Ellur vil[illegible], Kumbakonam Taluk, Tanjore District

Register No 265

Register No 245

Sheet No 75

தஞ்சை - கும்பகோணம்.

Wet
1 — 20 — 1 1.50 — 15 — 8

Garden
164 — 1
166 — 34 — 9½
165 — 2 — 13½
166 — 3 — 1
167 — 3 — 15
168 — 2 — ½
169 — 2 — ½
171 — 3 — 1½
1 — 15 — 9¾ 1.39 — 4 — 2

Dry
81 — 3 — 12
82 — 1 — 9
80 — 19 — 1¼
83 — 6
85 — 11
86 — 20 — ¼
1 — 21 — 3¼ 1.53 — 1 — 8 — 9
4 — 16 — ¼ 1.62 — 21 — 11

84 — 6 — 11
87 — 3 — 1
88 — 2 — 8½
170 — 3 — 12
— 14 — ½ .35 — 5 — 8
4 — 30 — ¼ 2.77 — 21 — 6

In the [illegible] of Ellikhan Takkiya in the Village [illegible] of the Takkiya

Kaval fee 6 — 11

Not known

Not known

No documents

Ellikhan Takkiya

Dry	Garden	Total	Assessment	Kaval	[illegible]
26 — 11¾		26 — 11¾	10	10	7 — 5
				6	8 — 4
		1 — 8	1	9	1 — 2

Ellur

1 Hasim Khan 24	(1) Wife & Sons	5/6
2 Duraisami mudaliyar 18	(2) Wife & Sons	6/6
3 Venkitachela naiker 26	(3) Wife & Son	1/2
4 Narayanasami naiker 45	(4) Wife	1/1
5 Ponni 30	(5) Son	1/1
6 [illegible] 40	(6) Wife & Sons	5/4
7 Lekshimi 32	(7) Daughter	1/1
8 Patteru chetti 12	(8) Mother	1/1
9 Papan 20	(9) Wife	1/1
10 Gunnappanaiker 60	(10) Sons	2/2
11 [illegible] 30	(11) None	
12 [illegible] 32	(12) Wife & Sons	3/4
13 Nagan chetti 36	(13) Wife	1/1
14 Lekshmi 20	(14) Husband	1/1
15 Nagammal 15	(15) Son	1/1
16 Minakshi 38	(16) None	
17 Saminadan 35	(17) Daughter & Son	1/2
18 Virappa [illegible] 45	(18) Wife & Sons	2/4
19 Muttusami naiker 16	(19) Wife & Sons	2/3
20 Narayanasami setti 25	(20) None	
21 Kamala 20	(21) Sons	2/2
22 Sundaram 35	(22) Wife & Son	2/2
23 [illegible] 8	(23) Mother	1/1
24 Vasudevan 20	(24) Son	1/1
25 Sivalinga Pillai 45	(25) Wife & daughter	1/2
26 [illegible]ammal 52	(26) Grandson	1/1
27 Annachellam 45	(27) Wife & Sons	2/3
28 Rahimanbi (alias) Ammani [illegible]ammal 35	(28) None	
29 [illegible] (alias) Mohamed [illegible] 40	(29) None	

1 — 27 — 7½

4 — 30 — 14½

The parties in Col. [illegible] are [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] brother [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] have been [illegible] 3 years [illegible]

This [illegible] originally granted [illegible] [illegible] Takkiya has been [illegible] checked. The [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Ja

20/11/[illegible]

Fair copied

Charitable

Title Deeds
No. 2918-19-5
3.5.11 / Misc office
No 438 of 11

Dry
4·5 1 3 – 6½
4·75 – 3 – 12
5·1 – 17 – 9
1 – 26 – 9½ 1·64 1 – 16

For the repairs [illegible]

No other documents.

Uppen Padaiyachi

Wader Pandal at Rajantottam

[illegible]

Uppen Padaiyachi

[illegible] to the lands are right granted [illegible] the length of possession [illegible] enjoyed by the [illegible], it is recommended that this land [illegible] be confirmed and continued in [illegible]

5-5-64

had no objection [illegible] being given them. The Sub Collr. also [illegible] the Principal Collr., who though of opinion that Uppen Padaiyachi was not entitled to the compensation claimed in as much as the land he had purchased & of which a portion had been taken up for the Bungalow were part of a Pagoda Saruvamaniam [illegible], considering that he had been in possession for a long time, that the [illegible] in question [illegible] which the land [illegible] was left to him & afterwards purchased [illegible] at Rajantottam Uppen Padaiyachi has [illegible] Wader Pandal that the extent purchased in Rajantottam is part [illegible] of a Pagoda Inam [illegible] as the [illegible] many years

The R.s entered in col. [illegible] enjoyed as Inam appear to be entered in the acct of F1238, namely [illegible], being Kalam & the other a Sand land. Uppen Padaiyachi named in col. 13, it appears had purchd. some lands in the Saruvamaniam village of Rajantottam belonging to [illegible] of the [illegible] & of which a portion however of the land so purchased having been taken up by the Collr. as the site of the Travellers Bungalow near [illegible]. Uppen Padaiyachi claimed [illegible] land in [illegible]. The Tahsildar reporting that the R.s in this case were available [illegible] Uppen Padaiyachi [illegible] the other [illegible] in the village

S.C.M.
1005

Cert'd accordingly
M.

[illegible]

Dry
2 – 25 – 1½ 1·63 [illegible]
1 – 37 – [illegible] 1 95 [illegible]
2 – 22 – 15½ 2·58 [illegible]
[illegible] – 21 – [illegible]
3 – 3 – [illegible]

For the repairs of the Pagoda [illegible] The [illegible]

No documents.

Pattitorai Veeranadkaswami

In F1216. Pattitorai Veeranadkaswami [illegible] Pagoda [illegible]

In F1238. Pattitorai Veeranadkaswami [illegible] Inam R.s

[illegible]6 – 25 3 – 3 – 13

Pattitorai Veeranadkaswami at [illegible]

[illegible]

Narayanaswamikaran

In the [illegible] in the accts of F1238 [illegible] the Inam consisting of R.s [illegible] of the [illegible] is [illegible] in the [illegible] apparently [illegible] this Inam [illegible] the [illegible] the names in the [illegible] to the extent of [illegible] the [illegible] the name of the [illegible] being [illegible] apparently [illegible] the [illegible] part of the adjoining village of [illegible] settled such [illegible] in that village. [illegible] in the [illegible] of the village [illegible] 23 – 5 which the [illegible] with the extent in this village [illegible] R 3 – 0 – 4½. The [illegible] of these two villages with the [illegible] the 23 rodd [illegible] in the [illegible] in this village [illegible] of the same land [illegible] with the villages. [illegible]

Ce
M

[illegible] that in the other village. The 23 rodd [illegible] a portion of it having been [illegible] as the Inam. The present extent of [illegible] the R 3 – 0 – 4½ [illegible] the former extent & the amount [illegible] by R 28 – 4 and [illegible] R 1 – 15 – 10 [illegible] in [illegible] for the support of the Pagoda subject to the [illegible] confirmed and continued [illegible] of the [illegible] of [illegible]

8-5-64.

No. 245. Ullur.

Shee 75

Sheet No. 75 / 30 V.8 [illegible]

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13

[illegible]

Charitable

30. ~~Personal~~ Dry

143 1-3-4½
475 0-3-12
541 0-19-9
1-26-9½ 1.64 1-15-0
at the average dry rate

For the Free personal benefit of the holders

not known

Granted by the Sub Collector as per Title dated 21st Oct. 1841

Two documents

Appu Pasiyachi

	ac.	Cents
Extent as per Title Deed	1	64
Add excess area arrived at at the rate of percentage of increase in the survey area over the reserve area of the Village		0
Total		64
Excess allowed at 10% on the above area to cover inaccuracies of measurement	0	17
Total	1	X81
Survey area	1	97
Excess area	0	16
	Rs. as. p.	
Quit Rent as per Title Deed	1-0-0	
Add assessment on the excess area	0-9-0	
Total Quit Rent	1-9-0	

Rupee one annas nine only

(Sd.) J. Twigg
Ag. Collector.

