222

Regr. No. 222 Register of Inams in the village of Álangudi (No. 310) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Do. Tope 733 --8--, 735 1-8-11½, 742 --5--, 1-21-11½ 1·54 1-9--

The average [illegible] rate [illegible] the excess in the Inam [illegible] is only [illegible] 2-11½ [illegible]

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Lekshminárayanaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered & the Pagoda is said to be in good condition

Lekshmi-nárayana swami.

Inam F.1210 Lekshmi narayana swami [illegible] tope --2-88

Inam F.1238 Lekshmi narayana swami [illegible] tope 1-21-11½

Lekshminárayanaswami at Álangudi

Worshipper Gópálaiyangár

To be confirmed

31/1/62 Major [illegible]

T.Cn 200

Do. Wet 625 [illegible], 626 --2-9¼, 627 --34-13½, 628 1-20-10½, 629 --21-5, 630 --15-2½, 631 1-10-10½, 633 1-14-13½, 637 --17-7½, 638 1--[illegible]-11½, 640 1-17-15½, 646 1--3½, 666 --35-15½, 667 --15-5½, 665 1-13-3½, 666 --4-10½, 669 --39-½, 672 --33-12½, 673 [illegible], 709 --14-12½, 710 1-39-12, 711 --13-6½, 712 --8-1, 715 1-35-15½, 716 1-29-4½, 717 1-26-16½, 24-14-4½ 24·36 112-3-6

Do. Do. Jódi 37-5-4 2-1-5. of Brihadíswaraswami's Pagoda at Tanjore. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered

Granted by Sarfoji Rajah [illegible] 9 [illegible] [illegible] No. 1200

No title is produced but in the Regr. of 1805 the title [illegible]

Brihadiswara swami

Inam 1809 Regr. Brihadiswara swami [illegible] Wet Velie

Inam F.1210 Sri Brihadiswara swami [illegible] Wet Velie

Inam F.1225 Brihadiswara swami [illegible] 2-19-84 Grama [illegible] 1-8-22 4-8-7

Inam F.1238 Brihadiswara swami [illegible] 18-34-8¼

No.		Wet	Assessment	[illegible]	[illegible]
1.		13·23	10-15--	24-14-3	26-4-6
2.	4 66	2·87	3-3--	3-2-2	3-4--
3.	2 96	1·67	7-11--	1-14-1	1-15-9
4.	1 26	1·13	5-3--	1-4-9	1-5-11
5.	·76	·30	1-5-10	--5-3	--5-8
6.	·86	·32	1-8-4	--6-3	--6-[illegible]
7.	·9	·37	1-11-4	--7-[illegible]	--7-8
8.	1 3	·80	3-11--	--13-9	--14-6
9.	·13	·53	2-6--	--9-4	--10-6
10.	1 6	·93	4-4-4	--7-1	1-[illegible]
11.	·11	·43	2-[illegible]	--8-4	--8-9
12.	·56	·23	1-1--	--4-[illegible]	--4-4
13.	·10	·42	1-15--	--7-3	--7-8
14.	·56	·23	1-1--	--4-[illegible]	--4-5
15.	·56	·25	1-1--	--4-2	--4-5
16.	·56	·23	1-[illegible]	--4-[illegible]	--4-4
17.	·56	·25	1-2-[illegible]	--4-10	--4-4
18.		·17	--12-9	--3-1	--3-4
		24·36	112-3-6	87-5-4	34-[illegible]-9

1. Brihadíswaraswami at Tanjore

Alangudi

2. Subbaramaiyen 50

3. Ramaswamiaiyen 65

4. Venkataramaiyen 50

5. Sambasivaiyen 65

6. Subramanyaiyen 10

7. Krishnaiyen 25

8. Ramalingaiyen 65

9. Venkatesaiyen 60

10. Krishnaiyen 25

11. Venkataramaiyen 6

12. Sri Sambasivaiyen 25

13. Ramaiyen 35

14. Anantaramaiyen 32

15. Subramanyaiyen 22

16. Perumálaiyen 60

17. Anantaiyen 65

18. Narayanaramaiyen

Wife 1, son, Brother, Do. wife, Do. son; Wife; Sons 2, brothers, brother's wives, Do. son; Wife 1, son 1, daughter in law; Brother 1, uncle, uncle's wife; Wife; Wife 1, sons; Son 1, daughter in law; Wife; unmarried; Wife 1, brothers; Brother 1, uncle; Brother; Wife 1, sons; Daughter

To be confirmed as [illegible] No. 1 [illegible] at [illegible] with the [illegible] at 1/8 [illegible] shares [illegible] the same rate [illegible] of Karik [illegible] 17 [illegible]. The system of [illegible] does not obtain in this village. The [illegible] of assessment [illegible] adopted is [illegible] the average rate [illegible]

Confirmed as [illegible] 2 [illegible] 5/[illegible]6[illegible]

T.Cn 201 202

No. 107

Register of Nandavanam Inams in Orchooty hamlet of Moorogamangolam village Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1- Dhumi Dry
Cayum No. 187
Closed

6 Gulies · 10

0-6-5 For use of nursery [illegible] at Moorogamangolam one main temple.

[illegible] [illegible]

Survey account of Fasli 1238

F1238
Nandavanam

Moorogamangolam
Nandavanam
[illegible]
Kaila in Nodayan

No Inam appears to have
more than 50 years
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] 62 years

3838

184

Continued
R Davidson
Inam Comr
24-11-83

RD No 1263

Regr. No. 107

Sheet No. 110

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த மார்ச்
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
12/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of ~~Pandaravadai~~ Korukkai Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharma Dayam	Dry No. 445 Do. No. 448 Total	4 5/32 Gulies 5 5/8 Do. 9 25/32 Do.	.10 .14 .24	.. .. ..	0-0-9 0-1-1 0-1-10	For [illegible] use of the village permanently not resumable	Tax free [illegible]	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	[illegible] Nanda vanam	Pandaravadai Korukkai Nanda vanam [illegible] [illegible]
2. Dharma Dayam	Dry No. 531	5 3/16 Gulies	.15	..	0-1-11	For ~~[illegible]~~ use of village permanently not resumable	[illegible]	Do.	[illegible] Nanda vanam	

The Nandavanam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

7-8-[illegible]

Confirmed
R. Hamilton
Inam Com'r
22/11/62

[illegible]

No Nandavanam has been maintained for about 5 years [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

7-8-[illegible]

Fully assessed
R. Hamilton
Inam Com'r
22/11/62

Regr. No. 108
Sheet No. 111

134.

