No. 55 Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Arasoor Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Dharma-dayam | Garden No 113 | 2 25/32 Gulies·07 | „ | „-4-2 | For the Taxfree use of the villagers community now kept up | Permanent | Survey Account of Fasli 1238 | Fasli 1238 Poromboke Nandavanam | Arasoor Nandavanam in charge of Shunmogavelagudha Pillai | HP | To be con[illegible] [illegible] 23-8-8[illegible] | Continued<br>R Sandin 7.11.83<br>[illegible]<br>1233. |
| 2. Dharma-dayam | Garden No 510 | 8 25/32 Gulies·22 | „ | „-12-11 | No Nan-Taxfree Pernandavanam is maintained | manent | Survey Account of Fasli 1238 | Fasli 1238 Poromboke Nandavanam | The Land is lying waste for 10 yrs. No Nandavanam is maintained | | To be incorporated into Govts land.<br>23-8-8[illegible] | Fully assigned<br>R Sandin 7-11-82 |

Closed

Regr. No. 55
Sheet No. 57

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த ~~ஜனவரி~~
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம் 12/3/90

...of Inams in the village of Kadalangudi [illegible] in the taluk [illegible] the District of [illegible]

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 21 22

Out of the land standing in
the name of the Temple
part
S.No. F.M.S. Ac. cent Rs. A. P. Rs. A. P.
285 - 3-91
286 - 5-34
287 - 4-88
288 - 2-32
289 - 2-56
290 - 5-52
292 - 1-19
293 - 3-17
697 - 47
0 - 3-62
1 - 3-26
2 - 5-12
4 - 19
4 - 14
6 - 1-82
7 - 6-89
7 - 3-77
570 - 4-16
587 - 4-7
Total 3-2-40 20- 63 126-2-4 126-2-4
Deduct Kaval fees 4-9-10
Remainder 121-8-6

Reg. No. 95

For the performance of Puja &c. to Sri Varatharája Perumál at Kadalangudi

The Services are kept up.

Tax free — The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account of extra cultivation, Fassal or Tirvajasti, water tax &c.

Permanent

By Government in Fasli 1273. 1863-64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319. communicated in Board's Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1864 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1864.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75.

On condition that the Revenue assigned in lieu of the ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in the Temple.

Sri' Varadarája Perumál

"

Sri' Varadarâja Perumâl

Kadalangudi village in Mayaveram Taluq

"

"

"

Sheet No. 128

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3. free so long as the services are well kept up.

W. Wells
Ag. Re. Dep. Collector

Confirmed
25/10/77 J.A.

1136

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible] மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible] மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்.

No 105

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Alangudi Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.<br>Dharmadayam | Gardens<br>No 781<br>Do 783<br>Do 829<br>Total | $2\frac{31}{32}$ Gulies<br>2 Gulies<br>$3\frac{3}{16}$ Do<br>$8\frac{5}{32}$ Do | .08<br>.05<br>.07<br>.20 | "<br>"<br>" | 12-11 | For [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]<br>[illegible]<br>&<br>[illegible]<br>permanently<br>[illegible] | Survey Account of Fasli 1238 | [illegible]<br>Nanda vanam | Alangudi<br>Nandavanam<br>[illegible]<br>Subbaramayyan | The enjoying [illegible]<br>[illegible] be 2 [illegible]<br>15-9-78 | Continued<br>[illegible]<br>[illegible]<br>[illegible]<br>P.D. No 1276 |

closed

Regr. No. 105

Sheet No 108

T.S.P

செப்பனிடுவதற்கு எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
[illegible] மாதம் 1998 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

No. 106

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Rajamangalam Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Dharma [illegible] | garden No. 35-6 | 5 pp folio 13 | " | "-6-6 | No Nandavanam in [illegible] | Free [illegible] | Survey Account of Fasli 1238 | [illegible]<br>Nandavanam |

closed

No Nandavanam has been [illegible] for [illegible] 15 [illegible] [illegible] 10 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 0-12-6 [illegible] 5 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]
15.8.78

Fully [illegible]

N. Chandra[illegible]
Mamlatdar
24.11.82

Regr. No. 106
Sheet No. 109

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி ~~[illegible]~~ மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவ மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
21/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of ~~Pandaravadai~~ Korukkai Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Dharma Dayam | Dry No. 445 | 4 5/32 Gulies | .10 | .. | 0-0-9 | For Tree [illegible] use [illegible] of the Village permanently not resumable | Survey Account of Fasli 1238 | Free<br>Nanda vanam | Pandaravadai Korukkai<br>Nandavanam Do [illegible] [illegible] | No Nandavanam exists as reported by [illegible] head of Free. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]<br>7-8-[illegible] | Confirmed<br>[illegible]<br>Member<br>[illegible] 11 84 |
|  | Do No. 448 | 5 5/8 Do | .14 | .. | 0-1-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 9 25/32 Do | .24 | .. | 0-1-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Dharma Dayam | Dry No. 531 | 5 3/16 Gulies | .15 | .. | 0-1-11 | For ~~[illegible]~~ use [illegible] of the Village permanently not resumable | Do | Free<br>Nanda vanam |  | No Nandavanam has been maintained for about 5 years the land is being [illegible]<br>The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]<br>7-8-[illegible] | Fully assessed<br>[illegible]<br>Member<br>[illegible] 11 84 |

Regr. No. 108
Sheet No. 111

184

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible] 8/90 ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளர் கையொப்பம்

N° 412 Register of Inams in the village of Sakinpalle (N° 82) in the Tiruvindalur Maganam Mayaveram Taluk Tanjore district

## Sakinpalle Village

## Regr. N°. 412

1. Rel. Endt. Tope 38 3-1-. ; 55 ..-19-. ; 3-20-. 3·50 3-13-2

The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Sri Ranganadha Swami's Pagoda at Srirangam. But the Inam is enjoyed by the Mirasdar of the village.

Free of tax — not known — not known

No documents. Sri Ranganadha Swami

Δ [illegible] the expenses [illegible] but he does not even attempt to say that the present Mirasdar did any thing to the Pagoda. As he has been in possession since 1850. The statement that the Rajinamah

In F1218 Sri Ranganadha Swami Kelai [illegible] ... 1-9-10½

In F1238 Srirangam Ranganadha Swami sarvamanyam tope 3-20

✱ purchased, the Inam is included. Vencatarama aiyangar, who appears on behalf of the Trustees of the Srirangam Pagoda, states that the Inam belongs to the swami; that till very lately (7 or 8 years ago) the former proprietor of the village retaining the Inam in his own name [illegible] from the proceeds thereof, the expenses of maintaining a light, &c in the Pagoda — but ⊙

Tillanayagam Pillai, Mirasidar of the village, objected to the entry of this Inam in the name of Ranganadhaswami, alleging that the entry in the accts was only nominal, inasmuch as the Inam was all along enjoyed by the Mirasidars. He further states that the late proprietor from whom he purchased the village, held the Inam for their own personal benefit, when they sold the [illegible] lands in 1850, the Inam was sold too. As the accd [illegible] #

T.D. N° 848. As the claimant purchased the Inam & is no party to the fraud, I recommend it may be confirmed to grant — 302 2-8. P. Chentsalrao Asst. 9 Feb 63

Δ [illegible] that the receipts from it on the expenditure were not exhibited in any of the Pagodas. From this and considering this it appears that the Pagoda never had any thing to do with the tope formerly, & that the expenses which he is said to have defrayed cannot sum up as said. The agent of the Pagoda offers to produce oral testimony to prove that the former Δ

Regr. N° 413 Register of Inams in the village of Kalukkanimattam (N° 77) in the above Maganam Mayaveram Taluk Tanjore district.

