of Ináms in the village of Iluppúr (No. 151) in the Taluk of Mayaveram, in the District of Tanjore

42

G	A	C	A	A	P	R	A	P

land Stan[illegible]

[illegible]ngie Pillé

Wet

No. 5 in 294 } · 2 41 0 · 78 4 – 4 – 11 4 – 4 – 11

Deduct Kaval fees – · – 2 – 7

Remainder – 4 – 2 – 4

Sheet No. 49
Regr. No. 37

For the performance of Puja &c. to Sri Madhiyârjunesvara Svámi at Yiluppúr. The Services are kept up.

Tax free. — The grant is not an assignment of land but an assignment of Land Revenue payable to Government subject to the payment of additional assessment direct to Government on account extra cultivation, Fussal or Tirva Jasti, water tax &c.

Permanent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863–64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871. 1275.

On condition that revenue assigned in lieu of the ready money allowances sh–l be properly appropriated to the religious services in the Temple.

Sri Madhiyârjunésvara Sâmi.

Sri Madhiyârjunésvara Svâmi

Yiluppúr village in Mayaveram Taluq.

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XII clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up.

W R Weld
Ag. Hd. Asst. Collector.

Confirmed
25/10/77 SA

T.D. No.
1053

Sheet No. 49

49

1985
1985

Sheet No: 68

the village of Nāgamangalam (No. 267) in the Taluk of Mayaveram, in the District of Tanjore

6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

ding in the
agachi.

5 21 5
al fees 12 9
ainder 20 3 8

For the performance of Puja &c. to Sri Sokkanádha Svámi at Nágamangalam. The Services are kept up.

Tax free. — The gran[illegible] not an assignment [illegible] but an assig[illegible] Land Revenue payable to Govern-men[illegible] to the payment of additional assessment dire[illegible] Government on account of extra cultivation Fus[illegible] or Tirva Jasti, water tax &c.

[illegible]ermanent

By Government in Fusli 1273. 1863-64.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 18th July 1863 No. 1319 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 22nd July 1863.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 27th August 1866 communicated in Boards Proceedings dated 29th August 1866.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 7th January 1871 No. 75.

On condition that the revenue a[illegible] in lieu of rea[illegible] money allowance shall be properly [illegible] for the religious Services in the Temple.

Sri Sokkanádha Svâmi.

"

Sri Sokkanâdha Svâmi.

Nâgamangalam village in Mayavaram Taluq

" "

The assignment of Land Revenue is recommended to be confirmed under Rule III clause 1 and under Rule XV clause 3 free so long as the services are well kept up.

W.W. Weld
Ag Hd. Asst. Collector

Continued
25/10/77
SA

I Dets
1149

Sheet 68

Sheet 68

68

68

Fair Copied.

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
மாதம் [illegible] வருடம் 1988
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1989 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

MAYAVARAM-TK

Regr No. 278
Sheet No. 68

Case No. 34

Wet 406	1-28-3¼		
408	-30-13¼		
409	1--3¼		
410	-15-5½		
411	-24-7¾		
415	3-2-7¼		
420	1-3-6		
422	-21-5¼		
	9-5-12¾	9·15	50-3-3
Dry 407	-3-4		
412	-2-14¼		
414	-3-7¼		
419	-5-5¼		
	-14-9	·37	-7-4
Garden 731	1-22-8		
732	-29-10½		
733	-4-13¼		
734	-33-2¼		
	3-10-2	3·25	10-5-9
	12-31-7¾	12·77	61--4

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees 1-15- — Nohhum [illegible]

[illegible] by [illegible] directing the continuance of the Inam is produced — Extent of the Grant W[illegible] Dry -8- 1-8- with a rod of 14 feet

Vanchiswara Sas.

In Reg of 1809 —
1. Kukkamani Ammal widow of grandson W -6-25 D -2-81¼ -9-6¼
2. Kuthi Sas. great grandson W 10 D 4 -14-
3. Narayana[illegible] enj by [illegible] W 1-25 D -50 -1-75
4. Ramaswami Aiyen enj by purchase W 2-50 D -68¾ -3-18¾
1-8-

In F1238
1. Arunachalasas. 26-28-1½
2. Kuppier Aiyen 1-15-
3. Prayaga[illegible] charri
4. Kesava charri
5. Annachi[illegible]
4-28-6
12-31-7¾

Kuttalam
1 Bhaskarasastri 41
2 Arunachalasastri 29
3 Ramachari 50
4 Gopalachari 45
5 Narayanachari 43
6 Annamaiyammal 25

(1) Wife, 1 Son ½
(2) Wife, 1 Daughter ½
(3) Wife, 1 Son 2/3
(4) Wife, 1 Son ½
(5) Wife, 1 Son ½
(6) None

Arunachalasas the father of No 1 & grand father of No 2 had in exchange for his own Inam in another village, from Kuthi Sas No 2 in col: 14 – the 14 Mas ent. in his name. The exchange took place in the year Tarana & deed [illegible] is produced. The 5 Vis are ent. in the name of No 1 in col. 14 & the 1 Vis. in that of No 3 are in the enjoyment of Nos 3 & 5. Of the former 3 Visams were purchased by No 3 who is also called Kesava chari, in the year Swabhanu from Venkammal who appears to be identical with No 1 in col: 14 – & the remaining 2 Vis: were purchased by father of No 3 in the year Vishu from Ramaiyen who had them of the above Venkammal – & the latter viz 1 Vis: was inherited from Narayanachari who was grand father of Nos 3 & 5. No 6 is daughter in law of Kuppier Aiyen No 2 in col: 15 who had purchased it of Ramaswami Aiyen [illegible] Col: 14 – before the Paimash.

