[illegible] Nandavanam Inam in the village of [illegible] Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

[illegible] 9 [illegible] Survey account of Fasli 1288 Fasli 1288 Nandavanam

Mayavaram

Nandavanam

[illegible]

21-8-78

Continued

W. L. Whitlock

Aug 22. 1883

Register No. 1

Sheet No. 1

1189

[illegible] 31 3 [illegible] Survey account of Fasli 1288 Fasli 1288 Nandavanam

No Nandavanam [illegible]
20 [illegible] 02 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1-2 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

21-8-78

Fully assessed

W. L. Whitlock

Aug 22. 188

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

[illegible] Kadalangudi contd.

1 [illegible] at [illegible]

565 1-17-3
566 1-12-15½
667 ‥-20-6¼
668 2-15-6½
669 ‥-27-5
680 ‥-7-2½
681 ‥-3-¾
692 ‥-15-11¼
752 2-13-3½
773 ‥-‥-11½
777 ‥-2-4
788 ‥-16-6
824 ‥-35-8¾
921 ‥-5-7
923 ‥-3-9½
940 ‥-6-10¼
942 ‥-2-13
980 ‥-19-4

49-39-2 49·98 58-15-7

73-25-15½ 73·65 11-13-7

Ground confiscated in the Inam as [illegible]

Dry

986 ‥-‥-12
989 ‥-1-7½
739 ‥-2-6¾
742 ‥-‥-15¾
747 ‥-1-4
749 ‥-2-8¾
759 ‥-‥-15
764 ‥-1-7¼
765 ‥-‥-15
770 ‥-1-4¼
775 ‥-2-‥
927 ‥-‥-3¾
925 ‥-‥-7
934 ‥-1-10¼
982 ‥-‥-10
985 ‥-‥-11¼
[illegible] ‥-‥-10
75 [illegible] 36 ‥

1-17-4½ 1·43 1-11-2

75-3-4 75·08 113-8-9

1741
49·20
1·43
68·82
1·90
4·36
75·08

Regr. No. 1
Case No. 1 (Contd.)

[illegible] Wet

463 ‥-25-9¼ ·64 3-14-8

Dry

566 ‥-22-12½
574 ‥-5-2¾
576 ‥-30-4½
577 ‥-11-10
579 ‥-14-7½
583 ‥-8-4
585 ‥-11-2½
587 ‥-12-4
590 ‥-1-3¼
605 1-2-‥
[illegible] ‥-‥-13½

[illegible] ·[illegible] 1·08 [illegible]-13

Garden

466 ‥-21-10¾ ·54 2-9-2

Tope

467 1-14-4¼
468 1-18-3½
469 1-11-3
478 ‥-13-8
479 ‥-3-5¼
483 ‥-9-6½
487 ‥-13-3¼
490 ‥-8-15
499 ‥-9-6½
505 ‥-5-2
510 ‥-7-9
516 ‥-5-8¼
529 ‥-7-15½
586 1-3-12
606 ‥-24-7
608 2-16-‥
609 2-16-‥
610 2-28-8
616 1-6-9¼
617 1-‥-5¼

17-22-3½ 17·56 20-11-7

22-22-[illegible] 22·82 32-‥-5

Ground [illegible]

Case No. 2

XX names of the sharers are not given in the deed of availability and the 2d might be enjoying the share from the commencement, & only because the names of the 2d does not appear in the [illegible] deed, I think it should not be a ground for a higher charge. As the 1st has no objection & as the chances of the reversion of the share in the case of the 2d are not more remote than in the case of the other, I recommend the share of the 2d may be also confirmed rent free for the benefit of the Brahmins.

P. Chentsal Rao

Approved
25 June 1863

New deeds will be given

Regd Nos
970
971

The persons of this Inam and the [illegible] are of professed [illegible] Brahmins [illegible]

[illegible] Est [illegible]

No. 4038. Sri Sundareswaraswami
Sri Sund. Trustees at Kadalangudi
Iswaran Swaminathapillai, Arunachalapillai &
Sarvana Singa Mudali

Vide remarks in Case No. 1.

by his maternal grand father performed the funeral rites of his natural father and succeeded deceased's share. In regard to the debts of the deceased, the Courts have held his son though adopted by his maternal grand father, responsible for their discharge. He therefore succeeded to the share of the deceased. The deceased's daughter raises no objection and has accordingly affixed her signature to the statement filed by (sic) his brothers son no 2½ in 16 col. The deceased's share is therefore recommended to be confirmed to no 2½ in 16 col son of Sadagopa Chari.

Yelangarangudi

Mayavaram Taluk

2½	Ramanujaaiyangar	26	Grand son of no 1 in 15 col	1 Wife 5 Brothers	5/8	1 Pungu was obtained by mortgage by Tirumala Tatachari great grand father of no 1 in 16 col from Subbapataiyan (who had purchased it from one Arunachela Pillai) in 1799 for 62¼ Rs Deed of mortgage is produced on plain Cadjan. Of this no 1 in 16 col holds 3/16 no 2 in 16 col holds 3/16 no 4 in 16 col holds 3/8 no 17 holds 1/16 no 18 1/16 Nos 20.21.22 and 23 1/8
	Karuppur					
3	Vira Raghavachari	62	Person no 7 —	3 sons 3 Daughters 1 Mother	1	
4	Ragunadhaaiyangar	36	Son of no 8	1 Wife 6 brothers	4¾	

1½ Pungus was obtained in gift by Vedanta Chari grand father's brother to no 3 in 16 col and great grand fathers brother to no 4 in 16 col from the original grantee Tirumala Tatachari in 1752 Deed of gift is produced on plain Cadjan.

¾ Pungu was purchased by Annavaiyangar in 1754 and 2¾ was purchased before 1780. Total 5 Pungus are entered in the Register of 1809 in the name of Ramanujaiyangar in no 3 in 14 col the father of no 3 and the grand father of no 4 in 16 col. 8 Deeds of sale are produced on plain Cadjan for 4⅜ Pungus of that 5 Pungus obtained in 1809. ½ Pungu was purchased by Ramanujaiyangar the father of no 3 in 16 column from Nana Pandit son of no 11 in 14 col in 1811 for 77-12-6 Deed of sale is produced on plain Cadjan. ¼ Pungus was purchased by Do from Subbaiyan the person no 7 in 14 col in 1814 and 1818 for 38-14-0 2 Deeds of sale are produced one on plain and the other on stamp Cadjan. ¼ was purchased by Do from Subbaiyan son of no 7 in 14 col in 1821 for 38-6-3 Deed of sale is produced on stamp Cadjan. ¼ was purchased by Do from Kuppiriaiyan son of no 20 in 14 column in 1826 for 27-3-6 Deed of sale is produced on plain Cadjan.

3/4 was purchased by Do from Nagamasastri son of No 15 in 14 col in 1820 for 13-9-9 Deed of sale is produced on plain Cadjan 1 1/4 was purchased by Do about 40 years ago. No deed of sale is produced but in the Survey Register of 1238, it is entered in the name of the grand father of No 4 in 16 col 7 5/8 Pungus- of this 3 3/4 were allotted to Ragunadhachari and Kristnamachari, cousins to Nos 3 and 4 about 20 years ago:— 3 7/8 Pungu Viraghavachari person No 3 in 16 col and his brothers Saranadhachari Vedantachari and Devarajachari divided the said 3 7/8 in equal shares Vedantachari and Devarajachari transferred their share 1 7/8 to Saranadaaiyangar the father of No 4 in 16 col in 1840 in exchange for 3/4 Pungu of land in Vijaya Ragunadhapuram Pudukkottai Madura District. Deed of exchange is produced on plain cadjan. The share of Veeraragavachari by divison is one pungu which he now holds after divison. The share of No 4 by divison is one pungu

2 (~~son daughter son~~ ~~&c~~) by exchange ~~one~~ 7/8 referred to above: 2 7/8. 1 Pungu 1/4 was purchased by Saranadhachari the father of No 4 in 16 Col from Pudukottai Shreeneevasa Iyengar (whose father had purchased it in 1834 for Rs 100 from Seshagiri Iyengar, son of No 1 in 14 Col) in 1847 for Rs 200 Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan. 1/2 pungu was purchased by No 4 in 16 Col from Babu Iyengar (who had purchased 3/4 in 1857

1 for 75 Rs from Shreeneevasaragava Iyengar person No 4 in 15th Col) in 1858 for Rs 58. Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan 4 3/8 Pungus 3/8 held on mortgage 4 3/4 which No 4 in 16th Col now holds.

