
Supplemental Inam Register of Tirumagadi, Combaconam Taluq, Tanjore District.

Register No (154)

Tirumagadi Combaconam Taluq.

Personal Wet Garden Land	1.82.	5-11	Jaxfasi	Irreddi Land	Vide Remark in the original Register Case No-1.	As per Survey 7 Ramanada Pandita	21 Krishnaji Pandita in charge of the Trustees Panchanadam Tiagaraja Pillai	Vide Remark in the Supplemental Register of Tiruvadhanapuram. To be confirmed under Rule 63.	Confirmed as recommended. 4/5/66
								1 8 12 ks. 15/11/65	
Personal Wet Garden	3-86. .64 4.50	23-11-0 2-14-6 Jaxfasi 31-10-6		Irreddi Land	Vide Remark in the original Register Case No-2	As per Survey 14 Ramanada Pandita	52 Krishnaji Pandita in charge of the Trustees Panchanadam Tiagaraja Pillai	Vide Remark in the Supplemental Register of Tiruvadhanapuram. To be confirmed under Rule 63. Agreed 1 8 4 ks. 15/11/65 Both these Inams are recommended to be released from attachment. 15/11/65	Confirmed as recommended 4/5/66



Tirumagudi Dale. Kumbakonam Taluk.

Qr. No. 154
Sheet No. 276

1855, 1856, 1857,
1858, 1859, 1860,
1861, 1862, 1863,
1864, 1865, 1866,
1867.

Confirmed as recommended

W. Thomas
5/5/68



Sheet No 275

named in Nos 16, 46, 49 & 50 - No 26 enjoys besides the a 1-36-¼ first referred to a 2-18-1¼ which he purchased, it is said, from present holder No 40 - In the absence of the deed of sale the party from whom the purchase was made admits the sale - No 28 who is daughter of No 28 in Column 14, enjoys out of the extent in the Paimash in the name of her brother Kuppabhattu Kosami above mentioned a -7-8, her daughter No 29 a 2-6-11¼ & No 30 by gift from her a 1-25-[illegible] - The extent in the enjoyment of No 34 was purchased by him in the year 18[illegible] from Kamakshi Ammal who is said to have purchased it from the widow of Ganesabhattar No 22 - No 38 enjoys the a -15-11¼ entered in the Paimash in the name of the Pagoda (No 44 in Column 16) together with a -18-10¼ stated to have been dedicated to him by the widow of No 22 first referred to - The extent so dedicated to the Swami appears in his name in the Dhaganivaram of F.1258 - No 39 enjoys a 1-9-12¼ being the share of his grand father Appuvaiyan in the extents under Nos 28, 29, 6 & 9 in the Paimash with a -4-14 which he (No 39) purchased in 1863 from the widow of one Ananda Panditor to whom they are stated to have been sold by the party first named in No 34 in Column 15 - No 40 is grand son of Seshavadhani named in Column 14 & 15 (No 3 & 18) & he enjoys a -24-1 from out of the extent in the Paimash in the said Seshavadhani's name (No 18), and a -7-86¼ purchased by him (No 40) in 1845 from No 20 Venkatakrishna Dikshitor - No 41 & his brother's son No 42 enjoy in equal shares the extent in the name of Bapu bhattar (No 40 in Column 15 or No 38 in Column 14) father of the first & grand father of the 2nd second - What No 44 enjoys was purchased by his brother's widow Rojabhoyi Ammal in Visvavasu from the mother of Vasudeva Panditor named in No 42 in Column 15 - Rojabhoyi is said to have died 4 years ago - The extent in No 45's enjoyment was purchased by him in 1840 from Sakaram bhattar out of the extents under Nos 6, 12, 19, 22, 31 & 51 in which he & his cousin Saminadha bhattar are named & that under No 54 in which his brother Kuppabhattar's name appears. No 47 enjoys a -18-6 out of the extent in the name of her father Sakaram bhattar named in No 53. The a -37-5½ which No 50 enjoys were purchased by her husband, it is said from Seshavadhani No 18. The deed of sale is stated to have been lost, but the purchaser's name appears in the account of F.1258. Regarding the extent in the enjoyment of No 3, Vide remarks in the Register of Madharapuram. It appears in the Paimash under Nos 26, 36, 27, 45 & 55, in which his father Venkoba Panditor & the donor Radhabhoyi's names are entered - The extent entered in the name of No 57 is made up of portions of those under Nos 14 & 15 in which his father is named & No 51 in which he had a share - Ramanadha Panditor's name appears also in the Register of 1809 (No 16) - The extent entered in the name of No 43 is made up of the a -1-16 referred to in the remarks in the decision & a -3-9¼, -3-6¼, -1-2½, -9-2, -1-13½, -8-15½, -3-3¾, -1-8½, -3-7, -5-1, [illegible], -4-4½ & -27-½ respectively out of the extents under Nos 2, 13, 17, 9, 25, 31, 51, 16, 46 & 55 - The whole of the above extent is said to have been acquired by purchase - But Visvanatha Dikshitor named in the above numbers