செப்பனிடுவதற்க்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible] [illegible]/8/90 [illegible]
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

…lage of Rāyasūryampettai in the Manamodu Māganam Māyavaram Taluk

Royasuryampettai, Mayavaram, Regr. No 423

Sheet No. 110

Wt

8	– 38 – 1½		
283	2 – 2 – 15½		
285	– 27 – 1¼		
301	– 3 – 10		
302	– 30 – 11½		
12	– 4 – 10¼		
14	– 33 – 8¼		
286	– 5 – ¾		
287	– 22 – 6¾		
300	– 5 – 12¼		
298	– 17 – 8¼		
	8 – 13 – 3	8·33	43 – 2 – 6
Dry			
288	– 6 – 1½		
299	– 14 – 13½		
	– 20 – 15	·52	– 7 –
	8 – 34 – 2	8·85	43 – 9 – 6

Case No 1

The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Varadarāja perumal's Pagoda in the village of Pāpāgudi. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Kaval 1 – 2 – 5

not known

not known

no documents

Varadarāja perumal

In F.1238 Pāpāgudi Varadarāja perumal Inam
W 8 – 13 – 3
Dry – 20 – 15
8 – 34 – 2

In F.1225 Varadarāja perumal
W – 15 – 33½
D – 2 –
– 17 – 33½

Varadarāja perumal at Pāpāgudi

Trustee

Vaidyalinga Pillai

This Inam is entered in the Rajah's Inam acct. of F.1210 — the acct. of F.1216 is not available for this village. In the Paimaish acct. of F.1205 it appears & the limits as therein given correspond with present boundaries. The villagers say that the Inam was granted by their ancestors soon after the grant of the village to them. They claim no right to it. The Inam is recommended to be confd.
30/5/60 Rajagopala [illegible]

T.S. No 854

Confirmed
R. C. [illegible]
Asst.
15/8/63

T.D. 10

7

⊛ Tarāna & Appādurai (a third of No 3 & uncle of No 4) when purchased in the same year from the son of Subbasāstri No 3 in col. 14 his ⅛ share — Appādurai also bought from the son of Subbabhattar No 2 in col. 14 ⅛ sh. in the year Parthiva, & as much again in the year Sarvajit — of Subbabhattar ½ sh. entd. in col. 14 — ⅛ reached by purchase to the family of the original grantee but it was disposed of again by father of No 1 to those of Nos 3 & 4 in Vijaya. Deeds for all these produced.

○ is uncle's adopted son of No 3. The adoption was sanction of the adopter.

Personal

Case No 2

Wet	5 – 17 – 7	5·44	28 – 3 –
Dry	8 – 19 – 6¼	8·49	7 – – 10
Garden	– 21 – 9½	·52	1 – 3 – 5
	14 – 18 – 6¾	14·45	36 – 7 – 3
Poramboke in the Inam	7 – 26 – 2	7·65	
	22 – 4 – 8¾	22·11	

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram.	Total	Asst.	Kaval	Q. R
1.	1 – 14 – 5¼	2 – 4 – 13½	– 5 – 6½	1 – 36 – 8½	5 – 21 – 2¼	9 – 1 – 9	– 6 –	1 – –
2.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	– 28 – 1½	2 – 32 – [illegible]	– 8 – 10 – 3 –	– 8 –
3.	[illegible]	[illegible]	– 6 – 12	2 – 15 – 10½	6 – 36 – 6¾	11 – 6 – 4	– 7 – 6	2 – 12 –
4.	1 – 27 – 15¾	2 – 26 – 1	– 6 – 12	2 – 15 – 10½	6 – 36 – 6¾	11 – 6 – 4	– 7 – 6	
	[illegible] – 17 – 7	8 – 19 – 6¼	– 21 – 9½	7 – 26 – 2	22 – 4 – 8¾	36 – 7 – 3	1 – 8 –	4 – 4 –

Do for the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval 1 – 8

[illegible]

Granted by Ekoji Raja in the 10th [illegible] [illegible] about 1075.

From the regr. of 1809 in which the title regarding this Inam is recorded it appears that on the occasion of the birth of a son to the Raja, this village was granted as Sarvamanyam Agraharam to Brahmins in 10 "Vrittis" or permanent Vritties with 20 Tanjore Chakrams for each Vritti — & that of the 10, one Vritti was granted with 20 Chakrams to the party in col. 13.

Koneri bhattar

In Reg. of 1809.
1. [illegible] bhattar Great grandson
W – 7 – 53¾
Dry – 4 – 87½
– 12 – 40⅞
2. Subbabhattar [illegible] 3/16 sh
W – 10 – 4½
D – 6 – 50
– 16 – 54½
3. Subbasastri enjoying by purchase
W – 2 – 51½
D – 1 – 62½
– 4 – 13⅝
1 – 13 – 9

In F.1225
Wet 1 – – 68½
Dry 1 – 2 – 35 9/10
Por – 7 – 61⅛
2 – 10 – 64 5/10
In F.1238
1. Koneri bhattar descendt. of grantee ¼ sh
2. Appādurai ½ sh
3. Subbasastri enjoying by purchase ¼ sh
1 sh.

Rāyasūryampettai
1. Narayana bhattar 47 ½ share
2. Vaidyanatha Dikshitar 55 ⅞
3. Ramakrishnaiyan 35 5/16 share
4. Ramanadhaiyan 18 5/16 share

(1) Grandson of great grandson of the original grantee or the party in col. 13

(1) Wife — 1, Daughter — 1, Brother — 1/3
(2) Sons — 2, Daughter in law — 2/4
(3) Wife 1, son 1, Brother — 1, Do's wife — 1/4
(4) Wife — 1/1

No 2 is son of Subbabhattar No 2 in Col. 14 & No 3 in Col. 15. ⊛ Which he enjoys is out of the share therein specified of the 3/5 share entd. in the Regr. of 1809 in the name of Subbabhattar he disposed of ⅛ in the year [illegible]

In the Regr. of 1809 it is remarked that in the Sikar the ten Vritties are simply mentioned — without the extent being specified but that as in the Sikar Inam Tabitas acct. of F.1210 12 Vallis Wet are entd. for the 10 Vritties — V. 1 – 4 – are allotted to each Vritti. In the Regr. under the head "Extent as per Sikar" V. 1 – 4 – are accordingly entd. for each Vritti but in another col. extents as of actual enjoyment are specified — other [illegible] with those in col. 14 [illegible] the Sikar Inam Tabita are allowed to find that Rayasuryampettai is entd. as an entire Sarvamanyam village & 12 V. Wet with a rand of 1 feet are specified. In this acct. no mention is made of dry. The old men in the village state that they had heard that the ready money allowance of 20 Chakrams super added to each Vritti was granted was given to enable the Vrittidars to clear the land, much of which was covered with jungle & render it fit for cultivation. If this was true — the absence of all allusion to Dry may very probably be due to that circumstance — in as much as it may have been thought that it was not worth while to specify the extent of jungle land — especially when the whole village is entered [illegible]

T.S. No 850

The large whole Village Inam will be dealt with by the Commissioner. I think the whole Inam to be confirmed on deed, as other whole village Inams have been on a uniform rent of Quit Rent of ⅛.
R. C. [illegible]
Asst.
15/8/63

This is the correct course. Whole villages is confirmed [illegible] confirmed at ⅛ asst.