15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Water Pandal
at
Rajan Tottam

Manager
Mari Padaiyach

The No. entered in Col. 3 and now enjoyed as Inam appear as Poramboke in the account of F. 1288, two, namely 143 and 541, being Kalam and the other a Sand bank. Appu Padaiyachi named in Col. 13, it appears, had purchased some lands in the Sarvamanium Village of Rajan Tottam belonging to Varada Raja Swami from the Stanikam etc. of the pagoda. A portion, however, of the land so purchased having been taken up by the Collector as site of the Traveller's Bungalow near Kumbakonam, Appu Padaiyachi claimed land in return for it. The Tahsildar reporting that the Nos. in his case were [illegible], but that Padaiyachi had purchased Consented to take them in return and the other mirasidars of the village had no objection to this being given to him. The Sub Collector seems to have made a reference to the Principal Collector, who, though of opinion that Appu Padaiyachi was not entitled to the compensation claimed, in as much as the lands he had purchased and a portion of which had been taken up for the Bungalow were part of a pagoda Sarvamanium, directed, considering that he had been in possession for a long time, that the poramboke in question be made over to him. With this land and what was left to him and afterwards purchased by him in Rajan Tottam Appu Padaiyachi has endowed a Water Pandal. Though the extent purchased in Rajan Tottam is part as already observed of a Pagoda Inam, yet as the purchase was made many years ago and the purchaser's rights founded on the length of possession was recognized by the Collector, it is recommended that the land may be confirmed and continued on ½ Quit Rent or Rs. 1-0-0

(Sigd.) V. Rajagopala Chastri
5-3-64. Dy. Collector.

Confirmed
accordingly
(Sigd.)
D. Chentsal Rao
Dy. [illegible]
30-8-64

Y. D. Rs.
1005

Ulleyur village

Ulleyur village
Kumbaconam Taluk
Tanjore District

Regd 245

Sheet No 74

தஞ்சா-கும்பகோணம்

Wet				
[illegible]	1-37-2¼			
77	1-36-6¼	2½ crop land.		
	3-33-8¼	3.84	32-15-9	
Garden				
69	-6-¼			
70	-26-14			
78	-11-			
79	-24-7			
	1-31-5¼	1.79	5-2-7	
Dry				
72	-23-10			
74	-6-12¾			
135	2--12¾			
78	2-9-5			
139	-26-4			
75	-8-12			
137	-2-12			
	5-38-4½	5.95	1-4	
	11-23-2½	11.58	44-2-8 at the average [illegible] by rates.	
Poramboke				
136	-17-3½			
138	-3-12			
	-20-15½	.52		
	12-4-2	12.10		

For the expences of a Takkiya or [illegible] Davud Khan Takkiya in the village [illegible] the building [illegible] said [illegible] and [illegible] the usual service [illegible]

Haval fee -15-11

not known

not known

No documents

Davud Khan Takkiya

support the [illegible] of 1808. No. 7 [illegible]. The [illegible] in the enjt. of No. 8 was purchd. by him in the year 1858 or [illegible] from [illegible] unconnected with the [illegible] of the Tak kiya [illegible] said Khan [illegible] No. 8 enjoys [illegible] the [illegible] of the [illegible] in the name of No. 7 [illegible] his father

In F.1258 Davud Khan Takkiya [illegible] Ramnath [illegible] & [illegible] gar [illegible] gaged

In F.1261 Davud Khan Takkiya [illegible] Dry 1-7-4½

1-27-8¼

In the above Doraisami [illegible] land [illegible] per [illegible] of F.1205 [illegible] of F.1215 Davud Khan Takkiya [illegible]

Wet 1-11¼

1-16-20¾

2 Muthayan Kali [illegible] gaza -1-16-8¼

2 Ramu [illegible] al 9-14-8

Govern[illegible] -8-12

11-23-2½

1 Davud Khan Takkiya at Kumbaconam

Manager

Ibrahim [illegible]

2 Adam Sahib [illegible]

55

3 Ramaswami

14

4 [illegible] Hussain

70

5 Nanda [illegible]

50

6 [illegible]appan

70

7 Doraisami Naidu [illegible]

48

8 Muttusami Pillai

57

9 Ramasami

30

(2) Wife & son ½

(3) [illegible]

(4) Wife & son & brother ⅓

(5) Wife ½

(6) Wife & son ⅔

(7) Wife & son ⅙

(8) Wife & son ½

(9) Wife & brother ⅕

The above [illegible] in the enjoyment of the Takkiya [illegible] of [illegible] ¾ out of the extent under No. 1 [illegible] 1-28-18½ out of that under No. 4, the [illegible] named in those No. being the [illegible] of the Takkiya, & No. 4 which [illegible] (Parker?) [illegible] by [illegible] name in [illegible] for No. 5 [illegible] that No. 2, 3 enjoy [illegible] chased by their fathers & [illegible] in [illegible] for the [illegible] under No. [illegible] col. 14 & [illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] under the [illegible] for No. 1 [illegible]

[illegible] that No. [illegible] enjoys was purchd. by him in the year [illegible] for [illegible] when it is said [illegible] their [illegible] gift from the said Ramnath [illegible] of the extent under No. 102 in col. 15. The extent in the enjt. of No. 5 & 6 were purchd. by them [illegible] the [illegible] of Ibrahim [illegible] named in No. 1. No. 9 enjoys [illegible] name in the [illegible] (No. 2 in col. 15). [illegible] in 1829 from Ramnath [illegible] in 1852 from [illegible] said [illegible] came into possession [illegible] from No. 3 [illegible], when they [illegible] purchd. [illegible] the extent [illegible] as being [illegible]

To be confirmed and continued [illegible] the [illegible] in the enjt. of No. [illegible] the Takkiya [illegible] those by the [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] of this [illegible] payable by each [illegible] in the [illegible]

The whole [illegible] with the cost of the Takkiya [illegible] [illegible]

24-1-64 [illegible]

[illegible]

Asst. Cen. 986

20/11 [illegible]

[illegible] 7/8/64

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Asst.	Haval	ER	
1	1-13½	-18-2¾	-8-	1-11½ 2-32-3¼	10-4-5	-4-5		17.5
		-2-4¼		-2-4½	-11-		-8	
		-2-4½		-2-4½	-11-		-8	
	1-12	-39-	-24-7¾	-1-12½	7-8-2	-1-	4-13-1	
			-3-15½	-3-15½	-1-7		[illegible]	
	[illegible]		-1-1½	-1-12	-1-3		-11	17.8
	2		-1½	-3-9½	-11-	-2	-11	
			-2-	-12½	20-1-1	-5-6	-5	
			1½	-5-14	-2-4		-5	
	9¾ 5	-21-	1-31-5¼	11-23-2½	44-2-8	-15-11	21	

Wet
148 -33-8
161 3-3-1¼
162 2-38-9¼
163 2-34-3¼
9-29-5¼ 9.73 66-15-10 at the average wet rates

For the personal benefit of the holder

Haval fee -12-

[illegible]

No	Wet	Asst.	Haval	ER	
1	-3-7¼	5-9-4	-1-	-11-	
2	-3-7¼	5-9-4	-1-	-11-	
3	-32-7	5-9-4	-1-	-11-	
4	-32-7	5-9-3	-1-	-11-	17.8 5-8
5	-3-14¾	7-7-1	-1-4	-14-8	
6	-3-14¾	7-7-1	-1-4	-14-8	
7	-3-4	7-7-1	-1-4	-14-8	
8	-17-	16-12	-3-	2-1-	17.8 2-8
9	-32-	7-5-9-4	-1-	-11-	
	9-29-5¼	66-15-10	-12-	8-4	

Grandt. Sikka regd. in 1809

by [illegible] Rajah [illegible] of [illegible] Sahib [illegible] in 1177.