Wet	apt	Dry	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kaval	DR	
[illegible]-20-11¼	26-2-7	-8-12¾	-4-4	1-27-10	5-6-1	6-27-1¾	27-13-	1--8 ⅛	3-12-	Insp.
-12½	6--2	-1-7	--9	-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12¾	7-7-4	-3-8		
1-3-12½	6--2	-1-7	--9	-17-9¼	1-6-5	1-22-12¾	7-7-4	-3-8 ⅛	2-12-	do
1-3-12¼	6--2	-1-7	--9	-17-7¼	1-6-5	1-22-12½	7-7-6	-3-8		
1-3-12½	6--2	-1-7½	--9	-9-12¼	-12-5	1-15-	6-13-4	-3-4½	3-4-	do
-12¾	50-3-3	-14-9	-7-4	3-10-2	10-5-9	12-31-7¾	61--4	1-15-	9-12-	37. [illegible]

To be confirmed
[illegible]
[illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao
A[illegible]
11/1/63

Umambapuram, Taluk Mayavaram

Personal Wet 158	-16-7¼		
159	1-38-11½		
160	3-9-4¼		
161	2-38-12½		
162	-22-11½		
	9-1-5	9·03	49-9-4
Garden 856	-25-4		
857	-23-8¼		
735	-38-15		
	2-12-11¼	2·32	7-6-1
	11-14-¼	11·35	56-15-5

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees 1-13- do do — do.

Narahari Dikshitar

* From his own [illegible] No 4 in col: 15. From this Inamdar's widow [illegible] from whom No 8 purchased it in the year Nandana [illegible] got the Inam from the [illegible] whether by purchase, or [illegible] — Deed [illegible] produced.

About 10 years ago after the [illegible] of the adoptee No 2 [illegible] brothers son of Dr Rambhattar in Col: 15 who purchased the 2 [illegible] of dry land in his enjoyment from Babu Vallabha Dikshitar above [illegible] in the year Chitrabhanu. Deed produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 3 was purchased by his father in the year Vikari from Kristnamachari No 3 in col: 15 who was son of No 2 in Col: 14 – in whose name 2/3 of the [illegible] specified in the name of his father is ent. in the Paimash. Deed produced. No 4's share was purchased by him in the year Nala from Srinivasaiyen brothers son of Tulasiaiyen in col: 15. The above Srinivasaiyen had come [illegible]

In Reg of 1809 —
1. Visvanatha Dik. son W 15 D 6-75 1-1-75
2. Lakshmana[illegible] enjoying by purch W 5 D 1-25 -6-25
1-8-

In F1238
1. Babu Rao 26-26-9¼
2. Vaidam bhattan -28-8¼
3. Kristnamachari 1-39-13
4. Kuppaiengar -19-15¼
5. Tulasiaiyen -20-3½
6. Vaiddelinga Chetti -38-15
-11-14-¼

Mayavaram
1. Srinivassa Rao 24
2. Mahadevabhattan 25
3. Jivan Rao 37
4. Venkataramaiyen 55
5. Vaiddelinga Chetti 69
6. Subhramanya Chetti 52
7. Namasivaya Chetti 45
8. Eda Karuppan 40

(1) Wife ¼
(2) Wife, 1 brother 2/3
(3) Wife, 1 Son 2 brothers 4/7
(4) Wife ½
(5) Wife, 1 Son 2/3
(6) Wife, 1 Son 4/5
(7) Wife ¼
(8) Wife, 1 Daughter ½

The 15 Mas of Wet ent. in the name of No 1 in col. 14 were disposed of by Babu Vallabha Dik. who is said to be [illegible] adopted son in the year Tarana [illegible] in the same [illegible] Rao No 1 in Col. 15 purchased [illegible] No 1 is adopted son of Babu Rao whose [illegible] great grandson [illegible] adoptee [illegible] been [illegible]

No	Wet	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kaval	DR	
1	6-28-9¼	36-2-4	--	--	6-28-9¼	36-9-6	1-3- ½	18-	Insp.
2	--	--	-23-8¼	2-4-4	-28-8¼	2-4-4	-1- ⅛	-4-	do
3	1-22-15½	8-10-4	-16-13¼	1-5-5	1-39-13	9-15-9	--	1-4-	do
4	-16-¼	2-3-2	-6-3¼	-5-4	-20-3½	2-8-6	-1-5	-4-	do
5	--	--	-19-7½	1-8-10	-19-7½	1-8-10	--8		
6	--	--	-9-11¼	-12-5	-9-11¼	-12-5	--4	-6-	do
7	--	--	-9-11¼	-12-5	-9-11¼	-12-5	--4		
8	-15-11¼	2-2-6	-4-3½	-5-4	-19-15¼	2-7-10	-1-3 ½	1-4-	do
	9-1-5	49-9-4	2-12-11¼	7-6-1	11-14-¼	56-15-5	1-13-	21-8-	[illegible]

To be confirmed
[illegible]
[illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao
A[illegible]
11/1/63

Case No 35

Do. Wet 64	-13-15		
65	-15-3		
66	1-23-3¼		
68	-29-7¼		
95	-2-5¼		
98	-6-5		
100	-5-2		
101	1-13-13¾		
102	2-18-1¼		
103	1-11-15½		
105	1-10-3½		
	11-9-3¼	10·23	56-2-2
Dry 67	-2-10		
728	2-36-9		
	2-39-3	2·98	3-12-1
Garden 727	-2-5½	·06	-3-
	13-10-9¼	13·26	60-1-3

For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval 2-4- — do — do. — do

Ubrata Dikshita Vajapeya

[illegible] -dividual came to be ent. in the Reg. of [illegible] the 6 V. cannot be ascertained. The deed produced seems to be genuine. The 6 V minus the 3 disposed of to Appu[illegible] are what are ent. in Subbaramaiyen's name in the Paimash, & at present enj. by No 1. Of the 3 V which [illegible] were [illegible] the [illegible] sold 1½ V in the year Chitrabhanu & mortgaged the remainder in the year [illegible] with Kuppier Ai. No 2 in col. 15. The term fixed in the bond for the redemption of the mortgage having expired with Sarvajit the whole 3 V [illegible] in [illegible] name in the Paimash [illegible] away 1½ V [illegible]

In Reg of 1809 —
1. Srinivasa Rao W 3-75
2. Subba bhattan W 5
3. Subbaiyen W 3-75
4. Ramaswami bhattan D -8
5. Appa aiyen W 7-50

In F1238
1. Subbaraiyen 1-35-5
2. Srinivasa Rao 2-7-1¼
3. Kuppier Aiyen 2-1-13¼
4. Subbaiyen 2-2-3
5. Raghava aiyen 2-5-3¾ (This is said to be [illegible])
6. Srinivasaiyen 2-36-9