III

(Column 14 Continued)

32 Aiya Dikshitor absence
W -2-62½
D -25
-2-87½

33 Ramasvami Dikshitor Do
W -8-75
D -3-75
-12-50

34 Subbraya Bhattar Do
W -62½
D -25
-87½

35 Jivaratnaiyar Do
W -8-75
D -1-25
-10-

36 Hari Panditor Do
W -3-75
D -50
-4-25

37 Venkatacharyar Do
Dry -25

38 Bapu bhattar Do
W -1-25

39 Sri Rama svami Pagoda Do
Dry -13-50
W 8-7-50
D 5-13-30
14- -80

29 Appuvaiyan -10-10
3[illegible] Sankara Dikshitor -33-12
3[illegible] Sakaram bhattar, Saminadha bhattar, Visvanatha Dikshitor 1-18-16½
[illegible] Ganapati Seshavadhani 1-8-12½
3[illegible] Anna Sastri -37-2¼
3[illegible] Vasudeva Panditor & Anna Sastri -29-1
35 Aiya Dikshitor 1-37-10½
3[illegible] Tiruvidandur Rama svami Pagoda & Ganapati by Venkoba Panditor -39-3½
37 Bhavani Rayer 2-10-12½
38 Ramaiyar & Krishnaiyar 2-5-5½
39 Nagam bhattar -7-1
40 Bapu bhattar -17-9¼
41 Nagambhattar & Vasudeva Panditor 1-32-4½
42 Seshavadhani & Jivubhoyi 1-31-13¼
43 Appuvaiyangar -37-7¼
44 Isvaran Pagoda -15-11¼
45 Radhabhoyi & Srinivasaiyangar -9-3
46 Vasudeva Panditor, Kuppabhattar, Kosami & Venkatasaiyangar 3-8-1
47 Minammal -3-17¼

(Column 16 Continued)

36 Mallari Rayer Age 19
37 Sri Varadaraja Perumal at Swamimalai Dharmakarta Krishna Setti
38 Sri Visvanadha Svami at Tirumangudi Worshipper Samu Gurukkal
39 Samu aiyan Age 30
40 Namaiyan Age 40
41 Nagam bhattar Age 49
42 Atmanadhaiyan Age 9
43 Tiyagaraja Pillai Age 26
44 Sankara Rau Pesva Age 55
45 Subbarayer Age 60
46 Sri Gopalakrishnasvami at Tirumangudi Manager Minakshibhoyi
47 Krishnam bhattar Age 40
48 Gopalaiyangar Age 45
49 Gangadhara Panditor Age 42
50 Vijayapperumal Age 35
51 Srinivasayangar Age 35

Wife 1 brothers 3/4
Wife 1 brothers 3/4
Wife 1 Sons 2/3
Wife 1 Son 1 daughter-in-law 1/3
Mother 1
Wife 1 brothers 2/3
Wife 1 daughters 2/3
Wife 1 Sons 2/3
Wife 1
Wife 1 Sons 2/3
Daughter (married) 1
Wife 1

[illegible] of present holder (No 14) & No 20 & Nos 21 & 22 his (Appuvaiyan's) brother's grand son & sons respectively - the remainder or a 4-6-8½ with a 1-3-7¼ purchased by No 20 & the father of No 20 (a -22-5½) in Nala from Visvanadha Dikshitor named in Nos 3, 10, 13, 17, 25, 31 & 51 in Column 15 & one a -1-15 in 1857 from No 14 in Column 16 & Sundry a -1-15½ in Pingala from Tiyagaraja Pillai who is said to have bought them from No 53 in Column 15 & a -7-3½ year unknown as the deed is not available from son of one Pichu Sastri) No 16 is daughter-in-law & No 17 uncle's grand son's widow of No 33 in Col 14. What they enjoy appear in the Paimash in the name of Venkata Krishna Dikshitor husband of the second or No 17 - No 25 is Dowhika of No 32 in the Register of 1809. What No 27 enjoys with a 3-3¾ disposed of by him in Harmala to No 26 ~~enjoys~~ ~~[illegible]~~ was given in gift to his uncle in the year Kilaka by the widow of Kuppabhattu Kosami (son of Nos 20 & 21 in Column 14)

(Column 21 Continued)

to pay out of the proceeds 20 Hons for its expenses. This amount only it can lay claim to. When on a former occasion the lands were claimed for the pagoda, in a suit, the then Civil Judge Mr. declared, the pagoda entitled only to receive the 20 Hons - This amount has not been claimed or paid from the last 20 or 25 years. No 3 has a good right to hold what was alienated his grand father & his family have so long held.

