Inam Register of the whole Village of Sakkappa Gadé Row Tottam in the Mannargudi Taluk, Tanjore … is the

Register No. 57

The average Rate of the adjoining Govt. village is adopted
Dry " 14 7
Wet 1 14 1

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ayacut 5 18 8 5 – 46 | 6 15 6 | Khairati Taxfree Inam<br>Haul fee " 6 10 | Paimash Chitta F. 1239<br>Register F. 1258 | At the Survey F. 1258<br>Sakkappa Gadé Tottam | Manamma Ammani Daughter in law to the party 2 in the 14th Col. | Tanjore, Tanjore Dis.<br>Manamma Bayi Ammani 69<br>Rani Bayi Ammani 60 | Daughter in law to the party in the 14th Col. | D. 1 … |
| Deduct Poramboke " 23 12 " 59 | — " — | | | | | | | |
| 4 34 12 4 87 | 6 15 6 | | | | | | | |
| Wet 2 24 9 2 62 | 4 14 7 | | | | | | | |
| Dry 2 10 3 2 25 | 2 " 11 | | | | | | | |
| 4 34 12 4 87 | 6 15 6 | | | | | | | |
| | " 6 10 Haul fee | | | | | | | |
| The whole is waste | 6 8 8 | | | | | | | |

The present Value of the Tottam
Rs. 10 …

The … Inam … Tottam.
The Nanavingi … further …
The Inam was … attention … Fasli … Inam dated 19 Oct 1861 … The … attached & made over … Dhappus … Inam was granted by Tulaja Raja about a hundred years ago … Sakkappa Gadé … ancestor of the present holders … Manamma … the whole of the Dry … were cultivated. The valuation of … may fairly be taken at 10 Rs.

The … confirmed … [illegible]
… 8 … 1 – 1 …
18 Aug … [signature]

4 …

Register No. 58

Inam Register of the whole Village of Mallagi Gadé Row Tottam in the Mannargudi Taluk Tanjore District
Vengaya … Raghunam

The average rate of the adjoining Govt. Village
Dry 1-12

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ayacut 6 22 5 6 – 56 | 11 7 8 | Khairati Tax free Haul fee<br>K. 7 … " 8 | Pratapa Sing Raja | Paimash Chitta F. 1239<br>Register F. 1258 | Mallagi Gadé … Tottam … Pratapa Singa Raja | At the Survey<br>Mallagi Gadé Row Tottam | F. 1258 Sivaji Gadé Row Grand Son of the 2 in the 14th Col. | Tanjore Taluk Tanjore District<br>Sivaji Gadé Row 50<br>Lukkoji Gadé 35 Brother's son of the party in the 15th Col. |
| Deduct Poramboke " " " | | | | | | | | |
| Remainder Dry 6 22 5 6 – 56 | 11 7 8 | | | | | | | |
| | " 8 " Haul fee | | | | | | | |
| | 10 15 8 | | | | | | | |

The whole is under Cultivation

The present Value of the Village
Rs. 14 …

The … Tax free Tottam
In Fasli … was … attached … Inam dated 19 Oct 1861 … Tottam … Gadé Row … & Lukkoji Gadé … The Nanavingi … Dhappus … Pratapa Singa Raja … about … It has been … Mallagi Gadé … The whole of the Dry … under cultivation. The valuation of the Village may fairly be taken at 14 Rs.

The … confirmed …
… 8 … 1 12 "
18 Aug … [signature]

497

Register No. 56

Inam Register of a whole village of Khan Tottam No. in the [illegible] of [illegible] District

Register No. 56

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| No. 1 Personal Aykat 73-5-4 73-14 | 73-12 a Khayirati Tanse \| 1 Pag. | Do Havilfee |
| Deduct | | |
| Porampoke 4 3-13 4-10 | | |
| Particulars 69-1-7 69-04 | 73-12-11 | |
| Dry 57-31-15 57-80 | 52-16-10 | |
| Wet 11-9-8 11-24 | 21-2-1 | |
| 69-1-7 69-04 | 73-12-11 | |
| Cultivation of F.1271 | | |
| Dry 28-36-0 28-90 | 26-5-5 | |
| Wet 11-9-8 11-24 | 21-2-1 | |
| 40-5-8 40-14 | 47-7-0 | |
| Waste | | |
| Dry 28-35-15 28-90 | 26-5-5 | |