Kuttalam
1. Janaki Ammal 35
2. Ramaswami Aiyen 47
3. Jivabhattar 33
4. Sama Rao 40
5. Muttaiya Kundali 62
6. Venkateswara Ardhani 55
7. Ro[illegible] 35

(1) Daughter married 1/3 Grand daughter ½
(2) Wife ½
(3) Wife, 1 son 2/3
(4) Wife, 1 son 2/3
(5) Wife, 1 son 2/3
(6) [illegible]
Wife [illegible] 1/3

Janaki Ammal is grandson's widow of Subbaraiyen in Col: 15. The 6 Vis are ent. in the name of Appu aiyen in col. 14 are shown [illegible] in 1809 [illegible] sold to him by the [illegible]

[illegible] grantee named in the Reg. notwithstanding sold [illegible] the purchaser [illegible] have been [illegible]

Wet	apt	Dry	apt	Garden	apt	Total	apt	Kaval	DR
1-32-11	9-13-	-2-10	-1-4			1-35-5	10-11	-5-6 ⅛	1-4- [illegible]
2--13½	11-8-8					2-1-13½	11-3-8	-6	1-6- do
2-2-3	11-4-6					2-2-3	11-4-6	-6	1-4- do
[illegible]	11-15-3					2-[illegible]	11-15-5	-6-5	1-8- do
		2-36-9	3-10			2-35-9	3-10-9	-6	-6- do
[illegible]-3¾						2-5-3½	11-11-2		1-8-
				-5½	-3	-2-5½	-3		-2-

To be confirmed
[illegible]
[illegible]

Confirmed
P. Chentsal Rao
A[illegible]
11/1/63

Case No. 36

[illegible] of [illegible] entered

Regr No 278

Case No 37

Wet				
34	1–1–2¾			
36	1–31–2½			
37	–34–9½			
38	1–12–11			
40	–13–1			
42	–6–14			
43	–21–7			
44	–22–9½			
62	1–26–14¾			
63	2–2–7½			
45	–13–11			
	10–25–1½	10.64	66–0–	
Dry 41	–2–8½			
710	–4–6			
711	–3–10			
713	–6–9			
714	–16–6½			
712	–1–3			
715	–6–1½			
716	–8–9			
717	–15–7¾			
718	1–20–14			
719	1–22–14¾			
720	–1–2½			
	4–5¼	4.01	0–0–10	
garden 563	–3–12½	.09	–4–10	
	14–29–12½	14.74	71–7–8	

These include wet for 2d crop also

For the personal benefit of the holder

Kaval 2–7–

Sot Beam [illegible]

A Copper plate grant by Tuljajee directing the continuance of the Enam

Extent of grant
W 1–
D –8–
1–8–

Vina [illegible] Kateen Enam

No	Wet	asst	dry	asst	garden	asst	Total	asst	Kaval	2R	
1	2–8	12–11–6	–20–	–13–			2–26–3¾	13–8–6	–7–	⅛	1–12–Ag
2	2–2–9½	11–5–4					2–2–9½	11–5–4	–6–	½	5–8–Imp
3	1–5–¼	7–5–2					1–5–¼	7–5–2	–3–	⅛	–14– do
4	–20–9¾	2–13–3	–3–14	–1–11			–24–7¾	2–15–2	–1–6		
5	–20–9¾	2–13–3	–3–14	–1–11	–2–13½	–3–8	–27–5¼	3–2–10	–1–7		
6	–13–12	1–4–3	–2–10½	–1–4			–16–6¾	1–15–7	–1–	⅛	1–8– do
7	–13–12	1–14–2	–2–10½	–1–4			–16–13¼	1–15–7	–1–		
8	–13–12	1–4–3	–2–10½	–1–4	–15½	–1–2	–17–5¾	2–9	–1		
9	2–26–12	20–14–7	–13½	–5			2–37–9½	20–15–	–8–3	¼	5– do
10			2–14–15¼	2–15–11			2–14–15¼	2–15–1	–6–	⅛	–6– do
11			–9–3½	–4–8			–9–3½	–4–8	–6–		–2– do
12			–13–12½	–7			–13–13¼	–7	–10		–2– do
13	–6–6¼	2–8–2					–13–5¼	2–8–2	–1–3		–4– do
	–28–6½	55–2–	4–8¾	5–6	–3–12½	–4–10	4–29–12½	71–7–8	2–7–		15–8– 9 T.D.

△ son of Ramaswamaien No 1 in col. 14 or No 7 in col. 15. Deed of sale is produced. But Varahappaien produces a counter agreement executed by the purchaser at the time of purchase (in which he consents to the restoration of the land to the seller on condition of his repaying the purchase money within the year Sarvajit with interest) & objects to the entry of the land in the name of No 9. As however 13 years have elapsed since the specified term expired & the conditions of the bond are explicit & the party in possession does not consent to the restoration, the claim set up cannot be entertained. No 9 is said to have been adopted by his uncle (divided) about 8 or 9 years ago. What is entd in the name of No 8 is said to have been purchd by his brother Kasi about ⊗

⊛ produced in his hand. No 14 is the same as the party of that name in No 15. This man came into possession by purchase from [illegible] the Panambe [illegible] of the above Ambaji Rao's [illegible]. The extent in the enjoyment of No 9 was purchased by his uncle & adoptive father in the year Visvavasu from Varahappaien △

In Regr of 1809
1. Ramaswami Aiyan brother's grandson
W–5–8½
D–4–
–9–8½
2. Kasi [illegible] son
W–1–35½
D–1–20
–2–25½
3. Rajagopala Bhattar [illegible] enjoyed by purch.
W–5–
D–30
–5–30
4. Ganapati Vadhiyar enjoying by purch.
W–5–
D–
–6–
5. Ambaji Rao enjd by purch.
6. Ramatha [illegible] enjd by purch.
W–2–81
D–
–2–81

