1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18

Diff Register

No 158 Register of whole Village of Jeri in the Combaconum Tálug, Tanjore District 14/11/66

Jera

1	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Case 9 WM	Dharma dayam	Paimash Ayakat	258·11		—"—	For the Support of Pír Moham-mad Avalia Darga at Ivánambur Chavadi Tanjore Tálug ~~Vemu~~ [illegible]	Tax Free	Permanent	Tulja Raja	Sikka Sanad dated A.D. 1769 – Daftar Rokka dated 1776 – Register of 1809 – Paimash Accounts of F 1225 & 39	In the Sikka Sanad Pír Mohammad Avulia Fakir Darga In the Daftar Rokka Pír Mohammad Allul Fakir Jaikkah	In the Regr of 1809 Pír Mohammad Sahib Jaikkah in Charge of Zaver Salli Sahib	In F 1139 Pirmohammad Sahib Darga Sarva mániem	Ivánambur Chavadi Tanjore Talug Pírmohammad Avalia Darga Inam In Charge of Shaw Sharalbéa Kádari 50
		Deduct Minor Inam	0·63											
		Porambokè	27·48											
		Total	28·11											
		Remaindr	230·00		1037-"-8									
Latest Colln 1918/69		Particulars												
		Dry	45·77		80-3-5									
		Wet	182·48		950-7-1									
		Garden	1·75		6-6-2									
		Total	230·00		1037-"-8									
		Add Tope ~~man~~	·43	Kával fees	36-6-5									
		To be confirmed	230·43											
			Remainder		1000:10:3									

The present valuation of the village is 1000 Rs.

×	Veli	Ma
Wet	19	10
Dry	3	"
	22	10
Poramboke	3	"
	25	10

This is a Tax Free Entire Village now under attachment, supported by Sikka Sanad granted by Tulja Raja in 1769 for the support of Pír Mohamed Avalia Fakir Darga on permanent tenure authorising the Grantee to enjoy the Entire Village with all Samudáyams.

In the Sannad no area is specified – In 1776 a Daftar Rokka was granted directing the village of Yeri to be enjoyed as Inam by Pírmohammad Sahib Jaikkah as usual, specifying the then Estimated area of the Village as 25-10-" (V. M. S.) in reference to the entry in the Jamma Jumla account.

The said Daftar Roka was registered in the English Register of 1809, in which it is stated that the holder of the Village at the time actually enjoyed –

	V	M	S
Wet	22	5	33⅛
Dry	4	19	32 9/16
Total	27	4	65 11/16
Poramboke	3	18	63 11/16
Grand Total	31	3	29⅜

The Legal Area of the Village as per Account of F 1225 is

	V. M.	S
Wet	24 – 11	31 13/16
Dry	4 – 15	65⅝
Garden	" – 7	36

Sheet No 288

× There is a dispute between the Inamdar and the Kudi Mirásidárs – The Kával Fees have been levied from the Kudimirásidárs, at their request, the Tirvá-jásti on Dry and Porambokè lands converted into Wet, has been collected from the Kudimirásidárs – who are urge that the land subject to Tirva-jásti should not be included in the Inam Settlement – This is Entirely inadmissible. It is binding on the Inam Commissioner to include all land in the Settlement, in order to secure the interests of the Inamdar in that land –

In 1859, the Kudi Mirásidárs urged that the land in Excess of the Sikka Sannad should be incorporated with Ayan, but it must be remembered that in virtue of the Sikka Sannad, the Entire village with all Samudáyams, has been conferred on the Inamdár – no area is specified in the Sannad, as the Kudimirásidárs state that all area over & above 22½ Veli should be incorporated with Ayan – It is presumed, that they allude to the Daftar Roka

The original Grant gives the entire Village & specifies no area nor the boundaries – The Extent registered by the Collector lies within the recognised limits of the Village – under the orders regarding whole Villages dated 8th/4/66 No 597

The Collector's registration is very proper & fair – & so I would confirm it to the Institution as recommended

J. K.
3/11/66

Wm Rourie to be asked to release the Village from attachment with past proceeds –

J. K.

T. D. No 1879

The area of the minor Inam ·43 will also be included in the T. D. for the whole Village – It seems from the Dy Collr's register sheet it was not taken up before as a minor Inam – but the Collr. should be taken

(X) and the Area Exhibited therein, in reference to the Jamin Jumla Account —

The Dharmaja Inam will be therefore struck out as it merges in the whole Village Case —

T.R.