Ahobolum
Sirivcallur Taluq
Kurnool Dt.

5. Lakshmi Narasimaswami.
Ahoobala Matam
Anthinakartha
Manager
Sadagopaswami 38
alienee " 1

1 Pungu was purchased by the Ahoobalam Matam person No 5 in 16th Col from Rama Sami Iyengar (who had purchased it in 1859 for Rs 120 from from Chinna Rama Ranjachari cousin of Nos 3 & 4 in 16 Col. Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan) on the 20th June 1862 for Rs 120

6. Mudukur
Sendapettai
Paruttayan Setti
Mari Setti 65
2 wives
1 Daughter in law
Person No 2 in Col 15 1 Do Son
1 3/8

7. Kavakavattan 25 Son of No 21
1 wife
1 Son
1 Brother
2 Daughters
1 3/8

8 Mutture

8 Ramasami Iyengar 40 Person No. 10 in 15th Col 1 wife 2 — Deed of sale is pro-
duced on stamp

Kumbakonam paper. 2 3/8 pungu

Kumbakonam Taluk was purchased by No. 6

9 Rengasami Iyengar 35 Son of No. 4 in 1 wife 1 Son 1 Daughter 4 brothers 1 mother in 16 Col & Sheik Ma-
-hammed Rowther
the father of No. 7

Madura in 16th Col from

Madura District Krishnamachari

10 Ambaji Pandithar 50 Son of No. 15 in Col 15 1 wife 2 Sons 4 brothers 3 5/8 7/8 Cousin of No. 3 & 4
in col 16 referred

11 Seerangulam to in the remark of

Mannargudy Taluk No. 3 & 4 in 16th Col

Gopalasami Iyengar No. 35 Person No. 16 1 wife 3 Sons 1/2 in 1848 for Rs 282 1/2.

Pudukotta Madura District 2 Deeds of sale are

12 Devarajachari 50 alive wife 4 Sons 5 Do produced on stamp

13 Vedanatachari 55 alive 2 wives 1 Daughter Cadjan the share of No. 6

is 1 7/8 No. 7 is 1 3/8. 1 7/8 Pungu was purchased by No. 8 in Col 16 from

Buppu Iyengar person No. 9 in 15th Col & his son Streeneevasa Iyengar

(who had purchased it in 1842 for Rs 100 from Seshagiri Iyengar the son

of No. 1 in 16th Col. 2 Deeds of sale are produced on plain cadjan)

Kurichi
Mannargudy Taluq in 1857 & 58 for 221-4-0 the Deeds of

14. Kandasami Iyengar 34 alive 1 wife 1 brother 3/4 sale are produced 2 on

Andanni plain cadjan & the other on

15 Alamelu ammal 35 person No. 17 in Col 15 none 1/4 stamp cadjan 3 5/8 pungu

Vadighangulthagai was purchased by Do from

Alathur Streeneevasa ragava Iyengar

16 Tanja Setti No. 13 in 15 Col in 1860 for Rs 15. Deed of

Water Pandal person No. 23 in Col 15 Sale is produced on plain

Manager Great Grand Son 1/2 Cadjan. 2 Pungus in the

Arunachall Setti 47 Taiappakumat Setti Register of 1809 2 Pungus are

person No. 86 in Col 14 Entered in the name of

Karupur Kuppanna Iyengar No. 9

17. Panchalu person No. 19 in Col 15 1 Son 1 Daughter 1 2 31/32 in 16th Col uncle of

Streeneevasa Iyengar 70. No. 9 in 16th Column

13 Rangai~~un~~ Iyengar 24. 1 brother's son of No 19 in 15 Col & wife 1 son $\frac{10\,87}{32}$] which he now holds his ancestors having obtained it 1 son in gift from the original grantee about ~~20~~ 80 years ago. In the register of 1809 2 7/8 are entered in the name of Babaji Pandit No 11 in 14 Col grandfather of No 10 in 16 Col. Five Deeds of sale are produced on plain cadjan. 1 Pungu was purchased by Nana Pandit the father of No 10 in 16 Col from Ramachandar Pandit son of No 13 in 14 Col in 1811 for 155-9-0. Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan. 3/4 Pungu was purchased by the father of No 10 in 16 Col about 50 yrs ago. No deeds of sale is produced but it is entered in the name of the father of No 10 in 16 Col. 2 7/8 pungu of this ½ was sold to Ramanuja Iyengar 3/8 the share of No 10 in 16 Col. In the register of 1809 one pungu is entered in the name of Kuppanna Iyengar No 10 in 14 Col. Mother's brother to No 11 in 16 Col. Kuppanna Iyengar died 40 yrs ago leaving his Krishnammal & his sister Ammannammal mother of No 11 in 16 Col. Krishnammal sold ½ share Streenevasa Iyengar remainder ½. Krishnammal died 20 yrs ago her daughter's son Gopalaswami Iyengar person No 11 in 16 Col performed her funeral rites & succeeded to the ½ share. 2 Pungus were purchased by Devarajachari person No 22 in 16 Col from Alamelammal person No 17 in 15 Col in 1857 for Rs 300. Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan. Vedantachari is the brother of No 12 in 16 Col. No 12 & 13 divided the said two pungus in equal shares. 1 pungu was purchased by No 14 in 16 Col from Kuppanna Iyengar son-in-law ~~of~~ four number 24 in 15 Col ~~No 24~~ (who granted it as Dowry in 1847) to his daughter Kamalathammal. Deed of gift is produced on plain cadjan & from Streenevasa Iyengar Daughter's son of No 24 in 15 Col (to whose mother Ammakutti Ammal it was given in gift in 1847) in 1859 for 110 Rs. 2 Deeds of sale are produced on plain cadjan. Of this ¼ was sold to Streenevasa Iyengar 3/4, the share of No 15 in 16 Col as per register of 1809 is 3/4 pungu. Of the 2 pungus to No 12 & 13 in 16 Col 1/3 to Streenevasa Iyengar remainder

1/4 which No. 15 in 16th Col. now holds. Tirumala Thathachari the original ~~grantee~~ granted One punga for the support of a water pandal in charge of Thaiyapakam Setti 1/2 punga was purchased by Thaiyapakkam Chetti in the name of the water pandal from Perian Son of Nagambhatti in ~~186~~ Fusli 1762 for Rs 33-7-1. Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan 1/2 which is registered in 1809 in the name of Aluthoor Darmam as well as in the Register of Fusli 1238. Water Pandal is now efficiently supported. In the Register of 1809 5 5/8 is Entered in the name of Seshadry Iyengar the father of No. 17 in 16th Col. Eight Deeds of Sale are produced for the said 5 5/8 pungas. 3/8 punga was purchased by Venkata Iyengar grandfather of No. 17 in 16th Col from Sesha Iyengar in 1708 for Rs 40. Deed of sale on plain Cadjan. 1/2 by Do in 1789 for 54 Rs 7-0. Deed of sale on plain Cadjan 3/4 by Sesha Iyengar the father of No. 17 in 16th Col from Seshappien person No. 16 in 14th Col in 1818 for Rs 116-0-0 Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan ~~No~~ Fusli 1/2 by Do from Gopalu Iyen son of No. 17 in 14th Col in 1820 for 77-12-0

