Register No. 66. Register of the Sarvamaniyam Village of Mruttyanjayappadaividu ... the Salaypuram Magaanum ...

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

1

Combucoanum Taluk

Entire Dittam	114-15-4	114·38	
Deduct Poramboke	23-22-8	24·55	
Remaining wet	16-26-12½	16·67	96-3-6
Dry	60-29-6½	60·73	62-7-7
Tope	6-28-9¼	6·71	6-14-7
Garden	6-28-	6·70	23-13-6
	90-32-12	90·81	189-7-2

Manual 9-12-7

This extent is exclusive of a — 39-6 being Poramboke attached to the Bangalow gardens paying rent and which I have in consequence brought under Ayen

XXX

as admitted by

~~to~~ ~~in Naranasamaiyen~~ is also passed in his name

The charity is said to be well maintained

The Petitioners produce no documents whatever in support of their claim, while the title of Naranasamaiyen is fully established by the accounts of the petitioners own admission. The Petition is accordingly rejected. 22-12-64

(Signed) A. Sashiah
Spl. Asst. Comr.

Approved
(Signed) W. T. Hair
Offg. Inam Commr.
23/12/64

The proceeds of a portion of the Inam are appropriated to the expenses of a Water Pandal &c and the rest is held for the personal benefit of the holders

Granted by Ameersing Raja Sahib on the 8th Safar Not known of Arba Tessain Maya Alaf or Fusly 1194

No documents produced. But in the Register of 1809 an Arzee Roka is recorded, from which it appears that Ramabhat grand son of Bramhabhat praying that the Patent of 10 Chakrams paid in the 1½ Velies Dry in the Village of Mruttiyanjayappadaividu may be remitted and the land given as Inam for the expenses of maintaining a Water Pandal in the road from Combacanam to Tirunageswaram and of giving food to Brahmins on Dwadasi. The request was complied with — — — — — —

Ramabhat for the Water Pandal and Victuals to Brahmins on Dwadasi in Bramhabhats Mantapam

In the Register of 1809 Dry 1-10- with a remark that the land has been appropriated to the said Charity ever since the Roka was granted. In the Izaminzumla account of Fusly 1210 — Mruttiyanjayappadaividu Alahida Sarvamaniyam Poramboke -10- Patent Punja 1-10- / 2- - -

In Fusly 1226 Sarvamaniyam Mruttiyanjayappadaividu Ramabhatter Sarvamaniyam Mirasidar Former extent 1-10- D. 6-1-1 Po. 14-22 5-23 ... 5-7-96 13-13-19 ... per ... first measurement ... 9-16-48¾ D. 13-88 ... 19-41 1-4-37 5/16 5-7-96 4-2-11¾ Extent as per Izamash W. 1-19-85 4/16 D. 9-18-98 15/16 To. 16-60 Ga. 14-84 Po. 2-7-7¾ 15-2-52 3/8 In Fusly 1238 1½ Velies Mruttiyanjayappadaividu Darvast Sarvamaniyam Mirasi Naranasamaiyen Wet 9-33-6 Poramboke 21-14 15-4 Of these 31-5½ are entered as Gentlemen's Bungalow and garden 29-8 and a ... as Cumbaconam Kasi Viswanadha Swami's Sarvamaniyam

1 Water Pandal &c at Mruttiyanjayappadaividu

Managers

Narana Samaiyen and Ramachendraiyen

2 Narana Samaiyen 71

3 Ramachendraiyen 18

4 Gurusamaiyen 40

5 Ponnusame Moodeliar 42

6 Kasi Visvanadha Swami at Cumbaconam

Managers Subbaraya Moodali

1

(2) Sons 2, Grand Sons 2/4

(3) Wife 1

(4) Wife 1, Sons 2, Brother 1/4

(5) Wife 1, Brothers 2, Sons 1/4

The extent entered in the name of No. 4 who is son of No. 2 was purchased by him in 1863 from Seshammal who had purchased it of No. 3 in the year preceding. Deeds of Sale produced. What No. 5 enjoys was purchased by his father in the year 1838 from present holder No. 1. As per Deed of Sale produced. — No. 6 is identical with the Swami named in Col. 15 and its name appears also in the account of Fusly 1226