## Sheet N°. 108

## Regr. N°. 413

5

1. Rel. Endt. Dry 443 1-29-7 1·74 2-3-8 ; Garden 469 ..-6-1¼ ; 491 ..-24-3¾ ; Tope ..-30-5 ·76 2-5-3 ; 74 ..-20-4 ; 76 ..-37-9½ ; 80 ..-35-10 ; 422 1-1-½ ; 444 5-..-4 ; 8-14-12 8·37 10-12-2 ; 10-34-8 10·86 15-5-1

Do Do Do Do Do of Parimala Ranganadha Swami's Pagoda at Tiruvindalur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

No documents. 1-10-8 ; 1-10-8 ; 3-8-14

Parimala Ranganadha Swami

In F1216 Sri Parimala Ranganadha Swami's tope 1-8-14

In F1238 Sri Parimala Ranganadha Swami's sarvamanyam tope 10-34-8

Parimala Ranganadha Swami at Tiruvindalur. Trustee Tillanayagam Pillai Gopalakrishna Chanar

⊛ in the Pagoda. A sudden discontinuance for 8 years could not possibly have failed to attract attention. The enjoyment of a Pagoda Inam by any other than the Pagoda, being improper, this Inam is recommended to be incorporated with Ayan lands. Meer Jaffar Ali Khan 10/9/62

* or was maintained in the Pagoda till before 8 years is wrong. The sons of the former Mirasidar of the village depose that no expenses were incurred by the family & that the Pagoda enjoyed the Inam. The Pagoda has forfeited its title to the Inam by its omission to claim its just rights. If, as is asserted, a Rajinamah was in reality made? ⊛

To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is below the average excess plus 10 P.C. 26/2/62 Meer Jaffar Ali Khan [illegible]

T.D. N° 738. Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst. 9 Feb 63

2. 11 Do Wet 508 ..-32-13 ·82 5-4-5 ; Tope 507 2-5-1 2·13 [illegible] ; 2-37-14 2·95 7-15-9 — A portion of N° 507 is cultivated with [illegible] crops

Do Do Do Do Do Do of Kailasanadha Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Kailasanadha Swami

In F1216 Swami tope of the 2 Swamis Pagodas V. 11-22½

In F1238 Kailasanadha Swami's sarvamanyam tope 2-37-14

Extent in F1216 by [illegible] than 10 P.C. Recommended therefore to be confirmed. 26/2/62 Meer Jaffar Ali Khan

Kailasanadha Swami at Kalukkanimattam. Worshipper Subramanya Gurukkal

In F1216 the extent of the Inam is not separately specified. The Inams of the 2 Swamis Pagodas are entered together as V. 11-22½. The present extents of the Inams held by the 2 Pagodas do not [illegible] exceed the

T.D. N° 739. Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asst. 9 Feb 63

3. Do Tope 348 ..-16-15½ ; [illegible] 1-2-13 ; 1-19-12½ 1·69 1-14-8

Do Do Do Do Do Do of Karnapuriswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Karnapuriswara Swami

In F1216 Vide preceding case

In F1238 Karnapuriswara Swami's sarvamanyam tope 1-19-12½

Karnapuriswara Swami at Kalukkanimattam. Worshipper Subramanya Gurukkal

Vide remarks in the preceding case. To be confirmed. 26/2/62 Meer Jaffar Ali Khan

T.D. N° 740. Confd. P. Chentsalrao Asst. 9 Feb 63

Regr. N° 414 Register of Inams in the village of Sandukkappu (N° 79) in the above Maganam Mayaveram Taluk Tanjore district.

## Regr. N°. 414

Wet 81 1-27-12½ ; 99 1-3-½ ; 2-30-13½ 2·77 14-12-2 ; Dry 80 3-10-11½ ; 85 ..-21-4 ; 3-31-15½ 3·80 4-8-. ; Tope 76 3-7-6 ; 79 ..-11-. ; 80 ..-6-. ; 83 1-36-14 ; 84 1-20-2¾ ; 87 ..-28-11¼ ; 94 ..-3-6 ; 96 ..-18-3½ ; 97 2-7-8 ; 98 ..-7-3½ ; 100 ..-13-9 ; 101 ..-1-6½ ; 10-39-10¼ 10·99 12-7-8 ; 17-22-7 17·56 31-8-10

Ground occupied in the Inam is sites of houses, tank &c. 86 3-32-6 ; 88 ..-..-2 ; 89 ..-..-11 ; 90 ..-..-7 ; 91 ..-..-11 ; 92 ..-..-11 ; 93 ..-6-10

Do Do Do Do Do Do of Annachatram at Tiruvindalur. The building is said to be in good condition & the charity is kept up.

Nothing. Not entd. in F1216.

In F1238 Annachatram 21-24-16¼. A remark is entd. to the effect that this was formerly Rajo[illegible] sarvamanyam (not entd. in the accts of F1214 or 1216), but brought to acct for the first time in F1237 & purchased by Ramalinga Pillai for the benefit of the Annachatram

Annachatram at Tiruvindalur. Maintainer Chokkalinga Pillai

Ramalinga Pillai, father of the party, in F1218 purchased this Inam in the year Prajotpatti or 1811-12 from Tanjore Chandra Bhanuji Bhonsle & Sindoji Raje Bhonsle, who appear to have been the original holders of the Inam. The extent is not specified in the deed of sale, but the limits are given with precision [illegible] within those limits [illegible] taken the Inam, which [illegible] that the land

To be confirmed. The excess is [illegible] 21/7/62 Meer Jaffar Ali Khan

Confd. P. Chentsalrao Asst. 9 Feb 63

T.D. N° 741

3 99 ; 3 80 ; 4 80 ; 18 85

Rel^s Endt^

Tope
70 3-2-15
71 —19-14
72 1-39-10¼
5-22-7¼ 5·56 6-4-11 - - - -

The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expence of Parimala Ranganádha Swámi's Pagoda at Tiruvindalur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Free of tax | not known | not known | no document

Parimala-Ranganádha Swámi

No 1216 Sri Parimala Ranganádha Swámi Sarvamániem tope —13-5

No 1238 Sri Parimala Ranganádha Swámi Sarvamániem tope 5-22-7¼

Regr. No. 414

Parimala Ranganádha Swámi at Tiruvindalur
Trustee
Dikshanáyagam Pillai
Gópálakrishnama Charriar - -

To be confirmed. The assessment in the Inam is below the average assessment value 10 Rs.
26/2/62 [illegible]

T.D. No 742
Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao
Agent
9 June 63

---

Regr. No. 415 Register of Inams in the village of Kílaiyúr (No. 379) in the Kattálam Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

1 Do
Tope
505 —26-7½ ·66 1-9-8 - - - -

The extent of the field is 27-10½. Of this —1-3 is site of the pagoda of Mariyamman which is included in Poramboke - - -

Do Do Do Do Do Do of Máriyamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Máriamman

No 1216 Mariyamman Pagoda —2-85

No 1238 Mariyamman Pagoda tope —26-7½

Regr. No. 415

Máriyamman at Kílaiyúr
Worshipper
Sabhápati Padaiyáchi - ——

To be confirmed
8/3/62 [illegible]

T.D. No 743
Conf
P. Chentsal Rao
Agent
9 June
[illegible]

---

Regr. No. 416 Register of Inams in the village of Perumál kóvil (No. 382) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

1 Do
Wet
744 —36-4¼ ·91 4-13-5
garden
838 —11-4¼
841 —14-5½
Tope —25-9½ ·64 1-13-4
438 2-5-5¼
642 —35-10½
672 2-32-12¼
742 —23-13¼
743 3-5-5½
745 —22-7¼
746 2-22-6
748 1-27-9½
749 3-17-2
787 —24-8
778 2-34-1½
840 1-10-5½
842 —11-5¼
888 —33-13½
227 1-3-10½
329 1-31-1¼
941 —16-12½
952 2-13-8½
29-17-13½ 29·45 34-9-4
30-39-11½ 30·99 - - - -

Do Do of Amaruni perumál's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Free of tax | not known | not known | no documents

Amaruni perumál

No 1216 Perumál Pagoda Sarvamániem tope 4-7-32½

No 1238 Amaruni perumál's Sarvamániem tope 30-37-11½ Garden in Ayanwari —2— 30-39-11½

Regr. No. 416

Amaruni perumál at Perumál kóvil
Trustee
Rangaiyangár
Srinivásaiyangár - ——

To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is within 10 Rs.
8/3/62 [illegible]

Conf
P. Ch[illegible]
T.D. No 744

---

Regr. No. 417 Register of Inams in the village of Manamódu (No. ) in the Tirukkadaiyur Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

1 Do
Tope
5 —3-12
15 —23-½
218 —33-12
219 —14-10
5-35-2½ 5·88 5-9-3 - - - -

Do Do Do Do Do Do of Márkandeswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Márkandeswara-Swámi

No 1238 Márkandeswara Swámi Sarvamániem tope 5-35-2½ is described in the Acct Pichakattalai

Regr. No. 417

Markandeswara Swámi at Manamódu
Worshipper
Ganapati gurukkal

In the accts of 1216 [illegible] here in lieu [illegible] particulars of Inams are not given. The total extent [illegible] 14-4-20 [illegible] These areas [illegible] of the Pagoda [illegible] 32-23 [illegible] The present extent of the Inam [illegible] 44-38-5½ [illegible]

T.D. No 745
Conf
P. Chentsal Rao
Agent
9 June

2 Do
Tope
210 6-35—
211 4-10—
11-5— 11·12 11-6-10 - - -

Do Do Do Do Do Do of Amrutaghateswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village of Tirukkadaiyur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the [illegible] is rendered. The [illegible] Pichaikattalai

Amrutaghateswara Swámi

No 1238 Amrutaghateswara Swámi Sarvamániem tope 11-5—

within the area [illegible] The Inams may be confirmed & continued [illegible]

Amrutaghateswara Swámi at Tirukkadaiyur
Manager
Ambalavana Pillai &
Namasiváya Pillai

Vide Remarks in the preceding case. To be confirmed
[illegible]