1/4 by Do from Subbahavadani Son of 25 in 14th Col in 1817 for 38-14-0.
3/4 by Do from Venkataseshavadani brother's Son of No. 24 in 14th Col in 1821 for 116-10-0
1/2 by Do from Seshappien person No. 16 in 14th Col in 1823 for 77-12-0
7/8 by Do from Venkataseshavadani brother's Son of No. 24 in 14th Col in 1828 for 19-7-0
1/4 by Do from Venkatakrishna Iyen in ~~Fa~~ Fusli 1797 for Rs 27-0
1 3/4 by Do from Sesha Iyen person No. 26 in 14th Col in 1784 for 119-9-0
1/4 by No. 17 in 16th Col from Nagamma Sastry Son of No. 15 in 14th Col in 1826 for 27-3-0
1/2 by Do from Krishnammal mother of No. 16 in 14th Col in 1826 for Rs 56-12-0
1 3/8 by Do from Nagamma Sastry Son of No. 15 in Col 14 in 1826 ~~for~~ Fusli & 1827 for 145-1-0
3/8 by Do from Krishnaiyen Son of No. 20 in 14th Col in 1831 for 45-1-0
1 3/4 by Do from Sandradathachari Son of No. 2 in 14th Col in 1838 for Rs 217-12-0
1 3/8 by Do from Subbaletchumi Ammal daughter in law of No. 5 in 14th Col in 1836 for 149-11-0. 1/16 was obtained by Do in exchange for his share in the Village Sandaram in 1836 from Krishnaiyen who had (~~[illegible]~~) purchased it from the daughter in law of No. 5 in 14th Col. Deed of Exchange is produced on plain cadjan

¼ was purchased by Do from Letchumi Ammal daughter in law to No. 15 in 14th Col in 1838 for Rs 31-1-0. ⅛ by Do Ammathaiyee (whose husband Gopala Iyengar had purchased it in 1838 for Rs 15 from Tirumalai Iyengar not known) ~~Do~~ four in 1845 for 12-8-0. ½ by Do from Rama Iyengar person No. 10 in 15th Col (who had purchased it from Seshagiri Iyengar son of No. 2 in 14th Col) in 1847 for Rs 50-0-0. 3½ by Do Ramachandra Iyer daughter's son of No. 6 in 14th Col in 1847 for Rs 380-0-0. ¼ by Do Sadagopa Iyengar grandson of No. 2 in 14th Col in 1850 for Rs 31-2-0. ½ by Do from Krishna Iyengar mother's brother's son to Seshammal No. 20 in 15th Col an alienee in 1859 for Rs 50. Seshammal died in 1850 bequething (sic) her property to the said Krishnaiengar how Seshammal had obtained the inam is not known. ~~by~~ four one by Do from Alamelu Ammal person No. 1~~7~~ four in 15th Col in 1857 for Rs 150. 23 3/16. Total 36. Deed of sale are produced 28 on plain cadjan 8 on stamp cadjan which No. 17 & 18 in 16th Col divided thus. No. 17 in 16th Col 12 29/32 + 1/16 held on mortgage = 12 31/32 No. 18 = 10 29/32 + 1/16. D Do = 10 31/32

Tiruvaramesvaram

Mannargudy Taluk		Daughter in law to No. 5 in 14th Col	— none —	⅝
19. Subbaletchumi Ammal 50.				
Karuppur				
20. Krishnaiengar 28		person No. 6 in 15th Col	wife	
21. Sreeneevasa Iyengar	33	} brothers of No. 6 in 15th Col	wife	4 5/16 + ⅛
22. Sesha Iyengar	31		mortgaged share: 4 11/16	
23 Vadanta Iyengar 29		"	"	"
Vik~~ra~~ four aman				
24 Sreeneevasa Iyengar	20	person No. 5 in 15th Col	wife 3 brothers 1 mother	3/16
Karuppur				
25 Sellathammal	70	person No. 11 in 15th Col	"	½



In the register of 1809, 2½ pungus are entered in the name of Venka-tachala Iyengar No. 5 in 14th Col. ¼ pungu was purchased by No. 5 in 14th Col about 60 yrs ago. No deeds of sale is produced in the Chitta of Fusli 1225 & 2¾ pungus are entered in the name of Kuppaien husband of No. 19 in 16th Col 1⅜ was sold by No. 19 in 16th Col to No. 17 in 1836, ¼ was given in gift to Krishnaien, who sold it in 1836 to No. 11 in 16th Col, ½ was given in gift to Janakiammal daughter of No. 5 in 14th Col by No. 5 in 14th Col 2⅛ pungus. remainder of ⅝ was mortgaged by her husband, or Kuppaien to Ramaswami Iyengar grandfather of No. 4 in 16th Col it is now held on mortgage which No. 19 in 16th Col now holds. The share of No. 1 in 14th Col Krishnaiengar grand-father of No. 20, 21, 22 & 23 in 16th Col and the father son of the maternal grandfather of No. 24 in 16th Col is 2 pungus. 8 pungus were purchased by (~~No~~ four) Do before 1809. 8 four Deeds of sale are produced on plain cadjan. Total 5 pungus are entered in the register of 1809 in the name of No. 1 in 14th Col. ¾ pungu was purchased by Do from Seshappien person No. 7 in 14th Col in 1815 & 1818 for Rs 116-10-10. 4 Deeds of sale are produced 3 on plain cadjan & the other on stamp cadjan one pungu was purchased by Do from Appu pillai grandson of Aruna-chela Pillay manager of No. 32 in 14th Col in 1820 for 155-8-10 Deed of sale is produced on stamp cadjan ⅝ by Do from Subbien son of No. 7 in 14th Col in 1822 for 97-7-7. 2 Deeds of sale are produced one on plain cadjan & the other on stamp cadjan. ½ by Do from Rengiengar (who had obtained in gift from No. 13 in 14th Col) in 1822 for Rs 77-12-5 Deed of sale four is produced on stamp cadjan 1¼ pungu was purchased by Do (~~whom~~ four) (whom from not known)

50 yrs ago but it is entered in the chitta of F 1226 in the name of Krishnaiyengar 9⅛ pungus of which one punga was allowed to Seethammal by her father for her maintenance she having lost her husband in her 15 yrs of age. 8⅛ pungus which his sons Seshagiri Iyengar & Ramasami Iyengar divided in equal shares about 30 yrs ago. The share of Seshagiri Iyen is by Do 4 1/16 of this Seshagiri ~~sold~~ first sold 2½ which his 2 daughters sons Sreeneevasa Ragava Iyengar 1 9/16 ¾ & No. 24 in 16th Col 1 3/16 divided. The said Streeneevasa Ragava Iyengar sold his ¾ shares to Bapeeraya Iyengar father of No. 24 in 16th Col in 1856 for 75 Rs ~~divided~~ Deed of sale is produced on stamp cadjan. The share of No. 24 in 16th Col was + 1 3/16 of this 1 3/8 was sold to Ragunatha Iyengar 3/16 punga the share of No. 24 in 16th Col. The share of Ramasami Iyengar the father of Nos 20, 21, 22 & 23 is by division 4 1/16 of ~~that~~ one punga allotted to Sellathammal ½ is entered in the name of No. 20 in 16th Col in the Register of F 1258 & the other half share is entered in the Register of F 1258 in her name as per odumbadikai executed by Seshagiri Iyengar brother of Ramasami Iyengar.

It appears that Seshagiri Iyengar, her brother executed an odambadikai in Subakiruthu 1842 authorising his sister No. 25 in 16th Col to hold the half share with powers of alienation ~~that~~ odumbadikkai is not forthcoming. On the strength of the alleged odumbadikai the terms of which are not known Sellathammal it would appeared sold ½ share in 1859 to one Sreeneevasa Iyengar No. 26 in 16th Col. Deed of sale is produced in plain cadjan. Sellathammal is blind & old. In 1860 Sellathammal entered into a Compromise before the Pattukottai Munsif's Court with No. 20

in 16th Col binding herself to hold the half share for life the Deed of sale advanced by No 26 in 16th Col is invalid. It appears to have been executed by Sellathammal to defraud No 20 in 16th Col. It is therefore rejected and the said ½ share is registered in the name of Sellathammal who will have to hold it for life as the daughter's son of the Seshagiri Iyengar appears to have a claim to it.