Extent of grant [illegible] with a [illegible] of the [illegible] Cumbaconum

Venkata-ramanachari

In the Sayer of 1809 1. Venkatara manachari [illegible] the [illegible] -9-35⅛ 2 Kristna chari Bro ther of do -9-33⅝ 1 Appan nachari do -9-33⅝ -1-8-

In F.1259 Puranachari [illegible] [illegible] Anandatirthachari Grandson 3-3-1¼ 2 Ramachari do 2-38-9¼ 3 Virasami Aiyengar Grand [illegible] 2-34-3¼ 4 Ananda tirthachari & Rama chari & Vira sami Aiyen gar -33-8 9-29-5¼

Puranachari [illegible] was father of the [illegible]

Cumbaconum

1 Venkitramanachari 45
2 Naranachari 40
3 Kistnamurtiachari 35
4 Ramamurtiachari 30
5 Anandatirtachari 70
6 Rajendrachari 46
7 Sainamachari 30
8 Arimadaiyengar 32
9 Viraraghavaingar 14

(1 to 4) Grandsons of No. 2 (5) Son (6) Grandson of No. 1 in col. 14.

(1) Wife 1 Son ½
(2) Wife 1 Son ½
(3) Wife 1 Son ½
(4) Wife ½
(5) Wife 1 Son ½
(6) Wife 1 Son ⅔
(7) Wife 1 brother ⅔
(8) Wife 1 brother ½
(9) Wife 1 brother ½

The descendants of the [illegible] enjoy 2/3 of the [illegible] the [illegible] 1/3 [illegible] is [illegible] of [illegible] in the [illegible] patti by No. 2 in col. 14 to Virasami Aiyengar (No. 3 in col. 15) father of No. 8 & [illegible] father of No. 9 Virasami Aiyengar [illegible] 4 [illegible] the [illegible] No. 8 & his brother [illegible]

Which they now enjoy with the one fourth share of one of the 2 other brothers for whose [illegible] No. 8 [illegible] it in [illegible] & No. 9 enjoys one fourth.

To be confirmed [illegible] No. 14 & the other [illegible] No. 8 [illegible] From the [illegible] this [illegible] appears [illegible] belonged [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] in the survey which took place [illegible] it was [illegible] as part of the village.

There is no [illegible] charged

20-1-64 [illegible]

Recd.
20/6/64

6.49 44-10-6
3.24 22-5-4
9.73 66-15-10

Tanjore

[illegible] Wet			
196	" — 4 — 8½		
182	2 — 12 — 11½	2d crop land	
183	" — 6 — 8		
185	" — 7 — 14		
	2 — 33 — 9½	2·84	28 — 14 — 8
Garden			
157	" — 19 — 1½		
158	" — 23 — 5¾		
161	" — 9 — 14		
No. 1 in 176	" — 12 — 1½		
2 in 176	" — 12 — 15		
180	" — 12 — 9		
184	" — 7 — 15¾		
156	" — 2 — 7		
172	" — 4 — 11		
173	" — 4 — 11		
174	" — 6 — 1½		
175	" — 4 — 11		
178	" — 15 — 13		
179	" — 8 — 8		
	3 — 24 — 11¾	3·62	10 — 7 — 6
Dry			
195	" — 36 — 9		
155	1 — " — 7½		
160	" — 1 — 8		
	2 — 18 — 8½	2·46	2 — 7 — 11
	8 — 36 — 13¾	8·92	41 — 14 — 1
Gramanthok			
159	" — 2 — 8		
177	" — 1 — 10		
184	" — 22 — "		
	" — 26 — 2	·65	" — 10 — 7
	9 — 22 — 15¾	9·57	42 — 8 — 8

For the expenses of Vahiram Khan's Takkiya in the village — " — 9 — 6 — Not known. Not known. No documents

The Takkiya is [illegible] in good order [illegible] maintained [illegible]

[illegible] named in col: 15. The extent entd. under No. 4 in the Pain.? appears from deed of sale produced [illegible] whose [illegible] purchased in [illegible] before the land [illegible] by [illegible] yatham conjointly with the father of the parties named in the No. Of that extent his grand son No. 1 [illegible] 4011 enjoys [illegible] — 3 — 7¾ in equal shares the former with [illegible] No. [illegible] 16 out of the extent in his brother's name. The rem. [illegible] — 3 — 9¾ of the extent under No. 4 [illegible] in the enjt. of No. 11 whose father purchd. them in the years 1856 & 1859 from No. [illegible] produced. What is entd. in the name of No. 13 appears in the Pain.? in the name of Khader Khan [illegible] had been purchd. in [illegible] by the

Vahiram Khan's Takkiya

[illegible] of the Pagoda. [illegible] No. 15 enjoys was purchd. by him & [illegible] in 1839 from Khader Khan, a portion [illegible] in 1858 from one who had [illegible] of them in [illegible] No. 16 & 17 [illegible] whose [illegible] purchased in the name [illegible] No. [illegible] purchd. [illegible] (No. [illegible])

No. 4216
Vahiram Khan Takkiya [illegible]
" — 2 — 16 — 8
in the [illegible] as per [illegible] of 4225
[illegible] — 6 — 13¾
1 — 2 — 4¾

No. 4238
Rairam Khan Takkiya [illegible]
1 Khader Khan — 6 — 11 — 3¾
2 Makan — 1 — 19 — 15½
3 Radisa [illegible] — " — 8
4 Muttiyan & Palani — 12 — 1½
5 Rairam — 17 — [illegible]
6 Appu — " — 11
7 Vaiyapuri — " — 14½
8 Rahiman — [illegible] — 11
9 — 38 — 13¾
[illegible] — 26 — 2
[illegible] — 28 — 15¾

62
2. Mahammadali
30
3. Muttu Naik
40
4. Arumugam Sengondan
50
5. Ramu Madali
33
6. Pattea
40
7. Paki Dadamiya
8. Pachamiya
52
9. Venkatachala
46 Naik
10. Virappa Naik
45
11. Mukkadan
35
12. Muttusami Naik
50
13. Dharmaraja at Ullur
Managers
Chinnasami Nayak & 2 others
14. Vahiram Khan's Takkiya at Ullur
Manager
Beheram Khan
15. Mira Hussain
55
16. Namiki

Wife 1, son — 1/2
(2)
Wife 1, son 1 brother — 1/3
(3)
Wife 1, sons — 2/3
(4)
Wife 1, sons — 4/5
(5)
Wife 1, son 1 brothers — 2/4
(6)
Husband 1 Daughter — 1/2
(7)
Wife — 1/1
(8)
Daughter's son — 1/1
(9)
Wife 1, son — 1/2
(10)
Wife 1, sons — 3/4
(11)
Wife 1, son — 1/2
(12)
Wife 1, sons — 2/3
(15)
Wife 1, sons 3 brother — 1/5
(16)
Sons — 2/2
(17)
Son — 1/1

has 3 — 10½ out of the extent in the name of his brother Appu No. 6, and No. 2 the [illegible] in the name of his father No. 8 Rahiman who purchased them in the year Parthiva from one Alagappan. What No. 3 & 4 enjoy were purchd. by them in the years Dundubhi & Kilaka from one brother's widow & another brother's son of Vaiyapuri No. 7. What is enjd. by No. 5 & 12 was inhd. from [illegible] [illegible] 1851 & No. [illegible] was purchased in [illegible] by Mahammad father in law [illegible] [illegible] who [illegible] purchd. them from No. 2 Mahammed. What No. 8 enjoys was purchd. by her husband & [illegible] in [illegible] from the widow of Raman No. 5 and a [illegible] in [illegible] [illegible] widow of [illegible] [illegible]

The one [illegible] mentioned, the portion enjd. by the Takkiya [illegible] & those by the [illegible] though properly [illegible] in ½ [illegible] considering the length of enjoyment.