Case No 3

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	28 – 3 –
	8 – 19 – 6¼	8·49	7 – – 10
[illegible]	– 21 – 9½	·52	1 – 3 – 5
	14 – 18 – 6¾	14·46	36 – 7 – 3
Poramboke in the Inam	7 – 26 – 2	7·65	
	22 – 4 – 8¾	22·11	

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram.	Total	Asst.	Kaval	Q. R
1.	1 – [illegible] – 5¼	2 – 4 – 13½	– 5 – 6½	1 – 26 – 8½	5 – 21 – 2¼	9 – 1 – 9	– 6 –	2 – 4 –
2.	1 – – 5¼	2 – 4 – 13½	– 5 – 6½	1 – 36 – 8½	5 – 21 – 2¼	9 – 1 – 9	– 6 –	
3.	– 27 – 2¾	1 – 2 – 6¾	– 2 – 11	– 38 – 4¼	2 – 30 – 5¼	4 – 9 –	– 3 –	1 – –
4.	– 27 – 2¾	1 – 2 – 7	– 2 – 11	– 38 – 4¼	2 – 30 – 5¾	4 – 9 –	– 3 –	
5.	1 – 4 – 5¼	2 – 4 – 13½	– 5 – 6½	1 – 36 – 8½	5 – 21 – 2¼	9 – 1 – 9	– 6 –	4 – 4 –
	5 – 17 – 7	8 – 19 – 6¼	– 21 – 9½	7 – 26 – 2	22 – 4 – 8¾	36 – 7 – 3	1 – 8 –	7 – 8 –

Do

Kaval 1 – 8

Known

This & the Inams mentioned in the second, third & fourth cases appear to have been granted simultaneously with that in the first — each being a separate Sikar. In the Regr. of 1809 it is stated that all the Sikars same that of Koneri bhattar were said to have been lost during the invasion of Hyder Ali. In the col. headed by whom granted the Raja named in Case No 2 is mentioned, but [illegible] after date accounts of the Inamdars.

Viswapati Sastri

In Regr. of 1809.
1. Panchanada Sastri 3/16 sh
2. Viswapati Sastri 3/16 (both grandsons of the grantee)
3. Subbaraya 3/8
4. Venkata Subbasastri ¼ (both enjd. by purch.)
Wet 1 – – 9
Dry – 14 –
1 – 14 – 9

In F.1225
W 1 – – 68¼
Dry 1 – 2 – 35 9/10
Por – 7 – 61⅛
2 – 10 – 64 5/10
In F.1238
1. Kuppusastri 3/16 (descendant of the grantee)
2. Appādurai 5/16
3. Panchanada Sastri ¼
4. Subbarayan ¼ sh
all 3 enjoying by purchase

Varadampettai
1. Ramakrishnaiyan 35 ¼ share
2. Ramanadhaiyan 18 ¼ share
3. Subbarayan 20
4. Krishnaiyan 17 ¼ share
5. Srinivasa Pillai 30 ¼ sh

— — —

(1) Wife 1, son 1, Brother — 1, Do's wife — 1/4
(2) wife — 1
(3 & 4) unmarried
(5) Wives — 2, Sons — 2/4

* Father of No 2 purchd. the 1½/16 belonging to the above Kuppusastri from his daughter in law in the year Visvavasu. Deeds prod. Nos 3 & 4 are grandsons of No 3 in col. 14 who is dead. ◇

Nos 1 & 2 are brothers sons of Appādurai No 2 in col. 15 who had purchased in the year Vijaya from No 2 in col. 14 his 3/16 sh. — in the year Virodhi from brother of No 3 in the same col. ⅕ share out of his 4/8 — besides the 5/16 entd. in his name in the Paimaish, Appādurai bought in the year Vikari for the widowed daughter in law of Kuppusastri No 1 in col. 15 — & his brother 1½/16 *

◇ In the Regr. shown acquired from [illegible] by purchase. No 1 is brother of No 3 but is said to have been adopted by his uncle. No 5 purchased his share in the year Siddharti from the widow of Panchanada Sastri No 3 in col. 15. Deeds not produced.

Do

Case No. 4

Wet	5 – 17 – 7	5·44	28 – 3 –
Dry	8 – 19 – 6¼	8·49	7 – – 10
[illegible]	– 21 – 9½	·52	1 – 3 – 5
	[illegible] 18 – 6¾	14·46	36 – 7 – 3
[illegible] in the Inam	7 – 26 – 2	7·65	
	22 – 4 – 8¾	22·11	

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram.	Total	Asst.	Kaval	Q. R
1.	2 – 28 – 11½	4 – 3 – 8¼	– 10 – 12½	3 – 33 – 1	10 – 36 – 1¼	18 – 1 – 7	– 12 –	2 – 4 –
2.	– 27 – 3	1 – 2 – 6½	– 2 – 11¼	– 38 – 4¼	2 – 30 – 9¾	4 – 8 – 11	– 3 –	2 – 4 –
3.	– 27 – 3	1 – 2 – 6¾	– 2 – 11¼	– 38 – 4¼	2 – 30 – 9¾	4 – 8 – 11	– 3 –	
4.	– 27 – 2¼	1 – 2 – 6¾	– 2 – 11½	– 38 – 4¼	2 – 30 – 9	4 – 8 – 11	– 3 –	
5.	– 27 – 2¼	1 – 2 – 6¾	– 2 – 11¼	– 38 – 4¼	2 – 30 – 9	4 – 8 – 11	– 3 –	
		– 6 – 3			– 6 – 3	– 2 –		– 2 –
	[illegible]	8 – 19 – 6¼	[illegible]	7 – 26 – 2	22 – 4 – 8¾	36 – 7 – 3	1 – 8 –	4 – 10 –

