157

A Pm No 467 of 1863 from the Nuraseda's requesting to confirm to their names the Porampoke & the ~~extent~~ excess over the Grant
Rejected 13/2/66
W.M.S.
O.H.S.

A Pm No 355 of 1863 from Ramakrishna complaining that the portion of the mun which is in Rec. Regd. for private service of the Agg. has not been settled in her name & that her Regd. will not be affected

Register No 151
Sheet No 271

Ex Trust granted by Ramachari the Pandit mentioned in the Revision Cal. for the much of the portion as relates to No 53 in Cal. 16.
2-12-68

118 O.Rs. refused by the Comr.

This is an entire Saowamani Grainem village, supported by a grant reserved in the Regd. of 1809. In the Sikka Accounts is more than Enam of 1¼ V. formerly granted to one Rama Pandit. Excluding this the remainder in V. 21-15-0 for which 1 V. appears as Pm is the extent of this Enam as per grant. Ramaswami Enam having been measured as part of the adjoining Saowamani Grainem village of Madaraja Jeyam & included in its Goshpara, has been settled as a separate case in that village. The length of the mun is not specified in the Sikka on the Tamini Jumla account of [illegible]. The present area of the village, including the Pm, which is V. 5-0-31 in place of the 1 V. [illegible] in the Grant [illegible], is V. 35-9-15. The excess in the entire Ditt. is about 55 P.C. on the Original 35. Excesses of these extents are [illegible] with in many Government villages. The entire village having been granted as Enam & no complaint of encroachment on the adjoining Government lands appearing [illegible] the excess is not [illegible] able.

The rates of assessment for this village are the same as those adopted for Maha Jogajeswaram above referred to, and they are Rs 36-2-[illegible]

Confirmed on a 2 R. [illegible] No 53 [illegible] the Dr. [illegible] appeared [illegible] Ramachari [illegible] 10/2/66

Extract granted by Regd. 32 in 16 [illegible] Excepting Remarks.
4-5-69

A No 2487 of 1844 from Calcutta
Chancery of St Thomas
Case 57
Endorsed as under
29 Nov 1850