No 7 mentioned in document executed by Umabhoyi Ammal (mother-in-law of Nos 1 & 2) as her "dowhika" is said to have been adopted by her daughter Nachibhoyi Ammal whose husband's brother's son he is - one or two in the Village say that the adoption did not take place, while others allege that it did. Whether it did or did not take place, he is the rightful heir to Nachibhoyi Ammal - She solicits registry of all her property in his name, & even the transfers made by her mother for her was made to him.

Whether Krishnaji Panditor No 52 in this Case, No 21 in Case No 1 or No 48 in Madharapuram is in existence or not is not known. He appears to have left these parts 17 or 18 years ago & to have given no intimation to his people of his whereabouts. About 10 years after his disappearance, his father in 1857, whilst dying willed that his Inams in this Village & his house &c be under the management of his friends Panchanadaiyan & Tiyagaraja Pillai, who are to pay to his sister their proceeds with the

(Column 21 Continued)

y

in the Pannash & though the deed of sale is not available for it. The fact of the purchase is mentioned in the Inam Register of F 1205, & is therein entered in 22 3/8 mother's name - The third & fourth appear in the Pannash in the joint names of Kuppabhattakósáni & Bhavánni Ráyen in the Rhagaya - ram account of F 1258.

For the last or a - 4 - 12 1/4 No 39 produces a deed of sale executed to him by the widow of Anantabhattar, entered in the account of F 1258 as enjoyed by 8th from Vasudéva Panditor, in Chase & Annasachin's joint names it - appears in the Pannash - Thus the document or of evidence, being shewn on the side of the parties in whose names they are now entered - I have disallowed the 4th 3 Claim, registering in his name what the Karnam has entered for him, with the first is a - 1 - 6. —

The lands in the Village are Nellamdarttam, that is, the holdings are permanently divided - To be Confirmed & Continued therefore in separate Pattahs - The amount of Quit Rent payable by each holder & the rate at which it has been charged are specified in the marginal statement -

1487 / Rajagopála Chetty
Deputy Collector
&

Appeal Petition No 50 of 1865 from Chinnanadarajan & Peyagaraja Pillai Complaining of the attachment of the shares of Sinthaiya Pandaram No 52 in this Case No 21 in Case 2 & No 48 in Mádhavapuram while they are Trustees -

Written to Collector to release the shares -

Off. Inam Commissioners
5th December 1865

(Column 5 Continued)

x

48	Vasudéva Panditor & Radhabhaye	a - 2 - 14 1/2
49	Vasudéva Panditor & Kuppabhattar Kósáni	1 - 0 - 8 1/2
50	Bhavanni Ráyen & Kuppabhattar Kósáni	7 - 2 - 3
51	Vasudéva Panditor towards mirasi - pangu Kuppa - bhattar, Subbaram - bhattar, Siva - nadha & Nilakanta Vishvadhani & Sitarama	2 - 12 - 12 1/4
52	Vasudéva Panditor & Appamayyan & Vishvadhani	81 - 15
53	Subbaraya bhattar & Saminadha bhattar & Appamayyan & Sankaradhani	1 - 37 7
54	Appamayyan Venkatasubbaya Sastri & Kuppa bhattar	1 - 33 - 5 3/4
55	Venkata Rao Panditor & Anna Shastri	1 - 14 - 1
56	Vaidyanayan	1 - 17 - 10
57	Farm	a - 9 - 2 3/4
		151 - 5 - 1/4

(Column 20 Continued)

H

and a - 3 - 7 in Pandu from the widow & daughter-in-law of Subramanya Peyagaraja who had come into possession by purchase in Fasalu from Vishvanadhani in Column 18 granted Inam documents are available - For the rest they are stated to have been lost - H (a 1 - 13 - 2) appears however in his name in the account of 1258 - —

(Column 2 Continued)

sums or interest that may be realized of money lent out by him - That she (the testator) left them in trust for the absentee for a period of 10 years - that if he returns in that time, the trustees make over every thing to him - that if he does not make his appearance, the whole be made an endowment of a Devadaya Kattalai, & that the testator take for herself 100 Rupees.

It is 7 years since he left the Will & died - The deceased testator receiving her one hundred Rupees has left the others in sole management; no due has been obtained to the sons existence or whereabouts - The proceeds are said to be in the hands of the 2 individuals above named - I have strong doubts as to whether we are to respect such disposition of Inam property, or in other words whether we can continue the Inam under such Circumstances - I leave the question to the Commissioner for disposal.