This case is involved in considerable confusion and irregularity. In the Arzi Roka referred to in Column 12 1½ Velis Dry are mentioned. It does not specify the ayakat of the Village, and it cannot be made out from it whether there were or not other lands in it — In the Izaminzumla account of Fusly 1210 received from the Collectors Office, the whole Village is entered as "Alahidasarva maniyam and the area as V2 - - (V -10- Paramboke and V -10- Dry) In the account of Fusly 1220 when the entire Inam Villages in the District were for the first time measured V13-13-19 are entered, as the former and V14-2-11¾ as the then extent. A subsequent examination in the same the ayakat to be V15-2-52⅜. In a cadjan account with the Inamdar ... of Fusly 1210 the extent specified corresponds with that quoted in Fusly 1226 as the former Dittam and it contains particulars of Wet, Poramboke and Dry &c. No mention is made however in any of these accounts of the Water Pandal &c Charity referred to in the Arzi Roka. In Fusly 1226 Ramabhat &c in Fusly 1238 his son Naranasamaiyen are entered as the Sarvamaniyam Mirasidar — In the year 1836 when a complaint was preferred that the charity was not properly looked after and that Narayanasamaiyen was misappropriating the Inam and an enquiry was held Narayanasamaiyen pleaded that the Village had been granted to his ancestors for personal benefit and quoted in support the fact of the Inam being entered in the accounts in his father's and his own names. He stated however that after the manner of his father &c he was giving food and water to travellers that happened to visit the place. In the enquiry in a similar complaint in 1851, as it appeared that 1½ V were entered in the Register of 1809 in the name of the charity V2-8-50 out of the entire Dittam of the Village were represented to have been set apart then for the expenses of the Water Pandal &c in ~~the~~ place of the 1½ V and the rest as having been originally granted, and since then held for personal benefit — Naranasamaiyen still alleges that 1½ Velis were given for the charity and the rest as personal Inam. How only 2 Velis *

Appeal petition of Chidambara Padiachi, Virapuri Padiachi and other cultivating ryots dated 19th December 1864. No. alleging that the Narainsamaiyen and his forefathers were the servants appointed by them to manage the charity and praying that they the petitioners be recognised as the Dharmakartas and Mirasdars of the charity and Village.

The Roka grant is in the name of Ramabhat the father of the present Mirasidar and the Shotriyem is registered in all the Inam Register accounts in the name of this family.

The accts do not show accurately what portion of the Inam was intended that any part of it was granted specifically to the water Pandal. It is highly improbable that so large an Inam was granted for so limited a purpose — The Inam appears to partake rather of the character of a private charity than a public service. I am therefore inclined to confirm the whole as personal. However, since the ... the Collr. recommended the direct ... arrangement & ... now ... 18/11/64

Sheet No. 340

* happened to be entered in the Izaminzumla account of Fusly 1210 whilst the Inamdars account for that Fusly and subsequent accounts shew the Dittam to be much higher cannot be ascertained — arzi praying for the grant of the Inam specified only the extent for which the Circar revenue that was remitted, of 10 Chakrams was derived excluding the uncultivated portion and that the entry

In Petitions presented to me claiming the Village as their Inam state that the extent then under cultivation and paying a Patent to the Raja were obtained in Inam by their ancestors in the name of Ramabhat for a Water Pandal which they had founded and that the rest of the lands in the Village which were then covered with jungle were subsequently reclaimed from that state and rendered fit for cultivation. This statement strengthens the supposition that the extent mentioned in the grant and the account of Fusly 1210 was only the extent of the cultivated lands. The statement of the Inamdar that the lands in the Village other than the 1½ Velis conferred for the charity were granted to his father for personal benefit is unfounded and appears to me to be more for the fear of losing them or of these being incorporated with ayan lands. If as alleged by the Inamdar there was a grant for personal benefit it must have taken place between the date of the grant for the charity and Fusly 1210 (for in the Arzi Roka no mention was made of it and there were no grants in the District subsequent to Fusly 1210) and the extent of such grant would have been included in the Dittam specified in Fusly 1210 which is already observed was taken in the case of Sarvamaniyam Villages from the grants generally. The extent entered in Fusly 1210 cannot, under the above circumstances and in the face of the entries in the Inamdar's account

…of that Fusly and measurement account of Fus… …t in which the former Dittam for each item

and for each Pattalai also is specified, he accepted… …presenting the then area of the Village seen approximately

No mention being made of the Water Pandal and all the land… …appearing in the names of Ramabhat and his son respectively in the accounts of Fusly 1226 and 1238 there are no means of ascertaining …hat field or how much appertains to the charity and how much to the Inamdar. I have therefore entered in the name of the …ater Pandal that proportion of the present ayakat of the Village exclusive of the Poramboke, which the extent granted to it namely 1½ Ve… …re to the extent of Seykal (in the Inamdar's account of Fusly 1210 or V 8-5-23 and quoted in the account of Fusly 1236 as the former Seykal D… …the extent so entered is recommended to be confirmed and continued rent free for the benefit of the Charity and the rest subject to the payment o… …t Rent to the others or Rs 2 to 6. as the land in their enjoyment considered as p… of the Village originally granted to the Charity ought properly speaking to have… …d by it in the absence of a separate grant for it to another, and as it is not supported by entries in the public accounts prior to Fusly 1226

In the petitions referred… …as presented by the ryots, they claim the Village as their Inam stating that the Water Pandal was originally founded by their ancestors who obtained the In… …presenting the arze in the name of Ramabhat who had been appointed to look after the Charity) and who subsequently reclaimed the remaining lands in the Village fr… their jungly state. The claim is quite groundless and untenable. The right of the people, if any they had, appears never to have been asserted before this. They s… …no Documents public or private, to support their assertions. Narainasamayen's fame… in uninterrupted enjoyment for a long time making sales and purchases objecte… …of his and his father's names in the public accounts.