Karuppur

26 Streeneevasa Iyengar 80. person No 80 in 15th Col wife 1 mother ¼



In the register of (1803) 1¼ Pungu is entered in the name of Streeneevasa Iyengar No 8 in 14th Col. 3 Deeds of sale are produced on plain cadjan. The share of Krishnaiengar is ⅓ Streeneevasa Iyengar $\frac{7}{12}$ Narayana Iyengar $\frac{\frac{1}{3}}{1\frac{1}{4}}$

After Do ¼ was purchased by No 26 in 16th Col grandson of Kristnaiengar
from Kondasawmy Iyengar (who had purchased it from Kuppamaiyen-
-gar son in law to Narayanaiengar husband of No 27 in 16 Col ( ) in 1859
for 27½ Rs. Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan. 3/16 was purchased
by Do from Sengammal mother & guardian of Streeneevasaiengar & his
Sammiengar grandson of No 8 in 14 Col in 1860 for Rs 30. Deed of sale is
produced on plain cadjan. $\frac{37}{48}$ of this $\frac{7}{48}$ was sold to Narayanaiengar & the

Rem. $\frac{5}{8}$: of the share of Sammiengar grandson of the said Streeneevasaiengar
3/16 was sold to No 26 in 16 Col and the rest to Narayanaiengar. He holds no
share. By Do The share of the Narayaniengar is ⅓ of a Pungu. $\frac{1}{24}$ was
purchased by Do from the father of No 26 in 16 Col & from his brother Sree-
-neevasaiengar in 1823 for 6.7.7 Deed of sale produced on plain cadjan
¼ by Do from Seshappiengar person No 9 in 14 Col in 1818 for 37.14-0 Deed of
sale is produced on stamped cadjan. ½ by Do from Venkatachari
grandson of No 80 in 14 Col in 1823 for 77.12-0 Deed of sale is produced
on stamped cadjan. ⅛ by Do from Sundraiengar (who had purchased

ch from Renganaiengar brother's son of No. 14 in 14th Col ( ) in 1836 for 13-10-0 Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan. $\frac{5}{16}$ by Do. from the brother's son of No. 14 in 14 Col in 1830 for 38-12-0 Deed of sale is produced on plain cadjan. $\frac{1}{16}$ was purchased by Do. about 30 yrs ago. No deed of sale is produced. The (Mahajanams ~~[illegible]~~) Certify the sale.

1 $\frac{5}{8}$ of this 1 Pungu was given in gift to his daughters

Remainder $\frac{5}{8}$ Narayanaiengar adopted his brother's son Kristnaiengar brother of No. 26 in 16 Col. The said Kristnaiengar died 3 months ago No. 26 performed his funeral rites & succeeded to the share with the consent of the daughter of Narayanaiengar. Komalathammal daughter of the said Narayanaiengar and her husband Kuppan-naiengar have attested the statement filed by No. 26 in 16 Col. The total share of No. 26 in 16 Col is therefore 1¼ Pungu.

Viriyankottai

27. Rajappaiyan 65 Great Grandson of No. 27 in 14 Col — 1 wife 2 sons } $\frac{7}{8}$ — In the Register of 1809 $\frac{7}{8}$ pungu is entered in the name of Seshagiri Iyengar great grandfather of No. 27 in 16 Col

28 Bavajikottai

Sreeneevasa Iyengar 32 Grandson of No. 12 in 14 Col — wife 2 D.S. } $\frac{3}{4}$

The said $\frac{7}{8}$ pungu was mortgaged by the said Seshadriiyan alias Seshagiri ayangar to Venkatesa Iyengar the grandfather of No. 17 in 16 Col about 50 years ago now holds it on mortgage and is prepared to make over the land to No. 27 in 16 Col on the payment of the mortgage money. The share of Veeraraghavaiengar person No. 12 in 14 Col is $\frac{3}{4}$ which his son Tirumalai Iyengar mortgaged to Ramanuja Iyengar grandfather of No. 4 in 16th Col and one Kristnaien, who now holds it on mortgage. Tirumalai Iyengar son of the said Veeraraghava Iyengar adopted his divided uncle's son Sreeneevasa Iyengar 18 yrs ago to be confirmed to No. 28 in 16 Col.

Tiruvanur

Trichinopoly District

29. Arunachaladyen 45 a share holder — 1 wife 3 sons } $\frac{1}{2}$

30 Panchanadyan 25 Uncle's grandson of No. 29 in 16 Col — - -

The share of No. 29 and 30 in 16 Col is $\frac{1}{2}$ Pungu which Panchanadyan uncle of No. 29 and grandfather of No. 30 in 16 Col mortgaged it for Rs 54-8-0 to Krishnaiengar grandfather of No. 20 in 16 Col. Deed of mortgage is produced. Hence the share is not entered

Andanee			
31. Venkataramiyan 55	alienee	1 wife 2 sons	1/16
32 Subbaramiyan 45	Grandson of No. 20 in 14 Col	1 wife 2 sons 1 Daughter	1/2
Madras			
33 Mandyan Narsimhachari 50	Son of No. 18 in 15 Col	1 wife 1 Son	1/2
	Total		6 Colangus

in the register in the name of Pane-kadaien. It is entered in the name of the mortgagee in all the accounts. No. 20 in 16 col is prepared to make over the land on the receipt of the mortgage money. 3/4 was purchased by Kristnyan uncle of No. 31 in 16 col from Subbalakshmi ammal daughter in law to No. 5 in 14 col about 45 yrs ago. No deed of sale is produced; but the sale is borne out by the evidence of the mahajanams.

3/4th of this 1/16 was sold to Kristnyan who gave it in exchange to No. 17 in 16 col 1/16 which No. 31 now holds. The share of Subbyan No. 20 in 14 Col grandfather of No. 32 in 16 Col (is 1/2 of this 3/8 was sold to Sreenevasaiengar No. 17 in 16 Col 1/8 in the chitta of F 1226 3/8 is entered in the name of Appasummiyan whose brother's widow Janakiammal inherited it. She is the father's sister to No. 32 in 16 Col. She died 18 years ago No. 32 in 16 Col performed her funeral rites and succeeded to the share. 1/2 which No. 32 now holds. 1/2 is entered in the Register of 1809 in No. 80 in 14 col of the 1 Kunge was sold 1/2 which No. 33 in 16 Col now holds. The three Villages of Karuppoor Nagoor and Kadiyalam are fixed Jodi whole villages held upon No Sanad. They are entered in the register of 1809 of Inams unsupported by grants. These three Villages are held in the 80 Kungus in a joint fixed Jodi. No seperate Jodi is fixed for each Village.

1st As regards Karuppur: The average rates of the adjoining village of Kannug[illegible] are adopted they are:

Dry. 1-2-"
Wet. 1-8-9
Av. 2-11-11

The wet is of two descriptions. These rates are therefore fair.

A portion is dependant on a tank and the rest is Manavari; but the known grain value of a Kali of both descriptions is 5½ Calams. The tank of this village is in good order. Of the Dry and (~~[illegible]~~) waste Seyjgal Tarisu (~~[illegible] laid waste from 40 years~~) 318-47 Laid waste from 40 yrs No ryots to cultivate lands. Anadi Tarisu 207-58 There is very little chance of its being cultivated. 356-3-1½ 526-0-5 ~~the soil of the dry is~~ Of the Seyjgal Tarisu ~~526-05~~ has been laid waste from 40 yrs. Anadi Tarisu is covered with jungle ~~Several mixed~~ with Kasangadu and Korapath forming Puttukadu

This extent is immemorial waste. There are ~~sand & that of the wet~~ no ryots to cultivate the extensive waste lands. There is very little chance of its being ~~is kilar mixed with~~ cultivated land.