No F 1238
1. Adimula Aiyan 2–26–3¾
2. Rajagopala bhas 2–2–9½
3. Venkata Bhattar 1–5–¼
4. Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar –24–7¾
5. Subbaraya Vadhiyar –27–5¼
6. Ganapati Vadhiyar 1–0–3¼
7. Ramaswami Aiyan W 2–36–12 D 2–15–12¼ 5–12–8¾
8. Bapasami Pandit –9–3½
9. Kristnappa –13–13¼
10. Rangasami Iyer Dry 1–50 –18–5¼
14–29–12½

Kuttalam
1 Sivada Aiyar
50
2 Sivarama dikshatar
45
3 Sami Bhattar
48
4 Appadurai Vadhyar
60
5 Subbramanya Vadhyar
11
6 Lakshmi ammal
56
7 Appaswami Vadhyar
35
8 Suryanarayana Vadhyar
35
9 Vasudeva Charyar
15
10 Muttusami Pillai
25
11 Ramaswami aien
60
12 Sundarappaien
25
13 Sathiyammal
54
14 Rangaiengar
65

⊕ Two grandsons [illegible] son of Vinoba Bhattar in col. 15 [illegible] No 3's father [illegible] [illegible]

(1) Grand son of the party entd
13–

Wife & son	½
(2) Wife & Daughter	⅔ ⅓
(3) 2 brother's sons	½ ½
(4) son	¼
(5) mother	¼
(6) None	
(7) Wife & son	½
(8) Wife & son	½
(9) mother	½
(10) Wife, brother, do wife	¼ ⅓
(11) Wife & sons	⅔
(12) Wife & brother	⅔
(13) son's widow	½
(14) Wife & son	½

[illegible] is the Shalu entd in the name of No 2 in Col. 15 who was the same person as No 3 in col. 14. He purchased it in the year 1860 from the [illegible] brother of Rajagopala Sastri's widow. This woman dying about 10 years ago [illegible] her brother by appointment performed her funeral & assumed the estate. Deed of sale produced. No 4 also known by the name of Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar is the same as No 4 in Col. 15. No 5 is grandson of No 5 Subbaraya Vadhiyar. No 6 is daughter in law & No 7 & 8 are grand sons of Ganapati Vadhiyar & No 3 the extent enjoyed by all these Nos 6 & 8 is that entd in the name of No 4 in Col: 14. The extent of dry entd in the name of No 1 in Col: 14 were disposed of by his son Varahappaien in the year 1850 to Chengalvaraya ammal from whom in 1851 No 10 Muttusami Pillai purchased them. Deeds of sale produced. The dry land in the enjoyment of No 11 was purchased by him in 1852 from Bapasami Pandit in No 15, who was the son of Ambaji Rao in Col: 14. No 12 *

* This uncle's widow No 13 enjoy the land entd in the name of Kristnappa in Col: 15 from whom No 12's uncle purchd it. The deed of sale is not produced, as it is said to be missing, but a receipt granted by Ambaji Rao's son, from whom the above Kristnappa's father purchased the land, for the purchase money & which was handed over to No 12's uncle when the purchase was made of Kristnappa is ⊛

To be confirmed

Rajagopalachari
Dy Cr

The share of No 9 the adopted son of Varahappaien ~~[illegible]~~ as the rule is [illegible] an estate [illegible] & it is virtually [illegible] as ruled by [illegible] in similar cases [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] or regards [illegible] Queen.
M [illegible]
11/8/63

Case No 38

Dry [illegible]

Wet			
88	–26–2¼		
89	–16–15		
110	2–21–14¾		
111	–20–12¾		
113	2–9–7¾		
114	–1–3		
115	2–1–14		
116	1–19–15		
	11–27–4½	11.68	64–2–2

do Kaval 2–4– Hereditary

Granted by Pratap Sing Raja in the 12th Rabi [illegible] Kaliyuga [illegible] 1149

The title is not produced but it is found registered in the Regr of 1809. The extent of the title is W 1–8–

Kristnarjee

No.	Wet	asst	Kaval	2R		
1	–15–7¼	2–2–	–1–4			
2	–15–7¼	2–2–	–1–4			
3	–36–12½	5–9	–3–2	⅛	2–4–	Imp
4	–36–12¾	5–9	–3–2			
5	–36–12½	5–9	–3–2			
6	3–32–12¾	20–15–6	–11–3		2–8–	equal 2 parts [illegible] in the rent
7	1–15–2½	7–10–9	–4–3		–14–	Imp
8	1–6–11½	6–6–6	–3–3	½	3–	Imp
9	–22–2¼	–11	–1–7	⅛	–8–	do
10	–34–2	4–12–5	–2–6		–10–	do
11	–3–9½	–13–0			–4–	do
	11–27–4½	64–2–2	2–4–		9–14–	7. T.D.

[illegible] the above [illegible] [illegible] being [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] according to the conditions of the bond [illegible] to the mortgagee [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Deed of sale [illegible]

[illegible] in that of the other Govinda Bhattar. The extent [illegible] was [illegible] mortgaged with the former was redeemed [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] & sold by [illegible] [illegible] No 9 [illegible] [illegible] in [illegible]

⊗ purchased by the present holder from his son. The deed of sale is missing but the villagers state that the [illegible] holder is in enjoyment by purchase for a long time & the party who sold the same does not object to the entry of the land in the name of the purchaser.

No 7 is son of Kristna Aiyar in Col: 15 & brother's son of Venkataramaien in Col. 14 & of the extent entd in the name of the former W 1–8–11½ were disposed of by him some [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Naraiya with present holder No 8 – to his daughter [illegible] (No 8) in the [illegible]. The remainder W 1–15–11½ [illegible] in the enjoyment of No 7 [illegible] No 3 in col [illegible]

In Regr of 1809
1. Subbammal daughter in law
W–8–28
2 Manikka ammal daughter of No 1
W–5–
3. Ganapati Vadhiyar
W–1–37½
[illegible] Appa Vadhiyar
W–0–8½
5. Venkata ramaien
W–6–
6 Cutra Aiyar
W–2–30
7 Parvati ammal
2–36
1–8
No 3 & 7 enjoying by purch.
–1–15–11½

