No

Register of Ináms in the hamlet of Karumuhilnáthen Pettai attached to Manakkudi village (No. 250) in the Taluk of Mayave in the District of Tanjore.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Case No. 1	Devá-dáyam	Out of the land standing in the name of the Temple. Saraff Wet No. 5 „—1—22 6 „—6—67 7 „—9—40 40 „—3—22 91 „—„—99 Total 1-1-50	7.—11	37		3/ 15—. Deduct kaval fees 1—7—8 Remainder 36—7—4	For the performance of Puja &c. [illegible] Saktipurisvara Svámi at Karumuhilnáthen Pettai. The services are kept up.	Tax free.— The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account of extra cultivation, Fussal or Tirva Jasti, water tax &c.	Permanent	By Government in Fasli 1273. 1863–64.	Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319. communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863. Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75. On condition that the revenue assigned in lieu of the ready money allowances shall be properly appropriated to the religious services in the Temple.	Srí Saktipurisvara Svâmi.	"	"	Srí Saktipurísvara Svâmi.	Karumuhilnâdhan Pettai village in Mayaveram Taluq	"	"	"	The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up; W.K.Weld Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector Confirmed SA	T.D.n 1097
Case No. 2	Devá-dáyam	Out of the land standing in the name of Sri Saktipurisvara svámi Saraff Wet [illegible] — — 29 68 „—„—57 90 „—4—96 91 „—0—4 98 „—4—33 99 „—5—5 107 „—0—4 109 „—„—6 132 „—2—87 133 „—3—31 134 „—2—71 135 „—„—8 136 „—4—64 141 „—4—20 146 „—6—48 147 „—8—1 Total 2-17-37	18.—96	101—2—4		101—2—4 Deduct kaval fees 3—14—9 Remainder 97—3—7	For the performance of Pujas &c. to Sri Dharmapurísvara svámi at Dharmapuram. The services are kept up.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Srí Dharmapurisvara Svâmi.	"	"	Srí Dharmapurísvara Svâmi.	Dharmapuram village in Mayaveram Taluq				The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up; W.K.Weld Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector Confirmed 25/10/77 SA	T.D.n 1098

Sheet No. 101
Regr. No. 74

****
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஏப்ரல் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த
ஏப்ரல் மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்
****

Copied.

[illegible] No. 385 /continued/

Regr. No. 391

Case No. 4

[illegible] Tope 108 — 25 — 6 ·64 — 11 —

[illegible] the [illegible] Kalabhairavaswami [illegible] in the [illegible] of 1216 [illegible]

For the worship of Visvanathaswami in the village. The worship [illegible] been [illegible] for [illegible] 15 or 16 years, [illegible] the Pagoda [illegible] in good [illegible]. The [illegible] of this Inam are in [illegible] of the other Pagoda in the village namely Kalabhairavaswami.

Hereditary. Not known. Not known. No documents. Visvanathaswami [illegible] [illegible]

that the [illegible] belonging to the Kalabhairava swami [illegible] [illegible] 2/3 [illegible] the other belonging to Visvanathaswami. [illegible]

10/3/62. [illegible]

F. 1216 Visvanathaswami [illegible] 1-90.

F. 1238 Visvanathaswami in the [illegible] to Kalabhairavaswami 1-25-1

Kalabhairavaswami at Kothi[illegible]palapuram

Worshipper

[illegible]

This Inam appears [illegible] belonged to Visvanathaswami [illegible] but in the [illegible] of F. 1238 [illegible] jointly in the name of that [illegible] and [illegible] in the name of [illegible] in 1216 [illegible] right for the [illegible]. Visvanathaswami Pagoda [illegible] about 15 or 16 years ago, the other Pagoda enjoys the whole. The [illegible] is not [illegible] in the [illegible] as [illegible] that when an Inam appears jointly in the names of two [illegible] the presumption is that each is entitled to one moiety. [illegible]

No. [illegible] / 50

[illegible]

[illegible]

Register of Inams in the village of Kilakkattalai No. 95 in the Tirukkadaiyur Magaanam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 392
Sheet No. 101

The two cares of this Inam are appropriated to the [illegible] of Amrutaghateswaraswami Pagoda in the [illegible] of Tirukkadaiyur. The Pagoda [illegible] the [illegible] and continue the worship as [illegible]. This is called Abhisheka Kakattalai.