The Karnam has entered in the statement a 2 - 9 - 7 3/4 for No 43 Peyagaraja Pillai - But this man states that he enjoys more or a 5 - 19 - 2 1/4 that is what the Karnam has entered for him with a a - 1 - 6, a - 6 - 10, 1 - 13 1/2, 1 - 36 - 7 1/4 & a - 4 - 12 1/4 respectively out of the 8 shares entered in the names of 4 - 7 - 13 - 26 & 29 - No 4 says that the first is a - 1 - 6 is - a Nattangal & that it is included in the deed of sale executed to him by the claimant for some lands in the Village - Referring to the deed of Sale, it is not clear, that the extent in question is included in it, & as it appears that it is the Nattangal for some lands which No 43 received to himself after the sale to No 4 - I have entered it in his name. The second or a a - 6 - 10 is alleged by No 43 to have been purchased by him in Fridhi from Vishvadhani in whose name it appears in the Pannash; the third & fourth or a 1 - - 13 1/2 & 1 - 36 - 7 1/4 respectively in 1858 from Krishnayangars, who he states had purchased them from Ponchapakesa Panditor & the last or a a - 4 - 12 1/4 (years not known) from Anantabhattar - He has no deeds to support any of these purchases - The extents claimed with the others in these localities generally being mortgaged or let out in common & the proceeds divided among the holders, it would be useless to go into the question of enjoyment & it would not aid them in determining who the rightful owners are - We have therefore to settle the point with reference to the entries in the public accounts & the documents in the possession of the opposite parties - The second or a a - 6 - 10 is alleged in the other bond to have been purchased by No 7th brother for a son of Vishvadhani

Tirumanur

Personal — Wet 89-26-1½ 89-30 556-14-7; Dry 21-4-1½ 21-10 56-—; Tope 19-—-7 19-02 50-777; Garden 21-4-1½ 21-12 55-2-5; 151-5-1½ 151-14 569-8-9

For the personal benefit Enam of the 29-95 holder.

Revn No 154 Sheet No 274

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Wet	Dry	Tope	Enam	2 R
1	16-4-10¾	5-5-15½	7-26-1½	23-2-3¾	21-4-3	6-11-2	½	57-— — 158
2	9-13-2¾	3-23-11½	1-31-10	14-38-7½	16-5-8	4-4-6	¼	36-— — 158
3	1-17-4¾	8-11-2	1-17-2¾	11-0-6½	31-—-11	4-4-7	½	76-— — 158
4	2-27-7	—	34-15-—	5-23-1¾	5-5-14½	22-—-11	¼	13-— — 158
5	1-38-15¾	—	—	—	1-26-15½	10-14-1	¼	8-6 — 158
6	—	56-2½	—	—	32-—-3	5-8-7	¼	4-7 — 158
7	9-58-12½	5-1-14½	1-16-2	16-8-14	79-11-1	2-7-5½	½	19-— — 158
8	—	29-13¾	—	—	29-13¾	4-7-3	¼	3-5 — 158
9	1-18-14¾	—	—	1-18-14¾	9-—-4	2-7-5	½	1-— — 158
10	—	38-14½	—	—	38-14½	5-15-4	¼	4-6 — 158
11	—	38-14½	—	—	38-14½	5-15-4	¼	4-6 — 158
12	—	—	—	—	5-13½	—	—	6-2 — 158
13	—	9-10½	—	—	8-14½	2-1	—	1-2 — 158
14	—	9-10½	—	—	8-14½	2-1	—	1-2 — 158
15	—	27-1	—	—	1-10-1½	5-11-1	—	11-1 — 158
16	3-14-6	—	22-11½	17-10-6	2-2-12	18-5-—	—	7-— — 158
17	2-14-6	—	20-11	17-11	3-2-12	18-5-—	—	7-— — 158
18					5-14-6½	23-5-2	—	10-8 — 158
19								
20	—	29-13½	—	27-13¾	—	27-14	1-19-5	26-9-1 — 3-— — 158
21	1-14-—	—	23-10	—	—	—	—	— — 3 — 158
22	—	29-13¾	—	27-12½	1-14-4	6-2-—	—	3-— — 158
23	2-12-7½	2-23-8½	8-7-1	7-5-1¾	29-7-—	2-—-1	—	1-10 — 158
24	1-26-4½	—	—	1-23-14½	1-10-1½	8-11-—	—	4-— — 158
25	—	37-14¾	—	—	3-—-6	8-—-11	—	4-7 — 158
26	2-8-2¾	—	27-4	1-12½	4-14-2	22-4-8	—	11-11-4½ — 158
27	1-13-13½	—	—	1-13-13½	8-—-4	6-10-—	—	4-— — 158
28	—	—	—	—	8-—	—	—	4-2 — 158
29	—	—	—	1-28-15½	1-14-14½	8-10-3	—	4-— — 158
30	—	5-11½	—	1-17-13½	3-15-4	8-—-11	—	4-— — 158
31	6-23-4¾	—	—	—	28-13¾	4-—-11	—	2-— — 158
32	2-16-2½	—	—	—	2-16-2½	10-11-—	—	5-— — 158
33	—	—	—	—	1-14-14½	7-10-—	—	4-— — 158
34	1-8-1½	—	—	3-13	—	1-14-14½	7-10-—	4-— — 158
35	1-29-13	—	—	—	—	1-29-13	10-11-—	5-— — 158
36	1-8-7½	—	—	—	—	1-8-7½	7-14-—	4-— — 158
37	1-28-10½	—	—	1-13½	—	28-13½	4-3-10	2-— — 158
38	1-8-7½	—	—	25-10½	—	34-7½	3-—-2	1-— — 158
39	1-28-10½	—	—	3-8	1-14-4½	6-1-7	2-11-—	1-— — 158
40	—	—	—	3-19¾	—	3-19¾	2-1-6	1-— — 158
41	1-8-12½	—	—	—	1-8-12½	1-5-6	—	1-— — 158
42	1-8-12½	—	—	—	1-8-12½	1-5-6	—	1-— — 158
43	1-31-12½	—	—	32-11½	14-8-14½	12-13-2	—	5-7-1 — 158
44	2-13-8	—	—	—	2-13-8	2-1-—	—	1-— — 158
45	1-8-12½	—	—	1-8-12½	1-8-12½	1-5-6	—	1-— — 158
46	1-8-12½	—	—	—	1-8-12½	1-5-6	—	1-— — 158
47	—	—	—	—	1-8-6	1-5-—	—	— — —
48	1-38-15½	—	—	—	1-38-15½	4-12-—	—	2-— — 158
49	1-11-7½	—	—	—	1-11-7½	1-11-6	—	1-3-10½ — 158
50	1-37-8	—	—	—	1-37-8	5-11-10	—	4-7 — 158
51	2-10-4½	—	—	—	2-12-13½	3-13-12½	4-10-11	2-3 — 158
52	3-34-8½	—	—	—	3-25-11	4-23-3½	26-9-7	1-— — 158
	89-34-1½	40-4-11½	21-4-10½	151-5-1½	789-8-9	209-3-5		

