Hanumanallur No. 313 (contd)

**Register No. 326**

Hanumanallur Village, Kumbakonam Taluk, Tanjore District.

28

| 3rd Personal Wet (continued) | | |
|---|---|---|
| 73 | .. 10 10¾ | |
| 75 | .. 5 1 | |
| 77 | .. 13 12½ | |
| 79 | 1 7 ¼ | |
| 81 | .. 21 12½ | |
| 89 | .. 32 6½ | |
| 90 | 1 22 10½ | |
| 91 | 1 28 7 | |
| 92 | 2 8 7½ | |
| 93 | 1 22 5½ | |
| 94 | .. 37 4 | |
| 95 | 1 11 1½ | |
| 96 | 1 24 13¼ | |
| 97 | 2 3 10½ | |
| 98 | 2 10 14¾ | |
| 99 | 1 33 1 | |
| 100 | .. 38 13 | |
| 101 | 2 35 8 | |
| 102 | 2 11 2¼ | |
| 216 | 1 23 14 | |
| 66 | .. 30 7½ | |
| 68 | .. 11 10½ | |
| | 53-18-13¾ | 53.47 447-3-7 |
| Dry 74 | .. 11 6½ | |
| 76 | .. 4 5¾ | |
| 80 | .. 2 4¾ | |
| 131 | .. 36 9 | |
| 132 | 1 5 2 | |
| 155 | 1 23 12 | |
| 156 | 1 24 5 | |
| 157 | 1 39 11 | |
| 158 | 1 16 .. | |
| 159 | .. 28 8¾ | |
| 171 | 1 15 11 | |
| 29 | .. 4 7½ | |
| 82 | .. 10 13¾ | |
| 133 | .. 10 7¾ | |
| 168 | .. 33 12 | |
| 169 | .. 30 4 | |
| 170 | .. 15 13 | |
| 25 | .. 2 5 | |
| 38 | .. 1 8 | |
| 67 | .. 2 1 | |
| 338 | .. 11 1½ | |
| | 11-20-11½ | 11.52 33-4-4 |
| [illegible] | [illegible] | |
| 23 | .. 2 3¼ | |
| | 1-11-7½ | 2.9 5-8-10 |
| | 69-11-3½ | 69.28 480-15-9 |

**Sheet No. 117**

| | Wet | Dry | Garden | Total | Assessment | Rental | Q. R |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 16-12-6¾ | ..-17-2¼ | ..-15-11½ | 21-5-3½ | 148-8-3 | 5-10-.. 1/8 | 18 .. .. 120 |
| 2 | ..-16-4½ | 2-21-10 | ..-9-¼ | 12 [illegible] | 93-3-6 | .. | 10 .. .. 124 |
| 3 | ..-13-11 | 1-18-1¾ | ..-5-2¼ | 6-37-2 | 48-11-2 | 1-13-6 .. | 6 .. .. 170 |
| 4 | ..-9-13½ | ..-24-6½ | ..-2-2½ | 2-36-6 | 20-7-4 | ..-12-5 .. | 2-8 .. 17. |
| 5 | ..-11-13¾ | ..-37-3½ | ..-3-4 | 4-17-5½ | 31-2-9 | 1-2-10 .. | 3-12 .. 17. |
| 6 | ..-25-11 | ..-7 .. | ..-..-9½ | ..-33-4½ | 5-13-5 | ..-3-6 .. | ..-12 .. 17. |
| 7 | ..-7-13½ | ..-24-5½ | ..-2-7½ | 2-36-6 | 20-7-4 | ..-12-5 .. | 2-8 .. 17. |
| 8 | ..-2-9½ | ..-11-9¾ | ..-1-½ | 1-15-4½ | 9-11-4 | ..-5-10 .. | 1-4 .. 17. |
| 9 | 2-5-9 | ..-23-3½ | ..-2-1 | 2-30-13½ | 19-7-8 | ..-11-9 .. | 2-4 .. 17. |
| 10 | 5-22-3½ | 2-12-15 | ..-8-3¾ | 11-3-6½ | 77-14-8 | 2-15 .. | 9-8 .. 17. |
| 11 | 2-5-9 | ..-23-3½ | ..-2-1 | 2-30-13½ | 19-7-8 | ..-11-9 .. | 2-4 .. 17. |
| | 53-18-13¾ | 11-20-11½ | 1-11-7½ | 69-11-3½ | 480-15-9 | ..-6-6 | 58-12-.. |

[illegible] No. 8 was given to her under [illegible] in the year Virodhikritu by her mother, & it had been purchased by her father in Sarvajit from the widow of [illegible] named in No. 13 in col. 14. The ¼ V which No. 9 was purchased by his [illegible] in the year Vikrama from Balasubramanya Sastri [illegible] No. [illegible] enjoys the 1/6 in that of Venkataramana [illegible] land [illegible] have been purchased many years ago.