Free of [illegible] tax. Not known. Not known. No documents.

Sri Amrutaghateswaraswami

F. 1216 Sri Amrutaghateswaraswami Sarvamanyam 10-14-28

F. 1238 Sri Amrutaghateswaraswami Sarvamanyam Abhisheka Kattalai 75-14-12

Sri Amrutaghateswaraswami at Tirukkadaiyur

Trustee

Gyanasambandha Pandarasannidhi

To be confirmed. The [illegible] of the Inam [illegible]

[illegible]

No. [illegible] / 57

For the [illegible]

Wet 84 – 2 [illegible]
Dry 20 – 35 – 3½ 22.90 [illegible]

118 – 24 – ½ 115.78 569 – 2 – 8

[illegible] with a rod of 15 feet is equal to [illegible] with a rod [illegible]

the Paimash [illegible] taken in [illegible] with the accounts

Wet	Dry	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
16.47	21.68	4.19	70.74	319 – 14 – 8 10 –	39
[illegible]	7.47	1.56	47.99	249 – 8 – 8 –	30
[illegible]	28.95	5.75	118.73	569 – 3 – 8 18 – 8 –	69

[illegible] Regarding the [illegible] Inam All Case No. 1 [illegible] are specified in the marginal statement

29/9/62 Rajanikala [illegible]

[illegible] granted by [illegible]

This [illegible] appears to have been resumed in 1809 [illegible] the Grand [illegible]

The Inam [illegible] Resumed [illegible] with a rod of 15 feet. The [illegible] to have been [illegible]

Extract granted to [illegible] Col. 16 – 30/11/65

Tanjore

1. Ramachitra swami 25

2. [illegible] swami [illegible] 45

(1) Adopted son of [illegible] of the original Grantee

(1) Widow – 2

(2) [illegible] 2/4

These Inams constitute a separate [illegible] yet as they were originally granted [illegible] village [illegible]

This with Case No. 1 [illegible] village [illegible] The Paimash which consists of [illegible] channels [illegible] included in the [illegible] Vide Remarks on Case No. 2 in the Register of the village of [illegible]

Regr. No. 393 — Register of Inams in the village of Alaveli (No. 210) in the Pakasalai Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 393

Nanjah Topu 173 – 12 – 11 .34 [illegible]

For the support of the Aiyanar Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Not known — Not known — No documents — Aiyanar

Aiyanar at Alaveli

Worshipper Subban

[illegible] 2/12/62 [illegible]

Do. Topu 176 – 23 – 4 .58 – 10 – 3

Do. do. of Sokka Pillaiyar Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Do. Do. Do. Do. — Sokka Pillaiyar

Sokka Pillaiyar at Alaveli

Worshipper Dandapani Gurukkal

[illegible] 2/12/62 [illegible]

Do. Topu 242 – 34 – ½ .57 – 10 – 5

Do. do. of a Matam in the village. The Matam is in a good state. It is a branch Matam of the Institution at Dharmapuram

Do. Do. Do. Do. — Matam

Matam at Alaveli

Trustee Gyanasambandha Pandara Sannadhi

The [illegible] 2/12/62 [illegible]

Regr. No. 394 — Register of Inams in the village of Tirunandiyur (No. 212) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 394

Topu 18 – 18 – 7½ 103 – 2 – 9 [illegible] 110 – [illegible] 261 – 3 – 19 – 9 494 – 21 – 19½

– 49 – 9 – 5

Do. do. of Lakshmipuriswaraswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Do. Do. Do. Do. — Lakshmipuriswaraswami

Lakshmipuriswaraswami at Tirunandiyur

Trustee Gyanasambandha Pandara Sannadhi

[illegible]

Regr. No. 395 — Register of Inams in the village of Sirumangalam (No. 214) in the above Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 395

Do. Topu

Do. do. of Lakshmi Narayanaswami's Pagoda in the village [illegible]

Do. Do. Do. Do. — Lakshmi Narayanaswami

Lakshminarayanaswami at Sirumangalam

Worshipper [illegible] Sirumangalam

The [illegible] 2/12/62 [illegible]

