







Register No 58


Sheet No 196





Sheet No 195




















Sheet No 198

Sig[d] A[s] 20 Statutkhanam Continued

2 Paramil Continued

20[th] Old Continued

had purchased it, as per document produced, viz the year Sriramulu from Kuppa Chetty whose father is said to have bought it of A[s] 3 in Col 14. What A[s] 9 enjoys was purchased by him in 1855 from Govinda Padayachi to whom it is said to have been sold by A[s] 6 in Col 15. Deed of Sale 7/1859 produced. The other is not available. What A[s] 10 enjoys was obtained by his adopted father in exchange for some lands belonging to him in another Zilla, in the year Parvati from Lakshmana Chari who is stated to have purchased it from Savirai Ammal named in Col 15. Deed of exchange produced. A[s] 12 & 13 son of A[s] 1 in Col 15 who purchased the extent therein entered, in the year Swabhanu from the brothers son of A[s] 2 in Col 14. Deed of Sale produced. A[s] 18 had what he enjoys in the year Parida[vi] from Ramaswami Sastri who is said to have purchased

extent given in Durmati by his widow under Munjakani to his daughter A[s] 14, from A[s] 4 to whom they say they were sold by A[s] 4 in Col 15. Document to support the gifts to be shewn.

enjoys may have purchased extent inquestion appears in the account of A[s] 1258 in the name of the above said Ramasami Sastri. What A[s] 11 in the year 1842 from Lakshmana Chari who is said to have purchased it from Annasami ... in Col 15. Document to support the purchase by Lakshmana Chari not produced. The extent entered in the name of Amma Chari in the Panmudi is stated therein to be in his enjoyment by Sale and mortgage from much of the extent had been sold and how much mortgaged, the account does not specify. The present holder enjoys by absolute purchase from the year 1842 and no claim appears to have been preferred since or before that year. Should any claim arise hereafter it should be preferred in Court.

Sig[d] V. Rajagopala Chetty
Dy Collector &c

From Commissioner's Office
Coimbatore
5th May 1866

From Collr
J Cleveland
Asst Collr & Joint Magr

See Collector's letter Dated 15 Sept/65 No 5899
Govinda Padayachi who sold his share of the Inam to Sabapathy Padayachi No 9 in Col 16 had a Grandson (omission) Sabapathy Padayachi has since deceased His share will be confirmed to his son-in-law Maricamuttu Padayachi to whom it was bequeathed
2nd Rent at 8[as] = 2 —
No shares of 12/13 & 14 will be confirmed & then on 8/8 rent as the donors had completed 3 years.
2nd Rent for 12/13 = 2 8
" " 14 = 1 —
3 8

I. D. Nos
2216
2217
2218

Sd/ T. M.

Comp[r] A Ramanna
Ag[t]

The Inam Dist Acct
Inam Commissioner
10-65

The share of No 10 Can be confirmed on 8/8 as it is a bonâ fide Sale from the Lakshmana Chari who has been in possession of 13 years

Has Ramanna Sastri from whom No 13 received his share as a gift to his heirs —

Has been in possession of the share as a gift from his father in 1239 and has since died. The share of No 14 is also a gift to his son-in-law by No 13 from whom it is stated to be a gift by No 14 and from whom it is stated to be a gift by No 13 to his son-in-law

W Blair

Regr No. 58 Mélakkavéri (Continued)

2 Personal — Wet 6-26-3/4 6-65 64-14-8; Dry -17-11 0-44 1-1-; Garden -1-7½ 0-04 -2-10; total 7-5-3/4 7-13 66-2-8 ... For the personal benefit of the holder — Kaval fees 1-13-3 — Not known — Not known — Remarks as in Case No. 1

Sadasivabhatter

Sheet No. 199

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Asst	Kaval		Quit Rent	
1	-8-13½	-1¾	-1	-9-¼	2-2-8	-1-	¼	-8-	1 L D
2	-20-14¾	-4½	-2½	-29-5¾	7-7	-3-2	⅛	-14-	1 L D
3	2-9-6¾	-14	-7½	2-10-12¼	2-15-3	-9-10	¼	5-8-	1 L D
4	-19-14½	-3¼	-2	-20-3¾	4-12-2	-2-2	⅛	-10-	1 L D
5	-26-12	-5	-3½	-27-5	6-9-2	-2-11	"	-12-	1 L D
6		-2-1¾		-2-1¾	-2-1			-8	
7		-2-1¾		-2-1¾	-2-1		"	-8	1 L D -2-
8		-2-1¾		-2-1¾	-2-1			-8	
9		-12¼		-8-12¼	-8-5		¼	-2-	1 L D
10	-10¾	-2	-1¼	-12-1	2-14-6	-1-4	"	-12-	1 L D
11	-33-5½	-5¼	-3	-33-13¾	8-3-5	-3-8	"	2-	1 L D
12	-7-½	-1½	-½	-7-2½	1-11-9	-9	⅛	-4-	1 L D
13	-26-10	-2½	-1½	-26-14	6-8-4	-2-11	¼	1-8-	1 L D
14	-13-5½	-1¼	-¾	-13-7½	3-4-2	-1-6	¼	-12-	1 L D
Total	6-26-¾	-17-11	-1-7½	7-5-¾	66-2-8	1-13-3	---	3-12-	12 L D

In the Register of 1809 1 Chakrapani aiyen grand sons son in law of the Original grantee — Wo-6-50; 2 Subba Sastri alienee — Wo-5-; 3 Ambu Sastri do — Wo-4-50; 4 Chakrapani yachari do — Wo-1-25; 5 Vencannachari do — Wo-1-25; 6 Rama sastri do — Wo-1-; 7 Kristna sastri do — Wo--50; 1-- With a pod of 131 feet.

Inam 1238 Sadasiva bhatter Sika Sarwamaniem. 1 Chinnanna sastri -6-12; 2 Ammaiya Subbabhat (Same as No. 2 in Col 14) 1-15-6¼; 3 Savatri ammal -12-13½; 4 Appunadhaiyar 1--5½; 5 Narayanadas -24-10¼; 6 Sami aiyen -14-13¾; 7 Vijaya lekshmi Narayana sastri 1-17-3¼; 8 Anna Svami Vadhiyar -19-2; 9 Ponnambala Vatti -6-5¼; 10 Anna Chari enjoying by sale and mortgage from Chakrapani bhatter and Vengu bhatter -33-8½; 11 Samadayem -4-5; 6-35-5¼

Cumbaconam 1 Samiaiyangar age 40; 2 Saminadha iyen 28; 3 Dwadasi Kattai At Cumbaconum Manager Srinivasa Rau; 4 Sivarama Sastri 45; 5 Alamil ammal 55; 6 Chittambala vatti 20; 7 Chokkalinga vatti 48; 8 Subbramaniya vatti 40; 9 Sabhapati Padaiyachi 45; 10 Naranaiyan 20; 11 Gopalakrishna sastri 32; 12 Margasahaya Dikshatar 43; 13 Amruta Sastri 27; 14 Sankari Jammal 30

1 Wife 1, Brother 1 = 2; 2 Wife 1; 4 Wife 1, Son 1 = 2; 5 Husband 1, Son 1 = 2; 6 Brothers 2; 7 Wife 1, Sons 2 = 3; 8 Wife 1, Son 1 = 2; 9 Wife 1, Sons 2 = 3; 10 Wife 1; 11 Wife 1, Son 1, Brothers 2 = 4; 12 Brother 1, Do wife 1, Do son 1 = 3; 13 Wife 1, Son 1 = 2; 14 Husband 1, Sons 2 = 3

This Inam like that in Case No. 1 is now entirely in the hands of alienees i.e. none of the present holders are descendants of the Original grantee. Nos. 1 and 3 in this case are identical with Nos. 1 and 7 in the preceding and what they enjoy are out of the 10½ and 12¾ Mo there in referred to. The extent in No. 15 enjoyment in this Inam is made up of --12¼ -1-8 -1-13 -2-15, and -1-8 out of the extents respectively in the names of Nos. 2, 3, 4 and 10 in Col 15 with a --8 out of what is entered as Samudayem, as no documents are produced it cannot be ascertained when or how Lekshmana Chari mentioned in Case No. 1 acquired possession

+ for even where entire Villages were granted as Inam in one grant it is not usual to include the Porambok. The excess is mostly in the Pudu Nadumaniems. As part of an entire Inam Village it does not appear to me fair to charge the excess. The Village is achandarkam i.e. the holdings are not liable to exchange. What fields constitute each Inam can be ascertained from the Pamaish. But as some fields are entered as Sarvad Samudayem, others as Maniya Samudayem, and others again as Gramasamudayem according as they are common to the holders of each Sika, of each class of the Inams or of all the Inams, and the Village consists of 1159 numbers I have not given the fieldwar particulars for each case. The difference between the extent entered for each case in Col 15 and in Col 4 is due to the addition of its share of the Manya and Gramasamudayem lands.

The rates of assessment adopted in assessing the Inams are Rs 43-5-8 32-1-1 and 15-14-3 per Veli respectively of Wet, Garden, and Dry they being the averages of the four adjoining Sarkar Villages.

The amount of Quit Rent payable.

For No. 1 and 11 the same remarks as is made about the same person in Case No. 1.

No. 3 is the same as No. 7 in Case No. 1 why is he charged ⅛th in the former case and ¼th in this?

The reason for charging ¼th to Nos. 9-10, 13 & 14 must be more clearly explained.

The rest confirmed are recommended.

(Sigd) W. T. Blair
Offg. Inam Comr.

8th March 1865

T. D. No.
1675
1676
1677
1678
and
1679

possessions of the several extents above specified from their respective owners. What No 2 enjoys appears in the Paimaish at 1—14. 10 in the name of No 2 and at —31. 62½ in that of No 7. For the former of them deed of sale of 1833 produced. No 2 is son of No 6 in the Paimaish who had purchased the extent entered in his name in the year Tarana from No 6 in Col 14 and at —12. 15 in Perumukhi from Vijayalekshmiammal widow of No 1 in the last named Col. This extent appears in the Paimaish in the name of Narayana Sastri a relation of hers. Deeds of sale produced. What No 4 enjoys was purchased by him in 1833 from Panchanada Sastri son of No 8 in Col 15 who was brother of No 3 in Col 14 Deed of sale produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 5 was purchased by him in the year Perumukhi from Subba Sastri to whom it is said to have been given in gift by Vijayalekshmiammal, already alluded to, one of the extents entered in the Paimaish in the joint names of herself and Narayana Sastri. Deed of sale produced. Nos 6, 7 and 8 enjoy in equal shares the extent in Col 15 in the name of Ponnamala Vatti (grand father of the first and father of the second and third) who had purchased it, as per document produced, in the year Srimukha from Kuppa Sastri, whose father is said to have brought it of No 3 in Col 14. What No 9 enjoys was purchased by him in 1859 from Govinda Pedaiyachi to whom it is said to have been sold by No 5 in Col 15. Deed of Sale of 1859 produced. The other is not available. What No 10 enjoys was obtained by his adopted father in exchange for some lands belonging to him in another Seika, in the year Parwari from Lekshmana Chari who is stated to have purchased it from Parvadiammal named in Col 15. Deed of exchange produced No 12 is son of No 1 in Col 15 who purchased the extent therein entered in the year Ewalkhana from the brothers Son of No 3 in Col 14. Deed of Sale produced No 13 had what he enjoys in the year Parida api from Ramasamani Sastri who is said to have purchased extent given in Perumati by his widow under manyakani to his daughter No 11 from they say they were sold by No 14 in Col 15. Documents to support the gifts

No 11 enjoys who purchased extent in question appears in the account of 1258 in the name of the above said Ramaseri Sastri. What 1842 from Lekshmana Chari is said to have purchased it from Ammachari in Col 15. Document to support the purchase by Lekshmana Chari not produced. The extent entered in the name of Amma Chari in the Paimaish is stated therein in his enjoyment by Sale and mortgage how much of the extent had been sold and how much mortgaged the account does not specify. The present holder enjoys by absolute purchase from the year 1842 and no claims appears to have been preferred since or before that year. Should any claim arise hereafter it should be preferred in Court.

payable by each holder is specified in the marginal Statement appended to each case.

The lands being enhanced rentum in each case Separate Title Deeds for the holders therein as recommended, except for those holdings Consist of manaikkattu or small pieces of Poramboke and on which the assessment is very low being only a few annas, and to all of whom a joint Title Deed might be conveniently granted.

Seika Conformed the first case in 13 Title Deeds and the second in 13.

Sd/ V. Rajagopala Charlu
Ex Collector
14 November 1862.

(True Copy)

Inam Commissioner's Office
Coimbatore
1866.