The Tahasildar, in his report dated 15th December 1859, No. 3, reported that 10 V. - 8 M. - 23¼ S. found in Excess of the Area Specified in the Roka should be incorporated with Ayen, without taking into Consideration the terms of the Sikka Sannad which was not then produced before him, but the Tahasildar adds that the Excess land has been in the Enjoyment of the Inamdar from before F. 1239. Even the terms of the Dafter Roka were not understood by the Tahasildar. In it, it is clearly stated that the village of Yeri Consisting of 25 V. - 10 M. as shewn in the Jamin Jumla account, should be Continued to be held as usual. It is a well known fact that the Jemin Jumla Palace account is an old record showing the Estimated area of each village. The Entire area of the village which lies within the Established boundaries of the village, described in the Vaimogiah account of 1225 has been in the Enjoyment of the Inamdar from the date of the Grant Vz. 1769. The prayer of the Kudimiraisdars that the land in Excess of the Extent Specified in the Roka should be incorporated with Government Ayakat is Entirely inadmissible —

Under these Circumstances, I would recommend that the Entire village be Confirmed for the Support of the Darga —

This is a flourishing village. The whole Area is under Cultivation —

The Valuation of the village may be fairly taken at 1000 Rs. — Copies of the Sikka Sannad Roka and the Tahasildar's Report and an Extract from the Register of 1809 are herewith submitted. Sikka Sannad has been sent for from the Palace Dafter Katcherry —

The Entire village of Yeri is Recommended to be released from attachment & Confirmed under Rule III Cl. 2 Free. —

Extract granted to the party in Col: 16 —
19-8-69

R. No. 85 of 1866 from Mirasidars Vasarayakaram Pettah No. Complaining against the settt. of the whole village as Inam
Examined & rejected 3/4/69

Tanjore
30th March
1866

(Signed) A. Srinivasa Rao
Depy. Collector

Inam in the above Village

3	Dharmajam Dy Tope #223	"	43	0-12-6	For the Support of Perimohammad Avulia Darga at Manambu Chavadi Tanjore Taluq now Kept up	Tax Free	Permanent	"	Vide particulars in the Orig. Register

Manambu
Chavadi
Tanjore Taluq

Perimohammad
Avulia Darga Inam
In charge of Shamsharallisha
Khadari

I would recommend that a single Title Deed be granted for the Entire village together with the minor Inam, both the Entire & the minor Inam being held by the Darga.

To be Confirmed under Rule VII C. 2 Free —

(Sd.) A. Srinivasa Rao
Dy. Collr.

This inam merges in the whole Village Case —

T.R.

Register of [illegible]

Village Rajant[illegible] of Kumbakonam Taluq Tanj[illegible]

				1-32
				7.93
[illegible] Wet	[illegible]	8-42	57-14-10	
Dry	43-38-7	3.96	26-15	
[illegible]	5-28-11½	3.72	5-15-6	
Garden	11-14½	.29	1-1-4	
	56-11-18½	56.39	109-14-8	

Regr No. 157

Sheet No 287

Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Assmt	Kanal	Q. R.
15-7⅞	20-23-3½	11-14½	32-30-9½	36-8	6-8	17.0
5-16-15¼	8-12-11½		13-29-11½	26-3	6-7-11	12 17.0
23-1	33-1		1-26-2	6-9-2		1-12 17.0
	8-1-9		8-1-7	5-9-4		2-4 17.0
	8-31-11½		8-31-11½	9-6-2		2-4 17.0
	1-4		1-4-11	1-3-1		4 17.0
11-3½			11-3½	1-16-11		
12½		11½	56-15-13½	109-14-8	6-10-11	

For these Rani[illegible] not fenced for 6-10-11 [illegible] Sri Varadaraja Perumal and Sri Cankaranarayana Swami's Pagodas in the village of Amarendrapuram. The Pagodas are said to be in good condition & the worship is performed in them.