The 29 and odd acres entered in Column 15 as Sr. Women's Bangalow &c are held by Ponnasami Moodaliar and Ranganadha Pillai who have acquired possession of the Bangalow and Garden purchase… [illegible]

The rates of assessment adopted are the averages of those obtaining in the adjoining Parappu Villages of Komalavalli Pettai and Muthyapuram or Rupees 38-2-7. 22-8-6. and …2-9 per V especially of Wet, Garden and Dry.

(Signed) V. Rajagopala Charlu
24/12/64
Dy. Collector
I.C.

No. 275

Tanjore Collr's Office
Vallam Tanjore Taly
From the Collr. of Tanjore – 4th December 1869 –

To W. Robinson Esqre
Ag 1st Member of the Bd. of Rev: in charge of the Inam Dept.
Madras. –

Sir,

With reference to your docket on the D/23rd Nov: /69 No 71 enclosed Register, I have the honor to state, that the grant for the support of a Water Pandal as recorded in the Inam Register of 1809, being expressly restricted to a Veli and half, I think that that much alone should be confirmed rent free, and the remaining portion of the Inam be treated as personal on ¼ assessment, as recommended by the Deputy Collector. –

2. The extent to be confirmed rent free as a charitable endowment for the Water Pandal is – 10 – acres 39 Guntahs & 29 Cents, being equivalent according to the present measurement, to the 1½ Veli specified in the Register of 1809, and that to be charged with ¼ assessment is 79 – 33 – 46, acres. G. Cent. as shown in the subjoined table –

3. I do not think that the additional words "food to Bramins on Dwadasy days", gives the grant the essential character of a personal Inam. It may perhaps be said to partake of the character of both a charitable and religious endowment but in either case it is, under the Inam Rules, not personal and liable to a charge as such –

×××

Wet	Dry	…den	Total	Asst.	Haval	Quit Rent	
1 – 3 – 1 – 4 12 – 4 – …		–15 16 – 14 – 4 34 – 4 10 1 – 12 – 6 –				– –	1 F.D.
2 – 7 – 31 – 14¼ 25 – 22 …		– 8½ 36 – 3 – 11¾ 81 – – 6 4 – 9 – 3 19				– 3 – 10	1 F.D. ¼ S
3 – 2 – 36 – 13 13 – 6 – …		– 12¼ 17 – 18 – 2¼ 35 – 4 – 4 1 – 11 – 5 8				– 6 – 10	
4 – 2 – 36 – 13 12 – 15 …		– 12¼ 16 – 27 – 5¾ 34 – 7 10 1 – 11 – 5 8				– 3 – 11	
5 – – – – 3 – 19 – …		– – 3 – 19 – 12¼ 3 – 9 – 6 – – –				– 14 – 4	
6 – – – – – 29 – …		– – 29 – 8 – 12 2 – – –				– 3 – 1	
16 – 26 – 12¼ 67 – 17 …		– 90 – 32 – 12 189 – 7 – 2 9 – 12 – 7 37 – –					

×××

No	Wet		Dry		Garden		Total		Asst.			Haval		Quit Rent			
	Acres	Guntahs	Acres	Guntahs	Acres	Guntahs	Acres	Guntahs	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps	Rs	As	Ps
1	–	–	10	39·29	–	–	10	39·29	11	4	8	–	–	–	–	–	–	Rent free
	3	1·25	1	4·77	1	8·94	5	14·96	23	–	2	1	12	6	5	5	–	To be charged ¼ assessment
2	7	31·89	25	22·31	2	29·53	36	3·73	81	–	6	4	9	3	19	3	10	
3	2	36·84	13	6·56	1	14·77	17	18·14	35	4	4	1	11	5	8	6	10	
4	2	36·84	12	15·79	1	16·76	16	27·36	34	7	10	1	11	5	8	3	11	
5	–	–	3	19·77	–	–	3	19·77	3	9	6	–	–	–	–	14	4	
6	–	–	–	29·50	–	–	–	29·50	–	12	2	–	–	–	–	3	1	
	16	26·76	56	18·70	6	28·	79	33·46	178	2	6	9	12	7	42	5	–	
Total	16	26·76	67	17·99	6	28·	90	32·75	189	7	2	9	12	7	42	5	–	

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obt. servt.
(Signed) G. L. Morris
Collector.
(True Copy)

Confirmed in two tenures as recommended by Collr. in letter of 4 Dec 1869 No 275

16/12/69

42 – 2 R. Rs

Recd. with Collr's [illegible]