Offg Inam Commissioner

Extract from the Register of the Village of Melakkaveri in the Cumbaconum Taluq of Tanjore District

Sheet No. 200

Extract from the Register of the Village of Melur Tuvarai in the Cumbaconam Taluq of Tanjore District

Register No 58
Sheet No 201

No	Name	Rent	Garden Extent	Sq.	Naval	Quit Rent
1	1-1-11¾	6¼	4	2-6¼	10-2-9	4-7½	2-8-1 3d
2	1-2-1½	6¼	4	2-12½	10-5-2	4-8½	1-4-1 3d
3	21-4	3	1¾	21 23/24	5-2-4	2-4	10-1 3d
4	6-16½	1	3/4	7-1-11-2	9	4-1	3d
5	4-11	1	½	4-17½	1-3-6	6½	4-1 3d
6	1-27-15½	11	6	28-16½	16-10-2	7-5½	2-1 12d
7	1-9-3½	6¼	3½	1-1-33/48	9-15-4	4-6½	4-12-1 3d
8	16-5½	3	1¾	16-10-4	2	1-10½	1-1 3d
9	1-9-3½	6¼	3 23/48	1-4	9-15-5	4-5½	1-4-1 12d
Total	7-2-1	3-13	1-10½	6-8	69-3	1-15	13-14-1 9 12d

For the personal benefit of the holder. Pond. 7-15-0

Granted by Subba Jee Raja Sahib in the 28th Cham of Samma Samma varu Maga abal 1190

Sikka Regd. 1809 ---- 1 to 1 ---- Enlarged of 12 feet

Huppa Jeer

In the Register F 1809
1 Venkatarama Jeer grandson ---- 3-7-5
2 Gadasivabhat deceased ---- 6 ----
3 Lakshmana Chari do ---- 3 ----
4 Tiruvengadam Chari do ---- 2 ----
5 Venkatasubba Sastri do ---- 1-25
6 Sullaya Subba Jeer do ---- 1 ----
7 Chokka pandita Chari do ---- 2 ----
1 ---- 4

Sic 1228
Venkatarama Jeer
Subbasastri Swamini
1 Venkata Subba Sastri ---- 17-9
2 Rama Chari ---- 27-9
3 Venkatarama Sastri 1-1 23/24
4 Rama Chari 1-22-12
5 Krishna Shetter 2-2 16½
6 Subbaji Jeer 1-22-11
7 Ramasamy ---- 2 13/24
C. 35 13/24

Cumbaconam
1 Raghava Chari 55
2 D. Subbai 20
3 Ramanujam 40
4 Swaraasi Mattatai
At Cumbaconam
Manager
Srinivasa Rau
5 Parvati Ammal 53
6 D. Lakshmi narayana 34
7 Jadubha yi ammal 28
8 Narana yan 20
9 Nagaraja Shetter d.

1 Wife ---- 1
2 Wife ---- 1
3 Wife ---- 1
Brother 1/2
5 Daughters 3
Children 2/6
6 Sisters 1
Wife 1
Daughter 1
7 Sons 3
Wife ---- 1
9 Sisters 1
Mother 1
Brother 1
3

Vide remarks in Case No 1 and 2.

Title Confirmed in Title Deed.

Sd/ V. Rajagopala Charlu
Dt Collector
4th November 1863.

Sd/ V. Rajagopala Charlu
Dt Collector

The extent in the enjoyment of No 1 was purchased by his father in 1820 from Venkata Pandita and appears in the Panmash in the name of Rama Chari & the above named Venkata Pandita had the land from Rama Chari and when it is not known But in the Panmash he is entered as being in enjoyment on mortgage. As the present holder has come at it by a bonafide purchase and none seem to have objected to his title his name may be Confirmed in his name

From account of F 1236 with the Cusnam Venkata Panditar it is entered as having come into possession by "avid hiccayam" Conditional Sale from Lakshmana Chari Deed of Sale produced

The extent in the enjoyment of No 2 was purchased by Lakshmana Chari in 1822 from No 2 in Col 15 as per deed of Sale produced. What No 2 enjoys was acquired by her husband Chitambara Sastri in the year Halayuthi from Gopalakrishna Sastri whose father had purchased it in 1842 from Lakshmana Chari who is said to have purchased it from No 1 and 3 in Col 15 in exchange for some lands bought by him in the same year from the said Lakshmana Chari. Documents produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 6 was purchased by him in 1862 from the Son of No 6 in Col 15 or Grand Son of No 2 in Col 14. Document produced. No 8 is sons adopted Son of No 5 in Col 14. The adopted is wifes Sisters Son of the adopter and the adoption is said to have taken place about 12 years ago. No 7 is widow of No 5 in Col 15. She enjoys about a moiety of the extent therein entered and the remaining half belongs to her & No 7 & 8 Step daughters daughter Sra No 9 to the Nagaswaram account of F 1238 the whole appears in the name of Lakshmana Shetter maternal grand father of No 9.

Sd/ V. Rajagopala Charlu
Dt Collector

(True Copy)

Assistant Commissioners Office
1865

The shares of No 1 is Confirmed on 15th the rate applicable to mortgagees.

They 7/8 to No 3 and 4 in this case while 1/4 th has been charged to attend in the above cases.

The premium for changing 1/4 th to No 5 to be explained

The No 1/8 and is charged as the daughter of the Step daughter is alive and has issue.

The rest Confirmed as recommended.

Sd/ W. J. Blair
Offg Asst Com'r
8th March 1865

No	
1680	
1681	
1682	
1683	
1684	and
1685	

Extract from the Register of the Village of Melakkaveri in the Cumbaconum Talug of Tanjore District

17

Register No 58

Sheet No 202

Entire Puttum		315.28.3	315.70
Deduct Poramboke		34.6.10	34.17
Remaining	Wet	237.33.6½	237.84
	Dry	12.3.7¼	12.09
	Tope	14.19.7½	14.48
	Garden	17.5.3¾	17.13
		281.21.9	281.54
Deduct Inams Wet		1.5.7	1.14
Remaining		280.16.2	280.40
Pala Manyam	Wet	73.33.12¾	73.85
	Dry	4.10.7	4.26
	Tope	".34.14¼	0.87
	Garden	2.30.11	2.76
		81.29.13	81.74
Nada Manyam	Wet	39.1.3½	39.03
	Dry	3.6.6	3.16
	Tope	4.25.7¼	4.64
	Garden	3.31.12½	3.79
		50.24.13¼	50.62
Pudu Manyam	Wet	119.3.8¼	119.09
	Dry	3.15.4½	3.39
	Tope	8.39.2	8.97
	Garden	9.36.¼	9.90
		141.14.2	141.35
Alahida Manyam	Wet	4.29.7	4.74
	Dry	1.11.2¾	1.28
	Garden	".26.12	0.67
		6.27.5¾	6.69
		280.16.2	280.40
1 Personal	Wet	6.27.1½	6.68
	Dry	0.27.13½	0.70
	Garden	".8.½	0.20
		7.22.15½	7.58

63.10.8

For the personal benefit of the holder.

Kaval fees 1.13.4

Net Known

Net Known

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Asst	Kaval		Quit Rent
1	".3.1	"."	"."	".3.1	".11.11	".4	¼	".4." 1 I.D
2	".31.4¼	".6	".3	".31.13¼	6.11.7	".3.5	⅛	".14." 1 I.D
3	".15.10	".3	".1¼	".15.14¼	3.13.4	".1.8	"	".8." 1 I.D
4	".15.10¼	".3	".1¼	".15.14½	3.13.4	".1.8	"	".8."
5	".12.6¾	".1.12	".1	".14.3¾	3.2.4	".1.4	¼	".12." 1 I.D
6	".16.8½	".3	".1¼	".16.12¾	2.13.8	".1.11	⅛	".6." 1 I.D
7	".21.5½	".3¼	".1¾	".21.10¼	3.8.9	".2.6	⅛	".6." 1 I.D
8	".31.3¼	".4	".3	".31.10¼	7.10.7	".3.5	"	".14."
9	".31.3½	".4¼	".3	".31.10¾	7.10.7	".3.5	"	".14." 1 I.D
10	".31.3½	".4	".3	".31.10½	7.10.6	".3.5	"	".14." 2.12."
11	".5.13¼	".1	".½	".5.3¼	".13.8	".7	"	".2."
12	".3.1¼	"."	"."	".3.1¼	".12	".4	"	".2." 1 I.D
13	".18.1¼	".7.2½	".1¾	".25.5½	4.13.8	".2."	"	".10." 1 I.D
14	"."	"."	".6.9	".6.9	".12.7	"."	"	".2." 1 I.D
15	".7.5¼	".1½	".¾	".7.7½	1.12.8	".10	⅛	".8." 1 I.D
16	".20.13¾	".4½	".2	".24.6¼	5.15.7	".2.8	½	3." 1 I.D
17	"."	".16.9½	"."	".16.9½	".15.11	"."	¼	".4." 1 I.D
Total	6.27.1½	0.27.1½	0.8.½	7.22.15½	63.10.8	1.13.4		11.4." 13 I.D.S
Converting in acres	6.68	0.69	0.20	7.57				

0.41

The Register of 1809 states that the Sika was represented to have been lost in war.

Vencatiswara audhani

In the Register of 1809

1 Narayana Row Brothers Sons dauhitra W. 1."

2 Amaji Row alience W. 5.33¼

3 Vedantaiengar do W. 5."

4 Srinivasaiengar do W. 1.66¾

5 Kuppanaiengar do W. 5.6

6 Sesha dri Sad do W. 1."

7 Maha deva josier do W. 1."

1."

With a rod of 12 feet.

In F. 1238

Raghunadha bhattars Sika Inam

1 Vedantaiengar 1.26."

2 Komali ammal 1.20.5½

3 Appa Chari ".34.3½

4 Narayana Rayer ".15.15½

5 Rama Subba Sastri 1.6.1

6 Ammaya Subba bhatter ".23.13¼

7 Sesha dri Diksit & Vijarama Sad ".13.1½

8 Ammaniammal and Ammalammal ".23.2½

9 Sanada Samudayem ".3.2½

10 Do Anadi Bangar ".6.15½

7.12.14¾

Combakonum

1 Ramaiyengar 40

2 Chakravarti Ramanuja Chariar 35

3 Narasimha Chari 40

4 Srinivasa Chari 18

5 Parvatiyammal 53

6 Ananta Kristnaiyengar 28

7 Dwadasi Kattalai

At Cumbaconum Manager Srinivasachari

8 Appa Chari 55

9 Srinivasa Chari 25

10 Gopala Chari 19

11 Madai Chayi Ammal 60

12 Anna Chari 40

13 Punah Visvanadaiyan 35

14 Amuda Pattan 50

15 Naranaiyan 20

16 Minakshiyammal 31

17 Sabhapati Padaya Chari 45

1 Wife 1, Brother ½

2 Wife 1, Sons ⅔

3 Sons 3, Daughter in law ⅚

4 Wife 1, Brother ½

5 Daughters 3, Son's Sons ⅚

6 Wife 1, Daughter ½

7 None

8 Wife 1, Sons ⅔

9 Wife 1, Brother ½

10 Wife 1

11 Sons 3

12 Wife 1, Sons ⅔

13 Wife 1, Son ½

14 Wife 1, Son ½

15 Wife 1

16 None

17 Wife 1, Sons ⅔

Lutchmana Chari an Inamdar in this Village made over by will in 1859 to one Srinivasa Rayar 23¼ Ms, 10½ in liquidation of a sum due by him to Kristnachayi mother of the latter & 12¾ which the said Kristnachayi had purchased in his name for the expenses of a Dwadasi Kattalai and left in his charge as Manager. Srinivasa Row disposed of the former in 1860 to No 1 and has set apart the latter for the charity specified. The 23¼ Ms referred to were all purchased by the said Lutchmana Chari subsequent to F 1238 either directly from parties in the Punnaich or from others who had purchased them from them; but documents to support these acquisitions are generally wanting.