Reg. 98

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Vellalagaharam Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

Regr. No. 98

Sheet No. 101

1. Deva dayam	Dry No 51	11 Pulies ·27	–	– –3–6	For support of Pagoda of Nadanagesvara Swami of Vellalagagharam [illegible]	Tax free Permanent	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Fasli 1238 Alagadha Poramboke Nandavanam	Vellalagharam Nandavanam [illegible] Dharma paripalana madam	No nandavanam is maintained. [illegible] The land is [illegible] of [illegible] Pagoda has been given [illegible] of the Pagoda [illegible] to build a new [illegible] on condition of supplying [illegible] flowers to the Pagoda. [illegible] There are 3 [illegible] who pay rent [illegible]. [illegible] to the Pagoda. [illegible] flowers [illegible] for the Pagoda. [illegible] It is recommended [illegible] confirming to the Pagoda [illegible] 14 June 1884	Continued H. Hamilton Inam Commr 28/11/87	TD No 1301
2. Dharma dayam	Dry No 571	11 3/8 Pulies ·28	–	– –2–2	For [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] not [illegible]	Tax free Permanent	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Fasli 1238 Alagadha Poramboke Nandavanam	Vellalagharam Nandavanam [illegible] Mathu Swami Aiyan	The confirming [illegible] up to 2 June [illegible] 1884	Continued H. Hamilton Inam Commr 28/11/87	TD No 1302
3. Dharma dayam	Dry No 580	5 15/328 Pulies ·14	–	– –2–7	For [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] not [illegible]	Tax free Permanent	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Fasli 1238 Alagadha Poramboke Nandavanam		No nandavanam has been [illegible] for [illegible] [illegible]. The [illegible] [illegible] 1884	Fully [illegible] H. Hamilton Inam Commr 28/11/87	

784

788

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible] /3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

Regr. No. 398

Ct	Rel. Comd. Tope 555 — 12-3 30 — 6-6	The proceeds of this tope are appropriated to the expence of the above two Pagodas & Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The three Pagodas are said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered to them all.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Ramachandra perumal Agastiswaran & Pillaiyar	— —	I.F. 1238 Ramachandra perumal & Joint tope — 12-3	Ramachandra perumal Agastiswara Swami & Pillaiyar at Porombur Worshippers Seshaiyangar to the 1st Vayidinadhagurukkal to the last two.	This Inam is not entered in account of FI 216. To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is rendered. 2W — 2 — Rajagopala Chetti 19/2/62	T D 5.. Cert P. Chentsalrao Agra 9 Feb 63

Regr. No. 399 Register of Inams in the village of Káli (No. 249) in the Korukkai Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 399

D°	Tope 982 2-6-13 2·17 2 — 1 in use under the Rajah was one of 14 feet which that adopted in FI 216 was of 12 feet only. Same seem to be the [illegible] difference in the extent. The present extent of the Inam does not [illegible] that in col. 14 [illegible]	D° of Venkatachala perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Venkatachala perumal	I.F. 1216 Venkatachalapathi tope V — 5-20 The village in FI 216 cannot be ascertained. Under these circumstances it is clear that the Inam may be [illegible]	I.F. 1238 Venkatachala perumal's Samanian tope 2-6-13 as it is now	Venkatachala perumal at Káli Worshipper Srinivasaiyangar Rajagopala Chetti 20/2/62	The Reconciliation of FI 216 is too old for reference. The extent given in col. 14 was most correct extent given in FI 216. The measurement of the Taluk is almost in every case caused an excess over the previous extents & it is quite probable there was an excess in this Inam also. Recommend the rod chiefly	T D N 569 Cert P. Chentsalrao Agra 9 Jan'y
D°	Dry 556 — 11-4 ·28 — 4-2 Tope 846 — 22-8 858 — 25 — 1-7-8 1·19 1-1-8 1-18-12 1·47 1-5-10	D° of Chellappa Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Chellappa Aiyanar	I.F. 1216 Aiyanar Pagoda tope V — 3 —	I.F. 1238 Aiyanar Pagoda Samanian 1-18-12	Chellappa Aiyanar at Káli Worshipper Vayiddilingam	Vide Remarks above. Recommended to be confirmed. Rajagopala Chetti 20/2/62	T D N 570 Cert P. Chentsalrao Agra 9 Jan'y
D°	Tope 568 — 30-15¾ 576 — 16-10½ 1-7-4¾ 1·18 1-1-6	D° of Pidari's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Pidari	I.F. 1216 Pidari Pagoda tope V — 2-55	I.F. 1238 Pidari's Samanian tope 1-7-4¾	Pidari at Káli Worshipper Veerayadan	Vide remarks at Entry 1. Recommended to be confirmed. Rajagopala Chetti 20/2/62	R T D N 571 Cert P. Chentsalrao Agra 9 Jan'y
D°	Dry 3?2 — 1-15½ 392 — 6-15½ — 8-11 ·22 — 3-2	This is a Nandavanam used by the Pagodas of the village & the public	do	do	do	do	Nothing		I.F. 1238 Nandavanam — 8-11	Nandavanam at Káli Manager The village community	Vide B.P. No. 1709 mis / L.R. dated 16-5-05. 17 cents of the inam have been resumed & fully assessed. (Sd.) [illegible] 22-5-05. Ag. Inam Commissioner. To be confirmed the Nandavanam is not of FI 216. The yield is very small. Rajagopala Chetti 20/2/62	T D N 572 Cert P. Chentsalrao Agra 9 Jan'y