Granted by Amar Singh Raja [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in 1490

Acta. The above was [illegible] in 1809. The village [illegible] another [illegible] [illegible] are [illegible] [illegible] the grant. They are stated on the Acta to have been granted for the support of the two Pagodas named in Col. 13 built in the newly formed Agraharam of Amarendrapuram. Extent of the village [illegible] [illegible] V 2-15 [illegible] V 2-17½ [illegible] [illegible]

Varada [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Sankaranarayana [illegible] Swami [illegible] granted to [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] and [illegible] belonging [illegible] Rajan Kottam [illegible] held by the Pagoda [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] on the northern bank of the Kaveri

[illegible] 1-13-38 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 4-11-7¾ [illegible] 4-6-11½ [illegible] 4-15-12¼ [illegible] 8-28-0 6-24-11½ [illegible] 2-27-14 64-12-12½

1. Sri Varadaraja Perumal & Sri Cankaranarayana Swami at Amarendrapuram

Stanikam

Ranganatha Charri

2. Wartapundal at Rajan Kottam

Manager

Mari Padaiyachi

3. Venkataramana Vajapeyar 45

4. Sivasubramania Aiyar 32

5. Doraswami Mudali 48

6. Aravamuda Aiyangar 40

(3) Wife 1, brother 2 Brother's sons 4 7

(4) Wife 1, brothers 4 5

(5) Wife 1, sons 5 6

(6) Wife 1, son 1 2

1-15-25

1-15-60

7-6-65

13-20

The [illegible] [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of this [illegible] are [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] other [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] V 12-60 [illegible] [illegible] more [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the year [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] father [illegible] [illegible] portions [illegible] 1825 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 1838 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in 1839 [illegible] [illegible] whose [illegible] is stated to have been given [illegible] [illegible] father [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 1830 [illegible]

This is an entire Sarvamanyam village in which [illegible] one of the many Enams [illegible] known to the [illegible] Collector. Whether the [illegible] that is produced in the only [illegible] under [illegible] [illegible] available specifies the [illegible] of the Enam, [illegible] does not appear to have been [illegible] in 1826. We have therefore [illegible] the extent in the [illegible] of 1838 as the original extent. Portions of this Enam have been purchased by different individuals and appropriated for various purposes quite alien to the objects of the grant.

Of this Enam [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] A 1-38-9½ for a Travellers' Bungalow or A 1-26-1½ for a [illegible] the 4½ [illegible] in Col. [illegible] have been purchased by Mr Bird are occupied by the Protestant Church, [illegible] other [illegible] [illegible] the same Col. are under Government management [illegible] [illegible], & the [illegible] [illegible] with me that the [illegible] [illegible] only way [illegible] [illegible] of these portions which [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] was [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] A 7-36-15 of this [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Col. 4 is the aggregate of the above [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

The [illegible] [illegible] are the averages of those obtaining in the [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] village of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] with one [illegible] in [illegible] for [illegible] [illegible] referred to. Of the aggregate [illegible] V 4-5-74½ having been taken up for the Government Travellers' Bungalow in exchange for [illegible] [illegible] in the adjoining village of [illegible], the [illegible] No. 20 [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible], except that from the 3 [illegible] [illegible] referred to, are produced. The 2½ [illegible] [illegible] 2½ [illegible] [illegible] in the name of No. 3 were purchased by him in the year [illegible] from [illegible] Venkatachalam, whose [illegible] [illegible] they had been given in gift [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] by the [illegible] already mentioned. [illegible] V 1-4-31 of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] of No. 4 were purchased by him in 1860 from Mr Bird, who had purchased V 4-4-21 in 1855 from Ramachandra Nayar whose grand[illegible] had granted them [illegible] [illegible] Ammal, whose husband they [illegible] [illegible] to have been granted in gift by the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] many years ago [illegible] changed [illegible] in possession [illegible] [illegible]. The [illegible] payable by each holder [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] to the grantee.