Do

Kaval Do 1 – 8

Do

[illegible] above

Ayāchitam Venkatadasa

Sold away his ⅓ in the same year to the [illegible] Venkataiyan from whom the father of Nos 2 & 5 had in exchange for his share in another Inam & some money over & above in the year Khara. Deeds for all the transfers produced.
No 5 purchased his – 6 – 3 of dry land in the year Nandana from [illegible]

In Regr. of 1809 —
1. Venkata [illegible] ½ sh
2. Subramaniyaiyan ½ sh
both grandsons of the grantee
W 1 – 9
Dry – 14 –
1 – 14 – 9

In F.1225
W 1 – – 68¼
Dry 1 – 2 – 35 9/10
Por – 7 – 61⅛
2 – 10 – 64 5/10
In F.1238
1. Venkatesvaraiyar ½ sh
2. Venkata[illegible] ¼ sh
3. Muthaiyan ¼ sh
1 sh
The ¼ share of No 2 & ¼ belonging to No 1 are under mortgage with [illegible]

Rāyasūryampettai
1. Venkateswara Sastri 68 ½ share
2. Venkataramanachari 42 ⅛ share
3. Narayanachari 40 ⅛ share
4. Krishnamurti achari 31 ⅛ share
5. Ramamurti achari 25 ⅛ share
6. Sabhapati Pillai 45

(1) Grandson of the original grantee
Grandson

(1) Wife 1, son 1, daughter in law 1/3
(2) Wife 1, son 1/2
(3) Wife 1, son 1/2
(4) Wife 1, son 1/2
(5) Wife — 1/1
(6) Wife 1, Brother's son 1/2

Nos 2 & 5 are brothers — the share entd. in the name of No 3 in col. 15 was purchased by them in the year Virodhi from No 1 in col. 14 — [illegible] from him in the year Vikrama the father of Nos 2 & 5 bought it. No 2 Venkatarama Sastri had 2 brothers — & the quarter share left after the sale to [illegible] was shared in equality by the 3 brothers [illegible]

Regr. No. 423

[illegible] Rayasuryampettai [illegible]

5

57 [illegible]

Wet	5-17-7	5·44	28-3-
Dry	8-19-6¼	8·49	7-–10
garden	–21-9½	·54	1-3-5
	14-18-6¾	14·46	36-7-3

Poramboke in the Inam

	7-26-2	7·65
	22-4-8¾	22·11

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval 1-8

not known

not known

Vide remarks in Case No. 3

Narayana bhattar

In Regr of 1809.
1. Periyavenkata bhattar 1/8 sh
2. Chinnavenkata bhattar 3/8
both grandsons of the Grantee
3. Subbarayan 1/4
4. Vaidyanadhan 1/4
Both enjoy by purch.
Wet –9
Dry –14–
1-14-9

In F1225.
Wt –18¼
Dry 1-2-35 9/16
Por –7-61 1/8
2-10-64 15/16
In F1238
1. Sambhabhattar a descendant of the Grantee 1/2 sh
2. Subbarayan 1/4 sh
3. Bava Panditan 3/16
4. Jamunachari 1/16
Nos 3 & 4 enjoy as mortgagees.

Rayasuryampettai
1. Narayanabhattar 47 1/4 share
2. Krishnayyan 17
3. Subbarayan 20 1/4 share

Wife, brothers, daughter 1/3
(2 & 3) unmarried

(1) Mortgaged it with Bava Panditan. This last named individual mortgaging 1/16 with Jamunachari retained the rest himself. Bava Panditan enjoying the 3/16 in the enjoyment of No. 1 [illegible] whilst the whole was so under mortgage. Vaidyanadha Sastri grandson of No. 4 sold in the year [illegible] his moiety in the quarter share to No. 1 & Subba Kutti Sastri son of No. 4 disposed of his moiety in Krodhi to Jayaramachari [illegible] whose brother's son Venkataramana (2)

[illegible] share [illegible] the Reg: of 1809 in the name of Nos 1 & 2 is in that of their descendant No. 1 in col: 15. 7/16 was given in gift by Sambhabhattar in the year Durmukhi to No. 1 in col. 15 [illegible] brother the remaining 3/16 was purchased in 18[illegible] by No. 1 from Venkatrayan grand uncle grandson of the above Sambhabhattar. The quarter share entd as being under mortgage with Nos 3 & 4 in col: 15 is said to be the share specified in the name of Vaidyanadha Sastri in col: 14. This man it would appear mortgaged the share with Narayanabhattar grandfather of No. 1, who again (3)

[illegible] that [illegible] evidence [illegible] acct of F1240 [illegible] & 2 V. [illegible] of 14 feet equivalent to V 19-8-8 9 with a rod of 12 feet, cannot be supposed to have arisen from encroachment. For it is not at all likely to have been allowed, the village being entirely surrounded by other villages. Even between the extent as per details of F1225 & that of F1238 there is a large difference. These discrepancies I believe are due to imperfect measurement. Of the 34 & odd Velis as per survey of F1238 more than 1/3 is Poramboke – which consists of sides of channels & of immense beds of rivers etc unavailable for cultivation. In the survey of F1238 there was a fieldwar measurement, yet as it is not stated which of the fields belong to each Vritti as the village was granted and enjoyed in shares which are in the main equal no fieldwar particulars can be given in col: 4 where share in consequence specified for each of the 10 Vrittis one tenth of the total extent of each item in the Pa[illegible] have been taken with the [illegible] embracing all the Nos in the village & showing how they [illegible] fields [illegible] at present enjoyed.

Being an entire Inam village – it is not in the [illegible] of [illegible] obtaining in adjoining [illegible] villages, at Rs 34-4-5, Rs 5-7-11 & Rs 15-2-0 for a Veli of Wet, dry & garden respectively.

Whilst Inams are mostly in the hands of Brahmins who have acquired possession after several [illegible] it has been found impossible to [illegible] proper [illegible]

[illegible] No. 1 bought it in the general [illegible] for all these produced except the mortgage deed executed to Nos 1 & 3 & the [illegible] Bava Panditan & Jamunachari. (2 & 3) [illegible] No. 3 in col: 1 who required poss. by purchase as per deeds produced.