T.D. No 746
Conf
P. Ch[illegible]
Agent
9 June 63

Mayavaram Tk

3

[illegible] transfer of 13 Visams is described, 7 Visams were purchased by him with [illegible] [illegible] from [illegible] in the Paimash [illegible] 8 were bought [illegible] No. 128 [illegible] the names of No. 83 & the [illegible] were mortgaged with him in the year [illegible] by [illegible], whose father-in-law [illegible] had [illegible] the year [illegible] by [illegible] Vencatachellum aiyen on condn. of it being redeemed in [illegible] Visams following on failure of [illegible] all right [illegible] [illegible] Vencatachellum aiyen [illegible] appears to have acquired his title to the Enam from mortgage. It is therein stated that the [illegible] by Rajagopaul [illegible]. The mortgage deed executed by the last named party [illegible] is not available [illegible] that executed by Vencatachellum aiyen [illegible] have been [illegible]. [illegible] the exact terms of the bond [illegible], yet for Vencatachellum aiyen's deed a period for [illegible] [illegible] have [illegible] the year [illegible] specified. The other two mortgage deeds are produced. In the Regr. of 1809, the 7 Visams in question are entered [illegible] [illegible] as being in the Enjoyment of his [illegible] Kristna Pundit [illegible]. It is understood that this party was one of Rajagopaul's [illegible]. In the Paimash acct. they appear in the name of [illegible] enjoying by mortgage from Letchmana Pundit [illegible]. The deed executed by the present holder [illegible] (mortgage bond) but the terms are different from those in which mortgage deeds are generally drawn up. [illegible] the party [illegible] the Enam [illegible] appears to have been made by the heirs of Rajagopaul [illegible] whose [illegible] [illegible] the land [illegible] & as no body has ever appeared, or [illegible] he should appear, & the registry be made in his name [illegible] have [illegible] the land in this [illegible], as there have been several changes of hands & the man in possession is not [illegible] [illegible] I think we may confirm the Enam in the name of the present holder "as a mortgagee" without prejudice to the [illegible] of Rajagopaul's heirs. — That No. 8 [illegible] was purchased by him in this year from [illegible] to whom it had been given [illegible] [illegible] by his sister [illegible]. The share so disposed of is a half Visam. It appears in the Paimash acct. in the joint name of [illegible] of the above mentioned [illegible] — This last named [illegible] also passed on to [illegible] in [illegible] for [illegible] [illegible] produced. — No. 82 objects to the Entry of the name of No. 84 his brother's widow, on ground that he & his brother were undivided [illegible] [illegible] her husband & his brother had separated several years ago & lived as divided members in support of her assertion [illegible] [illegible] the signature of the party No. 82, objecting. No. 82 admits in his deposition that the signature on the deed is his but that the deed [illegible] was not completed. In refutation of this statement No. 84 puts forward, a deed executed by No. 82 to her husband [illegible] [illegible] from him (No. 84's husband) & receipt granted by him for the property given with his possession [illegible] [illegible] having executed the deed also. From these & from a number of other docts. produced by No. 84 [illegible] appear to be [illegible] [illegible] divided. The objection raised by No. 82 is quite unjust & his claim cannot be entertained.

Regr. No. 262
Sheet No. 57

| | D.R.<br>Rs A P |
|---|---|
| Amount of D.R. fixed by the Dy Collr. at diff. rates | 188 . . |
| Do by the Comr. at 8/- Agree 8/- | 176 . . |
| Difference or decrease | 12 . . |

Inam Comr.

See Collector's letter dated 16 Feby 1867
No. 93. Vide the correspondence relating ~~thereto~~

The extent noted in the margin has been

| V | acres | Wet assmt | Dry assmt |
|---|---|---|---|
| 2-14-44 | 17.49 | 104 | 27 |

ordered to be classed as wet — It was originally Dry & Thervajati is still being collected — It will be now classified as Dry & confirmed accordingly —

extent to be confirmed

| | acs | assmt |
|---|---|---|
| Wet | 223.72 | 1324 |
| Dry | 28.89 | 43 |
| Garden | .93 | 3 |
| | 252.94 | 1370 |

D.R. 7/8
166

[illegible]
Asst.
20/5/68

⊕
319 .48
321 .51
322
324 A .1
.7
.81
327 .77
333 .46
334 .56
335 A .80
B .80
338 .44
339 .53
340 A .69
B .42
341 .40
342 A .28
B .48
C .70
D .38
.43
.88
.85
346 A .86
.70
348
1.17
.32
349 .95
350 .47
17.93

No. ⊕
319
321 .20
322 .31
324 A .08
324 B .2
325 .3
327 .3
333 .18
334 .23
335 A .32
335 B .32
338 .18
339 .2
340 1 .28
340 1 .19
341 .16
342 A .11
342 B .19

342 C .28
342 D .15
342 E .16
345 A .36
345 B .34
346 A .34
346 B .36
348 .30
348 .20
362 .39
372
379
380

Total

6

[illegible]

No. 51 were given in gift to two individuals, Vingaraniyengar [illegible] Nilakanta [illegible] Vingaraniyengar [illegible] his father purchased the [illegible]
& his brother disposed of both to No. 52 — The deeds of sale to Eggirama Sas: & No. 52 are produced & the gift [illegible] & Nilakanta [illegible]

No. 53 [illegible] land at the Survey of this man is entd in the name of Basava Dikshitar in the Parimaish. He is said to have disposed of it to Umbaji Dikshitar from whom No. 53's father [illegible]
Document produced. The purchase by Umbaji Dikshitar was made in the year Sobhakritu — No. 56 The land enjd by this man is entd in the Parimaish in the name of 3 individuals — one in his own name, one in that of
his father in law, who having no male heir the daughter inherited, & one in that of his uncle's widow to whom he succeeded in the absence of better heirs — No. 57 — has no document. The land entd in his name as also
which he disposed of to others, making in all one fourth of a share, was purchased by him from Ramanatha Sas: father of No. 27 — who admits the sale — No. 58 & 61 enjoy only what was originally granted to their ancestor. They
acquired no lands by purchase or gift — Nos. 62 – 63 – 64 — Besides their share in the original grant they enjoy some lands purchased some previous to the Parimaish and some subsequent to it. In the Parimaish 5 Visams
are entd in the name of the grand father of Nos. 62 – 63 — & this is said to include 3 Visams that had been purchased before F1238 — No document is produced to support the purchase of these 3 Visams — but the entry in
Survey Regr is referred to in evidence. Subsequent to the Parimaish three purchases appear to have been made — two by the father of Nos. 62 – 63 — & one by themselves — Of the two purchases in the name of the
father a deed for one only is produced. It is dated Subhakritu — & was executed by Parvathi Vijayammal. The share disposed of — was ⅛ of a share — This land is entd in the Parimaish in the name of
Parvathi ammal's husband's elder brother — deed for the other is missing — but the villagers testify to the purchase — in the village accts the land is entered in the name of this family — The purchase made
by Nos. 62 – 63 — was effected in the year 1857 from Sakharam Vijayaram whose father's name is specified in the Parimaish. Doct produced —
No. 65 had her share under Manjakani from her father No. 54. Deed of gift produced — No. 66 also enjoys by gift from No. 54 on the occasion of the death of the father or brother of No. 54. Doct produced — The land enjoyed by No. 67
was decreed to him by Kamakshi Sastri many years ago. The gift is recorded in the Regr of 1809 — wherein the donor's name is entd. The gift was made expressly by the son in law of original grantee No. 65 [illegible]
No. 68 — Enjoys by purchase made before & after the Parimaish. For those effected before it her husband & his brothers' names are entd — & for the one made after it in the year Durmukhi from No. 45 doct is produced
Nos. 69 – 79 – 80 Enjoy by purchase made many years ago before the Parimaish. Doct to support the purchase is not produced, but reference to the entry of the lands in the survey acct in the name of the father of
No. 69 is made in evidence of possession — No. 70 — purchased some lands in the year Pramadhi from No. 52 — some in the year Raktakshi from Muttusami Dikshitar, a descendant of original grantee No. 57 — some in
the year Vilambi from Vaidyanatha Venkataraman who had had it out of the share of original grantee No. 11 by a Rajinamah of Court, & some land in the year Vilambi from Krishnaiyangar entd in Parimaish —
deeds for all these produced. This man is said to be the adopted son of the late holder Muttuvaddiyar. The adoption is stated to have taken place more than 15 years ago — but after the Parimaish [illegible] of the adoption — The
[illegible] adopted is generally recognized as the deceased's son & he is in the enjoyment of all his property — No. 71 — The land entd in this boy's name belongs to his father's sister who having no [illegible]
[illegible] it to him in [illegible] this manner — A portion of the land was purchased by her husband in the year Raudri from Subramaniya Sastri, brother's son of Narayana Sastri whose name
entd in the Parimaish for it, & the remainder in the year Siddharti from [illegible] Aiyangar also entd in the Parimaish. None has appeared to object to the registration of the land as required by
[illegible] in the name of the boy & her prayer is complied with — No. 72. The land enjoyed by this man was given in gift, as per doct produced, to his grand father in 1849 by Kuppaiyangar who
had purchased it for that purpose in the year Krodhi from Rangaiyangar whose name is entd in the Parimaish. A portion of the land so disposed of by Rangaiyangar had been purchased by
him subsequent to the Parimaish from 2 individuals whose names are specified in the survey acct. Deeds of gift by and of sale to Kuppaiyangar are produced — No. 74. Besides what this woman
inherited from her parents, she enjoys a small extent of land which she obtained from her grand uncle (mother's uncle) as [illegible] for a house belonging to her. No. 75. The land enjoyed by this
woman was given to her by her mother — whose mother in law or grand mother of No. 75, had had it under Manjakani for her father. The mother-in-law's name is entd in the Parimaish —
[illegible]6. The land enjd of this woman was given to her grand mother in gift by her mother's sister Bagirammabayi, grandson's widow of original grantee No. 10. The gift was made in Durmukha & is produced
[illegible] Of the land enjoyed by this man, a portion descended to his grand father from original grantee No. 4 whose daughter's daughter he was. No. 78's grand father's name appears in the Regr of 1809 —
[illegible] was purchased by his father in the year Vilambi from Appadorai Aiyar whose name is entd in the survey acct of F1238 & who it is said had had it in gift [illegible]
[illegible] daughter's son of original grantee No. 3 — & the rest was purchased in the year Raudri in two instalments from Lingalachari — who had purchased it from [illegible]
the Parimaish. This land entd in the Parimaish in the name of Krishnaiyangar is therein stated to have belonged to Virasamiyangar [illegible] enjoyment on mortgage — While the land was
[illegible] the mortgagee, the mortgagor disposed of it to No. 78's father. But the mortgagor's grandson Arunachaiyangar subsequently sold it to No. 37 — The two purchasers disputing about the land
[illegible] went up for enquiry before the Tahsildar — when the money paid by No. 37 was made good to them by No. 78 — & No. 37 consented to relinquish his title to the land in question
No. 81 had his share in gift from one Eggiyaramma Dikshitar whose father's name is entd in the Parimaish. The land entd in Eggiyaramma Dikshitar's father's name was purchased by him [illegible]
[illegible] from descendants of original grantee No. 7 — Doct for this purchase is produced — There is no deed of gift to No. 81 — but the donor admits it & solicits registry of [illegible]
[illegible] land of Nos. 84 & 85 is entd in the Parimaish in the name of Kuppanaiyangar grand uncle of No. 84 & husband's brother of No. 85. This land with what is stated in the remarks
[illegible] been granted (in lieu of a mortgage) [illegible] of No. 82 to No. 82 by Janakiammal & out of which had been left undivided by Janakiammal's husband & his brothers, [illegible]
apart for the support of their mother when the brothers entd into division. As the mother lived with Kuppanaiyangar & the grandsons, his name appears to have been entd in the Parimaish
a part of this land, on the death of the old woman, her grandsons & daughter-in-law Janakiammal, who were all entitled to it jointly, made over a portion to No. 82 [illegible]
his [illegible] & the remainder is in the enjoyment of Nos. 84 & 85 in the proportions specified in the Table annexed to the case — No. 84 states that as his father [illegible] the [illegible]
ceremonies to the old woman attended, & as she had lived with No. 85's husband's brother for a long time, the other cousins have allowed them to enjoy it exclusively —
No. 54 — The land enjoyed by No. 54 was purchased in small pieces, at different times from different individuals, both by himself — & his father before & after the Parimaish — Vide table annexed for particulars
[illegible] by No. 54