The [illegible] of the Pagodas named under No. 1 complain that [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] on the entire Enam [illegible] payable by all the holders of the village in the proportion of their holdings [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] collected by the [illegible] on behalf of the Pagodas [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] of their (Pagodas) lands taken [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] for a Bungalow [illegible] through the [illegible], we caused to [illegible] and [illegible] that it may [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] dated 1st [illegible] 1832 I find that the [illegible] obtained from the Collector through the Tahsildar [illegible] Pagoda [illegible] lands [illegible] [illegible] belonging to No. 102 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] taken up from the [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the whole [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the party entitled [illegible] [illegible] it appears [illegible]

SD 187

[illegible] the whole [illegible] original the abo[illegible] [illegible] this [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] of the [illegible]

4/5

[illegible] Talook Tanjore District.

Regt No 258

256 — 7 — 15½ 20 — 5 — 7

[illegible] worship [illegible]

For the [illegible] Free not known No documents. Vellai Pillaiyar

[illegible] of often known

Vellai Pil-
laiyar Pa-
goda in the
village [illegible]
building is said
to be in good con-
dition & the worship is rendered.

Vellai Pillaiyar
at Eri
Worshippers
Sabhayar [illegible]

F 1 5 0 8 [illegible]

The village in which [illegible]
is situated is an inam [illegible]
Inams of this description being [illegible]
Ooranbuck [illegible] cut out [illegible]
[illegible] village in this District
Inam [illegible] They appear
originally to have been granted by the [illegible]
[illegible] of their Ooranbuck lands [illegible]
which they appear as deductions in the District
[illegible] being their right. They are [illegible]
[illegible] from the [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] their non-existence at the time
In the account of F 1226 when Inam villages were [illegible]
[illegible] are [illegible] with their [illegible]

[illegible] of the Inam is entered
[illegible] Inam [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] to be confirmed and Dandaim [illegible]
[illegible] of this Pagoda

7 — 9 — 64 [illegible]

CMS
MO
22/3/65
T D No 11411
TDB

---

2 | 2. Do — Entire

	Dittam	258 — 4 — 3½	258 · 11
Deduct Poramboke		27 — 19 — 1½	27 · 48
Remg	Wet	182 — 19 — 6½	182 · 45
	Dry	45 — 30 — 13½	45 · 77
	Tope	— 25 — 1½	· 63
	Garden	1 — 29 — 12½	1 · 75
		230 — 25 — 2½	230 · 63
Deduct Inam			
	Tope	— 7 — 15½	· 20
Remg	Wet	182 — 19 — 6½	182 · 45	950 — 7 — 1
	Dry	45 — 30 — 13½	45 · 77	80 — 3 — 5
	Tope	— 17 — 1½	· 43	— 12 — 4
	Garden	1 — 29 — 12½	1 · 75	6 — 6 — 2
		230 — 17 — 2½	230 · 43	1037 — 13 —

Do Do of Hazrat Pir Mohammad Avuliya Dargah at Tanjore. The building is said to be in good condition and the usual services are rendered.

Hazarat Pir Mohammad Avuliya Dargah

Hazarat Pir Mohammad Avuliya Dargah
at Tanjore

Manager
Sameenali cha Khadri

Confirmed on Rental
Deputy Register
[illegible]
3/[illegible]

Regt No 159

Register of the Sarvamanyam village of Paravanur Ukkadai (Do) in the Tiruppanandal Magaanam Combaconum Talook Tanjore District.

Do [illegible]

[illegible] not known No documents Sri Arunajateswaraswami
[illegible] of this [illegible] known
Arunajateswaraswami Pagoda in the village of Tiruppanandal. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Kotichalakalli."

Sri Arunajateswaraswami

F 1239 Tiruppanandal [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Kotichakalli [illegible] [illegible]

Sri Arunajateswaraswami
At Tiruppanandal
Manager
Kumaraswami periya Kalaya Pandarachi

This Inam consisting of [illegible]
[illegible] was measured by [illegible]
or has a separate [illegible]
[illegible] entered in any of the [illegible]
[illegible] F 1239 either separately [illegible]
part of another village. [illegible]
[illegible] any of the [illegible]
[illegible] village is [illegible]
[illegible] the Sarvamanyam village [illegible]
[illegible] thereby a [illegible] village [illegible]

[illegible] of the Inam is entered
[illegible]
The Inam [illegible]
[illegible] entered in the
[illegible] village
[illegible]