Hindu granted by Tolasi Malaiya Subedar of Tirumanur in exclusive of the Mya Abg. Saravamanyan already granted in ½ Melabhaga of the Village of Madhampuram

No Sy Nos specified. The Sy Nos of Tirumanur as entered in the Zamin Jamba account of Rs 110

W 11-—-—
D 3-17-50
Peishcush 1-10-50
15-8-—
the length of the Tank not entered

Original Sikka registered in 1909 ——— 1

The grant was for the lands in Tirumanur, exclusive of the Mya Abg. Saravamanyan already granted in ½ Melabhaga of the Village of Madhampuram

No Sy Nos specified. The Sy Nos of Tirumanur as entered in the Zamin Jamba account of Rs 110

W 11-—-—
D 3-17-50
Peishcush 1-10-50
15-8-—
the length of the Tank not entered

1 Ramaji Pandithar — Be the Register of 1909

1 Radha Bhaye 2 Granddaughter Andiyan
W —-5-—
D 1-—-—
1-5-—

2 Janaki Bhaye daughter-in-law
W 1-—-—
D —-2-50
1-2-50

3 Seshama - dhani Sepoy as alienee
W —-5-15
D 3-—-—
3-5-15

4 Seri Tayangaro Sepoy
W —-2-—
D —-6½
—-3-6½

5 Seshayangaro Be
W —-2-5½
D —-10
—-3-17½

6 Seki Pandithar Be
W 1-1-25
D —-8-—
1-9-25

7 Appavadhani Be
W —-6-25
D 1-11-50
2-17-75

8 Annadha Sastri Be
W —-1-25
D —-12½
—-1-87½

9 Kuppa Chettiar Be
W —-9-50
D 1-—-75
1-11-25

10 Ambaji Pandithar
W —-5-25
Rama Chettiar Be
W —-6½
D —-2-5
—-8½

12 Vedantayangar Ola
D —-2-50

13 Mahalinga - Avadhani Be
W —-3-12½
D —-1-50
—-4-62½

Tirumanur

In R 1-2-50	1	Minakshi Bhaye			
1 Vasudeva Pandithar 57-5-12	2	Yaga Bhaye	Ammal	Age 45	
2 Vasudeva Pandithar & grandson 2-3-1	3	Ramachandra Pandithar		Age 17	
3 Assadi in Pandithar	4	Venkatesayan		Age 67	
4 Vasudeva Pandithar the late — 2-14-7½	5	Gopala Dikshithar		Age 15	
5 Vasudeva Pandithar & Venkatesayangaru 2-17-1½	6	Ramachandra	Pandithar	Age 16	
6 Sankaram Chettiar & Appa Pandithar 1-21-—	7	Kuppusami	Pandithar	Age 35	
7 Varadesayangaru 1-28-7½	8	Vaidyachariyar		Age 58	
8 Vasudeva Pandithar & Avvadai Nachiar 1-56-4½	9	Vijayattammal		Age 60	
9 Appa Pandithar 5-15-10½	10	Subramania	Sastri	Age 48	
10 Vasudeva Pandithar & Lakshmi Ammal 1-18-4½	11	Ramakrishna	Sastri	Age 22	
11 Appa Pandithar 5-15-10½	12	Lakshmi Ammal		Age 24	
12 Subramania Chettiar & Somasundara Pandithar & Appa Pandithar 1-25-5½	13	Venkatarayan		Age 15	
13 Vasudeva Pandithar & Vasavamba 1-27-7	14	Kalliyana	Ramayyan	Age 20	
14 Rama Pandithar 2-19-7½	15	Subramanya		Age 50	
15 Vasudeva Pandithar & Rama Krishnayya 1-27-7	16	Vitla Ammal		Age 25	
16 Rama Pandithar 2-19-7½	17	Balammal		Age 20	
	18	Venkappayya		Age 57	
	19	Krishnayya		Age 52	
	20	Kuppusami	Ayyan	Age 16	

Of the Rs 1-2-50 in the name of Vasudeva Pandithar (No 1), the sum 2-3-1 on his & his mother's names (No 2)

None	Acres 5-27-4½ in the Extents in Nos 3, 8, 12, 25, 32, 41, 46, 48, 109, 51, 52 and which he is named; the a 1-25-11¾ was Nos 6857 which as owner of the major portion of the Village		
Remainder			
Wife 1 daughter ½			
Son, daughter-in-law ½			
Father 1 Father brother ⅔ / ⅓	belonged to him a 2-23-4½ and of the Extents under Nos 27, 165 in which the Coheirs named under Radalegu to be named and a 1-35-15 of the Extents under Nos 11, 16, 18, 34 from which he is said to have come into possession by four shares or ½ of the a 3-9-1-½ together, he is said to have disposed of a 1-11-3½ to Subramania Pandithar and 3a 49 given away in gift to Father of No 24 & a 1-3-13 to Father of No 23 his uncle's widow gone under Sampradayam		
