Tennur village, Kumbakonam Taluk, Tanjore District

[illegible]

Register No. 412

Sheet No. 140

Wet 297 2-27-15½	2·70	16-4-8	51	For the expenses of a Tekkya in the village. The building is said to be in good condition and the services are rendered.	Free of tax	not known	not known	no documents	Tekkyá	No. 1216 Bare Sahib Takkiya Sarva manium 3-18-94	No. 1238 Bare Saheb Takkiya Sarva manium 24-2-¼	Tekkya at Tennur manager Sómalingam Śráda Bhakat Fakir	To be confirmed 11/10/62 Dy. Collr. Conf'd C.B. 8/6/64 T.D. No. 746
Garden 281 – 39-15½ 292 – 34-5¼ 293 – 13-13¾ 301 – 15-12¾ 2-23-12¾	2·59	9-10-8											
Dry 282 2-3-3½ 284 1-15-8¼ 285 – 3-7 286 1-18-3½ 287 1-33-12 288 2-9-9¼ 289 3-2-– 291 – 5-3¾ 294 – 25-1¼ 296 4-2-2¼ 298 – 26-9¼ 299 – 20-10 18-5-6	18·14	36-4-3											
23-17-1¾	23·43	62-3-7											
Paramboke 295 – 13-9½ 300 – 4-5 – 24-14½	·62	1-3-11											
24-2-½	24·05	63-7-6 The [illegible] at the rates already specified & the Wet at the [illegible]											

Regr. No. 413. Register of Inams in the village of Timmattalikai (No. 337) in the Swamimalai Mágánam Cumbaconam Taluk Tanjur District.

Dry 3 2-3-3 5 1-33-10½ 3-26-13½	3·92	6-8-5 At the average dry rate in the 1-10-7 per ac.	Do. Do. of Kailasanadhaswámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Kailása nadha Swámi	No. 1216 Kailasanadha swámi's Inam tope – 10-10	No. 1238 the same 3-38-13¾	Kailasanadhaswámi at Timmattalikai Worshipper Kámákshigurukkal	To be confirmed 15/10/62 Dy. Collr.	T.D. No. 747 Conf'd
Do. Dry 4 4-36-12	4·87	8-1-5 as above	Do. Do. of a Takkiya in the village. The building is said to be in good condition & the service is rendered.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Takkiya	No. 1216 Pir Sahib Takkiya Inam – 12-92½	No. 1238 Pir Sahib Takkiya Inam 4-34-12	Takkiyá at Timmattalikai Manager Hossein Mahammad Labbé & Nattimea Labbé	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inam is below the average excess in Ayan lands 15/10/62 Dy. Collr.	Conf'd C.B. T.D. No. 748

Regr. No. 414 Register of Inams in the village of Harichandrapuram (No. 339) in the above Mágánam Cumbaconam Taluk Tanjur District.

Do. Dry 56 – 8-7 90 – 19-16 – 28-5	·71	– 14-9 At the average dry rate in the 1-4-9 per ac.	Do. Do. of Harichandrapuriswaraswámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the worship is rendered	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Harichandrapurís wara Swámi	No. 1216 Harichandrapuriswara swámi's Inam tope – 4-60¾	No. 1238 the same 1-28-5	Harichandrapuríswaraswámi at Harichandrapuram Worshipper Kámákshigurukkal	To be confirmed 15/10/62 Dy. Collr.	Conf'd C.B. T.D. No. 749

No. 415

Regr. No. 415 Register of Inams in the village of Mulaiyúr (No. 343) in the above Mágánam Cumbaconam Taluk Tanjur District