No F 1238
1. Ganapati Vadhiyar 2–30–5¾
2. Chinna Ganapati Vadhiyar alias Appadurai Vadhiyar –15–7¼
3 Subbaraya Vadhiyar –15–7¼
4 Venkatalakshmi & Parvati ammal enjoying in common 2–32–12¾
6 Kasturi Aiyan 2–22–9¼
6. Subbalakshmi enjoying on mortgage –13–9½
7 Govinda Bhattar & Subbambal enjoying [illegible] above 1–17–9¼
9–27–4½

Kuttalam
1 Appadurai Vadhyar
60
2 Subbramanya Vadhyar
11
3 Lakshmi ammal
55
4 Appaswami Vadhyar
35
5 Suryanarayana Vadhyar
35
6 Venkata Lakshmi ammal
60
7 Pattabhirama aien
30
8 Kristnaien
49
9 Picha dikshitar
60
10 Virasami Aiyar
50
11 Eda Karuppan
40

(6) Son-in-law [illegible] of the original grantee or party entd
13–

(1) son	⅔
(2) brother	¼
(3) None	
(4) Wife & son, brother's wife	⅓
(5) Wife & son	½
(6) Husband's [illegible]	¼
(7) Wife	¼
(8) Wife & son	½
(9) Wife & sons, Brother	⅔ ⅓
(10) Wife & son	½
(11) Wife & daughter	½

No 1 is son & No 2 is brother's grandson of Appa Vadhiyar in No 4 in Col. 14 & they enjoy the extent entd in his name in that col. in those of his [illegible] brothers No 2 & 3 in Col 15. No 3 is daughter in law & Nos 4 & 5 are grand sons of Ganapati Vadhiyar in col. 14 & they enjoy the extent entd in his name together with those in the names of their [illegible] Lakshmi & Parvati ammal Nos 5 & 7 from whom they were purchased in the year Chitrabhanu by Ganapati Vadhiyar in whose name the whole appears in the Pymaish. Deeds produced. The sale of Parvati ammal's share was made by Narasimha Josier son of Tyagaraja Josier.

No 8 enjoys a portion of the extent in the name of Subbammal her husband's [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] together with that in the name of [illegible] [illegible] ammal [illegible]

To be confirmed

The shares assigned in the names of Nos 9 & 10 are, of course, subject to any claims that may hereafter be set up by persons claiming to be heirs of the parties who mortgaged the lands.

Rajagopalachari
Dy Cr

No. 33

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Kodimangalam Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dhanim dayam.	Dry No. 5-95	2 5/16 [illegible] ·06	4-2-6 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] at Kodimangalam [illegible]	Tax free [illegible]	–	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	Free. Nandavanam [illegible] Nandavan.	Kodimangalam ~~Nandavanam~~ [illegible] [illegible]	This Inam appears to have [illegible] more than 50 years [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 30 [illegible]	Continued W. A. [illegible] Inam Commr Oct. 17-1883 T.D. No. 1216

Regr. No. 33

Sheet No. 35

1st P.

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக் கொள்ளப்பட்ட
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த ~~[illegible]~~
பிப்ரவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்
[illegible] 1/3/90

No. 47 Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Shathangoody Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1 ~~[illegible]~~ 640

Closed No 521. ~~[illegible]~~ $\frac{17}{32}$ [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Maintaining

Survey Account of Fasli 1238

[illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Nandavanam

[illegible] Nandavanam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]
26 [illegible]

Fully assessed

R. Davidson
7.11.88

Regr. No. 47
Sheet No. 49

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட [illegible]
[illegible] மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த [illegible]
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
[illegible] 4/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

No. 66

Register of Nandavanam Inams in the village of Iluppur Mayavaram Taluq Tanjore District

1. Dharmic Aiyar Closed	Dry No 423 4 5/64 Julias Dry No 600 15 3/64 Dry Dry No 679 7 3/4 Dry Total 13 21/32 Dry	·10 ·03 ·20 ·33	,, ,, ,, ,,	--5-2 --2-- --10-4 1-1-6	Not [illegible] [illegible]	Tax free [illegible]	Survey Account of Fasli 1238	F 228 [illegible] Nandavanam	No Nandavanam is maintained. Land waste for years. The charity not fully [illegible] & inam [illegible] [illegible] lands [illegible] IC 228

Fully [illegible]

[illegible]

Regr. No. 66
Sheet No. 68

செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட
ஜனவரி மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
செப்பனிட்டு முடித்த பிப்ரவரி
மாதம் 1990 வருடம்
12/3/90
ஆராய்ச்சி உதவியாளரின்
கையொப்பம்

Pandáravadái
Mayavaram Taluk
Regr. No. 180
Sheet No. 35

Reg. No. 180 Register of Inams in the village of Pandáravadái (No. 722) in the Tirukkadaiyur Maganam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