T. D. No. 2006 2007 2008

This is an entire Inam Village held on 21 Sikas granted on different dates by different Rajas. In none of these grants the entire ayakat or at least the cultivated area of the Village is specified. Two other Inams granted originally in this Village, like the 21 above referred to, have been since entered in the District accounts and recognized as two distinct Villages namely Melakkaveri Ukkadai and Chinnamanigambapuram. Twenty out of twenty one Inams are divided into Palamaniam, Nadumaniam, Pudumaniam and Alahidamaniam. Cases No 1 to 9 belonging to the first, No 10 to 15 to the second, 16 to 19 to the third and No 20 to the fourth. The grants for the Inams in cases No 20 and 21 were not registered in 1809 whilst those for all the rest were. The extent of the Palamaniams as per grant is V11-8-", of the Nadumaniams V3-15-", of the Pudumaniams V9-16-", and of the Alahidamaniam V1-5-". In the account of F 1216 V26-3.50, almost equal to the sum of the extents above specified or V26-4-" are entered. Of the V26-4-", V8-12.50 are with a rod of 12 feet, V6-10.50 and V11-1-" are respectively with rods of 13 and 14 feet as would appear from the grants. In specifying the extent of the Village in F 1216 no allowance appears to

The reason for charging ¼th to No 1 in this case is not clear. Is it charged because it was bequeathed by Lutchmana Chari in 1859; and did Lutchmana Chari leave issue? For No 5 the same remark as above. For No 7 see remarks on the next case. For No 6 ⅛ appears to have been charged because it is doubtful whether he got his share by mortgage or sale. In either ⅛ only is chargeable & it is confirmed accordingly. For No 15 ⅛ is charged for the same reason as in No 6. Why is ¼th charged to No 17? The rest confirmed as recommended.

W. H. Blair [illegible]

8/3/65

T.D. Nos 1666, 1667, 1668, 1669, 1670, 1672, 1673, 1674

The shares of No 145 are confirmed as there is [illegible] for a higher [illegible] under the [illegible] stamp [illegible] by Dr [illegible] No 7 ⅛ appears to be the proper [illegible]

¼th appears to have been charged to Nos 1 & 5 because [illegible] as acquisition of Inams by Lakshmana [illegible] are not supported by documents. Lakshmana [illegible] left issue, a daughter & a daughter's son.

2/8/66

[illegible]

In regard to No 7 see Remarks in next case.

[illegible]

T. D. No 2215

No 17 is charged ¼ because the acquisition of Inams by Govinda Padayachee, who sold them to No 17 in 1859, is not supported by documents.

In the absence of the late dead Govinda Padayachee is no sufficient ground for imposing ¼ on the 17th share if he has a heir — T. A. Nr will afford this information.

J. R.

1/8/67

W. H. [illegible]

See Collector's letter of 15 Sept/55 No 5899

Sabapathy Padayachee No 17 has since deceased. He bequeathed his share to his son-in-law Madimuttu Padayachee to whom a Title Deed will be issued for ⅞ Quit Rent as Sabapathy Padayachee had no lineal issue — Quit Rent [illegible]

Fred. Richards [illegible]

Inam Commr

20½ Cl Continued

The 19½ Mc which No 1 purchased belongs to several sellers. What he holds on No 6 is said to have been purchased by the achari - already mentioned from Vedantiangar named in Col 11

The whole extent made over by Lekshmana Chari with some more disposed of by him to others appears in the Register of F 1208 in his name. What is entered under No 4 is out of the 13½ Mc referred to and it was purchased by the said Achari in Thara from No 3 in Col 15's brother. Document produced. What No 5 enjoys was purchased by her husband in 1842 from Lekshmana Chari who is said to have purchased it from No 3 in Col 15 to whom they say it had been sold by No 7 in Col 14. Deed of Sale of 1842 produced. The other documents are not available. What No 6 enjoys is the extent in the name of No 4 in Col 15 from whom he states his father purchased it. The deed of sale is said to be missing. In the Pannaich accounts the extent in question is entered as being in the enjoyment of No 6's father on mortgage from Narayana Nayar. In the Copy of the Survey Register with the farmans in one of the 2 fields constituting the extent the word "sale" first entered is struck out and the word "mortgage" in written to its right, whilst in the other No 6 the latter word appears. In the Copy from the Anzunthil are entered as being in "mortgage" the in the abstract appended to it the two Nos appear in No 6's father's name along with others as if they were his own. The Villagers seem to know nothing as to how No 6 enjoys whether by sale or mortgage. Whether there are any heirs of Narayana Pan in existence is not known. None has come forward to lay a claim to the lands hitherto nor is there any likelihood of one appearing. I think under the circumstances the title of the party in possession may be recognized leaving future claims should there be any to be preferred and settled in the Civil Courts. The extent entered in the name of No 5 in Col 14 passed on to his daughter No 2 in Col 15. Her husband and his brother dividing the land equally between them, her grand son No 3 and great grand son No 4 enjoy a moiety and No 2 holds the remainder his mother having purchased it in 1844 and 1848 from the son and grand son of the other Sharer or the brother referred to. Documents produced. Nos 9 and 10 are the two third sons of No 8 who purchased in 1847 the extent which the third enjoy from Ramaraya to whom it had been sold in 1843 by brother's son of Chandrasekhara Pillai who had purchased 7½ Mc a portion in 1841 from No 5 in Col 15 (who was son of No 2 in Col 14) and the rest in Sarwari from Ramadurai Saistri who is said to have purchased it from Narana Samaiar to whom they say it had been sold by No 1 in Col 15. Documents of the years above mentioned produced.

The extent in the enjoyment of No 11 and 12 was purchased in 1851 by Krishna Chari husband of the former and father of the latter, from the brother's widow of No 2 in Col 14. Of the extent so purchased a portion was given in exchange in Thara by the purchaser to the uncle of present holder No 6 for an equal extent from him. (Deeds of Sale and of exchange) produced. No 13 purchased in the years 1861 and 1863 from the sons of the parties named in No 9 in Col 15, the extent entered under that No; the extent entered under No 14, which is said to have belonged to them, and a v-4-8½ which their fathers had purchased in Thara from Chandrasekara Pillai to whom it is stated to have been sold by No 1 in the Pannaich. Deeds of Sale produced. What No 15 enjoys was purchased by him in the year 1850 from the party named in No 8 in Col 15. The remainder of the extent under that No is in the enjoyment of No 17, who purchased it in 1859 from one Govinda Padaiyachi who is said to have purchased it from the 3 individuals named under the No. Deeds of Sale produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 16 was purchased by his adoptive father in Kandhi from present holder No 68 under who is said to have bought it of No 1 in Col 15. Deed of Sale produced. No 16 is daughter in law of No 2 in Col 14 or widow of No 5 in Col 15.

× Rajappalachariar appears in person that the Same Jaigeer land have been twice divided by the holders & the extent held by each is also entered in the accounts

Mg R Chentsal Rau
Agt Collr
8/1/75.

Difference in the length of the rods or in other words the sum total of the extents of the grants was entered without first reducing them to terms of the same rod. The V 26-4-- with the 5 Mc with a rod of 14 feet which is the extent of the Inam in Cell No 21 is reduced are equivalent to V 81-12-28. of which V 11-19-81 are Patamani ~~V 13-8-79~~ Vadamanjeri V 61-14-2 Olaga Inam and V 6-6-80 the Inam in Cell No 21.

The present extent of the Village is V 47-19-74 in F 1210 only V 6-19-60 are entered as Pramboke.

This appears from the grants to be the extents of the Brahmadayam and Sopparaiya lands alluded in certain Sanads. The extensions of Pramboke such as tanks, Thumbels, Pallams, or building sites, Streets &c which cannot but have existed and which do now exist were not evidently included in the above said accounts. A portion of the Village appears as Inam in the Sirai Jamabandi, in which the real Ayakat is not specified, the whole Village appears as Inam. It cannot be said from that the extent specified in each grant included also a portion of the Pramboke of the description just alluded to for even where entire Villages were granted as Inam in one grant, it was not usual to include the Pramboke. The reason is mostly in the Order of Vadamanjeri as part of an entire Inam Village. It does not appear to me fair to charge the excess of the Village in Chandrasekara's Ayakat holdings are not liable to such charge as the lands granted as Inam are ascertained from the Pannaich. But some lands in the Village are Sarvamanyam & not assessed

Extract from the Register of the Village of Milakkaveri in the Cumbaconum Taluq of Tanjore District.

Register No 58

Sheet No 203

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Assessment	Remarks
1	00-11⅛	00-00	00-02½	01-03¾	7-8-6	3-4 / 1-4
2	1-22-15½	00-11½	00-06	1-34-1¾	7-14-11	3-4 / 0-8
3	1-22-15½	00-12	00-06	1-26-15½	16-2-8	3-0 / 7-8 / 7-8
4	00-29-2	00-00	00-03½	00-29-10½	9-9-7	1-4 / 4-4
5	00-25-11½	00-06	00-03½	00-06-13½	8-12-8	1-0 / 3-11
6	00-06½	00-03½	00-02½	00-13½	5-11-8	1-0 / 2-7
7	00-18½	00-05	00-03½	00-27	7-9-9	1-0 / 1-4
8	00-00	00-04-15	00-00	00-04-15	4-11-8	0-2 / 1-11
Total	7-10-13½	7-10	1-11	7-20-4¼	73-9-2	2-0 / 0-9 / 4-2-8

Personal Net 7-10-13½ Dry 7-4-7 Garden 2-14-5
Dry 7-10-13 2-20 7-0
Garden 1-11 0-04 0-3
7-20-4¼ 7-7 73-9-2

For the personal benefit of the holder.

Rental 2-0-10 Assessment

Granted by Chief Raja Sahebon the 19th Day of Adhik Ammasi Dhul of 1807.

Sikh acquisition in 1809 - 1

Extent of grant 1-0-0 with a road of 12 feet

Sapthanu Sthoo Sastri

To the Register of 1809
1 Umea tavukkaru Sastri Head guindon 0-0-0
2 Raghupati Sastri do 0-0-0
3 Sunda perumaya Sastri do 0-0-0
4 Ramia Sastri do 0-0-0
1-0-0

To Sapthanu Sthoo Sastri
1 Venkatarama Sastri minor 1/2 Villa Sastri 0-20½
2 Bapalu Sastri 0-20-2
3 Raja Sastri 0-20-2
4 Ramia Sastri 1-0-10½
5 Ayyaruvayu 0-20-4
6 Umeda Sastri 0-20-14½
7 Appa-Pari 7-7-7½
8 Pichai Sastri 29-11½
9 Kumaraswamy 1-0-5
7-7-7½
in Case No 1.

Melakkaveri
1 Balakrishna 1 Brahmin Son of No 6
2 Umia Sastri 2 Son of No 2
3 Narunaiyan 2 Son of No 2
4 Raja Sastri 2 Son of No 2
5 Ramia Sastri 4 Sons
6 Rama Ayyangar 3 Sons adopted Sons of No 1
7 Muttuku Nayan 4 Sons
8 Subramania Vattiyar 5 Sons & No 4
40 individuals, belonged to the other

1 Wife - 1 Brothers - 2 Brothers Sons - 2 / 5
2 Wife - 1 Sons - 2 / 3
3 Wife - 1 Sons - 2 / 3
4 Wife - 1 Son - 1 / 2
5 Wife - 1 Sons - 1 / 2
6 Wife - 1 Daughters - 1 / 2
7 Unmarried
8 Wife - 1 Son - 1 / 2

No 1 is the Same as No 1 in Col 15 and enjoys to the extent therein entered. That No 2 enjoys it as made up of the extent in his name in the Pairmaish (Vide No 1 and 2.

No 5 is said that the extent in the name of this last named or No 2, but it having been given by him (No 2) father for Subsistence to his Step-mother and being at the time of the Survey in her enjoyment, it was in the absence of No 2 measured in the name of the said No 5, her Brother's Son, and that after death it reverted to the owner - No 2 is said to have been adopted by the last holder about 12 years ago. The adoptee is wife's Brother's Son of the adopter No 4 is the Same as the party 2nd named under No 2 and No 5 is Daughter of that first named (under the No) and No 6 - The extents which these 2 individuals enjoy are not of the extents under those Nos (2 and 3) No 6 is identical with No 1 in exchange in Varaaru a 7-7½ from No 6 and a 7-14 from Gopalakrishna Sastri in the Same Village purchased it from No 4 appellant. Deed of sale not available but the sons of Krishna Pari said purchased by him in 1861 from the sons of one Velayutha Pattan, who purchased it out of the extent granted. Documents produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 7 was purchased by his Manumaka from Ramasivaya Chetti, to whom it had been sold in Pudhuma by Gopalakrishna Sastri, who is said to have purchased it from No 8 in Col 15. Documents produced.

1/8 is charged to No 6, because a acquisition of Land by Lake was found in respect to document

Visited & remarks in Case No 1 and 2.

Extent confirmed in the Title Deeds.

The original holders Consent to enfranchisement.

(Sgd) V. Nejagopala Chetti
Dy Collector
2d November 1863.

For No 6 the remarks in No 1

The grant Confirmed as recommended.