Wife 1 daughter ½			
Son 1			
Brothers 2			
Wife 1 brother ½			
Brother ½			
Wife 1 brother ½ daughter ½			
Wife 1 daughter brother ⅔ / ⅓			
None			
D 2			
Wife 1 daughter ½			
Wives 2, Son 1 grandson ½			
Wife 1 brother ½			

Vide remarks on case No 1 regarding the Enam of Appanadar.

No 1 Minakshi Bhaye Ammal: Colonist registry of her lands in the name of Madhavarama Pandithar who she says is her adopted son. No 2 states that the alleged adoption did not really take place, for he refers to certain documents private & public bearing on the subject. From them it appears that Madhavarama is other than the named by Vasudeva Pandithar husband of Nos 1 & 2 in 1838 for adoption by himself or in the event of his dying without making it, by either of his widows; that when soon after Vasudeva Pandithar's death in the year above named No 1 the senior widow attempted to enter her husband's house with Madhava rama, then a child, as her adopted son, her entrance was opposed by the other widow & mother of the deceased: that the case went up through the head of Police with Joint Magistrate, who ordered the removal of the child from the house & prohibited its re-entrance thereto; as the adoption appeared to him opposed to the wishes of Vasudeva Pandithar as expressed in his Vijayanada Patrum & Lekhya & the child to the deceased house was the cause of much unnecessary disturbance; that when in that same year the question of this adoption became incidental to a Case before the Court, a reference was made to the Pandit Lekhar & Vasudeva last, who realised that the adopted adoption by a woman other than the one named by her husband is invalid; & that when in the year Saravamya the two widows & mother of Vasudeva Pandithar divided amongst themselves his property with the ½ of certain persons whom he had appointed

Title Deed No 2016 to 2086.

(Tirumanur)

⊗ 2 widows & their father-in-law Ramabhoje in the division which took place in Saravamya & a 12-14-9 fell to the share of Ramabhoje just referred to. This woman gave away in gift in Vaithiswara out of her share, a 2-16-2½ to No 32 & his uncle; a —-18-1½ to No 33, a 1-11-15½ to No 34, a 1-29-13 to No 35, a —-8-7½ to Nagammal for whom No 36 purchased them in Dindukhi; a —-28-11¾ to No 37 & bequeathed the debt or a 5-21-7½ to her Dindukhi No 7. Besides the A 11-7-11 which she had in division No 2 as says, a —-28-10½ purchased by her in the years Kaliyanthi & Siddhartu from the sons of Nos 4 & 32 in Column 16. In addition to the a 11-—-6½, Nos 7's mother acquired by purchase a 1-32-7¾ in Sakhavantu Gram No 40 in Column 16 & his grandfather Seshavadhani who had shares in the Extents under Nos 13, 17, 18, 25 & 52, a 1-—-3 in Kiroshi (Jn Sethiathur) a —-22-8½ being the a —-—-1 in the name of his brother No 39. A —-10-7½ which his father had in gift from the father of No 16 & a —-4-14 which he (the father) purchased

Whole village

Tirumaçuri village

Register No 152

Sheet No 273

For the Kaval Huts granted by Ralph Hoyt Esqr to the Sthalathar of Sattan Kudi Vaniya Chetty

Document No 2 of 1849 Register of 1849

Extract of paint

With a scale of 14 feet.