1.	Rel. Endt.	Dry 660 666 674 689 690 691 673 699 658 664 670 701 Garden 675 677 683 687 693 696 700 Tope 285 659 662 669 672 678 682 Manaivanam 695	..-8-1½ ..-17-1¼ ..-10-7¾ ..-26-7¼ ..-21-8½ ..-14-10 ..-9-2½ ..-6-1½ ..-21-11¾ ..-17-13 ..-6-4 ..-5-14 4-4-13½ ..-5-9¼ ..-3-5¾ ..-6-4 ..-25-10 ..-6-2¼ ..-12-5¼ ..-13-2 1-32-6½ 1-7-13 ..-21-1 ..-2-12 1-18-15¼ ..-5-4 ..-12-10¾ ..-9-3½ 3-37-11½ ..-4-3¾ 9-39-3¼	4·12 1·81 3·94 ·11 9·98	6-3-9 6-7-2 5-15-5 ..-2-7 18-12-11	The proceeds of this Inam & tope are appropriated to the expense of Lekshminálmadiswámi's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Lekshminálmadi Swámi	Do. F. 216 Perumal Pagoda [illegible] tope 1-6-57½	Do. F. 238 Lekshminalmadi swami's [illegible] Inam tope 9-39-3¼	Lekshminálmadiswámi at Pandáravadái Trustee Rámaswámi Pillai Case No. 1	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is less than the average excess in Ayan lands. Vide para 56 5/3/62	T.D. No. 378 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asa 6 June 1863
2.	Do.	Tope 297 299 305 307 308	..-9-2½ ..-3-5¼ 1-12-.. ..-2-11¼ ..-25-12½ 2-12-15½	2·33	3-5-3	Do. Do. of Sangaranisvaraswámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good order. Worship is rendered.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Sangaranisvara swámi	Do. F. 216 Sri Sangaranisvaraswámi's tope 1-2-53	Do. F. 238 Sri Sangaranisvaraswámi's [illegible] tope 2-12-15½	Sangaranisvara Swámi at Talaiyudaiyavarkoilpattu Case No. 2	To be confirmed 6/3/62	T.D. No. 379 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asa 6 June 1863
3.	Do.	Tope 280 Excess in the Inam to be charged is Rs. 8-15¾ and [illegible] ..-5-6	1-25-9¼	1·64	2-7-8	Do. Do. of Nilakantesvaraswámi in the village. The Inam is enjoyed by Sangaranisvaraswámi	Do.	Do.	Do. [illegible] 5/3/62	Do.	Nilakantesvara swámi	Do. F. 216 Nilakantesvaraswámi's tope ..-3-6	Do. F. 238 Nilakantesvaraswámi [illegible] enjoyed by Sangaranisvaraswámi 1-25-9¼	Trustees Subba Pillai & Rámaswámi Pillai Case No. 3	This Inam originally belonging to another Pagoda is now enjoyed by this from a long time. The transfer of the Inam of one Pagoda to another is irregular but [illegible] been allowed. [illegible] enjoyment has been uninterrupted [illegible] recommend [illegible] the Inam [illegible] which with full [illegible]	T.D. No. 380 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asa 6 June 1863
4.	Do.	Tope 282 283 Excess to be charged is Rs. ..-24-9¼ and [illegible] ..-14-10	..-17-1 2-2-4¼ 2-19-5¼	2·48	3-12-2	This Inam belonged to Varandaram Pillaiyar but the Inam is enjoyed by Sangaranisvaraswámi	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Varandaram Pillaiyar	Do. F. 216 Varandaram Pillaiyar's tope ..-4-4¼	Do. F. 238 Varandaram Pillaiyar Pagoda enjoyed by Sangaranisvaraswámi 2-19-5¼	Case No. 4	Remarks above. To be confirmed subject to the payment of the [illegible] being ⅛ on the Inam with full assessment on the excess. 5/3/62	T.D. No. 381 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asa 6 June 1863

Reg. No. 181 Register of Inams in the village of Karuvendinadapuram (No. 723) in the above Magánam Mayavaram Taluk

Regr. No. 181

1.	Do.	Dry 1053 1057 1060 1050 1052 Tope 1046 1048 1051 1054 1058 1056	..-31-2½ ..-9-15 ..-2-1 ..-35-1¼ ..-23-14 2-22-1¾ ..-15-10 1-10-10 ..-9-2½ ..-17-7 ..-5-10¼ ..-20-8½ 2-38-11¼ 5-21-..	2·55 2·97 5·52	2-7-11 2-14-7 5-6-..	Do. Do. of Kailasanadhaswámi's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. The Pagoda is said to be in good order.	Do.	Do.	Do. The excess [illegible] Rs. 3-4-12 [illegible]	Do.	Kailásanadhan	Do. F. 216 Iswaran Pagoda tope ..-5-36	Do. F. 238 Kailasanadhaswámi Iswaran [illegible] tope ..-21-..	Kailásanadhaswámi at Karuvendinadhapuram Worshipper Annagurukkal	To be confirmed subject to the payment of the [illegible] for the excess 5/3/62	T.D. No. 382 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Asa 6 June 186
2.	Do.	Tope 95	1-36-11½	1·92	1-14-..	Do. Do. of Aiyanár's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Aiyanár	Do. F. 216 Aiyanár's Pagoda ..-4-18 In this acct. the tope is not [illegible]. The above extent is the site of the Pagoda. The extent is too [illegible]	Do. F. 238 Aiyanár Pagoda tope 1-36-11½	Aiyanár at Karuvendinadhapuram Worshipper Sevlan	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is only 3 [illegible] [illegible]	T.D. No. 383 Confirmed P. Chentsalrao Ast

Register of Inams in the village of Puttur (No. 726) in the Tirukkadaiyur Mogaanam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 182

1	Rel. Endt.	Tope 287 –37-3 289 –25-2 1-22-5	1·56	1-12-7	The proceeds of this Inam tope are appropriated to the expense of Aiyanar in the village. Worship is rendered. And the Pagoda is in good condn.	Free of tax	not known	no documents		No. F 1216 Aiyanar manium tope –6–	No. F 1238 Aiyanar Pagoda Sarvamaniem tope 1-22-5.	Aiyanar at Puttur Worshipper Velayudam	To be confirmed 4/3/62 Rajagopala Chariar Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 384 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao A.S.A. 6 June 186
2	Chs. Endt.	Dry 94 –14-13	·37	–3–	This is a Nandavanam. It is used by the public & also for the worship of Pillaiyar near the flower garden	Free of tax	do	do	Nandavanam	No. F 1216 Nandavanam 1-2-34¾	No. F 1238 Nandavanam –14-13	Nandavanam at Puttur Managers The village community	To be confirmed for the use of the public 4/3/62 Rajagopala Chariar Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 385 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao A.S.A. 6th June 186

Regr. No. 183

Register of Inams in the village of Ilappur (No. 663) in the Tiruvidakkali Mogaanam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 183