(Sgd) H. J. Blair
Offg Commr
8th March 1865

J. P. No 1650 1651 & 1652

The share of No 6 is also confirmed one 1/8 in Nicle Rasel —

7-7½
2-15-67

(Sgd) W. Mair
Commr

J. D. No 2020

(Sgd) V. Nejagopala Chetti
Dy Collector

Copy

Melakkaveri
Special Settlement
Officer's Commissions

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Register No. 58 Sarvamaniyam Village Extract from the Register of the Village of Melakkaveri in the Cumbaconum Talug of Tanjore District

Register No. 58
Sheet No. 204

6

Entire Dittam	315.28.3	315-70
Deduct Poramboke	34.6.10	34-17
Remaining Wet	237.33.6/2	237-84
Dry	12-3-7/4	12-09
Tope	14.19-7/2	14.48
Garden	17.5.33/4	17-13
	281.21.9	281-54
Deduct Inams Wet	1-5-7	1-14
Remaining	280.16.2	280.40
Pala Manyam Wet	73.33.123/4	73.85
Dry	4-10.7	4.26
Tope	-34.14/4	0.87
Garden	2.30.11	2.76
	81.29.13	81-74
Nadu Manyam Wet	39.1-3/2	39-03
Dry	3.6.6	3.16
Tope	4.25.7/4	4.64
Garden	3.31.12/2	3.79
	50.24.13/4	50.62
Pudu Manyam Wet	119.3-8/4	119.09
Dry	3.16.7/2	3.39
Tope	8.39.2	8.97
	141.14.2	141.35
Alahida Manyam Wet	4.29.7	4-74
Dry	1.11.23/4	1.28
Garden	-26.12	0.67
	6.27.53/4	6.69
	280.16.2	280.40
1 Personal Wet	6.27.1/2	6.68
Dry	1.27.13/2	0.70
Garden	-8-1/2	0.20
	7.22.15/2	7.58

63.10.8

For the personal benefit of the holder

Haval fees 1-13-4

Not known

Not known

The Register of 1809 states that the Sikka was represented to have been lost in war

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	M.	Haval	Quit Rent		
1	-3-1	—	—	-3-1	-11-11	-4	1/4	-4-	1 Z D
2	-31-4/4	-6	-3	-31.13/4	6-11-7	-3.5	7/8	-14-	1 Z D
3	-15-10	-3	-1/4	-15.14/4	3.13-4	-1-8	"	-8-	1 Z D (Nos. 3–4)
4	-15-10/4	-3	-1/4	-1/4	3.13.4	-1-8	"	-8-	
5	-12-63/4	-1-12	-1	-1	3.2.4	-1-4	1/4	-12-	1 Z D
6	-16-8/2	-3	-1/4	-1/4	2.13.8	-1-11	"	-10-	1 Z D
7	-21-5/2	-3/4	-13/4	-13/4	3.8.9	-2-6	1/8	-6-	1 Z D
8	-31.3/4	-4	-3	-3	7.10.7	-3.5	"	-14-	1 Z D (Nos. 8–10)
9	-31.3/2	-4/4	-3	-3	7.10.7	-3.5	"	-14-	
10	-31.3/2	-4	-3	-3	7.10.6	-3.5	"	-14-	
11	-5-13/4	-1	-1/2	-1/2	-13-8	-7	"	-2-	1 Z D (Nos. 11–12)
12	-3-1/4	—	—	—	-12-	-4	"	-2-	
13	-18-17/4	-7-2/2	-13/4	-13/4	4.13.8	-2-	"	-10-	1 Z D
14	—	—	-6-9	-6-9	-12-7	—	"	-2-	1 Z D
15	-7-5/4	-1/2	-3/4	-3/4	1.12.8	-10	1/2	-8-	1 Z D
16	-23.153/4	-4/2	-2	-2	5.15.7	-2.8	1/2	3-	1 Z D
17	—	-16-9/2	—	—	-15-11	—	1/2	-4-	1 Z D
	6.68	-69	-20	7 22.15/2	63.10.8	1-13-4		11-4-	13 Z D S

Vencateswara andhani

In the Register of 1809
1 Narayana Rau Brothers sons daukitra
Wo-1-
2 Ammaji Rau alienee
Wo-5.33/4
3 Vedantaiengar do
Wo-5-
4 Srinivassaiengar do
Wo-1.663/4
5 Kuppanaiengar do
Wo-5.5
6 Seshadri sas do
Wo-1-
7 Mahadeva josier do
Wo-1-
1-
with a rod of 12 feet

In 1238
1 Raghunadha bhattars Sika Inam Vedantaiengar
1-26-
2 Komalammal
1-20.5/2
3 Appa Chari
-34.3/2
4 Narayana Rayer
-15.15/2
5 Rama Subbu Sastri
1-6-1
6 Ammaya Subba bhatter
-23.13/4
7 Seshadri Ditto & Vijayaramadas
-13.1/2
8 Ammaniammal and Ammalammal
-23.2/2
9 Janadu Samudayam
-3.4/2
10 Dry Anadi Banjar
-6.15/2
7-12-143/4

Combakonam
1 Samaiyengar age 40
2 Chakravarti Ramanuja Chariar 35
3 Narasimha Chari 40
4 Srinivasa Chari 18
5 Parvatiyammal 53
6 Ananta Kristnaiyan 28
7 Dwadasi Kattalai

At Cumbaconum
8 Appa Chari 55
9 Srinivassa Chari 25
10 Gopala Chari 19
11 Radulbhayiammal 60
12 Amma Chari 40
13 Punah Visvanadaiyan 35
14 Ananda Pattam 50
15 Naramaiyan 20
16 Minakshiyammal 31
17 Sabhapati Vadaya Chari 45

1 Wife---1 Brother---1 / 2
2 Wife---1 Sons---2 / 3
3 Sons---3 Daughters in law---3 / 6
4 Wife---1 Brother---1 / 2
5 Daughters-3 Do sons---3 / 6
6 Wife---1 Daughter---1 / 2
7 None
8 Wife---1 Sons---2 / 3
9 Wife---1 Brother---1 / 2
10 Wife---1
11 Sons---3
12 Wife---1 Sons---2 / 3
13 Wife---1 Son---1 / 2
14 Wife---1 Son---1 / 2
15 Wife---1
16 None
17 Wife---1 Sons---2 / 3

Lekshmana Chari an Inamdar in this Village made over by will in 1859 to one Srinivasa Rayar 23/4 Ms. 10/2 in liquidation of a sum due by him to Kristna bhayi mother of the latter a 123/4 which the said Kristna bhayi had purchased in his name for the expences of a Dwadasi Kattalai and left in his charge as manager Srinivasa Rau disposed of the former in 1860 to No. 1 and has set apart the latter for the Charity specified. The 23/4 Ms. referred to were all purchased by the said Lekshmana Chari subsequently to 1238

This is an entire Inam Village held on 21 Sikas granted on different dates by different Rajas. In none of these grants the entire ayakat or at least the cultivated area of the Village is specified. Two other Inams granted originally in this Village, like the 21 above referred to, have been since entered in the District accounts and recognized as two distinct Villages namely Melakkaveri Ukkadai and Chinnamaniyambapuram. Twenty out of twenty one Inams are divided into Palamaniem, Nadumaniem, Pudumaniem and Alahidamaniem. Cases No. 1 to 9 belonging to the first, No. 10 to 15 to the Second, 16 to 19 to the third and No. 20 to the fourth. The grants for the Inams in cases No. 20 and 21 were not registered in 1809 whilst those for all the rest were the extent of the Palamaniems as per grant is V 11-8-, of the Nadumaniems V 3-15-, of the Pudumaniems V 9-16- and of the alahida maniem V 1-5-. In the account of F 1216 V 26-3-50 almost equal to the sum of the extents above specified or V 26-4- are entered. Of the V 26-4-, V 8-12-50 measured with a rod

The reason for charging 1/4th to No. 1 in this case is not clear. Is it charged because it was bequeathed by Lutchamana Chari in 1859; & did Lutchamana Chari leave issue?

For No. 5 the same remark as above.

For No. 7 see remarks on the next case.

For No. 6 1/4th appears to have been charged because it is doubtful whether he got his share by mortgage

either directly

from parties on the Pannaish or from others who had purchased them from them but documents to support these acquisitions are generally wanting. The 10½ Ms which № 1 purchased belong to Several Sthas. What he holds in this is said to have been purchased by the achari already mentioned from Vedantacengan named in Col 14

The whole extent made over by Jeyshmana Chari with some more disposed of by him to others appears in the Register of 1250 in his name. What is entered under Any is out of the 12¾ Ms referred to and it was purchased by the said achari in Sthara from № 3 in Col 15 & brother. Document produced. What № 5 enjoys was purchased by her husband in 1042 from Jeyshmana Chari who is said to have purchased it from № 3 in Col 15 to whom they say it had been sold by № 7 in Col 14. Deed of Sale of 1042 produced. The other documents are not available. What № 6 enjoys is the extent in the name of № 4 in Col 15 from whom he states his father purchased it. The deed of sale is said to be missing. In the Pannaish account the extent in question is entered as being in the enjoyment of № 6's father on "mortgage" from Narayana Aiyar. In the Copy of the Survey Register with the Canaam in one of the 2 fields constituting the extent the word "Sale" first entered is struck out and the word "mortgage" is written to its right, whilst in the other № the latter word appears In the Copy from the Jenmy book are entered as being in "mortgage" this in the abstract appended to it the two № appear in № 6's father's name along with others as if they were his own. The Villagers seem to know nothing as to how № 6 enjoys whether by Sale or mortgage. Whether there are any heirs of Narayana Chan in existence is not known. None has come forward to lay a claim to the land hitherto nor is there any likelihood of one appearing. I think under the circumstances the title of the party in possession may be recognized leaving future claims, should there be any to be preferred and settled in the Civil Courts. The extent entered in the name of № 5 in Col 14 passed on to his daughter № 2 in Col 15. Her husband and his brother dividing the land equally between them her grand son № 3 and great grand son № 4 enjoy a moiety and № 2 holds the remainder his mother having purchased it in 1044 and 1048 from the son and grand son of the other Sharer or the brother referred to Documents produced. № 9 and 10 are brothers son of № 8 who purchased in 1047 the extent which the three enjoy from Ramaraya to whom it had been Sold in 1043 by brothers Sons of Chandrasekhara Sib who had purchased 7½ Ms a portion in 1041 from № 5 in Col 15 who was Son of № 2 in Col 14 / and the rest in Sarwari from Ramasmiya Sastri who is said to have purchased it from Narana swamain to whom they say it had been sold by № 1 in Col 15 Documents of the years above mentioned produced. The extent in the enjoyment of № 11 and 12 was purchased in 1031 by Krishna Chari husband of the former and father of the latter, from the brothers widow of № 2 in Col 14 of the extent so purchased a portion was given in exchange in Alava by the purchaser to the uncle of present holder № 6 for an equal extent from him. Deeds of Sale and of exchange produced. № 13 purchased in the years 1065 and 1063 from the sons of the parties named in № 7 in Col 15 the extent entered under № 10, which is said to have belonged to them, and a — 4 5/2 which their father had purchased in Alava from Chandrasekara Sib to whom it is stated to have been sold by № 1 in the Pannaish. Deeds of Sale produced. What № 11 enjoys was purchased by him in the year 1040 from the party 2d named in № 8 in Col 15. The remainder of the extent under that № is in the enjoyment of № 9 who purchased it in 1059 from one Govinda Paddayachi who is said to have purchased it from the 2 individuals named under the №. Deeds of Sale produced. The extent in the enjoyment of № 15 was purchased by his adoptive father in 1065 from present holder № 6's uncle who is said to have bought it of № 1 in Col 15. Deed of Sale produced. № 12 is daughter in law of № 2 in Col 14 or widow of № 2 in Col 15.

rod of 12 feet. V 6-10-80 and V 11-1-— are respectively with rods of 12 and 14 feet as would appear from the grants. In specifying the extent of the Village in F 1316 no allowance appears to have been made for the difference in the lengths of the roads or in other words the sum total of the extents of the grants was entered without first reducing the into terms of the same rod. The V 26-4-— with the 5 Ms with a rod of 14 feet which is the extent of the Inam in Case № 21) so reduced are equivalent to V 21. 13. 20 of which V 11-19 81 are Palamanium V 4. 5. 86. Nadumanium V 1-14. 2 Sladi Inam and V 5-6-80. the Inam in case № 21 The present extent of the Village is V 37. 19 7/4 In F 1310 only V 5-19-50 are entered as Perambolk This appears from the grants to be the extents of the Brahmaraes two and Goppa-chaw lands alluded in certain Sthas. The other items of Pannaish such as tanks, Chunnels, Sattums or building sites, Streets &c which cannot but have existed and which do now exist were not evidently included in the above said account. A portion of the Village appears as Straph pm in the said Sadle do while the rest agahal is not specified the whole Village appears as Inam. It cannot be supposed that the extent specified in each grant included also a portion of the Perambolk of the description just alluded to.