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram.	Total	Apt	Kaval	L.R
1.	4-3-½	6-16-8¼	–16-3	5-29-9½	16-23-5½	27-6-3	1-2-	3-4-
2.	–27-3½	1-2-6¾	–2-11½	–38-4¼	2-30-9½	4-9-	–3-	1-
3.	–27-3½	1-2-6¾	–2-11½	–38-4¼	2-30-9½	4-9-	–3-	
	5-17-7	8-19-6½	–21-9½	7-26-2	22-4-8¾	36-7-3	1-8-	4-4-

Case No. 6

Wet	5-17-7	5·44	28-3-
Dry	8-19-6¼	8·49	7-–10
garden	–21-9½	·54	1-3-5
	14-18-6¾	14·46	36-7-3

Poramboke in the Inam

	7-26-2	7·65
	22-4-8¾	22·11

Do

Kaval 1-8

Do

Do

Vide remarks in Case No. 3

Arunadri Sas:

In Regr of 1809
Muthiyan [illegible] grandson of Grantee 1/2 sh
2. Jamunachari 1/4
3. Jayaramachari 1/4
Both enjoy by purchase.
Wet –9
Dry –14–
1-14-9

In F1225.
Wt –18¼
D 1-2-35 9/16
Por –7-61 1/8
2-10-64 15/16
In F1238
1. Vaidyanadha [illegible] 3/8
2. Jamunachari 1/4
3. Varadachari 1/4
4. Appadurai 1/8 sh
1 sh.

Rayasuryampettai
1. Vaidyanadha Sastri 63 3/8 share
2. Venkataramanachari 42 1/16 share
3. Narayanachari 40 1/16 share
4. Krishnamurtiachari 31 1/16 share
5. Ramamurtiachari 25 1/16 share
6. Ramakrishnayyan 35 1/16 share
7. Ramanadhaiyan 18 1/16 share
8. Subbaiyan 55 1/2 share

(1) Great grandson of the Grantee

(1) Wife, son, daughter in law 1/3
(2) Wife 1, son 1/2
(3) Wife 1, son 1/2
(4) Wife 1, son 1/2
(5) Wife 1/1
(6) Wife, Brother, Do wife 1/3
(7) Wife 1/1
(8) Wife 1, son, Brothers 4/6

sold it away to No. 2. Deeds of sale to [illegible] by Jamunachari are produced. The deed executed by the widow of Venkachari is missing but the fact is testified by the widow [illegible] No. 6 [illegible] & No. 7 [illegible] son of Appadurai in col: 15 who purchased the share sold in his name for Vaidyanadha Sastri No. 1 in the year Tarana – Doct produced. The 1/4 sh in the enjoyment of No. 8 was purchased by him in the year Pramadicha from the son & grandson of Jamunachari No. 2 in col: 14 – Docts produced.

The quarter share in the enjoyt of Nos 2 & 5 is that entd in col: 14 in the name of No. 3 & that in col: 15 in Varadachari name. This last named individual is said to have been wife's brother of the others & so his name was entered in the Pa[illegible] instead of his sister. This woman disposed of it to Venkatachari in year [illegible] Jamunachari purchased it in the year Kilaka. Do [illegible] Jamunachari

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram	Total	Apt	Kaval	L.R
1.	2-1-8½	3-7-6¼	–8-2	2-36-12	8-11-10¾	13-10-6	–9	1-12-
2.	–13-9½	–21-3½	–1-5½	–19-2¼	1-15-4¾	2-4-6	–1-6	
3.	–13-9½	–21-3½	–1-5½	–19-2¼	1-15-4¾	2-4-6	–1-6	1-
4.	–13-9½	–21-3½	–1-5½	–19-2¼	1-15-4¾	2-4-6	–1-	
5.	–13-9½	–21-3½	–1-5½	–19-2¼	1-15-4¾	2-4-6	–1-6	
6.	–13-9½	–21-3½	–1-5½	–19-2¼	1-15-4¾	2-4-6	–1-6	–8-
7.	–13-9½	–21-3½	–1-5½	–19-2¼	1-15-4¾	2-4-6	–1-6	
8.	[illegible]	[illegible]	–4½	1-36-8¼	[illegible]	9-1-9	–6	–4-
	5-17-7	8-19-6½	–21-9½	7-26-2	22-4-8¾	36-7-3	1-8	4-4-

Wet	5-17-7	5·44	28-3-
Dry	8-19-6¼	8·49	7-–10
garden	–21-9½	·54	1-3-5
	14-18-6¾	14·46	36-7-3

Poramboke in the Inam

	7-26-2	7·65
	22-4-8¾	22·11

Do

Kaval 1-8

Do

Do

Vide remarks in Case No. 3

Kristna bhattar

In Regr of 1809.
1. Mahadeva bhattar grandson [illegible] grandson 1/2 sh
2. Aiyadas 3/16 sh
3. Sivathi [illegible] 1/16 sh
4. Subbarayan 1/4 sh
The last 3 enjoy by purchase
Wet –9
Dry –14–
1-14-9

In F1225.
Wt –18¼
D 1-2-35 9/16
Por –7-61 1/8
2-10-64 15/16
In F1238
1. Mahadeva bhattar descendant of Grantee 1/2 sh
2. Aiyadas 3/16 sh
3. Subbarayan 1/4 sh
4. Ramaiyan 1/16 sh

Rayasuryampettai
1. Venkataramanachari 42 11/64 share
2. Narayanachari 40 11/64 share
3. Krishnamurtiachari 31 11/64 share
4. Ramamurtiachari 25 11/64 share
5. Subbaiyan 55 1/16 share
6. Subbarayan 20
7. Krishnaiyan 17 1/4 share

(1) Wife 1, son 1/2
(2) Wife 1, son 1/2
(3) Wife 1, son 1/2
(4) Wife 1/1
(5) Wife 1, son, Brothers 4/6
(6 & 7) unmarried

are produced. That of Aiyadas is said to be missing. No. 5 is son of No. 3 in col: 14 adopted by his (No. 3's) uncle. The 1/16 share entd in Sivathi [illegible] Sastri's name appears to have [illegible] to the share of his uncle. For in the will of the latter it was bequeathed to his adopted son [illegible] Rayan. No. 6 is grandson of No. 4 in col: 14.