	Rel. Endt.	Dry 629 –13-7 Tope 624 –1-3½ 672 –10-¼ 416 –13-3¼ 682 –36– 683 –7-10½ 1-38-1½ 2-11-11½	·34 1·95 2·29	–9-1 3-4-6 3-13-7	The proceeds of this Inam & tope are appropriated to the expense of Madyarjuneswaraswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. And the Pagoda is said to be in good condn.	Free of tax	not known	no documents	Madyarjuneswaram	No. F 1216 Madyarjuneswara sarvamaniem tope –4-80	No. F 1238 Sri Madyarjuneswaraswami manium tope 2-11-11½ The area in Inam by Ayen lance plus 10 P.C. is 33-4-75 ... The excess in the Inam to be charged is –7-2 and at Rs –5–	Madyarjuneswaran at Ilappur Worshipper Samagurukkal	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs –4– for the excess 13/3/62 Rajagopala Chariar Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 386 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao A.S.A. 6 June 18
	Do	Dry 240 1-1-9 241 –11-12 243 –3-8 1-16-13 Excess in the Inam to be charged is Ac 1-8-3 and at Rs 1-15–	1·42	2-6-4	Do Do of Aiyanar's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered. And the Pagoda is said to be in good condn.	Do	Do	Do	Aiyanar	No. F 1216 Aiyanar manium tope –50	No. F 1238 Aiyanar sarvamaniem tope 1-16-13 The limits of the Inam cannot be identified	Aiyanar at Ilappur Worshipper Paramanandam	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs 2– for the excess 13/3/62 Rajagopala Chariar Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 387 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao A.S.A. 6 June 18
3	Do	Dry 295 1-19-3¼ In F. 1216 the limits specified are on all sides ... & these correspond with those given in F. 1238. The Inam appears to have been all along under cultivation ... it does not therefore ... likely that there was any encroachment.	1·49	2-8-2	Do Do of Pidari's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Pidari	No. F 1216 Pidari manium tope –40	No. F 1238 Pidari sarvamaniem tope 1-19-8¼	Pidari at Ilappur Worshipper Paramanandam	To be confirmed 13/3/62 Rajagopala Chariar Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 388 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao A.S.A. 6 June 18
	Char. Endt.	Dry 680 –12-3 garden 673 –2-1¾ 673 –5-13¾ –9-10¼ Tope 675 1-4-14¾ 1-26-12	·30 ·24 1·13 1·67	–8-3 –12– 1-14-4 3-2-11	Do for the support of a Darmachavadi in the village. The charity is regularly kept up.	Do	Do	Do	Darmachavadi Excess in the Inam to be charged is Ac 1-9 and at Rs 2-5-5	No. F 1216 Darmachavadi sarvamaniem tope –1-1	No. F 1238 Darmachavadi sarvamaniem tope 1-26-12	Darmachavadi at Ilappur Managers The village community	To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs 2-4 for the excess 13/3/62 Rajagopala Chariar Dy. Collr.	T. D. No. 389 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao A.S.A. 6 June 186

A.P. No. 1274 of 1864 from Pavadai Pille requesting transfer of the management of the Charity to him Endorsed to address the proper authority 28 Novr. 65

Regr. No. 184

Register of Inams in the village of Puttagaram (No. 664) in the above Mogaanam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District.

Regr. No. 184

	Rel. Endt.	garden 274 –9-6 280 –22-12 –32-2 Tope 272 –8-6½ 276 –3– –11-6½ 1-3-8½	·80 ·29 1·09	2-6– –7– 2-13–	Do Do of Visvanadhaswami's Pagoda in the village. Worship is rendered	Do	Do	Do	Visvanadhaswami	No. F 1216 Sarvamaniem tope belonging to Eswaran Pagoda	No. F 1238 Sri Visvanadhaswami's sarvamaniem tope 1-3-8½	Visvanadhaswami at Puttagaram Worshipper Samagurukkal	To be confirmed Rajagopala Chariar	T. D. No. 390 Confirmed P. Chentsal Rao A.S.A.

f. No. 244 Register of Inams in the village of Sengandi (688) in the Sirukkadaiyur Magānam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Reg. Wet 271 1-6-9½ 1·17 2-10-

Case No 1

The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expence of Sri Amirtaghateswara Swamies Pagoda in the village of Sirukkadaiyur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This Inam is called [illegible]

Free of tax

not known not known

No documents

Sri Amirtaghateswarar

Ditto No. 1238 Sri Amirtaghateswara Swami Sarvamanium tope 1-6-9½

Sri Amirtaghateswara Swami at Sirukkadaiyur

Trustee

Gyanasambanda Pandara Sannadhi.

[illegible] in the name of the [illegible] at [illegible] 1-12-85 [illegible] At present [illegible] the tope are in enjoyment. The aggregate of these topes [illegible] exceed the extent in F. 1216 by more than the average [illegible] places [illegible] confirmed 5/3/[illegible] [illegible]

T. D. No. 433

Confirmed

P. Chentsal Rao

A. Sa.

6 Jan 63

Sheet No. 49

Regr. No. 244

Sheet No. 49

Case No 2

Wet			
246	-12-13½	·32	-9-10
Dry			
255	-11-1		
263	-27-		
267	-11-11		
	1-9-12	1·2	2-6-2
Garden			
299	-11-4	·28	1- -4
Tope			
67	1-9-5		
249	-8-4		
251	1-15-13½		
252	-26-6		
254	-16-8½		
256	-32-2¼		
257	-25-10		
259	-33-2		
261	-15-		
263	-25-8		
266	-12-4		
268	-9-½		
269	1-3-12		
2[illegible]	-5-1¼		
[illegible]	-7-		
303	-30-¾		
[illegible]	-16-7½		
305	-8-12¼		
	-28-2½	10	20-6
	12-22	12·55	21-7
Unassessed in the Inam			
265	-6-12		
258	-10-8		
[illegible]	-9-1		
2[illegible]	-12		
264	-18-		
	16-14	1·42	2-11-4
	13-38-14	13·97	27- -4

As above This is called "Pichai kattalai".

Do. Do. Do. Do.

as above

No. 1216. Sri Amirtaghateswara Swami Sarvamanium 1-12-85

No. 1238 Sri Amirtaghateswara Swami Sarvamanium tope Pichai kattalai 13-38-14

as above

Trustees or managers of the kattalai

Ambalavana Pillai &

Namasivaya Pillai

Vide remarks on the preceding case

The confirmed and continued as long as the worship is regularly rendered

5/3/62 [illegible]

T. D. [illegible]

Confirmed

P. Chentsal Rao

A. Sa.

6 Jan 63

T. D. No. 434

Case No 3

Dry 147 -14-4 ·36 -11-11

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.

of Bramhapureeswara Swami's Pagoda in the village of Tirumeignanam. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.