* tion, the parties in enjoyment have deeds of gift from the Matam with the [illegible] that had been executed [illegible] by one of the parties, [illegible] purchased, the gift & portions was purchased, the gift & portions more than 40 years took place since the sale of a portion to No. 13 [illegible] the sale of a portion [illegible] is admitted formally by the Matam [illegible] in other deeds, & sales by the owners [illegible] others have taken place since [illegible]. Under these circumstances there is no doubt as to register the land in question in the name of the holder.

To be confirmed in the T. Ds.

The amount of Q. R. payable by each holder with the extent which it has been charged is specified in the marginal statement.

The excess in the Inam is within the average excess in Government lands plus 10 P.C.

27-2-64. [illegible]

or up to the year [illegible] Sarvadhari (A. 1189) it was incorporated with [illegible] for what reason is not known. It was however restored some years afterwards with the date specified in col. 11 at the request of [illegible] Rai [illegible] Ayyangar already referred to & conferred on 14 individuals including the 6 persons named in the first grant. Extent granted in Hanumanallur W 6-5-.. Dry 2-10 .. or together 8-15-.. The length of the rod is not specified.

which of these [illegible] of Subramanya [illegible]
7 Ramdhanam [illegible] Ramaiya W 10-15 .. D ..-6 ..
8 Gopala Sastri W 15 .. D ..-6 ..
9 Bhaskara Ramaiya Sastri W ..-5 .. D ..-8 ..
10 Sadasiva [illegible] W 10 .. D ..-11 ..
10 Venkata [illegible] Narayana [illegible] W 10 .. D ..-10 .. 8-15 ..

[illegible] Gopala Sastri [illegible] No. 8 [illegible] Ramaswami Sastri [illegible] No. 9.
12 Balasubramanya Sastri [illegible] No. 10
11 Venkata Giriyachari [illegible] taking the first ¼, the second 4/6 & the third 1/6 [illegible] 8-15 .. or W 5 .. D 2-10 ..

[illegible] assigned by Venkata [illegible] the [illegible] in [illegible] & the Brahmins W 15-11-13¾ D 14-21-11½ G ..-11-7¼
69-11-3½
The names of the other Brahmins then enjoying the Inam with Venkata Subbaiyan are not specified in the deed.

4 Venkataramana 50.

(11) Wife [illegible] 1/6 [illegible] the said No. 10, [illegible] in col. 14 [illegible] 52 2/3 [illegible] being [illegible]