The father of Nos 1 & 4 purchased to their enjoyt in the year [illegible] from [illegible] in whose brother's time [illegible] the share of No. 1 in col: 15 in the year Nandana & that of No. 2 in the same col: Deed of sale executed by Raghava [illegible] chari & that executed by Kasturi bhattar [illegible]

Case No. 7

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram	Total	Apt	Kaval	L.R
1.	–37-5¼	1-18-5½	–3-11¼	1-12-10	3-32-	6-4-3	–4-1½	
2.	–37-5½	1-18-5½	–3-11¼	1-12-10	3-32-	[illegible]-3	–4-1½	
3.	–37-5¼	1-18-5½	–3-11¼	1-12-10	3-32-	[illegible]-4-3	–4-1½	3-
4.	–37-5¼	1-18-5½	–3-11¼	1-12-10	3-32-	[illegible]-4-3	–4-1½	
5.	–13-[illegible]	–21-3½	–1-5½	–19-2	1-15-4¾	2-4-6	–1-6	–4-
6.	–27-3	1-2-6¼	–2-11½	–38-4	2-30-9	4-8-11	–3-	
7.	–27-3	1-2-6¼	–2-11½	–38-4	2-30-9	4-8-11	–3-	1-
	5-17-7	8-19-5¼	–21-9½	7-26-2	22-4-8¾	36-7-3	1-8	4-4-

Wet	5-17-7	5·44	28-3-
Dry	8-19-6¼	8·49	7-–10
garden	–21-9½	·54	1-3-5
	14-18-6¾	14·46	36-7-3

Poramboke in the Inam

	7-26-2	7·65
	22-4-8¾	22·11

Do

Kaval 1-8

Do

Do

Vide remarks in Case No. 3

Aiyasami Andhairi

In Regr of 1809.
1. Ramaswami bhagavatar grandson 3/8
2. Subbabhagavatar grandson 3/8
3. Kuppabhagavatar great grandson [illegible]

In F1225
Wt –18¼
D 1-2-35 9/16
Por –7-61 1/8
2-10-64 15/16
In F1238
1. Kuppabhagavatar descendant of Grantee 3/4
2. Ramalingaswami enjoys by gift 1/4
1 sh

Rayasuryampettai
1. Venkataramanachari 42 1/4 share
2. Narayanachari 40 1/4 share
3. Krishnamurtiachari 31 1/4 share
4. Ramamurtiachari 25 1/4 share

(1) Wife 1, son 1/2
(2) Wife 1, son 1/2
(3) Wife 1, son 1/2
(4) Wife 1/1

The father of the parties in col: 16 purchased from No. 1 in col: 15 his 3/4 sh in the year Virodhi & from Ramalinga Sastri his 1/4 share in the year Sadharana [illegible] the share of No. 3 in col: 14 [illegible]

Case No. 8

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram	Total	Apt	Kaval	L.R
1.	1-14-5¾	2-4-13¾	–5-6¼	1-36-8½	5-21-2¼	9-1-10	–6	
	1-14-5¾	2-4-13½	–5-6½	1-36-8½	5-21-2¼	9-1-10	–6	4-4-
	1-14-5¾	2-[illegible]	13½ –5-6½	1-36-8½	5-21-2¼	9-1-10	–6	
	[illegible]	2-[illegible]	13½ –5-6½	1-36-8½	5-21-2	9-1-9	–6	

Royasuryampettai, Mayavaram.

Regr. No 423

Sheet No. 111

Case No 9

9 [illegible]

° for the purpose an the Parmacuini [illegible] was [illegible] in mortgage by the grand father [illegible] [illegible] in the Parmacuini [illegible] mortgage [illegible] taken [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] his [illegible] No 2 [illegible]

Wet 5-27-7 5.23 28-2-
Dry 8-19-6¼ 8.49 7-10
garden -21-9½ .54 1-3-5
14-18-6¾ 14.26 37-7-3
Poramboke in the Inam
7-26-2 7.65
22-4-8¾ 22.11

For the personal benefit of the holder

Inam 1-8

not known

unknown

	Wet	Dry	garden	Poram	Total	Asst.	Kasal	Q.R.
1.	1-14-5¾	2-4-13½	-5-6½	1-36-8½	5-21-2	9-1-9	-6-	1-
2.	-13-9½	-21-3½	-1-5½	-19-2¼	1-15-4½	2-4-5	-1-6	-4-
3.	-13-9½	-21-3½	-1-5½	-19-2¼	1-15-4½	2-4-5	-1-6	-4-
4.	-13-9½	-21-3½	-1-5½	-19-2¼	1-15-4½	2-4-5	-1-6	-4-
5.	-13-9½	-21-3½	-1-5½	-19-2¼	1-15-4½	2-4-5	-1-6	-4-
6.	1-14-5¾	2-4-13¼	-5-6½	1-26-8½	5-21-2	9-1-9	-6-	1-
7.	-27-3¼	1-2-6½	-2-11½	-28-4	2-30-7¼	4-9-1	-3-	-8-
8.	-27-3¼	1-2-6½	-2-11½	-28-4	2-30-7¼	4-9-	-2-	-8-
	5-17-7	8-19-6¼	-21-9½	7-26-2	22-4-8¾	36-7-3	1-8-	4-

Vide remarks in Case No 3

Narasimha [illegible]

[illegible] whose brother it was purchased in the year [illegible] of the [illegible] No 2 to 5, who now enjoy it. [illegible] of the quarter share in the enjoy[illegible] of No 1, ⅛th was purch[ased] by him in the year [illegible] [illegible] No 3 in col: 15, brother of No 5 in col: 14, 1/16th was purchased in [illegible] from the [illegible] of No 6 in col: 14, & the [illegible] 1/16 belonged originally to No 3 in col: 14 is said to have been purchased by one [illegible] chari from whom No 6 had it in exchange for his share in [illegible] ditto [illegible]

M. Regr. of 1809

Atmanadhasas ¼

2. Narasimhasas ⅛ both grandsons

3. Muttu [illegible] daughter 5/16

4. Raja [illegible] ⅛

5. Dhana [illegible] ⅛

6. Rama [illegible] 1/16

W 1-4 D -14- 1-129

M. F.1225

W 1-68¼ D 1-2-35 9/16 Por -7-61 ⅛

2-10-64 15/16

M. F.1238

1. Atmanadhasas ¼

2. Subbadikshitar [illegible] as mortgagee ¼

3. Venkata Kristnaier ⅛

4. Sami [illegible] ⅛

5. Ramaier ¼

6. Baira [illegible] dikshitar ¼ the last 2 enjd. as mortgagee

Royasuryampettai

1. Narayanabhattar 47. ¼ share

2. Venkataramanachari 42. 1/16 share

3. Narayanachari 40. 1/16 share

4. Krishnamurthiachari 31. 1/16 share

5. Ramamurthiachari 25. 1/16 share

6. Ramanujaiyangar 55. ¼ share

7. Subbaiyar 55. ⅛ share

8. Apatsahaya aiyan 18. ⅛ share

(1) Wife, Daughter 1, Brother 1 — 1/3

(2) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2

(3) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2

(4) Wife 1, son 1 — 1/2

(5) Wife — 1/1

(6) Wife 1, Daughter 1, Do. sons 2 — 1/4

(7) Wife 1, son 1, Brothers 4 — 1/6

(8) Wife — 1/1

The ¼th in the enjoyment of No 1 was bequeathed to him by his maternal uncle Bala Panditan who purchased it in the year 1832 from a [illegible] Panditan who had bought it of [illegible] chari [illegible] of [illegible] No 3 in col: 14. The quarter share entd. in the name of [illegible] was disp. of by him in the year Vijaya & one Rajarama [illegible]

