Register No. 144. Register of Saravamániyam Village of Mélaka pútalam in the Ombalappádu Mázánam Kumblatáwu Páluk Tanjore District

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Extent
Dittam 187-6-5

Deduct Poramboke 49-9-3 49-49

Remaining

Wet	50-36	0	80-92	696	4	1
Dry	27-0	13	27-28	60	0	5
Pepe	12-37	8	12-93	28	11	3
Garden	13½	17	02	50	—	2
	138-6-3½		138-15	800	11	11

Deduct Ináms

Wet	0-4-1½		0-10	1	1	8
Dry	0-11	8	0-36	0	12	10
Pepe	12-5	13½	12-14	26	15	1
Garden	26	2½	1-65	7	4	5
	14-0-9		14-26	36	2	—

Remaining 123-38-1½ 123-87 824 12 11

Remaining

Wet	23	10½	25-50	228	11	8
Dry	11	7	6-3	11	4	3
Pepe	0	2	9	0	6	4
Garden	2	33	6½	2	8	4
	40-16-7		40-41	258	3	3

Melawatta

Wet	58	8	7½	55-31	406	10
Dry	18	19	3½	15-18	34	6
Pepe	0	6	½	15	2	6
Garden	12	21	½	12-54	53	3
	83-19-3½			83-48	556	9

Kelawatta

1 Religious Endowment — Wet 2 crop 3 crop — 0-4-1½ — 10 — 1-4-8

Pepe .92 — 5-8½ — 19 — 0-8 — 11-10½ — 29 — 1-8-4

At the average Wet + Dry rates adopted for the Village or Rs. 4-2-½-3 — 6 — are respectively

is said to be in good condition and the ownership is rendered

Particulars of the Poramboke

Áleras Arakkudi Kalamaru and Kaccaravazam Lowers	11	13	11½
New Kaccaravazam Lowers and Backyards	1	36	13¼
Kadai or Shops	—	1	—
Sites of Pagodas	1	33	2½
Burying Ground	—	4	11
Roads, Pathways and Street	6	21	4½
Banks, Ponds &c.	3	19	11
Irrigation &c. Channels and Channel Banks	7	35	3¼
Rivers and Dá. Banks	16	12	1½
Chatrams and Chávadis	—	39	4
	49	19	12½

× No. 2. Croplands, Lowerams, Chávadi, Dally, Pagoda and Poramboke Grounds.

Sheet No. 342

For the expenses of Pillaiyár Pagoda in the Village

Three Not Not known

Not known Accounts

Pillaiyár

Sm Foods 12 38

Pillaiyár Pagodas and the Chatrams

In áina Pepe — 7 — 8¾

Certain trees in the Pepe are entered as being in the enjoyment of the Chatrams and others in that of the Pillaiyár Even now some trees belong to the Chatrams. *

* In the Girdáwaree and account of Rs 1235 the áina appears in the name of the Pillaiyár. For the benefit of the Chatrams some trees may have been planted and the Chatrams may enjoy as hereto fore what trees in it belong but the áina is registered in the name of the Pagoda to which it belongs

The Village in which the áina and the Pepe of a like nature following are situated in an entire Saravamániyam held upon 3 Grants. Such áináms are found to exist in almost every Village in the District. They are unsupported by any Grants. They seem to have been originally granted by the Merásidárs out of the Poramboke Lands. In the account of Fasli 1216 &c. they are entered under that head. They appear to have existed in Saravamániyam Villages even before the Villages themselves became áináms. But it was not minuted to deduct them or even to allude to them in the Sekáo. The áináms have no claim or interest in them.

Pillaiyár

At Mélaka pútalam

Wordsupport

Mutta Guruckkal

Pole confirmed for the same

Confirmed

Asst of the Pagodas

Confirmed

P. Rájagopála Chárlu

Deputy Collector

1695

21 — 3 — 6½

Rejestr No 11th.