Raghunatha [illegible] named [illegible] -vachari, his [illegible] and father of No. 3, divided the remaining 18 [illegible] by [illegible] shares six years. In the year [illegible] the former [illegible] & the [illegible] No. 2 [illegible] Raja, the [illegible] already mentioned [illegible] Venkataramana Sastri [illegible] Subramanya Sastri No. 9. These 15 Mas [illegible] with the 12 Mas which he had [illegible] in division [illegible] who had purchased 12½ [illegible] from the Ahobalam Matam who [illegible] were divided between [illegible] & Kasturi [illegible] his brother & father of No. 2, in the year [illegible]. See [illegible] the 15 Mas which fell to his father by this division No. 7 purchased [illegible] Vandi V ..-87½ from the widow of [illegible] No. 13 [illegible] 50 from [illegible] Srinivasa Aiyangar (whose [illegible]) the Ahobalam Matam [illegible] each 2½ Mas [illegible] referred to in Nandana [illegible] Sadagopa Aiyangar just mentioned, & again in the former year Nandana 20¼ Kulis from Appaswami [illegible] jointly with two others in [illegible] ¼ [illegible] Ramaswami Sastri [illegible] from the party [illegible] got the [illegible] not available [illegible] said Srinivasa Aiyangar (whose father was one of the two persons who have been stated above [illegible] purchased ¼ V conjointly with Appaswami Aiyangar's father) & he (No. 7) had by exchange [illegible] 2½ Vs in [illegible] from No. 2's father (who is said [illegible] brother) [illegible] which [illegible] purchased 12½ [illegible] from there 2 [illegible] he owned in 2 other villages. [illegible] exchange & [illegible] the purchase of 5 Mas from the Ahobalam Matam are not available. The sale by the Ahobalam Matam to No. 13's family is referred to in the deeds of gift [illegible] executed by the [illegible] in question in Chitrabhanu [illegible] Sadagopa Aiyangar already [illegible] Srinivasa Aiyangar [illegible] The exchanges are supported by [illegible] mentioned. The changes in the possession of the parties with the deeds in the possession [illegible] when the exchange was made. No. [illegible] enjoys the 15 Mas which have been divided [illegible] father [illegible] obtained [illegible] father in division, with V ..-87½ obtained by him (the father) in exchange [illegible] 25 [illegible] thirds from [illegible] in the remarks on No. 7 [illegible] 12½ in [illegible] to the father of No. 2. No. 3 enjoys the 10 Mas, which his father had in [illegible] by division, 2½ Mas out of 5 which he (the father) acquired, 2½ by purchase in Isvara from Venkata Subbaiya Sastri (who had [illegible] in the same year by exchange [illegible] in the name of No. 1 [illegible] by exchange [illegible] equal extent [illegible] in the village of [illegible] 2½ [illegible] by exchange in [illegible]

are not [illegible] according to the usage of the village every time [illegible] proportionate extents of W & D.

The present [illegible] head of the Ahobalam, whose [illegible] No. 1 have already been brought under the Commissioner's notice by the other [illegible] of this District, [illegible] in February last a petition stating that he had heard that No. 1 & some others were endeavouring to get registered in their names [illegible] of land, purchased in this village from [illegible] on the strength of fabricated documents, that No. 1 when he had fell [illegible] with the [illegible] heads of Matam may have [illegible] with other persons the [illegible] for the Inam, requesting that 3 months time may be allowed to him for [illegible] the necessary [illegible] his [illegible] relating to the Inam. [illegible] with an endorsement intimating that I could not grant more than 8 days' time, as the Inam had already [illegible] since the [illegible] of the original [illegible] & that if the necessary evidence were not produced within that period I should be obliged to decide on the strength of the deeds with No. 1 [illegible]. The 12 months have now elapsed and no deeds [illegible] have been produced. The complaint [illegible]

9 in the [illegible] part of the remarks on No. 1, [illegible] Vedantachari [illegible] referred had purchased ¼ V from [illegible] No. 4 [illegible] 1 M for No. 5 [illegible] Ranganatha [illegible] purchased in the year Srimukha ¼ V from No. 12 Balasubramanya Sastri, & he (No. 4) himself purchased in [illegible] 1½ Ms from Appaswami Aiyangar (who [illegible] stated above sold 20¾ Kulis to No. 12) [illegible] in the year Isvara 2 Mas from the Ahobalam Matam (who had purchased 10 Mas in Bhava from Ramaswami [illegible] they had been sold in 1811 by [illegible] Subramanya Sastri). The 8½ Mas which were [illegible] acquired were divided equally between No. 4 & his brother No. 7 in the year Sobhakrit. [illegible] a division the former purchasing 2 Mas, 1 in Krodhi & 1 in Parabhava from Lakshmi [illegible] Aiyangar had [illegible] said [illegible] from the Ahobalam Matam [illegible] 10 [illegible] allowed [illegible] & [illegible] 1 M in the same year to No. 7 each enjoys 5¼ Ms. No. 5's father had in gift in Isvara 4 Ms from the Ahobalam Matam, & he purchased in Krodhi [illegible] daughter-in-law of [illegible] the amount acquired under No. 4 & 3 Ms in the year Vikrama [illegible] from Appa Aiyangar who with his brother [illegible] Aiyangar referred to in the remarks on No. 1 & 3, purchased ¼ V [illegible] 16 5/8 from [illegible] Aiyangar [illegible] under the latter No. (viz. No. 3) & V ..-10 11/16 from the father of [illegible] Aiyangar, who was one of the 2 persons with whom the father of Appaswami [illegible] Aiyangar [illegible] No. 1 purchased ¼ V [illegible] of [illegible] Aiyangar just mentioned [illegible] 150 Kulis is the remainder of [illegible] the father [illegible]