Fit with [illegible] parties [illegible] those named [illegible]

The Q.R. payable by the several parties in each case are specified in the table or statement marginally appended but where the consent of any party to the [illegible] is necessary the words "agreed to" are written opposite the Q.R. & parties to whom one [illegible] may be granted are enclosed in a bracket.

30/4/62 Majendie [illegible] Dy. Col.

Case No 10

10. Do

col: 14. [illegible] the present family [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] No 8 in [illegible] No 4 in col: 6 who [illegible]

Wet 2-23-¼ 2.72 14-1-6
Dry 4-9-10¾ 4.22 3-8-5
garden -10-12 .27 -9-8
7-9-3 7.23 18-3-7
Poramboke in the Inam
3-33-½ 3.83
[illegible] 11.06

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] in col: 14, in the year [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Do

Inam -12-

Do

Do

Vide remarks in Case No 3. This Inam & that in the next case constitute one share & is the share was given to two individuals while [illegible] in all the other cases each had one share.

Narayana Josi

M. Regr. of 1809

1. Subramaniya Josi ½

2. Ananta Subba Josi ⅛

3. Parameswara Josi all grandsons ⅛

W -10-4½ D -7- -17-4½

M. F.1225

W -10-34 ⅛ D -11-17 25/32 P -3-80 9/16

1-5-32 15/32

M. F.1238

Appu Josi ½

Royasuryampettai Nageswara Josyar 40

Grandson of Great grandson of the original grantee

Sons 2, brother 1, Do. wife — 1/4

Vide remarks in Case No 2.

To be confirmed. Q.R. agreed [illegible] ⅛ is Rs 2-4-

30/4/62 Majendie [illegible] Dy. Col.

Vide Cor. No 2

Case No. 11

11. Do

Wet 2-[illegible] 2.[illegible] 14-1-6
Dry 4-9-10½ 4.24 3-8-5
garden -10-12 .27 -9-8
7-[illegible] 7.23 18-3-7
Poramboke in the Inam
3-33-½ 3.83
11-2-[illegible] 11.06

Do

Inam -12-

Do Do Do

[illegible] No 1 [illegible] [illegible] ⅛th [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No 2 [illegible] mortgage [illegible] it having been subsequently [illegible] is now enjd. by Vaidianadhasas [illegible]

Vaidianadha [illegible]

M. Regr. of 1809

1. Subba dikshitar grandson 3/8

2. Sivanathan [illegible] Sas enjd. by purch. ⅛

3 [illegible] as [illegible]

M. F.1225

[illegible] 1-5-32 15/32

M. F.1238

1. Subbadikshitar 3/8

2. Ramaier enjd. by purch. ⅛

Royasuryampettai Vaidyanadha dikshitar 55 3/8

2. Subbaiyan 55 1/16

3. Subbaier 57 1/16

(1) Grandson of [illegible] grandson of the party No 3 [illegible]

(1) Sons 2, daughters-in-law 2 — 2/4

(2) Wife 1, Brothers 4 — 4/5

(3) Brother — 1/1

No 2 & 3 are brothers [illegible] & [illegible] of No 2 in col: 14. No 2 in col: 15 was son of Sivanathan [illegible] was adopted by his uncle of the 3/8th entd. in col: 15

To be confirmed in the [illegible] Q.R. agreed to by No 1 at ⅛ is Rs 1-12-. Q.R. imposed on Nos 2 & 3 at ⅛ is Rs -8-

30/4/62 Majendie [illegible] Dy. Col.

Vide Cor. No 2

---

12. Do

[illegible] the [illegible] [illegible]

Wet [illegible] 31-9-2
Dry 8-19-6¼ 8.49 7-10
garden -21-9½ .54 1-3-5
[illegible] 10.12 39-13-5
[illegible] in the Inam
7-21-2 7.65
22-30-[illegible] 22.7

(6)

Do

Inam [illegible] 1-8

Do

Do

Vide remarks in case No 3

Venkatadri [illegible]

(7) [illegible] No 3 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] are [illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 5 months [illegible] the [illegible] & they were [illegible] sold in the [illegible] of [illegible] that [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the claim [illegible] (8)

Wet	dry	garden	Poramboke	Total	Asst.	Inam	Q.R.
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	3-[illegible]-1	10-27-10¼	17-4-7	-12-	2-
2 -27-3	1-2-6¾	-2-11¼	-38-4¼	2-30-9¼	4-8-10	-3-	8-
3 -27-3	1-2-6¾	-2-11¼	-38-4½	-30-4¼	4-8-10	-3-	-8-
4 -13-9¼	-21-3½	-1-5½	-19-2¼	-15-4½	2-4-7	-1-6	-4-
5 -13-9¼	-21-3½	-1-5½	-19-2¼	1-15-4½	2-4-7	-1-6	-4-
6 -25-4				-26-4	3-6-2		-6-
7 -33-½	1-11-3¼	-2-11¼	-38-4	3-5-3	5-7-10	-3-	-10-
6-3-11	8-19-6¼	-21-9½	7-26-2	22-30-12¾	39-13-5	1-8-	4-8-

Wet	asst.	Dry	asst.	garden	asst.	Poram	asst.	Total	asst.
-15-11½		85-16-12½		5-15-13½				76-20-3	230-26-11½

(3) [illegible] village - other villages of Kadakam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] they [illegible] Gopalakristnaier (No 6) in the village - The ⅛ share entd. in the name of No 7 in that entd. in Col: 15 as being enjoyed on mortgage by Krishna [illegible] that which appears in Venkataramadasi's name in Col: 14. whom [illegible] No 7 [illegible] (4)

M. Regr. of 1809.

1. Venkata [illegible] Grandson ⅛

2. Subbadasa Grandson ⅛

3. Venkata [illegible] Grandson ⅛

4. Rama [illegible] [illegible] ½

5. [illegible] [illegible] by [illegible] ⅛ 1/16

W [illegible] D [illegible]