The [illegible] to the [illegible] over to the [illegible]

The [illegible] in [illegible]

[illegible] by the [illegible] the portion of [illegible] [illegible] hereby [illegible] specified in the general statement.

The whole [illegible] with [illegible] the [illegible]

24-1-[illegible]

[illegible]

6 98

" — 2 — 6
" — 2 — 10
" — 1 — 10
3 — 6 — " — 1 — 10
2 — 2 — 20 — 8½ — 12 — 9 — 2 — 6 — 8 — 6
" — 2 — 9
7 — 5 — 2 — 2 — 9
9 — " — 8 — 7
" — 3 — 2
5 — " — 2 — 7
3 — 9½ — 3 — 13½ — 4 — 3 — " — 2 — 2
15 — 3 — 6 — 6 — 2 — 13½ — 4 — 6 — 5 — 7 — 4 — 2
7 — 14 — 6 — 12 — 14 — 12 — 8 — 4 — 1 — 4 — 9
4 — 1½ — 29 — 2 — 29 — 3½ — 29 — [illegible] — 3 — 6 — " — 4 — "
21 — 2 — 21 — 8½ — 1 — 2 — 10½ — 3 — 9 — 7
1 — 3 — 5 — 13 — 6 — [illegible] — 3 — 9 — " — 2 — 11
3 — 9 — 17 — 8½ — 21 — 14 — 8 — " — 5 — 11
33 — 9½ — 3 — 24 — 11½ — 2 — 18 — 8½ — [illegible] — 9 — 6 — 17 — 4 — "

Fair copied

[illegible]

Ulleur village, Kumbakonam Taluk, Tanjore District.

Register No. 245

Sheet No. 73

No.	Wet	Garden	Tope	Total	Assmt.	Kaval	Ek
1	11-16-16¼	–8-14½	–16-10½	12-2-7¼	98-8-7	1-12– 1/8	12––
2	10-39-7½	–8-14½	–16-11	11-28-¾	91-5-10	1-12– –	11––
	22-16-5½	–17-12½	–33-5½	23-27-8	189-14-5	3-8–	23––

Wet
92 –32–1/2
93 2–4–13½
95 –23–½
104 2–1–1
106 –1–11½
107 –38–11½
109 2–38–1¼
110 2–11–5
114 –18–4¼
122 –16–10
72 1–22–1¼
73 1–21–9½
79 –25–14½
80 1–8–5½
81 –3–10¼ 2 crop lands
83 –38–¼
97 1–33–6
99 1–7–9¼
87 –29–3¼

22–16–5½ 22·41 187–12–4
Garden 88 –17–12¾ ·44 1–4–7
Tope
91 –11–9¾
89 –15–8¾
[illegible] –3¼
·64 –13–6
23·69 189–14–5
–6–5
·96 190–2–10
At the average Wet Garden & dry rates.

தஞ்சை - [illegible]

D3/27129/61

For the personal benefit of the holder 3–8–

Local fees

Here de tail

Granted by Amarasingh Rajasahib on the 17th Ramzan Fussaly [illegible] of 1190

Sikka-recorded in the Regr of 1809. In the Sikka besides this Inam two others granted in other villages to the same party are included. Extent of grant W 3–4– with road of 14 feet

Obi Ramachari

In the Regr of 1809 Obi Ramachari as the grantee 3–4–. In F1216 as per acct. of F1226 Ramachari's [illegible] W 3–15–32

In F1238 Mithara Chari Sen [illegible] Son 23–38–11. A portion of the Inam is said to have been included in Ayan as being [illegible]

Combaconum
1 Venkatramanachari 55
2 Lakshmi Narasimhachari 27

(1) Son
(2) Grand son of the grantee

(1) Wife 1 son ½
(2) Wife 1 brother 2 brother's son ¼

To be confirmed. Ek agreed about ⅛ i.e. Rs 23–– There is no error in the term to be charged

4–2–63 Rajagopala Chetty [illegible]

IDk 965

Cefd [illegible] 16/1/[illegible]

Vide BP No. 2596/LR mis dt 14–7–97

And C.M.'s letter R.R. No 407/VL 997 dt 26–5–98.

Resumed and fully assessed

[illegible]
Inam Commr.
18–5–95

Do. Do. of Asagiri Lokehe Fakiriya in the village. The building is said to be in good condition & the usual service is performed.

Local fees

not known

not known

No documents

Vide B.P. No. 303 mis/L.R dt 22–1–1902.

The resumption of the Inam covered by T.D. No. 1742 ordered in B.P. No. 2596 mis/L.R dt 14–7–1897 is cancelled and the Inam restored.

H A Stum. Feb 24 1902
Acting Inam Commissioner.

[illegible] by their father the Inam not known as the [illegible] is not available. What [illegible] was [illegible] by the Civil Court in 1852 in a suit against her brother [illegible] for which [illegible] enjoy.

Asigiri Lokehe Fakkiya

Asagiri Lekehe Takkiya Samanam Inam Dt – 18. In F1216 as per acct of F1226 [illegible] W–1–7¼ [illegible]

In F1238 Asagiri La [illegible] Samanam 6–27–4½

1 Asagiri [illegible] Takkiya at [illegible]

Transfer

[illegible]
2 [illegible] at Kumbaconum
3 Mira [illegible] 70
4 Panchamanda Pillai 43
5 Khasim Khan 45
6 Rahimaie Bi 40
Ameenie Bi 32

(3) Wife 1 Son ½
(4) Wife ½
(5) Wife 1 son ⅕
(6) None

Regarding the [illegible] in 1826 [illegible] under No. 1 [illegible] in the [illegible] in his name. The extent in the Regr. of No. 50 is said to have [illegible]

To be confirmed in 5th [illegible] Ek [illegible] i.e. ¼ [illegible] the rest ½. The amount payable by each as specified in the [illegible] statement

23–6–63 Rajagopala Chetty
[illegible]

T.D. No. 1741 & 1742

Cerfd. [illegible] alienated to [illegible] free so long [illegible] Pagoda [illegible] N. other alien[illegible] will not be [illegible] but the [illegible] by them will be registered in the name [illegible] Vide [illegible] in Case [illegible]

[illegible]
16/6/64

6 – 8 – 4½ 6·22 6–5–9
Garden 6– –4–14 ·12 –5–7
6–27–4½ 6·18 6–15–6
as above

No.	Wet	Dry	garden	Total	Assmt.	Kaval	Ek
1	–2–5½	3–16–12½	–6–14	3–22–¼	6–2–11	–3–9	[illegible]
2	–4–4½	2–7–14½	[illegible]	–1–12	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
3	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	–7–15	–3–6	[illegible]	–1–8
4	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	–2	–1–3	–1–11
5	–5–14½	[illegible]	[illegible]	–3½	1–1–11	[illegible]	–8–11
6	–15½	–11½	[illegible]	–1–11½	–3	[illegible]	–1–6
	–13–8	6–8–14½	–6–16	6–27–4½	8–15–6	–6	1–10

Carnil Wet
407 –8–15½ ·22 1–8–9
At the average Wet rate.

For the personal benefit of the holder.