Register No. 327    Register of Inams in the village of Viramangadi No. 160 in the Umbalappadi Maganam Kumbaconum Taluk Tanjore district

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Dev: Enat. | Tope<br>401<br>535 | — 11 — 3¼<br>1 — 38 — 6<br>2 — 9 — 7¼ | 2 24 | 4 — 4 — 6<br>At the rate of [illegible] 4 — 6 [illegible] | For the support of Vajjrakanti swaraswami Pagoda in the village. The building is said to be in good condition & worship is conducted. | Inam tax free | [illegible] | No documents | Vajjrakanti swaraswami | TD 7216<br>Sri Vajjrakantiswaraswami Pagoda [illegible]<br>— 7 — 15 | TD 7238<br>Vajjrakanti swaraswami Tope<br>2 — 9 — 7¼ | Sri Vajjrakantiswaraswami at Viramangadi<br>Worshipper<br>Vaiddalinga Gurukkal | To be confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda<br>15-2-64 Rajagopalachari<br>Dy Colr. | T.D. No 1611<br>Cert<br>Iss<br>5/5/65 |
| 1 | Chat: Enat. | Dry<br>243<br>244<br>245<br>246<br>248<br>249<br>251<br>252<br>253<br>254<br>255<br>257 | — 38 — 2¾<br>— 37 — 15½<br>— 39 — 3¾<br>— 35 — 13½<br>— 18 — 9<br>— 19 — 8¾<br>— 23 — 5½<br>— 22 — 12<br>— 22 — 2¾<br>— 20 — 11¾<br>— 12 — 6¾<br>— 10 — 10¾<br>7 — 21 — 4¼ | 7 53 | At the rate as above | For the support of Venkatachalapati swami Annadanam at Kapistalam. The Annadanam building is said to be in good condition & the charity is maintained. | Inam tax free | Extract issued to Chandra Kasa Srinivasan<br>6-4-69 | No documents | Sri Venkatachalapati Annadanam | TD 7216<br>Kapistalam Venkatachalapati Annadana Satram<br>1 — 1 — 8 5/16 | TD 7238<br>Kapistalam Venkatachalapati Annadana swami<br>7 — 21 — 4¼ | Sri Venkatachalapati Annadanam at Kapistalam<br>Manager<br>Chandrakasa Srinivasan | Vide remarks on Regr. No. 311 of the village of Vadiganallur<br>To be confirmed & continued for the benefit of the Satram<br>15-2-64 Rajagopalachari<br>Dy Colr. | T.D. No 1736<br>Cert<br>Iss<br>15/11/65 |

Register No. 328    Register of Inams in the village of Devangudi No. 161 in the above Maganam Kumbaconum Taluk Tanjore District

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Chat: Enat. | Dry | 3 — 30 [illegible] | 3 [illegible] | At the rate as above | [illegible] | Inam tax free | Extract issued to Chandra Kasa Srinivasan<br>16-4-69 | No documents | do | TD 7216<br>As above<br>— 11 — 27½ | TD 7238<br>the same<br>3 — 36 — 4½ | As above | As above<br>15-2-64 Rajagopalachari<br>Dy Colr. | T.D. No 1737<br>Cert<br>Iss<br>65 |
| | Dev: Enat. | Tope<br>275<br>277 | 2 — 16<br>— 31 — 10<br>3 — 2 — 10 | 3 07 | 3 — 7 — 7<br>At the overall rate | For the support of Sri Subramanyaswami Pagoda in the village. The building is said to be in good condn. & the worship is regularly conducted. | Inam tax free | Do do | No documents | Subramanyaswami | TD 7216<br>Subramanyaswami Pagoda Sarvamaniam<br>— 9 — 35 | TD 7238<br>Subramanyaswami Pagoda Inam<br>3 — 2 — 10 | Subramanyaswami at Devangudi<br>Worshipper<br>Chidambara Gurukkal | To be confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda<br>15-2-64 Rajagopalachari<br>Dy Colr. | Cert<br>Iss<br>5/5/6<br>T.D. No 1612 |

Fair copied.