Extract from the Register of the Village of Mélakkaveri in the Cumbaconum Taluq of Tanjore District

Register No. 58

Sheet No. 205

	Tot	Dry	Garden	Total	Assessment	Rental	Entitlement
1	26-14-12½	2-28-17½	3-8-10¼	33 11 0¼	30 7 6	7 3 4	1/2
2	1-33-11½	-0-13¼	-0-6½	1-25-1½	12-8-9	-0-1	1/8
3	4-30-17¼	1-15	-2-7¼	4-26-2½	30-0	1-3-11	"
4	4-24-23¼	1-17½	-0-1	4-7-24½	32 7 6	1-4-4	"
5	4-13-11½	-0-6-1¼	-0-15	4-20-5	30-10-4	1-3	"
6	2-30-2½	-4-10½	-0-10	2-25-6½	21-0-5	-12-1	"
7	0-31-6½	-2-11½	-23-14½	10-12-5½	65	7	2-6-8
8	-0-6-2	-0-5-10	-0-6-2	-0-5-10	-0-4		1/8
9	-0-4-2½	-0-6-5	-0-10-7½	1	-0-3		-0-2
10	-0-3-5½	-0-2-1	-0-5-5½	-0-4	-0-3		-0-2
11	-0-3-3	-0-2-3	-0-2-3	-0-2-1	-0-3		-0-3
12	-0-6-6	-0-1	-0-3¼	-0-6-7¼	1-0-11	-0-8	-0-2-1
13	-0-2-3	-0-3-2½	-0-3-2½	-0-6-1	-0-5		-0-2-9
14	-0-4	-0-3-2½	-0-3-2½	-0-6-2	-0-5		-0-2-9
Total	52-12-11½	5-18-9¾	4-11-10	63-3-11½	406-9-9	14-10-8	1/8

For the personal benefit of the holder

Granted by Tuljaji Raja Sahib on the 6th Sithei of Subhanu Subhanum maya Alaf

Patta Cobra registered in 1809

Pagoda Rs. 1-4-0

Rs. 1-4-0

with a pool of 14 feet in this Village, the whole of the Village of Soreyapuram

Howal Net 14.10.9 Kuruvai

Pálam Chatter

At the Register of 1809 1. Dámu Chatter granted Son M 1-10-0 P 0-12-0 2-2-0 2 Marakavara Chatter Another grand Son M 0-10-0 P 0-11-0 0-14-0 3 Brajamal grand daughter M 0-8-0 P 0-2-0 0-7-0 4-1-0

No. 1258 Pálam Chatter's Chola Saswamá niwa 1 Viswanátha Swami 4-10-0½ 2 Padasiva Swami Chatter 30.20.3/4 3 Subrahmanya Ayyan 9.9.10½ 4. Subrahmanya Ayyan and Ananta Náráyana Sastri 0-1-6½ 5 Ninga Swami Chatter 1-19-11½ 6 Ennu Swami Ayyan 0-1.15½ 7 Sitha giri Rayar 2.30.11½ 8 Ennuna Subrahmanya Sastri 0-6-5 9 Samudayam 0-2¼ 4-4-0

Mélakkaveri

1 Sáradha Chaji 32

2 Uttaradi Matam Trustee Sitty Soorya Pásupatha Swami

3 Sri Viswanadha Swami At Mélakkaveri Manager Saradabhaji 47

4 Krishna Ayan 47

5 Nagamádha Sastri 45

6 Pattabhirama Swami Mcumbaconum Manager Hari Ayan. 36

7 Venkatrayer 45

8 Vaidyalinga Pillai 45

9 Sammadha Pillai 25

10 Marga Sahaya Mudali 40

11 Maruda muttu Naicken 40

12 Khader Sahib Marakkayan 55

13 Nagu 40

14 Ninga Pillai 40

1 Daughter-in-law of No. 1

1 Sine 4
Wife---1
Son---1/2
5
Wife---1
Sons---2/3
7
Wife---1
Son---1
daughter---1/3
8
Wives---2
Daughters---3/4
9
Widow---1
wife---1
Cousin---1/3
10
Brother---1
Sons---2/3
11
Wife---1
Sons---3/4
12
Wife---1
Brother---1
Sons---2/3
13
Son---1
14
Wife---1
Son---1/2

The extent now under enjoyment of No. 1 is made up of the extent in the name of Padasiva Chatter her husband a-1-20-3/4 purchased by him (a -0-7-3/4 from No. 3 and a-1-19-11/2 in the year 1842 from No. 8 both in Col 15) the a--0-12-3/4 under No. 9, and a-2-5 out of the Samudayam.

The 30 and odd acres in Padasivami Chatter's name includes lands purchased in Puigala before the last and Tarama by his father and himself from others. The a--0-7-3/4 above referred to are said to have been purchased, but which is not true, the deed of sale having been lost. The extent in the name of No. 6 in Col 15 was disposed of by him a--10-7-1/2 in 1838 to Gokulmana Chari and the remainder to present holder No. 14. The former is in the enjoyment of No. 9 which is father purchased it from the said Gokulmana Chari in Tarama, and of the latter No. 10 enjoys a--0-8-3/4 No. 8 a--6-2 and No. 11 a--2-5, they having purchased it from in 1858 at a public Sale

The holder of No. 1416 has redeemed the Pant mortgage held Correspondence ending with R. Dis No 4217 M.D. 25-10-95 and Collr's letter Report Cir No 3275 dt 30-11-95.

Collr's Inam Comm 16-1-?

The two 25% daughters of Dl. died, 2/7-96, leaving behind them husbands who are now alive.

3/8/96

Vide remarks in Cases Nos 1 and 2.

Title Confirmed in Title Deeds.

No. 1 Contends the enfranchisement:

Mamem Chatter, husband of the daughter of Padasvami Chatter by his first wife, claims registry in the name of his son of the extent in the enjoyment of No. 1, 2nd wife of the said Padasvami Chattar as No. 1 in enjoyment since the death of her husband which is stated to have taken place about 17 years ago and whose name appears in the Register of 1250 and all the accounts the claim cannot be entertained.

Sd/ V. Rajagopala Pandu Collector

Has No. 1 a step daughter and has Shrijesu?

The pert Confirmed as recommended.

Sd/ H. J. Stokes Offg Asst Comm

8th March 1865

J. C. Nos
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418

The Existence of heirs to 4 Nos in her vicinity Dasy Chetty's sons does not justify a higher rate of Rs 5-8 & the share of No 2 will be considered = Forwarded on 7/8.

J.C. 218/67

5

H. Wace

J. D. No 2018

No 2 of Mela

sale effected in execution of a decree of Court in a suit for the recovery of money due by the above mentioned to No 4 to one Subbaramaier. and the remainder is in the enjoyment of No 4. No 3 is the same as No 5 in Col 14 or No 1 in Col 15. What No 4 enjoys with what he disposed of in 1862 to No 12 was purchased by his father in Khara from Subbramanya aiyan (No 3 and 4) in Col 15 as per deed of sale produced. The remainder of the extent in Subramanya aiyan's name minus the said odd Suntar in the enjoyment of No 1 was purchased in 1834 by Venkatram (father of Nanjé Rau named under No 6) who, giving away in exchange to No 2 what is entered under that No, dedicated the rest to No 6. Deed of sale produced. No 7 is son of No 3 in Col 15 or grand son of No 4 in Col 14. The extent entered in the name of No 8 in Col 15 was disposed of, they say, by his son to Narayana swami Sastri, who sold it to husband of No 10. This woman disposing of a moiety in Ananda to No 14 enjoys the remainder. Deed of sale of Ananda produced by No 14. The other two are said to have been lost. For the A. 19. 1874 not entered in the Fumaish in the name of No 6. Buru swami by an aiyan, but now enjoyed by No 4 by purchase made by him from the said No 6 in 1836. Deed of sale is produced. The rest of the extent in No 4's name is his share of charge of the Sammelayam.

(Sgd) V. Rajagopala Charlu
Deputy Collector &c.

True Copy
P. Chentsalrao
Special Asst to the
Asst Commissioner

Asm Commissioners Office
Coimbatore
5th May 1866

Compared
Narayanaswami Aiyan
Peon

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Reg. A. 58 Supplemental Loan Register of Land Kaveri in Combaconam Taluq in the District of Tanjore —

Register No. 58

Sheet No. 206

Part of Case No.	Personal Guards	Fasli	Village	Extent	Assessment	Nature of Tenure	Remarks
49	Nº 16	1868	Melkaveri Combaconam Taluq	1-3-2	Rs. A. P. 9-12½-25	View Entries in the Original Register	In F. 1238 Krishna Chari Sanad Rokka 12

Dry Manshat A. 1868 —

025 acres purchased by Nº 16 in 16 Column in the name of his son-in-law Santanaraddi on the 17th June 1860 for Rs. 99-12-0 from Annamalai widow of Vilayada Bhattan — she appears to have purchased about 20 years ago from Krishna Chari in the Column

One Rangan Setti contended that the said Annamalai had sold the manai to him a few months before the purchase by Ramaswami Setti —

Ramaswami Setti filed a Suit in A. 682 in 1862 in the Combaconam Moonsiffs Court against Annamalai & Rangan Setti for the recovery of the land — The Moonsiff Court held, on the 11th October 1865 in favor of Ramaswami Setti A. 16 in Col 16, rejecting the claim of Rangan Setti — No appeal was preferred against this X

Melkaveri Combaconam Taluq 16 Rama Swami Setti Co — Mem 1 Wife 1 Son

Confirmed as recommended by the Panchayatdar Club — on a 2 R of 2 Annas. The Man will be released from attachment.

W. Thomas 13-12-66

5 Jun 68

(True Copy) W. Thomas Offg Asst Collr 28 Nov 1882

X Decree — an attested Copy of the Decree is produced on Stamped Paper —

Unnamalai has a son of the age of 12 years —

Date of publication for the Annual 18 June 1866 A. 469

Job Confirmed under Rule III

2 R 1/8 2 Annas Rent

T. Shanmuga Pillai Asst Collr

Tanjore 17th May 1866

Examined & Tabulated 9/6/1866

Collr's Instructions Rt. to be released. 10-8-67

Extract from the Register of the Village of Melakkaveri in the Cumbaconum Taluq of Tanjore District

Register No 58
Sheet No 207

No	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Assessment	Kavel	2nd Kavel
1	12-2¾	-	2½	1-12-6¼	2-15-10	-	1-4
2	17-11½	-	3½	2-10-1	4-5-6	-	1-11
3	1½-13	-	2	1-13	3-2	-	1-5
4	12-½	-	2½	1-12-8	2-15-3	-	1-4
5	4-13½	-	½	4-13½	1-3-1	-	6
6	4-13½	-	½	4-13½	1-3-1	-	6
7	21-10	-	2	23-3-5	5-8	-	2-5
8	6-12½	-	3½	6-15-1	10-8	-	10
9	15-¼	-	1-7½	15-22¾	3-10-8	-	1-8
10	2-22	-	9	2-22-11	20-15-11	-	2-2
11	11-15½	-	2	12-¾	2-14-5	-	1-4
12	11-15½	-	2	12-3½	2-15	-	1-4
13	1-4-15½	-	8	1-5-13½	8-15-9	-	4-11
14	9-12¾	-	1¾	9-15½	1-10-1	-	1
15	22-0	-	1½	22-6½	5-6-5	-	2-5
16	33-5½	-	6	33-11½	6-10-8	-	3
17	9-12½	-	1½	9-15½	2-6-5	-	1
18	1-29-14½	-	1½	1-31-1	11-10-2	-	7-8
19	-	-	-	3-¾	3-¾	-	6-10
20	-	-	-	2-½	2-½	-	10
21	-	-	-	13½	13½	-	1-8
22	-	-	-	1-½	2-7½	-	2-4
23	-	-	-	1-½	1-½	-	2-1
24	-	-	-	1-½	1-½	-	2-1
25	-	-	-	1-½	1-½	-	2-1
26	2-½	-	1	6-2½	1-8	-	8
Total	12-4-11½	-	15-11¾	12-8-12¾	12-15-1	2-15-3	10-4-8

For the personal benefit of the holder

Granted to Sadaiya Pajavaka in the 26th Fasli for Sabhas Sabhavim maya alad rs 1177

To Documents put in the Register of 1069 & Fasli for Pokafor the Sum is recorded

Extract of Court Rs 1-10-0 with a rod of 12 feet

Dame Dasti Do. has semi retained & sold to Sriman Somasi Sabhapati Ramachandra Dikshitar Jubilee a stalam, & the Sum will be delivered for attestation subject to recent restrictions of Sircar power.