The soil of the dry is gravel mixed with sand and that of the wet is Kilar mixed

The extent of the tank of Karnpurie 116·35

The assessment of this extent is recommended to remitted 233 - 8 - 4

The assis~~tant~~ment of the village as per average rates of the surrounding villages is 438 - 8 - 5

Deduct a/c of Dry waste 590 - 1 - 5

on account of wet waste 280 - 14 - 5

870 - 15 - 6

Of the wet waste Seyigal Tarisu 39·04 a portion of this extent is under cultivation

Layakh Do. 26·62 } This is immemorial
Anadi Do 154 - 89 } waste.

220·66

Deduction acct of the annual cost for tank repairs at 6 per cent } 567 - 8 - 11
10 - " - "

557 - 8 - 11

Layakh and Anadi Tarisu is immemorial waste. It is kilar or chavodu overgrown with Puthukadu. The assessment of these 2 items is left out of consideration 280 - 14 - 1. This is a poor village. There are extensive waste lands in the village. No ryots to cultivate the lands. There is very little chance of their being cultivated. A portion of the Seyigal land is generally laid waste.

2d. as regards Inaghur. The average rates of the adjoining Government villages of Olayakannam &c are adopted.

They are Dry 1 - " 1
Wet 1 - 9 - 8
g - 2 - 14 - 1

~~The known grain value~~ The known grain value of a veli is 53 Clms. These rates are therefore fair. There are two tanks in the village of which one is in good order and the other is in a dilapidated state. The manavari wet is in no way inferior to the wet lands dependant on the tank. No distinction is therefore observed in the Survey Register of F 1238.

Of the Dry waste. Seigal Tarisu 52·52 Laid waste from 40 years. 3/4 of the assessment is remitted 39 · 10 · 4

The soil is Kalar Manal
The extent of the Tank is
25 · 25

(~~Register of 7108~~)

The assessment of the village as per adjoining Rates is —— 1094-1-2

Deduct on acct of dry waste } 56-10-4

On acct of wet waste —— } 442-3-11

On acct of the Repair of the tank at 5 per cent } 10-0-0

508-14-3

Remainder 585-2-11

Anadi Tarisu $\frac{16·98}{69·50}$ This is immemorial waste covered with Pattikadu unfit for cultivation in its present state.

I would recommend that the assessment of the Anadi Tarisu may be remitted 17 Rupees. of the ~~each~~ wet and waste

Seygal Tarisu 79·42 Saigal Tarisu has been laid waste from 40 years ½ of the assessment is remitted 63·12·4.

Layakh Do 66·27
Anadi Do 69·50
315 ~~875~~·15

Layakh ~~land~~ and Anadi are immemorial waste. the soil is Chavadu over grown with Pattikadu there appears to be very little chance of its being cultivated 378-7-7

The soil of the dry lands is Manal and that of the wet is Kilar & Manal

3d As regards Kodiyalam the average rates of the Ryotwar village of Kannugudi &c are adopted they are

Dry —— 1-2-10
Wet —— 1-8-3
G —— 2-10-10

The known grain value of a Veli is 50 Calams the rates are therefore fair

The Tank of this village is in good order.

Of the dry Seygal Tarisu 94·0 Laid waste from 80 yrs. Half the assessment is remitted 55-10-5

Anadi Do 127-76 This is immemorial waste covered with low Jungles

221-76 In its present state ~~there~~ is very little chance of its being cultivated —150-6-0

Of the wet waste Seygal Tarisu 13·80 Laid waste from 10 years there is a fair chance of its being cultivated

Layakh Do 16·15
Anadi Do 63·40
92-83
} this is immemorial waste. The land Katchal unfit for cultivation 120·11·6

Abstract showing of the Amount of Quit Rent payable by each sharer

No	Quit rent
1	2 - 7 - 0
2	3 - 7 - 5
2½	1 - 4 - 5
3	2 - 0 - 10
4	9 - 12 - 0
5	2 - 0 - 10
6	2 - 13 - 2
7	2 - 13 - 2
8	4 - 1 - 8
9	4 - 1 - 8
10	7 - 7 - 1
11	1 - 0 - 5
12	2 - 0 - 10
13	2 - 0 - 10
14	1 - 8 - 8
15	0 - 8 - 2
16	3 - 1 - 3
17	26 - 10 - 0
18	22 - 8 - 3
19	1 - 4 - 6
20·21·22&23	9 - 9 - 11
24	0 - 6 - 2
25	1 - 0 - 5
26	2 - 9 - 1
27	1 - 12 - 10
28	1 - 8 - 8
29&30	1 - 0 - 5
31	0 - 2 - 0
32	1 - 0 - 5
33	1 - 0 - 5
Total	123 - 2 - 8

This is a poor village. There are only 10 houses in this village the number of Ryots being 15, who are unable to Cultivate the lands in full.

The number of the houses in the Agraharam is only 3

The Shrotumdars in general reside in Pattukottai and Madura and Kumbakonam making over their shares to the resident Shrotumdars request them to pay the Government dues from the proceeds of their respective Shrotriams

The aggregate Beriz of the three villages is

1st Karuppur	557 - 8 - 11
2nd Moghur	585 - 2 - 11
3rd Kodiyalum	250 - 3 - 0
	1392 - 14 - 10
Deduct Kaval Fee	87 - 7 - 6
	1305 - 7 - 4

	Rs
The assessment of the Village is	586 - 14 - 11
Deduction account of Dry waste	206 - 0 - 5
Do do in wet waste	120 - 11 - 6
Do do annual repairs to the Tank	10 - 0 - 0
Total	336 - 11 - 11
Remainder	250 - 3 - 0

Under the above circumstances the valuation of the three villages of Karuppur Moghur and Kodiyalum may be fairly taken at 1300 Rs. Almost all the present holders are purchasers. The names of the original grantees are not known.

To be Confirmed in one joint Title deed under Rule VII. all agreed.

Quit rent ⅛ - 123 - 2 - 8
Jodi 313 - 13 - 4
Rs 437 - 0 - 0

11th February 1863 (Signed) A. Srinivasa Row
Deputy Collector

(True extract)

Tanjore
15 - 11 - 99

Deputy Collector

Compd
[illegible]

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Oorgoody Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 13

Sheet No. 15

Devadayam	Dry No 253	13/4 Gulies	.04	4–1–1 For supply of flowers [illegible] to the Pagoda of [illegible] in the village of Virgudi now maintained.	Survey Account of Fasli 1238.	Fasli 1238. Nanda vanam.	Virgudi Pilliyar Nandavanam in [illegible] Velayudha [illegible]	Is Nandavanam Inam and is supported by a Survey Account of F 1238. [illegible] [illegible] F 1238. The [illegible] Rule III [illegible] [illegible]	Confirmed W. S. Whiteside Inam Commr. [illegible] 1862 [illegible] 1224

22 [illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த ~~[illegible]~~
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
பிப்ரவரி
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம் [illegible]/3/90

Regr. No. 65
Sheet No. 15

Reg. No. 65 — Register of Inams in the village of Kanniyanattam (No. 251) in the Korukkai Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

1 Ptl. Devt.	Dry 108 -20-13½ 52 -9-3 Tope 32 1-5-13 107 1-32-5¾ 190 -11-4½ 262 -13-8 415 -8-10 418 -14-1 442 -16-½ 447 1-28- 449 1-14- 7-24-11¾ 7·62 8-9-3 Nandavanam 420 -14-8 422 - -12 -15-4 ·38 -6-9 8-20-13¼ 8·52 9-9-3	The proceeds of the Inam are appropriated to the expence of Kailasanadhaswámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Kailásanadhaswámi.	No. 1216 Iswaran Pagoda tope & Kandavaram V 1-6-17⅞	No. 1238 Kailásanadhaswámi Sarvamaniem tope 8-20-13¼	Kailásanadhaswámi at Kanniyanattam Trustees Vayiddilinga Pillai & Arumugatta Pillai	To be confirmed. The assessment on the Inam is less than 10 Rs. 25/2/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Colr.	TD No 817 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 30 June 63

Regr. No. 66. Register of Inams in the village of Sirunangúr (No. 252) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 66