Confirmed
[illegible]
8/4/65
T D No 1410
TDB

Kumbakonam T.K. Tanjore Dist

4 6 6 7 [illegible] 10 11 12 [illegible] 14 15 16

Remaining Wet [illegible]
Dry 43 [illegible]
Tope 3 [illegible] 6
Garden [illegible] 4
56 [illegible] 4.8

Sheet No. 4/7
Sheet No. [illegible] 4

[illegible] Dry [illegible] Assessment Haval Du[illegible]

20-23-3½ [illegible] 8 6-2
8-12-10½ [illegible] 3-4 2-11
33-1 [illegible] 6-9-2
8-1-9 [illegible] 1-4
8-21-11¾ [illegible] 1-6-2
1-4-11 [illegible] 3-1
[illegible] 11-3/4 1-14-11
[illegible] 56-15 [illegible] 109-14-8 6-0-11 19

[illegible] Pagodas [illegible] pot [illegible] known [illegible] Sri [illegible] [illegible] in [illegible]

Granted by Ana[illegible] Singa Raja Sahib in the 12th Rahilli [illegible] of Samanari [illegible] of Kissain Mayah [illegible] Sizi 1198

Sitka —
The above was not registered in [illegible]
This village and another called Singara Totam are embodied in the grant.
They are stated in the Sitka to have been granted for the support of the two Pagodas named in Col 13. both in the newly founded Agraharam of [illegible]rundra

[illegible] account of Sitka [illegible] Raja [illegible] 1225 [illegible] Garden Sarva granted [illegible] at Sami Rajam [illegible] to Pagoda & Sankara from [illegible] out of the ana swami belonging to Verda to the raja Peru Maharaja mal Raja 47-12-7¼ [illegible]

1 Sri Verdaraja Perumal & Sri Sankaranarayana Swami at Amarendrapuram

Stanikam

Ranganadha Chari
Water Pandal at Rajan Totam

2
3
4

(3) Wife 1 brother 2 Brother sons 4/7
(4) Wife 1 Brother [illegible]
(5) [illegible]
(6) Wife 1 Son 1

The Sanna yasi who founded the Agraharam and the Perumal & Iswara Pagodas appears to have made over portions of this Inam village to a number of individuals & Sama Row his brothers & others disposed of portions.
The portions [illegible] in the Pamashi in the names of the Pagodas.
Besides the extent [illegible] in its name in the [illegible] or [illegible] 12-[illegible] which had been made over for its expenses in [illegible] Peryk potte by Sama[illegible]

This is a [illegible] different [illegible] appropriated [illegible] quite alien to [illegible]
[illegible] Inam Government [illegible] 36-9¼ for a Travellers' [illegible] 17¼ for a Hospital [illegible] are under [illegible] Collector [illegible] of these portion [illegible] to A 5-9-1 [illegible] under the [illegible] done [illegible] this item [illegible] in the aggregate [illegible] and A 2.27-14 [illegible] Col 15.

[illegible]adha chari [illegible] and [illegible] as per [illegible] from Govinda Chetti and [illegible] [illegible]ter having been disposed of [illegible] for the benefit of No 2 [illegible] Bird [illegible] 2.75 [illegible] & V[illegible] to Mr Beauchamp [illegible] 7-2-12½ [illegible]ing been appropriated to [illegible] attached [illegible] [illegible]ferred to, the remainder [illegible] [illegible]champ to one Devaram [illegible] [illegible] two individuals mortgaged [illegible] Water Pandal [illegible] by [illegible] [illegible] Aramuda yengar who [illegible] portion [illegible] [illegible]ney advanced, obtained [illegible] the amount of [illegible]

[illegible] said Appu Padayachi [illegible] purchased [illegible] from the sons of two [illegible] in [illegible] rates of Assessment adopted [illegible] in gift [illegible] average of those obtaining [illegible]