Free of tax

Do. Do. Do.

not known

In F1216 as per acct of F1226 Vaidi [illegible] Inam W–8–15¾ [illegible] extent and [illegible]

In F1238 Vaiddi [illegible] Narayan

Thiruvadurai
1 Narayana Vaidian 75
2 Srirangasami Vaidian 45
3 [illegible] Vaidian 45
4 Ramaswami Vaidian 35

(1-2-3) Sons
(4) Brother's grandson [illegible]

(1) Sons 2 grandson ⅓
(2) Wife 1 son ½
(3) Wife 1 son ½
(4) Wife 1 brother [illegible] ½

To be confirmed. Ek agreed about ⅛ i.e. Rs 4––

30–5–64 Rajagopala Chetty
[illegible]

Cefd. [illegible] 16/6/[illegible]
IDk 966

[illegible] 14/12/[illegible]

30/10/07

12 - 6

Dry	– 31 – 11¼	87 – 2 – 8 – 3	
251	– 18 – 11¼	66	– – 7 – 7
	3 – 25 – 5½	3·63	18 – 12 – 4

At the [illegible] [illegible]

For the personal benefit of the holder | Kaval fees – 4 – | not known | not known | No documents | Not known

No.	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	[illegible]	Kaval
4	1 – 21 – 16	– 18 – 11¼	– 31 – 12½	2 – 35 – 7	13 – 10 –	– 3 –
5	– 29 – 11¼	–	–	– 29 – 11¼	5 – 2 – 4	– 1 –
	2 – 11 – 12½	– 18 – 11¼	– 31 – 12½	3 – 25 – 5¼	18 – 12 – 4	– 4 –

[illegible] granted. [illegible] No. 1 & 4 [illegible] do [illegible] No. 5 [illegible]

[illegible] 10 –

22-11-63. Majid [illegible] Khan [illegible]

Magilvasini was [illegible] on the [illegible] by way of [illegible]. The Inams have been [illegible] like other family [illegible] have been sold & the [illegible] of the Pagoda do not receive & appear [illegible] exercised any control over the Inam [illegible] this [illegible] be confirmed [illegible]

In F1256 Magilvasini [illegible] Tamana [illegible] In F1238 [illegible] Pagoda Magilvasini [illegible] Aiyangar [illegible] 3 – 25 – 5¾ [illegible] In F1215 [illegible] – 11 – 7

Cumbaconam

1. Venkatagopalachariar 75
2. Sadagopachariar 70
3. Srinivasaraghavachariar 38
4. Vedantaramanujachariar 25
5. [illegible] Aiyangar 38

(1–2) sons (3–4) [illegible] sons of [illegible] [illegible]

(1) Sons — $\frac{2}{2}$
(2) Wife 1. son $\frac{1}{2}$
(3) Wife 1. daughter $\frac{1}{2}$
(4) Wife 1. son $\frac{1}{2}$
(5) Wife 1. son 1
brothers — 2
do. wives — 2
do. son — $\frac{1}{7}$

That No. 5 [illegible] was [illegible] by his father [illegible] in the year [illegible] equal shares for No. 1. 2. 4 & the father of No. 3 [illegible] [illegible]

The members of the family [illegible] this Inam belongs are [illegible] in the [illegible] "Mangilvasini" [illegible] because of their [illegible] the [illegible] in the Pagoda of [illegible] with a [illegible] peacock feathers [illegible] that the [illegible] have been granted originally for the service – but the [illegible] say that [illegible] was not [illegible] [illegible] that [illegible] it is said that [illegible]

TDK 967 968

[illegible] 20/6/[illegible]

Dry	Garden	Total	[illegible]	[illegible]
1 – 25 – 16	1 – 20 – 13½	2 – 6 – 11½	5 – 7	– 9 – 4
2 – 16 – 7½	– 1 – 1¼	– 22 – 11¼	– 13 – 11	– 1 – 7
3 –	–	–	–	– 1 –
4 – 8 – 13½	–	– 8 – 13½	– 3 – 6	– 5
5 – 12¼	–	– 12¼	– 9	– 1 –
6 –	– 2	– 2	– 4	– 1 –
7 –	– 11	– 11	– 2	– 2
8 –	– 6½	– 6½	– 6	– 3
– 15 – 4	1 – 29 – 14½	3 – 5 – 2½	6 – 7	

[illegible] Dry
816 – 14 – 10
817 – 16 – 7
818 – 5 – 4
820 – 4
821 – 1
[illegible] – 6
[illegible] – 8
822 – 4
823 – 8
828 – 16 – 2
[illegible] – 5 – 6
1 – 15 – 4 | 1·38 | 1 – 6 – 4

Garden
809 – 13 – 1
813 – 11 – 12
814 – 8 – 15
806 – 2 – 10½
815 – 3 – 8
827 – 1 – 8
1 – 29 – 14½ | 1·75 | 5 – 8
3 – 5 – 2½ | 3·13 | 6 – 7 –

Dry
818 – 2 – 12
820 – 1 – 2
822 – 12
824 – 12
817 – 6 – 9
818 – 1 – 2
819 – 12
– 13 – 13 | ·34 | – 5 – 7
3 – 18 – 15½ | 3·47 | 6 – 12 – 7

At the [illegible] Garden & dry rates.

[illegible] Cannot be [illegible] for [illegible] of the holder. [illegible] Not known No [illegible] Not known – 11 – 20.

In F1216 [illegible] 1 [illegible] Sa[illegible] 2 [illegible] 3 Abdulla Sahib 4 [illegible] 5 Ibrahim [illegible] 6 [illegible] 7 [illegible] 8 [illegible]

Cumbaconam

1 Abdul Razak Sahib 51
2 Khader Sa. 40
3 Mahamad Mira 55
4 Mir Gulam [illegible] Sahib 45
5 Gulam Khader 28
6 Ibrahim Sa. 16
7. Mahamad Khasim 40
8 Mir Amir [illegible] 31.

(1) Wife 1 sons $\frac{5}{6}$
(2) Wife 1 son $\frac{1}{2}$
(3) Wife 1 sons $\frac{3}{4}$
(4) Wife — 1. son 1. Brother $\frac{2}{4}$
(5) Wife 1 Brother $\frac{2}{3}$
(6) Wife 1 sons $\frac{2}{3}$
(7) Wife 1 son $\frac{2}{3}$
(8) Wife — $\frac{1}{1}$

No. 1 [illegible] the [illegible] in the name of [illegible] brother [illegible] in the name of another brother No. 1 Barasahib [illegible] that in the name of his [illegible] & the [illegible] the [illegible] of No. 6 – 7 – 8 & 4 [illegible] 2 – 15 out of the [illegible] in the name of No. 10 who [illegible] [illegible] for [illegible] No. [illegible] 22 being [illegible] out of the [illegible] in his father No. 5 Ibrahim [illegible] 21 – 2 [illegible] of [illegible] in the name of his [illegible] No. 1 Barasahib [illegible] 10½ out of the [illegible] No. 10 & the [illegible] No. 4. both of them [illegible] That No. 3 [illegible] in the name of his father No. 2 [illegible]

To be confirmed [illegible]

[illegible] Revenue [illegible]

The [illegible] be [illegible] marginal [illegible]

TDK 969 2 R[illegible] 16 – 4 [illegible]

[illegible] 20/6/[illegible]

21-1-64 Majid [illegible] Khan [illegible]

[illegible] No. 1 [illegible] [illegible] 8 – 13½ out of the [illegible] in [illegible] the [illegible] No. 8 his [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible] of No. 8 [illegible] in 1857 for one [illegible] the [illegible] in the name of [illegible] father [illegible] No. 6 & 7 [illegible] the [illegible] No. 2013 [illegible] 15 the [illegible] with [illegible] 12 & the [illegible] of the [illegible] No. 1 [illegible] [illegible] of sale of 1841 for the [illegible] for the [illegible]

Alteration Communicated to Collr. 6 July 1865 [illegible] Village

Kumbakonam Talug of Tanjore

Register No 245

WS No. 72

[illegible]	– 17 – 8¾		
175	1 – 14 – 6¾		
180	– 16 – 15¼		
186	– 33 – 1		
	3 – 12 – 8½	3.31	25 – 12 – 3
Garden 847	– 35 – 14		
849	– 24 – 5½		
857	– 3 – 4		
	1 – 23 – 11½	1.59	6 – 9 – 9
257 846	– 25 – 0	.63	– 10 – 3
	5 – 21 – 9	5.53	30 – 14 – 3
Paramboke 850	– 1 – 12	.05	– – 9
	5 – 23 – 5	5.58	30 – 15 –

At the assessment Wet, Garden & dry rates.