1. Do	Tope 328 -35- ·88 -13-10	Do Do Do of Arunaghatéswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village of Tiruppanandál. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do		Arunajatéswarar	No. 1216 Arunajatéswara Sarvamaniem tope V -4-20.	No. 1238 Arunajatéswara Swámi Sarvamaniem tope -35-	Arunajatéswarar at Tiruppanandál Trustee Gyanasambandha Pandára Sannidhi	To be confirmed 20/2/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Colr.	TD No 818 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 25 June 63
2. Do	Tope 349 -32-8 ·81 -13-2	Do Do Do of Lekshmínáráyana Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do		Lekshmínarayana Swámi	No. 1216 Lekshmi narayana perumal tope V -2-50.	No. 1238 Lekshmi narayana perumal Sarvamaniem tope -32-8	Lekshmínarayana Swámi at Sirunangúr Worshipper Venkataramanaiyangar	To be confirmed 20/2/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Colr.	TD No 819 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 25 June 63

Reg. No. 67 — Register of Inams in the village of Poyyakudi (No. 257) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 67

1 Do	Dry 260 -13-8 296 -5-¾ 46 -22-3½ 79 -16-5 1-17-4½ 1·43 3-2-8 Garden 293 -7-2 ·18 -9-5 Tope 27 2-5-9 48 -17- 55 -30-2¾ 81 -18-2 89 -27-13½ 92 -10-2 94 -30- 102 -34-9 106 -15-10¼ 210 5-14-14½ 212 -11-8 253 -20-2 255 1-3-10½ 256 -8-4 259 -8-7 262 -15-7 264 -12-4 292 -18-6 294 -3-15 295 -3- 300 -27-12 201 -2-6¼ 16-29-¾ 16·73 34-12-7 18-13-7 18·34 38-8-8	Do Do Do, of Nágánadhaswámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do		Nágánadhaswámi	No. 1216 Nágánadhaswámi tope V 2-10-80⅝	No. 1238 Nágánadhaswámi Sarvamaniem tope 18-13-7.	Nágánadhaswámi at Poyyakudi Trustee Vaiddilinga Pillai &c.	To be confirmed & continued so long as the worship is regularly rendered. The assessment on the Inam is below 10 Rs. 20/2/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Colr.	Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao Asst. 30 June 63 TD No 820
2 Do	Dry 272 -5-4 274 -9- 297 -3- -18-4 ·45 -15-5	This is a Kandavaram Inam to the Nágánadhaswámi in the village	do do	do	do		Nothing	No. 1216 Kandavaram V -1-50	No. 1238 Nágánadhaswámi Kandavaram -18-4	As above	To be confirmed 22/2/62 Rajagopala Charlu Dy. Colr.	TD No 821 Conf. P. Chentsal Rao Asst.

No. 68

Register of Inams in the village of Abhiramikoilpattu (No. 256) in the Korukkai Magánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr: No. 68

Dry
14 -34-2
15 -14-7
37 2-26-10½
[illegible]
9-36-15½ 3·92 3-11-9

No. 31 & about [illegible] in No. 21 [illegible] [illegible] space in tope cultd with [illegible] crops.

Tope
6 -35-
21 3-17-1¼
22 2-11-8
23 -38-4½
30 -16-13½
34 -6-8
40 -31-8
8-36-11¼ 8·92 8-7-9
12-33-11½ 12·84 12-3-0

The proceeds of the Inam are appropriated to the expense of Tirukkameswaraswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered

Free of not known — not known — no documents

Tirukkámeswaraswami

No. 7216 Tirukkámeswaraswami tope V-7-30

No. 7238 Tirukkámeswaraswami Sarvamániem tope 12-33-11½

Tirukkámeswaraswami at Abhiramikoilpattu
Trustees
Vayiddilinga Pillai &
Arumugattu Pillai

[illegible] Under these circumstances it does not appear at all likely that there was any encroachment. The Inam is therefore recommended [illegible] continued as it is.
20/1/62 Mayandi Pala [illegible]

The Excess in this Inam over the average excess in Sircar lands plus 10 p.c. by A2-24-9½. The village is very small in extent. The extent of Ayan punja in No. 7216 is very small. Being all backyard there was no room for encroachment. The Mirasidars of the village have all along been [illegible]

I.D. No. 822
Confirmed
M. Chentsal Rao
Asst
25 June 63

Regr. No. 69

Register of Inams in the village of Kappur (No. 548) in the Perunjeri Magánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 69

Wet
6 -31-7½ ·79 4-3-8
Dry
3 -14-3
8 -39-½
9 1-33-11
10 4-1-2½
335 -12-½
336 -20-3
338 -6-12
5-7-8½ 5·19 11-1-8
Garden
5 -37-½
343 -4-7½
1-1-15¼ 1·05 3-11-3
Tope
257 1-5-8½
259 -39-5
322 1-10-12¼
323 1-30-5
324 7-16-7¾
327 -1-14¼
331 -11-½
333 -18-11½
340 -7-14½
383 -16-10
7-38-9 7·95 17-[illegible]-6
14-39-4¼ 14·98 [illegible]-1-8

Do Do
of Agnieswaraswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.
Portion of No. 3[illegible] [illegible] is [illegible] and No. 346 [illegible] by the site of building.

Do Do Do Do

Ayakat as per 7216 50-14-88
Do as per 7238 57-4-55
Excess 6-9-67 or [illegible] p. c.
Do 2 [illegible]
At 10 p.c. for Inams 2
-4-55
Extent of this Inam as per 7216 1-15-25¾
Excess at -4-55 p.c. -8-2
2-3-27¾
Excess [illegible] -27-4½

Agnieswaraswami

No. 7216 Agnieswaraswami tope V-15-25¾

No. 7238 Agnieswaraswami Sarvamániem tope 14-39-8¼

Agnieswaraswami at Kappur
Trustees
Subraya Mudali &
Gana pati Udaiyar

To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs 1-12 for the Excess
3/2/62 Mayandi Pala [illegible]

I.D. No. 823
Confirmed
M. Chentsal Rao
Asst
25 June 63

Do Tope
301 -6-4¼ ·16 -5-5

Funds for building a Pagoda [illegible] must in consequence depend upon public benevolence. The Mirasidar of the village Subbaraya Mudali states positively that he will build the Pagoda in a short time. The Commr. should decide whether under all the circumstances the Inam can be continued.
4/2/62 Mayandi Pala [illegible]

Do Do
Vedanárayana Perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is in ruins. The Idol it is said is in Agnieswara's Pagoda in the village where the worship is rendered.

Do Do Do Do

Vedanárayana Perumal

No. 7238 Vedanárayanaswami tope formerly enjoyed by the Pagoda but by Mirasidar Ramaswami Udaiyar since F. 1220. No worship is done -6-4¼

Vedanárayana Perumal at Kappur
Worshipper
Kristnaiyengar

Vide remarks at Col. 15
For nearly 50 years the Pagoda has been in ruins. The worship it appears however was not stopped. The Inam is very small & it can not certainly afford [illegible]

Considering the very small extent of the Inam it is not necessary to insist upon the [illegible] of the Pagoda. As the Puja is performed to idol [illegible] placed in another Pagoda the Inam can be confirmed.
R. Chentsal Rao
Asst
I.D. No. 824
Confirmed
M. Chentsal Rao
Asst
25 June 63

Do Dry
334 -37-6½ ·93 3-4-9

[illegible] his possession and he pays for the expenses of the Pagoda which is said cannot be maintained from the proceeds of the Inam alone. Worship is rendered [illegible] the above circumstances I think the Inam may be continued
4/2/62 Mayandi Pala [illegible]

Do Do
of Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.
Rs ½ or Rs -14-

Do Do Do Do

Aiyanar

No. 7238 Aiyanar Pagoda Inam -37-6½ enjoyed by Mirasidar Ramaswami Udaiyar & [illegible] 2 Kuntas [illegible] plantain

Aiyanar at Kappur
Worshipper
Saminatha Pandaram

The Inam is entered in the [illegible] as enjoyed by the Mirasidar. The land is however continued as Inam. [illegible] it appears that the village being [illegible] enjoyed by one individual the Inam land also is in [illegible]