— in Vayagar from Mallari Bhattar descendant of orig. grantee No. 10
in do — from Rangaiyangar an alienee ——
in Kondi from Venkataramana Sas: descendt of orig. grantee No. 43.
in do — from Kristnaiyan father of No. 1. who had purchased it from
an descendant of original grantee No. 59
in Vilambi from Annasami Pandit, an alienee entd in Parimaish
in Vikari from Visvanatha Sas: descendant of orig. grantee No. 2 —
in Sarvari from son of Tulasi Ammal an alienee entd in Reg of 1809
in Subhakritu from Tyagaraja Sas: who had purchased it from Kuppusami
& Sreenivasa Sas: entd in the Parimaish ——
in Krodhi from Ramaiyangar Sas: descendt of original grantee No. 2
in do from Rengammal who purchased it from Annasastri (who
had come into possession by purchase from Ayyanna Sas:)
[illegible] Vijayaranga [illegible] had purchased the land from
[illegible]
Nagaramma [illegible] & Kamakshi — whose names are
entd in the Parimaish ——
in Visvavasu from Lakshmiammal who had purchased it from
Ramadas: an alienee entd in Reg of 1809 & from Alagiasinga
[illegible], descendants of orig. grantee No. 6 ——
in do — from Madhavaiyangar [illegible] of Tulasiammal an
alienee entd in Regr of 1809 ——
in Parabhava from Subbammal widow of Srinivasa Dikt: entd in Reg of 1809
in do — from Vijayaraghava Sas: entd in the Parimaish Acct of F1238
in Plavanga from [illegible] Sas: who had purchased it from Tiruvadi Sas: entd
in the Parimaish.
[illegible] by No. 54
in Sadharana from Sivaramaiyar Sas: who had purchased it from [illegible]
[illegible] Sas: Tiruvengada Sas: Kuppusami Sas: & Raghu
[illegible] entd in the Parimaish
[illegible]

in Pramadhi from Ramachandra Aiyar entd in Parimaish
in Pramadhicha from Parijata Venkatarama Sas: whose father
had purchased it from Srinivasa Sastri & Kuppa
Sastri & Srinivasan entd in Parimaish
in do [illegible] in the name of Varadaraja Bhattar
No. 4 from Subba Sas: son of Rama Sas: entd in Parimh
No. 4 has in writing relinquished his title to the land.
in Pingala from Panchanada Dikt: who had purchased
from the above Subba Sastri
in Kalayukti from No. 82 of the land sold a portion had been
given as Manjakani to his mother by Ramadas: already &
the rest was his ancestral property
in Siddharti from Ranga Sas: descendt of an original grantee
in Durmukhi from Krishnaiyan who had bought it of the
[illegible] Sastri a descendt of original grantee
in Durmukhi from Minakshi bayi daughter in law of
Appaji Pandit entd as an alienee in Regr of 1809
in do from Panchapakesa Sas: No. 2 who purchased
it from the above Minakshi bayi
No. 15 is a descendant of an original grantee. But the share of her
family in the original grant having been disposed of
the portion now in her enjoyment came to her from another family
[illegible]
been mortgaged with her father [illegible] by one Lakshmana Pandit
[illegible]
[illegible]

the land is entd in the name of No. 15's husband as Bagavanta Dikshitar
but in reality — Bagavanta Dikshitar was one of the original
grantees. When the mortgagee Lakshmana Pandit was & how
he came into possession whether as a descendant of his or as an
alienee nobody knows. No heirs of the mortgagee or the
other are known to be in existence. The extent in the Enjt of
No. 77 was mortgaged with him in the year Vikari by [illegible]
Sastri. His widow & [illegible] in the years Ananda & Raudri, executed in the latter year
an agreement relinquishing all title to the land and the
the mortgagee to enjoy it for ever — The land in question
having been given in gift to Kuppu Sastri's brother [illegible]
in Bahudhanya by Ranganatha Venkatarama [illegible]
came into possession is not known [illegible]
acct this gift is entd, [illegible]
but as being under mortgage. The oldest of the [illegible]
say that originally the [illegible] belonged to [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

# Royasuryampettai, Mayavaram.

Regr No 423

Sheet No. 111

Case no 9

9 [illegible]

Wet 5-27-7 28-2--
Dry 8-19-6¼ 8·49 7--10
garden -21-9½ ·54 1-3-5
14-18-6¼ 12·40 31-7-3
Poromboke in the Inam
7-26-2 7·65
22-4-8¾ 22·11

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval not known 1-8-

unknown

| | Wet | Dry | garden | Porom. | Total | Ass^t | Kaval | Q.R. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. | 1-14-5¾ | 2-4-13½ | -5-6¼ | 1-36-8½ | 5-21-2 | 9-1-9 | -6- | [illegible] |
| 2. | -13-9¼ | -21-3½ | -1-5½ | -19-2¼ | 1-15-4½ | 2-4-5 | -1-6 | -4- |
| 3. | -13-9¼ | -21-3½ | -1-5½ | -19-2¼ | 1-15-4½ | 2-4-5 | -1-6 | -4- |
| 4. | -13-9¼ | -21-3½ | -1-5½ | -19-2¼ | 1-15-4½ | 2-4-5 | -1-6 | -4- |
| 5. | -13-9¼ | -21-3½ | -1-5½ | -19-2¼ | 1-15-4½ | 2-4-5 | -1-6 | -4- |
| 6. | 1-14-5¾ | 2-4-13½ | -5-6¼ | 1-26-8½ | 5-21-2 | 9-1-9 | -6- | 1-- |
| 7. | -27-3¾ | 1-2-6½ | -2-11½ | -38-4 | 2-30-9¼ | 4-9-1 | -3- | -8- |
| 8. | -27-3¾ | 1-2-6½ | -2-11½ | -28-4 | 2-30-7¼ | 4-9- | -2- | -8- |
| | 5-1-7 | 8-19-6¼ | -21-9½ | 7-26-2 | 22-4-8¾ | 36-7-3 | 1-8- | -4- |