Mélakapiétalam (Continued)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	For the Free Act Act No	Ayyanár	In F 1238 Ayyanár	Ayyanár At Mélakapiétalam	Vide remarks in Cases No 1	Confirmed.
2	Dhziews Endowment Pagoda	151 1—½ 1 10—2—6	Assessment of Ayyanár's land Pagoda in the Village. The building is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered.				remarks of this new document	Ayyanár Pagoda	Pagoda including the Pagoda with A.B. measured. 1—0—½	Worshipper Lakshmanan	Polar confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda. Dhyagápálá Chárlu Deputy Collector 21—3—64.	Rev. A. Chintadripillai Ayy. P. Ayy. 23—6—65. P.D. No 1696

3	Do Dry	25—14—8—3 10—12—11	Do Do of Mannár Sámi Pagoda in the Village. The building is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered.	Do Do	Do Do	Do Do	Mannár Sámi	In F 1238 Mannár Sámi Mannár Sámi Pagoda In ám 1—14—8	Mannár Sámi At Mélakapiétalam Worshipper Pachamuttu Pillai	Vide remarks in Cases No 1	Confirmed.	
											Polar confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda. Dhyagápálá Chárlu Dy. Collector 21—3—64.	Rev. A. Chintadripillai Ayy. P. Ayy. 23—6—65. P.D. No 1697

4	Do Cardamoms 34—09—10—20—13—11 Papers 32—0—1—12—4—6 1—13—15—32—1—5 The area occupied by Gardens and Pagoda is rated at 1 of 1st class for the Village and 2—6—6 for area.	Do Do of Do Dharma Rájá's Pagoda in the Village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered.	Do Do	Do Do	Do Do	Do Do	Dharma Rájá	In F 1238 Dharma Rájá Dharma Rájá Pagoda In ám 1—12—15	Dharma Rájá At Mélakapiétalam Worshipper Nalla Sámi	Vide remarks in Cases No 1	Confirmed.	
											Polar confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda. Dhyagápálá Chárlu Dy. Collector 21—3—64.	Rev. A. Chintadripillai Ayy. P. Ayy. 26—6—65. P.D. No 1698

5	Do Papers 91—10—13½ 121—15—3½ 163—3—4 1—31—3 1—78—1—11—8 At the average Dry rate	Do Do of Shri Viswanadha Swámis Pagoda in the Village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is regularly rendered.	Do Do	Do Do	Do Do	Do Do	Shri Viswa. nádha Swámi	In F 1238 Shri Viswa. nádha Swámi Pagoda Topes. 1—31—3	Shri Viswanadha Swámi At Mélakapiétalam Manager Sundaram Ayyangár	Vide remarks in Cases No 1	Confirmed.	
											Polar confirmed and continued for the benefit of the Pagoda. Dhyagápálá Chárlu Dy. Collector 21—3—64.	Rev. A. Chintadripillai Ayy. P. Ayy. 26—6—65. P.D. No 1699

Sheet No 258

Sheet No 258

Mélakapietalam (continued)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 … 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Columns 3–5

Wet (continued)

307 – 11 – 4
182 – 10 –
194 – 21 – 8½
298 – 9 – 6½
184 – 2 – 8½
213 – 5 – 3¾
289 – 2 – 5
172 – 32 – 11¼
170 – 19 – 11½
178 – 27 – 14
193 – 38 – 4
214 – 3 – 14
296 – 21 – 3¼
300 – 1 – 10
308 – 10 – 11½
185 – 2 – 8½
171 – 10 – 8
187 – 13 – 12
299 – 11 – 10½
234 – 12 – 11¼
235 – 11 – 4
16 2 – 5 – 9
53 – 18 10
86 1 – 32 – 1¼
145 – 13 – 7
147 – 27 – 14½
82 – 29 – 9½
149 – 28 – 5¾
306 – 17 – 8¼ } 2. Cropland.
54 – 23 – 11¼
84 – 21 – 1¼
88 1 – 35 – 11¾
81 – 9 – 13½
83 – 20 – 7½
305 – 6 – 9½
148 – 29 – 15¼

23 – 20 – 1¼ 25 – 50 228 – 14 – 8

Dry

115 – 13 – 5¾
123 1 – 6 – 1
166 – 11 – 6
181 – 18 – 10¾
203 1 – 3 – 2¾
118 – 24 – 6
197 – 7 – 3¼
240 – 3 – 5¼
120 – 7 – 3½
201 – 9 – 4
244 – 2 – 1½
125 – 9 – 3¾
195 – 11 – 6¼
242 – 2 – 15¾

[illegible]

Columns 6–7

A Quit Rent charged at ⅛ in case No. 9 } Rs. as. P. 33 – 5 – Vide particulars of Q. R. on the next sheet.