Do Tope
359 -4¼ ·18 -6-3

Do Do
of Pidari's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Do Do Do Do

Pidari

No. 7238 Pidariswami Inam tope -7-4¾ enjoyed by Mirasidar [illegible] Mudali

Pidari at Kappur
Worshipper
Subramaniem

Vide remarks above. Recommended to be confirmed and cont. so long as the worship is kept on. 1 R [illegible] 2
4/2/62 Mayandi Pala [illegible]

I.D. No. 825
Confirmed
M. Chentsal Rao
Asst
25 June 63

No. 72 ——— Mayaveram Taluq

Sheet No. 99

Regr. No. 72

2nd Sheet

Case No.	General Class	Description of Land & Survey Number	Local Measure V	M	G	64	English Measure Ac	Cts
Case No. 1 (Contd.)		Garden						
		No. 103	"	"	72	32		
		107	"	"	77	56		
		111	"	"	8	32		
		108	"	"	51	56		
		41	"	"	16	32		
	Poriidayam	43	"	"	17	"		
		90	"	"	5	48		
		91	"	"	5	40		
		99	"	"	61	"		
		100	"	"	23	8		
		102	"	2	22	40		
		Total	"	6	47	56	2	5
		Grand Total	6	15	49	50	44	80
		Total Wet	12	14	30	10	84	7
		Dry	"	5	34	6	1	75
		Garden	"	6	79	56	2	26
		Total	13	6	44	8	88	8

(Signed) H. R. Held,
Actg. Asst. Collector.

(True Copy)

Fair Copied

Compared by A

க. [illegible]

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட

டிசம்பர் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்

செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த

டிசம்பர் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்

ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

[illegible] Register of Inams in [illegible] (No. 111) in the Tiruvalundur [illegible] Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Register No. 384
Sheet No. 99 Vallalarkovilpattu Village

No. 1	Tope 1 3 6 12 33 35 40 46	1-16-1¼ 1-15-7½ -35-12½ 1-32-10½ 1-17-9½ 1-15-14½ 1-37-6 -20-10½ 10-31-1½	10.78	8-15-11	The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Vadaranyeswaraswami Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	no documents	Vadaranyeswaraswami	In F. 1208 Vadaranyeswaraswami tope 2-15-7½	In F. 1238 Vadaranyeswaraswami tope 16-39-12½	Vadaranyeswaraswami at Vallalarkovilpattu Trustee [illegible] 2. Narayanaba [illegible] 40 3. Sundaram 35 13-11-11 1-7

Ground occupied, in the Inam, by houses, backyards &c.

8	-4-14		
9	-22-8½		
13	-4-6		
14	-2-13		
15	-9-8		
16	-5-		
17	-2-13		
18	-5-5		
19	-10-10		
20	-8-8		
21	-8-8		
29	-12-6		
30	-8-11		
31	-7-12		
32	-9-6		
39	-5-2½		
43	-11-12		
47	-4-9½		
48	-5-		
49	-4-4½		
50	-5-13		
55	-7-		
56	-7-		
22	-11-9		
23	-15-14		
25	-34-13½		
38	-5-4		
54	-6-5½		
	6-8-11	6.22	4-13-7
	16-39-12½	17.–	13-13-6

The average assessment on [illegible] lands [illegible] in the Inam is 10-37-8 [illegible] 8-4

From the Katachalam [illegible] This [illegible] disposed of one half [illegible] purchased [illegible] in the year 1838 [illegible] the remainder [illegible] in the year [illegible] 1850 [illegible] bought [illegible] in the year 1856 [illegible]

The 8th column [illegible] buildings [illegible] backyards [illegible] belonging to the Pagoda [illegible] SS. 1719 [illegible]

To be confirmed [illegible] for the Inam [illegible] illegal [illegible]
22/7/62 Majendie

Confirmed [illegible] 2 annas [illegible] P. Chitambaram [illegible] T.D. No. 938 [illegible] No. 57

Regr. No. 385 Register of Inams in the village of Mayavaram (No. 1) in the Mayavaram [illegible] Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 385

No. 1	Wet 641 Dry 639 640 642 Tope 643 644	-21-6 1-38-10 -20-11½ 1-22-12 4-4-1½ 2-5-14 1-12-8 3-18-14	4.10 3.47	2-[illegible] 2-1-5 7-2-[illegible]	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Mayuranathaswami Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Pichai kattalai"	Do.	Mayuranathaswami	[illegible]	In F. 1238 Sri Mayuranathaswami [illegible] tope 8-4-5½	Mayuranathaswami at Mayavaram Trustee or Manager of the Kattalai [illegible]		This Inam [illegible] the F. 1238 [illegible] Recommended to be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered regularly 28/2/62 Majendie	T.D. No. 575 Confirmed [illegible] 9 Nov. 1866
2 No.	Do. Tope 634	-27-13	.70	-6-13	as above Do. Do. Do. This is called "Ardhajamakattalai"	Do.	as above	Do.	In F. 1238 Sri Mayuranathaswami [illegible] "Ardhajama kattalai" tope -27-13	As above Trustee or Manager of the Kattalai [illegible]		As above [illegible] of this Inam is given [illegible] in the Remarks To be confirmed 28/2/62 Majendie	T.D. No. 59 Confirmed P. Chitambaram [illegible] June 1863
3 No.	Do. Dry 8? 19	-30-9 1-11-14 2-2-7	.76 1.30 2.06	-7-8 -12-11 1-4	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. of Alikattum Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do.	Alikattum Pillaiyar	In F. 1216 Alikattum Pillaiyar -4-58¾	In F. 1238 Alikattum Pillaiyar [illegible] tope 2-2-7	Alikattum Pillaiyar at Mayavaram Worshipper [illegible]		To be confirmed The [illegible] in the Inam is within the average [illegible] 11 [illegible] 28/2/62 Majendie	T.D. No. 597 Confirmed P. Chitambaram [illegible]
4 No.	Do. Dry 5	-19-10	[illegible]	-4-10	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition [illegible] rendered.	Do.	Aiyanar	In F. 1216 Aiyanar [illegible] tope -2-10	In F. 1238 Aiyanar Pagoda [illegible] -19-10	Aiyanar at Mayavaram Worshipper Viramuthu		To be confirmed [illegible] Majendie	T.D. No. 5 Confirmed [illegible]

The average assessment [illegible] 41½ [illegible]