M. F.1225

W 1-68⅛ Dry 1-2-35 9/16 Por -7-61 ⅛

2-10-64 15/16

M. F.1238

1. Ramakrishnasas ½

2. Krishnadasas ¼

3. Appadasan ⅛

4. Krishna [illegible] (Enjoyd. by mortgage) ⅛

5. Archaka (Worshipper Venguraiyan) [illegible] 1.[illegible]

[illegible] -28-4

Virupperumandallam S. Archakdit

1. Venkitrayan 54.

2. Kamakshiammal 70 ⅛

3. Gurumurthi Ayyar 12 ⅛

4. Ramakrishna aier 35 1/16

5. Ramana [illegible] 18 1/16

6. Gopalakrishnaswami at Kadakam

Worshipper Venguraiyangar

7. Subbabhattar 35.

(1) [illegible] son of [illegible] of the original grantee

No 4 in Col: 14—

(2) [illegible] of No 1 in col: 14.

(7) [illegible] No 3 in col: 14

(1) Wife 1, son 1, Brother — 1/3

(2) Daughters — 2

(3) None

(4) Wife 1, Brother, Brother's wife — 1/3

(5) Wife — 1/1

(7) Wife 1, Brothers — 2/3

Panchanadadasa in col: 15 is said [illegible] the son of No 1 in col: 14. The quarter share entd. in his name is the ⅛ in the name of his father & the ⅛ in that of Subbadasa No 2. Since his latter also [illegible] the entd. in his name is not known. No 2. Kamakshiammal is [illegible] of Panchanadadasa. So [illegible] death she & her daughter [illegible] divided all property between them the ⅛ now in her enjoyment fell to her [illegible] the remaining [illegible] No 3. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Vide remarks in case No 2

To be confirmed. Q.R. agreed to at ⅛ by Nos 1, 2, 7 & imposed at the same rate on Nos 3, 4, 5, 6 is Rs 4-8-

25/7/62 Majendie [illegible] Dy. Col.

Vide Cor. No 2.

(5) [illegible] Kaverir bhattar [illegible] having [illegible] and Venkata [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] for the settlement of the dispute [illegible] of the land for Kaveri bhattar [illegible] & [illegible] the above [illegible] [illegible] circumstances [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] that No 8 [illegible] [illegible] No 7 [illegible]

[illegible] of Kanchi [illegible]. The mortgage [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] by the mortgagee. The one eighth share is at present in the enjoyment of No 3 - Krishna [illegible] (the mortgagee) [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] it appears that the ⅛ share in question was left in [illegible] bhattar, who was the Pattamaniyagar of the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] (5)

(2) No 5 in Col: 14. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] — the wet lands in this village are [illegible] by the irrigation channel of the adjoining village of Kadakam. When a dispute arose, the villages of Raya[illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

(1) No 4 & 5 are [illegible] [illegible] respectively of Appadurai [illegible] in Col: 15 who had it by [illegible] [illegible] the year Parthiva from one Subbaramaier & whom [illegible] had [illegible] by [illegible] sale in the year [illegible] from one Parvathi [illegible] who [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Manamodu Village, Mayavaram Taluk

Regr. No. 424

Sheet No. 111

[illegible]
243 — 36 —
125 — 20 — 3
204 — 38 — 3
230 — 9 —
233 — 8 — 5
234 — 20 —
3 — 12 — 7 3·31 4 — 5 — 8

Garden
235 — — 8
241 — 9 — 3
242 — 20 — 7¾
1 — 30 — 2¾ ·75 2 — 11 —

Tope
95 — 17 — 8
96 — 15 — 12
97 — 9 —
127 — 21 — 15
129 2 — 8 — 5
130 5 — 29 — 8
202 1 — 23 — 2½
227 4 — 24 —
228 1 — 34 — 4
250 — 4 — 2½
347 — 8 —
386 — 5 — 14½
18 — 1 — 7½ 18·04 23 — 10 — 10
22 — 4 — 1¼ 22·10 30 — 11 — 6

Poramboke in the Enam
234 — 5 — 4½
246 — 6 — 1½
247 — 3 — 8
229 — 6 — 6
241 — 8 — 9¾
244 — 5 — 15
245 — 4 — 8¼
255 — 7 — 5
248 — — 11
249 — 4 — 13
250 — — 8
251 — 4 — 2
253 — 17 — 7
252 — 3 — 6
256 — 4 — 12½
257 — 11 — 4
258 — 16 —
2 — 34 — 9½ 2·86 3 — 10 — 4
24 — 38 — 10¾ 24·90 34 — 6 — 3

For the [illegible] of Raga[illegible] Swamy Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda [illegible] and other [illegible] — Undf. [illegible] Not Known Not Known No document — Rajana[illegible] Swami

R. F. 1216 Sri Raga[illegible] Swami [illegible] Tope [illegible] 3 — 15 — 7¼ — R. F. 1238 The Same 24 — 38 — 10¾

Rajana[illegible] Swami at Manamodu

Trustee

Vaiddayadinga Pillai — To be confirmed [illegible] so long as the worship [illegible] regularly [illegible]

[illegible] Rajana[illegible] 8/12/62 [illegible]

85 [illegible]

Tope
293 1 — — 2
295 — 9 — 6
298 — 3 — 6
299 — 4 — 13
1 — 25 — 11 1·64 2 — 2 — 6

[illegible] of a [illegible] in the village [illegible] water pandal is maintained in [illegible] to [illegible] — do do do No document — Water Pandal

R. F. 1206 Water pandal 1 — 4 — 10 — R. F. 1238 Water pandal [illegible] Tope 1 — 25 — 11

Water Pandal at Manamodu

Trustee

Thokkading a Pillai — To be confirmed [illegible] so long as the water [illegible]

[illegible] 5/8/62 [illegible]

85 3

[illegible] 425 Register of Enams in the village of Agaramanamodu No. 172 in the above named Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore Dist.

Regr. No. 425

Tope
156 1 — 32 — 8
157 — 31 — 8
1 — 24 — 1·60 1 — 10 — 5

Do do of Varadaraja Swamy Pagoda in the village [illegible] — do do do No document — Varadaraja Swami

R. F. 1214 Varadarajaperumal Swamy Tope 1 — 4 — 70 — R. F. 1238 The Same 1 — 24 —

Varadarajaswami at Agaramanamodu

Worshipper

Venkatakrishna [illegible] — To be confirmed [illegible]

85 [illegible]