[illegible] Pada[illegible] [illegible] with me about [illegible] nominal [illegible] 5 [illegible] be Compensated though [illegible] in [illegible] alienation of Inams granted [illegible] in [illegible] at the Co[illegible] [illegible] religious & Charitable objects, [illegible] that [illegible] M Dry [illegible] improper, yet considering [illegible] of No [illegible] in the [illegible] from V[illegible] that the original alienation [illegible] to whose [illegible] given [illegible] Pandri before the [illegible] in this case took place many [illegible] Sama[illegible] Deeds [illegible] gift [illegible] years ago, I have charged the [illegible] V[illegible] were [illegible] him [illegible] alienation in profession 1/4 Quit [illegible] Bird who [illegible] in 1855 from [illegible] Rent. The amount payable [illegible] uncle [illegible] Sucla [illegible] by each holders is specified [illegible] husband they [illegible] granted in gift [illegible] in the original statement. [illegible] 10 year [illegible] being [illegible] Seven Title Deeds to be granted. [illegible] purchase [illegible] Raghupati [illegible] The Stanikam of the [illegible] 1844 from [illegible] Padayachi [illegible] Pagodas named in Col 1 [illegible] made [illegible] them they are stated to have been Complains that [illegible] amount [illegible] in gift [illegible] Somanaud's husband Sama Rayar [illegible] of Haval in the entire Inam [illegible] Documents produced. No 5 enjoys V1-6-10 out of V1-17-50 purchased by him

286 A
Ex. 5

14 15 16 17 18 19 20

No No
Documents

Villai Pillayar

Villai Inam
Pillayar

Fs 1226

Villai Pillayar
at

Sepper

Subbu

[illegible] ...ry
...nted for
the village
...stered
...separate

...and continued
...s

(Signed) V. Rajagopala Charlu
Deputy Collector
7th September 1864

For the [illegible]
expenses of Villai Pillayar Pagoda in the village The building is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered

Not Known

The village in which this Inam is situated is an entire Inam — Inams of this description being Topes or Peramboke lands are to be met with in almost every village in this District Inam as well as Taruppu. They appear originally to have been granted by the Meerassidars from ...of their Peramboke lands of which ...ppear as deductions in the District ...ing their origin, they are not mentioned ...alluded to in the Sikas from the village and the absence of all allusion to them therefore ...grant does not argue their non-existence at the time. In the account of Fs 1226 when Inam villages were for the first time ...ed, these Inams are entered with ✗

Confirmed

(Sd.) P. Chentsal Rao
Ag. Spl. ...
28th ...
J. C...
14...

Entire
Ditto 253-4-½ 253.11
Peramboke 27-19-1¼ 27-48

Remaining Wet 182-19-¾ 182.48
Dry 45-30-1¼ 45-77
Tope 0-25-1¼ 0.63
Garden 1-29-1¾ 1.75
230-25-2¼ 230-63

Deduct Inam
Tope 0-7-15¾ 0.20
Remaining Wet 182-17-1¼ 182-48 9...
Dry 45-30-1¼ 45-77 8...5
Tope 0-17-1¾ 0.43 ...4
Garden 1-29-1¾ 1.75 5-6-2
230-17-2½ 230-43 1037 13-0

Havel 36-6-5
For the expenses of Hazarat Pir Mahammed Auuliya Durga at Tanjore The building is said to be in good condition and the usual services are rendered.

Hazarat
Pir
Maha
med
Auu...
Da...

Hazarat Pir
Mahammed Auuliya Durga
at Tanjore

Manager

Samsara Ulsha
Khadar

...of the ...an Village of Paravam... ...kadai in the Teruppanandal Maganam Cumb...

...ligious Entire
...dowment Ditto 2-5-0
Wet 2-5-0 2-13

Wet rate on the Ayan Lands in the Teruppu village above alluded to & it ...
...Rs ...-5 per acre
To be confirmed & continued ... benefit of the Pagoda in ...
Rs ...
8th December 186...
V. Rajagopaula Charlu
Deputy Collector

For the expenses of Sri Arunajala Swara Pagoda in the Village Teruppanandal The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Uchikalakottai"

Not Known
Not Known
No Documents

Sri Aruna jilaiswara Swami

Fs 1239
Teruppanandal
...
Arunajala
...

Sri Arunajala swara
Swami at Teruppanandal

Manager

Ramasami periya Kulaya
Padayachi

...one ...
and ...
men...
of the ...
separ...
village ...
...ty of any of ...
is not known ...
...by the Zemindar...
three are Teruppu...
villages ...in it. ...
does not seem to have ...
of what ...the present...

05 ...