[illegible] for the purchase [illegible] Rammaswami [illegible] enjoyed as mortgagee by the [illegible] the Pennasicu [illegible] mortgage [illegible] have been [illegible] N^o 2 [illegible]

Vide remarks in Case N^o 3

Narasimha Dasar

[illegible] whose brother it was purchased in the year [illegible] of the [illegible] N^o 2 to 5, who now enjoy it. [illegible] of the quarter share in the enjoy^t of N^o 1, ⅛ th was purch^d by him in the year [illegible] N^o 3 in col. 15, brother of N^o 5 in col. 14, 1/16 th was purchas^d in [illegible] from the [illegible] of N^o 6 in col. 14, & the remaining 1/16 belonged originally to N^o 3 in col: 14 & is said to have been purchased by one [illegible] chari from whom N^o 6 had it in exchange for his share in [illegible] [illegible]

Ill. Regr of 1809
Atmanathadasar ¼
2. Narasimhadasar ⅛ both grandsons
3. Muttusaimidasar daughter's [illegible] 5/16
4. Ragavadasar ⅛
5. Dhanakoti Dasar ⅛
6. Ramanujasir 1/16
W 1-4
D -14-
1-18-9

Ill. F.R. 25
W 1--68¼
D 1-2-35 9/16
Poro-7-61⅞
2-10-64 15/16

Ill. F.R. 38
1. Atmanathadasar ¼
2. Subbadikshitar enj^g as mortgagee ⅛
3. Venkatakristnacin ⅛
4. Saimasi [illegible] ⅛
5. Ramaisu ¼
6. Bairapanditar ¼
The last 2 enj^g as mortgagee

Rayasuryampettai
1. Narayanabhattar 47. ¼ share
2. Venkataramanachari 42. 1/16 share
3. Narayanachari 40. 1/16 share
4. Krishnamurtiachari 31. 1/16 share
5. Ramamurtiachari 25. 1/16 share
6. Ramanujaiyangar 55. ¼ share
7. Subbaiyar 55. ⅛ share
8. Apateahayaaiyar 18. ⅛ share

(1) Wife — 1
Daughter — 1
Brother — 1 1/3
(2) Wife 1, son 1 1/2
(3) Wife 1, son 1 1/2
(4) Wife 1, son 1 1/2
(5) Wife — 1/1
(6) Wife — 1
Daughter — 1
D^o sons — 2 2/4
(7) Wife 1, son 1
Brothers — 4 4/6
(8) Wife — 1/1

The ⅛ th in the enjoyment of N^o 1 was bequeathed to him by his maternal uncle Bala Pandit [illegible] in the year 1832 [illegible] Bala Pandit [illegible] bought it [illegible] [illegible] widow of [illegible] Nos 3 in col. 14. The quarter share ent^d in the name of Atmanatha Das: was disp^d of by him in the year Vijaya & one Ragava [illegible]

[illegible] with [illegible] parties [illegible] those named [illegible]

The Q.R. payable by the several parties in each case are specified in the table or statement marginally appended but where the consent of any party to the enfranchisement is necessary the words "agreed to" are written opposite the Q.R. & parties to whom one T.D. may be granted are enclosed in a bracket.

30/4/62

Case no 10

10. D^o

[illegible] col: 14 [illegible] present family [illegible] by [illegible] enjoyment [illegible] [illegible] N^o 8 is grandson [illegible] N^o 4 in col. [illegible]

Wet 2-23-12¼ 2·72 14-1-6
Dry 4-9-10¼ 4·22 3-8-5
garden -10-12 ·27 -9-8
7-9-3 7·23 18-3-7
Poromboke in the Inam
3-33-½ 3·83
[illegible]

D^o Kaval -12- D^o D^o

[illegible] son of Nagesvaradasar N^o 4 in col. 14, in the year [illegible]

Vide remarks in Case N^o 3. This Inam & that in the next case constitute one share [illegible] the share was given to two individuals [illegible] each had one share.

Narayanajosi

Ill. Regr of 1809
1 Subrahmaniyajosi ½
2. Anantasubbajosi ⅛
3. Paramesvarajosi all grandsons ⅛
W -10-4½
D -7-
[illegible]-17-4½

Ill. F.R. 25
W -10-34 ⅞
D -11-17 25/32
P -3-80 9/10
1-5-32 15/32
Ill. F.R. 38
Appajosi ½

Rayasuryampettai
Nagesvarajosiyar 40

Grandson of [illegible] grandson of the original grantee

Sons — 2
brother — 1
do wife — 1/4

Vide remarks in Case N^o 2.
To be confirmed. Q.R. agreed to ⅛ i.e. Re 2-4-
30/4/62

Vide Corlr 2

Case No. 11

11. D^o

Wet 2-[illegible] 2·[illegible] 14-1-6
Dry 4-9-10½ 4·24 3-8-5
garden -10-12 ·27 -9-8
7-[illegible] 7·23 18-3-7
Poromboke in the Inam
3-33-½ 3·83
[illegible]

D^o Kaval -12- D^o D^o D^o

[illegible] N^o 1 Subbadikshitar [illegible] ⅛ th is ent^d in [illegible] the enj^t of [illegible] N^o 2 with [illegible] mortgage [illegible] having been subsequently [illegible] is now enj^d by Vaidyanathaiyar.

Vaidyanatha dikshitar

Ill. Regr of 1809
1 Subbadikshitar grandson 3/8
2. Sivanthi [illegible] enj^d by purch ⅛
3. [illegible] as [illegible]

Ill. F.R. 25
[illegible]
1-5-32 15/32
Ill. F.R. 38
1. Subbadikshitar 3/8
2. Ramaiyar enj^d by purch. ⅛

Rayasuryampettai
Vaidyanathadikshitar 55 3/8
2. Subbaiyar 55 1/16
3. Subbaiyar 57 1/16

(1) Grandson of [illegible] grandson of the party [illegible]

(1) Sons — 2
daughters-in-law — 2/4
(2) Wife & [illegible] Brothers 4/5
(3) Brother — 1/1

N^os 2 & 3 are brothers' grandson & grandson of N^o 2 in col: 14. N^o 2 in col. 15 was son of [illegible] but was adopted by his uncle [illegible] of the 3/8 th ent^d in col. 15

To be confirmed in [illegible] Q.R. agreed to by N^o 1 at ⅛ i.e. Re 1-12-
Q.R. imposed on N^os 2 & 3 at ⅛ i.e. [illegible] -8-

30/4/62

Vide Corlr 2

12. D^o

[illegible]

Wet 6-8-[illegible] 31-9-2
Dry 8-19-6¼ 8·49 7--10
garden -21-9½ ·54 1-3-5
14-[illegible]¾ 10·12 39-13-5
[illegible] in the Inam
7-26-2 7·65
22-30-12¾ 22·77

(6) D^o Kaval [illegible] 1-8- D^o D^o

Vide remarks in case N^o 3 Venkatachalam

(7) As N^o 3 [illegible] little of the land [illegible] and [illegible] 5 months since the [illegible] they were [illegible] the claim [illegible]

(5)

| | Wet | Dry | Garden | Poromboke | Total | asst. | Kaval | Q.R. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | [illegible]-11½ | [illegible] | -10-14½ | 3-30-1 | 10-27-10¼ | 17-4-7 | -12- | -6- |
| 2 | -27-3 | 1-2-6¾ | -2-11¼ | -38-4¼ | 2-30-9¼ | 4-8-10 | -3- | -8- |
| 3 | -27-3 | 1-2-6¾ | -2-11¼ | -38-4½ | -30-9¼ | 4-8-10 | -3- | -8- |
| 4 | -13-9¼ | -21-3½ | -1-5½ | -19-2¼ | 1-15-4½ | 2-4-7 | -1-6 | -4- |
| 5 | -13-9¼ | -21-3½ | -1-5½ | -19-2¼ | 1-15-4½ | 2-4-7 | -1-6 | -4- |
| 6 | -25-4 | | | | -26-4 | 3-6-2 | | -6- |
| 7 | -33-½ | 1-11-3¼ | -2-11¼ | -38-4 | 3-5-3 | 5-7-10 | -3- | -10- |
| | 6-3-11 | 8-19-6¼ | -21-9½ | 7-26-2 | 22-30-12¾ | 39-13-5 | 1-8- | 4-8- |

| Wet | ass^t | Dry | ass^t | garden | ass^t | Porom. | ass^t | Total | ass^t |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| -13-11½ | | 85-16-12½ | | 5-15-13½ | | 76-20-3 | | 230-26-11½ | |