For the expenses of Shri Kasi Viswanadha swami Pagoda in the village of Comba conampet [illegible] The Kaval Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered

free — — 6 – 3 not known not known

Kasi Viswanadhaswami Pagoda Kattalai

In F1216 ~~[illegible]~~ & that Inam to with the Kumbakonam & the rest of F1226 Kasi Viswanadhaswami Pagoda Sayaratchi Kattalai W — 10 – 35¾

In F1238 [illegible] Kasi Viswanadha swami Pagoda Sayaratchi Kattalai Samvaram 5 – 23 – 5.

Kasi Viswanadhaswami at Combaconam

Trustee

Subbaraya Madali

XXX

enjoyed by No 2 need only be subjected to 7/8 as originally alienated [illegible]

If we can charge a higher rate on the ground that the alienation is improper, we can also refuse to recognize the alienation altogether.

The land ~~by~~ obtained by the Pagoda in exchange of the land now enjoyed by No 2 need not be subjected [illegible] (Vide Case No 22) because land obtained in exchange with the sanction of [illegible] authorities can only be treated on the same tenure as with the orig. Inam held. The parties that suffer by confirming the exchange on the Takya in case No 22, & the Govt. — the Takya having made the sale, has no good reason to complain — Alienations of this kind are generally not recognized more ~~on account~~ as a right of the reversionary right of Govt. than on account of protecting the interests of the Institutions which have voluntarily alienated them. — In this Case, the Govt. may forego their future interests in 7/8 of the [illegible] because one of their local officers countenanced the alienation.

P. Ventrali [illegible]

App^d WM 19/6/62

In the name of F1216 [illegible] this is in the name of [illegible] Inam. They appear for the first time in the Account of F1238. The extent of Wet [illegible] in F1216 is equal to the present extent of [illegible] the Wet portion [illegible] included among [illegible] unconnected with the other portions [illegible] There cannot in consequence [illegible] as encroachments by the [illegible] Inam. They may very probably spring up newly into existence [illegible] it is [illegible] recommended that [illegible] may be confirmed and continued [illegible] Wet [illegible] free on the rest subject to 1/2 [illegible]

Ra 2 – 12 – 5 – 2 – 15 Majandar

Wet 051	– 18 – 7¾	.47	3 – 2 – 10
Garden [illegible]	– 7 – 15		
[illegible]	– 8 – 5		
	– 16 – 4	.10	1 – 1 – 3
Dry 782	– 14 – 6½		
780	– 7 – 1		
	– 26 – 7½	.66	– 1 – 9
	1 – 21 – 3¼	1.53	4 – 14 –

At the assessment Wet, Garden & dry rates.

Do do of Bedaris Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered

Kaval — 2 —

Do — Do — Do

In F1216 Bedari Pagoda — 2 – 78 As per acct of F1226 W

In F1238 Bedari Pagoda [illegible] 1 – 21 – 3¼

Bedari

Worshipper

Appasami

Vide [illegible] No 102. Tahsildar [illegible]

2 – 2 – 15 Majandar

[illegible] Village

நத்தை-இடம்பக்கோனம்

Do Do Dharmaraja

In F1216 Dharmarajah Pagoda Dharmaraja Inam — 1 – 90

In F1238 Dharmaraja Pagoda Swami Dispr — 11 – 7½

Dharmaraja

Worshipper

Ondilar

Lokhalingam

4 – 2 – 15 Majandar

Dy Mr

[illegible] in good condition and the worship is rendered.

	2 – [illegible] – 7½		
[illegible]	– 3 – 5		
2	1 – 32 – 7		
27	– 12 – 15		
12	3 – 5 – [illegible]		
	6 – [illegible] – 2¾	6.25	43 – [illegible] – 4
[illegible]	1 – 25 – 1		
[illegible]	1 – 21 – 10		
[illegible]	2 – [illegible] – 13		
[illegible]	3 – 15 – 4		
35	5 – 4 – 5		
28	– 12 – 3		
31	– 24 – 8		
26	– 4 – 10¾		
32	– 30 – 1¼		
16	– 38 – 14½		
18	3 – 20 –		
17	6 – 15 –		
21	2 – 22 –		
24	1 – 12 – 5		
	30 – [illegible] – 6	30.89	31 – 3 – 4
	37 – 5 – 8¼	37.13	74 – 3 – 8
Paramboke 20	– 8 – 8		
80	– 31 – 1½		
	1 – – 2¾	.01	1 – – 3
	38 – 5 – 10½	38.14	75 – 3 – 11

At the assessment Wet & dry rates.

Do do of Nagesvaraswami Pagoda in the village of Combaconam. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered. This is called "Ul thorai Kaval Kattalai" 2 – 12 – 10

Do Do Do Nageswara Swami

the Swami [illegible] the land [illegible] the [illegible] that [illegible] the land [illegible] had apparently thought that every defect would be cured by making the [illegible] Dist. Authorities a party to the transaction. I would then [illegible] recommend that the [illegible] above referred may be [illegible] and continued on 1/2 [illegible]

[illegible] the Swami [illegible] on the other No 2 with 1/2 [illegible]

20 – 11 – 62. Majandar

[illegible]

The holder of [illegible] No 1079 [illegible] the [illegible] Celes [illegible] 11 Febr 1867

No 66/4

In F1216 Nageswaraswami Pagoda [illegible] Dy 4 – 18½ As per acct of F1226. W 1 – 9 – 7½ 6 – 7 – 82.

In F1238 Sri Nageswaraswami Pagoda Ulthorai Kattalai — 38 – 5 – 10½

19/1/67

[illegible] Pillai [illegible] 17 21/32

[illegible] the exchange [illegible] (vide Takid No 22 of 29 July 1839) [illegible] In the year 1840 Ranganatha Pillai [illegible] of the land [illegible] to the Bungalow [illegible] No 2. [illegible]

Nageswaraswami at Kumbakonam

Trustee

Jaranda Appanna [illegible]

[illegible]

President

[illegible]

The extent [illegible] in the name of No 2 [illegible] Bungalow [illegible] the Bungalow appears to have been built in the year 1832 by the [illegible] with [illegible] having [illegible] the land belonging to the Pagoda [illegible] in 1835 [illegible]

the [illegible] has been [illegible] the extent now enjoyed by No 2 belongs to [illegible] the land [illegible] the Pagoda [illegible] the Pagoda [illegible] have been [illegible] from the objects for which they were originally granted [illegible] on that account properly [illegible] [illegible] such [illegible]

1078
1079

This [illegible] the case [illegible] was really [illegible] but it [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] No 2 ought [illegible] suffer [illegible]