Bramhapureeswara Swami

Not entered in F. 1216

No. 1238 Sri Bramhapureeswara Swami Sarvamanium tope -11-4

Bramhapureeswara Swami at Tirumeignanam

Trustee

Amirtha Aiyangar

Mattu Amirtha Aiyangar &

Vaidyalinga Pillai

To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is regularly rendered. 2R[illegible]2

4/3/62 [illegible]

T. D. No. 435

Confirmed

P. Chentsal Rao

A. Sa.

6 Jan 63

No. 245 Register of Inams in the village of Kumarakudi (No. 690) in the above Magānam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore District

Regr. No. 245

Do. Dry 367 -17-8, 375 -9-10½, -27-2½ ·68 -10-4

Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.

of Aiyanar under a tree in the village. Worship is said to be rendered at stated periods

Aiyanar

No. 1216 Aiyanar Sarvamanium tope -2-72

No. 1238 Aiyanar Pagoda Sarvamanium tope -27-2½

Aiyanar at Kumarakudi

Worshipper

Virasinha

To be confirmed and continued so long as the worship is regularly

T. D. No. 436

Conf.

P. Chentsal Rao

A. Sa.

6 Jan 63

Regr. of Inams in the village of Ottankadu (No.) in the Tirukkadaiyur Mogaṇam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 246
Sheet No. 49

1	[illegible]	Tope 150 —15—5 38—6—6 ———	The proceeds of this Inam are appropriated to the expense of Sri Amrutaghateswara's Pagoda in the village of Tirukkadaiyur. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Free not of tax known	not known	no documents	Sri Amrutaghateswaran	I.F. 1216 Sri Amrutaghateswara Swami's Inam tope —2—76.	I.F. 1238 Sri Amrutaghateswara Swami Inam tope —15—5	Sri Amrutaghateswaraswami at Tirukkadaiyur Trustee Gyanasambanda Pandarasannadhi	To be confirmed 4/3/62 Mayavaram Tahsildar	T D No. 437 Confirmed P. Cheatsa[illegible] Asst. 6 Feb 63
2.	Do	Dry 62 —17—15/2 45 2—7—10 ——— The Pagoda is situated in this Inam land the rest is ground round the Pagoda & is planted with cocoanut trees.	Do Do Do Do of Mariyamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do		Do	Mariyamman	I.F. 1216 Mariyamman Pagoda —1—42	I.F. 1238 Mariyamman Pagoda with the ground round it —20—9. Of this the building occupies —2—9½	Mariyamman at Ottankadu Manager The village community ———	To be confirmed. 4/3/62 Mayavaram Tahsildar	T D No. 43 Confirmed P. Cheatsa[illegible] Asst. 6 June

Regr. No. 247 Register of Inams in the village of Nadukkarai Mélpádi (No.) in the Pákasálai Mogáṇam Mayavaram Taluk Tanjore district.

Regr. No. 247

	Do	Dry 174 1—10— 1·25 1—8—6 Tope 834 —21—3½ 835 1—5—8½ 836 1—8—1½ 838 —9—10½ 841 —8—½ 842 —9—1 870 —34—8 3—17—¼ 3·43 4—3—1 4—27—¼ 4·68 5—11—7	Do Do Do Do of Surahareswara Swami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Vide the Pr recorded in Case 2 of Melpadi	Surahareswara Swami	———	I.F. 1238 Surahareswara Swami Inam tope. 4—27—¼ I.F. 1225 the same V1—2—5¾	Surahareswaraswami at Nadukkarai Mélpádi Worshipper Rámaswamigurukkal	The village in which this & the Inams in succeeding cases are situated is an entire Sarvamaniam village. The accounts of F. 1211 [illegible] accounts of F. 1210 — nor was the [illegible] registered in 1800 — The entry in the measurement [illegible] of F. 1225 [illegible] that the Inams are [illegible]. The [illegible]	T D No. 438 Confirmed P. Cheatsa[illegible] Asst. 6 June

[illegible] this account state that these four Inams were granted by the [illegible] these Inams, the [illegible] enjoy some lands [illegible] of the Sarvamaniam [illegible] granted to them by the family of the [illegible] Inams appear in case No. 5 [illegible]

Case No 1

		Tope 866 1—6—1½ 868 1—1—3½ 2—8—8¾ 2·21 2—11—4 ——— In the [illegible] topes were entd. as [illegible] Inams which the portions [illegible] by the [illegible] of the village [illegible] part & parcel of Sarvamaniam [illegible] To be confirmed 9/4/62 Mayavaram Tahsildar	Do Do Do Do of Hanumantarayaswami's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Hanumantarayaswami	———	I.F. 1238 Hanumantarayaswami Inam tope. 2—8—8¾ I.F. 1225 the same V—6—5⅞	Hanumantarayaswami at Nadukkarai Mélpádi Worshipper Rájagopalaiyangar	Vide remarks above ~~To be confirmed~~ 9/4/62 Mayavaram Tahsildar	T D No. 44[illegible] Conf[illegible] P. Cheatsa[illegible] Asst. 6 June

Case No 2

	Do	Tope 921 —25—10 ·66—12—6	Do Do Do Do of Pidari in the village, occupying a portion of Surahareswara Swami's Pagoda. Worship is said to be rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Pidari	———	I.F. 1238 Pidari Inam tope —25—10 I.F. 1225 the same —1—95	Pidari at Nadukkarai Mélpádi Worshipper Ramaswamigurukkal	Vide remarks in case No. 1 To be confirmed 9/4/62 Mayavaram Tahsildar	T D No. 441 Confirmed P. Cheatsa[illegible] Asst. 6 Feb

Case No 3

	Do	Tope 1021 —28—10 ·22—4—2 ———	Do Do Do Do of Drópadi amman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do	Do	Do	Drópadiamman	———	I.F. 1238 Drópadi Amman Pagoda Inam tope —8—10 I.F. 1285 [illegible] V—8—7½	Drópadaiyamman at Nadukkarai Mélpádi Worshipper Ramaswami	Vide remark in case No. 1 To be confirmed 9/4/62 Mayavaram Tahsildar	T D No. 442 Confirmed P. Cheatsa[illegible] Asst. 6 Feb

Case No 4