(3) The [illegible] village of Kadakam mistaken [illegible] they [illegible] Gopalakrishnasvami (N^o 1) in their village. The ⅛ share ent^d in the name of N^o 7 is that ent^d in Col: 15 as being enjoyed on mortgage by [illegible] that which appears in Venkataramadasar name in Col: 14 whom [illegible] N^o 7 [illegible] (4)

Ill. Regr of 1809
1. Venkatachalam grandson ⅛
2. Subbadasar grandson ⅛
3. Venkataramadasar grandson ⅛
4. Ramakrishnabhattar daughter's son ½
5. [illegible] enj^g by [illegible] ⅛
1 Sh
W -9
D -14-
1-18-9
5. Archaka Worshipper Rengaraiyer ½
-25-4

Ill. F.R. 25
W --68¼
Dry 1-2-35 9/16
Poro-7-61⅞
2-10-64 15/16
Ill. F.R. 38
1. Ramanathadasar ½
2. Ramachandradasar ¼
3. [illegible] ⅛
4. Konerikuttan (enjoy^d by mortgage) ⅛
1 Sh

Virupermanallur S. [illegible]
1. Venkitaraya 54. ½
2. Kamakshiammal 70 ⅛
3. Gurumurtiayyar 12 ⅛
4. Ramakrishnaaiyar 35 1/16
5. Ramanandadasar 18 1/16
6. Gopalakrishnasvami at Kadakam
Worshipper Rengaraiengar
7. Subbabhattar 35.

(1) Daughter's son of [illegible] grandson of the original grantee

[illegible] of N^o 4 in col: 14

(2) [illegible] N^o 1 in col. 14.

(7) [illegible] N^o 3 in col. 14

(1) Wife 1 son 1 Brother 1/2
(2) Daughter 2
(3) Son
(4) Wife & Brother Brother's wife 3
(5) Wife 1/1
(7) Wife 1 Brothers 2/3

[illegible] in col. 15 [illegible] the son of N^o 1 in col. 14. The quarter share ent^d in his name is the ⅛ in the name of his father & the ⅛ in that of [illegible] N^o 2. [illegible] this latter [illegible] came to be ent^d in his name as [illegible] N^o 2. Ramakrishnan [illegible] his death [illegible] her daughter [illegible] all property between them the ⅛ now in his enjoyment fell to him. The remaining [illegible] of N^o 3. [illegible]

Vide remarks in case N^o 2
To be confirmed
Q.R. agreed to at ⅛ by N^os 1, 2 & 7 & imposed at the same rate on N^os 3, 4, 5, 6 i.e. Re [illegible] -8-
25/7/62

Vide Corlr 2.

(5) [illegible] Konerikuttan [illegible] having [illegible] Venkataramadasar [illegible] of the dispute [illegible] on of the land for Konerikuttan [illegible] circumstances [illegible] N^o 8 [illegible]

(2) N^o 5 in col: 14. [illegible] the Wet lands in this village are [illegible] by the irrigation channel of the adjoining village of Kadakam. When a dispute arose, the villagers of Raya[illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] consideration [illegible]

(1) N^os 4 & 5 are [illegible] respectively of Appadurai [illegible] in Col: 15 who had it by [illegible] in the year Parthiva from one Subbramaniyan [illegible] had received it by [illegible] in the year [illegible] from one Paramasiva who [illegible]

[illegible] there a [illegible] produced the mortgaged land [illegible] by the mortgagee. The one eighth share is at present in the enjoyment of N^o 3 [illegible] the mortgagee [illegible] Narayanasvami [illegible] [illegible] that the ⅛ share in petition was left [illegible] the Pattam [illegible] of the land [illegible] (5)

# Manamodu Village, Mayavaram Taluk

Regr. No. 424
Sheet No. 111

243 – 33 –
125 – 20 – 2
204 – 38 – 3
280 – 9 –
233 – 8 – 5
234 – 20 –
3 – 12 – 7 3·31 4 – 5 – 8

Garden
235 – – 8
241 – 9 – 3
242 – 20 – 7¾
1 – 30 – 2¾ ·75 2 – 11 –

Tope
95 – 17 – 8
96 – 15 – 12
97 – 9 –
127 – 21 – 5
129 2 – 8 – 5
130 5 – 29 – 8
202 1 – 23 – 2½
227 4 – 24 –
228 1 – 34 – 4
250 – 4 – 2½
347 – 8 –
386 – 5 – 14½
18 – 1 – 7½ 18·04 23 – 10 – 10
22 – 4 – 1¼ 22·10 30 – 11 – 6

Poramboke in the Enam
234 – 5 – 4½
246 – 6 – 1½
247 – 3 – 8
239 – 6 – 6
241 – 8 – 9¾
244 – 5 – 15
245 – 4 – 8½
255 – 7 – 5
248 – – 11
249 – 4 – 13
250 – – 8
251 – 4 – 2
253 – 17 – 7
252 – 3 – 6
256 – 4 – 12½
257 – 11 – 4
258 – 16 –
2 – 34 – 6½ 2·86 3 – 10 – 9
24 – 38 – 10¾ 24·90 34 – 6 – 3

For the [illegible] of Raganathaswami Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be [illegible] and other [illegible]

[illegible] Not known Not known No document Raganathaswami.

No. 4216 Sri Raganathaswami manium tope with [illegible] 3-15-7¼

No. 4238 The Same 24-38-10¾

Raganathaswami at Manamodu
Trustee
Vaidyanatha Pillai

To be confirmed [illegible] so long as the worship is regularly conducted

8/3/82 [illegible]

No. 852
Confirmed

---

293 1 – 8 – 2
295 – 9 – 6
298 – 3 – 6
299 – 4 – 13
1 – 25 – 11 1·64 2 – 2 – 5

For the [illegible] of a Water pandal in the village. A water pandal is maintained in [illegible]

do do do No document Water Pandal

No. 4216 Water pandal 1-4-10

No. 4238 Water pandal manium tope 1-25-11

Water Pandal at Manamodu
Trustee
Thokkanatha Pillai

To be confirmed [illegible] so long as the [illegible] is [illegible] within the [illegible]

8/3/82 [illegible]

No. 853
A 8

---

Regr. 425 Register of Inams in the village of Agaramanamodu No. 472 in the above mentioned Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore Dist.

Regr. No. 425

Tope
156 1 – 32 – 8
157 – 31 – 8
1 – 24 1·60 1 – 10 – 5

Do do of Varadarajaswami Pagoda in the village. Worship is [illegible] in the Pagoda [illegible]

do do do No document Varadarajaswami

No. 4216 Varadarajaswami manium tope 1-4-70

No. 4238 The Same 1-24

Varadarajaswami at Agaramanamodu
Worshipper
Venkatakrishna Aiyangar

To be confirmed

No. 851
Confirmed

No 125

Registar of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Manamodu Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Dhoḍ-nadayam | Sy No 98 | 9 9/16 gulies | ·23 | " | 0-10-10 | For the use of the village community now kept up | Tax free Permanent | Survey Account of Fasli 1288 | Fasli 1288 Nandavanam | Manamodu Nandavanam In charge of Arunachala Pillai & others. | The [illegible] [illegible] relating [illegible] [illegible]<br>23.8.78 |
|  | Do No 124 | 16 gulies | ·40 | " | 1-2-0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Do No 126 | 16 gulies | ·40 | " | 1-2-0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Do No 222 | 11 1/2 Do | ·29 | " | 0-13-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Do No 344 | 12 gulies | ·30 | " | 0-13-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Do No 345 | 7 1/8 gulies | ·18 | " | 0-8-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1 Acre & 32 3/16 gulies | 1·80 | " | 5-1-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Closed

Continued
[illegible]
Inam Commr
[illegible] 11 83

T.D. No 1252

18V

Regr. No. 125
Sheet No. 128

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
12/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்.