Do. Dry 25 1-6-5 27 3-15-3 29 – 31-6¼ 37 – 23-8 39 – 19-11 41 1-7-7 63 1-–-8 72 – 5-11 74 – 5-12 75 – 4-8 77 – 8-– [illegible] – 19-8	9·69	15-7-4 At the [illegible]	Do. Do. of Parasunadhaswámi's Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition & the wor[illegible]	Do.	Do.	Do.	Do.	Parasu nadha Swámi	No. 1216 Parasunadha swámi's Sarva manium tope [illegible]	No. 1238 the same 9-27-5¼	Parasunadhaswámi at Mulaiyúr Trustees Parasunadha Pillai Namasivaya Pillai & Subba Pillai	To be confirmed. The excess in the Inams is equal to the average excess in Ayan lands [illegible]	T.D. No. 750 Conf'd

Register of Inams in the village of Ramanadhankoil No 335 in the Swamimalai Maganam

Regr No 416

Rel. End^t.

Garden
149 1-39-8½
151 –27-2¾
2-26-4½ 2.57 11-9-8
D^o 150 –8–
147 2-27-7½
148 –8-11
155 –4-11
157 –31-4¾
154 1-18-12½
159 2-2-10¾
7-21-14½ 7.54 16-13-4
10-8-9¾ 10.21 28-7-–

At the average rates of garden [illegible] viz Rs 2-3-9 & Rs 4-5-8 per acre respectively

For the expenses of Ramalingaswami Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good condition and the worship is rendered.

Not known — Not known — No documents — Ramalingaswami

In F1216 Sri Ramalingaswami Sarvamaniem –19-4½

In F1238 Ramalingaswami Inam tope 10-8-9¾

Ramalingaswami at Ramanadhankoil
Worshipper
Kamakshi Gurukkal

To be confirmed subject to the payment of Rs 8 –– for the excess
11/10/62 [illegible]

Conf^d
M
8/6/64
ZDW 738
JDB

The excess [illegible] in garden lands [illegible] 19 [illegible] the garden in the [illegible] charged [illegible] 2-35-2½ [illegible] 8 –

Regr No 417
Regr No 417

Register of Inams in the village of Alavandipuram No 305 in the above Maganam.

D^o Tope 35 –3-12 .09 –3-7
At the average dry rate viz Rs 2-5-9 per acre.

For the support of the Ayanar Pagoda in the village. The Pagoda is said to be in good cond^n & worship is rend^d.

do do do — No documents — Ayanar

In F1216 Ayanar Pagoda Tope –30

In F1238 Ayanar Pagoda Sarvamaniem Tope –3-12

Ayanar at Alavandipuram
Worshipper
Valaiyada Pandaram

To be confirmed
10/11/62 [illegible]

Conf^d
M
TDN 739
ZDW 739

Regr No 418

Register of Inams in the village of Gangadharapuram No 302 in the above Maganam.

Tope
144 –18-2½
249 –15-9¼
–26-11¾ .58 1-9-7
At the average dry rate viz Rs 2-6-3 per acre.

do do of Varadarajaperumal Pagoda. The building is said to be in good cond^n & worship is rendered

do do do do — Varadarajaperumal

In F1216 Perumal Pagoda Sarvamaniem –1-86

In F1238 Varadarajaperumal Pagoda Inam –26-11¾

Varadarajaperumal at Gangadharapuram
Worshipper
Kristnaiengar

To be confirmed
10/11/62 [illegible]

ZDW 740
Conf^d
JDB
M
8/6/64

[illegible] in exchange [illegible] already [illegible] in the [illegible] garden in the name of No 4 (in col. 15) [illegible] purchased [illegible] Read. No 6 & 5 enjoy what is ent^d in the Pairmaish in the name of their father Bapuiengar No 6 in col. 15. The extent in the name of No 1 is in the enj^t of No 3 according to the terms of a time expired mortgage bond.