Inayetnamah dated 1778 Nabob of [illegible] F1238 Renf F1238

F1238. Abdul Hussein Ibram Sahib [illegible] son

F1238. Ibram Khan [illegible]

Mahi Deva, Taluq Mohaddeen Khan [illegible] 2 sisters, 1 Fathers sister, [illegible] sisters daughter

This is a whole Inam Tottam. In F1266, the Tottam was placed under attachment & on enquiry the Collector [illegible] 14th October 1860 decided the Tottam to be released from attachment & made over to Mohaddeen Khan.

Note.

This Tottam is included in the Grant referred to in case No. 24 of Vala [illegible] Tottam. Vide remarks in case No. 24 of Vada [illegible] Tottam.

This is a whole Tottam; the waste land is fit for cultivation. It was last under [illegible] in F 1270. The Wet is Manavari. The average rates of the adjoining Govt. village are adopted.

Wet 1-14-1

Dry 0-14-7

There are 90 Mangoes, 2 [illegible], 1 [illegible], & 30 [illegible]. The valuation of the village may be fairly taken at 80 Rs. [illegible]

[illegible] 10 Rs.

12 July [illegible]

This Tottam is composed of 20 Rayas.

Maranda [illegible] Tevan, contends that 4 Rayas of the village were purchased by his father Perume Tevan from Lal Bi Ammal [illegible] in 1855, [illegible] produce a deed of sale or [illegible]

Mutta [illegible] Tevan, Atma Ram Bhimar, & Appana Tadaya Rao state that they purchased 4 Rayas from Lal Bi Ammal [illegible] in 1855, [illegible] produce a deed of sale or [illegible]

[illegible] Tevan, Vaidya Tevan, [illegible] Tevan & Rama [illegible] Tevan state that they bought 4 Rayas from Lal Bi Ammal in 1855, [illegible] produce a deed of sale [illegible]

Rama Tevan, Mutta Tevan & Vayya [illegible] Tevan state that they bought [illegible] Rayas from Lal Bi Ammal in 1855, [illegible] produce a deed of sale [illegible]

The Rayas.

[illegible] remaining 4 Rayas were sold to Appa Tevan, Kuttappa Tevan [illegible] by Lal Bi Ammal in 1852 [illegible] subject to the payment of Milvaram [illegible] [illegible] Rayas, [illegible] for 4 Rayas.

Subsequent to the alleged sales, the Tottam was placed under attachment. [illegible] in F1266. In 1859, the case was enquired into by the Tahsildar; Atma Ram Bhimar & [illegible] Tevan, two of the alleged purchasers, deposed before the Tahsildar that the whole Tottam was in the enjoyment of Lal Bi Ammal [illegible] up to the date of attachment. In 1860, the Collector decided the Tottam to be released from attachment & made over to Mohaddeen Khan, the Lal Bi Ammal having died. [illegible] Atma Ram Bhimar, the mentioned [illegible] about the alleged sales when they were called upon by the Tahsildar in F1269 to depose as to the party that was in the enjoyment of the Tottam. These transfers appear therefore to be [illegible] Moreover the amount of Revenue [illegible] the alleged purchasers during the [illegible] period of attachment was [illegible] to make over to Mohaddeen Khan in 1860. These alleged sales are therefore rejected [illegible] as false [illegible]

Recommended to be confirmed to Mohaddeen Khan on whole [illegible] [illegible] in October 1860 [illegible]

Sheet No. 198

Extract granted to the party in C.C:16- 19-12-68

T. CA. 495

C. H.

[illegible]

C. H.