– 11 – 8½ – 5 – ½ – 21 – 4 · 51	3 – 7 – 9 At the ordinary Wet rate	For the personal benefit of the Holder	Free of tax	Not known	Not known	No document	Not known	In F 1216 as per and of F 1226 Ramakrishna [illegible] Inam N – 1 – 43½	In F 1238 Ramasawmy Ayyangar [illegible] – 20 – 4	Coombaconam Ramakristna Vathiyar 38	Brother's grandson of the party named in col. 14	Wife, Brother's 2 Brother's son 1/4	To be confirmed. He agreed but ⅛ in Rent 5-2-63. Majendrala Charlu	T.D. No 975 1 – 4
· 35 · 31 7 – 10 1 – 99	2 – 6 – 2 – 14 – 4 5 – 1 – 3 8 – 5 – 9 At the [illegible]	For the support of Roman Catholic Church in the village. The Church is in good condition	Kavul free	Do	Do	Do	Church	In F 1216 Madakovil Sawmy Inam 1 – 78	In F 1238 Madakovil Sawmy Inam 5 – 25 – 12¾	Roman Catholic Church at Coombaconam Manager Dorisawmi Mudaliar			To be confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Church 5-2-63. Majendrala Charlu	T.D. No 976
1 · 83	1 – 5 – 11 – 7 – 9 1 – 13 – 8 At the average dry rate	For the support of a Takkia or called Bavan Sahib Takkia in the village. The Takkia has ceased to exist and the Inam is in the enjoyment of private individuals	Kavul free	Do	Do	Do	Takkia	In F 1216 Bavan Sahib Takkia Inam – 2 – 17	In F 1238 Bavan Sahib Takkia Inam No. 152 by the Madakovil and No. 153 by Ibrahim Khan 1 – 23 – 7½	Roman Catholic Church at Ullur 1 – 14 – 7½ Manager Dorisawmi Mudaliar 2 Khasim Khan 45. – 18 – 15¾ [illegible] have been lost [illegible]	Wife 1 (2)	The extent in the name of No 1 was purchased in 18[illegible] by Dorisawmi Mudaliar [illegible]	The institution for which the Inam originally was granted having ceased to exist and the lands being in the enjoyment of [illegible]	T.D. No 1103 / 04 [illegible]
129 121 90 128 129 127 125	– 16 – 1 – 37 – 9½ 4 – 21 – 8½ – 9 – – 9 – – 1 – 4½ – 3 – 6 7 – 34 – 5 · 7·85 8 · 25	2 – 11 7 – 16 – 11 10 – 10 – 9 At the average Wet [illegible]	For the support of a Mannar Chetti's Water Pandal Chavadi in the village. The building is in good condition and the charity is well maintained	Kavul Free – 2 – 11	Do	Do	Do	Mannar Chetti's Water Pandal Chavadi	In F 1216 Mannar Chetti Water Pandal Inam – 18 – 10	In F 1238 Mannar Chetti Water Pandal Inam 8 – 10 – 5	Mannar Chetti's Water Pandal Chavadi at Ullur Manager 1 Rajagopala Chetti Lakshmi Kristna Chetti Venkatrama Chetti 2 Marimuttu Chari 45 3 Anandappa Chetti 45 4 Mira Hussain 70	(2) Wife 1 sons 3 4 (3) Wife 1 brother 2 (4) Wife 1 sons 5 6	Nos. 2 & 3 are enjoying purchased by the respectively in the years 1840 & 1841 from the managers of the Chavadi in pursuance of Sale Deeds	To be confirmed. [illegible] the other [illegible] both ½ [illegible] 2 – 8 22-4-63. Majendrala Charlu	316 [illegible] [illegible] Manager 16/6/62
Do Charitable Garden 149 1 – 9 150 1 – 7 2 – 16	2·45 7 – 7 – 7 At the average garden rate	Do of Kavul a Vadukanadha Pillai's Water Pandal Chavadi in the village – 2 – 6	Do	Do	Do		Vadukanadha [illegible] Chavadi	In F 1216 Vadukanadha Pillai's Water Pandal Inam – 7 – 18	In F 1238 Vadukanadha Pillai's Water Pandal Inam 2 – 18	Vadukanadha Pillai's Water Pandal Chavadi at Ullur Manager Sawmynadha Pillai			To be confirmed 5-2-63. Majendrala Charlu	T.D. No 977 Confirmed 16/6/62

Nos.	Dry	Total	Aset.	Kavul	2 Re	
		5 – 28 – ¼	5 – 28 – ¼	5 – 12 – 1	– 1 –	128
		– 14 – 15½	– 14 – 15½	– 6 –	– 1 –	
16		– 30 – 7½	1 – 6 – 7½	3 – 8 – 2	– 6 1 – 13 –	125
		– 14	1 – 14	1 – 6 – 1	6 – 3 –	
16		7 – 34 – 5	8 – 10 – 5	10 – 10 – 9	– 2 11 2 – 8 –	2 20

Remarks No 20 vide [illegible] dated 18-9-5 of 3-5-11 (this office No. 436 of 11)
Ag. Inam Commr.

Register of [illegible] of Ullar No. 18 in the Combaconum [illegible] Combaconum

Register № 245

Sheet No. 71

Ullur Village Kumbakonam Taluk

நிலை - [illegible]

D3/27/29

[illegible] and [illegible]	Wet 275 2-9-8¾ 277 „-27-2 278 1-24-„ 4-20-13¾ Dry 276 3-4-1¾ 279 „-13-12½ 3-17-14¾ 7-38-12	4·52 3·45 7·97	31-1-9 3-7-8 34-9-5 At the average Wet and Dry entered [illegible]	For the expenses of Sri Sarangapaniswamis Pagoda in the village of Combaconam. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Dvadasi Kattlai"	Kaval fees „-8-„	Not known	Not known	No documents	Sri Saranga pani swami	In F1216 Sri Sarangapani swami Dvadasi Kattlai Sarwamanyam Dry 10-26 As per acct. of F1226 Wet 12-9¼ 1-3-15¾
										In F1238 Sri Sarangapani swami Dvadasi Kattlai Sarwamanyam 7-38-12
[illegible] in the [illegible] [illegible] To be confirmed. 30/12/62. Rajagopala [illegible]										

Sri Sarangapani Swami at Combaconum Trustees Viraraghavaiengar Chakrapani Chetti Venkatachala Chetti Received from the Collector's Office [illegible] the [illegible] is the [illegible] distinguished into Wet Dry &c. is given [illegible]	This Inam & the others following contain each some Wet lands &c. The [illegible] acct. of F1216 received from the Collector's office specifies only the Dry portion of the several Inams as the measurement of that Fasli was limited to the Dry lands &c. There is an account with the Kurnum, supported by the "Olaga" of F1226, in which the [illegible] of Wet Dry &c. belonging to each Pagoda &c. are specified. In the measurement acct. of F1226	Rs. 563 Conf'd RC 18/11/63

Do.	Wet 481 1-25-3¼ 482 „-2-¼ 484 „-16-2 489 „-32-9 488 „-5-10 3-1-6 Garden 483 „-26-7 485 1-5-1¼ [illegible] 487 „-12-„ [illegible] „-16-15 572 „-2-3 844 „-12-5 846 4-36-14¾ 568 „-1-12 8-34-1¾ Dry 480 1-21-10¼ 479 1-11-7 570 „-3-7 2-36-8¼ Poramboke 845 „-6-12 14-38-10½	3·05 8·85 2·91 ·17 14·96	20-14-„ 25-7-5 2-15-1 „-2-9 49-11-3 At the average Wet, Garden &c. [illegible] [illegible] 2-14-3 [illegible]	Do. do. of Sri Nageswaraswamis Pagoda in the village of Combaconam. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered. This is called Arddhajama Kattlai	Kaval fees „-„-„	do	do		Sri Nageswaraswami	In F1216 Nageswaraswami Arddhajama Kattalai Sarwamanyam Dry 14-21 As per acct. of F1226 Wet 6-91 2-6-12
										In F1238 Sri Nageswaraswami Arddhajama Kattalai Sarwamanyam 14-38-11½

Sri Nageswaraswami at Combaconum Manager of the Kattlai Chitambara Mudali The acct. of F1226 [illegible] the whole the Kurnums acct. of F1226 appears to be a true one [illegible] as much as the entries [illegible] are borne out by the Circar Accts. for F1216 & 1226. 30/12/62. Rajagopala [illegible]	Vide remarks in the preceding case. To be confirmed. The [illegible] [illegible] Sunnud [illegible] [illegible] specified in the Kurnums acct. &c.	Rs. 564 Conf'd RC [illegible]