Village of Kattucheri Mayavaram Taluk

Kattacheri Village, Mayavaram Taluk

No 297

Regr No. 448

Sheet No. 128

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cult. Tope<br>Case No 8 | 2-2-75 14.18<br>As per Commissioner<br>3-8-81 | 15-13-11 | The proceeds of this tope are appropriated to the expense of Saranadharakshakaswami's Pagoda in Tillaiyadi. Worship is rendered. | Free of tax | not known | not known No documents | Saranadhaswami | Sk 1257 Saranadharakshakaswami 2-2-89½ | Saranadharakshakaswami At Tillaiyadi<br>Trustee<br>Subbrayalu Naik | Vide remarks on No 103<br>To be confirmed<br>12/7/62 Rajagopala Chetti Dy Coll<br>T.D. No 984<br>Confd 20 Yd -10<br>6<br>13/7/70 |
| Do<br>Case No 9 | Tope As per measurement 6-84 2.26 | 2-[illegible]-11 | Do Do Do Do Do of Visvanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village of Tillaiyadi. Worship is rendered. | | | Do | Visvanadhaswami | Said to be in ... like the others ... To be confirmed<br>12/7/62 Rajagopala Chetti | Visvanadhaswami At Tillaiyadi<br>Manager<br>Chinna Aiya Pillai | This tope & the ... are entered in the acct of F 1257 — The ... of this ... cannot now be ascertained. There is no ground for supposing that these Inams did not then exist because they are not entered in F 1257 for it is not at all likely that they<br>T.D. No 985<br>Confd 20 Yd -8<br>6<br>13/7/70 |
| Do<br>Case No 10 | Tope As per measurement -19-66 6.43 | 5-13-4 | Do Do Do Do Do of Rajagopalaswami & Visvanadhaswami's Pagodas in the village of Tillaiyadi. Worship is rendered to both. | | | Do | Rajagopalaswami & Visvanadhaswami | | Rajagopalaswami & Visvanadhaswami At Tillaiyadi<br>Managers<br>Subbaraya ... & Chinna Aiya Pillai respectively | Remarks as above<br>To be confirmed<br>12/7/62 Rajagopala Chetti Dy Coll<br>T.D. No 986<br>Confd 20 Yd -8<br>6<br>13/7/70 |
| Do<br>Case No 11 | Tope 3-10-32 23.25<br>As per measurement<br>2-12-59 | 21-1-6 | Do Do Do Do Do of Subramanyaswami's Pagoda in the village of Tiruvidakkali. Worship is rendered. | | | Do | Subramanyaswami | Sk 1257 ... Tiruvidakkali ...-82 | Subramanyaswami At Tiruvidakkali<br>Managers<br>Subbaraya Pillai<br>Sabhapatiya Pillai | To be confirmed<br>12/7/62 Rajagopala Chetti Dy Coll<br>T.D. No 987<br>Confd 5-8<br>6 |
| Do<br>Case No 12 | Tope ...<br>-9-62 | 3-1-2 | Do Do Do Do Do of Savarirajaswami's Pagoda in the village of Tirukkannapuram. Worship is rendered | | | Do | Savarirajan | | ...rajan At Tirukkannapuram<br>Supporters<br>Srinivasachetti<br>Harischandrachetti &<br>Vayiddilingachetti | To be confirmed<br>12/7/62 Rajagopala Chetti Dy Coll<br>T.D. No 988<br>Confd -12<br>13/7/70 |
| Charitable ...<br>Case No 13 | Tope -13-9 4.33<br>As per ... by the Carnam -12-75 | 3-14-10 | Do Do Do do do of a Choultry in the village. The building has only been lately repaired & is said to be in good condn now. ... food is given to Brahmins every Dwadasi | | | do | Choultry | Sk 1257 ... chetti ... Dharmachatram ...-12-4 | Karavai Chattram at Kattucheri<br>Manager<br>Rajagopala Chetti | To be confirmed<br>12/7/62 Rajagopala Chetti Dy Coll<br>T.D. No 989<br>Confd<br>6<br>13/7/70 |

Robinson
Inám Commissioner

Original Regr No 438

No 338

Register of Inams in the village of Devanur (No 747) in the Tranquebar Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr No. 438

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Personal Wet<br>Case No 1 | 180 1.34<br>The amount ... per Vali in this village is Rs 27-11-4. | 5-9-9 | Do Do Kavel for the personal benefit of the holder | -3-3 | do | do No documents | not known | Sk 1805 Raghupati ... the Inam 180 | Tillaiyadi Kuppusastri 62<br>Recommended to be ... 12/7/62 | Son of the party in list. The ... is 10 Rajagopala Chetti Dy Coll<br>Wife 1, son 1 / 2<br>This is another of the 18 ... villages — the remarks on cases No 1 & 12 in the register of the village of Tillaiyadi apply ... the Inams in this village also<br>Already ... in original Regr |
| ... Tope<br>Case No 2 | -36 .12<br>As per measurement<br>-36 | 9 | Do for the support of a Lakkiya in the village of Poraiyar. The Lakkiya is said ... | Free of tax | not known | not known no documents | not known | Sk 1257 Poraiyar ... -36 | Lakkiya at Poraiyar<br>Managers<br>Khatter ... | To be confirmed<br>Confd 20 ... |

Correction (1) one in this page
M...
Ex...

Devanür Village, Mayavaram Taluk Regr. No. 438

Rel. Endt. Tope 1 No. 2
— 5 — 33/8 … 8
[illegible] in [illegible]

(Case No. 3
Rel. Endowment)

Case No 3.

The proceeds of this tope are appropriated to the expense of Visvanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered

Free of tax — not known — not known — no documents — Visvanadhaswami

No. 157 Visvanadhaswami … 8 — 33 5/8

Visvanadhaswami at Dévanür
Trustees
Chitambara Pillai &
Muttusami Pillai

To be confirmed
12/7/12 Majendie … Dy Col.

Continued 296

Confd. [illegible]
W O
15/7/…

No. 983.

6

108

No. 339. Register of Inams in the village of Manamodu (No. 470) in the Minamoda Mogānam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 424

(Case No.
Rel. Endowment)

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Dry | | |
| 243 | — 36 — 12 | |
| 128 | — 20 — 3 | |
| 206 | — 38 — 3 | |
| 230 | — 9 — | |
| 233 | — 8 — 5 | |
| 239 | — 20 — | |
| | 3 — 12 — 7 | 3·31 4 — 5 — 8 |
| garden | | |
| 235 | — — 8 | |
| 240 | — 9 — 3 | |
| 242 | — 20 — 7 1/2 | |
| Tope | — 30 — 2 1/2 | 75 2 — 11 — |
| 95 | — 17 — 6 | |
| 96 | — 15 — 12 | |
| 97 | — 9 — | |
| 127 | — 2 — 15 | |
| 129 | 2 — [illegible] | |
| 134 | 5 — 29 — | |
| 202 | 4 — 23 — 2 1/2 | |
| 220 | 4 — 2 — | |
| 228 | 1 — 34 — 4 | |
| 230 | — 4 — 2 1/2 | |
| 347 | — 8 — | |
| 386 | — 5 — [illegible] | |
| | 18 — 5 — 1 1/2 | 18 — 23 — [illegible] |
| | [illegible] — 1 1/2 | 22 [illegible] |
| 232 | — 5 — 4 1/2 | |
| 246 | — 5 — [illegible] | |
| 247 | — 3 — 8 | |
| 229 | — 6 — [illegible] | |
| 241 | — 5 — [illegible] | |
| | 24 — 5 — 10 | 24 34 — 6 — 3 |

The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Nāganadhaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered [illegible]

Free of tax — not known — not known — no documents — Nāganadhapuram

No. 216 Sri Nāganadhaswami [illegible] tope [illegible]

No. F 238 Sri Nāganadhaswami's [illegible] tope

Nāganadhaswami at Manamodu
Trustee
Vaidilingam Pillai

To be confirmed

Correction on this page none J. H. [illegible] Coll.

J. W. Robinson
Inám Commissioner.

About … settlement … report

| | |
|---|---|
| Tope | |
| 293 | 1 — 8 — 2 |
| 295 | — 9 — 6 |
| 298 | — 3 — 6 |
| 299 | — 4 — 13 |
| | 1 — 25 — 13 11 … 2 — 2 — 6 |

(Case No. 2
Charitable Endowment)

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
of a Dharmachavadi in the village. A water pandal is maintained in it [illegible]

Water pandal

No. F 216 Water pandal … 4 — 0

No. F 238 Water pandal Inam tope. 1 — 25 — 13.

Water pandal [illegible] Manamodu
Trustee
Chokalinga Pillai

To be confirmed
The inam in the Inam is within the average … Majendie … Dy Col.