A Do. Do. in case No. 10 } 67 – 11 – Do. Do. on the 4th sheet.

A Do. Do. on 200 Chakrams (Assessment Rs. 311) } 39 – –

139 – – Total one hundred and thirty nine Rupees.

A This entry is made in the Central Office.

A Extent for Titledeed.

Dry.	Wet.	Garden.
12·07	25·50.	2 · 84.
15·63	55·31.	12 · 54.
27·70.	80·81.	15 · 38.

*9. Subramaniya Sástri, son of original grantee No. 7.
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

10. Gópála Sástri, grandson of original grantee No. 8.
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

11. Bálakavi Rámasástri Same as original grantee No. 9.
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

W. 4 – –
D. 1 – 13 –
5 – 13 –

* specified in the grant in the Village.

Column 12

wet 200 Chakrams being the ready money income from the Palapattadai of Paduppettai, a portion of Kapistalam were originally granted to one Vinai Kappaiyan for charity; that he with 5 others was enjoying them up to a certain year when they were resumed and that about 2 years after the resumption they were conferred by the above grant on 14 individuals including Vinai Kappaiyan and the 5. others.

W. 4 – –
D. 1 – 13 –
5 – 13 –

with a rod of 14 feet in the extent.*

Column 13

3. Subbaráyalu
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

4. Anantaiya
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

5. Nágaiya
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

6. Krishnam Abattar
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

7. Bramhánanda Ráma Sástri
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

8. Gópála sástri
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

9. Bálakavi Rámasástri
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

W. 4 – –
D. 1 – 13 –
5 – 13 –
& 200 Chakrams.

Column 14

2. Venkatnarasaiya, Son of original grantee No. 2.
W. 1 – 10 –
D. – 13 –
2 – 3 –
with 200 Chakrams.

3. Anantaiyar, Son of original grantee No. 3.
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

4. Venkatnarasaiya, son of [illegible] original grantee No. 4.
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

5. Nágaiya, same as original grantee No. 5.
W. – 5 –
D. – 2 –
– 7 –

7. Krishnam Abattar, Same as original grantee No. 6.
W. – 3 – 12½
D. – 1 – 25
– 4 – 3½

8. Venkatnarasaiya, purchaser.
W. – 1 – 8½
D. – 7 – 5.
– 2 – 6½

**

Column 15

2. Mirás Appaiyar enjoyed by Chitambara Chetti.
– 5 – 1.

3. Do. Do. by Periya náyakam.
– 1 – 10.

4. Do. Do. by Subbu Pillai.
– 9 – 11.

5. Do. Do. by Peruvengatta Pillai.
– 1 – 4.

6. Do. Do. by Naruda Pillai.
1 – 2 – 5½

7. Venkatáchalapati Perumal
1 – 38 – 5¾

8. Narahariyá Chári
3 – 12 – 11¾

9. Venkatáchalapati Perumal and Súraiyan
– 7 – 2¾

10. Annaiyan
2 – 11 – 8¾

11. Venkata Subbaiyan.
2 – 4 – 8¼

12. Annammál.
– 4 – 3.

13. Appu Raiyan.
– 3 – 4.

(Turn to the next sheet)

Columns 16–18

4. Venkata Subbaiyar 40. — Son of No. 2.
5. Vijáguvaiyan. 55.
6. Varadáchári. 55.
7. Narahariyáchári. 50.
8. Srinivásáchári. 40.
9. Rámaswámi Sástri. 45.
10. Lakshmiyammal 45.
11. Srí Venkatáchalapati Swámi at Peruppadi.
Manager. Somalai Srinivásáchariyár.
12. Muttappillai. 21.
13. Venkatáchala Muppanár. 60.
14. Shanmugatta Pillai. 29.
15. Chakravaiyangár. 27.
16. Annátturaiyangár. 28.
17. Aiyáru Chetti. 55.
18.