---

24 Personal Wet
No 1 in 11 –23-12½
No 2 in 11 –23-3½
No 3 in 11 –23-1
No 4 in 11 –22-1½
No 1 in 12 –12-½
No 2 in 12 –10-13½
No 3 in 12 1-5-11½
No 4 in 12 –23-13½
No 1 in 14 –21-8½
No 2 in 14 –22-6¼
No 3 in 14 –22-3¼
No 4 in 14 –23-2½
No 1 in 15 –22-13¼
No 2 in 15 –5-3½
No 3 in 15 –23-1½
9-1-– 9.15 22-14-3

in the Regr of 1809 & their descendants. From all these transfers it is found the [illegible] as [illegible] received in the Pairmaish in the name of [illegible] members of the family [illegible] Pairmaish [illegible] entd. in [illegible] No 7 [illegible] appears in col. 15 [illegible] Kasturi Rangachari

in the name of his father No 7 in col. 15. No 1 to 5 in col. 16 are all descendants of the party named in the Regr of 1809. The shares of the other 2 daughters held all (with the exception of the small extent entd. in col. 15 in the name of No 4) passed in portions, some previous to 1809 & others before F1235, mostly by purchase & a small portion by exchange to the party

For the [illegible] personal [illegible] benefit of the holder

Granted by Ekoji Rajah first on the 5th [illegible] Tirunavalur [illegible] S1079

Sikka very old & the writing difficult to make out. Copy in Sadr Kacheri regd. in 1809. The extent of Inam noted on the above document is [illegible] 2 and [illegible] The No in the Sadr Kacheri is not to be found in the Mahratta Register received from the Col.^rs Office

Srihadri Aiyangar

In Regr 1809 Srinivasachariar daughter's grandson of the grantee No 5 [illegible]

In F1238 Srihadri Aiyangar Sarvamaniem

In F1216
1 Chakravarti Srinivasachariar
Srihadri Aiyangar [illegible]
2 Kasturi Rangachariar
3 Chakravarti Tirumalachariar 2-–9½
No 1-5-10½ 4 Srinivasachariar –22-1¼
5 Kasturirangachari & Srinivasachari Sarvamaniem –18-13¼
6 Bapuiengar 1-30-6

1 Chakravarti Srinivasachariar 40
2 Chakravarti Raghavachariar 25
3 Chakravarti Venkatachariar
Raghunathachariar 45
5 Gopalasami Aiyangar 35
6 Tiruvengada Aiyangar 52

(1-2-3) Grandsons of daughter's son
(4-5) daughter's daughter's sons
(6) daughter's grandson of the party in col. 13.

(1) Wife 1, sons – 2
Daughter-in-law – ¼
(2) Wife, daughter
Brother's son
Brother
his wife – 1/6
(3) Wife 1, sons – 2/3
(4) Wife 1, sons – 2/3
(5) Wife 1, daughter – 1/2
Wife, sons – 2

* Sikka [illegible] obtained likewise by exchange with No 3 in col. 15. Note of a change [illegible] No 3 is adopted son of Chakravarti Raghavachariar in col. 15 (whose widow [illegible]) & he enjoys the R 1-28-9 which remained after the gift in Gangadharapuram

The original party had, it would appear, four daughters amongst whom the Inam was divided equally. The share of each was 2.25. The party whose name is entd. in the Regr of 1809 was a descendant of one of the daughters. Why the names of the others are omitted does not appear. They appear to have been enj^t at the time. What is entd. in No 1, a descendant of one of the three other daughters enjoys one fourth of the [illegible]

To be confirmed in 5 T12
The Inam has been assessed with reference to the proportions of the 2 Tarams in Kattalai which adjoin the Inam.
There is no error in the Inam [illegible] The present holders have agreed to [illegible] on their original shares & the QR in these proportions charged is compulsory. The amount of QR payable by each with other particulars is entd. in the marginal statement
17/1/63 [illegible]

ZDW 741
742
743
744
745

Conf^d
M
8/6/64
JDB

No	Wet	Dry	Total	QR
1	1-5-12½	5-4-10	–4–2½	–10–178
2	–28-2	7-15-–	–6-3	[illegible]
3	–10-–	11-9-8	–8-4	1-4-[illegible]
4	–35-3	4-1-11	–3-2	–8–
5	–3-3	4-4-10	–3-1	–8–