[illegible] Sabhapati Dikshitar is to cease, & requisite of Sriman Subbaya Dikshitar is appointed by documents.

Lakshmana Ayya's writer has appeared. Vide remarks on Case Rs.

For Rs 12, vide remarks in Rs 1752 in this Vol.

For Rs 26 Nagaraja Row's Father Rajagopala Row & name intermediate & Sarasa for his Father's Mother 20 yrs ago.

2/8/66.

Nagaya-na Dasti

To the Register of 1069 Fasli for Pokafor the Sum is recorded

1 Subba Dasti daughter of Original grantee — 5-25

2 Rama Swami Dasti do — 1-50

3 Rama Chandra Dasti brother's Son in law — 1-50

4 Rama Dasti, absence — 2-25

5 Chakra pani Srinivasa Dikshitar do — 6-75

6 Krishna Aiyangar Chari do — 4-50

7 Ramaka Sabhapati Dasti do — 1-8½

8 Ramaka Dasti do — 6½

1-10-0

Rs 1238

Nagaya-na Dasti Aiyangar Sircar: woman in charge

1 Seeni Dasti 1-10-2¼

2 Rama Dasti 1-22½

3 Subbaya Dikshitar — 24-6½

4 Rama Chandra Dasti — 25-13½

5 Venkata Krishna Dasti — 9-4½

6 Krishnadevi Ayyangar Chari — 1-14-4

7 Pethu Dasti — 2-4

8 Nagaya Chari — 2-10-15½

9 Govinda Dasikha Chari — 30-8½

10 Adiya-palaka-Muttusami Aiyangar — 20-8¾

11 Some unidentified — 2

10-21-12

Cumbaconum

1 Rami Dasti

2 Seminadha Aiyan 22

3 Ramu Dasti 20

4 Nagoya Chari 35

5 Venkatarama Dasti 45

6 Sankaya Dasti 60

7 Srivarama Krishna Dasti 45

8 Seeni Aiyangar 40

9 Balakrishna Dasti 10

10 Chakravarthi Samanija Chari 55

11 Gummadha Pillai 25

12 Parvatiyam mal 50

13 Nagamadha Dasti 25

14 Saraswati Bhagi 20

15 Srinivasa Rau 28

16 Rama Vasavi wara dikshatar 29

17 Sri Pattabhirama Swami Manager Hari Rau

18 Uttarapathi Subramania Mudaliar Administrator Satyaparayana Swami

19 Ramaswami Chetti 50

20 Abhiram 30

21 Sankaran Chetti 28

22 Maravan Chetti 22

23 Ramaswami Chetti 26

24 Muppanna 30

25 Ramalu Srivaswami 30

26 Pudam bibi 30.

No 142

Grandfather No 1

Wife — 1
Brother — ½
3
Wife — 1
Son — 2/11
4
Wife — 1
5
Wife — 1
Sons — 3
Brother — 1
6
Wife — 1
Son — 2
7
Wife — 1
Sons — 2
Brother — 1/11
8
Wife — 1
Brother — 1/2
9
Unmarried
10
Wife — 1
Sons — 2
11
Wife — 1
Uncle — 1
Uncle's Son — 1/2
12
Daughters — 2
Sons — 2
13
Wife — 1
Sons — 2
14
Brahman 1
Son — 1/2
15
Wife — 1
16
Wife — 1
Brother — 1/2
Brother's Son — 1/2
17
Wife — 1
Son — 1/2
18
Unmarried
19
Unmarried
20
Daughter married — 1

No 1 and 2 are sons of No 1 in Col 15 & found sons of the same No in Col 14. No 1 is said to have left those parts with his family some 15 or 16 years ago and his whereabouts is not known. His land is in the enjoyment of one Sivaram Ayyan Sambattan's widow in Mortgage. The whole of what is entered now in No 1's name with the exception of a small extent from out of the Sumuddayam land appears in the Poinaiah in his father's name. But what are the names of No 2 included in a -5-6¼ (out of the extent in the name of No 3 in Col 15) being majority of an extent awarded in 1846 to his brother by the Court in a Civil Suit. The father of No 19 purchased in the year Visaki from Ramchandra Mudali (who is said to have purchased it from No 1 in Col 15) a manaikkattu a- -11-1 in extent, of this No 19 enjoys a- -3-½ his Sister's husband No 22 by purchase from him a- -1-1¼; No 20 by purchase made from him in Jaswara a- -2-½; No 21 a- -13/16 which he purchased in Tavara a farm No 23 who had purchased it together with what is entered in his name in the year 1871 fr. Subramania Chetti, who is stated to have got it from No 19. No 24 a- -1-1¼ purchased by his father

Vide remarks in Case of No 1 and 2.

Fasli Confirmed in 18 Villages. Seeds. The Original holders Consent to enfranchisement.

(Sgd) V. Rajagopala Chariar
Collector
5th November 1863.

The Lands of Nos 1 to 6 enclosed with the Sanad Rubakar No 4217 RB dt 25-10-95 & Endorsement Nos 3275 dt 30-11-95 for Cop

What is now the age of No 1 when he left his Village and did he take his sons, if he had any with him?

For No 8 the remarks are in No 1

For No 11 had Pitchumana Ayan's widow issue and if not, why? and not so?

Why Nos 1 to 6 & 22 & for No 16 when died Nagajee Rao's father get the Sum?

Confirmed as per amended.

(Sgd) V. Rajagopala Chariar
Collector
8th March 1865

No
1626
1627
1628 — 2013
1629 — 2014
1640 — 2015
1641 — 2016
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650

Rao Sahib Rao Bahadur Rao Sahib Rao Sahib

in 1847, from Somu Chetti to whom it is stated to have been sold by No 19 and No 25 as 1-1/4 purchased by him in 1862 from Velayuda Chetti who is said to have purchased it from Appadamaian to whom it is said to have been sold by Subbien Chetti who they say had purchased it of the above mentioned Somu Chetti. Deeds of Sale of the years above mentioned produced. The extent in No 1 enjoyed was purchased by his father in the year Viswavasu from Ormammal who had purchased it in Sarwari from Rangadasami aiengar to whom it had been sold in Vikrithi by Ramachendra Sastri No 2 in Col 14 or No 4 in fol 15. Deeds of Sale produced. No 5 as grand son and No 6 as son of No 2 in Col 14 and they enjoy the extent therein entered entered. What No 7 enjoys was purchased by him in the year 1847 from the widow of Chidambara payana Chari named in Col 14 and 15. as per deed of Sale produced. No 8 is incidental with No 1 in case No 1 (Vide remarks in that case) The extent in enjoyment was purchased by Lekshmana Chari as a-0-12½ in 1823 from No 1 in Col 15 a-1-8¾ in Khara from No 2 in Col 14 and 15 and a-1-3¾ in Alava from Subbaien Thodhiar this man was and how he got the land from Chidambara payana Chari in whose name it appears in the Paimaish is not known. Deeds of Sale of the years above specified produced. No 10 enjoys the extent in the name of his grand mother No 5 in Col 14 or his Cousin No 8 in Col 15. Portion of this land, held by his (No 10?) Exchanges have also passed on to him by exchange as per documents produced. What No 11 enjoys was purchased by him in 1862 from Vaidialinga Vadhiyar who had purchased it in the same year from the widow of Lekshmana Chari to whom it is said to have been sold by Madhavachari named under No 9 in Col 15. The two deeds of Sale of 1862 are produced. The extent in question is entered in the name of Lekshmana Chari in the account of ₹ 1250. What No 12 enjoys was acquired by her husband in exchange in the year Vilayanki from Gopalakrishna Sastri who her father purchased it in 1842 from Lekshmana Chari to whom it is said to have been sold by No 2 in Col 15. Deeds of Sale and exchange produced What No 13 enjoys was purchased by his father as a-3-23¾ in the year 1837 from the widow of No 6 in Col 14 and 15 and a-0-6¾ in 1854 from present holder No 1, it being his moiety of the extent awarded to him by the Civil Court. (Vide remarks in No 2) Deeds of Sale produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 14 was given to her under Manjakkani viz the year Alava by her father who purchased it in 1839. Deeds of Sale produced. What No 15 enjoys was purchased by him, as per deed of Sale produced viz the year 1840 from No 7 in Col 15 whereas son of the same No 16 in Col 14. The extent in the enjoyment of No 16 was purchased by his father in 1858 from Sagaya Asan who's father had it with some other lands by performing the funeral Ceremonies of his father's sister Soriya Chayi who had purchased it in 1839 from Lekshmana Chari to whom it had been sold in Vikrithi by Ramaswami aiyengar, son of the party 2nd named under No 9 in Col 15. The sale by Ramaswami aiyengar was of a-9-½ out of the extent under No 9 above referred to and a-22-15¼ out of that, in the name of Ramachendra Sastri from whom his father is said to have purchased it. Deeds of Sale bearing the dates specified produced. The extents entered in the names of No 17 and 18 together with a small extent in another Dikaw was purchased by Viswesha Row father of the party named under No 17 in 1824 from Viswiyachari who had purchased a-28-5¼ in 1830 from Rama Krishnama Sastri who they say had purchased it from Lekshmammal to whom it is said to have been sold by No 11 in Col 15 a-31-; in 1852 from one who is stated to have been bought it from No 2 in the Paimaish and a-17-13¼ in 1838 from No 1 in the account, documents of the years already mentioned produced. Of the total extent purchased by Venkata Row he dedicated what he enjoys and gave the remainder in exchange to his gift and exchange are admitted by Venkatrao's sons The extent which No 3 enjoys is out of the 24 Cents in the name of his father No 2 in Col 15. The extent in the enjoyment of No 9 was purchased by his father in 1858 from No 5 in Col 15 as per deed of Sale produced. What No 20 enjoys was purchased by her husband in 1846 from Sivaramma Sastri who purchased it in 1845 from Yogammal animal, whose husband is said to have had it from the father of No 21 in Col 16. Deeds of Sale produced.

The share of No 28 is confirmed on ½ Vide remarks on Case.

The share of No 12 and 16 confirmed on ½ also on ½ Vide Case.

The share of No 16 and also to be confirmed on ½ & ½ Nagoji Rama has given the acquisition by him 20 years ago need not the question now?

Rest as in A. J's Remarks in Case 7 Chart 24 I consider that Nagoji Rao has left no heirs & do not think we also the Confirmed on ½

7.3.67

Compared
Dewan Appa Swami Avl

Board of Commissioners Office
Committee's
May 1868

Dy Collector

Special Dy Collr

Extract from the Inam Register of the Sarvamaniyam Village of Melakkaviri in the Combaconum Maganam Combaconum Taluq Tanjore District.

Recorded with 4092 of 28th August

21. Personal. Wet 4-29-7 4.74 42-10-, Dry 1-11-23/4 1.28 3-1-2., Garden -26-12 .67 3-3-10. Total 6-27-5 3/4 6.69 48-15-. For the personal benefit of the holder. Kaval 1-4-10. Hereditary. Granted by Pratapa Singh Rajasaheb in the 19th Shawal of Irbavan of that year. Usaya Chief. Lika 1. This was not registered in 1809 & so the Inam is not to be found in the Register of that year. Extent of grant Wet 1- Dry -6- 1-6- with a pod of 14 feet with Copperachara Nhirai or grazing land which appears from certain documents to be 3 Ks per Veli. Paramesvara Josier.