Column 19

Wife – 1. Son – ½.
Wife – 1. Son – 1. Brother – 1. 3.
Wife – 1. Daughters 3. 4.
Son – 1. daughter-in-law 1. 2.
Wife – 1.
Wife – 1. Brother 3. 4.
Wife – 1. Brother 3.
Wife – 1. Adopted son 3. Brother – 1. Do. Son 6. 9.
Wife – 1.
Wife – 1. Brother 3. 4.
Wife – 1.
Wife – 1. Son – 1. Brother 2. 4.

Column 20

purchased it in equal portions in the years Dhátu and Swamdhánu. Deed of Sale produced. No. 4 is Son of No. 2 in Col. 14 who is identical with No. 8 in the same column. Of the V. 2 – 5 – 6½ under these Nos., No. 9's grandfather had a small extent in gift in Kshrodi before the last, from the original grantee No. 2. No. 4 himself gave in gift ¼ Véli in the year Vijaya to No. 2 and to the husband of No. 10 what she enjoys and holds the remainder with 1/32 Véli purchased by her father in Sarvajit from a grand-daughter of original grantee No. 6. No deed is available to support the gift to No. 10's husband. (See … sheet)

Column 21

in 9 persons, including himself. Both the grants for it conveyed besides V. 5 – 13 – of Wet and Dry 200 Chakrams, being the annual income from the rents for the Palapattadai in the Village, exclusively to Kuppéri aiyan. The latter known by the name of Kila Petti (Part in original torn) was granted … of an Agrahárum founded by two individuals, and the original extent is V. – 5 – Wet and V. 2 – 10 – Dry. The two Sikás thus granted exhausted the cultivable ayakat of the Village, and what remained was only the Porambók, even of which the Palápattadai paying Pagudi was made over in one of the Sikás. From the fact of the other Porambók not having been formally transferred to the Inámdárs in either grant, it should not be inferred that the grantor wished to reserve them to himself for it is quite improbable that when all the Sikás and even the money income for a portion of the Porambók were made over the Rája would have desired to keep back (Vide … sheet)

Column 22

under the 2nd Sanad. The Deputy Collector has made an attempt to distinguish them, but it is not at all necessary to do so as the whole Village can be confirmed in one title deed.

The tax on the sites occupied by the Palapattadai will be taken at the amount specified in the grant, Viz. 200 Chakrams. The assessment including the above item amounts to Rs. 1112 exclusive of Kával fees. Quit Rent Rs. 139 –

(Sd.) W. R. Blair
Offg. Inám Commr.
10 – 2 – 66.

T. D. No. 1804.

Velakapattalai (continued) Cumbaconam Taluq

Sheet No 344

Velut to Pattalam (continued).

* a Tax appears to have been collected generally on all lands belonging to the Admiral Diraf Studi Receiver Gen-

(the maniyams of the Agrahara mandare excepted) by the said Kapperi Ayyar and his sons, though it does not appear to have paid no

Pazudi for many years, and it was only for two Fasli's right to the maniyams 8/20 in the Villages appears to have been recognized by the Court so far back

as 1811 and 1812. From one of the decrees in those years produced, that in answer to a reference made to the Pajah's Palace, it was stated, that the plaintiff, Son

of Vinaw Kapperi Ayyan, was the rightful owner of the lands 8/20 in the Villages and that the defendants, Sulaku Pillai 8/20 had no right to any thing therein.

In another Suit in 1814 the said Sulaku Pillai and others first pleaded that they were the original Miraseidars of the Villages, but subsequently admitted

that they were only Pirahudis. From all this it is quite evident that the ownership of all the maniyams 8/20 rests in the family of Kapperi Ayyan.

Vacant Manaies in the Nattam were measured in the Survey and included in the Gosh para as Agrihal. The Kapperi are in the extents entered in Col. 15

under Nos. 2 to 6. The Miraseidari right to them all is entered as belonging to Appayar Kapperi Ayyan's Sons Muttu Pillai No. 12 filed a statement for A 2 2

(being the A 1 2 6½ in the name of his Grand father No. 6 Marudai Pillai, and A 5 ½, 2 9½ and 4 2 out of the extents respectively under Nos.