Kaval Sheriff Commissioners

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Assd	Remarks
1	12-21-11	1-22-0½	2-21-4½	16-25-3½	90-8-10	1-11-7½
2	10-11-11½	1-22-7½	1-15-→	13-11-16½	76-3-8	1-7-1
3	→-→-→	→-→-→	2-3½	2-3½	→-2-3	→-½-2
4	→-7-3½	→-→-→	→-7-1½	1-1-9	6-1-2	→-8-→
5	→-7-3½	→-→-→	→-7-3½	1-1-9	6-1-2½	→-8-→
6	→-2½-4	1-1-5	→-→-→	→-25-9	3-12-11	→-3-→
7	1-12-14½	2-→-→	→-→-→	1-12-14½	8-1-9	→-6-9½
8	→-2½-4¾	→-→-→	→-→-→	2-3-4½	4-5-11	→-3-→
9	1-27-7½	→-→-→	→-1-→	1-27-7½	10-5-8	→-8-→
10	→-12-13¾	→-13-5	→-1-7½	→-25-6½	3-7-1	→-2-→
11	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-26-12½	→-26-12½	1-12-5	→-2-→
12	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-14-13	→-14-13	2-0½-→	→-1-→
13	→-19-12	→-25-6	→-9-5½	1-22-4½	6-9-4	→-2-6
14	→-25-8	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-25-8	3-5-2	→-1-→
15	→-28-3½	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-28-3½	4-5-2	→-1-→
16	→-28-3½	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-28-3½	4-5-2	→-1-→
17	2-8-12	→-→-→	→-→-→	2-8-12	1-5-6	→-1-2
18	→-5-7½	1-4-3½	→-19-14½	→-24-5	29-12-1	1-2-8
19	→-8-12	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-8-12	1-5-6	→-1-2
20	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-10-11½	→-10-11½	1-11-6	→-1-→
21	→-32-15⅞	→-→-→	→-→-→	→-32-15⅞	5-→-11	→-5-5
	34-5-15⅞	6-28-7½	4-3-7	46-16-12½	258-→-5	6-12-3

Tirumaçuri

1 Muttulakshmi Ammal, Bhoyi, Brothers daughter

2 Yaga Ammal, Bhoyi, in-law to No 1 in Class 14

3 Ramachendra Pandaram, Pandaram, Age 19

4 Vetu Ammal, Age 25

5 Bálammál, Age 80

6 Sri Venkatesam Pandaram, Pandaram, Age 81

7 Narayanasami Rayer, Age 32

8 Ade Rumbleswaraswami alias Rumbaneuem, Manager

Kuppusami Pandaram, Manager

9 Mallen Rayer, Age 19

10 Ullangnai Ammal, Manager

11 Ullur Viswanadhadesikami alias Tirumaçuri, Manager

12 Venga Appayen, Age 80

13 Krishnayen, Age 52

14 Kuppusami Pandaram, Age 35

15 Nagambhatten, Age 49

16 Unnamala Bhatter, Age

17 Subbarayen, Age

18 Gopala Krishnaswami alias Tirumaçuri, Manager

Minatchi Bhoyi, Annam 6

(Of the above 1 to 18 in the name of Ramaswami Pandaram (No 3) the sum of 2-7¼ on the 4 his mother's widow name (No 2) and 5 to 18 being the share of the Inhabitants residing on which he is named and acres 4-8-3 which he is said to have purchased from No 13. or of the acres 42-4-12 his widow No 12 enjoy respectively acres 18-25-7¼ 4 13-3-6 & 18 his family 3rd acres 5-24-5 which were set apart for all in the Tirumaçuri which was on Saranangalam his widow and Village. No 2 being mother. 4 No 6 by 8¼ Garden acres 2-25-9 of the remainder acres 5-13-8½ which fell to the mother Muttulakshmi Ammal the gave away in 1846 in the year Sadharana to Nagammal from whom he purchased them in Dundukku acres 2-23-4¾ 6 No 8 acres 2-9½ to Nagammal from whom he purchased them in Dundukku the rest viz 1-14-8½ to her brother

Wife 1 Son ½

None

None

Wife 1 Son ½

Wife & brothers 3 brothers wives 3 ½

Wife & brothers 2 Sister's 2 ½

Sons 2

House Son 1 daughter 1 ¼

Wife 1 daughter 1 ½

Wife 1 Son 1 No 14 enjoys daughter-in-law also acres 2-9½ ½ which was given to them in 1853 by Vetu Vaidyanadayen al a public act which took place in execution of a decree of Court in a suit for money due against the Son of No 15 in Class 5