Extract granted [illegible] appavoo chetty 7th September 1864	Wet 406 1-2-13¾ Dry 405 7-31-8 404 2-4-„ 407 1-27-11 11-23-3 12-26-¼	1·07 11·58 12·65	7-5-11 11-11-2 19-„-„ At the average Wet & Dry [illegible]	do. do. of Kaval fees „-6-3. This is called "Sabhapati Kattlai" after the name of Sabhapati [illegible] Swami in the Pagoda of Nageswaraswami	do	do	do	Asaliow	In F1216 Nageswaraswami Pagoda Sabhapati Kattalai Sarwamanyam Dry 11-91 As per acct. of F1226 Wet 3-15 1-18-5
										In F1238 Sri Nageswaraswami Sabhapati Kattalai Sarwamanyam 12-26-¼

Sri Nageswaraswami at Combaconum Trustees Varadu Appiva Chetti Subbaraya Mudali	Vide remarks in Case No. 102. To be confirmed. 30-12-62. Rajagopala [illegible]	Rs. 565 Conf'd RC [illegible]

Do. [illegible] lands [illegible] is 18-8-20. The [illegible] in the Inam [illegible] Dry [illegible] 1-7½ and [illegible]	Wet 311 1-32-¼	1·80	12-6-3 At the average Wet rate	Do. Do. of Kaval fees „-6-3. Sri Kasi Viswanadhaswamis Pagoda in the village of Combaconam. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered. This is called "Arddhajama Kattlai"	do	do	do	Kasi Viswanadha swami	As per acct. of F1226 Kasi Viswanadhaswami Arddhajama Kattalai „-4-50
										In F1238 Kasi Viswanadhaswami Arddhajama Kattalai 1-32-¼

Kasi Viswanadhaswami at Combaconum Trustee Subbaraya Mudali	Vide remarks in Case No. 102. To be confirmed subject to the [illegible] of [illegible] the Inam. 30-12-62. Rajagopala [illegible]	Rs. 566 Conf'd RC [illegible]

Do.	Wet 400 „-6-9 Dry 401 1-15-„ 421 „-31-10 399 „-38-4½ 472 „-8-4 4-14-12½ 4-21-5½	·16 4·37 4·53	1-2-1 4-6-8 5-8-9	Do. Do. of Sri Somanadha swamis Pagoda in the village of Vanadurai. The Pagoda is said to be in	Free of tax	do	do	do	Sri Somanadha swami	In F1216 Vanadurai Somanadha swami [illegible] „-12-40 [illegible]
										In F1238 Sri Somanadhaswami [illegible] 4-21-5½

Sri Somanadhaswami at Vanadurai Trustee Mari Padayachi	Vide remarks in Case No. 102. To be [illegible]	Rs. 567 Conf'd

30/10/09

28

Cl	325 Dry 366 371	1-26-2 1-37-12½ 1-13-7¼ 3-11-3¾ 4-37-5¾	1.65 3.28 4.93	11-5- 3-5-1 14-11-1 At the average Wet & Dry rates	For the worship of Mangalanayaki Amman in Adi Kumbheswaraswami Pagoda at Combaconam The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered This is called "Sukravara Kattalai"	Kaval fees —11-4	Not known	Not known	No documents	Mangala Nayaki Amman	In F1216 Sri Adi Kumbheswaraswami Mangalanayaki Amman Sukravara Kattalai Dry—10-62 As per acct of F1226 W—4-64 —15-6	In F1238 Sri Adi Kumbheswaraswami Mangalanayaki Amman Sukravara Kattalai 4-37-5¾	Mangalanayaki Amman at Combaconam Trustees Gopu Natarajachetti Maddakomara Chitambara Chitti Subbaraya Madali	Vide remarks in Case No. 102 To be confirmed 30-12-62 Dy Colr	T. Dn 568	Conf M C 128
Do Cl Grant of the Inam To be confirmed 30-12-62 Dy Colr	Tope 326	—5-1	.13	—2-2 At the average Dry rate.	Do Do of Iluppadi Pilleiyar's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered	Free of tax	Do	Do	Do	Iluppadi Pilleiyar	In the acct of F1215 with the Karnam —40 the same as per acct of F1226	In F1238 Iluppai Pilleiyar Pagoda Inam —5-4	Iluppadi Pilleiyar at Ullur Worshipper Saminigurukkal	The [illegible] in the acct of F1216, in which this Inam is said [illegible] the name "Iluppadi Pilleiyar" alone stands. But in the acct of F1215 with the Karnam the Inam is said [illegible] corresponds with what is said in the acct of F1226 as the Inam	T. Dn 569	Conf M C 128
Do Cl	Wet 160	—9-11	.26	1-10-8 At the average Wet rate.	Do Do of Vatti Pilleiyar's Pagoda in the village The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is performed		Do	Do	Do	Vatti Pilleiyar	In the acct of F1215 with the Karnam Wet —19 the same as per acct of F1226.	In F1238 Vatti Pilleiyar Pagoda Inam —9-11	Vatti Pilleiyar at Ullur Worshipper Saminagurukkal	Vide remarks in Case No. 102 To be confirmed 30-12-62 Dy Colr	T. Dn 570	Conf M C 128
Do	Dry 157 160	—11-1 —4—11 —15-12	.35 .24 .59	[illegible] —8 —6-4 At the average Dry rate	Do Do of Mariyamman's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered	Kaval —2—	Do	Do	Do	Mari Amman	In F1216 Mariyamman Pagoda Inam —1-25	In F1238 Mariyamman Pagoda Inam —15-12	Mariyamman at Ullur Worshippers Veliyadam & Arunachalam	To be confirmed 30-12-62 Dy Colr	T. Dn 571	Conf M C 128
At the average Wet & Dry rates	Wet 1 1 8 9 10 12 15 Dry 11 7 13 4 5 16	—29-1½ —22-12½ —22-10½ 1—9—7½ —25—8 —15— —29—4 4—35—3¼ —16—3 —1—3½ —11— 1—5—1½ 1—33—12½ —10— —1— —16— 7—4—13½	4.88 1.82 .40 7.12	33—8—9 1—14—4 —1—10 35—13—11	Do Do of Sri Komalavalliyamman's Sukravarakattalai in the Pagoda of Sri Sarangapaniswami Cumbaconum. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered. This is called "Sukravara Kattalai".	Kaval fees —7—	Do	Do	Do	Sri Komalavalli Amman	In F1215 Komalavalli Amman Sukravara Kattalai Sarvamanyam Dry 5-56 As per acct of F1226 W 14-52¾ 1—8¾	In F1238 Sri Sarangapaniswami Pagoda Komalavalli Amman Sukravara Kattalai Inam 7-4-13½	Sri Komalavalliyamman at Cumbaconum Manager of the kattalai Tiruvengadathaiyangar Rukmaniyammal & Vijayattammal	Vide remarks in Case No. 102 To be confirmed 30-12-62 Dy Colr	T. Dn 572	Conf M C 128
Cl For [illegible] site Pagoda [illegible]	158 160 Garden 51 Dry 153 159 157 Tope 155 152 150 [illegible]	—17-7 —7-9 —27— 1—19—9 —8—½ 2—4—4½ —8— 2—20—8¾ —33—2½ 5—20—4¾ —13 —1—½ 1—23—½ 1—25—[illegible]	.68 1.49 2.51 .83 5.51 .64	2—10—3 2—5— 2—8—7 —13—5 12—5—3 At the average Wet Garden & Dry rates	Do Do of Visvanadhadas Matam in the village. The building is said to be in good condition & Bairagis [illegible]	Kaval fees —6-3	Do	Do	Do	Visvanadhadas Matam	In F1206 Visvanadhadas Sarvamanyam Dry 12-24 As per acct of F1226 W 1-9½ —14-23½	In F1238 Visvanadhadas Bairagi Sarvamanyam 5-20-4¾ The Matam Chavadi & Tank Sarvamanyam Poramboke 1-25-12½	Visvanadhadas Matam at Ullur Manager [illegible] Visvanadhadas Bairagi	Vide remarks in Case No. 172 To be confirmed. The [illegible] of the Inam [illegible] of the Poram is within the average excess in [illegible] lands plus 10 p.c.	T. Dn 573	Conf M C 18/16