19 and 20) Shanmugattu Pillai No. 14 for A 8 2 (the A 2 9 and 1 4 respectively in the names of his Grand father Nos. 4 and cousins Nos. 5 and

A 2 2 and 19 2 out of those under the said Nos. 19 & 20). Venkatachalam Kuppanan No. 13 for A 14 4 out of the extent in the name of No. 1 Appayar

and Chandrakasa Kuppanan in the name of his Annaeatchi No. 32 in Case No. 10 for the A 8 8½ under No. 18 in Col. 15. Nos. 15 who purchased in

the year 1862, all the Manaikkattus in the Villages, with the exception of the Bambaradu Manai from present holder No. 4, Grand Son of Kapperi Ayyan,

and No. 18 to whom the 4 Nos. held in the year following a moiety of which he had purchased, object to the registration of the extents above specified

in the names of the individuals mentioned, alleging that in virtue of their purchase they have owners of the Manaikkattus occupied and vacant, and

the parties who have filed the statements have no right. Nos. 12 and 14 state simply that they enjoy the lands in question from time immemorial they being

original Miraseidars. Thus they are (Parentheses term) from the decrees of Court. The extents they lay claim to are entered in the Pannaich as Appayar's. They

are unable to say why the entries were so made if they are in enjoyment as they say since the beginning, admitting that Appayar and now Nos. 18

and 16 are sunk generally of the Manai's 8/20 in Pappuddalam. Hence even allege that they have never paid any Pazudi for what they enjoy. The opposite party

produces Pazudi Chits of some years back. Even if they do enjoy such exemption it is not sufficient for Government and they may continue to enjoy it leaving the

above to establish their rights to rent by a regular Suit. With regard to the extents for which Venkatachalam Kuppanan and Chandrakasa Kuppanan have

filed statements, they merely state that they are in enjoyment from a long time, and the latter produces two Gattu Chits one dated Pharanga and

the other Parmati. What lands the Kuppanan's family held at the time of the Pannaich in this Village were ascertained and entered in their

names as to number and with full details. They were the richest and most influential Miraseidars in these parts and it is strange that the lands they now

claim should have been measured in the names of Appayar and Sundrai a common man and a stranger as was said in the Kuppanan's land. &c

Turn to the next Sheet

Rajaturai No. 1446

Melakapistalam Continued of
Cumbakonam Taluq

** The property of Chandrakasa Muppanar came under the management of the Court of Wards, two or three years previous to Plavanga, when every Cist of land belonging to him, was assumed by the Collector. The land under dispute was not taken under management. The superintendence vested with the Court of Wards for two years afterwards, the Ruttuz Chit is in the name of Chandrakasa Muppanar's mother. She is not able to account satisfactorily for the land not having been taken with the other property into the Collector's charge. From this there is strong ground to infer that the family was not in possession at the time. The two Chits produced are all that the Muppanar and they are not enough especially in the face of the facts just stated to give him a title to the land. Under all the circumstances above specified, I have struck out the names of Nos. 12, 13, and 14, and included the lands with the A 1-8-33 claimed by Chandrakasa Muppanar in the names of Nos. 15 and 16, in which are entered also the extents under Nos. 2, 3, and 17 and a portion of A 2-10-12 3/4 out of that under No. 21 all in Column 15.

The rates of assessment adopted for assessing the lands of this Village are the averages of two adjoining Paraspa Villages and they are Rupees 47-15-11, 29-2-11, 14-10-10 per Veli respectively of Wet, Garden and Dry.

Poliz confirmed in one Fasli dated 5th Octr 1817. The amount of Quit Rent payable by each Holder and the rate at which it has been specified in the marginal statement. (Vide preceding sheet for the Statement).

A 1-11-1 3/4 measured in the Survey as Backyards of new Kasavargam houses are brought under Gokhpar under Seyi Kaldry, whilst the Houses appear under Poramboke. As they also should have been entered under Pramboke, I have now transferred them to that head.

I beg to submit for the Inam Commissioner's consideration whether it would not be fair to add to the Quit Rent payable by it 15-4-6 one eighth of the 200 Chakrams, the rents from the Mamool Kattle, which though they have not been collected in full for some years are justly due to them and will be collected by them regularly hereafter.