No.	Wet	Dry	Garden	Total	Asst.	Kaval	Quit Rent	
1	-16-15	-3	-1 3/4	-17-3 3/4	4-2-10	-1-10	-8-	1. T. D.
2	-16-15 1/4	-3	-1 3/4	-17-4	4-2-10	-1-10	-8-	
3	-29-4 1/4	-2-2 1/4	-3 1/4	-31-9 3/4	6-6-5	-3-3	-12-	1. T. D.
4		-33-9 1/2		-33-9 1/2	2-4		-4-	1. T. D.
5	-29-4 1/4	-1-13 3/4	-3	-31-5	6-6-3	-3-3	-12-	1. T. D.
6	-4-12 3/4	-1/2		-4-13 1/4	1-2-8	-7	-2-3	
7		-4-13 3/4		-4-13 3/4	-3-11		-6	
8	-5-8	-1	-3/4	-5-9 3/4	1-4-10	-7	-2-7	
9		-1-9 1/4		-1-9 1/4	-1-5		-2	
10		-1-14 3/4		-1-14 3/4	-1-11		-3	
11	-1-13 1/4	-1	-3/4	-6-15	1-11-1	-9	-3-3	
12	-3-6 1/2	-1 1/2		-3-8	-13-6	-5	-1-8	
13	-3-6 1/2	-1 1/2		-3-8	-13-6	-4	-1-8	
14	-3-7 1/2	-1 1/2		-3-9	-13-7	-5	-1-9	
15	-7-13 3/4	-1 1/2	-1	-8-1/4	1-14-11	-10	-3-9	
16		-1	-1		-11		-2	1. T. D. 2-4-
17			-13	-13	-1-7		-3	
18			-4-3 3/4	-4-3 3/4	-8-3		-1-	
19			-9-15	-9-15	1-3-4		-2-6	
20			-1	-1	-11		-2	
21	-7-12 3/4	-1/2	-1/4	-7-13 1/2	1-4-8	-10	-2-6	
22	-7-12 3/4	-1/2	-1/4	-7-13 1/2	1-4-7	-10	-2-6	
23	-7-12 3/4	-1/2	-1/4	-7-13 1/2	1-4-7	-10	-2-6	
24	-7-8 1/4	-1 1/2	-1	-7-10 3/4	1-13-8	-10	-3-8	
25		-1-4 1/4		-1-4 1/4	-1-2		-2	
26		-1-6 3/4	-10-13 1/4	-12-3	1-6-2		-2-9	
27	-2-9 1/2	-2	-1	-12-13 1/2	3-1-6	-5 (1/4)	-12-	1. S. D.
28	-18-4	-1	-1	-18-6	4-7-8	-2 (1/6)	-8-	1. S. D.
	4-28-7	1-11-2 3/4	-26-12	6-27-5 3/4	48-15-	1-4-10	6-[illegible]	7. S. D.

In F 1238 Alodi Inam: 1 Venkatarama Sastri -33-4 1/4; 2 Sivarasastri 1-17-6 1/2; 3 Venkatasubba Sastri -16-12; 4 Sitarama Dhavachari -7-11 1/2; 5 Annavi Dhiyar -14-2 3/4; 6 Ananta Sastri -30-5 1/2; 7 Subbasastri -10-1; 8 Venkata Subbasastri -13-12 3/4; 9 Samaiyan -1-14 3/4; 10 Kailasanadhasvami -32-9 1/2; 11 Virabhadra Svami -4-11 1/4; 12 Subbaiyan -12-3; 13 Lakshmanan -4-1 3/4; 14 Ponnambala Vatti -13; 15 Ammalammal and Ummanammal -13-5 1/2; 16 Dry and Garden -7-12 3/4; 6-20-13 3/4.

Melakkaviri
1 Sankarasastri 68
2 Venkatramasastri 45
3 Lakshminarasimha Sastri 25
4 Kailasanadhasvami at Melakaviri
Manager
Margasahayadikshat
5 Sivaramakrishna Sastri 45
6 Virabhadrasvami at D.
Worshipper
Kumarasvami Kurukkal
7 Chinna Marada Pillai 60
8 Narayanasami Sastri 41.
9 Vaidilinga Pillai 45
10 Muttiya Konan 35
11 Subbramaniaiya 46
12 Janakiammal 20
13 Akilandammal 45
14 Rangammal 20
15 Govindappa Naik 55
16 Lamasetti 34
17 Subbramaniya Vatti 40
18 Saminadhaiya 17
19 Subbramaniya Aiyan 41
20 Kuruna Chalasetti 30
21 Appachari 55
22 Srinivasachari 25
23 Gopalachari 19
24 Ibadlabbay 60
25 Govindasetti 27
26 Mahalinga Dikshit 42
27 Naranaiya 20
28 Adamkasim Rauttan 35

(1) Sons 3/3; (2) Sons 2. brother 1, Brother's son 1/2; (3) Wife 1; (5) Wife 1- Son 1, Brother 1/2; (7) Wife 1- Son 1- 1/2; (8) Sons 2/2; (9) Wives 2. Daughters 2/4; (10) Wife 1- Daughter 1/2; (11) Wife 1- Son 1/2; (12) Husband 1, Sister 1/2; (13) Daughter 1, Do's sons 2/3; (14) None; (15) Wife 1- Daughter 1/2; (16) Wife 1- brother 1, Sons 2 1/4; (17) Wife 1 Son 1/2; (18) Wife 1; (19) Wife 1- Son 1/2; (20) Wife 1, daughter 1/2; (21) Wife 1- Sons 2/3; (22) Wife 1- brother 1/2; (23) Wife 1; (24) Son 1; (25) Wife 1- Mother 1/2; (26) Wife 1- Son 1/2; (27) Wife 1- Mother 1/2; (28) Wife 1- Daughter 1/2.

No. 1 is son & No. 2 grandson of the party first named in Col. 15 whose father had purchased the extent therein entered & which is now in enjoyment in the year Ananda from Kuppiri Aiyan who had purchased it in the same year from the son's daughter's son of the original grantee. No. 10 enjoys the extent in the name of No. 9 whose daughter's son-in-law he is and who purchased the extent in question from one Sivara Sastri in the year Sarvadhari. Documents produced by Nos. 1 & 2 & 10. No. 4 is the same as No. 10 in Col. 15. The one Kunta now lasts over & above the extent under that in the Paimash is from out of the extent under late in the same acct. What No. 20 enjoys was purchased by his brother in the year 1849 from Naranaiya out of the extent under No. 8 in Col. 15. No. Ananda Varusha Deed of sale produced. What is now entered in the name of No. 6 is what appears in Col. 15 under No. 4 is entered with No. 1. No. 7 enjoys the extent in the name of his uncle No. 13 in Col. 15. What No. 8 enjoys was purchased by him in the year Parabhava from the Daughter-in-law of Annalammal first named in No. 15 in the Paimash. The remainder of the extent under this No. together with that under No. 7 on Acres -17-14 was disposed of to No. 20 in 1861 by Srinivasa Sastri to whom it had been given in gift, a portion in Subhakritu and the rest in Kilaka by Ammani, the second named under this No., already alluded to (No. 15 in Col. 15) and who is said to have purchased ⊗

⊗ the A -10-1 under No. 7. Deeds of sale produced by Nos. 8 & 28. The extent in the enjoyment of No. 7 was disposed of to him in the year Plavanga by No. 27, who enjoys the remainder of the extent in the name of his grand-father No. 8 in Col. 15. Deed of sale produced. The extent in the name of No. 2 in the Paimash is enjoyed. A -28-11 1/4 by his grandson No. 3, & A -28-11 1/4 by No. 5 who purchased it in Pingala from a brother of the said No. 3 as per deed of sale produced. These two (Nos. 3 & 5) enjoy in addition to the extents above named A -1-12 1/4 each out of the extent under No. 16 in Col. 15. What No. 15 enjoys was purchased by his father in 1841 from the son of one Ramasvami Achari who had purchased it in Sarvadhari from No. 4 in Col. 15, to whom it had been sold in the same year by Subba Pillai that had purchased it in Parthiva from one Ramasami Sastri. Deeds of sale produced. No. 17 enjoys the extent in the name of his father No. 14 in Col. 15. The extent in the name of No. 5 in Col. 15 passed on, it appears, by sale to one who sold it to Anandapattan in Vikari. This last named individual in the same year however gave away a portion A -4-8 1/2 in gift to Annamalhatter and a portion to the father of No. 19. A small portion that was left was likewise given away by him in Dundubhi to No. 19 & another named Narasimhachari. No. 19 enjoys what he & his father had as above specified with the portion given to Narasimhachari from whom he purchased it in the last mentioned year. The four & odd Guntas given to Annamalhatter were disposed of by him in 1855 to Kuppuraiyan from whom No. 18 purchased them in 1859. Deeds of sale produced. Of the extent in the name of No. 6 in Col. 15, his grandson disposed of A -22-15 1/2 in 1837 to Ramachari father of No. 21 and grand-father of Nos. 22 & 23, and the remainder is said to have been purchased from him by No. 24's husband whose name is entered in the Register of F 1258. Deed of sale for the 22 and odd Guntas produced. Narayanaiyan grandson of No. 12 in Col. 15 disposed of the extent therein entered in the year 1848, a portion to No. 26 & the rest to Ayyam Pillai. The portion sold to the latter is also in the enjoyment of the former who purchased it in 1852 from his son. Deeds of sale produced. The small extent which Nos. 16 & 25 enjoy were purchased by them from other Inamdars in the year Subhakritu & Kilaka as pro[illegible] from out of the extent entered under No. 16 in Col. 15.

Vide Remarks in Case Nos. 1 & 2.

To be confirmed in 7 Title Deeds.

9-11-65. (Sigd.) V. Rajagopalacharlu, Deputy Collector I. C.

Why ¼ to No. 27? The rest confirmed as recommended.

(Sd.) W. T. Blair, Offg. I. C. 9/5/65.

T. D. Nos.
1400
1401.
1402
1397
1398
1399
T. D. No. 2019

There appears to be no grounds for charging ¼ to No. 27.

3/8/65. [signature]

The share of No. 27 will therefore be confirmed on 1/8th only.

T. K. 2/8/67 [signature]

8

[initials]

Register No. 58

Sheet No. 208

Inam Commissioner's Office Coimbatore 5th May 1866

(True Extract)

P. Chentsaliah

Offg. Special Assistant to the Inam Commissioner

Extract from the Register of the Village of Melakkaveri in the Cumbaconum Taluq of Tanjore District.

Register No. 58
Sheet No. 209

Register No. 58

Extracts from the Register of the Village of Melakkaveri in the Cumbaconam Taluq of Tanjore District

Register No. 58

Sheet No. 210

Ryot & Melvaramdars Enamdars

& Personal Enfranchised.

No 8 is great grand son of the party last named in fol 14. The extent now in his enjoyment (exclusive of a small portion of the Samundayam) appears in the Pamaish in the name of Aiyaswamy No 2 a relation of his. as to the reasons of the entry being in this manner made reside remarked on No 2 (identical with No 4 in this case) in the preceding case. The extent in the enjoyment of No 5 was dedicated to him by Reddiyar out of 6 Ms which he purchased in the years 1832 and 1847, in four equal portions from Pankaja Sastri Raja Sastri, the widow of Appadasami Sastri and the son of Vaidhinadha Sastri, all named in No 4 in fol 15. The 6 Ms thus purchased include lands measured in the Pamaish in the names of parties other than those from whom the purchase was made. This arises from the lands appertaining to this Sike having been held in certain years on Varaiyidas and the consequent change in the holdings (Deeds of sale produced. What No 6 enjoys was purchased by him in the year Valayukti from present holder No 7 and his uncle (descendants of the Original Grantees) as per deed of sale produced. This land appears in the Pamaish in the name of Pichu Sastri. The extent now in the enjoyment of No 7 is made up of a—4—13½ out of the extent in the name of his father (No 7 in Col 15) and a—4—12½ (out of that in the name of No 6 in the same fol) purchased by his father in 1836 from a cousin and co-sharer of his as per deed of sale produced. What No 12 enjoys was purchased by him in 1825 from Sankara Jatavallabhan who had purchased it in 1822 from present holder No 22 (brother of No 5 and son of No 4 in fol 14) Deeds of sale produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No 13 was purchased by him in the year 1857 from Appukutti Aiyan who had purchased it in from Aiyasastri who had purchased it in the said year from No 6 in fol 15. (Deeds of sale produced. No 14 is son of Pichu Sastri in Col 15. The extent in his enjoyment was purchased by his father in the year Jastiva, and it appears in the Pamaish in the names of 4 individuals Nos 6, 5, 4 and 11. (Deed of sale produced. The extents which Nos 15 and 16 enjoy were purchased by them respectively in 1858 from present holder No 7 and they appear in the Pamaish in the names of Nos 3 and 4 (Deeds of sale produced. The extents entered in the names of Nos 18 and 19 were purchased in 1821 by Krishna (late husband of the first and father of the present) from descendants of the Original grantees, as per documents produced, out of the extent entered in fol 15 as "Samundayam". The extent now entered in the name of No 20 was purchased by him in the name of his sister's son in the year 1858 from Subramanya Aiyan, son of Samaiya in fol 15 who had purchased it in 1821 from Venguda Sastri, son of No 2 in fol 14, and it appears in the Pamaish in the names of Nos 3, 4, 6, 7 and 11 (Deeds of sale produced), and the sister's son in whose name the purchase was made, admits having purchased the land for the benefit of his uncles and renounces all claim thereto. What No 21 enjoys was purchased by his brother in the year Valayukti, a portion from Reddiyan alluded to in the remarks on No 5, out of the 6 Ms stated to have been purchased by him and the remainder from present holder No 7 and his uncle (Documents produced. The extent which No 22 enjoys appears in the Pamaish in the names of Nos cousins and his brother Nos 4, 5, 7 & 10. What No 23 enjoys was purchased by his maternal grand father and adoptive father Gopala Sastri in the year Sarvari from Lakshmana Chari who is said to have purchased it from Vaidhinadha Sastri, named under No 7 in fol 15 (Deed of sale of Sarvari produced. The extent which No 24 enjoys was purchased by him in Valayukti from No 7 in fol 16 out of the extents entered in the Pamaish in the names of Nos 3 and 6. What No 25 enjoys was latterly held by her mother, Mangalattammal, and by agreement entered into with her sister she enjoys the same exclusively. The extent in question appears in the Pamaish under Nos 6 and 11 and Mangalattammal consists Nos 4 and 5 What No 26 enjoys was purchased by him in 1862 from present holder No 14, out of the extent in the name of his father No 6 in fol 15. (Deed of sale produced. The Manaikkattu which No 17 enjoys was purchased by him in 1860 from Mangalattammal, widow of No 3 in fol 14. and it appears in the Pamaish in the name of Pichu Sastri &c. (Deed of sale produced.