Regr. No. 400 Register of Inams in the village of Kidáttalaimedu (No. 250) in the above Mágánam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 400

D°	Tope 64 2-20-11½ 2·52 2-7-10	The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expence of Varadarajaswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Varadaraja swami	I.F. 1216 Varadaraja perumal's tope V — 9-54½	I.F. 1238 Varadaraja perumal's Samanian tope 2-20-11½	Varadaraja Swami at Kidáttalaimedu Worshipper Venkataramanaiyangar	To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is regularly rendered. Rajagopala Chetti 20/2/62	T D N 573 Cert P. Chentsalrao Agra 9 Feb'y
D°	Tope 3 — [illegible] 4 — [illegible] 12 — 5 — 11 — 4-3 7 — 22 — 8 — 3 — 9 — 2 — 13 — [illegible] 482 1 [illegible] 507 1 [illegible] 543 [illegible]	D° of Pillaiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	D°	D°	D°	D°	Pillaiyar	I.F. 1216 Pillaiyar Pagoda tope V — 11-26½	I.F. 1238 Pillaiyar Pagoda Samanian tope 4-20-6¾ N° 5 [illegible] Nandavanam	Pillaiyar at Kidáttalaimedu Worshipper Parameswaragurukkal	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is within the average excess of 10 P.C. Rajagopala Chetti 25/2/62	T D N 574 Cert P. Chentsalrao Agra 9 Feb'y

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Solampettai Vadapathy Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1.	Dharmi Nanjai	Survey No 17	10 5/16 Gulies	·26	◦	– 6 – 1	[illegible]	–	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	No Nandavanam has been maintained [illegible]	Fully assessed R. [illegible] Inam Commr 11 87
2.	Dharmi Nanjai	Survey No 100 Do No 102 Total	2 9 1/16 Gulies 1 Acre & 17 3/16 Gulies 2 Acres & 6 13/16 Gulies	·73 1·44 2·17	◦ ◦ ◦	– 14 – 10 2 – 1 – 10 3 – 4 – 8	[illegible]	–	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	[illegible] Nandavanam	Continued R. [illegible] Inam Commr 11 87 T.D. No 1277

Solampettai Temple Nandavanam [illegible]

closed

Regr. No 99
Sheet No. 102

781

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
14/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின் கையொப்பம்

MAYAVARAM T.K.