Sheet No. 345*

Signed V. Rajagopala Chariu,
27-2-6 1/2
Deputy Collector

---

10. Perumal 27-4-55 7/16 55-31 206-11-9
D. by 18-19-9 1/2 15-13 34-3-6
Tope 6-1 1/2 1-15-3-6
Garden 13-21-7 1/2 12-5 1 55-3-11
83-17-3 33-13-15 556-9-8

Portion Rs. Brace Granted Sikh proved & benefit of the Holder

Sikh Rajaturai No. 2 Rabi 1207 Fasli

Kayal Sunku Inam Fasli 1238 Melakapistalam

Rajaturai No. 1 Sudarsa. Son.

Annaturai. Grandson adopted a son of Pl. 11

Ayyan Sethi 55.

Vide remarks in Case

Rajaturai No. 9 of the Village of Melakapistalam

Sig. M. V. Clerk

See remarks on Rajaturai No. 446 Case

Rejster 1854.

Vilakapatalam (Continued)

Register of A. 1846.

Mulakapetalam (continued) of Combakonam Taluq

Sheet No. 346

Volume VIII

Vilakapitalam pertaining to

*C in Vikrama from the sons of Ar.1 and grandsons of Ar.6. Both original grantees, and one Gopalayan who had purchased 1/8 Share from the latter or grandsons of Ar.6, 3/8 in Chitrakkáran from one who had bought it of the sons of original Grantee Ar.2, 1/8 in Viradi Ar.10 in Vayiji respectively from present holders and grandsons of Ar.3 in Ar.11. Of the 2 1/2 pregate or 1/10 Share, he gave away in gift 1/2 and the remainder was divided equally between him & sons of whom Ar.18 husband was one. In addition to his 5 Vpts Ar.18 and his husband purchased 15/10 (1 1/2) in the years 1846, 1847 & 1848 from the widow & sons of the 2 brothers-in-law of Ar.18. 7/10 in the years Kiródi, Parábhava and Viródhikritu from the other brother-in-law and his widow, 1/2 in Bhava from a grandson of original Grantee Ar.12 and 1/2 in Ananda from Appukuttiyachari to whom her (Ar.18) father in law had given it in gift in Viródhi), but of 1 1/2 share which he was acquired by Ar.18 enjoys only 1/2 share her husband having disposed of 1/10 to Ar.32 and she having given away under Manjakáni in 1856 15/32 to each of her two daughters Ar.37 and one his widow of Ar.32. Pursuant to Rule 7 Vpts stated to have been sold to Ar.18 and her husband, by a brothers of the latter and his widow together with 2 more Vpts which he sold to Ar.25 in Vilambi, had been purchased by him (the brother) 2 Vpts in Durmukhi from Ar.18 husband and Ar.18 husband another 1 Vpt out of the 5 which had fallen to him in division and 1 Vpt which he had purchased from a son of original Grantee Ar.3 in Rhara and 2 Vpts in Bhava from present holder Ar.26 grandfather Ar.19 purchased 1 Vpt in 1852 from 7/8 grandson of original Grantee Ar.12, and 1/8 Vpt in 1855 (33 from present holder Ar.18 out of Rule 12 dated in the remarks in 12 to have been purchased by him and 1 Vpt from a daughter-in-law of Ar.11 in Pl.14. Out of the 2 3/8 Vpts her husband he enjoys only 1 1/3 Vpt having disposed of the remainder to Ar.31 in Ra 1854 in the enjoyment of 21 as purchased by him & grandmother (mother) &