Mother & sister ½

Wife 1 Sons 2

This is an whole hat on case No 2. from an whole Inam Village. The former was first granted & the latter subsequently with the Village of Mahá-devapuram. In the second grant no Extent is specified. It confers the whole of the two Inam Villages, save the Inam that had been already granted in Tirumaçuri. The extent granted in Class 12 in the next case and in Mahadevapuram are those specified in the Zamin Jamabandi account of 1210. & those that are entered in Class 18 in the names of the holders in the two cases are as per enjoyment in 1809. The present extents of the two Villages are much higher than either of the above. The Tirumaçuri measurement account of 12. is not available for either of these Villages. No Extent being specified in the grant & the whole of the Villages having been enjoyed, it is but fair to charge Sayeq Wholeuer it may be. In this case there is no Sayeq.

All the lands in the 2 Villages are Achamaradam save 2½ Vetti Wet acres 12-14½ 5 in Mahadevapuram which are Ewaga No 7 & 8 in each acres 23-4¾ 6 for each Village are the average of the extents in the Villages adjoining it as per Jamma Rs 40-8-5. 30-1-6 & 17-8-11 per Vetti respectively of Wet, Garden & Dry & for Mahadevapuram Rs 56-15-10, 35-12-2 & 19-1-4.

To be continued. Separate Title Deeds to be granted to the several holders in each case. The amount of quit rent payable by each Inamdar & the rate at which it has been charged are specified in the marginal state-ment.

Regarding Nos 1. 2. 3. 7 & 21 Vide Remark in the next case.

(Sd) V. Rajagopala Charlu
Deputy Collector

Long Term 1850 to 1867

1879 renewed

(Remarks of the 13th Column Continued)

(Remarks of the 23rd Column Continued)

19	Iyagaraja Pillai Age 45	Wife 1 Brother 2 D.o dec.d 1		
20	Ramaswamiyengar Age 80	Wife 1		
21	Krishnaji Pandithar			

x

19 Iyagaraja Pillai Age 45 — Wife 1, Brother 2, D.o dec.d 1

20 Ramaswamiyengar Age 80 — Wife 1

21 Krishnaji Pandithar

x

No 14 enjoys besides the acre 1-14-8½ above referred to acre 2-7-12½ purchased by his adoptive mother Acres 2-5-10 in Vilambi from the Devidhar Inam in Column 14 (Some is the party named in 14 in Column 15) & Acres 2-2-14 in 1857 from the 1st No's Pichai-Sashi - What No 3 enjoys appears in the Paimash in the name of his uncle No 12 to whose father it was given in gift with other lands in Lanka by Radha Bhoji Ammal brother's widow of No 1 Sola Pandithar - Nos 5 & 4 are grand son's widow & brother's daughter-in-law respectively of No 2 in Column 14 & the 24 cent in their enjoyment appears in the Paimash in the name of Venkatakrishna Sashi No 6 husband of the Pursk. What No 12 & his brother No 13 enjoy was purchased a portion by the former in Ananda from Nallayya (who had purchased it in Hevilambi & Sowmya for Vivanadha Pandithar named in Nos 10 & 11 in Column 15 & his Co-sharers & the rest by the latter in 1857 from son of No 8 Desikar Sitharadhani second named in No 10 is said to have been then in enjoyment on mortgage of a portion of Siva-nadha Pandithar's land Nos 15 & 16 are son & grand son of Rajubhattar named in Col 14 & 15 - The 24 cent in No 17's enjoyment was purchased by him in 1840 from 2nd named in No 14, who was brother of No 3 in Column 14. The 24 cent in No 19's enjoyment with some other lands is said to have been purchased by him from Saminadha Bhattar's named in No 14 - The deed of sale is said to have been lost, but the party from whom the purchase was made admits the sale.

What No 20 enjoys is the 24 cent in the name of his father No 5 Ramaswamiyengar's.

The 24 cent under No 21 is that which appears in the Paimash in the

Sheet No 10

Regr No 57 Register of Inams in the village of Kalturai Re 324 in the above Mapanam Kumbaconam Taluk Tanjore District

Regr No 58 Register of Inams in the village of Tekkikattai Re 325 in the above Mapanam Kumbaconam Taluk Tanjore District

59 Register of Inams in the village of Periyamanallur Re 319 in the above Mapanam Kumbaconam Taluk Tanjore District

Register of Inams in the village of Vijiyamangai Re 317 in the above Mapanam Kumbaconam Taluk Tanjore District

Register No 61 Register of Inams in the village of Kailasana Thankoil Re 326 in the above Mapanam Kumbaconam Taluk Tanjore District