214 (continued)

Regr. No. 395
~~Sheet No. 102~~
Semangalam

	Tope 545 –19–	48 –6–1	The proceeds of this tope are appropriated to the expense of Agastiswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax not known not known no documents	Agastiswara Swami	IFR 216 Agastiswara Swami Sarwamaniam tope V–2–55	IFR 238 Agastiswara Swami Sarwamaniam tope –19–	Agastiswara Swami at Semangalam Worshipper Somigurukkal	XXA Coll. 2 Regr. 877 13 June 1866 [illegible] the Inamdars [illegible] settlement [illegible] 21/2/62	T D No 563 Conf'd P. Chitabaleo Agra 3 Jan 1863

Regr. No. 396 Register of Inams in the village of Ramapuram (No 69) in the Mayavaram Moganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 396

Do	Tope 48 –26– 63 1–7–4 1–33–4	1 83 1–10–7	Do Do Do Do Do of Lekshminarayana Perumal & Visvanadha Swami's Pagodas in the village. The Pagodas are said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered to both.	Do	Lekshminarayana Perumal & Visvanadha Swami	IFR 216 Swami & Perumal Pagoda tope 1–7–4½	IFR 238 Sri Lekshminarayana Perumal & Visvanadha Swami Sarwamaniam tope 1–33–4	Lekshminarayana Perumal & Visvanadha Swami at Ramapuram Worshippers Venkataramaiyangar & Muttusamigurukkal respectively	To be confirmed 21/2/62	T D No 564 Confirmed P. Chitabaleo Agra 9 Jan 63

Regr. No. 397 Register of Inams in the village of Agaramappadugai (No 72) in the above Moganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 397

	Tope 356 –26–12½ 428 1–27–8 429 3–3–4 430 1–33–2 539 –9–9¾ 560 –17–6½ 587 –15–7½ 589 –3– 8–16–2¼	8 40 6–5–3 The average [illegible] P.E. [illegible] 18–3–70	Do Do Do Do Do of Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal's Pagodas in the village. The Pagodas are said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered to both.	Do	Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal	IFR 216 Pagoda Sarwamaniam tope 2–.–6¼	IFR 238 Chandrasekara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal Sarwamaniam tope 8–18–2¼	Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Swami at Agaramappadugai Worshippers Muttusamigurukkal & Venkataramaiyangar respectively	To be confirmed 21/2/62	T D No 565 Confirmed P. Chitabaleo Agra 4 June 63
[illegible]	Wet 48 1–21–4 Tope 431 3–29–8½ 433 –12– 434 –28– 435 –27– 460 1–24–2 7–38–10½ 9–19–14½ Half of [illegible] 4–29–5½	1 53 7 97 5–5–10 9 50 13–13–8 4 75 6–4–10	as above. This is called Ardhamaniyam. The excess in the Inam to be charged at 2–38–[illegible] no assessment can be charged on the excess collected upon the full extent	Free of tax	as above	IFR 216 Sarwamaniam & Perumal Pagoda 2–10–15	IFR 238 Chandrasegara Swami & Lekshminarayana Perumal Sarwamaniam 4–19–14½	As above	This is an Arthamaniam [illegible] extent of the fields [illegible] one half as Inam & the other as Taraf [illegible] the Pagoda [illegible] the course [illegible] settlement [illegible]	Com 978 It should be treated as Inam, the Inamdars giving the full [illegible] of [illegible] collection XXA 13/11/65 1/3/66

Regr. No. 398 Register of Inams in the village of Porambur (No 331) in the Komal Moganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 398

Do	Tope 514 –18–12 1051 –1–13 –20–9	52 –11–	Do Do of Ramachandra Perumal's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free of tax Do Do Do	Ramachandra Perumal	IFR 216 Perumal Pagoda tope –90	IFR 238 Ramachandra Perumal Sarwamaniam tope –20–9	Ramachandra Perumal at Porambur Worshipper Seshaiyangar	To be confirmed. The [illegible] the village in IFR cannot be ascertained from the accounts on hand [illegible] The excess in the Inam is very small in value & I think the whole may be confirmed 19/2/62	T D No 566 Conf'd P. Chitabaleo Agra 9 June 63
Do	Tope 1083 –11–11 1084 –3–14 –15–9	39 –8–5	Do Do of Agastiswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do Do Do	Agastiswara		IFR 238 Swami Pagoda tope –15–9	Agastiswara at Porambur Worshipper Vaidyanadhagurukkal	This Inam is not [illegible] of IFR. Recommended to be confirmed [illegible]	T D No 567 Conf'd P. Cho