Name & Caste	No. & Caste	Name & Caste	Name & Caste (continued)
18 Sivan Sastri, 33 years, alone	Sámá-Iyan	33 Sámiyá Pillai	Wife — 1 Brother — 1/2 2 d in succession of a Decree against Rámayan Mooreesr. estate — that in 1855 and 1856 he petitioned the Collector on the subject of Ar.15 Rs.5 and in 1857 Board of Revenue, by a Rule which he purchased in the year 1858 from whom he derived title — that on inquiry, as directed, he was made by the Collector to pay Rs.16 to Ar.15 by one out of 2 Vpts, which he sold to Ar.25 in Vilambi, had been purchased by him (the brother) 2 Vpts in Durmukhi from Ar.18 husband and Ar.18 husband another 1 Vpt out of the 5 which had fallen to him in division and 1 Vpt which he had purchased from a son of original Grantee Ar.3 in Rhara and 2 Vpts in Bhava from present holder Ar.26 grandfather Ar.19 purchased 1 Vpt in 1852 from 7/8 grandson of original Grantee Ar.12, and 1/8 Vpt in 1855 (33 from present holder Ar.18 out of Rule 12 dated in the remarks in 12 to have been purchased by him and 1 Vpt from a daughter-in-law of Ar.11 in Pl.14. Out of the 2 3/8 Vpts her husband he enjoys only 1 1/3 Vpt having disposed of the remainder to Ar.31 in Ra 1854 in the enjoyment of 21 as purchased by him & grandmother (mother) &	Wife — 1 Brother — 1/2 2 d in succession of a Decree against Rámayan Mooreesr. estate — that in 1855 and 1856 he petitioned the Collector on the subject of Ar.15 Rs.5 and in 1857 Board of Revenue, by a Rule which he purchased in the year 1858 from whom he derived title — that on inquiry, as directed, he was made by the Collector to pay Rs.16 to Ar.15 by one out of 2 Vpts, which he sold to Ar.25 in Vilambi, had been purchased by him (the brother) 2 Vpts in Durmukhi from Ar.18 husband and Ar.18 husband another 1 Vpt out of the 5 which had fallen to him in division and 1 Vpt which he had purchased from a son of original Grantee Ar.3 in Rhara and 2 Vpts in Bhava from present holder Ar.26 grandfather Ar.19 purchased 1 Vpt in 1852 from 7/8 grandson of original Grantee Ar.12, and 1/8 Vpt in 1855 (33 from present holder Ar.18 out of Rule 12 dated in the remarks in 12 to have been purchased by him and 1 Vpt from a daughter-in-law of Ar.11 in Pl.14. Out of the 2 3/8 Vpts her husband he enjoys only 1 1/3 Vpt having disposed of the remainder to Ar.31 in Ra 1854 in the enjoyment of 21 as purchased by him & grandmother (mother) &
19 Nayan (grandfather), 1 year 2 1/2 months	34 Ambayan and Krishnan	34 Venkata Chalapati Pandáram	
Dº — 35 Rumba Dº — 2 Dº — 7	Rumba Kónan	35 Shi Ponnambala Shivam Pandáram and Sivam Pandáram	
21 Sivam Pandáram, managed by Ly. Sivam (nuncupative) — 8 11 1/2	36 Rumba Kónan Pandáram and Sivam Pandáram	Shivam Pandáram and Shivam Pandáram — Chakrapáni Pandáram — Rámaswámi Pandáram of Rumbakónam	
20 Venkata ramana Shástri, Rs.2 Dº — 2 Dº — 7	Pandáram and Rámaswámi Pandáram		
Dº — 2 Dº — 10	Rajendra Manager of Ly. Pettagudi	Manager Pettagudi Rámayan	
3 Subbayan — 3 7 1/2		25 Gnánasekara Ayyan	
22 Shivam Pandáram and Shivam Pandáram managers of Ly. Pettagudi the brothers-in-law and sister-in-law of Ar.18		37 Annátturai Ayyan (Ar.32 daughter)	1 Wife — 1 d daughter
23 Sivadi Parisu — 2 8 1/2		Ponnusami — son of Ayyan by Ar.2 Ar.19	1 Wife
24 Subbayan and Annuvi Ayyan (in Fl.22) Subbu Muthu Pandáram (Ar.6 nephew) — 17 — 8		* entered as per Supplemental Register Register pecuniary suit Collector's letter Ar.12 of 05. Dated 27th Oct. 1855.	
40 Subbayan (as above) — 33 — 3			
83 — 12 — 3 1/2			

Ar.18 husband died in Ra 1858 under the management of the Court of Wards, at a public sale effected by the Court of Wards, and was purchased till the year 1860.

Vellakapitalam (continued).

