

For the Support of the
of the
Nandanam
at Pannam
not kept up.

Pannam
Accounts
of 1239

Just
1239
Pannam
like
Nanda-
= vanam

Pannam
Hammargudi Palu K
Sivarat Nandanam
In Charge of
Rohan Mooly

No Inam appears to be engaged
to have been at a meeting of
a Jura nafil in water canal
for up to 50 yrs.
The temple at the
of the
8/1/70. Approved
1898

Sejarai No 213

For the Support of the
of the
at Sejarai
not kept up.

Pannam
Accounts
of 1239

Just
1239
Pannam
like
Nanda-
= vanam

No Inam does not belong to any Religious or
Jural title. No hands over to
maintain. There are 13 persons who
Inam had, it was held by the Pannam
Rising of the temple. To be in the present
Gent. Mooly
8/1/70. Approved

July 1st
17-2-70
Approved
2/1/70

Kalamangalam No 214

For the Support of the
of the
at Kalamangalam
= palam not kept up.

Pannam
Accounts
of 1239

Just
1239
Pannam
like
Nanda-
= vanam

No Inam does not belong to any Religious or
Jural title. No hands over to
maintain. There are 13 persons who
Inam had, it was held by the Pannam
Rising of the temple. To be in the present
Gent. Mooly
8/1/70. Approved

July 1st
17-2-70
Approved
2/1/70

For the Support of the
of the
at Pannam
not kept up.

For the Support of the
of the
at Pannam
not kept up.

Pannam
Accounts
of 1239

Just
1239
Pannam
like
Nanda-
= vanam

Pannam
Hammargudi Palu K
Sivarat Nandanam
In Charge of
Rohan Mooly

No Inam appears to be engaged
to have been at a meeting of
a Jura nafil in water canal
for up to 50 yrs.
The temple at the
of the
10/1/70. Approved
1898

July 1st
17-2-70
Approved
2/1/70

Pettaher No 215

For the Support of the
of the
at Pettaher
not kept up.

Pannam
Accounts
of 1239

Just
1239
Pannam
like
Nanda-
= vanam

Pannam
Hammargudi Palu K
Sivarat Nandanam
In Charge of
Rohan Mooly

No Inam appears to be engaged
to have been at a meeting of
a Jura nafil in water canal
for up to 50 yrs.
The temple at the
of the
10/1/70. Approved
1898

July 1st
17-2-70
Approved
2/1/70