18|3|10 L68

Regr. No. 385

	584 — 22–1/4 · 55 — 5 – 4 A portion of this is occupied as site of the Matam	The proceeds of the Inam are appropriated to the expense of a Matam in the village. This is a branch of the big Matam at Tiruvaduturai. The Matam is efficiently maintained.	not known · no documents	Tiruvaduturai Matam	In 1238 Tiruvaduturai Matam — 22 – 1/4 Entry is of no value. Inam [illegible] charged 1/4 [illegible] — 2 — 28/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Colr.	Matam at Mayavaram Supporter Vayiddianadha Tambiran	[illegible] Chitta of 1238 is not available for this village. In the Jamabandi Chitta & Inam A/c Statement of that Fasli the extent of Inam belonging to these Matams is entered as V. 16 – 9 1/10 without any individual particulars [illegible] at present [illegible] correspond with the above [illegible]	R.D. No. 580 Conf'd P. Chovalier Agra 9th June 63
Do	Dry 530 1–23–10 1/2 1·59 — 15 – 4 As above	Do Do Do Do Do of a Matam in the village, being a branch of [illegible] Dharmapuram. The Matam is said to be in good condn.	Do	Matam	In 1238 Tiruvavaduturai Matam Sarvamanyam 1–23–10 3/4	Matam at Mayavaram Trustee Vairavigamani Pandara Sannidhi	Vide remarks above To be confirmed. Rs. 4 28/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Col.	R.D. No. 587 Conf'd P. Chovalier Agra 9th June 1863
	Tope 847 — 34 – 15 849 — 12 – [illegible] 1–5–8 1·4 — 10 – 11 No. 849 is site of the Matam with backyard.	Do Do Do Do Do of a Matam in the village belonging to that at Chitambaram. The Matam is said to be in good condn. This Matam is called "Pichaikattalai Matam"	Do	Matam	In 1238 Pichaikattalai Agamira Pandaram Matam 1–5–8	Matam at Mayavaram Trustee Agamavira Pandara Sannidhi	Vide remarks on Case No. 5 To be confirmed. Rs. 2 28/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Col.	R.D. No. 588 Conf'd P. Chovalier Agra 9th June 63
Do	Dry 902 — 14–15 1/4 ·37 — 3 – 8 A portion of this is occupied as site of the Matam	Do Do Do Do Do of a Matam in the village belonging to that at Chokkanatham. The Matam is said to be in good condn.	Do	Matam	In 1238 Chokkapuram Matam — 14 – 15 3/4	Matam at Mayavaram Trustee Mayyatarvali Pandara Sannidhi	Vide remarks on Case No. 5 To be confirmed. Rs. 2 28/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Col.	R.D. No. 589 Conf'd P. Chevalier Agra 9th June 63
Do	913 — 17–8 1/2 [illegible]	This is for [illegible] It is enjoyed by the [illegible] dharmam [illegible] condn. Do Do Do	Do	Pandaram	In 1238 Inam Pandaram [illegible] — 17 – 8 1/2	Sri Mayuranathaswami at Mayavaram Trustee Vaidyanatha Tambiran	To be confirmed. Rs. 2 28/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Col.	R.D. No. 590 Conf'd P. Chevalier Agra 9th June
Do	Dry 926 — 27 — ·57 — 0 – 4	do do enjoyed do do do Kalikattan Pillaiyar [illegible] Case No. 3	do	do	In 1238 As above — 27	Kalikattum Pillaiyar at Mayavaram Worshipper Sarvesvara Gurukkal	To be confirmed. Rs. 2 28/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Col.	R.D. No. 591 Conf'd P. Chevalier Agra 9th June
L.	Garden 1127 — 2–11 3/4 ·22 — 10 – 10 [illegible] belonging [illegible] Dry 551 — [illegible] 1100 — 1 – 4 1109 — 1 – 10 1110 — 15 – 7 1/2 1113 — 16 – 5 1/2 1102 — 28 – 7 1103 — 3 – 9 3/4 1104 — 2 – 10 1/2 1112 — 4 – 1/4 1114 — 13 1/2 1111 — 5 – 1 3/4 2–6 [illegible] 2·34 [illegible] 2–22–6 3/4 2·56 2 – 1 – 4 Garden Dry [illegible] No. 1 — 8 – 11 1/2 — 35 – 6 1/2 1 – 4 – 7 1/2 1 – 3 – 5 · 4 – 9 2 — 36 – 5 1/4 — 30 – 5 1/4 — 8 – 9 · 2 3 — 15 – 8 — 15 – 8 — 8 – 11 · 1 4 — 5 – 1/2 — 5 – 1/2 — 1 – 3 · 7 — 8 – 11 1/2 2 – 13 – 10 2 – 2 – 8 2 – 1 – 4 0 – 8 · 0	From the [illegible] holders [illegible] 1238 [illegible] of the Sarvamanyam [illegible] Pandarams [illegible] a sort of [illegible] do do	do	Pandarams	In 1238 Tiruvavaduturai Sarvamanyam Tadinaga Pandaram & Ayyan Pandaram 2–22–5 3/4	Mayavaram 1 Subbaya Pandaram 60 2 Chinna Sabapathi Pandaram 22 3 Subramaniya Pandaram 55 4 Virappan 45 (1) Son of Ayyan Pandaram (2) Grandson of [illegible] Pandaram (3) [illegible] in col. 15 (1) Wife — 1/4 (2) Mother — 1/4 (3) None — 1/4 (4) Son — 2/2	That No. [illegible] was purchased in the year [illegible] from the sons [illegible] of Appu [illegible] who had purchased it in the year [illegible] The deed of sale [illegible] The others [illegible] and the purchaser was [illegible] The No. [illegible] are [illegible] in the Paimash [illegible] Each member [illegible] to the [illegible] individual. The parties in col. 15 [illegible] are said to be [illegible] of the Inam & the people residing in the Sarvamanyam [illegible] Even now some of the Nos. are [illegible] collected. Recommended to be confirmed [illegible] Rs. [illegible] — 8 — 28/2/62 [illegible] Dy. Col.	R.D. No. 599 Conf'd P. Chovalier Agra 9th June 63

No. 96

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Manakkudy Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Regr. No. 96

Sheet No 99

1. Dharma dayam	Dry No. 441 5 13/16 Culies .15	〃	〃-3-4	For [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of the Village permanently not resumable [illegible]	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Free Nanda vanam	Manakkudi Nanda vanam [illegible] Saravana [illegible] [illegible]	14th	To [illegible] is supported by a Survey account of Fasli [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 62 years [illegible] 7 838
2. Dharma dayam	Dry No. 112 10 7/8 Culies .27	〃	〃-6-2-	For [illegible] [illegible] - [illegible] of the Village permanently not resumable	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Free Nanda vanam	Karumugil Madam pattai [illegible] Manakkudi Nandavanam [illegible] Dharmapuram Madam Pandara Sannadhi	1st	To [illegible] is supported by a Survey acct of Fasli [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 62 years [illegible] 7838
3. Dharma dayam	Dry 2 5/32 Culies .05-		[illegible]-9. [illegible] For [illegible] - ~~[illegible]~~ Village permanently not resumable	Survey acct of Fasli		Free Resumable Nanda vanam	No Nandavanam has been maintained for some years. The land is now under the [illegible] 2 [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible]. To be [illegible] [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible] 7838		

Continued
R. Davidson
Inam Commr
11.11.59

T.D. No. 1247

Continued
R. Davidson
Inam Commr
11.11.59

T.D. No. 1248

Fully assessed
R. Davidson
11.11.59

****
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
4/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

[illegible] in the village of Kadalangudi N° 521 in the Maniam [illegible] Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Mayavaram Taluk
Kadalangudi Village
Register No. 1
Sheet No. 1
Case No. 1

12 1-4-13
14 – 20 – 1
143 – 8 – 2½
149 1-35-1
150 – 17 – 16
130 – 8 – 13
131 – 31 – 13
132 – 17 – 4
134 – 3 – 13
135 1-3-½
138 – 2 – 4
154 – 26 – 4
170 – 20 – 13
176 – 13 – 5¼
178 – 23 – 10
182 – 4 – 4
185 – 30 – 13
[illegible] – 13 – 4¾
[illegible] – 17 – ½
735 – 7 – 5¼
736 – 5 – 10¾
739 – 12 – ½
744 – 9 – 9¾
745 – 12 – 13
746 – 5 – 4½
750 – 7 – 4¾
751 – 16 – 2

190 11-9-11

11 20-8-9

The proceeds of this Inam & tope are appropriated to the expenses of Sri Varadaraja Swami Pagoda in the village. The building is said to be in good condition & worship is regularly performed

Free of tax

Not known

Settlement Endowments

Sri Varadarajaswami

M. F. N° 28 Sri Varadaraja Swami & Saravana Pillai [illegible]
75-2-5
[illegible] 2 Agraharam
– – 12
75-3-4

Sri Varadarajaswami at Kadalangudi

Trustees
Saravana Pillai
Arunachala Pillai

20-11-4

In the account of Fasli [illegible] the extent of Sarvamaniam tope entered for this village is [illegible] as no [illegible] deed [illegible] particulars are given — [illegible] being at present three Pagodas enjoying Inams it cannot be ascertained whether all these 3 Pagodas had their Inams & how much of the above extent belonged to each of them. The aggregate of the present extents of the three Inams [illegible] the [illegible] with the [illegible] Inam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] only 34 [illegible] which is a very trifling [illegible] with the extent of the Inams. The Inams may I think be under [illegible] circumstances [illegible] [illegible]

Confirmed
[illegible]

P. Chartwater[illegible]
[illegible]
30 June 1863