Register of Inams in the village of Agaramanamodu (No. 472) in the above Moganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 425

| | |
|---|---|
| 156 | — 32 — 5 |
| 127 | — 3 — 8 |
| | 1 — 24 — … 1·6 1 — 10 — |

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.
of Varadaraja swami's Pagoda

Varadarajaswami

No. F 216 Varadarajaswami [illegible] tope … 4 — 7

No. F 238 Sri Varadarajaswami [illegible] tope 1 — 24 —

Varadarajaswami
at Agaramanamodu
Worshipper
Venkata … [illegible]

To be confirmed

**Regr. No. 418**

Case No 3

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2 | | 1·29 | 1-10-2 | - - - - | The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Amrutaghatéswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Pichaikattalai". | Free not known<br>not known | no documents | Amrutaghatéswara Swámi | - - - | In F1238 Srí Amrutaghatéswara Swámi "Pichaikattalai" 1-11-11 | Amrutaghatéswara Swámi at Tirukkadaiyúr managers Ambalavána Pillai & Namasiváya Pillai | Vide remarks in Case No. 1. To be confirmed<br>4/2/62 | T.D. R. Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao [illegible] 9 June 63 |

Reg. No. 419 -- Register of Inams in the village of Valaiya Sólagan (No. ) in the Tirukkadaiyúr Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

**Regr. No. 419**

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Do | Tope<br>615<br>616<br>617 | -11-7<br>-14-<br>-10-13½<br>-36-4½ | ·91 | 1-5- | As above Do Do Do This is called "Abhishekakattalai". | Do | as above | In F1216 Amrutaghatéswara Swámi's tope V. 2-74 | In F1238 Amrutaghatéswara Swámi Sarwamaniem tope -36-4 | As above managers Nyánasambanda Pandarasannidhi | To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered<br>22/2/62 | T.D. No. 34 Conf. P. Chentsal Rao [illegible] 9 June 63 |

Reg. No. 420 Register of Inams in the village of Kóvankudi (No. 335) in the Kómal Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

**Regr. No. 420**

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Do | Tope<br>293 | -3- | ·07 | -1-3 | Do Do Do Do of Visvanádha Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. | Do | Do | Visvanádha Swámi | - - - | In F1238 Visvanádha Swámi's Sarwamaniem tope | Visvanádha Swámi at Kóvankudi Worshipper Bápu Gurukkal | To be confirmed & continued so long as the worship is rendered. The extent is very small<br>19/2/62 | T.D. No. 34 Conf. P. C. |

It is stated in F1238 that it was being enjoyed by the Mirasidars who being the sole [illegible] of the village [illegible] in [illegible] of all the [illegible] therein, but it appears that the Inam is enjoyed by the Swámi -

Entd. as being in the enjt. of the Mirasidars. Name is [illegible] known

Reg. No. 421 Register of Inams in the village of Aikkádu (No. 333) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

**Regr. No. 421**

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Do | Tope<br>698<br>827<br>872<br>876 | -19-11<br>-21-8<br>-7-<br>-4-5<br>1-12-8 | 1·31 | -14-1 | Do Do Do Do Do of Sornapuríswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered | Do | Do | Sornapuríswara Swámi | In F1216 Sornapuri Swámi's tope V. 4-15¾ | In F1238 Sornapuríswara Swámi's Sarwamaniem tope 1-12-8 | Sornapuríswara Swámi at Aikkádu Worshipper Annáa Gurukkal | To be confirmed<br>19/2/62 | T.D. No. 34 Conf. P. Chentsal Rao [illegible] 9 June |
| | Do | Tope<br>647<br>699<br>861 | 1-26-11<br>-13-7<br>-6-8<br>2-6-10 | 2·17 | 1-3-8 | Do Do Do Do Do of Gópálakrishna Swámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. | Do | Do | Gópálakrishna Swámi | In F1216 Gópálakrishna Swámi's tope V. 5-54¼ | In F1238 Srí Gópálakrishna Swámi's Sarwamaniem tope 2-6-10. | Gópálakrishna Swámi at Aikkádu Worshipper Ayyávaiyangár | To be confirmed. The [illegible] in the Inam does [illegible] the average [illegible]<br>18/2/62 | T.D. No. 34 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao [illegible] 9 June 63 |

No. 422 Register of Inams in the village of E. Kiliyanúr (No. 546) in the Perunjéri Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

**Regr. No. 422**

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Do | Tope<br>179 | 1-[illegible]- | 1·00 | 1-12-2 | Do Do Do Do Do of Pillaiyár's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. | Do | Do | Pillaiyár | In F1216 Pagoda Sarwamaniem tope [illegible] 4-80½ | In F1238 Pillaiyár Pagoda Sarwamaniem [illegible]<br>The name of the Pagoda is not specified | Pillaiyár at E. Kiliyanúr Worshipper Sundara Gurukkal | To be confirmed & continued so long as the worship is rendered | T.D. No. 349 Confirmed P. Ch[illegible] |

# Mánamódu Village, Mayavaram

Regr No. 417
Sheet No. 109

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Case No 3 | [illegible] Seed | Tope<br>254<br>255 | 1-14-"<br>1-12-8<br>2-26-8 | 2·66 | 2-11-9 | - - - | The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expence of Amrutaghatéswara Swámi's Pagoda at Tirukkadaiyúr. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This called "Uchikálakattalai". Free of not not known tax known | No documents | Amrutaghatéswaraswámi | - - - | No 7238 Amrutaghatéswaraswámi Sarvamániem tope 2-26-8 This is called in the Parwána "Uchikálakattalai". | Amrutaghatéswaraswámi at Tirukkadaiyúr Manager Gyánasambandapandárasannidhi - - - | Vide remarks and the decision of Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible] Dy Collr | T.D. No 337 Confirmed P. [illegible] 9 Feb 63 |
| Case No 4 | Do | Tope<br>282<br>283<br>285 | 2-4-6<br>1-33-2<br>2-34-"<br>6-31-8 | 6·78 | 6-12-7 | - - - | As above Do Do Do This is called "Prodóshakattalai" | Do | as above | No 7238 Amrutaghatéswaraswámi Sarvamániem tope 6-31-8 | As above Manager Venkata Row - - - | Vide Remarks in the decision of Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible] Dy Collr | T.D. No 338 Confirmed P. [illegible] 9 Feb 63 |
| Case No 5 | Do | Tope<br>600<br>602 | "-10-10<br>1-18-13<br>1-29-5 | 1·73 | 1-12-11 | - - - | Do Do Do Do Do of Amrutanárayanaperumál's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. | Do | Amrutanárayanaperumál | - - - | No 7238 Amrutanárayanaperumál Sarvamániem tope 1-29-5 | Amrutanárayanaperumál at Manamodu Trustee Kuppériyan & Subbapillai - - - | Vide Remarks on Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible] Dy Collr | T.D. No 339 Conf P. [illegible] 9 Feb 63 |
| Case No 6 | | Tope<br>15<br>20 | 1-7-6¼<br>"-24-9¾<br>1-31-14 | 1·80 | 1-14-3 | - - - | Do Do Do Do Do of Máriyamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. | Do | Máriamman | - - - | No 7238 Máriyamman Sarvamániem tope 1-31-14 | Máriyamman at Manamodu Worshipper Márimuttu - - - | Vide Remarks on Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible] Dy Collr | T.D. No 340 Conf P. [illegible] 9 Feb 63 |
| Case No 7 | Do | Tope<br>201 | 2-4-8 | 2·~~8~~<br>·11 | 2-2-11 | - - - | Do Do, Do Do Do of Aiyanár Amáchiyappan's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. | Do | Aiyanár amáchiappan | - - - | No 7238 Aiyanár Amáchiappan Sarvamániem tope 2-4-8 | Aiyanár amáchiyappan at Manamodu Worshipper Sokkalandaram - - - | Vide Remarks on Case No 1 To be confirmed 22/2/62 [illegible] Dy Collr | T.D. No 341 Conf P. [illegible] 9 Feb 63 |

Register of Ináms in the village of Naduvalúr (No. ) in the Tirukkadaiyúr Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr No. 418

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| △ These may all be confirmed & continued as they are 4/3/62 [illegible] Dy Collr | Do | Tope<br>533<br>535<br>537<br>538<br>541<br>78<br>Porambók 539 | "-22-8¾<br>1-6-11¼<br>"-36-4¼<br>"-5-10<br>"-"-"<br>"-17-"<br>3-8-2¾<br>"-4-8<br>3-12-10¾ | 3·20<br>·11<br>3·31 | 3-14-11<br>"-1-6<br>4-"-5 | - - - | Do Do Do Do Do of Amrutaghatéswara Swámi's Pagoda in the village of Tirukkadaiyúr. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Abhishékakattalai". | Do<br>* Each of items. The present topes and in Extent 5-1-13¾. This Exceeds the Extent in No 7216 by A 1-"-3¼ over & above the Amount | Amrutaghatéswaraswámi | - - - | No 7238 Sri Amrutaghatéswaraswámi Sarvamániem Abhishékakattalai 3-12-10¾ | Amrutaghatéswaraswámi at Tirukkadaiyúr Manager Gyánasambandaparasannidhi<br>○ [illegible] As the topes are entered in No 7238 & are recognized as Inams under the circumstances above stated △ | The extent of Sarvamániem noted in No 7216 is [illegible] 8-46½ in the name of Amrutaghatéswaraswámi & the number of [illegible] is 2. At present there are more topes than 2 in Enjoyment [illegible]. As the Kattalais [illegible] which the topes are attached are not specified in No 7216 it cannot be ascertained which of the topes then existed & how much of the above extent belonged to * | T.D. No 342 Conf P. [illegible] 9 [illegible] |
| | Do | Tope<br>225 | "-22-8 | ·69 | "-10-3 | - - - | As above Do Do Do This is called "Nakshatramálaikattalai". | Do | as above | No 7238 As above Nakshatramálai kattalai "-22-8 | As above Trustee Velupandáram & Kuppapandáram - - - | Vide remarks above To be confirmed [illegible] | T.D. No 343 Conf P. [illegible] |