Sd/ C. Rajagopala Charlu
Deputy Collector &c

(True Copy)

C. Chentsalrao
Asst Special Deputy Collector
Inam Commissioner's Office
Commissioner's Office
1866

Compared
Thursday 4 o'clock
Dec 13 1866

Extract from the Register of the Village of Melakhaveri in the Cumbaconum Taluq of Tanjore District

Received with Collr's letter Dated 26th August 1866

Regd No 58

Register No 58
Sheet No 2 11

No	Net	Dry	Garden	Total	Mt	Fasl	Quit Rent
	41-20-12½	41-7-1	265-5-11				
1	1-7-13	0-9-12½	—	1-17-1	1-10-¼	0-13-9	0-5-3
2	0-20-8	2-4-11½	0-7-2	3-12-1	2-6-3¼	1-11-3	0-15-9
3	1-29-12½	0-22-12	0-7-6	2-29-10½	1-5-3	0-7-7	0-8-0
4	0-4-7½	—	—	0-4-7½	—	0-11-9	0-0-6
5	0-4-7½	—	—	0-4-7½	—	0-11-9	0-0-6
6	—	—	—	—	1-17-11½	0-4-11½	2-2-9
7	11-8-17½	0-11-4½	2-2-6	13-22-6½	0-4-12	0-3-1	0-4-7½
8	1-7-5	0-1-8	0-6½	1-8-3½	6-12-0	0-5-2	0-7½
9	0-9-16½	0-0-2	0-0-1	0-10-3½	2-7-0	0-1-1	"
10	0-22-7	0-0-8½	0-0-2½	0-22-12½	5-0-6	2-6-6	0-7½
11	5-22-14½	0-0-7	0-1-3½	5-24-15½	4-0-9-4	1-0-9	"
12	0-27-11	0-0-5	0-0-2½	0-28-2½	4-9-2	0-3-0	0-1½
13	0-7-17½	0-6-2½	0-0-1½	0-14-1½	1-12-5	0-0-11	"
14	1-16-1½	0-0-10	0-0-2½	1-17-3½	10-1-10	0-6-2	0-7½
15	4-0-0	0-1-12½	0-0-15	4-15-12½	27-12-10	1-2-6	0-14½
16	0-27-0	0-10-7½	0-0-0	0-30-3½	5-0-2	0-11-0	0-0-8
17	0-26-3½	0-0-11½	0-0-2½	0-26-10½	4-0-5	0-2-10	"
18	2-16-12½	0-1-0	0-0-10	2-17-7½	15-0-9	0-10-6	0-7½
19	—	—	—	—	0-6½	—	0-6½
20	—	—	0-6-7½	0-6-7½	0-6-0	"	"
21	—	—	0-2-5½	0-2-5½	0-2-0	"	"
22	—	—	0-1-22½	0-1-22½	0-1-0	"	"
23	—	—	0-1-0	0-1-0	0-1-0	"	"
Total	36-20-22½	2-24-11	0-7-0	41-20-14½	265-5-11	9-13-5	"

Remarks: Assessed by Patta passing Regd. Sheet in the Sharvadi of Vetham maya Adaf

Sika registered in 1869—1
Extent of grant
N 2—0—0
Q 0—16—0
2—16—0
Sika assessed at 1¼ feet.

Assessed by Arumbavoor Sarkar

Mr. Assistant

For the personal benefit of the holder.

Fasl

Sharvadi 9-12-5

Date of Register 1809

1 Sâmia Ivamé Sastri Son
N—11-29½
Q—0-27½
1-1-5⅞
2 Vêtaramé Sastri Brother Son
N—2-50
Q—2-95
3 Appa Sastri Sêmier
N—1-026¾
Q—1-9½
4 Vinaitavara Sastri do
N—6-27½
5 Amma Sastri do
Q—0-26
6 Mâka Swami do
N—12-30
Q—0—12
—18-62
7 Sêshama Shastri do
N—8—0
Q—1-25
—6-25
8 Hana Kasakka pati do
N—6-25
9 Kuppa Sastri do
Q—0—25
10 Nâmmal
Q—0—31
N 2—0—0
Q—16—0
2-16—0

Ch. No 1238
Immata Vîrayanda Sastri
Sika Sêswamé minor
1 Subramanya Sastri
N—22-2½
2 Venkatarama Sastri
N—8-12
3 Appa Chari
4-28-12½
4 Saka. Swami
13-4-6½
5 Rama Chetta Sastri
1-13-2½
6 Pêchu Sastri
5-21-2¼
7 Kuppa Sastri
—6-7½
8 Vinaka Iyagar
—10-8½
9 Perya Ammal Kammal
—4-11¼
50-10-7¼

Melakhaveri
1 Ramaswami Sastri 35
2 Subramanya Sastri 26
3 Adisesha Sastri 10
4 Sankara Sastri 60
5 Venkatarama Sastri 45
6 Naminadha Pillai 25
7 Nanadarami Pandeiar 55
8 Devadasi Mattatai Manager
Srinivasa Iya Ayyar 9
Parvati ammal 53
10 Sami Sastri 45
11 Srinivasa Ayyer 28
12 Anandattammal 42
13 Bhagirathi ammal 38
14 Sripathiya Pillai 26
15 Madalli Pillai 35
16 Narayana Sami Sastri 41
17 Krishna Row 47
18 Balakrishna Sastri 10
19 Virappan 30
20 Paradha Bhagi ammal 32
21 Annasastri 40
22 Ramalinga Sastri 18
23 Venkatarama Sastri 12

1-2 Brothers grant grandsons
3 Brothers grand Son & daughter & adopted Son of No 1 in Col 14.

1 Wife—1
Son—½
2 Wife—1
Son—1
Brother—1
ds wife—¼
3 Adoptive mother—1
4 Sons—3
Daughters-in-law—3
Grandsons—3
9
5 Son—3
Daughter-in-law—2
Brother—1
6
6 Wife—1
7 Wife—1
Son—1
Daughter-in-law—1
3
9 Daughter-in-law—3
dd Son—¼
10 Wife—1
Brother—1
dd Son—⅓
11 Wife—1
Mother—½
12 None
13 None
14 Wife—1
Brother—½
15 Wife—1
Brother—1
16 Wife—1
Sons—2
17 Wife—1
Son—½
18 Mother—1
Brother—1
20 None
21 Sons—3
Brothers—⅔
22 Wife—1
Brother—½
23 Mother—1

Nos 1, 2 & 3 enjoy a Q 12 8/9 ent of the extent in the name of Subramanya Sastri No 1 in Col 15), vizeli of Rs 142 and adoptive father of No 3 and the remainder is enjoyed as 1—0 by No 16 who has father purchased it in the years Sadharana and Virodhikiritu from the fathers of Nos 1 and 2 and No 3 respectively, as 35—4 3/4 by No 3 12 and 15 of whom the first and mother of Nos 12 purchased it in Pharanga from No 3 8 father, as 36—12 3/4 by No 16 who purchased it in 1846 from Seshavadani to whom it had been sold in 1843 by the said Subramanya Sastri; as 2—13—8½ by No 18 whose father purchased a portion in 1839 from the father of No 1 and the remainder in 1841 from the sons of Kuppuswami Aiyan who had purchased it in 1835 from No 3's father and as 2—12—6½ by No 16 who purchased it with the rest of what he enjoys in the year 1862 from Venkata Subbaiah who had purchased the same and added access from Subramanya Sastri in Subhanu and the remainder in 1842 from Sella Pillai to whom it had been sold in the same year from Venkata Pillai

Vide remarks in Cases of Nos 1 and 2.

Title Confirmed in 16 Title Deeds.

The Original holders Consent to enfranchisement.

No 17 has not attended (assessed)

J Sgd/ V. Rajagopala Charlu
Dy Collector

7th November 1866.

J. D. No
2028
2029
2030 & 2031

Why had 1¼ been charged to Vadile No 8 which 1/8 only has been charged to them in Case No 1?

No 6, 9 and 15 The reason for charging the higher rate in these cases must be more clearly explained. The rest Confirmed as recommended.

Sgd. V. R. Chetti

Dy Collr

8th March 1867

No
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435

I have sent the Cowle to No 16 the Cowle to No 8

Vol. No. 58 Melukottai Continued

Venkatachella Sastri to whom it had been sold in 1840 by Gokshmana Chari who they say, had purchased it from No. 5 in Col 15. No. 6-2-- and 16 enjoying additional to the extents above specified, the first (No. 6) a--16-6½ purchased by his uncle in 1862 from Chiddilinga Vadhiyar who had purchased it in 1861 from the widow of Gokshmana Chari, who is said to have purchased it from No. 5 in Col 15 already alluded to. The second and third (Nos 12 & 13) a--6-1¾ purchased by their mother in Virdambi from Rama Sastri No. 5 in Col 15 who had purchased it in Srimukha from Subramaniya Sastri No. 1. and the fourth (No. 16) a--10-2½ purchased by him in Virodhikrutu, with what he disposed of in the same year to No. 19 from No. 8 in Col 15. Documents for all the alienations specified produced. No. 4 is son and No. 5 is grand son of Venkatramana Sastri in Cols 14 and 15. No. 17 enjoys the extent entered in the name of his father Kaka Sami Pandita in the 2 Cols just mentioned. What is entered in the name of No. 8 is part of the 12 3/4 Ms stated in the remarks (in Col 20) in Case No. 1 to have been purchased for the expenses of a Gurudasi Kattalai by Krishnabhaye, mother of Srinivasa Dasa named under No. 9 in the name of Gokshmana Chari. The extent in this case appears in the Panimukh in the name of Appa Chari from whom Gokshmana Chari is said to have purchased it. No deed of sale forthcoming. What No. 9 enjoys was given to her husband in exchange in the year Kalayukta for some lands of his own, by Gopalakrishna Sastri, whose father had purchased it in 1842 from Gokshmana Chari to whom it is said to have been sold by No. 3 in Col 15. Deeds of sale and of exchange produced. No. 10 is son of No. 5 Rama Sastri and the extent in his enjoyment was purchased by his father in Chitrabanu, as per deed of sale produced, from No. 1 in the Panimukh. What No. 11 enjoys was purchased by him in 1840 from Pichuva Sastri No. 6 in Col 15 and son of No. 8 in Col 14. Deed of sale produced. The extent in the enjoyment of No. 20 was purchased by her husband in the year 1838 from a grand son of No. 7 in Col 15 as per deed of sale produced. What No. 14 enjoys was purchased by his grand father in the year Viswavasu from Gokshmana Chari who is said to have bought it from No. 3 in Col 15 already alluded to. Deed of sale of Viswavasu produced. What Nos 21, 22 and 23 enjoy was acquired by Rama Sastri (uncle of the first, father of the second and grand father of the third) by performing the funeral ceremonies of his sister No. 9 in Col 15. The extent in the enjoyment of No. 17 is said to have been purchased by him from Rama Sastri who had purchased it in the year Manmada from No. 5 in Col 15. The deed of sale executed to Rama Sastri is produced, whilst that executed by him to No. 17 is stated to have been lost. The Villagers testify to the purchase by No. 17 whose name, moreover, appears in the Bhagavidaram account of F. 1255.

(Sd) V. Rajagopala Charlu
Dy Collector I/C

True Copy.

Asst Commissioners Office
Coimbatore
5th May 1866.

P. Venkatachar
Special Asst to the
Asst Commissioner

The shares of Nos 6 - 9 - 8 & 15 were also in common on 1/8 as the property from whom they were purchased had been held Vide remarks on Case 1 -

Y-K
2/8/66

1/4 is charged to No. 8, because acquisition of Rama by Lakshmana is not supported by documents. For the same reason 1/4 is charged to Nos. 6 & 9. For the same reason 1/4 is pendente lite a very recent one (in 1862) 1/2 is charged to No. 15.

3/8/66