Cumbakonam Taluq Sheet No. 347

* in the year Jaya from a son of original grantee No. 8. No. 23 enjoys 3/10 share which he was purchased by her husband in the years Vikári, Sádháraṇa and Pramádiṭha from No. 2½ in Col. 16. No. 25 and his brother No. 26 enjoy the 7 Vṛṣ which have been stated in the remarks regarding No. 18, to have been purchased from a brother of the said No. 18's husband, with 1/4 share which he had from now (No. 25) purchased. (1 Vṛṣ in Vikári from a grandson of No. 12 in Col. 13, 1 Vṛṣ in Sádháraṇa from present holder No. 27, and 2 Vṛṣ in 1853 from the sons of Muthuváchári who is said to have purchased them from son of original grantee No. 3 and brother of the above said No. 27). Of the 5 Vṛṣ which No. 27 enjoys and 6 Vṛṣ which have been already stated to have sold to others, or of the 11 Vṛṣ together two fell to Lion's division out of the 4 in the name of his grandfather No. 7 in Col. 4th and the rest or 9 Vṛṣ are said to have been acquired by purchase. The Deeds of sale have been eaten up by white ants and the rest of them that are produced are crumbling to pieces. In the Bhagavaram attached to the Paimáiṣh account of Fasli 12 3/4 share is entered in the name of No. 27's father and in a Deed of sale executed in Vikári for 1 Vṛṣ by No. 22's husband No. 27 prefers to his share as 3/16 to 1/16 share. The few Zuntas in the enjoyment of No. 28 were given to him in gift in the year Raudri by present holder No. 18. The 1/33 share which No. 33 enjoys was purchased by him in the year Raudri from No. 31 in Col. 16. The 1 Vṛṣ in the enjoyment of No. 13 was purchased by him in the year Sádháraṇa from a brother's son of No. 21 in Col. 14th. No. 14 had, what he enjoys, from his husband's brother Venkatachallapaiyan in gift his father having purchased it in the year Dhátu from a son of original grantee No. 5. Venkatáchala Múppan, grandfather of the party under No. 32 purchased in the year 1835. 1 Vṛṣ from Sellachári, grandson of original grantee No. 9, 1 Vṛṣ from a son of No. 17 in Col. 11th and 3 Vṛṣ from the said latter, who had purchased them, 1 Vṛṣ in Vijaya from Venkatrámaiyangár and 2 Vṛṣ in Parthiva from No. 21 Venkatramanáchári. The 5 Vṛṣ purchased with No. 2-38-13 cents of the extent under No. 1½ in Col. 15, his grandmother's and three under Nos. 35, 39 and 41 are entered now in the name of No. 32. The extent entered in the name of No. 34 is made up of No. 1-1-10. (No part in the eighteen) under No. 4 and the No. 2-34-9½ and under No. 39 27-2-6½. Dy. Collector. E.C.

of the Pagoda learning Rámáyaṇa to recover it by regular suit, to claims have been preferred now or before to the extent entered in the names of Nos. 35, 39 & 41 but now in the enjoyment of No. 32, which are not able to explain the pokeshims of them. Regarding No. 8 & 9½, included in the statement filed by No. 22 vide remarks in Exhibit No. 9. Polar confirmed in one Fasli deed to Nos. 1 to 26 on Quit Rent on all the lands except those which belonged to Nos. 35, 39 and 41 in the Paimáish, but now in No. 32's enjoyment. Piece latter are charged ½ Quit Rent. The amount payable by each is specified in the marginal statement.

Signed) V. Rajagopala Charlu

Melakapitalam village, Kumbakonam Taluk.

List No 144

Sheet No 25

2 R. chavy Pa 32-5
ch 8 in Cowle 49
Do Do in Cowle 67-11
Do in 200 chavadi 39
Cap. Pa. 311 139

Vide Porter Survey of 2 R. on the other side and Do Do on the 2d Sheet

Total of one hundred & thirty nine Pagodas

Total for Sheet

P	F	Gas
127	25 50	2-84
15 13	55 31	12 54
27 70	80 81	15 38

1804



Melakapperalawe village Kumbalgoma Taluk.
Pasdun Korale.

Sheet No 256

Register No 144

Sheet No 256